IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Electronically Filed Dec 07 2020 09:11 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

Supreme Court 80878me Court

District Court Case No.

A748919

STEVE EGGLESTON,

Appellant,

VS.

GEORGINA STUART; CLARK COUNTY,

NEVADA; LISA CALLAHAN; AND BRIAN

CALLAHAN,

Respondents.

RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF

COME NOW Respondents Georgina Stuart Clark County and ("Respondents"), by and through their attorneys of the law firm OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI, and hereby move this Court for an extension to file their Answering Brief pursuant to Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b) and 31(b). This Motion is made and based upon all papers, pleadings, and records on file herein, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and such oral argument, testimony and evidence as the Court may entertain.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Pursuant to NRAP 26(b), for good cause, the Court may extend time to perform any act prescribed in the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure. On or before the due date sought to be extended, a party may request by telephone a single 14-day extension of time, and if good cause is shown, the clerk may grant such request by telephone. The party must file a written motion for any further extensions of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances why a further extension of time is necessary.

Respondents' Answering Brief was originally due on 11/25/2020. On 11/20/2020, Respondents requested and obtained a 14-day telephonic extension to 12/9/2020, which is the current due date for the Brief. No requests have been denied thus far. Respondents are respectfully requesting a 30-day extension to complete their Answering Brief to 1/8/2021. Respondents have been unable to complete the Answering Brief due to remote work and connectivity issues, and Covid-19 family and related issues. Respondents' counsel has been working from home. She and her entire firm have had various remote work and connectivity issues making it extremely difficult at times, being repeatedly kicked off the network such that documents could not be accessed and work could not be done. While, at times, Respondents' counsel has gone to her office to work, she recently was unable to go to the office due to Covid-

19 firm and family issues, related testing and quarantining, and not being allowed to return to work until after the Answering Brief is due. In addition, the firm has been updating the network and system, which has caused some down time and required additional time to work out any related kinks arising out of that. Furthermore, the firm expects to perform another update towards the end of this month. Respondents require this additional time to respond to Appellant's 51-page Opening Brief and review of the 834-page Record on Appeal and 32-page Appendix in support of their Answering Brief. Based on all the above, Respondents believe there are extraordinary and compelling circumstances warranting the requested extension and respectfully request a 30-day extension to 1/8/2021 to file the Answering Brief.

OLSON CANNON GORMLEY & STOBERSKI

/s/ Felicia Galati

FELICIA GALATI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7341 9950 W. Cheyenne Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89129 Attorneys for Respondent Georgina Stuart and Clark County

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On the 7th day of December, 20208, the undersigned, an employee of Olson, Cannon, Gormley, Angulo & Stoberski, hereby served a true copy of RESPONDENTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE **ANSWERING BRIEF** to the parties listed below via the EFP Program, pursuant to the Court's Electronic Filing Service Order (Administrative Order 14-2) effective June 1, 2014, via U.S. Mail and via e-mail:

Emily McFarling, Esq. McFarling Law Group 6230 W. Desert Inn Road Las Vegas, NV 89146 eservice@mcfarlinglaw.com

/s/ Erika Parker

An Employee of Olson Cannon Gormley

& Stoberksi