IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA Case No. 80884

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Electronical

Appellant

Electronically Filed Oct 01 2020 07:16 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

GILBERT P. HYATT

Respondent

On Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County Case No. A382999 THE HONORABLE TIERRA JONES, District Judge, Department X

APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON BEHALF OF GILBERT P. HYATT - VOLUME 2 OF 17

MARK A. HUTCHISON, Nev. Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL, Nevada Bar No. 2098 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC. 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

PETER C. BERNHARD, Nev. Bar No. 734 KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, NV 89135-2958 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

DONALD J. KULA, Cal. Bar No. 144342 PERKINS COIE LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399 Attorneys for Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt

Chronological Index

Doc No.	Description	Vol.	Bates Nos.
1	Court Minutes re: case remanded, dated September 3, 2019	1	RA000001
2	Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs, filed October 15, 2019	1, 2, 3, 4	RA000002- RA000846
3	Exhibits 14-34 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	4, 5, 6, 7, 8	RA000847- RA001732
4	Exhibits 35-66 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	8, 9, 10, 11, 12	RA001733- RA002724
5	Exhibits 67-82 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	12, 13, 14, 15, 16	RA002725- RA003697
6	Exhibits 83-94 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	16, 17	RA003698- RA004027

7	Correspondence re: 1991 state income tax balance, dated December 23, 2019		RA004028- RA004032
8	Court Minutes re: motion for attorney fees and costs, dated April 23, 2020	17	RA004033- RA004034

Alphabetical Index

Doc No.	Description	Vol.	Bates Nos.
7	Correspondence re: 1991 state income tax balance, dated December 23, 2019	17	RA004028- RA004032
1	Court Minutes re: case remanded, dated September 3, 2019	1	RA000001
8	Court Minutes re: motion for attorney fees and costs, dated April 23, 2020	17	RA004033- RA004034
3	Exhibits 14-34 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	4, 5, 6, 7, 8	RA000847- RA001732
4	Exhibits 35-66 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	8, 9, 10, 11, 12	RA001733- RA002724
5	Exhibits 67-82 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019	12, 13, 14, 15, 16	RA002725- RA003697
6	Exhibits 83-94 to Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of	16, 17	RA003698- RA004027

	Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs to Either Party, filed October 15, 2019		
2	Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt's Brief in Support of Proposed Form of Judgment That Finds No Prevailing Party in the Litigation and No Award of Attorneys' Fees or Costs, filed October 15, 2019	1, 2, 3, 4	RA000002- RA000846

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC, and that on this 1st day of October, 2020, I caused the above and foregoing document entitled APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF ON BEHALF OF GILBERT P. HYATT - VOLUME 2 OF 17 to be served by the method(s) indicated below:

> via U.S. mail, postage prepaid; _____

_____X____ via Federal Express;

_____ via hand-delivery;

via Facsimile;

upon the following person(s):

James A. Bradshaw, Esq. MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501

Attorneys for Appellant Franchise Tax Board of the State of California

California Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq.

LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, NV 89519

Attorneys for Appellant Franchise Tax Board of the State of California

> /s/ Kaylee Conradi An employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC

Patricia K. Lundvall, Esq. MCDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Appellant Franchise Tax Board of the State of privacy right even for those less sensitive about secrecy.¹⁶

1 2 2. Hyatt has pled invasion of his informational privacy. As the cases cited above demonstrate, courts recognize an individual's right to privacy 3 in personal information gathered by government agencies and then placed in government 4 records. The right of informational privacy is a significant part of Hyatt's invasion of privacy 5 6 claim. Because Nevada is a notice pleading state (see Nev. R. Civ. P. 8(a)), Hyatt has alleged 7 more than sufficient facts to recover from the FTB for its invasion of his informational privacy 8 as well as a myriad of other privacy claims supported by both the United States and Nevada 9 10 Constitutions. (E.g., FAC, ¶ 8, 34, 35, 61, 62.) 11 3. Hyatt has also pled the traditional forms of invasion of privacy. Moreover, Hyatt has pled viable causes of action in regard to the three more traditional 12 13 forms of invasion of privacy claims: (1) unreasonable intrusion upon the seclusion of another, 14 (2) unreasonable publicity given to private facts, and (3) casting in a false light. 15 a. The FTB unreasonably intruded upon Hyatt's seclusion. 16 For Hyatt to recover for intrusion upon his seclusion, he must "prove the following elements: (1) an intentional intrusion (physical or otherwise); (2) on the solitude or seclusion of 17 another; and (3) that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person." PETA, 111 Nev. 615, 18 630, 895 P.2d 1269 (1995). In addition, Hyatt must show that he had "an actual expectation of 19 20 seclusion or solitude and that expectation was objectively reasonable." Id. at 631. Hyatt has alleged a litany of facts which if proven would establish each of these 21 22 ¹⁶One of the first home address cases, Wine Hobby USA, Inc. v. IRS, 502 F.2d 133, 137 n. 23 15 (3d Cir. 1974), forbade disclosure of individual home-wine-maker names and home addresses since "there are few things which pertain to an individual in which his privacy has traditionally 24 been more respected than his own home. Mr. Chief Justice Burger recently stated: 'The ancient concept that "a man's home is his castle" into which "not even the king may enter" has lost none 25 of its vitality." It also held that "That society recognizes an interest in keeping his address private 26 is indicated in such practices as non-listing of telephone numbers and the renting of post office boxes." One of the most recent cases, Scottsdale Unified School Dist. No 48 of Maricopa County 27 v. KPNX Broadcasting Co., 191 Ariz. 297, 955 P.2d 534, 536 (1998), held that school districts need not disclose the home addresses or birth dates of teachers to reporters since "birth dates, like 28 social security numbers are private information."

HUTCHISON STEFFEN AKES BUSINESS PARK W. SAHARA AVENUE VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 AX (702) 385-2086

elements and support recovery. (E.g. FAC, ¶¶ 12-15, 20, 34-37.) Hyatt's need and desire for
 privacy and seclusion was pled in significant detail. That the FTB's conduct in intruding on
 Hyatt's seclusion was highly offensive is set forth in the above cited cases protecting
 information privacy.

5

b. The FTB gave unreasonable publicity to private facts about Hyatt.

A Nevada resident has a claim for unreasonable publicity given to private facts when 6 there is a public disclosure of private facts that would be offensive and objectionable to a 7 reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities. Kuhn v. Account Control Technology, Inc., 865 F. 8 Supp. 1443, 1448 (D. Nev. 1994) (quoting Montesano v. Donrey Media Group, 99 Nev. 644, 9 668 P.2d 1081, 1084 (1983), cert. denied, 466 U.S. 959 (1984)). The FTB's disclosure to 10 dozens of third parties of sensitive documentation concerning Hyatt's private information falls 11 well within the ambit of the tort of unreasonable publicity. Contrary to the FTB's assertion 12 that its disclosures of Hyatt's personal information was not "publicity," the FTB's disclosure 13 14 was wide spread. The FTB communicated with businesses, governmental officials and agencies, and individuals, including disclosures of his social security number to three 15 newspapers, two reporters and a key industry trade association -- the Licensing Executive 16 Society -- with thousands of members who were highly interested in Hyatt's licensing program. 17

Twenty two years ago when the Restatement of Torts (Second) was published, 18 Comment A to section 652(d) suggested that the courts might well relax the requirement of 19 20 wide spread publicity, at least in those cases where there were statutes regulating disclosure of certain types of information. In this case, the Federal Privacy Act, the California Information 21 Practices Act, the California Revenue and Taxation Code, and the California Constitution all 22 23 forbid disclosures of the type made by the FTB as violations of informational privacy.¹⁷ The California Supreme Court has made it clear that due to these statutes and the Constitution that 24 25 all individuals, including out of state residents, can have a reasonable expectation of privacy in personal information about them which is maintained by government agencies, banks, hotels, 26

27

28

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 631 W. SAMARA AVENUE AS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086 ¹⁷See accompanying Request for Judicial Notice, at 6.

1 and telephone companies.¹⁸

The Nevada Supreme Court has indicated that information relating to a person's
financial condition is private, and that even in litigation, the discovery of such information
should be scrupulously limited. *Hetter v. Eighth Judicial District*, 110 Nev. 513, 520-21, 874
P.2d 762 (1994) ("[S]acrifice of [privacy] should be kept to the minimum, and this requires
scrupulous limitation of discovery . . . [P]ublic policy suggests that [discovery regarding] tax
returns or financial status not be had for the mere asking.").

In addition, under strict conditions of confidentiality guaranteed by the FTB, Hyatt
revealed to the FTB, among other things, his secret address in Nevada. Thereafter, the FTB
flaunted its obligation of confidentiality and in many instances even made Hyatt's address
known to various businesses in its deceitful, unauthorized Demands to Furnish Information.
As a result, Hyatt's home-office address may now be part of the public domain, a fact that is of
the utmost concern and disgust to Hyatt for reasons that any reasonable person in his situation
would consider to be of compelling importance. (FAC, ¶ 62.)

Contrary to the FTB's assertion, there was wide spread dissemination of Hyatt's 15 personal and confidential information. At least 90 pieces of correspondence were disseminated 16 by the FTB to individuals, businesses, trade groups, licensees, etc., whose collective 17 membership totaled in the thousands. In particular, the fact that he was under "investigation" 18 by a taxing authority was published virtually throughout the industry as the FTB "demanded" 19 information from a major industry trade association -- the Licensing Executives Society -- with 20 thousands of members as well as Hyatt licensees in Japan. Also, the FTB sent Demand letters 21 22 to three separate newspapers with millions of readers.

Hyatt has alleged that he turned over to the FTB highly personal and confidential
information with the understanding that it would remain confidential. Hyatt has alleged that he
had every right to expect that the FTB would hold this information in confidence. However,
the FTB violated Hyatt's privacy by revealing this information to third parties. (FAC, ¶ 34-

27

28

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 3831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2086 ¹⁸See accompanying Request for Judicial Notice, at 3.

1 35.)

c.

2

The FTB cast Hyatt in a false light.

In a false light claim, the focus of the plaintiff's injury is on mental distress from 3 having been disparaged by revealing false or misleading information to the public as opposed 4 5 to damage to his reputation. See PETA, 111 Nev. at 622, n. 4. According to the Restatement (Second) of Torts, ¹⁹ false light consists of: (1) giving publicity to a matter concerning another; 6 7 (2) that places the person in a false light; (3) that would be highly offensive to a reasonable person; and (4) that the actor had knowledge of or acted in reckless disregard as to the falsity of 8 9 the publicized matter and the false light in which the other would be placed. See Restatement (Second) of Torts § 652E (1977). Courts have held, however, that to recover for false light, the 10 11 subject of the publication need not necessarily be false.²⁰ 12 Hyatt has alleged that during the FTB's contacts with Hyatt's neighbors, trade 13 association, licensees, employees of patronized businesses, and governmental officials in Nevada, the FTB disclosed that Hyatt was under investigation in California, and engaged in 14 other conduct which would cause these persons to have doubts as to Hyatt's moral character 15 and his integrity. (FAC, ¶ 47.) In short, the FTB's actions in conducting interviews and 16 17 interrogations of Hyatt's neighbors, business associates, and other Nevada residents, and its conduct in issuing deceitful, unauthorized "Demands to Furnish Information" gave the false, 18 yet distinct appearance that Hyatt was a fugitive from California being investigated for illegal 19 20 and immoral activities. 21 In sum, invasion of privacy takes many forms. Here, Hyatt has sufficiently pled the

22 newer form emanating from "informational" privacy as well as the traditional forms.

¹⁹ In dealing with claims of invasion of privacy, the Supreme Court of Nevada has relied
 on the *Restatement* numerous times "for guidance in this area" *PETA v. Bobby Berosini, Ltd.*,

111 Nev. 615, 630, 895 P.2d 1269 (1995).

²⁰See, e.g., *Douglass v. Hustler Magazine*, 769 F.2d 1128 (7th Cir. 1985), *cert. denied*, 475 U.S. 1094 (1986) (reasoning that use of a photograph out of context was grounds for recovery on false light theory even though photograph was not "false.").

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2086

23

24

27

28

G. CONTRARY TO THE FTB, CALIFORNIA LAW DOES NOT AUTHORIZE THE FTB TO DISCLOSE TAX INFORMATION PRECISELY THE OPPOSITE IS TRUE AS CALIFORNIA LAW MAKES IT A CRIME.

The FTB cites California Revenue & Taxation Code Section 19545 as support for its premise that it was privileged to disclose Hyatt's secret information. Such statute has no application of the facts alleged by Hyatt. On its face, the statutory provision states that "[a] return or return information may be disclosed in a judicial or administrative proceeding pertaining to tax administration" (emphasis supplied). That is not what the FTB did. Rather, the FTB's publication of Hyatt's secret information to third parties was done wherever and whenever the FTB deemed appropriate during its investigation. There is no, nor has there ever been any kind of Judicial or administrative proceeding in California by the FTB regarding Hyatt. Rather, there is only a six year investigation which the FTB still deems incomplete.

The FTB knows that disclosure of taxpayer information without permission is, not only not privileged, but is in fact a crime in California. Cal. Rev. & Tax Code § 19542. The FTB argued this point in a prior discovery motion.²¹

Nevertheless, the FTB cites McLain v. Boise Cascade Corp., 533 P.2d 343 (Ore. 1975), 16 for the proposition that it was somehow justified in disclosing Hyatt's private information to 17 third parties, stating that the case "illustrates the privilege allowed state agencies to investigate 18 matters within their agencies' concern." (Motion at 16.) The McLain case, however, stands for nothing of the sort. In McLain, a workers compensation case, the employer had a "day in the life" videotape prepared through surveillance of an employee. The Court dismissed an invasion of privacy claim brought by the employee; reasoning that the activities that had been filmed "could have been observed by his neighbors or passersby on the road running in front of his property." Id. at 346. The FTB's disclosure of private facts about Hyatt to third persons, and its implicit suggestion that Hyatt was a tax evader or a law breaking citizen who was refusing to pay his taxes is quite different from the facts described in McLain.

26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HUTCHISON STEFFEN BUSINESS PARK W. SAMARA AVENUE EGAS, NV 89117 (702) 383-2500 X (702) 385-2086

²¹See FTB's Opposition to Motion to Compel, at 5-9, filed on February 11, 1999.

The FTB also misrepresents to this Court that "[t]he pleadings show that the FTB

auditor was only verifying the truthfulness of the Hyatt's claim of Nevada residency and any
 disclosures made were authorized under California law." (Motion at 16.) The "pleadings"
 disclose no such thing. Hyatt has alleged repeatedly in the pleadings that the FTB's intrusive,
 tortious investigative efforts in Nevada were designed to intimidate Hyatt and extort money
 from him. (FAC, ¶ 17, 21, 23, 25, 56(c), (g), (j).) Moreover, the FTB disclosures were in
 violation of California law.

 (\cdot)

7 The FTB knew that Hyatt and his representatives were extremely concerned about maintaining the confidentiality of such things as his secret home address and social security 8 9 number. Hyatt's insistence upon confidentiality was so non-negotiable that the FTB was 10 forced to promise strict confidentiality as a quid pro quo for obtaining the information and documents its auditors claimed it needed to complete the audit. (FAC, ¶ 62.) Moreover, the 11 12 FTB was fully aware that Hyatt placed title to his home in a trust bearing the name of his 13 trusted Nevada CPA in order to maintain the security and anonymity of his secret home-office 14 address. The FTB nonetheless made the wholesale disclosures alleged by Hyatt.

15

16

H. HYATT PROPERLY PLED OUTRAGE.

The FTB makes a short effort to strike Hyatt's claim for the tort of outrage. Hyatt's
outrage, the FTB intones, stems from his discomfort at that agency's efficiency in imposing
additional taxes and penalties on his purse. (Motion at 26.)

In sum, the FTB is not excused or privileged in regard to its damaging disclosures.

Hyatt's Complaint, however, never declares that the tort of outrage resides in the mere 20 presentation of a bill for more taxes. Instead, it speaks of holding the FTB accountable for that 21 agency's extreme and outrageous conduct in preparing and justifying that exaction from a 22 Nevada citizen. The relaxed standards of notice pleading are used to determine whether that 23 24 conduct provides an actionable tort of outrage. See Branda v. Sanford, 97 Nev. 643, 648, 637 P.2d 1223, 1228 (1981) citing Nev. R. Civ. P. 8. The tort itself has three elements: 1) extreme 25 or outrageous conduct showing an intention to inflict, or a reckless disregard for, the ensuing 26 emotional distress; 2) a plaintiff that suffered severe or extreme emotional distress; and 3) 27 28 actual or proximate causation. See Shoen v. Amerco, Inc., 111 Nev. 735, 747, 896 P.2d 469,

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE (792) 385-2206 FAX (702) 385-2086

-36-

477 (1995). Hyatt's Complaint must simply give adequate notice of these elements and the
 relief he seeks; his pleadings should be liberally construed to do substantial justice. *Branda*,
 supra.

Hyatt's Complaint meets these standards. The FTB's extreme or outrageous conduct 4 began with a "clandestine and reprehensible investigation" of Hyatt's Nevada residency. 5 (FAC, ¶ 51.) The FTB interrogated his neighbors and the businesses he patronized. (FAC, 6 7 ¶ 12.) Nevada citizens got authoritative Demands for Information. (FAC, ¶ 13.) Their elected leaders and government officials received gently deferential requests. (FAC, ¶ 14.) The FTB 8 9 proposed an unsavory quid pro quo: you pay your taxes or we will not hold your personal 10 financial information with all the confidentiality that California law demands. (FAC, ¶ 20.) The FTB's actions served not the goals of an honest investigation into Hyatt's residency, but 11 more base objectives of harassment, embarrassment, coercion, and intimidation. (FAC, ¶ 51.) 12 13 That conduct caused the effect the FTB sought: Hyatt's extreme emotional distress as manifested by his "fear, grief, humiliation, embarrassment, anger and a strong sense of 14 15 outrage." (FAC, ¶ 51.)

Past Nevada Supreme Court precedent also shows the adequacy of Hyatt's Complaint 16 17 under the Nev. R. Civ. P 12(c) standard that his pleadings need only set out allegations permitting recovery if proved true. See Bernard v. Rockhill Development Co., 103 Nev. 132, 18 136, 734 P.2d 1238, 1241 (1987). Patrons who berate a restaurant busgirl with crude sexual 19 20 propositions, engendering predictable emotional distress, commit an actionable tort of outrage. See Branda v. Sanford, 97 Nev. 643, 637 P.2d 1223 (1981). Companies that breach 21 22 employment contracts to harass an employee and engender financial hardships are similarly liable. See Shoen v. Amerco, Inc., 111 Nev. 735, 747, 896 P.2d 469, 477 (1995). City officials 23 24 that charge a police officer with perjury in a press release, exposing the officer to ridicule and 25 embarrassment, face potential liabilities for the officer's resulting emotional distress. See Posadas v. City of Reno, 109 Nev. 448, 456, 851 P.2d 438, 444 (1993). 26

The FTB's actions are simply another example in this category of extreme and outrageous conduct. The FTB's conduct is all the more outrageous given Hyatt's life

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2086

27

28

-37-

threatening battle with cancer during the period of time on which the FTB is focusing its
 investigation. In any case, whether Hyatt's Complaint is measured by judicial precedent or a
 recounting of the allegations his Complaint provides, the end result is the same: the FTB's
 motion for judgment on the pleadings must be denied.

5

I.

HYATT PROPERLY PLED ABUSE OF PROCESS.

6 7

1. Abuse of process can occur in an administrative process.

The FTB's contention that Hyatt does not state a viable claim for abuse of process
because no judicial process is involved is simply wrong. Since 1932, the courts (including the
9 9th Circuit) have clearly recognized the tort of abuse of process when it involves
administrative abuse, as opposed to judicial abuse. See *e.g. Hillside v. Stravato*, 642 A.2d 664,

666 (R.I. 1994) ("Numerous jurisdictions have recognized that misuse of certain administrative
 proceedings may give rise to claims for malicious prosecution and abuse of process.")²²

13

14

2. A government entity in particular may be held liable for administrative abuse of process.

The FTB then arrogantly contends that it alone may determine whether it abused its powers because: "[w]hether or not the process of a non-judicial agency was used for an improper purpose is for the agency to decide." (Motion, at 28-29.) This second notion put forth by the FTB is also wrong. Significantly, the cases cited by the FTB involve no

20

19

²²See also Melvin v. Pence, 130 F.2d 423, 426-27 (D.C. Cir. 1942) ("The administrative 21 process is also a legal process, and its abuse in the same way with the same injury should receive the same penalty When private as well as public rights more and more are coming to be 22 determined by administrative proceedings, it would be anomalous to have one rule for them and 23 another for the courts in respect to redress for abuse of their powers and processes."); United States v. Carrozzella, 105 F.3d 796, 799 (2d Cir. 1997) (holding "abuse of judicial process seems to us 24 a term that . . . includes any serious misuse of judicial or administrative process proceedings intended to inflict unnecessary costs or delay on an adversary or to confer undeserved advantages 25 on the actor."); Clipper Exxpress v. Rocky Mountain Motor, 690 F.2d 1240, 1257 (9th Cir. 1982), 26 cert. denied, 459 U.S. 1227 (1983) (finding harassment through administrative proceedings has same effect as harassment through the court system.); and SEC v. ESM Government Securities, Inc., 27 645 F.2d 310 (5th Cir. 1981) ("The Supreme Court directives . . . leave no doubt that this power (the equitable power of the courts of the United States . . . over their own process, to prevent abuse) 28 may be properly invoked in cases involving the enforcement of administrative subpoenas.")

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 80117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-25068

1	government entities, rather a panoply of private litigants. ²³ None of the private parties in the			
2	cases cited by the FTB had the FTB's "subpoena" powers used so liberally as in this case, as a			
3	voice of authority demanding information from individual and less powerful third parties. The			
4	abuse of process standards are different for a government agency.			
5	Agencies commit an abuse of process when their demands for information are			
6	motivated by an improper purpose, such as to harass the taxpayer or to put pressure on him to			
7	settle a collateral dispute, or for any other purpose reflecting on the good faith of the particular			
8	investigation. United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48, 58, 85 S. Ct. 248, 255, 13 L. Ed. 2d 112			
9	(1964). An agency that obtains information by misleading a taxpayer's accountant acts beyond			
10	the pale of good faith. United States v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299 (5th Cir. 1977). An agency			
11	that acquires information in an investigation by fraud, deceit, or trickery commits an abuse of			
12	process. SEC v. ESM Government Securities, Inc., 645 F.2d 310, 317 (5th Cir. 1981). The			
13	standards for abuse of process must remain flexible to safeguard citizen liberties:			
14				
15 16	subjected to the same rules of conduct that are commands to the citizen. In a government of laws, existence of the government will be imperiled if it fails to observe the law scrupulously. Our Government is the potent, the omnipresent teacher. For good or for ill, it teaches the whole people by its example.			
17	Id. at 316-17 quoting Olmstead v. United States, 277 U.S. 438, 483-85, 48 S. Ct. 564, 574, 72			
18	L. Ed. 944 (1928).			
19	The FTB's Demands for Information were issued for improper purposes devoid of good			
20	faith. They provided Hyatt's social security number and his secret address to third parties,			
21	violating the FTB's express promises of confidentiality. (FAC, ¶ 56(a).) FTB representatives			
22	made sotto voce offers to protect Hyatt's confidentiality for cash. (FAC, ¶ 56(g).) Its actions			
23				
24	²³ Sea-Pac Co., Inc. v. United Food and Commer. Worker's Loc. Union, 699 P.2d 217			
25	(Wash. 1985) (involves a union and the president of a fish processing company angered by labor			
26	agitations); Dutt v. Kremp, 111 Nev. 567, 894 P.2d 354 (1995) (doctors versus a lawyer); Nevada Credit Rating Bureau v. Williams, 88 Nev. 601, 503 P.2d 9 (1972) (creditor versus debtor); Foothill Indus. Bank v. Mikkelson, 623 P.2d 748 (Wyo. 1981) (borrower verses lender); Laxalt v. McClatchy, 622 F. Supp. 737 (D.Nev. 1985) (a U.S. Senator alleging slander against a newspaper);			
27				
28	and Nienstedt v. Wetzel, 651 P.2d 876 (Ariz. 1982) (two neighbors squabbling over the costs of a			
SON EN PARK AVENUE	retaining wall). -39-			

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

)

)

violated the due process guarantees of Article 1, Section 8 of the Nevada Constitution. (FAC,
 ¶ 56(d).) Each of these allegations, if proved, would permit recovery against the FTB for abuse
 of process.²⁴ The FTB's Motion must therefore be denied.

4

J. HYATT PROPERLY PLED FRAUD.

5 The FTB's argument regarding Hyatt's fraud claims are fatally abstract and not tangibly concrete. Of course, the FTB trots out the black-letter law that fraud is a tort of five pieces: 1) 6 7 falsity (a false representation by the FTB); 2) scienter (the FTB knew or believed its 8 representation was false); 3) inducement (the FTB intended Hyatt to act upon the 9 representation); 4) justifiable reliance (Hyatt acted and justifiably relied on the FTB's representation; and 5) damages (Hyatt was damaged by his reliance). See Albert H. Wohlers 10 and Co. v. Bartgis 969 P.2d 949, 956 (Nev. 1998). Moreover, Nev. R. Civ. P. 9 (b), states that 11 "[m]alice, intent, knowledge, and other condition of mind [motive is also a condition of the 12 13 mind] of a person may be averred generally."

The FTB's notion that fraud requires allegations of fact essentially transforms this tort
into a balancing scale heavily weighted in that agency's favor. A viable fraud claim, the FTB
avows, requires Hyatt to tip those scales with the hard metal of particular factual allegations.
His failure to do so allows the FTB's motion for judgment on the pleadings to reach and decide
the merits of Hyatt's claims of fraud. The reality, of course, is quite different: A failure by
Hyatt to meet Nev. R. Civ. P. 9(b) exposes his complaint to a motion for a more definite

20

21

²⁴For purposes of Hyatt's abuse of process claim, the FTB is estopped from asserting as a defense, that no administrative process in California exists upon which the abuse of process claim 22 may be based. Each "Demand" cites to California law for its authority, and invariably included 23 Hyatt's social security number, and in many instances his actual, personal home address, making this highly sensitive and confidential information a part of readily accessible databases. The FTB 24 knew that this abusive process was in direct violation of its commitments of confidentiality to Hyatt. To now allow the FTB to avoid the consequences of its abuse of process would be the 25 height of injustice. See McKeeman v. General American Life Ins., 111 Nev. 1042, 1050, 899 P.2d 26 1124 (1995) ("[T]he party to be estopped must have been aware of the facts; it must have intended that its act or omission be acted upon, or act in such a manner that the party asserting estoppel had 27 a right to believe that it so intended; the party asserting estoppel must have been unaware of the true facts; and it must have relied upon the other party's conduct to its detriment.") (quoting 28 Lusardi Const. Co. v. Aubry, 824 P.2d 643, 654 (Cal. 1992).

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKEJ BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2506

statement "or at the very worst dismissal with leave to amend." See Britz v. Consolidated
 Casinos Corp., 87 Nev. 441, 447, 488 P.2d 911, 916 (1971). But we need not debate the
 accuracy of the FTB's portrayal of the Nev. R. Civ. P. 9(b) standard; Hyatt's complaint
 contains more than enough specific factual allegations to fulfill even the FTB's concocted
 criterion. And unlike the FTB, Hyatt has no qualms about comparing his Complaint to the five
 required elements of a fraud claim:

Falsity-The FTB "absolutely promised to maintain in the strictest of confidence" the
information it sought from Hyatt. (FAC, ¶ 60, ¶ 61.) Hyatt expressed his concerns repeatedly
both orally and in writing. (FAC, ¶¶ 62(a) & 62(b)(iii).) The FTB's own records verify these
concerns and its assurances of confidentiality. (FAC, ¶¶ 62(b)(i)-(v).)

Scienter-Hyatt has pleaded scienter in two ways. First, even as the FTB made
assurances of confidentiality it violated those assurances by releasing confidential data. (FAC,
¶ 62 & 62(c).) Second, the FTB assurances were part of a pattern of extortionate conduct to
persuade Hyatt of a truly enormous tax liability. (FAC, ¶ 63(a)-(e).)

Inducement-The complaint alleges how the FTB sought to induce Hyatt's reliance on
its representations. The FTB's actions were part of a pattern of extortionate conduct (FAC, ¶
63) by which the agency sought to relieve itself of the uncertainties of a judicial process to
compel the production of Hyatt's confidential information. (FAC, ¶ 64.)

Justifiable Reliance-The complaint alleges the trust and confidence Hyatt afforded the
FTB based on this past dealings with that agency. (FAC, ¶ 60.) Moreover, he had no reason to
suspect that the FTB, as an organ of California government, would act in a less than truthful
manner. (FAC, ¶ 65.)

Damages-The FTB contends that fraud requires pecuniary losses. (Motion at 30.)
Hyatt's fraud claims, it argues, embrace only matters of "emotional distress or hurt feelings."
The FTB is doubly wrong. First, Hyatt's Complaint avers pecuniary losses of "an extent and
nature to be revealed only to the Court *in camera*." (FAC, ¶ 66.) Second, the FTB misstates
the law; fraud actions provide a redress for emotional distress. The Nevada Supreme Court
upheld a compensatory damages award for emotional distress "as a result of [a defendant's]

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2308

-41-

fraudulent misrepresentations, concealment, and bad faith course of conduct." See Wohlers,
 969 P.2d at 958.

In sum, Hyatt's allegations are legally sufficient to provide fair notice to the FTB as to
the nature and basis of the fraud. See Crucil v. Carson City, 95 Nev. 583, 585, 600 P.2d 216
(1979) ("the pleading of conclusions, either of law or fact, is sufficient so long as the pleading
gives fair notice of the nature and basis of the claim"). See also Hay v. Hay, 100 Nev. 196,
198, 678 P.2d 672 (1984) ("Because Nevada is a notice-pleading jurisdiction, our courts
liberally construe pleadings to place into issue matters which are fairly noticed to the adverse
party . . . ").

10

K. HYATT PROPERLY PLED NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION.

11 We finally reach the FTB's last flawed argument that Hyatt improperly pleaded a cause 12 of action for negligent misrepresentation. The FTB styles his allegations as "incomprehensible." (Motion at 30.) We are puzzled too. How could an agency of the FTB's 13 resources and sophistication be baffled by this simple claim: You asked me to give you my 14 15 sensitive and highly confidential information. You promised to hold this information in the strictest confidence. Rather than contesting your request, I trusted you and voluntarily 16 17 disclosed the information you sought. After obtaining the information, you broke your promise. And you knew when you made the promise that you could not or would not keep it. 18 Reduced to their essence, Hyatt's allegations say exactly this. (FAC, ¶¶ 69 & 70.) 19

The FTB, however, hears something else. Hyatt's claims illicitly superimpose a "business relationship" of "trust" on the FTB's statutory and regulatory duties under California law. (Motion at 30.) Those laws allow it to use taxpayer information. *Id.* The unstated thrust of the FTB's argument is that its veracity in obtaining information does not matter. Taxes are too important to let things like fair play impede progress. To the FTB's exclamation that Hyatt "would have it that the FTB be his trusted agent!" should be added another: The FTB has a job to do! (Motion at 30.)

27 Contrary to the FTB's assertions, courts hold government agencies accountable for their
28 negligent misrepresentations of fact. The Minnesota Supreme Court explained the public

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

-42-

policy of doing so:

1

2

3

4

5

We will continue to allow a cause of action against government officers and employees for negligent misrepresentation of fact because other public policy considerations are more compelling in that context. Members of the public have no other access to factual information maintained by the government except through government officers and employees. Therefore, the policy of promoting accuracy through the prospect of tort liability outweighs the possibility of inhibiting performance of duties of office or employment.

Northernaire Productions, Inc. v. Crow Wing County, 244 N.W. 2d 279, 282 (Minn. 1976).
Those public policies received further development in *M.H. v. Caritas Family Services*, 475
N.W. 2d 94 (Minn. App. 1991). Holding the agency accountable for negligent
misrepresentation promoted the accuracy of its communications and posed no dangers to its
performance. *Id.*

11 The FTB's citations to cases applying negligent misrepresentation in commercial transactions between private parties of equal power does not allow it to escape a fundamental 12 common law rule: "even if one has no duty to disclose a particular fact, if one chooses to 13 speak he must say enough to prevent the words from misleading the other party." M.H v. 14 Caritas Family Services, 488 N.W.2d 282, 288 (Minn.1992). That rule has a corollary: "a 15 duty to disclose facts may exist 'when disclosure would be necessary to clarify information 16 already disclosed, which would otherwise be misleading,' particularly when a confidential or 17 18 fiduciary relationship exists between the parties." Id. (omitting cited cases). Fidelity to either rule imposes no hardships on the FTB; it merely requires the agency "to use due care to 19 20 ensure" that its factual statements disclose "information fully and adequately." Id.

Hyatt's complaint fully pleads these precepts. The FTB made affirmative statements of 21 fact about its confidentiality practices. (FAC, ¶ 69.) Its representations occurred in the context 22 23 of a confidential, business-like relationship involving tens of millions of dollars. (FAC, ¶ 71.) The FTB's conduct departed from its factual representations. (FAC, ¶ 70.) And the FTB owed 24 25 a duty to Hyatt to inform him that it "may not have been able to maintain, or otherwise would not maintain, the strict confidentiality" it promised. (FAC, ¶ 69.) The FTB is any taxpayer's 26 27 only channel of information about its practices. Once it speaks, the FTB, or any party in a 28 confidential relationship, should not be misleading. Adherence to that duty, and the imposition

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

-43-

of liability for negligent misrepresentation when it is breached, promotes the FTB's accuracy without lessening its efficiency. However the principles are arranged or voiced, they all say the same thing: Truth should matter.

V. CONCLUSION.

1

2

3

4

Hyatt brought this suit to resolve the dispute about his eight year Nevada residency and
to be compensated for damages resulting from the FTB's tortious conduct over the past six
years. Because of the exceptional circumstances of this case, Hyatt pled more facts than
necessary at the pleading stage. It is remarkable that the FTB, after denying 90% of the facts
that Hyatt alleges, now contends that the extensive number of facts are insufficient.

10 The FTB's false mantra that this is a tax case is now giving way to the real issues of 11 declaratory relief and torts. Nevertheless, old habits die hard and the FTB continues to distort 12 the facts and the law only to create a motion that is fatally defective in view of the clear 13 statutory requirements and the case law. Because the law is so clear, the main effect of this 14 Motion will be to waste this Court's precious time and resources and to cause Hyatt significant 15 expense and effort.

16 Hyatt has been a Nevada resident since September 1991 and continues to be a Nevada 17 resident into the next Millennium. Hyatt's life in Nevada was both private and prosperous until the FTB destroyed his licensing business and distracted him from his research and 18 development and patent work by investigating him, harassing him, and then trying to extort 19 him with a \$21.8 million demand. Now, eight years after he left California, unable to find 20 21 Hyatt in California, the FTB continues to investigate Hyatt in Nevada and to threaten him in 22 Nevada with impunity. This Court is Hyatt's only remedy against the FTB's invasive and 23 never ending vendetta, carried out only because Hyatt chose to leave California and then 24 succeeded in Nevada. This matter can only be resolved by an award of compensatory damages 25 to Hyatt for the FTB's tortious acts and a declaratory judgment as to Hyatt's residency for the

26 27

28

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2508

entire period in dispute up to the present time, not just the few months from almost a decade 1 2 ago upon which the FTB has focused its investigation. 3 The motion should be denied. 4 DATED this 5 day of March, 1999. 6 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN** 7 8 By: Thoma Steff 9 Mark A. Hutchison Lakes Business Park 10 8831 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 11 Thomas K. Bourke 12 One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1092 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 HUTCHISON STEFFEN BUSINESS PARK -45-W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 RA000260 FAX (702) 385-2086

Hyatt's Request for Judicial Notice – In Opposition to the FTB's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings



1	Nevada law authorizes this Court to take judicial notice of both facts ¹ and law. ² Case
2	
3	
4	
5	this Court with the necessary information.
6	The Nevada Supreme Court has declared that formal requests for judicial notice are "the
7	
8	opinions of the executive branch such as opinions of the Attorney General. ⁶
9	Here Hyatt requests judicial notice of the following six matters of law and fact:
10	
11	¹ Nev. Rev. Stat. § 47.130 makes facts in issue subject to judicial notice if they are "(a)
12	Generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or "(b) Canable of accurate and
13	ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned, so that the fact is not subject to reasonable dispute."
14	² Nev. Rev. Stat. § 47.140 makes certain laws subject to judicial notice, including:
15	"1. The Constitution and statutes of the United States,2. The constitution of this state and Nevada Revised Statutes, and
16	8. The constitution, statutes or other written law of any other state as contained in a book or
17	pamphlet published by its authority or proved to be commonly recognized in its courts."
18	³ Andolino v. State, 99 Nev. 346, 662 P.2d 631, 633 (1983) (collecting cases); Kraemer v. Kraemer, 79 Nev. 287, 290, 382 P.2d 394, 395 (1963) (taking judicial notice of California law as
19	expressed in reported court opinions of that state); Choate v. Ransom, 74 Nev, 100, 107, 323 P 2d
20	700, 703-704 (1958) ("[T]he statutes and reported court opinions of our sister states are a proper subject for judicial notice.").
21	⁴ Nev. Rev. Stat. § 47.150 distinguishes between permissive and mandatory judicial notice:
22	"1. A judge or court <i>may</i> take judicial notice, whether requested or not. "2. A judge or court <i>shall</i> take judicial notice if requested by a party and supplied with the
23	necessary information." (emphasis added.); Andolino v. State, supra, 99 Nev. at 351, 662 P 2d at
24	633 (1983) (reversing judgment where court failed to take mandatory judicial notice).
25	⁵ Choate v. Ransom, 74 Nev. 100, 107, 323 P.2d 700, 703-704 (1958) (finding it was proper to take judicial notice of Idaho law).
26	⁶ Peardon v. Peardon, 65 Nev. 717, 737, 201 P. 2d 309, 319 (1948) ("We believe we have
27	the right to take judicial notice of the official acts of the head of an executive department or agency of the government, of general public interest. [Citation.] The foregoing conclusion as to
28	disqualification is in accord with the opinion of Attorney General Biddle rendered April 23, 1942.
N K	"))
UE	-2-
	RA000263

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Lakes Business Park 8831 W. Sahara Avenu Las Vecas. NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

ł

) 1 2	1.	The Constitutions of the United States, Nevada, California, and many other states prohibit unreasonable searches and seizures of an individual's "papers."
3	In sur	oport of this request, Hyatt refers to the Constitutions of the many states
4	11	evada and California) that forbid unreasonable searches and seizures, and enshrine
5		undamental right. The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution
6	8	nst unreasonable searches and seizures. The State Constitutions of Alaska,
		fornia, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Louisiana, Montana, Nevada, New York,
8		, South Carolina, and Washington enshrine privacy as a Constitutional right.
9	Hyatt attache	s hereto as Exhibits A, B, and C the Constitutional provisions of Nevada, the
10	United States	, and California forbidding unreasonable searches and seizures.
12	2.	The Constitutions forbid intrusion into personal records in such detail as to obtain a "virtual current biography" of individuals which is exactly what
13 14		Hyatt contends the FTB did — with no warrant, no disinterested judge or magistrate —conduct a limitless "fishing expedition," involving "unbridled discretion" and the sort of "general search" that the Constitutions of
) 15		Nevada, California, and the United States forbid.
16	In sup	port of this request, Hyatt refers this Court to the following cases:
17 18	•	Burrows v. Superior Court, 13 Cal. 3d 238, 118 Cal. Rptr. 166, 529 P.2d 590, (1974) (The reason the Constitution requires legal process is distrust of "unbridled discretion" exercised by government law enforcers.) (emphasis added);
19	•	People v. Tarantino, 45 Cal. 2d 590, 594, 290 P.2d 505 (1955) ("The right of
20		privacy was deemed too precious to entrust to the discretion of those whose job is the detection of crime and the arrest of criminals.");
21 22	•	<i>People v. Chapman</i> , 36 Cal. 3d 98, 109, 111, 201 Cal. Rptr. 628, 679 P.2d 62 (1984) (a holder of an unlisted telephone number had a constitutional privacy interest in maintaining her anonymity);
22	•	People v. Blair, 25 Cal. 3d 640, 651, 159 Cal. Rptr. 818, 602 P.2d 738 (1979)
24		("As with bank statements, a person who uses a credit cart may reveal his habits, his opinions, his tastes, and political views, as well as his movements and
25		financial affairs. No less than a bank statement, the charges made on a credit card may provide a 'virtual current biography' of an individual.") (emphasis
26		added).
27		
28		
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086		-3- RA000264

1	Hyatt contends that the FTB engaged in an unreasonable search of records intended to
2	create a "virtual current biography" of Hyatt. He points out that the FTB auditor considered
3	relevant and asked from Gil Hyatt and others the papers evidencing his every:
4	 move for three years purchase
5	• ĥaircut
6	 check credit card charge
7.	
8	 car rental apartment rental
. 9	 video rental home purchase
10	 home sale dues payment
10	• gift to his adult children
	 gift to his grandchildren gift to foreign relatives
12	 gift to his alma mater contribution to politician
13	 gift to charity deposit
14	 withdrawal doctor visit
) 15	 lawyer visit accountant visit
16	 rabbi visit application for drivers' license
17	 application to vote tax return
18	cash receipt
19	 cash payment telephone call
20	A more far reaching search for three entire years could not be imagined. The FTB lead
21	auditor could not think of any area of Hyatt's life that was "out of bounds."
22	///
23	///
24	///
25	
26	
27	
28	
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN	
LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VECAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2086	-4- RA000265

- +

)

÷ 1

-

ļ,

1 2	3. The Nevada Attorney General stated in his Opinion 80 (October 18, 1963), found that "Perhaps no right of the individual in America is more fundamental than that of being secure against the invasion of privacy."
3	In support of this request Hyatt attaches Opinion 80 as Exhibit D, in which the Attorney
4	General concluded that the Nevada Constitution, Article I, Section 18 forbade any Nevada
5	government agency from inspecting private papers without a warrant: "And the prohibition
6	there imposed likewise applies to investigations, examinations, or any other procedure whereby
7	the contents of a private paper may become revealed. The content of any such papers may be
8	made available for investigative or informational purposes only by voluntary consent of the
9	owner or pursuant to proper legal process."
0 1 2	4. California affords its Constitutional privacy protections to all "people," not just all California citizens, and its statutory privacy protections also protect all individuals and persons submitting tax information, not just California residents.
3	In support of this Request, Hyatt attaches as Exhibit C the relevant portion of the
4	California Constitution, i.e.:
5	Article 1, Section 1, of the California Constitution, adopted by the people by popular
6	vote in 1972, which provides [as reworded by Constitutional amendment in 1974] that:
7 8	"All <i>people</i> are by nature free and independent, and have certain inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness, and <i>privacy</i> ."
9	(Emphasis added.) The language of the Constitution, by its terms, protects Nevada residents
0	touched by California government as well as California citizens.
1	White v. Davis, 13 Cal. 3d 757, 775, 120 Cal. Rptr. 94, 533 P.2d 222 (1975),
2	enumerated the principal evils to which California's Constitutional on privacy amendment was
3	directed: "(1) 'government snooping' and the secret gathering of personal information; (2) the
4	overbroad collection and retention of unnecessary personal information by government and
5	business interests; (3) the improper use of information properly obtained for a specific purpose,
5	for example, the use of it for another purpose or the disclosure of it to some third party; and (4)
7	the lack of a reasonable check on the accuracy of existing records." Id., 13 Cal. 3d at 775
3	(emphasis added).

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Lakes Business Park 6831 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 Fax (702) 385-2086

1 2	5. The California legislature made a finding that privacy is a personal and fundamental right protected by Section 1 of Article I of the Constitution of California and by the United States Constitution and that all individuals have a right of privacy to information pertaining to them.
3 4 5 6 7. 8 9 10 11 12 13	In support of this request Hyatt attaches as Exhibit E, Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.1. Hyatt also requests the Court to take notice that the California Legislature did not limit its protection to Californians, but rather make it available to all "individuals." The Legislature further found
14 15 16 17	 Id. (emphasis added). 6. The Nevada Attorney General, interpreting Nevada's Constitutional provision on privacy, has defined a search warrant to be "essentially an ex parte order issued in the name of the state."
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28	In support of this request, Hyatt submits as Exhibit F, Nevada Attorney General Opinion No. 79-2, 1979 Nev AG LEXIS 67, 1979 Op. Atty. Gen. Nev. 5 (Feb. 6, 1979). In it, the Attorney General opined that the Nevada Constitution requires the government, acting civilly in investigating suspected violations of civil law, to nevertheless protect the privacy of Nevada citizens by obtaining search warrants from disinterested magistrates and serving them by the sheriff:
N ARK 17 16	-6- RA000267

L

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENU LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

Ì

ţ

.

Id. In short, Nevada protects its citizens' privacy zealously, and Nevada citizens have 1 legitimate expectation that their personal privacy will not lawfully be invaded, even by its giant 2 sister State's tax auditors coming into Nevada, flashing their "badges," conducting their secret 3 surveillance, and sending out dozens of unconstitutional search warrants termed "Demands for 4 5 Information." Hyatt respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of these matters. 6 7 8 DATED this / day of March, 1999. 9 10 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN** 11 12 By: Th<u>ómas</u> *Æ*ffen 13 Mark A. Hutchison Lakes Business Park 14 8831 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 15 Thomas K. Bourke 16 One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1092 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 HUTCHISON STEFFEN ES BUSINESS PARK -7-SAHARA AVENUE S VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086 RA000268

EXHIBIT 8

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REP THOMAS R. C. WILSON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 1568 MATTHEW C. ADDISON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 4201 BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 4442 McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 873-4100 Attorneys for Defendants	
9		
10	DISTRIC	TCOURT
11	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
12	**	***
13	GILBERT P. HYATT,	Case No. : A382999 Dept. No. : XVIII
14	Plaintiff,	Docket No. : F
15	VS.	
16	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE	DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1- 100, inclusive	FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
18		FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO
19	Defendants.	DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S
20		FEBRUARY 22, 1990 RULING
21		
22	COMES NOW Defendant the Franch	use Tax Board of the State of California (the

MCDONALD CARANO WILSON MCCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

Ę

*YS AT LAW ENUE - NO 10 SUITE 1000 EVADA 89102-4354

702) 873-4100

23

24

25

26

27

28

2300 WEST SAHA LAS VEGA

COMES NOW, Defendant, the Franchise Tax Board of the State of California (the "FTB" or the "Board") and replies to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (the "Motion"). The Plaintiff's Opposition raises issues not in the pleadings, such as interference with Plaintiffs "licensing business." Pursuant to NRCP 15(b), the FTB objects to trial of issues not pled.

At the outset, it should be noted that Mr. Hyatt does not allege that he has ever actually paid California income tax. The actual income tax assessment is a small fraction

Constant States

of the current potential liability which include accruing interest and penalties that might be applied if Mr. Hyatt is not successful in his agency protest and subsequent administrative appeal or judicial review. His reference to a multi-million dollar levy is not an allegation of actual tax assessment under threat of collection. The risk of interest and penalties is assumed by a taxpayer who elects not to pay the amount noticed. This risk is avoided by simply paying the tax and applying for a refund. Mr. Hyatt elected to pay no tax, instead protesting the FTB's determination. This stays collection of the tax, but interest and penalties may continue to accrue.

The Nevada contacts alleged by Mr. Hyatt are largely matters which are easy for a wealthy taxpayer to establish, whether or not actual domicile in the state is intended. Even purchase of a middle-class neighborhood home in a rapidly growing and appreciating market may evidence mere pretext or investment rather than change in residency. Although Mr. Hyatt has a self-serving explanation for his significant California contacts which continued well after he supposedly moved to Nevada, he does not deny that such contacts existed in the tax years audited.

The Plaintiff has filed two briefs in opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. In addition to a 45 page document captioned as his opposition, Plaintiff also filed a 7 page brief captioned: "HYATT'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE - IN OPPOSITION TO THE FTB'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS" (the "supplemental brief"). This is really an expanded brief regarding invasion of privacy, presumably filed separately to draw special attention to the privacy torts. Rather than responding separately to this additional brief, the FTB will address these and other issues relating to invasion of privacy where captioned below.

The Opposition and supplemental brief argue many more facts than are actually alleged in the Complaint. Although there are references to Complaint paragraphs, in many instances these do not actually quote or even paraphrase Complaint allegations. Many facts argued have no support in the record. The FTB objects to the unsupported facts as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

hearsay and lacking in authentication or foundation. Some facts argued to the court are obviously calculated to gain the Court's sympathy or bias the Court in deciding the Motion. Matters such as Mr. Hyatt's cancer or his brother's felony background are not alleged to have been known by the FTB. The FTB requests that the Court disregard the embellished version of the "facts" and consider only the limited facts actually pled as stated in the Motion.

The tort causes of action are really secondary to the salient issue of California income tax liability which is determined by deciding the residency issue. The tort causes of action are an obvious attempt to bootstrap the California income tax issues into Nevada tort litigation. This is clear from the face of the Complaint. Determination of Mr. Hyatt's residency in 1991 and 1992 is irrelevant to every tort cause of action purportedly pled.

A. AN NRCP 12(C) MOTION IS APPROPRIATE AT ANY TIME AS LONG AS TRIAL IS NOT DELAYED.

Plaintiff's Opposition devotes considerable argument to the effect that an NRCP 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings is inappropriate or has somehow been waived by the FTB filing its Answer, attempting to remove to federal court or engaging in prior motion practice. There has been no prior motion by the FTB under NRCP 12(c). The withdrawn Motion to Quash Service of Summons related to personal jurisdiction. Withdrawal of the Motion to Quash only resolved the issue of personal jurisdiction. The instant Motion tests subject matter jurisdiction which cannot be waived (See , NRCP 12(h)(3)) and raises the issue of failure to state claims upon which relief can be granted which is appropriate either before answering or in a motion for judgment on the pleadings (See, NRCP 12(h)(2)). Plaintiff's references case law regarding waiver which preceded the amendment of NRCP 12. The amended NRCP 12 (h) makes it clear that failure to make a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted prior to answering does not result in a waiver. The court simply accepts the complaint fact allegations as true in deciding the motion. See, Nevada Civil Practice Manual, 4th Edition,

MCDONALD CARANO WILSON MCCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

AT 2300 WEST SAH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

)

Sec. 1212.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NRCP 12(c) provides that any party may move for judgment on the pleadings after the pleadings are closed, provided that trial is not delayed by the motion. The pleadings are closed. The FTB is a party. This case does not come to trial until the Court's October 4, 1999 stack. Thus, the time is ripe for an NRCP 12(c) motion. Even accepting the fact allegations of the Complaint as true, no claim against defendant upon which relief can be granted is stated, Thus, judgment on the pleadings is appropriate.

B. NEVADA'S COURTS LACK SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OVER CALIFORNIA INCOME TAX MATTERS.

Although Plaintiff's Opposition and supplemental briefs attempt to focus the Court on this matter as a tort case, Plaintiff's first and foremost cause of action is for declaratory relief as to his California income tax liability for 1991 and 1992. The First Amended Complaint (the "Complaint") purports to state facts in paragraphs 1 through 27 consisting almost entirely of references to California income tax matters. These allegations include the Plaintiff's slanted description of the FTB's audit and tax assessment. Immediately following are the Complaint allegations purporting to state the First Cause of Action. Complaint paragraph 29 purports to state the California tax law regarding determination of California domicile and residence. Paragraph 30 purports to criticize and disagree with the FTB's determination of Mr. Hyatt's tax liability for 1991 and 1992. Paragraph 31 informs us that there is a controversy as to Plaintiff's residency for 1991-1992. Paragraph 32 prays for the Nevada Court's judgment declaring that Plaintiff was a resident of Nevada from September 26, 1991 and that the FTB's audit activities in Nevada were therefore without lawful authority. This, of course, is a request for the Nevada Court to determine Mr. Hyatt's California income tax liability.

In essence, the Plaintiff contends that it is tortious to audit a California taxpayer's claim of change of residency from California to Nevada. However, as shown below, the law is clear that the state of California has the authority to perform such an audit, including

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

inquiry in and directed to Nevada. Further, Mr. Hyatt had the burden of proof in the FTB's audit as well as the obligation to cooperate with the FTB by providing information substantiating his residency. Finally, as shown below, the FTB was absolutely privileged to use information provided by Mr. Hyatt to verify his claim of residency and sources of income, including the use of his identity, address and social security number.

Plaintiff argues that the Court has no choice but to declare him as a Nevada resident from September 26, 1991 through the present time. Otherwise, it is argued that Mr. Hyatt would have no standing to bring his tort causes of action and the FTB's investigation might continue. A review of the elements of the tort causes of action fails to reveal any requirement that a plaintiff be a resident of any particular state or even of this country. A tort cause of action may be brought by any injured person. Even a tourist or alien can sue for torts committed against him in Nevada. If the tort occurs in Nevada and is committed by a Nevada resident, personal jurisdiction may lie only in Nevada. Venue may be appropriate in the county where the injury occurred or where the plaintiff or defendant reside. However, these are issues of personal jurisdiction rather than subject matter jurisdiction. Mr. Hyatt's residency is relevant only to his first cause of action for declaratory relief.

The Nevada statute cited by Hyatt, NRS 10.115, relates only to matters where a person's rights depend on the place of his legal residence. None of the tort causes of action pled by Hyatt depend on or relate to his legal residence. This case is not a divorce action, nor are there naturalization, out-of-state tuition or voting rights at issue. Mr. Hyatt's right to maintain this lawsuit does not depend on his residency, nor does the FTB's right to defend require determination of Hyatt's residency. This is simply Hyatt's attempt to obtain a Nevada Court's declaration which he will later argue in California tax proceedings is res judicata or collateral estoppel. He has already attempted to argue in the FTB's California administrative proceedings that his ex-wife's California court proceedings (contesting the Hyatt's divorce decree) occurring after the period in question for 1991 and

ì

1992 taxes should be determinative of his Nevada residency. In that California divorce action, Mr. Hyatt was apparently able to convince a California Superior Court that by late 1992 he was a Nevada resident requiring his deposition to be taken in Nevada or his expenses paid to go to California. He was actually served with process by his ex-wife in December, 1992 at his home in La Palma, California which he had supposedly already "sold" to his "associate", Grace Jeng on October 1, 1991. Of course, Mr. Hyatt's story is that he was just passing through on his way to host a contingency of Russian scientists. However, this illustrates Mr. Hyatt's true purpose in seeking a Nevada Court's determination of his California income tax liability.

1.

THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD HAS THE STATUTORY DUTY AND BROAD POWER TO AUDIT A CALIFORNIA NON-RESIDENCY CLAIM INCLUDING INTERVIEWING WITNESSES, DEMANDING DOCUMENTATION AND CONDUCTING INSPECTIONS BOTH WITHIN AND WITHOUT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Defendant Franchise Tax Board ("FTB") has the statutory duty to administer California's Personal Income Tax Law and Bank and Corporation Tax Law, which are elements of the California Revenue and Taxation Code (R&TC). (Rev. & Tax. Code § 19501.) To accomplish its duty under California law, FTB has the power to examine records, require attendance, take testimony, and issue subpoenas. These powers are set forth in R&TC § 19504, set forth in its entirety here:

(a) The Franchise Tax Board, for the purpose of administering its duties under this part, including ascertaining the correctness of any return; making a return where none has been made; determining or collecting the liability of any person in respect of any liability imposed by Part 10 (commencing with Section 17001), Part 11 (commencing with Section 23001), or this part (or the liability at law or in equity of any transferee in respect of that liability); shall have the power to require by demand, that an entity of any kind including, but not limited to, employers, persons or financial institutions provide information or make available for examination or copying at a specified time and place, or both, any book, papers, or other data which may be relevant to that purpose. Any demand to a financial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6

transformation.

7460) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code. Information which may be required upon demand includes, but is not limited to, any of the following: (1) Address and telephone numbers of persons designated by the Franchise Tax Board. (2) Information contained on Federal Form W-2 (Wage and Tax Statement), Federal Form W-4 (Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate), or State Form DE-4 (Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate). (b) The Franchise Tax Board may require the attendance of the taxpayer or of any other person having knowledge in the premises and may take testimony and require material proof for its information and administer oaths to carry out this part. (c) The Franchise Tax Board may issue subpoenas or subpoenas duces tecum, which subpoenas must be signed by any member of the Franchise Tax Board and may be served on any person for any purpose

(d) Obedience to subpoenas or subpoenas duces tecum issued in accordance with this section may be enforced by application to the superior court as set forth in Article 2 (commencing with Section 11180) of Chapter 2 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

institution shall comply with the California Right to Financial Privacy Act set forth in Chapter 20 (commencing with Section

In Franchise Tax Board v. Superior Court, 164 Cal.App.3d 526, 536-37, 210

Cal.Rptr. 695 (1985) the Court of Appeal commented at length on the legislature's grant

of investigatory power under R&TC § 19504 (then R&TC § 19254) and the mechanisms

for enforcing administrative process under California Government Code §§ 11180-11191:

The Franchise Tax Board is charged with the duties of administering and enforcing the Personal Income Tax Law. (Rev &Tax Code §§ 17001, 19251.) For the purpose of administering those duties, including determining or collecting the liability of any person imposed by the Personal Income Tax Law, the FTB has been given broad statutory powers. Those powers include the power to examine any data relevant to that purpose, to require the attendance of any person having knowledge in the premises, to take testimony, administer oaths **and to require material proof for its information**. The FTB may also issue subpoenas duces tecum which may be served on any person for any purpose. (Rev & Tax Code § 19254, fn. 1, ante.) (Emphasis added)

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP 2300 WEST SAM LAS VEG. _____AND 80102-4354 LAS VEG. _____AND 80102-4354 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

28
The court further explained at 537:

Sections 11180-11191 statutorily authorize investigations by each department of the executive branch of our state government of all matters under the jurisdiction of the department. As a part of those investigations, section 11181 authorizes the department to inspect books and records and to "[i]ssue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of papers, books, accounts, documents and testimony in any inquiry, investigation, hearing or proceeding pertinent or material thereto ... " This authority is substantially the same as that granted specifically to the FTB by Revenue and Taxation Code section 19254, ante (fn. 1). 5(6) These investigations are not judicial proceedings, they are administrative inquiries. "[S]ections 11180-11191 relate not to judicial proceedings but instead to statutorily permitted investigations in which the court ordinarily plays no part." (Emphasis in original.) (People v. West Coast Shows, Inc. (1970) 10 Cal.App.3d 462, 470, 89 Cal.Rptr. 290.

California Government Code § 11186, 11187 and 11188 relating to investigations

and hearings by an executive department provide:

Section 11186: The Superior Court ... has jurisdiction to compel the attendance of witnesses, the giving of testimony and the production of papers, books, accounts and documents as required by any subpoena..."

Section 11187: If any witness refuses to attend or testify or produce any papers required by such subpoena, the head of the department may petition the superior court in the county in which the hearing is pending for an order compelling the person to attend and testify or produce the papers required by the subpoena before the officer named in the subpoena.

Section 11188: Upon the filing of the petition, the court shall enter an order directing the person to appear before the court at a specific time and place and then and there show cause why he has not attended or testified or produced the papers as required. A copy of the order shall be served upon him. If it appears to the court that the subpoena was regularly issued by the head of the department, the court shall enter an order that the person appear before the officer named in the subpoena at the time and place fixed in the order and testify or produce the required papers. Upon failure to obey the order,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the person shall be dealt with as for contempt of court."

California Government Code § 11189 specifically provides for the enforcement of

dia to

R&TC 19504 demands for documentation outside the state of California:

In any matter pending before a department head, the department head may cause the deposition of persons residing within or without the state to be taken by causing a petition to be filed in the Superior Court in the County of Sacramento reciting the nature of the matter pending, the name and residence of the person whose testimony is desired and asking that an order be made requiring the person to appear and testify before an officer named in the petition for that purpose. Upon the filing of the petition, the court may make an order requiring the person to appear and testify in the manner prescribed by law for like depositions in civil actions in the superior courts of this state under Article 3 (commencing with Section 2016) of Chapter 3 of Title 4 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure. In the same manner the superior courts may compel the attendance of persons as witnesses, and the production of papers, books, accounts, and documents under Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 1985) of Title 3 of Part 4 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and may punish for contempt. (Emphasis added)

Nevada process is also available to enforce the California requests for information through issuance of subpoenas. See, NRCP 45(d)(3) and NRS 53.050 et seq. (Uniform Foreign Deposition Act).

In the Hyatt residency audit, the FTB used its standard FTB Form 4973, which Mr. Hyatt describes as the "deceptive and outrageous" "quasi-subpoenas". These information request forms were used to obtain basic information such as gas, water and disposal service utilization at Plaintiffs' alleged new residence in Nevada. (FAC 22:22 and 24:16). The FTB's reference to R&TC § 19504 on the letterhead of FTB Form 4973, to gather material proof of Mr. Hyatt's assertion that he abandoned his California domicile and residence and established a new domicile and residence in Nevada was not, as Plaintiff states, "unlawfully used." This was an appropriate and, as it turned out, necessary tool for establishing the facts of the audit. The Plaintiff's many arguments that rely on the theory

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

10 SUITE 1000 2-4354

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

that Defendant was without authority to verify Plaintiff's assertions of fact regarding his

residency are without merit.

2.

THE FTB PROPERLY USED PLAINTIFF'S TAX RETURN INFORMATION DURING THE COURSE OF THE RESIDENCY AUDIT

The audit of Mr. Hyatt was conducted by the FTB in conjunction with the FTB's

administration of California tax laws. R&TC § 19545 provides:

A return or return information may be disclosed in a judicial or administrative proceeding pertaining to tax administration, if any of the following apply:

(a) The taxpayer is a party to the proceeding, or the proceeding arose out of, or in connection with, determining the taxpayer's civil or criminal liability, or the collection of the taxpayer's civil liability with respect to any tax imposed under this part.

(b) The treatment of an item reflected on the return is directly related to the resolution of an issue in the proceeding.

(c) The return or return information directly relates to a transactional relationship between a person who is a party to the proceeding and the taxpayer which directly affects the resolution of an issue in the proceeding." (Emphasis added).

California law provides for the disclosure of return information for tax administration.

The FTB auditor was only verifying the truthfulness of the Plaintiff's allegations and any

disclosures made were authorized under California law for the administration of income

taxes.

3. THE FTB AND ITS EMPLOYEES ARE NOT LIABLE IN TORT

All public employees have discretionary immunity pursuant to California Government Code § 820.2 which provides:

> Except as otherwise provided by statute, a public employee is not liable for an injury resulting from his act or omission where the act or omission was the result of the exercise of the discretion vested in him, whether or not such discretion be

MCDONALD CARANO WILSON MCCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

10 SUITE 1000

ATTC 'S AT LAW 2300 WEST SAHAR \\UE + NO 10 SUI LAS VEGAS 'v∞VDA 89102-4354 (702) 873-4100 abused.

The FTB and its employees are afforded additional immunity in instituting any action incidental to the assessment or collection of a tax. California Government Code section 860.2 provides:

Neither a public entity nor a public employee is liable for an injury caused by:

(a) Instituting any judicial or administrative proceeding or action for or incidental to the assessment or collection of a tax.
(b) An act or omission in the interpretation or application of any law relating to a tax.

The California Court of Appeal, in an action where the plaintiff sued the FTB for negligence, slander of title, interference with credit relations and the taking of property without due process, affirmed the trial court's dismissal of the complaint by holding that the FTB cannot be held liable because it was afforded governmental immunity from such actions. (Mitchell v. Franchise Tax Board, 183 Cal.App.3d 1133, 1136, 228 Cal.Rptr. 750 (1986).) Mr. Hyatt's actions premised on contrived allegations for tort causes of action are equally barred under the governmental immunity as actions for or incidental to the assessment or collection of taxes. The FTB and its employees are immune from tort liability arising from governmental activities, both discretionary and ministerial duties. (Ibid.)

4.

BY PROTEST OF THE FTB'S PROPOSED ASSESSMENT MR. HYATT AVAILED HIMSELF OF CALIFORNIA'S ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

Mr. Hyatt's allegation that his protest action does not constitute the administrative process is without merit. The California Administrative Procedure Act (California Government Code § 11400 et seq.) is not applicable to the FTB administrative remedies. R&TC § 19044 provides for the protest, reconsideration of assessment and hearing as follows:

(a) If a protest is filed, the Franchise Tax Board shall reconsider the assessment of the deficiency and, if the

taxpayer has so requested in his or her protest, shall grant the taxpayer or his or her authorized representatives an oral hearing. Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code does not apply to a hearing under this subdivision.

California Government Code § 11501 provides as follows:

(a) This chapter applies to any agency as determined by the statutes relating to that agency.

(b) This chapter applies to an adjudicative proceeding of an agency created on or after July 1, 1997, unless the statute relating to the proceeding provide otherwise.

(c) Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 11400) applies to an adjudicative proceeding required to be conducted under this chapter, unless the statutes relating to the proceeding provide otherwise.

The FTB administrative remedies are governed by the California Revenue and

Taxation Code which was explained by the California Supreme Court in Dupuy v. Superior

<u>Court</u>, 15 Cal.3d 410, 415-16, 541 P.2d 540 (1970) as follows:

Under the Revenue and Taxation Code, the administrative remedies afforded a taxpayer differ widely according to whether the board makes a 'deficiency assessment' under section 18583 or, as here, a 'jeopardy assessment' under section 18641. In the former case, the taxpayer, by filing a written protest with the board within 60 days after the mailing of the notice of deficiency (s. 18590), becomes entitled to a hearing before the board to contest the validity of the proposed assessment (s 18592). If the board determines the matter adversely to the taxpayer, he may appeal to the Board of Equalization (s 18593), in which event he becomes entitled to a hearing before that body (s 18595). If the Board of Equalization finds in favor of the board, the taxpayer may petition for a rehearing. If such a petition is denied, the deficiency assessment becomes final upon the expiration of 30 days from the time the Board of Equalization issues its opinion (s 18596), and the amount assessed is then due and payable. Thus, simply by availing himself of the administrative remedies outlined above, a taxpayer against whom a deficiency tax assessment has been made is able to stay collection of the tax

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUINE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP ATT YS AT LAW 2300 WEST SAHAI - SNUE - NO 10 SUITE 1000 LAS VEGAS'NEVADA 89102-4354 (702) 873-4100 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

for a substantial period of time.1

See, also Schatz v. FTB, 69 Cal.App.4th 595, 81 Cal.Rptr.2d 719, 720-721 (1999).

In California v. Grace Brethren Church, 457 U.S. 393, 407-411, 102 S.Ct. 2498, 73 L.Ed.2d 1982 (1982), the United States Supreme Court upheld the state remedy provided by the California Unemployment Insurance Code procedures of administrative remedies as "plain, speedy and efficient" in invoking the restraints of Tax Injunction Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1341. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals made the same determination for the administrative remedy provided by the California Revenue and Taxation Code by restating the court holding of Aronoff v. Franchise Tax Board, 348 F.2d 9, 11 (9th Cir. 1965) as follows:

> It has consistently been held, without a single instance of deviation, that the refund action provided by California Personal Income Tax Law is a 'plain, speedy and efficient remedy' such as to invoke the restraints of 28 U.S.C. § 1341.

Randall v. Franchise Tax Board, 453 F.2d 381, 382 (9th Cir. 1971).

The FTB has not assessed a tax against Mr. Hyatt, but issued a Notice of Proposed

Assessment. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals stated:

"If a protest is filed, the Franchise Tax Board shall reconsider the assessment of the deficiency. Further appeal to the State Board of Equalization is permitted, with finality dependent upon the extent to which a taxpayer pursues the appellate process afforded "

King v. Franchise Tax Board, 961 F.2d 1423, 1425 (9th Cir. 1992).

Mr. Hyatt's protest of the FTB's Notice of Proposed Assessment availed him of the administrative remedies and placed the proposed assessment in suspension. Mr. Hyatt's

failure to exhaust his administrative remedies bars his action from going forward.

The Plaintiff argues that declaratory relief is appropriate because the California administrative proceedings are taking too long or, that there is no "administrative

28

Revenue and Taxation Code §§ 18583, 18641, 18590, 18592, 18593, 18595 and 18596 have been renumbered to §§ 19033, 19081, 19041, 19044, 19045 and 19048 respectively.

proceeding" in California (Opposition pgs. 15-20). However, Plaintiff admits that his protest is pending before the FTB. He wants to cut off the FTB's ability to audit tax years subsequent to 1992 through this Court's declaration that he has been a resident of Nevada since September 26, 1991.

Plaintiff argues that because he does not have adjudicative rights at the protest phase of the California tax proceedings, that Nevada declaratory relief is appropriate. Whether or not the California tax proceedings have entered the "adjudicative" phase is irrelevant in determining a right to declaratory relief. The fact is that the tax issue (Mr. Hyatt's residency) is in the California FTB's hands as a result of Mr. Hyatt's protest filing. This precludes declaratory relief.

Nevada law is clear, declaratory relief is not available to review interlocutory decisions of state agencies. Mr. Hyatt is a party to an administrative agency's action which may result in adjudication of his California 1991-1992 residency status and income tax liability. Even if Plaintiff is correct that the matter is only in the investigation stage, it is still in the agency's purview as the California legislature has mandated and may result in adjudication of Mr. Hyatt's residency. The matter could proceed from the investigation phase through hearing before the California State Board of Equalization and then to the California Superior Court for judicial review. Nevada's declaratory relief law does not require that the issue be at any particular level of agency review to preclude the Court's subject matter jurisdiction for declaratory relief. The case law cited by the FTB in its Motion determines the issue:

We have recognized that interlocutory review of agency determinations in any form could completely frustrate the legislative purpose of relegating certain matters to an agency for speedy resolution by experts. [citation omitted]. . . The legislature has not authorized review of interlocutory decisions of the Commission in the guise of a complaint for declaratory relief. [emphasis added].

It is well-settled that courts will not entertain a declaratory judgment action if there is pending, at the time of the commencement of the

action for declaratory relief, another action or proceeding to which the same persons are parties and in which the same issues may be adjudicated. [citation omitted]. Further, a court will refuse to consider a complaint for declaratory relief if a special statutory remedy has been provided. [citation omitted]. A <u>separate action for declaratory judgment is not an appropriate method of</u> testing defenses in a pending action, [citation omitted], nor is it a substitute for statutory avenues of judgment and appellate review. [emphasis added].

Public Serv. Comm. v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 107 Nev. 680, 683-85, 818 P.2d 396 (1991). Mr. Hyatt must wait for the FTB's final decision on his 1991-1992 residency and only then may he proceed with his rights of agency and judicial review in California. There is no right of judicial review of a California tax assessment in Nevada's Courts.

Plaintiff cites the case of Scotsman Mfg. v. State, Dep't of Taxation, 107 Nev. 127, 128, 808 P.2d 517 (1991), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 100 (1992) for the proposition that declaratory relief is appropriate even before an audit and investigation is conducted to determine the amount of the alleged tax. Opposition pg. 15. This Nevada sales tax case has no application to the instant case involving California income tax administration. Scotsman Mfg. involved application of Nevada's sales tax to a federal government contractor which had been forced to actually pay sales tax under circumstances which were unconstitutional. After an adverse Department of Taxation decision, the federal contractor appealed to the Nevada Tax Commission which refused its request for relief. Thus, a final agency determination was made as to applicability of the tax. That final decision was the subject of the declaratory relief action. Only the amount of the sales taxes, penalties and interest due was to be determined by a subsequent audit. The federal contractor sued for declaratory relief in District Court on the issue of the tax exemption available to the federal government and its contractors under the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. Nevada's Supreme Court reversed and remanded to the District Court which had erred by failing to recognize the federal contractor's exemption as a purchasing agent of the United States. Id. at 133-134. On appeal after remand, the Nevada Supreme Court confirmed that, as a general rule, a taxpayer must exhaust his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

<u>administrative remedies</u> <u>before seeking judicial relief</u>. Failure to do so <u>deprives the</u> <u>District Court of subject matter jurisdiction</u>. <u>See</u>, <u>Scotsman Mfg. v. State</u>, <u>Dep't of Taxation</u>, 109 Nev. 252, 254-5, 849 P.2d 317 (1993). Unlike Mr. Hyatt's situation, the issue in <u>Scotsman Mfg.</u> subject to judicial relief related only to the interpretation or constitutionality of the sales tax statute as applied to a federal government contractor acting as a purchasing agent for the federal government.

By his own admission, Mr. Hyatt's tax matter is still under review by the FTB and no final decision or order has been made. When the responsible agency has not yet made a final decision or order, the matter is not ripe for judicial review. <u>Resnick v. Nevada Gaming Comm.</u>, 104 Nev. 60, 62-3, 752 P.2d 229 (1988). Mr. Hyatt is seeking a Nevada judicial resolution of a California income tax matter before the responsible tax authority decision is even rendered and before Mr. Hyatt has followed any of his California statutory rights of administrative appeal or judicial review. There is no right of declaratory relief under these circumstances. Nevada's Courts lack subject matter jurisdiction to determine Mr. Hyatt's California income tax liability, including the pivotal issue of residency.

5. NEVADA HAS NO LAWS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF STATE INCOME TAXES THEREFORE CALIFORNIA LAW SHOULD APPLY

Mr. Hyatt relies on the holding of <u>Nevada v. Hall</u>, 440 U.S. 410, 99 S.Ct. 1182, 59 L.Ed.2d 416 (1979), <u>rehg. denied</u> 441 US 917, for his contention that Nevada may disregard the statutory immunity of the FTB under California law from his tort lawsuit. In this action, the FTB and its employees' actions in the administration of its income tax laws are immune from suit in California as a matter of law. (Calif. Gov. Code §§ 820.2 & 860.2.) The holding in <u>Nevada v. Hall</u>, is clearly distinguished from this action because in <u>Nevada</u> <u>v. Hall</u>, the state of Nevada had unequivocally waived its own immunity from liability for a car accident committed by its agent. (Id. at 412.) Nevada statute (Nev Rev Stat § 41.031

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

16

(1977)) had waived Nevada's sovereign immunity for the suit to go forward in Nevada. Nevada, by statute, had waived its immunity from suit and therefore the suit was permitted to go forward in California.

Far from waiving its sovereign immunity, California is not only immune from this action by its sovereign immunity but furthermore, its legislature enacted laws which specifically grant immunity to the FTB and its employees from this lawsuit under California laws. (Calif. Rev. & Tax. Code §§ 19504 & 19545; Calif. Gov. Code §§ 820.2 & 860.2.) This lawsuit cannot go forward in California, yet Mr. Hyatt brings the lawsuit in Nevada where there are no income tax laws and no laws for the administration income taxes. Because Nevada has no laws for the administration of income taxes there is no conflict between non-existent Nevada laws and California laws for the administration of income taxes, only California law can apply to the FTB's actions in administering California's income tax laws.

Although Mr. Hyatt attempts to portray FTB's contact with Nevada as substantial with numerous references and averments (FAC passim), the FTB auditor only made one short trip to Nevada and sent correspondence to verify the truth of Mr. Hyatt's allegations. This audit contact in Nevada constitutes insignificant contacts with Nevada in comparison of the hundreds of hours auditing Mr. Hyatt in California. Contrary to <u>Nevada v. Hall</u> where the totality of the contact (traffic accident in California) was in California, FTB's insignificant contact in Nevada, would make the application of Nevada tort law obnoxious. The Supreme Court in <u>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague</u>, 449 U.S. 302, 311, 101 S.Ct. 633, 66 L.Ed.2d. 521, (1981) rehg den 450 US 971, recited a proposition that if a State had only an insignificant contact with the parties and the occurrence or transaction, application of its laws is unconstitutional. Clearly, based upon the FTB minimal contacts during this audit, the applicable law for this Court to apply in this case would be California law.

In <u>Bradford Elec. Co. v. Clapper</u>, 286 U.S. 145, 151, 52 S.Ct. 571, 76 L.Ed. 1026 (1932), the United States Supreme Court required the federal court in New Hampshire to

respect a Vermont statute which precluded a worker from bringing a common-law action against his employer for job-related injuries where the employment relation was formed in Vermont, even though the injury occurred in New Hampshire. The majority opinion in <u>Nevada v. Hall, supra, 440 U.S. 410 at 426-427</u> had to distinguish the holding of <u>Bradford Elec.</u> to be assured that the application of the Vermont statute would not be obnoxious to New Hampshire. Here, the application of California law cannot be obnoxious to the policies of Nevada which has no comparable statutes to the California statutes. Application of Nevada tort laws on California administration of its income tax laws would, however, be obnoxious to California and its fiscal stability.

The United States government has recognized that the autonomy and fiscal stability of the States survive best when state tax systems are not subject to scrutiny in federal courts by enacting the 28 U.S.C. § 1341. (Fair Assessment in Real Estate Assoc., Inc. v. McNary, 454 U.S. 100, 102-103, 102 S.Ct. 177, 70 L.Ed.2d 271 (1981).) The Supreme Court has upheld the dismissal of a plaintiff's action pursuant to the Tax Injunction Act (28 U.S.C. §1341) on the grounds that tax collection constitutes an important local concern of the state and the state provides a plain, speedy and efficient remedy. (California v. Grace Brethren Church, supra, 457 U.S. at 408-411.) California income tax laws and the laws for the administration of income taxes are fundamental to its fiscal integrity and these laws should be respected by the state of Nevada which has no conflicting laws of its own.

Nevada courts must consider the requirements of the full faith and credit clause of the United States Constitution and apply California laws which were enacted to protect its fiscal integrity. These California laws present a clear and precise bar from this action on the principle of the exhaustion of administrative remedies and by the statutory immunity provided the FTB and its employees from liability from this action.

C. NEVADA DOES NOT RECOGNIZE A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ABUSE OF PROCESS.

The Plaintiff cites several cases purporting to support his Sixth Cause of Action for

abuse of process. Admittedly, this cause of action is not based on any court action or actual issuance of subpoenas. Plaintiff cites foreign authority for the proposition that there is a cause of action for "administrative" abuse of process. Nevada law is contrary, probably for the same reasons that Nevada Courts do not give declaratory relief as to matters pending before an administrative agency. That is, the Nevada legislature has vested the agency with jurisdiction over the matter and provided for judicial review only following exhaustion of the administrative process and remedies.

In its Motion, the FTB cited the appropriate Nevada and Ninth Circuit (applying Nevada law) case law holding that no tort cause of action lies for abuse of process absent misuse of <u>court</u> process. <u>See</u>, <u>Nevada Credit Rating Bur. v. Williams</u>, 88 Nev. 601, 606, 503 P.2d 9 (1972) and <u>Laxalt v. McClatchy Newspapers</u>, 622 F. Supp. 737, 750-51 (Nev. 1985).

The Complaint alleges that Demands to Furnish Information or "quasi subpoenas" were sent by the FTB to persons and entities in Nevada. The requests are not alleged to be actual administrative subpoenas issued by the FTB or a court of law. The information requests are authorized by California law. These requests are a necessary and usual means of gathering information for administration of California income tax. Under the circumstances, there is no need to create a new tort cause of action.

D. THE FACTS PLED PRECLUDE CAUSES OF ACTION FOR FRAUD.

The Complaint purports to plead and Plaintiff's Opposition argues a purported cause of action for fraud (Seventh Cause of Action). Although Plaintiff recites the correct elements of these causes of action, the very <u>facts</u> alleged by Plaintiff defeat this claim.

There was no transaction as contemplated by the fraud tort between Mr. Hyatt, a taxpayer under audit, and the Board, a government taxing agency performing an audit. The gravamen of the Plaintiff's misrepresentation allegations is that he provided information to the Board which the Board was obligated to keep confidential. The contention is that the Board fraudulently concealed its intent not to maintain the confidentiality of Mr. Hyatt's

information. The confidential information as alleged in the Complaint or as argued in Hyatt's Opposition (with maximum indignation) is said to consist of Mr. Hyatt's name, "secret" address and social security number. The Board used this information in requesting information from third parties about Mr. Hyatt in its residency audit. These persons and entities include utilities, neighbors, Nevada contacts identified by Mr. Hyatt's representatives as proof of his Nevada contacts and other organizations identified by Mr. Hyatt's representatives which might have information regarding his residency contacts.

The applicable California tax law shows that Mr. Hyatt was required by law to cooperate in the Board's residency audit and that the Board was privileged to use the information Hyatt provided in administering California's income tax. The FTB purposes authorized by law include not only verifying Mr. Hyatt's claim of change of residency, but also determination of the source of his income. Either or both determinations are dispositive of Mr. Hyatt's California income tax liability.

The FTB already had Mr. Hyatt's social security number, so this was obviously not extracted from him by fraud. The use of a person's social security number for identification in verifying Mr. Hyatt's residency is a standard means of taxpayer identification which prevents confusion or mistake as to identity.

Mr. Hyatt was obligated by law to provide information verifying his claim of change of residency, including his residential address, so that the FTB could verify the information. It is not enough that Mr. Hyatt's CPA or attorney showed the FTB that Hyatt purchased a house held in trust by his accountant. This could evidence investment or rental property or a sham transaction. Given Mr. Hyatt's vast wealth, it would be a small thing to invest in purchase of a middle class home to save millions in income tax liability. Verification of residential use through occupancy, utility service and presence in Nevada was reasonable and necessary. Although Mr. Hyatt argues that this activity is fraudulent, outrageous and an invasion of privacy (and that the FTB should simply take the word of his paid advocates), these activities are simply a reasonable and necessary part of conducting a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

residential audit. Verification of Hyatt's residence would not be possible without reference to the address of the home Hyatt claims to occupy.

Since Mr. Hyatt was obligated to provide the information and it was used for a lawful purpose, no cause of action for fraud can lie.

E. THE NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION COUNT ALSO FAILS.

Mr. Hyatt also purports to plead a cause of action for negligent misrepresentation (Eighth Cause of Action). This count fails for the same reasons as the fraud cause of action. There is simply no transaction between Hyatt and the FTB which is actionable under this tort. Mr. Hyatt was obligated to provide his address. The FTB already had his name and social security number. Use of this information for purposes of the residency audit was reasonable, necessary and allowed by law. It was obvious to Mr. Hyatt's attorney and CPA, and therefore to Mr. Hyatt, that a residency audit was in progress and the information gathered was for that purpose. Thus, it cannot be actionable negligence for the FTB to fail to disclose the obvious, that is, that the information being provided or already known to the FTB was part of audit proceedings.

F. PLAINTIFF'S CAUSES OF ACTION FOR INVASION OF PRIVACY FAIL TO STATE CLAIMS FOR RELIEF GIVEN THE FACTS PLED.

Much of Plaintiff's Opposition and Request for Judicial Notice concerns argument and citation of authorities for the proposition that there is a general right of privacy and right to be free from oppressive government intrusion into one's private life. This cannot be disputed. However, a tax audit is not a tort. Although Plaintiff may not agree with the scope, duration or determination resulting from the audit, audit activities are not actionable. There has been no use of search warrants, no unlawful search and seizure and no false imprisonment. There is not even any allegation that there was any direct contact between Mr. Hyatt and the FTB agents performing the audit.

As with many activities performed by the State or federal governments, a tax audit is a lawful and necessary exercise of government function. A police officer acts with lawful

authority when he stops a drunk driver and arrests and incarcerates the suspect. If a private citizen engaged in the same activity as to an innocent person, a number of torts are committed. If a person gathered an individual's private financial information and stalked the individual, and such activities were performed by an unauthorized person without the individual's consent, there could result a number of tort causes of action. However, when these same activities are authorized by statute and performed by an authorized government employee in the course of their employment, a discharge of lawful duty rather than tortious activity results. The matters inquired into by the FTB are bitterly criticized by Plaintiff as excessive and invasive. Nevertheless, an objective review of the matters requested and reviewed by the FTB reveals that each item or topic would logically reveal Mr. Hyatt's residential contact with either California or Nevada.

Plaintiff begins his argument in opposition to the FTB's motion for judgment on the pleadings as to his privacy claims by admitting that the <u>Court</u> has the threshold duty to determine if his privacy claims are actionable. Opposition at page 25, line 21 - page 26, line 5, citing <u>People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) v. Bobby Berosini, Ltd</u>, 111 Nev. 615, 895 P.2d 1269 (1995), modified on other grounds 113 Nev. 632, 940 P.2d 134 (1997): ". . . courts should and do consider the degree of intrusion, the intruder's objectives, and the expectations of those whose privacy is invaded."

Plaintiff then cites <u>Alward v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 141, 151, 912 P.2d 243, 250 (1996) for the general principle that "searches conducted outside the judicial process, without prior approval by judge or magistrate, are *per se* unreasonable under the Fourth Amendment." Opposition at page 26, lines 6-12. <u>Alward</u> was a criminal case involving a warrantless search of a tent in which the defendant and the victim had been camping when the victim was shot and died. The officers had unzipped the tent, entered and searched, obtaining incriminating evidence. The issue before the court was whether the officers had the authority to search the tent once they determined that the victim was dead.

Alward has nothing to do with the dispute between Plaintiff and FTB. The language

"searches conducted outside the judicial process, without prior approval by judge or magistrate" refers to just that, "searches." There is no allegation that FTB entered Plaintiff's home, or anywhere else, to conduct an illegal search. Plaintiff is simply taking language completely out of context in order to generate as much confusion and distraction as possible to hide his true theory of this case: the FTB violated his privacy rights because it investigated his claim of a change of residency instead of blindly accepting his story.

At Opposition page 26, line 13 - page 28, line 1, Plaintiff makes the general argument that "actions for invasion of privacy against a taxing body are increasingly frequent." That is all fine and dandy, but totally irrelevant to whether Plaintiff's purported privacy claims in this case are valid.

For example, at page 26, lines 14-23, Plaintiff cites to a treatise as authority for a case (unreported) in which the IRS was held liable. Since that case involved the IRS, it had to be a federal question case that involved federal statutes not pertinent to this case. Moreover, the Plaintiff did not bother to inform the Court of the true facts upon which liability was imposed:

- 1. armed IRS agents raided the family business four weeks after the woman insulted one agent;
- 2. the agents asserted the woman owed \$324,000 in income taxes, when she actually owed only \$3,485;
- 3. the armed agents padlocked all three family stores;
- 4. the agents posted unjustified notices that some customers interpreted as evidence that the woman was a drug dealer; and
- 5. one agent was found to be "grossly negligent" and to have acted with "reckless disregard" for the law after he made three false statements to the court.

<u>See</u> Plaintiff's Appendix of Non-Nevada Authorities at Tab No. 67. Instead, Plaintiff twists the report of that case to argue the IRS was grossly negligent and reckless in placing the

woman in a false light by claiming she owed more money than she actually owed. Opposition at page 26, lines 18-20.

Plaintiff's improper tactics of twisting authorities and taking them out of context permeate his argument. As a further example, at Opposition page 26, line 24 - page 27. line 10, Plaintiff cites Jones v. United States, 9 F. Supp.2d 1119 (D. Neb. 1998), as "[a]nother recent large verdict against tax authorities for invasion of privacy rights and abuse of authority." Contrary to Plaintiff's "spin," liability was imposed against the United States in that case for an IRS criminal investigator's violation of specific federal statutes when he unlawfully told a confidential informant that the government intended to execute a search warrant at the plaintiffs' place of business. The court had concluded the "disclosure amounted to notification that the tax returns of [plaintiffs] were 'subject to other investigation or processing' as defined by 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2)." Id., at 1123.

No such misconduct is alleged in this case, nor are any federal statutes involving the IRS involved.

At Opposition page 28, lines 9-20, Plaintiff cites three U.S. Supreme Court decisions as support for his claim that the FTB violated his privacy rights by disclosing his name and home address when it attempted to verify his change of residency. All of those cases deal with particular federal statutes and factual situations not involved in this case.

In United States Department of Defense v. Federal Labor Relations Authority, 510 U.S. 487 (1994), the issue was whether disclosure of the home addresses of federal civil service employees by their employing agency, pursuant to a request made by the employees' collective-bargaining representatives under the Federal Service Labor Management Relations Statute (5 U.S. C. §§ 7101-7135), would violate the employees' personal privacy within the meaning of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). The phrase "clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy", which Plaintiff emphasizes at Opposition, page 28, line 12, is from Exemption 6 of the Freedom of Information Act, which provides that FOIA's disclosure requirements do not apply to "personnel and medical files

BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

McDONALD CARANO WILSON Mr CUNE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

28

24

and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(6).

United States Dept. of Justice v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of Press, 489 U.S. 749 (1989) also involved the FOIA. In that case, a news correspondent and an association of journalists requested, under FOIA, that the Department of Justice and the FBI disclose any criminal records in their possession concerning four brothers whose family company allegedly had obtained defense contracts as a result of an improper arrangement with a corrupt congressman. <u>Id.</u> at 757. The Court held that disclosure of an FBI rap sheet to a third party would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy under Exemption 7 of FOIA, Title 5, U.S.C. § 552(b)(7)(c), and was therefore prohibited. <u>Id.</u> at 780.

<u>United States Department of State v. Ray</u>, 502 U.S. 164 (1991) also involved Exemption 6 of FOIA. In that case, a private attorney sought the names of certain Haitian nationals who had been involuntarily returned to Haiti after attempting to emigrate illegally to the United States. <u>Id</u>. at 168. The attorney claimed he needed their names in order to ensure the United States was properly monitoring the Haitian Government's agreement not to harass Haitians returned to Haiti after being caught trying to enter the United States illegally. <u>Id</u>.

After taking those three Supreme Court cases completely out of context, Plaintiff then string cites seventeen cases at Opposition pages 28-31 for the general proposition that state and federal courts protect social security numbers and home addresses. All of those cases arose under varying facts and involve different state and federal statutes. For example, in <u>State ex rel</u>. <u>Beacon Journal Publishing Co. v. City of Akron</u>, 640 N.E. 2d 164, 165 (Ohio 1994), <u>recon denied</u>, 642 N.E.2d 388, a newspaper sought the social security numbers of 2,500 city employees pursuant to the Ohio Public Records statute. In <u>Progressive Animal Welfare Society v. University of Washington</u>, 884 P.2d 592, 595 (Wash. 1995), <u>recon denied</u>, an animal rights group requested a copy of an unfunded

grant proposal from the University of Washington pursuant to the Washington Public Disclosure Act. The court held that, in that situation, disclosure of the researchers' social security numbers would be highly offensive to a reasonable person and not of legitimate concern to the public pursuant to the state statute, RCW 42.17.255. <u>Id</u>. at 598.

A union representative sought the names and social security numbers of all employees who worked in a city library pursuant to Washington's Public Disclosure Act in <u>Tacoma Public Library v. Woessner</u>, 951 P.2d 357, 359 (Wash. App. 1998), <u>amended</u> 1999 WL 126948. Exemption 6 of FOIA was again at issue in <u>National Association of</u> <u>Retired Federal Employees v. Horner</u>, 879 F.2d 873, 874 (D.C. App. 1989), <u>cert denied</u>, 494 US 1078, when a union sought the names and addresses of retired or disabled federal employees. The same statute was involved in <u>American Federation of Government</u> <u>Employees, AFL-CIO, Local 1923 v. United States</u>, 712 F.2d 931, 932 (4th Cir. 1983) when a union sought the addresses of some 15,000 employees.

And so it goes with all the other cases Plaintiff string cites. Not a single case cited by Plaintiff dealt with a governmental agency's use of a person's name, address and social security number to verify the person's claimed change of residency as part of a tax audit.

As Plaintiff conceded at pages 25-26 of his Opposition, this Court should decide as a threshold matter whether Plaintiff's invasion of privacy claims are valid given the facts alleged, not Plaintiff's self-serving, legal conclusions and string citations to cases that have nothing to do with the facts of this case.

Any person in Plaintiff's position; i.e., a long time resident of California who claims to change his residency just before he receives millions of dollars in income, can reasonably expect that FTB will closely examine his claimed change of residency. All of the facts alleged by Plaintiff taken together do not add up to any actionable invasion of privacy. The FTB's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings as to all of Plaintiff's privacy claims should be granted.

- | | |

G. NO VIABLE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR OUTRAGE IS PLED.

Mr. Hyatt does not allege that he had any personal contact with the FTB during the residency audit. He contends that he was not even aware of the Nevada audit activities until after the fact. Nevertheless, he argues that the tort of outrage has been perpetrated and he has suffered compensable emotional stress as a result of learning of the FTB's audit activities. The acts complained of are that the FTB identified Mr. Hyatt to third parties in the course of its residency audit. California law authorizes the alleged audit activities. All taxpayers would probably consider a tax audit to be "outrageous." The actions of a taxing authority may well be actionable absent the statutory authority. However, where the same acts are authorized by law, no tort case of action arises.

Plaintiff's Opposition cites several Nevada cases regarding the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress. These cases all involved direct acts of abuse or intimidation. <u>See, Branda v. Sanford</u>, 97 Nev. 643, 648, 637 P.2d 1223 (1981)(Public slander and sexual harassment of minor child); <u>Posadas v. City of Reno</u>, 109 Nev. 448, 456, 851 P.2d 438 (1993)(Employer's public slander of public employee); <u>Shoen v.</u> <u>Amerco, Inc.</u> 111 Nev. 735, 747, 896 P.2d 469 (1995)(Public threats and physical assault). None of these cases involved government employees performing their official duties.

The circumstances pled by Mr. Hyatt do not involve any direct contact between Mr. Hyatt and the FTB. The relationship was filtered through Mr. Hyatt's tax attorney and CPA. By admission, Mr. Hyatt only learned of the audit activities after the fact. Thus, his emotional distress relates only to learning of the acts authorized by law to verify his Nevada residency and notice of the proposed assessment. Any taxpayer would have the same anxieties. The mere fact that one suffers emotional distress caused by another performing government functions is not actionable. As a matter of law, a California residential audit using information to identify a taxpayer and gather verifying information is not a tort.

i.r.

CONCLUSION

The Plaintiff's action for declaratory relief cannot be maintained due to lack of subject matter jurisdiction over the pending California administrative tax proceedings. Plaintiff's tort claims regarding acts or omissions in California are barred by his failure to comply with the California Tort Claims Act and applicable immunities. Under Nevada law, the tort claims are not proper given the facts pled. There are no allegations which, if proven, would permit recovery by Plaintiff. Accordingly, the Franchise Tax Board of the State of California is entitled to judgment on the pleadings.

ngs. \mathcal{H} DATED this $\underline{29}$ day of March, 1999.

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN ERANKOVICH & HICKS LLP

ru w By: Thomas R. C. Wilson, Esq. Matthew C. Addison, Esq.

Bryan R. Clark, Esq. 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Defendant FTB

		~*~			
	1	RECEIPT OF COPY			
	2	RECEIPT OF A COPY of the foregoing DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO			
	3	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS			
		is hereby acknowledged this \underline{III} day of March, 1999.			
	6				
	7	HUTCHISON & STEFFEN			
	8				
	9	Thomas L. Steffen, Esp.			
	10	8831 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89117			
	11	1			
	12	and by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid thereon to the			
	13	numbers noted below, upon the following:			
B	14	Felix Leatherwood, Esq.			
102 813-410	15	Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office			
2	16	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013			
	17				
	18	601 W. Fifth Street, 8th Floor			
	19	Los Angeles, CA 90071			
	20	\sim /			
	21	Jaren a Surdiner			
	22	An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks LLP			
	23	11216			
	24				
	25				
	26 27				
	27				
	20	29			
		RA000298			

and a lookly war .

.

RA000299

EXHIBIT 9

	No		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	John Steffen HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Lakes Business Park	Abuilly & Pauminus APR 2 11 21 AM "99 FILED	
9			
10 11			
12	CLARK COUNT		
13	GILBERT P. HYATT,) Case No. A382999) Dept No. XVIII	
14	Plaintiff,	 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SURREPLY 	
15	VS.)	
16	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1-100, inclusive,) FILED UNDER SEAL BY) STIPULATION AND ORDER) DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1999	
17 18	Defendants.)) Date of Hearing: 5-68-55) Time of Hearing:	
19	Diaintiff Cil Hyatt ("Hyatt") rosport	fully moves this Court for leave to file a	
20 21	1		
 surreply to Defendant Franchise Tax Board of the State of California's ("FTB") Reply to Hyatt's Opposition to the FTB's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings ("Reply"). This 			
23	///		
24	///		
25	111		
26			
27			
28 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Lakes Business Park 8831 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, ny 89117 (702) 385-200 FAX (702) 385-2086			

١.

RA000300

•

is based on LR 2.20 and the following points and authorities. 1 DATED this 2^{24} day of April, 1999. 2 3 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN 4 By: 5 Thomas L. Steffen Mark A. Hutchison John T. Steffen 6 Lakes Business Park 7 8831 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 8 Thomas K. Bourke 9 One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 12 **NOTICE OF MOTION** 13 TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Hutchison & Steffen will bring the foregoing 14 15 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SURREPLY for hearing on the 10 16 day of , 199 , in Department XVIII. **U**DATED this 17 day of April, 1999. 18 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN 19 By: 20 Thomas L. Steffen Mark A. Hutchison 21 John T. Steffen Lakes Business Park 22 8831 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 23 Thomas K. Bourke 24 One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 25 Attorneys for Plaintiff 26 27 28 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN AKES BUSINESS PARK -2-31 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

RA000301

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On February 9, 1999, the FTB filed its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings in
this case. Hyatt filed his Opposition on March 15, 1999. On March 26, 1999, the FTB filed its
Reply to Hyatt's Opposition to the FTB's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. The FTB's
Reply went beyond the scope of Hyatt's Opposition and raised new arguments not set forth in
the original moving papers. In so doing, the FTB also misstated the law in several respects.
Hyatt now moves for leave to file a surreply to the FTB's Reply brief. The proposed surreply is
attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

9 This motion is based on the following four issues improperly raised by the FTB
10 for the first time in its Reply.

First: the FTB improperly and unsuccessfully attempts to shift standards under Rule 12(c) which were first asserted in its moving papers. It thereby concedes in its reply the inappropriateness of its motion pursuant to legal authority cited in its own moving papers; the FTB's reply also injects its version of the facts into the motion -which contradict Hyatt's allegations -- thereby violating the most basic tenet of a Rule 12(c) motion that the facts alleged in Hyatt's First Amended Complaint (*i.e.*, Hyatt's version of the facts), must be accepted as true.

Second: the FTB provides a new but equally flawed analysis concerning declaratory relief and subject matter jurisdiction. Regardless of how many times the FTB cries "tax case," this is not a tax case and declaratory relief is appropriate and necessary in this action.

Third: the FTB spends four pages arguing its "Demands" were legal under California law. If true, it is of no consequence. It is Nevada law that is relevant, and the deceit, trickery, and fraud engaged in by the FTB in using such unauthorized "Demands" in Nevada is unlawful under Nevada law.

Fourth: the FTB cites for the first time certain inapplicable California statutes in making another but equally unsuccessful assertion that it has immunity to commit torts in Nevada, against a Nevada resident, so long as its tortious conduct was in furtherance

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VECAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

of trying to collect taxes for California; but the holdings in *Nevada v. Hall*, 440 U.S. 410 (1979), and *Mianecki v. District Court*, 99 Nev. 93, 658 P.2d 422 (1983), do govern this case and provide that the FTB can be held liable in Nevada for torts.

4 B. ANALYSIS

1

2

3

5 Because the FTB raises new facts and arguments in its Reply as summarized above, Hyatt has not had an opportunity to address all of the FTB's arguments. Fairness and equity 6 7 dictate that Hyatt be given this opportunity by filing a surreply. Many courts have recognized the importance and benefit of surreplies as an aid in assisting the court to address fully and 8 adequately the law and facts of individual cases. In Newton v. N.B.C., 109 F.R.D. 522 (D. Nev. 9 10 1985), the court allowed defendants in a defamation case to file a surreply to a Motion to Compel two television journalists to disclose sources used in preparing a nighttime news 11 12 broadcast regarding the plaintiff. Similarly, in Seaman v. C.S.P.H., Inc., August 25, 1997 U.S. Dist. N.D. Tex., Lexis 21177, (attached), the court allowed the plaintiff to file a surreply to the 13 14 defendant's motion for summary judgment because the defendant quoted the plaintiff's 15 deposition out of context.

16 Other court decisions have allowed or recognized that surreplies can be helpful in analyzing a broad variety of issues. See, e.g., Alaska Wildlife Alliance v. Jensen, 108 F.3d 1065 17 (9th Cir. 1997) (reasoning in a case based on the Endangered Species Act that "If the Fisherman 18 wanted a chance to respond . . . [they] could have moved to file a surreply"); Langlois v. Deja 19 Vu, Inc., 984 F. Supp. 1327 (D. Wash. 1997) (allowing surreply in a case regarding whether 20 21 court had personal jurisdiction over defendant); Religious Tech. Ctr. v. Netcom On-line Commun. Servs., 923 F. Supp. 1231 (N.D. Cal. 1995) (stating that filing of surreply was 22 23 justified by parties' mention of new instance of alleged contempt by opposing party); Murrelet 24 v. Babbitt, 918 F. Supp. 318 (D. Wash. 1996) (surreply allowed in case involving Endangered 25 Species Act); accord Silver v. Babbitt, 924 F. Supp. 972 (D. Ariz. 1995). Kealoha v. E. I. Du Pont De Nemours, Inc., 844 F. Supp. 590 (D. Haw. 1994) (allowing surreply in product liability 26 27 suit for allegedly defective oral implant device).

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK B31 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

C. CONCLUSION

1

(702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

2 Hyatt requests that this Court grant leave to file the attached surreply so that he may respond to the new facts and issues summarized above and which are addressed in more 3 4 detail in his attached surreply. Respectfully submitted this 2^{-1} day of April, 1999. 5 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN 6 7 By: 8 Thomas/L. Steffer Mark A. Hutchison 9 John Steffen Lakes Business Park 10 8831 West Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89117 11 Thomas K. Bourke 12 One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1092 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN ES BUSINESS PARK -5-3831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

hi an Line

Exhibit 1

RA000305

12

1 2	SURR Thomas L. Steffen Mark A. Hutchison				
3	John T. Steffen HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Labor Duringer Date				
4	Lakes Business Park 8831 West Sahara Avenue				
5	Las Vegas, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500				
6	Thomas K. Bourke One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor				
7	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1092 (213) 623-1092				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
9	9				
10					
11	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
12	GILBERT P. HYATT,) Case No. A382999) Dept No. XVIII			
13 14	Plaintiff,))) PLAINTIFF GIL HYATT'S			
14	VS.) SURREPLY			
15	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1-100,)) FILED UNDER SEAL BY			
17	inclusive,	 STIPULATION AND ORDER DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1999 			
18	Defendants.				
19	I. INTRODUCTION.				
20					
21	"supplementing" its motion with new issues and, incredibly, with its version of numerous				
22	disputed facts. Hyatt therefore files this surreply to address the new issues and facts. ¹				
23	First, the FTB improperly and unsuccessfi	ally attempts to shift standards under Rule			
24	12(c) thereby conceding the inappropriateness of	its motion pursuant to legal authority cited in			
25	its own moving papers. The FTB also attempts to inject its version of contradictory facts into				
26	the motion thereby violating the most basic tenet	of a Rule 12(c) motion: the facts alleged in			
27	1				
28 1 K	¹ This surreply is not intended to nor does it address every issue raised in the FTB's Repl papers. The surreply is intended to address the new issues raised in the FTB's Reply for whic Hyatt has had no opportunity to respond. Hyatt's opposition addressed and rebutted all of the "old issues raised by the FTB in its Reply papers.				

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN Lakes Business Park 8831 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, nv 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

RA000306

1 Hyatt's First Amended Complaint (*i.e.*, Hyatt's version of the facts), must be accepted as true.

Second, the FTB provides a new but equally flawed analysis concerning declaratory
relief and subject matter jurisdiction. Regardless of how many times the FTB cries "tax case,"
this is not a tax case. Declaratory relief is appropriate and necessary in this action.

Third, the FTB spends four pages arguing its "Demands" were legal under California
law. If true, it is of no consequence. The deceit, trickery, and fraud engaged in by the FTB in
using such unauthorized "Demands" in Nevada is not absolved by California law.

Fourth, the FTB cites for the first time certain inapplicable California statutes in making
another but equally unsuccessful assertion that its had immunity -- *i.e.* free reign -- under
California law to commit torts in Nevada, against a Nevada resident, so long as its tortious
conduct was in furtherance of trying to collect taxes for California. No matter how it tries, the
FTB can not avoid the holdings in *Nevada v. Hall*, 440 U.S. 410 (1979), and *Mianecki v. District Court*, 99 Nev. 93, 658 P.2d 422 (1983).

14

15

16

II. THE FTB'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS MUST BE DENIED EVEN UNDER THE STANDARD OF 'FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED' WHICH WAS RAISED BY THE FTB FOR THE FIRST TIME IN ITS REPLY.

17 The FTB's treatment of the Rule 12(c) standards displays three themes: indecision, 18 sleight-of-hand and a mystifying urge for self destruction. The theme of indecision is most easily visible; the FTB simply cannot stick with one standard of review for judgment on the 19 20 pleadings. It picked its first standard from Bernard v. Rockhill Dev. Co., 103 Nev. 132, 734 P.2d 1238 (1987). (Motion, at 4.) This standard provides that a motion for "judgment on the 21 pleadings has utility only when 'all material allegations of fact are admitted in the pleadings and 22 only questions of law remain. ... " Id. citing Bernard, 103 Nev. at 135-36, 734 P.2d at 1241. 23 24 The FTB's fidelity to the Bernard standard was short-lived. Perhaps its disenchantment 25 sprang from Hyatt's opposition, which noted that the FTB's denial of the allegations in Hyatt's 26 Complaint precluded a viable motion for judgment on the pleadings. (Opposition, at 12, 27 quoting Bernard.) Because the FTB's answer denied 67 of the 72 paragraphs in the Complaint,

28 it naturally found the *Bernard* standard a bit daunting. Whatever the reasons for its fickleness,

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2300 FAX (702) 385-2086 the FTB's reply uses sleight-of-hand to replace the old standard with yet another: the defense of
 failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (Reply, at 3, citing Nev. R. Civ. P.
 12(h)(2).)

4 While the FTB has swapped standards, it has not lessened its burden. Motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim are "disfavored and rarely granted." 5A Wright & Miller, 5 Federal Practice and Procedure § 1357, at 321 (1990). The court reviews such a motion to 6 determine whether the complaint sets forth allegations sufficient to make out the elements of a 7 right to relief. Edgar v. Wagner, 101 Nev. 226, 228, 699 P.2d 110, 111 (Nev. 1985). All 8 factual allegations of Hyatt's Complaint must be accepted as true. Vacation Village, Inc. v. Q Hitachi America, Ltd., 110 Nev. 481, 484, 874 P.2d 744, 746 (1994). His Complaint will not be 10 dismissed for failure to state a claim "unless it appears beyond doubt that [he] could prove no 11 set of facts, which if accepted by the trier of fact, would entitle him ... to relief." Id. In 12 Nevada, the question is whether in the light most favorable to Hyatt, taking every allegation as 13 true, and with every doubt resolved in his behalf, the Complaint states a claim for relief. Id. 14 Moreover, "[t]he test for determining whether the allegations of a complaint are sufficient to 15 assert a claim for relief is whether the allegations give fair notice of the nature and basis of a 16 17 legally sufficient claim and the relief requested. Id.

The FTB's motion self destructs under the weight of these principles. For example, 18 19 Hyatt alleges the FTB committed an abuse of process by issuing Demands for Information to 20 Nevada citizens. (FAC, ¶ 56.) The FTB initially sought judgment on the pleadings by contending that a cause of action for abuse of process must involve judicial process. (Motion, 21 at 28.) Hyatt's opposition cited no fewer than eight court cases applying abuse of process to 22 administrative proceedings. (Opposition, at 38-40.) The FTB's reply dismisses this precedent 23 as mere "foreign authority" followed by the bald, unsupported assertion that "Nevada law is 24 25 contrary." (Reply, at 19.) Yet the FTB provides not a single Nevada case that even considers 26 abuse of process in agency proceedings; the cases it cites involve only private litigants who 27 must use judicial process to obtain subpoenas rather than administrative agencies with the 28 ability to abuse their native subpoena powers. Such an anemic showing hardly fulfills the

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-22500 FAX (702) 385-2086

FTB's burden to show beyond a doubt that Hyatt could prove no set of facts, which if accepted
 by the trier of fact, would entitle him to relief.

Without belaboring the point, Hyatt's 30 page Complaint is stocked with allegations
which, if true, easily entitles Hyatt to relief on each cause of action. Thus, even if the FTB is
permitted to circumvent the standard of review under the *Bernard* case (which the FTB cited in
its Motion as the proper standard), the result is the same -- its Motion must be denied.

7 8

III. CONTRARY TO THE STRICT REQUIREMENTS OF A RULE 12(C) MOTION, THE FTB REFUSES TO ADMIT HYATT'S ALLEGATIONS AND INSTEAD ASSERTS ITS OWN VERSION OF THE "FACTS."

9 The FTB makes the extraordinary statement in its reply that the Court should only
10 consider the facts "as stated in the Motion." (Moving papers, at 3.) The FTB's motion,
11 however, failed to state or acknowledge the vast majority of allegations in the Complaint.
12 Moreover, Hyatt's opposition merely added details to facts alleged in the Complaint, details
13 which have been developed through discovery and further investigation. The FTB cannot pick
14 and choose the facts on which this motion is based. As detailed below, it must assume Hyatt's
15 allegations in the Complaint are true.

The FTB first erroneously asserts that Hyatt "does not allege that he has ever actually
paid California income taxes." (Opposition, at 1.) In fact, just the opposite is true. Hyatt has
alleged that he paid California state income taxes through the date of his residency there,

19 September 26, 1991. (FAC, ¶ 10.)

The FTB then argues that Hyatt's purchase of a "middle class" home in Las Vegas may have been for investment purposes given the rising Las Vegas real estate market,² and it is easy for a wealthy person to establish contacts with Nevada in such manner and then claim residency. (Reply, at 2.) The FTB's apparent implication is that a wealthy person must do more than the average citizen to establish residency, *i.e.* because Hyatt obtained substantial wealth sometime after moving to Nevada he must flaunt it. The assertion is absurd and it improperly attempts to

20

²Even Sheila Cox, the FTB's key witness and lead auditor, acknowledged that the FTB did not take into account the conditions of the Nevada real estate market in determining whether Hyatt's Las Vegas home purchase was an indication of his residency.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086 1 contradict the facts pled by Hyatt. (FAC, ¶ 8-9.)

The FTB further argues facts such as Mr. Hyatt being "in his home" in La Palma,
California in 1992. The FTB questions whether such "home" was sold to his "associate," Grace
Jeng. (Reply, at 6.) These assertions by the FTB are contrary to the facts alleged in the
Complaint. (FAC, ¶ 8-9.)

The most significant factual assertion made by the FTB, contrary to the allegations in the
Complaint, is that the FTB's contact with Nevada in carrying out the torts alleged was minimal.
The FTB goes so far as to say that its lead auditor, Ms. Sheila Cox, had minimal contacts with
Nevada and visited, surveilled, spied on, etc. Hyatt on only one occasion in Las Vegas. Hyatt
has alleged to the contrary regarding the FTB's conduct in Nevada, and such allegations must be
accepted as true for this motion. (FAC, ¶ 11-14.)³

12 13

IV. THIS NEVADA COURT DOES HAVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OVER HYATT'S DECLARATORY RELIEF CLAIM.

The FTB continues to fret over Hyatt's declaratory relief claim despite its insistence that "California would not give full faith and credit to a Nevada judgment purporting to determine an action barred under California law." (Motion, at 10.) It also wrongfully characterizes Hyatt's "first and foremost cause of action" as one for declaratory relief concerning "his California income tax liability for 1991 and 1992." This is a tort case. The FTB is in Nevada answering for its tortious conduct here, and Hyatt's tax representative is in California dealing with the FTB's tax investigation of Hyatt.

Seeking any port in a storm, the FTB shouts the ultimate: this Court is without subject matter jurisdiction to hear the declaratory relief claim. The ploy is clever but disingenuous. The FTB belatedly notes that the first 27 paragraphs of Hyatt's Complaint "consist[s] almost entirely of references to California income tax matters." However, these references are necessary to provide understanding and context to all of Plaintiff's claims, and to lay the

26

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

³The FTB's representation in its Reply of only one surveillance of Hyatt's Nevada home is false. Sheila Cox has admitted to a second visit to view Hyatt's Nevada home. Hyatt has also developed information from other sources establishing that there were more than two occasions on which the FTB surveilled Hyatt's Nevada home.

	foundation for refuting the FTB's mournful cry that it has simply, and lawfully, investigated				
:	2 residency and income information given to it by a trusting but disgruntled Gil Hyatt.				
	The FTB contends that the residency issue in Hyatt's declaratory relief claim is relevant				
2	only to the FTB's ongoing tax investigation against Hyatt in California, and thus (for				
. 4					
e					
7					
8	In Hyatt's Seventh Cause of Action (for fraud), Hyatt alleges numerous				
9					
10					
11	\$21.8 million) to the FTB for taxes and fraud. In his Complaint, Hyatt alleges that:				
12					
13					
14	aforementioned sale was a sham, and therefore evidence of plaintiff's continued California residency and his attempt to evade California income tax by fraud;				
15	(b) Plaintiff supplied evidence to the FTB that he declared his sale,				
16					
17	concluded that since the grant deed on the home was not recorded until June, 1993, the sale was a sham and a major basis for assessing fraud penalties				
18	against plaintiff as a means of building the pressure for extortion; (c) Plaintiff, aware of his own whereabouts and domicile alleges that				
19	the FTB has no credible evidence, and can indeed provide none, that would				
20	indicate that plaintiff continued to own or occupy his former home in La Palma, California which he sold to his business associate and confidant, Grace Jeng on October 1, 1991;				
21					
22	October 1, 1991 a "sham," the FTB later declined to compare the much less				
23	expensive California home with the home plaintiff purchased in Las Vegas, Nevada (a strong indication favoring Nevada residency) stating that: "Statistics (size cost etc.) comparing the taxpayor's La Palma how stating the V				
24	(size, cost, etc.) comparing the taxpayer's La Palma home to his Las Vegas home will not be weighed in the determination [of residency], as the taxpayer sold the La Palma house on 10/1/91 before he purchased the house in Las Vegas				
25	during April of 1992." (Emphasis added.) (FAC, at 24-25.)				
26	Then after alleging in paragraph 63 (d) that "[t]he FTB's gamesmanship, illustrated in				
27	part, above, constituted an ongoing misrepresentation of a bona fide audit of plaintiff's 1991 tax				
28	year," the Complaint further alleges, at paragraph 67, that "[t]he aforesaid misrepresentations by				
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LARES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2086 FAX (702) 385-2086	-6-				

1

RA000311

1 the FTB and its agents were fraudulent, oppressive and malicious."

In brief, Hyatt is claiming that the FTB's proposed tax and fraud assessment against him
for the periods from September 26, 1991 through April 2, 1992, were part of the malicious,
intentional, oppressive scheme to defraud him into paying the FTB a large compromise
settlement. That residency period is part and parcel of Hyatt's fraud claim against the FTB.
And it is but the tip of the iceberg! Ongoing discovery has revealed other express
misrepresentations that are part of the calculus to defraud and extort money from Hyatt.

The case of Edgar v. Wagner, 101 Nev. 226, 228, 699 P.2d 110 (1985) is instructive in 8 the resolution of this issue. In Edgar, the district attorney, Wagner, had assisted a wildlife agent 9 in the preparation of an affidavit supporting the issuance of an arrest warrant resulting in the 10 arrest and incarceration of the wrong man. In his civil action against Wagner, plaintiff alleged 11 that the district attorney participated in the preparation of the affidavit with malice, and a 12 deliberate effort to deprive the plaintiff of due process. The Edgar court noted that "[a] 13 prosecutor who functions primarily as an administrator or investigator is accorded qualified 14 immunity, that is, protection from liability depends upon a showing that the prosecutor 15 entertained a good faith, reasonable belief in actions taken in an administrative or investigative 16 17 capacity." Id. Then, the court held: "Assuming, as we must at this juncture, respondent 18 participated in the preparation of the affidavit with malice, and in a deliberately structured effort to deprive appellant of due process, the allegations of the complaint state a claim which, if 19 accepted by the trier of fact, could entitle appellant to relief." Id. 20

21 The Edgar case resulted in a reversal of the district court's judgment dismissing the 22 action on a Rule 12(b)(5) motion for failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted. 23 In addressing the standard that applies to such a motion, the court noted that the task for the 24 court was to determine "whether or not the challenged pleading sets forth allegations sufficient to make out the elements of a right to relief." The court further observed that in reaching such a 25 26 determination "the allegations in the complaint must be taken at 'face value, and must be 27 construed favorably in the plaintiff's behalf." (Citation omitted.) The court then ruled: "The 28 complaint cannot be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it appears beyond a doubt that

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086
the plaintiff could prove no set of facts which, if accepted by the trier of fact, would entitle him
 to relief." *Id.*

The *Edgar* case is of value to the instant issue because, interestingly, the district attorney against whom the action was brought, was functioning in an "administrative" or "investigative" capacity (like the FTB) as opposed to a prosecutorial capacity, and enjoyed a qualified immunity based upon whether, in so functioning, he could prove that he "entertained a good faith, reasonable belief" in the propriety of his actions.

The reasoning of Edgar applies here. The FTB, in its investigative capacity, came to 8 9 Nevada and committed acts Hyatt has alleged to be fraudulent, malicious, oppressive, and violative of his privacy. These allegations, if believed by the trier of fact, would entitle Hyatt to 10 relief. They have compelling application to the FTB's fraudulent actions with respect to the 11 alleged pretense with which Hyatt sought to demonstrate his Nevada residency for the period 12 September 26, 1991 and beyond. It is unthinkable that this Court would be divested of subject 13 matter jurisdiction to decide whether Hyatt is entitled to the protection accorded all other 14 Nevada residents simply because the FTB contends that its investigative authority in tax matters 15 preempts the jurisdictional right of courts in other jurisdictions to hold it accountable for torts 16 committed in the course of its extraterritorial operations and investigations. 17

18 Additionally, the FTB cannot sustain its position, discussed in greater detail below, that the doctrine of administrative remedies preempts the subject-matter jurisdiction of this Court 19 notwithstanding Hyatt's claim of fraud in the FTB's determination of residency. The Supreme 20 21 Court of Illinois grappled with an exhaustion claim in the context of nothing less than a fraudulent tax case. In Alerich v. Harding, 172 N.E. 772, 775 (Ill. 1930), appellant contended 22 that the lower court judgment was faulty because of the failure to require the complainant to 23 exhaust his administrative remedies before the reviewing board. The court held that "[f]raud is 24 25 an independent ground for the exercise of equitable jurisdiction. In this case the bill alleges 26 facts which constitute fraud in the assessment of appellee's property, and of that subject the 27 court will take jurisdiction." Id. Moreover, the court stated that "[b]y their action the assessing authorities defeated the remedy of appellee for pursuing his course of law. Under the facts 28

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 383-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086 1 alleged in the bill appellee had the right to resort to a court of equity." *Id.*

As in the case of *Alerich*, the FTB, by its fraudulent actions, has prevented Hyatt from
obtaining any redress for the injuries inflicted on him.

The FTB also too quickly glosses over the effect of NRS 10.155 (which it erroneously 4 cited as NRS 10.115) on the instant action. In pertinent part, the statute provides that "the legal 5 residence of a person with reference to his . . . right to maintain . . . any suit at law or in equity, 6 7 or any other right dependent on residence, is that place where he has been physically present 8 within the state or county, as the case may be, during all of the period for which residence is claimed by him." The FTB would have the Court believe that this statute is restricted to divorce 9 10 cases, out-of-state tuition, or voting rights even though it has not cited to any authority in 11 support of its restrictive interpretation.

Significantly, however, the FTB declared that this statute "relates only to matters where
a person's rights depend on the place of his legal residence." (Reply at 5.) Obviously, if Hyatt
was a Nevada resident as of September 26, 1991 and beyond, as he claims, he would have an
absolute right to invoke the jurisdiction of Nevada's civil justice system against an aggressive
out-of-state taxing agency who was tortiously and unconstitutionally attempting to extort taxes
from him for income earned in Nevada during the period of his Nevada residency. The statute
clearly applies, and Hyatt has every right to have his Nevada residency confirmed by this Court.

19 Casting aside all of the ornaments, the gist of Defendant's position is that Hyatt, by 20 protesting the FTB's notices of proposed assessment in California, has fallen into its clutches 21 from which there is no return until it finishes with him and thereafter releases him to the Board 22 of Equalization. Hyatt, according to the FTB, can move neither forward, backward, nor 23 sideways at least until the FTB concludes its six-plus year "audit/investigation" of him, and the 24 fact that he is a Nevada resident is not relevant because under some ethereal law, Hyatt has 25 became an FTB captive by virtue of his California protest, and cannot run to a Nevada court for 26 protection. "No subject matter jurisdiction in this Nevada court," protests the FTB. "Hyatt is 27 bound to exhaust his administrative remedies in California with the FTB and its parent, the 28 Board of Equalization, before he can pursue relief in Nevada concerning the issue of his

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

residency and his trumped-up tort claims." All of the foregoing is but symptomatic of the FTB's
 complex that prevents it from contemplating limitations on its taxing powers. Since its taxing
 powers are sacrosanct, so are its uses, thereby permitting the FTB to do anything, anywhere, to
 anyone with impunity.

5 Case law does not support the FTB's claim of exclusivity of subject-matter jurisdiction. In the first place, exhaustion of administrative remedies has no application to this tort case. In 6 the Nevada seminal case of Hansen v. Harrah's, 100 Nev. 60, 64-65, 675 P.2d 394, 397 (1984), 7 Hansen was discharged for filing a claim for workmen's compensation. In relevant part, the 8 Hansen court held that "[s]ince both the cause of action and the remedy are governed by the law 9 10 of torts, there is no basis for administrative relief within the framework of the state industrial 11 insurance system, and hence no need to exhaust purported administrative remedies as suggested by employers." Again, in the case of Ambassador Ins. Corp. v. Feldman, 95 Nev. 538, 598 P.2d 12 630, 631 (1979), the court dispensed with the exhaustion of administrative remedies argument 13 14 in a defamation case and reversed the district court, ruling that "[s]ince the [insurance] 15 commissioner is powerless to grant the relief appellants seek in their suit, the doctrine of exhaustion of administrative remedies is not applicable." This is a Nevada tort case, and there 16 17 are no administrative remedies in California which could provide Hyatt with redress for his 18 injuries.

Moreover, there is no law that supports the proposition that if an administrative agency
in California commences a tax investigation against a resident of Nevada which includes a
residency component, a Nevada court would be required to cede subject matter jurisdiction to
California. In fact, the law is to the contrary.

In the case of *Kaski v. First Federal*, 240 N.W.2d 367, 374 (Wis. 1974), the court observed that "[i]n general . . . it can be said that, unless exclusive jurisdiction is given to the administrative agency by statute, a court has subject-matter jurisdiction regardless of whether a litigant ought to exhaust his administrative remedies before submitting his case to the courts." There is no statute in Nevada that provides for an exclusive jurisdiction in an administrative agency of another state, and in the event a Nevada court were to defer to the administrative

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LARES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VECAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2000 FAX (702) 385-2086

RA000315

jurisdiction of the FTB in California, it would clearly be the result of a discretionary act of
 comity -- dispensation that is unavailable to the FTB for reasons covered in Hyatt's Opposition
 to the FTB's Motion. The *Kaski* court also noted, with respect to the doctrine of primary
 jurisdiction that it is not a question of power but of comity. *Id*.

5 The court in Glen Ridge v. Federal Savings & Loan Ins. Corp., 734 S.W.2d 374, 378 (Tex. App. 1987) rebuffed the argument asking for reversal based upon a failure to exhaust 6 administrative remedies, stating that "the doctrine of exhaustion of remedies is not a 7 jurisdictional rule but is a matter committed to judicial discretion and an exercise of comity 8 only." (Citing Morrison-Knudsen Co., Inc. v. CHG International, Inc., 811 F.2d 1209, 1223 9 (9th Cir. 1987). See also, Collins v. Elkay Mining Co., 371 S.E.2d 46, 51 (W.Va. 1988) ("the 10 doctrine of administrative exhaustion is not jurisdictional in nature: The general requirement of 11 the exhaustion of administrative remedies is not a jurisdictional doctrine, but is a matter of 12 comity, within the discretion of the trial court") (quoting Wiggins v. Eastern Associated Coal 13 Corp., 357 S.E.2d 745 (W.Va. 1987). Moreover, the Supreme Court of New Jersey in Abbott v. 14 Burke, 495 A.2d 376, 391 (N.J. 1985), in the course of discussing exhaustion concepts, stated 15 "that the preference for exhaustion of administrative remedies is one of convenience, not an 16 indispensable pre-condition." (Quoting Swede v. City of Clifton, 125 A.2d 865 (N.J. 1956)). 17 Finally, the court in Kramer v. Horton, 383 N.W.2d 54, 59 (Wis. 1986), held that "[t]he 18 exhaustion doctrine applies only when administrative remedies are adequate and readily 19 available. If the administrative remedies are patently inadequate, or are adequate in theory but 20 not in practice due to bias or delay, then the basis for applying the exhaustion doctrine does not 21 exist, and one of the exceptions should allow the plaintiff to escape from the clutches of 22 bureaucratic tyranny." Suffice it to say, that in the FTB's six-plus year "investigation" of Hyatt, 23 there is an abundance of evidence of both bias and delay. This Court must enable Hyatt to 24 25 escape from the tortious tyranny of the FTB!

It should be clear as a matter of law that the FTB cannot invoke in Nevada a superior
right of subject matter jurisdiction regarding Hyatt's citizenship under any exhaustion doctrine
or other concept. Its only recourse would be to ask for comity, a plea akin to a house burglar

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

-11-

caught in the act who thereafter asks the court to grant him the right to have his case heard in his
 home state where he has greater influence and is better known. As noted above, Hyatt has
 previously addressed the issue of comity and will not burden the Court with further discussion
 on the subject here.

Interestingly, the FTB accuses Hyatt of filing a "tax case" in Nevada in order to create a
barrier to its efforts to tax Hyatt in California by means of either res judicata or collateral
estoppel. The simple answer to this accusation is from the FTB's own mouth: "California would
not give full faith and credit to a Nevada judgment purporting to determine an action barred
under California law." (Motion, at 10.)

10 Finally, the FTB's premise that the Nevada declaratory relief claim is identical to that at issue in the FTB protest proceeding pending in California is also wrong. There are different 11 issues pending in the different forums. The FTB does not have the authority to determine that 12 Hyatt is or is not a Nevada resident. It has authority only to make a preliminary determination 13 14 as to when Hyatt ceased to be a California resident. Only this Court can determine Hyatt's Nevada residency. For example, the FTB is without authority to determine that Hyatt was and 15 16 is a Nevada resident after April 2, 1992. The California residency statute defines who is a California resident and then states that all others are California non-residents. See California 17 Revenue & Tax Code § 17014 and 17015. A California non-residency determination is not 18 sufficient. Hyatt needs a Nevada residency determination, which the FTB is unable to provide. 19 20 V. THE FTB CONTINUES TO ARROGANTLY ASSERT THAT IT CAN APPLY AND ENFORCE CALIFORNIA LAW IN NEVADA, ON NEVADA RESIDENTS, 21 WITHOUT PERMISSION OR EVEN NOTICE TO NEVADA COURTS. 22 The FTB's reply goes to great lengths to try to justify its fraudulent and abusive use of

its quasi-subpoena power. The FTB's Reply discusses <u>California law</u> and the authority the FTB
has under <u>California law</u> to seek information on taxpayers under investigation. (Reply, at 6-9.)
The FTB even makes reference to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure relating to issuance
of a subpoena and the Uniform Foreign Deposition Act. (Reply, at 9.) The FTB, however,
ignored such statutes. Rather, as set forth in more detail in Hyatt's Opposition and Complaint,
the FTB abused its quasi-subpoena power by fraudulently demanding -- without authority to do

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 88117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086 so -- that Nevada residents produce information concerning Hyatt. Moreover, this misuse of its
 quasi-subpoena power is one of the means by which the FTB invaded Hyatt's privacy by
 revealing very personal and private information about him to newspapers, utility companies,
 government entities, etc. (FAC, ¶ 33, et seq.)

Whatever the FTB is empowered to do in California, it does not have such automatic
rights in Nevada. A government agency's misuse of its authority, or in this case apparent but
false authority, in furtherance of its attempt to collect taxes is tortious.

8 The FTB emphasizes that California law gives it the right to seek depositions within or 9 without the state of California. (Reply, at 9.) It is not the FTB's nor California's prerogative to 10 determine what the FTB can and cannot do in a sister state such as Nevada. Having cited to 11 Nevada's Rules on Civil Procedure and the Uniform Foreign Deposition Act, the FTB knew 12 what was required if it desired to subpoena Nevada residents or "demand" documents from 13 Nevada residents under the cover of official governmental authority. Nevertheless, it chose not 14 to follow such procedures.

The premise of the FTB's lengthy discussion of California law is that the FTB can do
what it wants to do, where it wants to do it, and when it wants to do it without the permission of
any other lawful authority. In other words, there are no limits on its investigative authority.
The FTB can and does use excessive force or other tortious conduct to obtain information from
Hyatt or any third-party witness, including the issuance of false and deceptive subpoenas in
furtherance of the collection of California taxes. Hyatt alleges the FTB cannot engage in such
conduct under Nevada law.⁴

22 23

VI. CONTRARY TO THE FTB'S ASSERTION, IT IS BOUND BY NEVADA V. HALL AND MIANECKI AND IS LIABLE FOR TORTS COMMITTED IN NEVADA.

The FTB's liability for torts, and corresponding lack of sovereign immunity, in Nevada
based on *Nevada v. Hall*, 440 U.S. 410, 99 S.Ct. 1182, 59 L.Ed. 2d 416 (1979), reh'g denied,

26

⁴Whether California law authorizing the FTB to conduct investigations immunizes it for
all torts while in California, as the FTB seemingly argues, is doubtful but irrelevant to this motion.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

LAS VEGAS, NV 89117
 (702) 385-2500
 FAX (702) 385-2086

1 441 U.S. 917, and Mianecki v. District Court, 99 Nev. 93, 658 P.2d 422 (1983), was thoroughly discussed in Hyatt's Opposition. (Opposition, at 20-23.) The FTB now attempts to put a new, 2 3 and baseless, twist on such precedents in an attempt to avoid their consequences.

The FTB cites Sections 820.2 and 860.2 of the California Government Code in asserting 4 that Nevada v. Hall has no application to this case. The FTB reasons that Nevada has no state 5 6 income tax law, and for that reason this Court must look to California law to determine whether 7 or not immunity in regards to the collection of taxes by a government agency.

8 First, as discussed below, the California Government Code sections cited by the FTB do not give it immunity to commit torts under the protective guise of tax collecting. Secondly, the 9 10 FTB intentionally ignores the facts pled in Hyatt's Complaint which must be accepted as true 11 for the purposes of this motion; Namely, the FTB had substantial and significant tortious 12 contacts in and/or directed into Nevada.⁵

13 Nevada v. Hall unequivocally holds that one state may be held liable in the courts of 14 another state for torts. The FTB cites to other Supreme Court decisions mentioning 15 "insignificant contact" but such cases have no relevance to this analysis. Such cases do not 16 involve a state being sued in a sister state. Rather, the issue in such cases relates to choice of law provisions.⁶ In short, the FTB cannot ignore Nevada v. Hall by simply asserting that 17 Nevada has no state income tax laws.⁷ 18

19

⁵The FTB also cites to §19504 and 19545 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code 20 in alleging that the FTB has immunity in carrying out its attempts to collect California state income 21 taxes. (Reply, at 17.) Such statutes merely set forth the framework under which the FTB may pursue collection of California state income taxes. It gives no immunity to the FTB for tortious 22 conduct.

23

⁶Bradford Elec. Light Co. v. Clapper, 286 U.S. 145 (1932), was a workers compensation and employment contract case. Application of another state's law was required in part due to the 24 contract. Allstate Ins. Co. v. Hague, 449 U.S. 302 (1980), was a dispute of choice of law stemming 25 from an insurance coverage case. Neither implicates sovereign immunity nor rebuts, reverses, or overrides Nevada v. Hall.

26

27

28

'In fact, Nevada has a taxpayer bill of rights (e.g., NRS 360.291) which is even more stringent and provides the taxpayer more protections than California law. The FTB therefore again shows its contempt for Nevada law and Nevada sovereignty by again pretending that it is not important.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN S BUSINESS PARK 331 W. SAHARA AVENUE AS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

For *Mianecki*, the FTB has no answer so it simply ignores the holding of the Nevada
 Supreme Court wherein it held that government agencies from sister states <u>do not have</u>
 immunity for torts committed in Nevada. In *Mianecki*, the only conduct engaged in by the out
 of state agency was the negligent placement of a parolee in Nevada. Because such conduct
 caused damage in Nevada, the Nevada Supreme Court found that Wisconsin was liable for the
 tortious conduct.

Here, the FTB has engaged in, according to Hyatt's Complaint, a series of significant
tortious acts in or directed into Nevada. These acts were part of the FTB's attempt to carry out
the FTB's decision to pursue collection of taxes from Hyatt. The FTB's decision to pursue
collection of taxes from Hyatt is not at issue, but its conduct in implementing its decision is at
issue. Hyatt alleges that such conduct was tortious for which the FTB must now answer in a
Nevada court. Nevada v. Hall and Mianecki give Hyatt this right.

13

VII. THE FTB DOES NOT HAVE IMMUNITY FOR TORTIOUS CONDUCT.

For the first time, the FTB cites to California Government Code Sections 820.2 and
860.2. The FTB declares that these code sections give it and its employees immunity. The
immunity, however, has no application to the current case.

17

18

A. Section 820.2 has no application here because Hyatt has not sued an FTB employee.

Section 820.2 by the very terms quoted in the FTB's reply papers, applies only to public
 employees, not governmental agencies such as the FTB. Hyatt has not sued any FTB
 employees.

Moreover, such statute applies only to "discretionary" acts of public employees. Such discretionary act immunity has been specifically limited by California courts to basic policy decisions. Conduct engaged in by a government employee in carrying out policy decisions is not immune. *Bell v. State of California*, 63 Cal.App. 4th 919, 929, 74 Cal.Rptr. 2d 541 (1998) held that state investigators' conduct resulting in a false arrest and other tortious acts was not immune as it did not amount to "basic policy decisions" and therefore fell outside the ambit of discretionary acts. *Martinez v. City of Los Angeles*, 141 F.3d 1373, 1379 (9th Cir. 1998), held that Section 820.2 protects basic policy decisions but does not protect operational or ministerial

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAMARA AVENUE LAS VECAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

RA000320

decisions. There, the court explained that state investigators could be held liable for the manner
 in which the investigation was carried, but not for the decision to pursue the investigation.

As this Court is well-aware, only discretionary acts are immune. *O'Neal v. Annapolis Hospital*, 454 N.W.2d 148 (Mich. App. 1990). Specifically, there are limits on what the FTB and its employees may do in furtherance of the collection of taxes once a policy decision has been made to pursue collection from an individual such as Gil Hyatt. Such cases establish that in implementing the policies of an agency such as the FTB, its employees may not engage in tortious conduct.

9

10

B.

Section 860.2 has no application here because Hyatt's claims are not based on the FTB implementing a procedure or action to collect taxes.

In regard to Section 860.2, the literal language of the statute makes clear that an 11 individual cannot sue the FTB in tort for injury caused by the FTB as a result of its "instituting" 12 a proceeding or an action to collect taxes. The case cited by the FTB, Mitchell v. Franchise Tax 13 Board, 183 Cal.App. 3d 1133, 228 Cal.Rptr.750 (1986), held that the plaintiff's complaint for 14 negligence, slander of title, and interference with credit relations were all directly based on the 15 fact that the FTB had instituted an action or proceeding to collect taxes against such individual 16 and placed a tax lien on such individual's property. In other words, the plaintiff was trying to 17 sue merely because an action to collect taxes had been instituted allegedly causing damages. 18 The very fact that the FTB initiated an action against an individual cannot be the basis of a tort 19 claim.

However, in the instant case, as Hyatt stated first in his original complaint, then his current First Amended Complaint, and now numerous times in motion practice, this lawsuit in no way attempts to nor does it interfere with the FTB's proceeding in California relating to the tax issues. The torts alleged are not based on the fact that the FTB instituted a proceeding or action to collect taxes. It has a right to do so.⁸

26

20

Rather, in attempting to collect taxes from Mr. Hyatt, the FTB cannot do so by engaging

27

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2088

 ⁸ The FTB has previously stated that this lawsuit in no way affects its ongoing proceeding
 in California. (See Affidavit of Terry Collins, attached to the FTB Motion to Quash filed on February 1999.)

in tortious conduct. Just as a peace officer cannot enforce an arrest warrant with the use of
 excessive force or other undue means, the FTB cannot implement its policy decision to pursue
 taxes from Hyatt through excessive force, intimidation, or other tortious means.

While there is little case law interpreting Section 860.2, analogous provisions of the California Government Code giving immunity to government agencies and their employees for "instituting judicial or administrative proceedings" have been interpreted as giving immunity for the act of filing or instituting the action, but not for torts committed by employees while implementing the decision to pursue such an action. In short, the decision to initiate the proceeding or action cannot be challenged, but tortious conduct engaged in while the proceeding or action is pending is actionable.

11 12

13

Here, [Plaintiff]'s allegations, go beyond the contention that the LAPD officers acted improperly in deciding to seek his arrest. He alleges they acted negligently in conducting the investigation . . . , and they caused his arrest and imprisonment in Mexico.

Martinez, 141 F.3d at 1379. The plaintiff in Martinez therefore was entitled to pursue his tort
 claims. Id., see also Bell, 63 Cal.App. 4th at 929 (held no immunity under Cal. Govt. Code
 § 821.6 to state investigators for conduct in executing a search warrant.)

As has been its practice, the FTB attempts to misconstrue the language of Section 860.2. It asserts without explanation or citation to authority that the statute means any action taken is immune, thereby ignoring the plain language stating that it is the "institution" of a proceeding or action which is immune. In any event, whether the FTB can commit torts in California, under California law, while collecting taxes is not germane to this case. As set forth above, under *Nevada v. Hall* and *Mianecki*, the FTB can and will be held liable for torts directed at Nevada, causing damage in Nevada, aimed at a resident of Nevada.

Try as it might by incessantly repeating its theme, the FTB cannot make this a tax case or case of an individual attempting to interfere with tax collection. While the FTB cannot be held liable for its decision to seek California state income taxes from Gil Hyatt, it can be held liable for its excesses and intimidation in the form of fraud, invasion of privacy, abuse of process, etc. as alleged by Hyatt. The FTB can collect its taxes, if any are owed, but it also must pay for its torts if so ordered by a Nevada court.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN LAKES BUSINESS PARK 8831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

RA000322

1 VIII. CONCLUSION.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN AKES BUSINESS PARK

(702) 385-2500 FAX (702) 385-2086

2 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction to hear and resolve all claims asserted by Hyatt in this action, the FTB has no immunity in Nevada for the tortious conduct it commits in 3 or directs into Nevada. This case must be decided on its merits at trial. 4 Respectfully submitted this $2^{\cancel{2}}$ day of April, 1999. 5 6 **HUTCHISON & STEPFEN** 7 8 Thomas V. Steffen Mark A Hutchison John T. Steffen 9 10 Lakes Business Park 8831 West Sahara Avenue 11 Las Vegas, NV 89117 12 Thomas K. Bourke One Bunker Hill, 8th Floor 13 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1092 (213) 623-1092 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -18-831 W. SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

EXHIBIT 10

RA000324

1	REP	
2	THOMAS R. C. WILSON, ESQ.	
	Nevada State Bar # 1568 MATTHEW C. ADDISON, ESQ.	
3	Nevada State Bar # 4201	
4	BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #4442	
5	McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE	
6	BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000	
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	
8	Telephone (702) 873-4100	
	Attorneys for Defendants	
9	DISTRIC	
10	DISTRIC	T COURT
11	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
12	* *	* * *
13	GILBERT P. HYATT,	Case No. : A382999
14	Plaintiff,	Dept. No. : XVIII Docket No. : F
15		
16	VS.	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY
17	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE	
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1- 100, inclusive	FILED UNDER SEAL
18		Date of Hearing: 5/10/99
19	Defendants.	
20	Plaintiff continues to obfuscate and makes r	new, incorrect statements in his proposed Surreply
21		
22	oner. If the Court is inclined to consider that bri	ef, Defendant respectfully requests the Court also
23	consider this response thereto.	
24	LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDI	CTION MAY BE RAISED AT ANY TIME
25	In its Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings	s, the FTB has challenged this Court's exercise of
26	subject matter jurisdiction. See. e.g. Motion at li	nes 24-28:
27	The Plaintiff is currently anapa	ed in "scorched earth" discovery
28	against the FTB as to matters for subject matter jurisdiction, claims v	which the Nevada Court has no

{

.

.

i ·)

RA000325

.

.

per___ng in an ongoing California administrutive proceeding, and claims which are barred under Nevada and California law. (Emphasis added).

Plaintiff spends most of his proposed Surreply arguing over whether the FTB's motion is proper and what the standard is to decide the motion. Contrary to Plaintiff's arguments, lack of subject matter jurisdiction may be raised at any time.

Nev.R.Civ.Pro. Rule 12(b)(1) authorizes a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Rule 12 (h)(3) further provides:

Whenever it appears by suggestion of the parties or otherwise that the court lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter, the court shall dismiss the action.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the absence of subject matter jurisdiction is never waived and generally may be brought to the court's attention at any time and in almost any manner. <u>Meinhold v. Clark County School District</u>, 89 Nev. 56, 59, 506 P.2d 420, 422 <u>cert. denied</u>, 414 U.S. 943 (1973). In fact, it is within the inherent powers of all courts to inquire into their own jurisdiction and to determine if jurisdiction over the subject matter exists. <u>In re: Estate of Singleton</u>, 26 Nev. 106, 111, 64 P. 513 (1901). Where a court believes a doubt exists as to its jurisdiction, the court has a duty to raise and decide the issue <u>sua sponte</u>. <u>Phillips v. Welch</u>, 11 Nev. 187 (1876).

Although the Nevada Supreme Court apparently has not addressed the precise issue, some federal courts have permitted a defending party to raise a lack of subject matter jurisdiction on a Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings. <u>See Wright & Miller</u>, Federal Practice and Procedure: Civil 2d § 1350 at page 200 and § 1367 at page 515: "...Rule 12(h)(3) states that whenever it appears that the court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter the action may be dismissed, which, of course, means that the defense may be raised on a motion under Rule 12(c)." The FTB's use of Rule 12(c) to bring its motion in this case is appropriate given the language in Nev.R.Civ.Pro. Rule

12(h)(3) allowing land of subject matter jurisdiction to be raised by a mere "suggestion of the parties or otherwise."

There are two types of challenges to subject matter jurisdiction: facial and factual. A facial attack argues that the allegations in the complaint are insufficient to show that the court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the case. If the complaint does not properly invoke the court's jurisdiction, then the complaint is defective, and, unless the deficiency is cured, a motion to dismiss must be granted regardless of the actual existence of subject matter jurisdiction. A factual attack challenges the court's actual lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter, a defect that may exist despite the formal sufficiency of the allegations in the complaint. *See generally, Wright & Miller, §* 1350 at pages 211-212.

Here, this Court's lack of subject matter jurisdiction appears on the face of the complaint.

<u>See, e.g.</u>

5: ...(1) This is an action for, inter alia, declaratory relief; (2) substantial issues of public policy are implicated concerning the sovereignty of the State of Nevada and the integrity of its territorial boundaries as opposed to governmental agencies of another state who enter Nevada in an effort to extraterritorially, arbitrarily and deceptively enforce their policies, rules and regulations on residents of Nevada in general, and Plaintiff Gilbert P. Hyatt in particular;...

7: Plaintiff, by this action, seeks: (1) declaratory relief under NRS 30.010 <u>et seq.</u> to confirm Plaintiff's status as a Nevada resident effective as of September 26, 1991 and continuing to the present and, correspondingly, his non-residency during said period in California.

The prayer for judgment on Plaintiff's First Cause of Action is:

1. For judgment declaring and confirming that plaintiff is a bona fide resident of the State of Nevada effective as of September 26, 1991 to the present;

1.11

a she was to she was the she was to

Sec.

These are not just facial pleading defects. The defects are factual defects that go to the essential substance of the complaint. This Court does not, in fact, have subject matter jurisdiction, notwithstanding Plaintiff's conclusory legal allegations and argument to the contrary.

The party asserting jurisdiction has the burden of proving that subject matter jurisdiction exists. <u>Wright & Miller</u>, § 1350 at page 226. While the complaint will be construed broadly and liberally, the Court accepts only the well-plead <u>factual</u> allegations as true for purposes of deciding the motion, not conclusory or legal allegations. Argumentative inferences favorable to the pleader "will not be drawn." *Id. at pages 218-220*.

Although the FTB's motion was labeled as a Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings, its title could just as easily have included a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(h)(3). As the FTB pointed out at page 3 of its Reply:

The instant Motion tests <u>subject matter jurisdiction</u> which cannot be waived (<u>See</u>, NRCP 12(h) (3)) and raises the issue of failure to state claims upon which relief can be granted which is appropriate either before answering or in a motion for judgment on the pleadings. (<u>See</u>, NRCP 12(h)(2)). (Emphasis in original).

The failure to include a motion to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction under Rule 12(h)(3) in the title of the motion is a mere matter of label over substance.

Whatever the label, the inquiry is the same: assuming the truth of all of Plaintiff's <u>factual</u> allegations (not his self-serving conclusory and legal allegations which permeate the complaint), has Plaintiff stated claims over which this Court may grant relief? In this regard, a Rule 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings raises the same challenge as a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim;

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

11-01-0214

i.e., both assume ...e well-pleaded factual allegations in the complaint are true. Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial 9:198 at page 9-45 (1998); Wright & Miller § 1367 at pages 514-517 (defendant may assert both a lack of subject matter jurisdiction and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under Rule 12(c) because under Rule 12(h) both defenses are preserved; regardless of the form of the motion, the court applies the same standard). For all the reasons previously stated by the FTB, when Plaintiff's factual allegations are examined (not his self-serving conclusory assertions), it is clear that no claim against the FTB upon which this Court can grant relief is stated. Judgment on the pleadings is therefore appropriate.

Plaintiff cites <u>Bernard v. Rockhill Development Co.</u> 103 Nev. 132, 734 P.2d 1238 (1987) as precluding the FTB's motion because it is labeled a Rule 12(c) motion and the FTB has not admitted all of Plaintiff's allegations in its Answer. Contrary to Plaintiff's argument, <u>Bernard</u> does not preclude the Court from considering the FTB's motion.

First, as previously shown, the FTB's motion challenges this Court's subject matter jurisdiction, which was not at issue in *Bernard*. Also as previously shown, this Court has the inherent duty to determine if it has subject matter jurisdiction. And, the FTB has the right to raise a lack of subject matter jurisdiction "at any time" under Rule 12(h)(3).

The <u>Bernard</u> opinion cited to Wright & Miller § 1367 at page 510 for the proposition: "The motion for a judgment on the pleadings only has utility when all material allegations of fact are admitted in the pleadings and only questions of law remain." While that is a correct quotation from Wright & Miller, the statement is not completely dispositive. <u>See e.g.</u> Wright & Miller § 1367 at pages 514-517 cited above. In addition, the <u>Bernard</u> opinion also cited to Section 1368 of Wright & Miller. That section states, in pertinent part at page 523:

Although a moving party, for purposes of the motion, concedes the accuracy of the factual allegations in his adversary's pleading, he does

(702) 873-4100

not use other assertions that constitute condusions of law, legally impossible facts, or matters that would not be admissible in evidence at trial. *(citations omitted)*.

That is the posture of the FTB's motion: assuming the truth of Plaintiff's <u>factual</u> allegations, Plaintiff has failed to state claims over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction. The FTB did not have to admit to all of Plaintiff's allegations in order to bring its motion. <u>See also</u> Wright & Miller § 1370 at page 538:

> In considering motions under Rule 12(c), courts frequently indicate that a party moving for a judgment on the pleadings impliedly admits the truth of his adversary's allegations and the falsity of his own assertions that have been denied by his adversary. These implied admissions are effective only for purposes of the motion and do not in any way bind the moving party in other contexts or constitute a waiver of any of the material facts that will be in issue if the motion is denied. (Citations omitted).

PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY MISSCITES NEVADA v. HALL

At page 14, lines 13-14 of his proposed Surreply, Plaintiff argues:

<u>Nevada v. Hall</u> unequivocally holds that one state may be held liable in the courts of another state for torts.

Contrary to what Plaintiff would have this Court think, Nevada v. Hall, 440 U.S. 410, reh'g

denied, 441 U.S. 917 (1979), does not "unequivocally" hold any such thing. The majority opinion

contains an important footnote that qualifies the entire decision. Plaintiff ignores that footnote:

California's exercise of jurisdiction in this case poses no substantial threat to our constitutional system of cooperative federalism. Suits involving traffic accidents occurring outside of Nevada could hardly interfere with Nevada's capacity to fulfill its own sovereign responsibilities. We have no occasion, in this case, to consider whether different state policies, either of California or of Nevada, might require a different analysis or a different result. 440 U.S. at 424 n.24.

McDONALD CARANO WILSON 'VUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP ANEYS AT LAW 2300 WEST SAHAFA AVENUE • NO 10 SUITE 1000 LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89102-4354 (702) 873-4100 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

For this Count to exercise subject matter jurisdiction in uns case would constitute a substantial threat to our constitutional system of cooperative federalism in that it would interfere with California's capacity to fulfill its own sovereign responsibilities, namely to perform its administrative responsibilities to determine whether or not Plaintiff was a permanent resident of California and subject to California's tax on income. Accordingly, this Court must dismiss Plaintiff's complaint for all the reasons previously stated by FTB.

Dated this 6 day of April, 1999.

Respectfully submitted, McDonald Carano Wilson McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks LLP

Bv:

THOMAS R. C. WILSON, ESQ MATTHEW C. ADDISON, ESQ. BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ.

Attorneys for Defendants

(702) 873-4100

LAS VEGAS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#11478.1

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	
3	
4	Frankovich & Hicks LLP., and that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing
5	DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SURREPLY via Facsimile to (702) 385-2086
6	and by U.S. Mail on this day of April 1999, upon the following:
7	Thomas L. Steffen, Esq. Mark A. Hytchicon, Esc.
8	Mark A. Hutchison, Esq. Hutchison & Steffen
9	8831 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89117
10	and by depositing the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid thereon to the numbers noted
11	below, upon the following:
12	
13	Felix Leatherwood, Esq. Deputy Attorney General
14	Attorney General's Office
15	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013
16	Thomas K. Bourke, Esq.
17	601 W. Fifth Street, 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
18	
19 20	
20	An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson
22	McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks LLP
23	
24	
25	
26	~
27	
28	
	8
	RA000332

McDONALD CARANO WILSON 7.UNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP NEYS AT LAW 2300 WEST SAhmid AVENUE • NO 10 SUITE 1000 LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89102-4354 (702) 873-4100

}

。 他们的时候的话,她的说道:"你们的一个,你们不是一个,你们就是是你的?""你不是你?""你不是,你们,你们,你们的是我们的别的?""你的?"你不能说道:"你就是

EXHIBIT 11

RA000333

CondenseIt![™]

April 7, 1999

Hyatt vs.FTB	Cone	lenseIt!' ^m	April 7, 1999
 9 vs. 10 FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 11 Defendant. 12 13 14 BEFORE THE HONORABLE NA 15 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 0 16 10:00 a.m. 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the Plaintiff: THOMAS JOHN T. STEFF 20 THOMAS K. E DONALD J. KU 21 For the Defendant: THOMAS 22 JAMES W. BR GEORGE M. TA 	 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING PROCEEDING PROCEEDING INCY SAITTA, DISTRICT JUDGE 17, 1999 L STEFFEN, ESQ. TEN, ESQ. JOURKE, ESQ. JOURKE, ESQ. JOURKE, ESQ. S R. C. WILSON II, ESQ. JADSHAW, ES	8 0003 1 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, if I may, I'm going 2 to put this on the table for something to put my papers 3 on. And I know that you have been inundated with a 4 stack of papers, and I don't intend to revisit those. 5 All I intend to do this morning is to try and provide 6 some practical, if that's the word, context for the 7 reasons why we're here and the history of this case, 8 and I don't prepose to revisit the cases or beat up on 9 what already has been the subject of an awful lot of 10 attention on paper. 11 MR. T. STEFFEN: Counsel, while you're having 12 a sip of water may I, Your Hondr, ask if the 13 plaintiff's request for the filing of the surreply and 14 the defendant's request for response thereto will both 15 be considered by the Court? 16 THE COURT: Both are going to be considered. 17 I'm prepared to go forward with that. 18 MR. T. STEFFEN: Thank you. 19 MR. WILSON: I'm glad we have water. Lawyers 20 are like plants, Your Honor, and they have the same 21 process of evapotranspiration. Instead of taking the 22 water out of the ground and letting the sun take it, 23 why, we talk a lot, and I apologize for that. 24 THE COURT: Precisely the same concept. I 25 believe you. 26 ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	Fage 98
19 to start, please.	If S vati versus California his is the defendant's till of you, that I have reverything that you have was on purpose just then, to do, please keep your rally ask people to do in t or emphasize for me most important, and hd all the pleadings as ninous case law that was documents. Defense, would you like ou, Your Honor. My name da counsel for FTB. Let me also is; George Takenouchi, n California; and Felix wrning, and welcome.	98 0004 1 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, this matter, of 2 course, as you observed a moment ago, arises on the 3 defendant's motion for lack of to be dismissed for 4 lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and I really want 5 to address broadly the two parts to that. One is the 6 first cause of action for which the plaintiff seeks 7 certain declaratory relief; and the second part, on the 8 tort causes of action. 9 This case arose because a long-time 10 California resident, Mr. Hyatt, moved to Nevada, which 11 is a non-taxing state. And there's nothing wrong with 12 that, and that's known as tax avoidance. And the 13 issue, of course, is when he became domiciled here and 14 whether he was here as a matter of permanent residence 15 during the critical period of time, which seems to be 16 September 26th of '91 to April the 3rd of '92. And 17 when he was here in the permanent residence and wheth 18 his presence in California was merely transitory and 19 temporary or whether it was the other way around, that 20 really is the factual question which is the subject of 21 the administrative process in California. And we have 22 parts of two years which are in controversy, of course, 23 the latter part of '91 and the earlier part of 1992. Mr. Hyatt filed two protests in the 25 administrative process. He entered an appearance, if ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	

H

- - TM

lyatt vs.FTB	CondenseIt! [™]	April 7, 1999
 you will, and filed a protest on June the 20th of 1996 for that part of the residency audit, an assessment that was levied for 1991. Then, on October 20 of '97, he filed a protest for that portion of the year of 1992 which is in controversy. Those were filed with the California FTB, or Franchise Tax Board, as it's called. Two-and-a-half months after his protest of October 20 of '97, he filed on January the 6th of '98, just last year, his Complaint in this Nevada Court seeking relief. And I had second thoughts about bringing boards this morning because, A, you've read the briefs and, B, we're not arguing to a jury, but on that board is simply the prayer that the plaintiff has made asking for a declaratory judgment and asking for, I guess, certain injunctive relief. And, of course, by that, he seeks a judgment confirming that he, Mr. Hyatt, is a bona fide resident of this state effective as of September the 26th of 91 forward to this date. And he asks for judgment declaring that the FTB has no lawful basis for continuing to investigate him that is, the residency audit in Nevada for the same period of time or any other subsequent period and declaring that the FTB had no right or authority to propound or otherwise issue a ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)2404394 	Page 98 0007 1 domicile is. One can have multiple 2 one can be a domicile, as the Court 3 litigation among states, usually tryin 4 state taxes where one domicile in or 5 and has a home in Florida and mayi 6 and so all the states decide they war 7 participate in the largess at the taxp 8 litigate where he was domiciled. Th 9 but I suppose it's similar to this cas 10 What the defense is troubled 11 between the declaratory judgment 12 residency and it's relevancy to the 13 are told in Plaintiff's opposition to 14 judgment that the tort issues are un 15 intertwined, if I recall the word, wi 16 action. They're one and the same, 17 can't be separated. 18 I've always been of the view 19 quite clear that even a tourist could 20 conduct in a different state. And co 21 has a home here who may not be dd 22 I'm never thought that one had to b 23 to sue when suffering tortious cond 24 be domiciled here to sue for tortiou 25 that seems to be what the plaintiff ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPOR	knows. You've seen ng to share in the se state is wealthy be a home in Montana, at's not unusual, ayer's death and hat's not unusual, ie. I by is the nexus with respect to tort issue. And we our motion for extricably th the tort and they really w that the law was is use for tortious ertainly one who omiciled here can sue. be either a resident luct or, even more, is conduct. Yet, is saying in
 1 demand to furnish information or other what the 2 plaintiff calls quasi subpoenas to Nevada residents 3 seeking information concerning. The first part of the prayer, of course, 3 raises a question about the significance of that kind 6 of declaratory judgment with California's 7 administrative process and whether, as a practical 8 matter, it becomes entitled to full faith and credit 9 under the U.S. Constitution and thereby would be 10 proemptive of the FTB or the State of California's 11 jurisdiction to determine and resolve the residency 12 issue which was the subject of the audit. 13 This would mean that they could not in the 14 administrative process or by the Board of Equalization, 15 which reviews those decisions by the FTB - or even a 16 California Superior Court could not review and 17 adjudicate that question, given full faith and credit. 18 And, of course, he also addresses the court case. 19 Now, Mr. Hyatt, of course, indicates that 10 this is a tort case in Nevada and 11 a separate tax case in California. There's some 20 confusion, I think, between the tort causes of action 21 and the residency issue for which he seeks declaratory 21 judgment. 23 And we know that permanent residency is what ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0008 1 arguing that there is some inextrica 2 the two causes of action where you 3 one without the other. 4 Ifrankly don't understand th 5 suffered tortious conduct and is age 6 emotionally harmed by it, is emband 7 that conduct somehow affected the 8 friends or acquaintances or others, 9 whom he knows where he has a residence or not, 11 residence would be relevant to dar 12 me. 13 If one is not a resident, ther 14 question whether or not there reall 15 friends and business associates and 16 becoming aware of an investigatio 17 an egregious embarrassment, ment 18 if you will, that you claim some c 19 ogregious conduct which you claim 20 you establish residency and theret 21 environment of friends and acquand 22 you has been diminished and, there 23 mental anguish. 24 I suppose you could argue 25 that's not to say that it's jurisdicti ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORT	a can't really have hat. If one has grieved by it, is rrassed by it because plaintiff's circle of business associates sidence, whether he's the question of mages, it seems to a I suppose you y is a circle of d the like who w, that it's been such tal pain and suffering, consequence of the m is tortious. And so y establishing an intances whose view of refore, you sue for that theory, but ion. That's not

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

CondenseIt![™]

April 7, 1999

Hyatt vs.FTB	Condenselt!	April 7, 1999
 1 to say that you have to be a resident to sue. It's not 2 to say that you have to be a domicile to sue. It 3 simply means that the plaintiff can take the witness 4 stand if the Court has not dismissed the claims of 5 tortious conduct and testify to why he was emotionally 6 damaged or aggrieved or embarrassed or whatever the 7 circumstances are for which he seeks monetary damages. 8 Doesn't require declaratory judgment at all. 9 It's a simple question of fact going to the 10 question of whether or not he has been damaged by the 11 egregious conduct. So I am perplexed, to say the 12 least, that we have it argued that we have some 13 inextricable combination of the two that defies their 14 separation. 15 Hyat's prayer in the first cause of action 16 is indeed telling, it seems to me, because in the first 17 claim for relief it would decree that California has no 18 power of authority to inquire or investigate Nevada at 10 all, which is to say that role state may not investigate 21 in another without the other state's authority. 22 The 13th paragraph of the Complaint raises 23 power to investigate as a member of a union, 24 constitutionally, of other states, all of whom have 25 certain sovereign powers. In paragraph 13, why, the ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0011 1 fide resident of the State of Nevada effective from 2 September 26, 1991 to the present. This, of course, is 3 the I haven't gotten to the prayer yet, which is on 4 the board, but this is a prelim to the prayer on what 9 Plaintiff seeks. But then Plaintiff goes on to seek a 6 judgment declaring that the FTB's extraterritonal 7 investigatory excursions into Nevada that's rather 8 colorful language, but the sense of it is clear and 9 the position of quasi subpoends that's rather 8 colorful language, but the sense of it is clear and 9 the position of quasi subpoends that's rather 8 colorful language, but the sense of it is clear and 9 the position of quasi subpoends those are documents 10 seeking information to Nevada residents without 11 approval from a Nevada court or governmental agency a 12 alleged above to be without authority and violative of 13 Nevada's sovereignty and territorial integrity. 14 And you see the prayer of the Complaint which 15 seeks judgment accordingly. 16 This is California's interstate inquiry. Of 17 and by itself it is not a tort. It's necessary to the 18 relationship among the states. It's necessary to 19 Californi	Pige 98
 1 plaintiff alleges that he is informed and believes and 2 alleges that the FIB never sought permission from a 3 Nevada Court or any Nevada governmental agency to send 4 such, quote, "quasi subpoenas," close quote, into 5 Nevada where, induced by the authoritative appearance 6 of the inquisitions, many Nevada residents and business 7 entities did respond with answers and information 8 concerning Plaintiff. 9 Now, that's to say that if the State of 10 California is going to seek information in this state 11 in fulfillment of its taxing obligations to determine 12 whether or not one is a resident and, if so, is subject 13 for taxes and, if so, how much, the State of California 14 has to seek approval from a Nevada Court or some Nevada 15 governmental agency in order to do so. And I find that 16 perplexing. I don't understand it, and that's really 17 unique, it seems to me, in the relationship of 18 sovereign states who enjoy a structure of cooperative 19 federalism, I guess as it's called in the texts, which 20 defines the relationship among states which indeed are 21 separately sovereign but nevertheless are co-equal and 22 coexistent in a federal union. 23 But Plaintiff goes on at paragraph 32 of his 24 Complaint to request a judgment of this Court declaring 25 and confirming Plaintiff's status as a full-time, bora ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0012 1 is, whether he's domiciled in Nevada and his presence 2 in California during the subject period of time, 3 September 26, '91 to April 3, '92 - whether his 4 presence in California was simply for some transitory 5 or temporary purpose or whether he really remained 6 domiciled in California and his presence in Nevada was 7 for some transitory or temporary purpose and 8 notwithstanding that he had purchased a home here. 9 I might say that the notion that one has to 10 get governmental approval for a sovereign's activity in 11 another state would have rather interesting 12 implications for the State of Nevada because, as the 13 Court knows and just about everybody in Nevada know 14 is that gaming is legalized in this state, and for a 15 long, long period of time now, for many, many years, 16 it's been regulated by the Nevada Gaming Control Boar 17 and its senior body, the Gaming Commission. 18 These two entities are governmental agencies. 19 They extercise a sovereign power and responsibility of 20 the State, and part of their job is to determine under 21 the statutory mandate who is and who is not suitable to 22 be awarded a gaming license. This involves inquiry out 23 of state. Out-of-state investors invest in Nevada 24 casinos. Whether one is a Nevada resident or one is a 25 resident of another state, they have to appear for ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	d

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Page 98 - Page 98 RA000336

H

Hyatt vs.FTB	Condenselt! [™]	April 7, 199
 1 licensure. They are investigated. Their applications 2 are verified. All kinds of investigation goes on out 3 of state to determine suitability, financial 4 relationships, other relationships, the suitability of 5 the people with whom the proposed licensee does 6 business or associates with. And as the Court would 7 probably take judicial notice, sometimes gaming 8 licenses are denied and sometimes gaming licenses are 9 revoked because one is not suitable for licensure. Or 10 one is not suitable to retain a gaming license, and 11 it's revoked. 12 That inquiry and the exercise of that 13 sovereign power is based upon an inquiry. The FTB 14 calls theirs a residence audit to determine where 15 somebody really lives. The Gaming Board, I don't think 16 they call it an audit, I think they just call what it 17 is, an investigation. But I must say that's a 18 sovereign exercise of Nevada's power, and I've never 19 heard of either of those entities going to a foreign 20 another state's courts or government agencies to make 21 application to conduct an investigation, which 22 oftentimes is done confidentially or in secret or 23 without any notoriety. 24 It's for this reason, the attempt to preempt, 25 if you will, by a declaratory judgment that the ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	2 technology, and it 3 nothing wrong wii 4 state to avoid Cali 5 know he acquired 6 raised issues as to 7 frequently he's be 8 California were or 9 permanent. And th 10 she reached the or 11 They cali 12 California, and th 13 say, where one's 14 was transitory or 15 by the FTB. It's a 16 California Board 17 appeal to the Cali 18 indicated, after p 19 administrative pr 20 two-and-a-half y 21 for the second ye 22 little over a year 23 I misspoke. The 24 in October of 19 25 January the 6th or	Pige : the developed his computer chip was finally patented, and there's th moving from California to a tax-free ifornia taxes. It's a question of we a rental apartment, the auditor has whether he's lived in it, how- een there, or whether his trips to hay temporary or transitory or more the auditor conducted her audit, and onclusions she reached. those residence audits in heir purpose is to determine, as I domicile is and whether ones presence temporary, and it's subject to review also subject to review by the of Equalization, and it's subject to ifornia Superior Court. As I rotesting and entering the vocess, why, this Complaint was filed arg of - I say, two- and-a-half months; ago of - I say, two- and-a-half months; ago, a dutis action was filed in -+ on f. 1998. AN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394
 1 defendant raises the question of subject matter 2 jurisdiction. I know that its motion was captioned the 3 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, and I know there 4 was a reference to NRCP 12(c), but the motion is clear 5 under Section A on page 5, up front. And that is that 6 Plaintiff's declaratory action must be dismissed 7 because the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. 8 NRCP 12(b)(1), well, if you've read it, I 9 don't need to talk about it. But NRCP 12(h)(3) is very 10 clear, whatever it appears by suggestion of the parties 11 or otherwise. However informally that the Court lacks 12 jurisdiction of the subject matter, the Court shall 13 dismiss the action. That means the Court can do it sua 14 sponte without the benefit of motion or how the 15 question might otherwise be raised. 16 The FTB issue, California's issue, has to do 17 with whether there is income which should be taxable in 18 California, and as I said before, where one is 19 domiciled and where Mr. Hyatt is domiciled during the 20 period in question, and whether, as stated by the 21 plaintiff in its Complaint, if he was in California 20 only for temporary or transitory purposes while 23 domiciled in Nevada or whether it's the other way 24 around. It's a question of fact. 25 As I said, Mr. Hyatt was a long-time resident ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	2 requested by the 3 protests to the au 4 who, after filing ; 5 months later, file 6 judgment and is ; 7 can't investigate 8 and can't inquire 9 residents with res 10 for the nature of 11 to that residency 12 California and s 13 full faith and cr 14 res judicata, and 15 constitutional m 16 That adm 17 pending. As I s 18 when they were 19 can pursue his r 20 Equalization an 21 likes. 22 I guess th 23 whether it has su 24 administrative p 25 state which is re	Present on a second protect the process taxpayer, the plaintiff, who has filed dit conclusions for both years and a second protest two-and-a-half d this action for declaratory seeking a judgment that California Hyatt's residency in Nevada at all and seek information of Nevada at all and seek information of Nevada and a declaratory judgment with respect of for which Mr. Hyatt could then go to ay, "You've go to give this judgment dedit. It has the effect of a you can't disturb it under the andate of res judicata." An inistrative process is still ay, it was initiated by his protests filed. He can pursue that process. He eview to the State Board of d judicial review in California, if he the question before this Court is ubject matter jurisdiction over the process of another sister sovereign cally engaged in one of its most CAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394
		·

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Hyatt vs.FTB	Conder	iseIt! [™]	April 7,	1999
 2017 1 important sovereign responsibilities, and that is the 2 collection of revenue and to determine what, if any, 3 taxes are owing by a present or former California 4 resident of that state. 3 Mr. Hyatt in his surreply has stated that 6 recognizing that there is a matter pending in 7 California on page 5 in his surreply, Roman 8 Numeral IV, he states: The FTB is in Nevada answering 9 for its tortious conduct here, and Hyatt's tax 10 representative is in California dealing with the FTB's 11 tax investigation of Hyatt. 12 That's in the paper that was just filed. The 13 plaintiff apparently recognizes that his tax 14 representative is in California dealing with the FTB. 15 and that suggests, I guess, that the plaintiff intends 16 actively to pursue the administrative process in 17 California while at the same time he's seeking a 18 declaratory judgment in this state precluding that, 19 prempting that. That's a rather fundamental 10 inconsistency, and I think it reflects as a practical 11 matter what we're really talking about here, and that's 2 a judgment from this State's court which is preemptive 20 Galifornia's activity administratively and 2 judicially as to whether or not there is a tax 2 liability. 2 AL-MERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0	 We're talking about injunction and declaratory r with respective fundamental basic sovereign rights is interstate belonging to the same union they all of and in this generally defined relationship of 5 cooperative federalism. As pled, Your Honor, there's been a lot of 1 hyperbole and colorful language in the Complaints 8 respect to outrage and a lot of other things. But 9 pled, the only conduct by the State which has be 10 pled and I'm separating it from its 11 characterization is that it has made an inquiry 12 has talked to others in Nevada who may know of 13 acquainted or are friends of Mr. Hyatt, about w 14 is upset and outraged. And they have used his a different and outraged. And they have used his is 15 his address and his Social Security number in m 16 that inquiry, I suppose, to make it accurately, to 17 able to verify his presence and contacts in Neval 18 the larger question, whether the nature of his coding and residency in Nevada suggests that residency 20 been permanent, and that it seemed to suggest a 21 domicillary intent to live in Nevada and make i 22 home permanently and that any transitory or ter 3 presence in California were simply that and not 24 more. That really is all we're talking about her ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)2 	ts of a o t with is n and r are tich he aame and aking be ta and ttacts has his his his porary ting ta	Page 98
0018 1 And I'm not prejudging whether there's a tax 2 liability. I'm not standing here before you saying 3 there is. The process hasn't run its course. There 4 has not been the review by the FTB or the Board of 5 Equalization or the California course. I'm simply 6 saying as a sovereign state California has the 7 obligation and the right to fulfill it's obligation and 8 do that. 9 Passing to the tort claims, I think there's a 10 basic question as to whether or not there's subject 11 matter jurisdiction over the tort claims as they're 12 pleaded. I know that Plaintiff has cited Nevada versus 13 Hall, and that, of course, is a case where Nevada had 14 waived its sovereign immunity with respect to actions 15 by some employees. And, in that case, the Nevada 16 employees, as you know, were driving down in California 17 and hit somebody, and the State was liable. 18 That's not to say in contrast with the 19 holding in that case that there's been a waiver of 20 sovereign immunity with respect to a State's right to 21 pursue and perform its obligations of a sovereign to 22 collect its tax revenues and, if necessary, to levy 23 them. And that's what we're talking about here. We're <td>Page 98</td> <td> 1 in the pleadings with respect to demands for 2 information which are said to be outrageous. It 3 form that as discussed in the briefs, that a 4 California FTB employee will use to seek inform 5 locally. Many of those were attached to letters, 6 they were sent out of state and used to contact s 7 Nevada people to make inquiries. 8 Is that a tort? Is that contact tortious? 9 Plaintiff may indeed be outraged because his pr 10 was compromised. He may indeed be underst 11 because to ask a question about how long has Mr. Hj 14 here, "that's an awkward situation for anybody 15 in, and I'm sure he was offended by it. But th 16 not mean it was tortious because to ask the que 17 suppose, raises the question of whether it can 18 potentially be embarrassing. But how do you a: 19 question? How do you ask the question withot 20 who knows Mr. Hyatt understanding by the qu 21 California is trying to determine whether he's evading 3 I don't know how you ask the question, 24 somehow the question has to be asked. The au 25 somehow has to make sufficient inquiry to be : ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702) </td> <td>ation but ivacy ne ivacy adably angry e lived a tax at tived to be t does stion, I sk the it somebody stion that he t them? but ditor but ditor</td> <td>fage 9</td>	Page 98	 1 in the pleadings with respect to demands for 2 information which are said to be outrageous. It 3 form that as discussed in the briefs, that a 4 California FTB employee will use to seek inform 5 locally. Many of those were attached to letters, 6 they were sent out of state and used to contact s 7 Nevada people to make inquiries. 8 Is that a tort? Is that contact tortious? 9 Plaintiff may indeed be outraged because his pr 10 was compromised. He may indeed be underst 11 because to ask a question about how long has Mr. Hj 14 here, "that's an awkward situation for anybody 15 in, and I'm sure he was offended by it. But th 16 not mean it was tortious because to ask the que 17 suppose, raises the question of whether it can 18 potentially be embarrassing. But how do you a: 19 question? How do you ask the question withot 20 who knows Mr. Hyatt understanding by the qu 21 California is trying to determine whether he's evading 3 I don't know how you ask the question, 24 somehow the question has to be asked. The au 25 somehow has to make sufficient inquiry to be : ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702) 	ation but ivacy ne ivacy adably angry e lived a tax at tived to be t does stion, I sk the it somebody stion that he t them? but ditor but ditor	fage 9

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

April 7, 1999

lyatt vs.FTB	Condense	t!' ^m	<u>April 7, 199</u>
 1 conclude one way or another. And, of course, if the 2 conclusion is adversary, as it has been, Mr. Hyatt is 3 free to follow the process available to him to present 4 additional evidence and to argue his case and perhaps 5 may be outcome. The point of this discussion, I guess, is 7 simply to say that Hyatt's tort claims, as pled, really 8 are the subject of the California audit process. That 9 is, because they have audited, because they have 10 inquired, because they have attempted to verify, 11 because they have asked questions, the plaintiff has 12 said the conduct is tortious. It really comes down to 13 that, and they are, of course, the substance of 14 California process in Nevada. 16 T's our position that the Court does not 16 thave subject matter jurisdiction over the alleged 17 tortious conduct because it's limited to those stark 18 reaction to the fact that his privacies have been 21 invaded, that he has been embarrassed, that they've 21 sued his name and address and Social Security number. 21 Is suppose they do that to be sure they have the right 22 person when they talk to somebody. 		 information to the FTB, including his residence address, claimed to be an actual Nevada resident from September 26, '91 on, and that the FTB thereafter set out a few - they used the circumlocution "requests" rather than "demands," but a few requests to confirm whether or not Mr. Hyatt was indeed a Nevada resident. Thereby, I suppose, hopefully assisting him in not having to pay California tax. They say surely making a drive-by inquiry and sending a few letters to a few poople is in a sense innocuous; it's not tortious. He, Mr. Wilson, suggests, in fact, that our position is that California could not come to Nevada and make an investigative inquiry as to Mr. Hyatt's residence. And, of course, that's not the position at all. Repeatedly they have said this is really a tax case disguised as a tort case. They say Mr. Hyatt wants to obtain a Nevada judgment on his residency tha will be res judicata entitled to full faith and credit in California. And, yet, in their own papers, page 10 of of their Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings, they make the statement that any Nevada judgment will not the given full faith and credit in California. And that wouldn't be the first time. In Nevada v. Hall and incidentally, Your Honor, Nevada v. Hall is a very important case. And the FTB, in its ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	xe
D022 1 But if these facts and I'm talking only 2 about the facts and not about the hyperbole that's used 3 to characterize them. If these are if these facts 4 amount to tortious conduct and we're looking at the 5 plea then simply having an inquiry and asking 6 questions, which is the FTB's responsibility, would be 7 tortious conduct in and of itself. I suggest that 8 can't be the law. And for that reason, I suggest that, 9 as pled, this Court does not have subject matter 10 jurisdiction over the tort causes of action in the 11 Complaint either. 12 Thank you, Your Honor. I talked a lot longer 13 than I had anticipated, and I appreciate your patience. 14 THE COURT: Plaintiff, please, in response. 15 MR. T. STEFFEN: Your Honor, my name is Tom 16 Steffen, and to my immediate right is Tom Bourke, who 17 has been admitted for purposes of this case. Next to 18 Mr. Bourke is Mr. Hyatt, plaintiff in the action. Don 17 Kula, a California attorney also admitted; and my son, 10 John, who is also representing Plaintiff. 11 THE COURT: Welcome. 12 MR. T. STEFFEN: Thank you. Your Honor, I<	Page 98 0024	 reply to Plaintiff's opposition, makes the statement on page 17: Nevada by statute had waived its immunity from suit, and, therefore, the suit was permitted to go forward in California. That is absolutely false. In fact, when the State of Nevada was sued, the State walks in with a placard saying sovereign immunity. The Superior Court agreed, it went up to the California Supreme Court, and the California Supreme Court said, whatever the law has to been in the past, hereafter there will be no sovereign immunity given to the State of California on or given to the State of Nevada on acts committed by Nevada officials in the State of California. So it goes back to Superior Court, and then the State of Nevada walks in and says, well, we have a statute. We would like you to give full faith and credit. That statute limits the amount of damages to 25,000. We have agreed within the State of Nevada to be sued up to that limit, and that's only within the State. So Nevada asked California to give full faith and credit to the damage limitation. Of course, the State of California said no. Said a lot more than that. Said when Nevada agents cross the line, Nevada sovereignty ends. It ends at the border. And so that case made it very, very clear ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	.

Hyatt vs.FTB	Conder	nseIt! ^m	April 7, 1999
 1 that hereafter Nevada would receive no comity from the 2 State of California, and we thereafter adopted the 3 California reasoning, the Nevada v. Hall reasoning, in 4 our Mianecki case, in effect. 5 Now, Your Honor, if this had been a simple 6 case of the FTB saying, "Look, we're going to have to 7 have some verification other than your own word and the 8 word of your tax professionals. We're going to have to 9 make some inquiry in the State of Nevada," there would 10 have been no problem. We wouldn't be here. 11 The problem is, Your Honor, we have a very 12 unique plaintiff in Mr. Hyatt. Mr. Hyatt is a 13 scientist, he's an engineer, and he's an extremely 14 successful inventor. Much of his technology exists to 15 enable us to have a personal computer at our desks. 16 And Mr. Hyatt was a closet inventor. He had worked on 17 his inventions in California for years, applied for 18 patents in approximately 1970, and they were not issued 19 until 1990, 20 years later. And at that point in time 10 it was recognized that this could be a source of great 11 wealth to Mr. Hyatt. Could be. 12 Thereafter, Mr. Hyatt started making plans to 13 move to the State of Nevada for a number of reasons. 14 And these plans reached fruition on September 26th, 1991, when he actually moved to Nevada. And thereafter, ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98	 1 find out the names of residents in these areas, go back 2 to California, start with LEXIS, using cross references 3 in order to find out if they have formerly lived and 4 paid taxes in California. 3 Now, I suggest to Your Honor that this is 6 going to be a matter of great concern not only to this 7 Court but eventually possibly to other government 8 agencies in the State of Nevada. I think it's an 9 intolerable, outrageous condition. And that's what 10 prompted, by the way, the effort against Mr. Hyatt. 11 They didn't find a wealthy house to look at, but they 12 read of his success in a magazine almost two years 13 after he had already moved to Nevada and was residing 14 here and doing business here. 15 So, they contact Mr. Hyatt and ask for his 16 cooperation, and he, thinking that their intentions 17 were honorable, started voluntarily supplying them with 18 information with the hope that once having received the 19 information the matter would be ended. 10 Now, even, Your Honor, as the FTB admitted 21 that Mr. Hyatt was an extremely private person and ever 22 as it admitted that he did not want to give then copies 23 of valuable documents, they promised confidentiality. 24 When Mr. Hyatt purchased his home in Las Vegas, he did to through a trust, making his trusted CPA the only one ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	
 1 the licensing negotiations continued on, and some 2 patent licensing arrangements were concluded with some 3 Japanese companies, and Mr. Hyatt became a very wealthy 4 citizen as a result. But the income was received in 5 Nevada by a Nevada resident, a Nevada citizen. 6 Now, before I get on to the investigation in 7 Nevada, Your Honor, I would like to reveal something to 8 the Court that I suggest places a great magnitude of 9 importance on this case. We have alleged, Your Honor, 10 in our Complaint - excuse me, I'm hoarse, and I'm not 11 sure that if II go away. 12 On page 9, paragraph 27 of our Complaint we 13 stated, and I quote, "Plaintiff is informed and 14 believes and thereafter alleges that the FTB has a 15 pattern and practice of entering into Nevada to 16 investigate Nevada residents who are formerly residents 17 of California sand then assessing such residents 18 California State income taxes for time periods 19 subsequent to the date when such individuals moved to 20 and established residency in Nevada." 11 Would represent to the Court, Your Honor, 12 that we now have solid evidence that that indeed is 13 true, that the FTB is sending agents into Nevada as a 24 hunting ground. These agents will go to areas of 25 obvious wealth, gated communities, other communities, ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98	 who appeared of record so that his name would nowhere 2 appear of record. He had an unlisted in fact, he didn't even have an unlisted telephone number. He did 4 not have a telephone number. Mr. Hyat had a post 5 office box. He had taken unusual measures to assure 6 that his actual residence would be confidential, would 7 be unknown to others, and this is where he maintains 8 his private, valuable documents. 9 So the FTB received the escrow papers on the 10 purchase of the Las Vegas residence on April 2nd, 11 1992. The address is redacted, and they're told why. 12 And they're told of the trust and why the trust was 13 formed, and the CPA would tell you that this is not an 14 unusual vehicle for maintaining confidentiality. So 15 this was done, the FTB acknowledged Mr. Hyatt's need 16 for privacy and made express commitments and promit 17 that these confidential matters would remain 18 confidential. 19 So what did they do even as they're in the 20 process of making these commitments? 21 May I approach the exhibit, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: Certainly. 23 MR T. STEFFEN: They send out these demands 24 to furnish information 25 MR WILSON: Your Honor, may I observe? ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	

Hyatt vs.FIB	Condenseit!	April 7, 1999
 D029 THE COURT: Of course. And I will, at least 2 at this point, gentlemen, apologize for the logistics 3 of our courtroom. As you may or may not know, this is 4 a temporary courtroom, and it is so temporary we have 5 not yet been able to secure even a podium. So we do 6 apologize for the way in which you have to view these 7 items. Please feel free to jump in any place around 8 that you need to be so that you can view them. 9 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. T. STEFFEN: This is fine. In fact, you 11 can come over here, Spike, if you want to. 12 These, of course, are blowups of documents 13 that are part of the record. They were attached to 14 Mr. Hyatt's affidavit in opposition to the motion to 15 quash. 16 Now, this particular demand goes to the 12 as Vegas Valley Water District, and we know it is a 18 demand to furnish information. It's authorized by 19 California Revenue and Taxation Code, meaning the 20 obvious import is that it has extraterritorial 21 authority. It says: The People of the State of 22 California, To Las Vegas Valley Water District, in the 23 matter of Gilbert P Hyatt. They list his Social 24 Security number, and it says: "This demand 25 requires we highlight that because in many of ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0031 1 California are investigating Mr. Hyatt or auditing or 2 trying to collect money from him, and the demand 3 requires you to furnish the following information. 4 They want to know if he's subscribed to the paper from 5 '91 to the present or from 1992 to the present and the 6 service at 7335 Tara, his actual home address. And 7 again they give out his Social Security number. 8 Your Honor, I have subscribed to I don't know 9 how many newspapers, and I have rever yet been asked to 10 give a newspaper my Social Security number in order to 11 subscribe to a paper. Ordinarily, they'll take your 12 money and ask you where you want it delivered. 13 Mr. Hyatt never had, of course, newspapers 14 delivered to his actual residence, for obvious 15 purposes. 16 Here we have the same type of demand, this 17 going to the Association of Computing Machinery. And 18 here, Your Honor, I would like to candidly correct or 19 of our representations in our Opposition. We indicated 20 that the FTB had sent one of these demands to the 21 Licensing Executives Society, and they had, but it was 22 returned. The address was wrong. So the damage we 23 refer to in that aspect did not exist. But this one, 24 it did. 25 This went to the Association of Computing ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	l'age 98
 0030 1 counsel's papers they refer to this as a request, but 2 it's definitely: This demand requires you to furnish 3 the Tax Board with information. And then it indicates that: It will be used 5 by this department for investigation, audit, or 6 collection purposes pertaining to Mr. Hyatt. 7 They ask for copies of water bills with the 8 name of the person on whose account it was billed at 9 7335 Tara, Las Vegas, Nevada. There we have the actual 10 address that Mr. Hyatt had taken such painstaking steps 11 to prevent from becoming known. It now becomes part of 12 the database of the Las Vegas Valley Water District, 13 and it's common knowledge that private investigators 14 can gain access to this material constantly. 15 Now, notice we're also told that the period 16 of the audit is '91, the last part of '91 and up 17 through April 2nd of '92. But notice what they've 18 continued to ask for . January of '93 to December of 19 '93, January '94 to December of '94. January '95 to 20 the present. And this is dated March 24, '95. This 21 six-plus-year investigation, Your Honor, is still going 20 on, and it's still just an investigation. 23 We come now to the asme demand. This time 24 it's to the newspaper, the Las Vegas Sun. They say the 25 same thing about this mant: The people of the State of ALI-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0032 1 Machinery in New York. It was received and responded 2 to. Again, the Social Security number. This 3 association, Your Honor, is a worldwide association of 4 computer experts. 5 Now, the reason Mr. Hyatt is so concerned, 6 Your Honor, he's not someone who is just offended 7 because someone is asking a few questions. He has 8 turned over heaven and hell to provide himself with 9 absolute security. He said already in California 10 several of his intellectual properties have been leaked 11 and others have made billions of dollars of profit off 12 of it. So it's a very important matter to him. 13 Now, in the furst place, the FTB promised not 14 to do this, and they did it. And Your Honor, although 15 I'm not authorized by my client to tell you exactly 16 what the result of this is, when all of a sudden he 17 finds out that his actual home address is now part of a 18 database, he has to take substantial cottly efforts to 19 deal with that. In other words, his security. 21 meas	Page 98

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Page 98 - Page 98 RA000341

CondenseIt![™]

April 7, 1999

Hyatt vs.FTB	CondenseIt! ^{1M}	April 7, 1999
 1 the agreement between Mr. Hyatt and the licensees. And 1 there was an obligation in each of those licensees that 3 they would be held in strict confidence, that they 4 would not be made available to third parties. Well, 5 what had become a burgeoning patent licensing business 6 for Mr. Hyatt ceased to exist. That has no longer been 7 the case. 8 And Your Honor, I'm confident, can appreciate 9 the fact that when you're talking about rights to 11 microcomputers, and you're talking about rights to 12 microchip technology, when you're talking about digital 13 television, when you're talking about any number of 14 other things that this man has had so much to do with, 15 before someone commits to a license they look at any 16 number of things. And if they see that here's a patent 17 holder who is evidently under investigation by the 18 State of California auditing, investigating, maybe 19 wanting to collect taxes, there is a strong negative 20 implication there, Your Honor, I submit, that this man 21 is probably not what he purports to be. 23 Mr. Hyatt who for 20 years suffered waiting for those 24 patents to be issued. He's been featured in any number 25 of magazines. I read a COMDEX account which referred ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0035 1 In the first place, the FTB would have this 2 Court believe that since Mr. Hyatt filed the protest to 3 their proposed tax assessment, including fraud claims 4 now totaling up to about 21.8 million, they say that 5 since he's entered the protest, he is captive to them 6 and they have exclusive subject matter jurisdiction and 7 the administrative proceedings in California must be 8 exhausted before this Court could acquire subject 9 matter jurisdiction over tort claims is I don't 11 matter jurisdiction over tort claims is I don't 12 think the Court needs much argument. I might cut the 13 Court to Hanson v. Harrah's, the seminal Nevada case o 14 retaliatory discharge for filing a Workman's 15 Compensation claim, and the employers stated you must 16 exhaust your administrative remedies. And the Court 17 said, sorry, there are no administrative remedies, and 18 this is governed by the law of torts. 19 Now, what Mr. Hyat has alleged in his 20 Complaint is several torts which we feel under the 21 unique circumstances of this case can be demonstrated 22 to a trier of fact to be viable. 23 Now, with respect to otherwise exhausting 24 administrative remedies, even the FTB has indicated 25 that the exhaustion doctrine finds its roots in ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	
 1 to Mr. Hyatt as indeed the founder of the personal 2 computer industry. So we have a man who has every 3 right and reason to wart his absolute privacy, and the 4 FTB's own records acknowledge that. Sheila Cox, the 3 auditor, said, oh, we have even criminal even 6 referred to criminal statutes that would apply if they 7 revealed his confidential information. 8 So I would simply say, Your Honor, in that 9 regard, without going through the elements of each tort 10 unless the Court would want me to do so, we have seen 11 that the elements exist with respect to each tort, we 12 believe that the facts alleged cover the elements, and 13 that in this type of motion where all material 14 allegations of fact must be taken in favor of the 15 ronmoving party and all doubt also must be resolved in 16 favor of the nonmoving party, and even beyond that, if 17 there can be any hypothetical set of facts upon which a 18 proof might be adduced sufficient to enable the Court 19 to grant relief at trial, that would preclude the 20 granting of this type of motion. 11 Now, I think the main thrust of the FTB's 12 concerns, Your Honor, has to do with subject matter 21 jurisdiction. I don't share that concern. I think 24 this Court has subject matter jurisdiction for any 25 raumber of reasons. 	Page 98 0036 1 comity. The general rule would be as in Nevada, 2 however, that if you had a matter that was proceeding 3 before the Gaming Commission, that the courts, except 4 under the rarest of circumstances, could not intervene 5 because that's Nevada's statutory scheme. And the 6 Court could review the eventual outcome, but could not 7 intervene. At no place in Nevada law is there any 8 suggestion that Nevada courts are precluded from 9 exercising its primary function of protecting Nevada 10 citizens because an agency of another state has 11 commenced a proceeding. 12 Not only that, Your Honor, but even the FTB, 13 I think, admits there is no administrative proceeding 14 in California. There is an investigation. The FTB 15 went to the California legislature, and they said: We 16 don't want to be bothered with notions of due process 17 and a right to adjudication, so we just want our 18 investigative efforts to assess to be informal and an 19 investigative efforts to assess to be informal. 20 There's nothing to exhaust in California. 21 Moreover, Your Honor, we have cited we 22 have cited cases. I think the Wisconstin case which 23 indicated that whenever the issue of exhaustion of 24 administrative remedies arises it's appropriate for a 25 Court to look into whether there is an adequate remedy ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	Pinge 98

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Condens		April 7, 1999
Page 98 003	 seeking to require the exhaustion of administrative remedies. The Court there held that the whenever there are allegations of fraud, that is a ground for removing it from the administrative proceedings. In that case the assessor was accused of fraudulently undervaluing or overvaluing the property, and the Court took jurisdiction. In this case, Your Honor, I would suggest to the Court, because the question that might have in mediately come to mind is: Why would declaratory relief be relevant during the period '91 and '92 when the FTB just really found out about Mr. Hyat in '93 and started doing most of their tortious activities in '95' And the reason is set forth, one of the reasons, in the fraud claim because Mr. Hyatt has alleged that the FTB's obtaining of information from him and disregarding all matters favorable to Mr. Hyat and suing such devices as nonexistent affidavits. We have evidence, Your Honor there are 3 affidavits. One from Mr. Hyatt for 17 years before the patents were so they supposedly obtained an affidavit from her. 	Page 98
Page 98	 have either, and the same with another family member. So I could go on and on about that, Your Honor, but the point I make with respect to fraud, because I think it is critical to the declaratory relief claim and precludes any grant of relief on that claim as well, the relevant period to the FTB is the latter quarter of '91 and the first quarter of '92, and that focused on the '91 audit, at first. Mr. Hyatt was ocoperating, giving them information in return for their assurances that they were doing an objective audit and with his cooperation they could get through the matter, hopefully, without a great deal of additional effort. Well, what happened was, as soon as the information was given, they make the statement in our 	Fage 98
	Page 98 0039	 Page 98 0039 seeking to require the exhaustion of administrative removing it from the administrative proceedings. In 4 that case the assessor was accused of fraudulently 6 undervaluing or overvaluing the property, and the Court 100k jurisdiction. In this case, Your Honor, I would suggest to 9 the Court, because the question that might have 10 immediately come to mind is: Why would declaratory 11 relief be relevant during the period '91 and 92 when 12 the FTB just really found out about Mr. Hyatt in '93 and startd doing most of their tortious activities in 14 '957 And the reason is set forth, one of the reasons, 15 in the fraud claim because Wr. Hyat has alleged that 16 the FTB is obtaining of information from him and 17 disregarding all matters favorable to Mr. Hyat and 18 using such devices as nonexistent affidavits. We have 19 evidence, Your Honor - there are 3 affidavits. One 20 from a disgrunded former wife who had been divorced 21 from Mr. Hyat for 17 years before the patents were 23 issued and then also sought to reopen the divorce. And 23 so they supposedly obtained an affidavit from her. 24 They don't have an affidavit. They supposedly obtained 25 an affidavit from a disgruntied brother that they don't ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394

CondenseIt![™]

April 7, 1999

Hyan vs.FIB	Condenselt!	<u> </u>
 Plaintiff thereafter supplied evidence in the form of his federal income tax which revealed on the income tax form the sale of the home, the income immediately generated, and the interest. This was given to the FTB and was ignored, the FTB saying it was a sham because the grant deed was not recorded until June of 1993. Interestingly, then, in subparagraph D on page 25, we say: After declaring Plaintiff's sale of his California home on October 1, 1991 a sham, the TB later declined to compare the much less expensive California home with the home Plaintiff purchased in Las Vegas, Nevada, (a strong indication favoring Nevada residency) stating that, quote, "From their records, tatistics, (size, cost, et cetera.) comparing the taxpayer's La Palma home to his Las Vegas home will not be weighed in the determination of residency, as the taxpayer sold the La Palma house on October 1, 1991 of 192." So on the one hand they say the sale was a sham and charge him a 75 percent fraud assessment. Then, on the other hand, they say, well, we're not going to consider your larger home in California which is -1 mean, in Nevada which is ordinarily an indicia of a change of residence because you sold your ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0043 1 Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Brief response, Mr. Wilson. 3 MR. WILSON: Briefly, Your Honor. I cau 4 tho emphasis, and I will be brief. I feel a little 5 like I've been sitting through the saga of the Bost 6 tea party. I did not intend to try the facts and 7 circumstances of this case, and we have had a lot 8 discussion this morning which hasn't had a thing 9 with the Complaint. And I can take up a lot of y 10 time talking about this audit, and I'm not going 11 that. I don't think that's part of why we're here 12 We're not here to talk about the merits of the au 13 the findings, but I would like to make a couple of 14 comments in brief reply, Your Honor. 15 Counsel refers to NRS 10.155 which has to 16 with legal residence, suggesting that demonstration 17 legal evidence was in some way a predicate to o 18 ability to sue for cause of action for tortious 19 conduct. And that's not what this says. I'll read 20 briefly: Unless otherwise provided by specific <td>ion t of t o do oour to do dit or of do ng me's d tence r ht lly</td>	ion t of t o do oour to do dit or of do ng me's d tence r ht lly
 1 smaller Le Palma, California home on October 1, '91. 2 So they view it as a sham in one place, and they accept 3 the sale at another. Well, Your Honor, I can only say that the 5 claim for declaratory relief, in my judgment, is 6 virtually mandated by Nevada law. This man who has 7 been here since September 26, '91 and has been 8 investigated for over six years and it's still going 9 on, who has his business here, who can bring forth all 10 kinds of evidence that he is actually a resident here 11 is the ongoing subject of harassmert, intimidation. 12 And, in fact, the latest papers, they said: You could 13 have simply paid the tax and avoided the interest, and 14 then aought a refund. 15 So they're saying now: Your interest is 16 socraing at about \$5,000 ox day. There's no relief in 17 sight, Your Honor. 18 We suggest to the Court that it has ample 19 subject matter jurisdiction to determine Mr. Hyatt's 10 Nevada residency and to enable him to move on and 11 demonstrate on the merits that these torts are not 12 simply contacting a person here and there, that the 13 torts are very real and the damages are enormous as 14 will be later explained to the Court in some type of in 25 cances hearing. ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0044 1 That's not to say that you have to have as 2 element of your cause of action for tort to prove 3 residence. If you sue for divorce, you have to p 4 six weeks of residence, for example. That's why 5 refers to. 6 Any other right dependent upon residence. 7 any right to maintain or defend any suit at law of 8 equity dependent upon residence. 9 An action in tort is not dependent upon 10 residence. A suit to divorce is. A suit with ress 11 to taxes may be, but we're not talking about an 12 in Plaintiff's Complaint here, in his action here. 13 which is dependent upon residence. 14 Now, I indicated earlier that I was not ge 15 to prejudge the FTB's review of this case, and I 16 that. We've had a lot of discussion which is tr 11 this lawsuit here today, and it's not relevant. W 18 we're here today to do is to look at what's pled 19 Complaint and nothing more. We've had a suffered 20 loss of business which the plaintiff has suffered 21 because of this audit. That's not pled anywhere 22 Complaint, and it's prejudicial to this proceeding 23 It's not relevant. If Plaintiff wants to amend hi 24 Complaint, assert cause of action pursuant to 25 additional claims, why, it may, but that's not b 26 ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)	your rove at this to or w poct y right poing meant ying yhat i in the erence to a i e in the ng. is

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Page 98 - Page 98 RA000344

CondenseIt!™

April 7, 1999

Flyatt VS.FTD	Condense	11:	April 7, 1999
 1 us. 2 Counsel has commented that the administrative 3 process in California is only an investigation, and 4 that's all it is, and there is nothing further. It 3 involves nothing further. That also is not true. You 6 have an audit, that audit then is reviewed by the FTB 7 which is subject to participation by the taxpayer. 8 It's then reviewed by the State Board of Equalization 9 which is independent of the Tax Department or the FTB. 10 That board, I think, has some reputation for 11 modifying or reversing the decisions made by the FTB. 12 It's similar by analogy, I suppose, Your Honor, to the 13 relationship between the Nevada Tax Commission and the 14 Tax Department, where those two are frequently 15 adversary with respect to conclusions by the Department 16 of Taxation. 17 And after that, there's review by the 18 Superior Court. So California's process is not just 19 one of investigation and quick conclusion. This is 20 not this is not a shoot-out at the corral, Your 21 Honor. It's deliberative, and the plaintiff had been 22 participating in this until he filed his lawsuit 23 two-and-a-half months after the second protest. 24 Let MERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0047	 Water District. Now, isn't it relevant, if you're going to be fair in an inquiry in an audit to say, well, the period in question is September 26 to April 3, 1992. He bought a house and moved in. Sounds like he was a resident, right? Did he live there? Wasi treal? You check the water bills. If there's a reasonable consumption of water during the period of time, doesn't that suggest that somebody is living there? Probably the owner, Mr. Hyatt. Was is it temporary and transitory? Was he just using the water on weekends? I suppose you'd take a look at the balance of '92, after he moved in to December of '92. That's what the first entry is. How about the next year, in '93? How about the next year, in '94; or '95 to the present? That doesn't suggest that this audit is open- r ended. It suggests a fair and honest attempt to find other corroborative evidence of water usage, the inference of which would be: If he's using water after April of '92 in reasonable levels and the use is consistent, it suggests permanent residence, doesn't it? And isn't that circumstantial evidence of an attempt to make a state your domiciliary? And isn't it, at least, indirectly corroborative of his residence between September the 26th to April 3 of '92. ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Fage 98
 1 the State may have raised in that case. It's the 2 legislature which has jurisdiction to waive immunity, and the legislature did with respect to torts by its 4 employees. They placed a limit on it which was not 5 recognized by California, but that's not to say that 6 immunity was not waived. Indeed, it was. Subject to a 7 limitation, I'll grant you, which California properly 8 declined to recognize and found liability. 9 But as I said before, we're not talking 10 about we're not talking about a tortious action 11 here. We're talking about a subject matter involving 2 sovereign power of another State. Nevada hasn't 13 waived, if you will, it's sovereign power to 14 investigate with respect to gaming licenses. They're 15 simply not related. 16 Let me make a comment about these charts. 17 And again I guess I'm indulging in talking about is 18 case, but I must say that I don't want the Court to be 19 misled. The only period of time we're talking about is 20 between September 26 of 1991 and April 3 of 1992. 11 Now, my good friend, coursel for the 21 plaintiff, talks about all of these subsequent periods 23 here, April of '92, December of '92. January of '93, 24 December of '93. January of '94 to December, and 25 January of '95 to the present. This has to do with ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98 0048	I suggest to you that that's not for the purpose of extending the tax inquiry. I suggest to you 3 that those questions have to do with corroborating, if you will, Plaintiff's claim of domiciliary intent 5 because if he's living there he's using water, and if 6 he's using water clear to the present time, he's been a 7 resident since then. It bears upon the period of time 8 in question. Same with these others. 9 I don't know about whether you need your 10 Social Security number to get a paper. Obviously, it's 11 on the form letter, but I must asy until a couple of 12 years ago your Social Security number appeared on you 13 driver's license. I just looked at mine. It's there. 14 It's not any more. People have decided those numbers 15 are a little more sensitive and they don't want them 16 bounced around, but that's recent history, Your Honor. 17 So I suggest to you that we don't need to 18 find dark and sinister motive on the part of FTB with 19 respect to its inquiry. If anything, I would submit to 20 you that that's an attempt to be fair. If they can 14 demonstrate that Mr. Hyatt was a full-time permanent 15 some at April 30 '92, he probably had that intent 16 laim. But if he had the intent to make Nevada his <td>IPage 98</td>	IPage 98

All-American Court Reporters 702/240-4393

Page 98 - Page 98 RA000345

 back in September of '91 because he's been here. Totally different twist on that, isn't it? 1 apologize for arguing the case, but I'm saying there's a bit more to the context of these circumstances than that. I need to say something else, then I'm going to sit down and be quiet. My good friend and counsel for the opposition made the comment that he wanted to represent to you that, "We have solid evidence of a practice by California of viewing Nevada as a hurting ground and chasing former residents over here." Now, not only was it not pled, I don't know what that evidence is, but it's improper, doesn't belong in this courtroom in this hearing. It's prejudicial, and it has no part in this argument. I meant it when I said I'm not prejudging what the outcome of the Equalization or by the Superior Court. I'm not suggesting by inference or argument what that outcome might be. I don't think tha's before this Court, and I don't think it's proper to argue the tax case because that's not what we're talking about. We're talking about what's in the Complaint and how is it pled, and is the Complaint sufficiently ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	 0051 MR. WILSON: I think we need to talk to the 2 Court, Counsel. MR. T. STEFFEN: I agree. THE COURT: In fact, I would suggest that you 5 have about two minutes to wrap up your argument. MR. T. STEFFEN: All right. Thank you, Your 7 Honor. I think, unfortunately, Mr. Hyatt has been the 8 victim of a voracious agency that has willfully set out 9 to extort money from him in various ways which we are 10 confident can be proved. I can give you hypotheticals 11 now. I don't think that's necessary. But it can be 12 proved. The FTB has attempted at the very outset by 14 disregarding his evidence again, this is 35 demonstrable and developing, as we've stated in our 16 pleadings, a colorful basis for going to him and saying 17 you owe this enormous amount of money. And there will also in our pleadings an attorney by the name of Anna 19 Jovanovich, who represented the FTB, told Mr. Cowen, 20 Mr. Hyatt's tax representative in California: At this 21 point in time wealthy taxpayers usually settle because 22 they don't want to risk having their financial affairs 23 made public. THE COURT: The issue before us now is the 25 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	
 1 fatally flawed to demonstrate that this Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction. That's why we're here today. I can spend a lot of time talking about this tax case. It's not relevant. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Rebuttal, Mr. Steffen. MR.T. STEFFEN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Briefly. MR.T. STEFFEN: I am very pleased to hear Mr. Wilson say this is not a tax case because time and time again they have said just the opposite, this is a tax case. Counsel, with respect to my statement about the hunting ground, you find that on the bottom of page 9 on the First Amended Complaint, and that's what you said you're interested in was the allegations of the Complaint, and that's precisely, in paragraph 27, what that refers to. And all I did was say we now have solid evidence that that's true. That was alleged on information and belief. So MR. WILSON: I'm not going to reply unless you wart me to. THE COURT: You needn't. MR.T. STEFFEN: I'm just telling you it's in the Complaint. Like Prego, it's in there. ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	 Page 98 0052 MR. T. STEFFEN: That's correct. And I would suggest, Your Honor, that based on the burdens of proof that apply to both judgment on the pleadings and the 12(b)(5) motion which is now incorporated in the pleadings that all facts have to be resolved in favor of the plaintiff, they have to be accepted as true. All doubts have to be resolved in favor of the glaintiff. And I suggest, Your Honor, on that basis, that Defendant's motions should be denied. THE COURT: As I just indicated, this matter that we have now spent an hour-and-a-half nearly on, is brought to the Court on a Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings. Plaintiff in their Complaint seeks certain resident since September of 1991 pursuant to California law. He also prays for compensatory and punitive damages with respect to certain tot claims. Because this is a 12(c) motion for judgment on the pleadings, g as I think everyone knows, this motion can be brought at any time after the pleadings are closed. It is most appropriate, however, gentlemen, when material facts are not in dispute and judgment on the merits is warranted based upon the content of the pleadings 4 alore. 	

HYALL VS. FID	Hyatt	vs.FTB
---------------	-------	--------

		April 7, 1999
 9053 1 also argues the declaratory actions seeking 2 interlocutory review of the administrative decisions in 3 this case are inappropriate, and I believe the Defense 4 cites to some Nevada law. That is PSC versus Eighth 5 Judicial Court where our Court held that Courts should 6 not adjudicate when administrative decision is still 7 pending and where a statute exists to provide an 8 administrative remedy. Thereafter, there's some1 9 would say some guidance provided by the case of 10 Resnick. 11 But to get back to where I think we need to 12 be, the first matter that needs to be addressed is 13 subject matter of jurisdiction. This caused me to do 14 some research even beyond that which is contained in 15 the pleadings, and I might say that my initial comments 16 regarding the voluminous nature of the pleadings in 17 this case may have, at first blush, seemed to be 18 sarcastic. I can tell both sides of this dispute that 19 I have learned a lot just by preparing for this case, 20 and I think that is always something that I should 21 thank coursel for because the pleadings in this case 22 were very well prepared on both sides, very well 23 supported by law and, in fact, exhibits giving me the 24 law that coursel were referring to. And I want to make. 25 sure before I render a decision in this case that you ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	 0055 1 that, in fact, his residency was of Nevada, for 2 purposes of the tax case only. Which should mean, 3 gentlemen, that I am not ruling that we don't have 4 subject matter jurisdiction in fact, let me state 5 that in the affirmative. I am ruling that I believe 6 that we have subject matter jurisdiction with respect 7 to the tort claims. And for that reason, this case is 8 going to stay with me for a while. 9 Without going to the merits of the case, 10 which I don't think I should in this case, the 11 administrative actions still pending in California, 12 there is case law adequate case law that tells me I 13 should not be addressing that. Specifically, Resnick 14 and the PSC case, both Nevada cases, tell me that 15 declaratory relief is not available during pendency of 16 an action, are not an I will say this incorrectly, 17 A-b-e-I-I-e-i-r-a. California cases tell us about the 18 defective failure to exhaust administrative remedies is 19 jurisdictional, and on that basis alone, I could and 20 should deny jurisdiction. 21 Now, as you can tell, I have looked at the 22 factual bases of this claim. I think there was no way 23 for me as to get to a decision without doing so. Still 24 in all, as a 12(c), taking all the facts in favor of 25 the nonmoving party, I still believe that it is ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Pige 98
Page 1 1 all realize that I appreciate that, and it makes for 2 casier work in many instances. 3 I think the matter of the subject matter 4 jurisdiction regarding Plaintiff's residency claim 5 under California tax code is ofmostly the thing I 6 need to deal with first because it's going to take care 7 of certain other matters. Defendant argues a lot of 8 things. Among them, they argue that these actions 9 couldn't go forth in California until the FTB matter is 10 concluded and that, therefore, they should be barred in 11 Nevada. I think that goes one step beyond where we 12 need to go. 13 The question in this case that I really have 14 is: How do I go about determining whether or not 15 there's subject matter jurisdiction without looking 16 beyond the face of the pleadings, which in a 12(c) 17 that's the only thing I'm supposed to do. Certainly I 18 could treat this as a Rule 56 motion for summary 19 judgment, in which case, I could look at any number of 20 things. 21 However, in this case, I think that I am 22 going to do what I refer to as a bifurcation. I'm 23 going to the Jou I do not believe Nevada has subject 24 matter jurisdiction over this narrow part of 25 Plaintiff's claim, and that is the request to declare ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	 0056 1 appropriate for me to decline subject jurisdiction with 2 respect to a declaration that Plaintiff's residency was 3 here in the State of Nevada for purposes of the tax 4 case. 5 And I want to be sure that I'm getting the 6 language correctly. The request in the Complaint was: 7 A declaration that he was a Nevada resident since 8 September of 1991 pursuant to California law. 9 That is which I am denying or declining to 10 ertertain based upon lack of subject matter 11 jurisdiction. 12 As to the tort claims, I believe we do have 13 subject matter jurisdiction. They will remain. 14 Furthermore, I think the case of Bernard would allow it to continue with that just based upon the pleadings 16 themselves. So for that, I am going to ask you to 17 prepare an order. 18 There were several other housekeeping matters 19 that we took up the last time we were here with respect 0 to scheduling of depositions. Have there been any 21 problems? And I may later kick myself for asking thi 22 question because I am, in fact, not going to entertain 23 discovery arguments. That's what a discovery 44 commissioner is for. I just want to be sure, since I 25 did make an order about how that was going to go ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	t

			April 7	, 1777
 1 forward, I want to be sure that we're still in sync 2 with that. MR BOUKE: Yes, there are problems, Your 4 Honor. We have asked for a scheduling order. We've 5 said we'll take whatever witness you have, starting a 6 week from starting basically next Tuesday, and they 7 have given us no names for any witnesses. So we said, 8 well, we will take Carol Ford in Sacramento for the 9 first four days, and there's another two witnesses in 10 Los Angeles for the next two days, but they have not 11 acquiesced or agreed to that. So as of now I'd say 12 we're heading for troubled waters. 13 THE COURT: Well, you're not in them yet. I 14 think the current is still calm at this point. In 15 fact, did I hear you talk about six day's worth of 16 depositions that I scheduled or six day's worth of 17 the discovery that is scheduled? 18 MR. BOUKE: Eight days. 19 MR. BOUKE: That we've scheduled, but they 21 haven't said that the witnesses are available or 22 anything. In other words, we've been trying for weeks 23 to say, "Tell us who is available. We'll take whoever 24 is available." 25 MR. WILSON: They are not scheduled. We need ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 	Page 98	 1 There's way too much discovery to take place 2 in this matter for anyone to drag their feet. My order 3 the last time we were here had to do with reasonable 4 requests, if I recall correctly, and they should be 5 scheduled in a reasonable time after this proceeding. 6 So we're there now. I would hope with this admonition 7 that we could move forward. 8 The meet and confer is appropriate. I would 9 allow you to use the courtroom for that purpose after 10 I'm gone. I think it should be something should be 11 done today. We should at least put the minds together 12 today and get some direction on where we're going to go 13 and I will wait for further matters to be placed on 14 calendar as I have no doubt they will be in this case. 15 MR. BRADSHAW: Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Yes? 17 MR. BRADSHAW: Your Honor, as part of this 18 process, you've stayed discovery in part. Outstanding 19 at that time were Plaintiff's document requests and 20 requests to admit facts. Responses to those have not 21 been forward because of the stay. We would need a 22 reasonable amount of time to do that, perhaps a week or 23 so to make our formal response to those. We especially 24 don't want to get into a problem over admissions of 25 fact because it's unclear when discovery is back on and ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 		fage 98
 0058 to meet and confer and agree on what witnesses and when, and we didn't want to do that until the Court rendered a decision on this matter. We didn't know whether that was going to be rendered today or the Court would take it under advisement and render it later on. Let us do the meet and confer. The Court's ruling today obviously eliminates a rather broad area of discovery. THE COURT: I would think so. MR WILSON: And that will obviously have an effect on what witnesses need to be deposed. So I suggest we meet and confer. If we have trouble, we can come back and ask for the Court's help. THE COURT: I think that's appropriate. I must emphasize again, however, this is - even with the decision that was made today, this remains a weighty see, and I suspect that it is of the utmost importance today. This was a huge motion. It was something that today. This was a huge motion. It was something that took time, was, once again, tremendously presented from both sides. But now we're in the meat of it, and this case should not be bogged down with discovery disputes. ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394	Page 98	 1 how much time we would have to pick up discovery that 2 was pending. We did get some depositions done, or 4 partially done, at least, during the interim here where 5 the partice have exchanged what they plan on doing for 6 about the next two months. That needs to be collated, 7 but the Attorney General's office has been working on 8 witness availability, and we're willing to meet and 9 confer with counsel and work that out over the next few 10 weeks. 11 THE COURT: Did I hear that a response to 12 is it a request to admit that you say have you have 13 MR BRADSHAW: Request to admit facts and 14 document requests are outstanding. Some of the 15 documents have gone forward in the interim, but the 16 responses to request to admit facts are at a standstill 17 because of the stay, and we wondered how much time do 18 we have to actually respond. 19 THE COURT: You have represented you can have 20 them to Plaintiff within a week? 21 MR. BRADSHAW: I think a week. 22 MR. BRADSHAW: I think a week. 23 MR. BRADSHAW: I'll put a ten-day limit 24 THE COURT: Okay. I'll put a ten-day limit 25 on it. You have it over to plaintiff's within ten ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 		Page 98
 days. MR. BRADSHAW: Thank you. THE COURT: Anything else we need to take up? MR. T. STEFFEN: Your Honor, I have a lingering question about the declaratory relief claim. You said that you were entering your judgment for purposes of the tax case. THE COURT: With respect to declaring Plaintiff's residency under California law from or at September 1991, yes. MR. T. STEFFEN: All right, under California law. Now, the thing that I'm wondering is if you're, in effect, still keeping the declaratory relief action alive but without prejudice to the proceedings in California on the same issue of residency. THE COURT: It can be a denial without prejudice if that's what you would like it to be. I want you to be real careful, though. I'm not going to revisit this issue again. MR. T. STEFFEN: That's what I want to make claim is still alive, but it will have to be made clear that any judgment resulting from a declaratory relief claim is still alive, but it will have to be made clear that any judgment resulting from a declaratory relief claim is still alive, but it will have to be made clear that any judgment resulting from a declaratory relief that any judgment resulting from the California tax proceeding involving Hyatt's residency? 	Page 98	Page 98 0063 1 THE COURT: It is denied in its entirety for 2 lack of subject matter jurisdiction. 3 MR. T. STEFFEN: All right. Thank you, Your 4 Honor. 5 (Thereupon, the proceeding 6 concluded at 11:50 a.m.) 7 8 -0C0- 9 10 11 ATTEST: FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS. 12 13 14 15 Karen G. Mell, CCR No. 412 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		
--	---------	---		
ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 1 THE COURT: I sense a need to respond. 2 Mr. Wilson. 3 MR. WILSON: Yes, thank you. I didn't 4 understand the Court to say that. I understood the 5 Court to say that the first cause of action was going 6 to be denied, but that had nothing to do with the 7 residency issues going forward in the administrative 8 process in California. 9 THE COURT: That is, in fact, part of the 10 basis of my decision. 11 MR. WILSON: Right. That's what I understood 12 it to be. So the first cause of action is no longer a 13 part of this case here. 14 THE COURT: That's correct.	Page 98	24 25 ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 		
15 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 16 MR. T. STEFFEN: So you're simply denying the 17 declaratory relief, then, cause of action altogether, 18 and not just for tax purposes. 19 / / / 20 / / / 21 / / / 22 / / / 23 / / / ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394 ALL-AMERICAN COURT REPORTERS (702)240-4394		Page 98 - Page 98		

EXHIBIT 12

		1	
n .	1	ORD	· .
	2	THOMAS R. C. WILSON, ESQ.	
		MATTHEW C ADDISON ESO	ETTED.
	3	Nevada State Bar # 4201	
	4	BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #4442	
	5	McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE	Constant and the second second
	6	BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP	OLSI.X
	7	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	
	8	Telephone (702) 873-4100	
م	9	Attorneys for Defendant	
ERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP LAW • NO 10 SUITE 1000 89102-4354 00	10	DISTRIC	TCOURT
1 2 1	11	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
SVIC 8	12		
FRANKC Suite 1000	13	GILBERT P. HYATT,	
IGIN F W 10 10 S 102-435	14	GIEBERT C. MATT,	Case No. : A382999 Dept. No. : XVIII
BEF JATL NUE+1 ADA 89 3-100		Plaintiff,	Docket No. F
C(RA AVE VS NEV (702) 87	15	VS.	
ON M ATT SAHAI	16		PARTIAL JUDGMENT
VO WILSON N AT 2300 WEST SAHA LAS VEG	17	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1-	ON THE PLEADINGS
1ANO 230	18	100, inclusive	Date of Hearing: April 7,1999
McDONALD CARANO WILSON MC ATTC 2300 WEST SAHAR LAS VEGA	19	Defendants.	Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.
ONAL	20		· · · ·
McD	21		t on the Pleadings having come before the
	22	Court or the 7th day of April, 1999, the Defe	endant being represented by Thomas R. C.
	23	Wilson, Esq., James W. Bradshaw, Esq.	
		Takenouchi, Esq. and the Plaintiff being pres	
	24	Steffen, Esq., John T. Steffen, Esq., Thomas K	
	25		
	26	the Court having considered the Defendar Defendant's Reply the Plaintiffa Surrouble and	
	27	Defendant's Reply, the Plaintiff's Surreply and	the Defendant's Response to Surreply and

the supporting authorities, as well as the oral arguments of counsel, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING;

28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is granted as to the Plaintiff's First Cause of Action for Declaratory Relief, the Court lacking subject matter jurisdiction. The Motion is denied as to the Second through Eighth causes of action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the discovery stay is lifted and that the parties may proceed with discovery to commence within a reasonable time following the April 7, 1999 hearing. The Defendant's responses to outstanding requests to admit facts and document requests served by the Plaintiff on February 22, 1999, prior to the stay of discovery, shall be served on or before April 19, 1999.

2

JAMES BRENHAW

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Dated this 1999.

in

2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000

Thomas R. C. Wilson, Esa.

Matthew C. Addison, Esq.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Defendant

Bryan R. Clark, Esq.

KOVIC 800	12	
BERGIN FRANKOVIC ATLAW E + NO 10 SUITE 1000 A 89102-4354	13	
ERGIN 1 LAW 181 024	14	
AVENU NEVAD	15	
McDONALD CARANO WILSON MCC ATTOR 2300 WEST SAMAIA AVEN US VEGAS NEVA	16	
WILSO	17	
RANO ²³⁰	18	
rb CA	19	Submitted by:
DONA	20	McDonald Carano Wilson McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks, LLP
Wc	21	if of i

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30314v1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

H & HICKS LLP

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Neveda State Day # 1560	
ERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP LAW • NO 10 SUITE 1000 89102-4354 00	10	DISTRIC	r court
СНАН	11	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
	12	* * *	* *
IN FRANKC 10 SUITE 1000 -4354	13	GILBERT P. HYATT,	Case No. : A382999
BERG AT LAW UE • NO 24 89100 4100	14	Plaintiff,	Dept. No. : XVIII Docket No. : F
CC (C C) K S NEVAI 702) 673-	15	VS.	
SON MC ATT T SAHAF AS VEGA	16	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE	
M¢DONALD CARANO WILSON M¢C ATT¢ 2300 WEST SAHAR LAS VEGAS	17 18		Date of Hearing: 4/7/99 Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.
-D CAF	19	Defendants.	1
DONAL	20	NOTICE OF ENT	DV OF ODDED
WC	21	TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COL	
	22		
	23	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEA	ASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order was entered
	24		
	25		
	26	••••	
	27		
	28		
			RA000353

:

1	in the above matter on the 19 TH day of April, 1999, a conv of which is attached hereto
2	DATED this 70 day of April 1000
3	
4	McDonald Carano Wilson McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks LLP
5 6	
7	By: <u>Homas R. C. Wilson, ESQ.</u>
, 8	Nevada State Bar # 1568
9	MATTHEW C. ADDISON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 4201
10	BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #4442
11	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
12	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
13	
14	I hereby certify that I am an employee of McDonald Carano Wilson McCune Bergin
15	Frankovich & Hicks LLP., and that I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF
16	ENTRY OF ORDER by U.S. Mail on this $2C^{\pm}$ day of April 1999, upon the following:
17	Thomas L. Steffen, Esq. Mark A. Hutchison, Esq.
18	Hutchison & Steffen
19	8831 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89117
20	Felix Leatherwood, Esq.
21 22	Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office
23	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013
24	•
25	Thomas K. Bourke, Esq. 601 W. Fifth Street, 8th Floor
26	Los Angeles, CA 90071
27	An Employee of McDonald Carano Wilson
28	McCune Bergin Frankovich & Hicks LLP
	2

McDONALD CARANO WILSON McC¹ "15, BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP ATTO 1AT LAW 2300 WEST SAHAN. ... JUE + NO 10 SUITE 1000 LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89102-4354 (702) 873-4100

EXHIBIT 13

		FILED
2 3 4 5	MOT THOMAS R. C. WILSON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 1568 MATTHEW C. ADDISON, ESQ. Nevada State Bar # 4201 BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #4442 McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	JAN 27 4 48 PM '00 Solding as terringine CLERK
7	(702) 873-4100 Attorneys for Defendant Franchise Tax Board	· · · ·
8	DISTRIC	T COURT
9	CLARK COUN	
10	***	***
11 12 13		Case No. : A382999 Dept. No. : XVIII Docket No. : R
13	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1-	EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER
16 17		NRCP 56(B), OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR DISMISSAL UNDER NRCP 12(H)(3)
8	I T	Date of Hearing:
19 20 _		(FILED UNDER SEAL)
21	Under Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 56((b) and 12(h)(3), the Franchise Tax Board
	"FTB") submits the following evidence in support	of its motion for summary judgment or
23 8	alternatively for dismissal:	
24	TABLE OF C	
.5		B's lead auditor for most of the Hyatt residency
26	audits, attaching the following exhibit	
7		540NR) California Income Tax Return
8	2. FTB Form 4891-39 - Initial	Contact letters dated 6/17/93 & 7/1/93
	1	
	• •	

Į

 \vee

11



RA000357 ARA00002



1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28



School District representative

- 21. Letter from FTB to Orange County Recorder dated 8/10/94
- 22. Copy of Grant Deed for 7841 Jennifer Circle obtained from the Orange County Recorder
- 23. Letter to FTB dated 7/11/94 from Eugene Cowan with portions of licensing agreements

24. Copy of identification card of Sheila Cox, deposition exhibit 104

25. Retyped FTB Phone logs for calls made to third parties in Nevada

26. Copies of letters sent by FTB to third parties in Nevada

27. Copies of FTB letters and FTB Form 4793-39 (Demand to Furnish Information) sent to third parties in Nevada

- 28. FTB letters sent to Michael W. Kern on 8/17/93, 5/24/94, and 6/22/95
- 29. Retyped FTB Phone logs for calls with Hyatt's Nevada Accountant

30. Narrative Report. Voter registration discussion and record of discussion

31. Lexis printout of residence address located at 5441 Sandpiper Lane, Las
 Vegas

32. Letter to Eugene Cowan dated 1/19/96 formally opening 1992 audit

The Affidavit of Steve Illia, the Franchise Tax Board's Residency Program Manager
 The Affidavit of Penelope Bauche, an FTB Supervisor, attaching the following exhibits:

A. 1991 Notice of Proposed Assessment

B. NDF - NPA Selection

C. Notice of Proposed Assessment

The Affidavit of John E. Mayers, the real resident at the Nevada address where Hyatt
 registered to vote with the Clark County Election Department

• The Affidavit of Felix E. Leatherwood, attaching the following exhibits:

1. Excerpt from deposition of Mark Shayer

2. Excerpt from Discover Commissioner Hearing Transcript (Aug. 11, 1999)

RA000358

ARA00003

3. Excerpts from notary log of Darlene Beer 1 2 4. Gilbert Hyatt voter registration form and Precinct Registers, Clark County **Election Department** 3 Publicly available pleadings and papers in the California divorce case Hyatt 4 5. v. Hyatt, Case No. NWD 55911 5 б. A picture of Mr. Hyatt's claimed Nevada home that appears on a video of a 6 7 "Hard Copy" television segment that aired on June 14, 1993 Publicly available pleadings and papers in the California probate case of 8 7. 9 Anna Haber Hyatt, Case No. A-145624 10 day of January, 2000 11 DATED this McDONALD CARANO WILSON McCUNE BERGIN FRANKOVICH & HICKS 12 13 14 15 W. BRADSHAW C. GIUDICI 16 MATTHEW C. ADDISON 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 873-4100 18 Attorneys for Defendant Franchise Tax Board 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 4

ij

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	BRYAN R. CLARK, ESQ.	
8	DISTR	ICT COURT
9	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEVADA
10	*	* * * *
11	GILBERT P. HYATT,	Case No. : A382999 Dept. No. : XVIII
12	Plaintiff,	Docket No. : R
13	vs.	AFFIDAVIT OF SHEILA COX
14	FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, and DOES 1-	
15	100, inclusive	
16	Defendants.	
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss.	
18	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
19	SHEILA COX being first duly sworn up	on oath deposes and says as follows:
20	•	ensed in the State of California and employed by the
21	California Franchise Tax Board (the "FTB") as a	
22		as a Tax Auditor, and served in that capacity until July
23		Between June 1996 and December 1996, I served as
24	_	to the present, I have worked in the capacity of an
25		y official capacity and no other. This Affidavit is made
26	<i>u</i>	me to work on the residency audit of Gilbert P. Hyatt's
27		been in progress since June 1993. The first thing that
28		
		¹ 0000005

(*****) ****

RA000360





I did was thoroughly review and analyze the audit records and workpapers, which I was charged to
 maintain and control.

4. The Hyatt audit file contains Mr. Hyatt's California Nonresident Part-Year Income Tax Return
 for 1991. A true and correct copy of Mr. Hyatt's 1991 return is attached as Exhibit 1.

5 5. The Hyatt audit file indicates that to initiate the FTB's 1991 audit of Mr. Hyatt, the FTB sent
6 two notice letters (FTB form 4891-39) to Hyatt's claimed Nevada address on June 17, 1993 and July 1,
7 1993. True and correct copies of the notice letters are attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

8 6. True and correct copies of the Powers of Attorney from Mr. Hyatt that are in the audit file are
9 attached as Exhibit 3.

7. The Hyatt audit file indicates that the FTB mailed a cover letter and one of its standard forms
 ("FTB 3805F"), requesting basic information about residence status, to Hyatt's Las Vegas accountant,
 Michael W. Kern on July 15, 1993. A true and correct copy of this letter from the audit file is attached
 as Exhibit 4.

8. A true and correct copy of Mr. Hyatt's August 4, 1993 response to the FTB's July 15, 1993
15 letter is attached as Exhibit 5.

16 9. During the 1991 Hyatt audit, I learned that Mr. Hyatt had a California doctor's appointment on September 26, 1991, and told this to Hyatt's accountant. A true and correct copy of the letter from 17 18 the doctor that I received conveying this information is attached as Exhibit 6; a true and correct copy of 19 my letter conveying this information to Mr. Hyatt's accountant is attached as Exhibit 7. In response, Mr. Hyatt changed his claimed move date from September 25, 1991 to September 26, 1991, and alleged that 20 on September 26, 1991, after he visited his doctor in California, he left for Nevada to begin establishing 21 his residence and business there. A true and correct copy of the September 22, 1995 letter changing Mr. 22 Hyatt's claimed move date is attached as Exhibit 8. 23

10. Despite my repeated requests and the promise of Mr. Hyatt's accountant to do so, Mr. Hyatt
failed to provide any substantiation and corroborative documentation that he either moved his personal
effects from his La Palma, California home to Nevada or acquired furnishings for his alleged Nevada
residence. True and correct copies of my multiple request letters on this subject are attached as Exhibit
9. A true and correct copy of the letter from Mr. Hyatt's accountant promising to provide such

2

0000006

RA000361





1 information is attached as Exhibit 10.

11. During the audit, Mr. Hyatt ultimately claimed that he had no moving receipts and that he
moved himself using his family's trailer, providing a Nevada motor vehicle registration statement dated
June 1992, for a trailer issued in the name of his son. A true and correct copy of the February 22, 1995
letter from Mr. Hyatt's lawyer conveying this information is attached as Exhibit 11.

Credit card statements that Mr. Hyatt's representatives provided me during the 1991 Hyatt
audit showed evidence of dining charges in California on several of Hyatt's credit cards from September
1991 through March 1992, including a charge at a California restaurant on October 2, 1991, and Nevada
dining charges on only one day from January 2, 1991 through March 16, 1992. Attached as Exhibit 12
is a list of dining charges compiled from these credit card statements.

11 13. I had to send five separate request letters to Mr. Hyatt's accountant to get the credit card
12 statements that showed the dining charges described in the previous paragraph. True and correct copies
13 of my request letters are attached as Exhibit 13.

14 14. The Hyatt audit file contains a September 8, 1993 letter from Mr. Hyatt's accountant
15 enclosing a lease agreement for a Las Vegas apartment that began on October 20, 1991. A true and
16 correct copy of this letter from the audit file is attached as Exhibit 14.

15. I asked Mr. Hyatt's attorney in writing on two occasions where Hyatt stayed during the time
between the earliest date he claimed he changed residency (September 25, 1991) and the start date of
his rental agreement (October 20, 1991). True and correct copies of my request letters dated August 31,
1995 and September 26, 1995 are attached as Exhibit 15.

21 16. During the Hyatt audits, I never received any explanation or documentation from Mr. Hyatt's
22 accountant or attorney of where Mr. Hyatt stayed in Nevada between September 25, 1991 and October
23 20, 1991.

17. In the September 22, 1995 letter from Mr. Hyatt's attorney that is attached as Exhibit 8, Mr.
Hyatt's attorney informed me that Mr. Hyatt was in Washington, Texas, and New York from October
14, 1991 to October 22, 1991.

27 18. When I and another FTB auditor interviewed the manager of the Wagon Trails Apartment
 28 complex, the Las Vegas complex where Mr. Hyatt claimed to have rented an apartment, she informed

3

0000007

RA000362



us that the complex served many tenants receiving federal HUD subsidies. The apartment manager also informed us that she did not remember seeing Hyatt often, and that he paid the rent ahead of time with a post dated check. The manager showed us Hyatt's rental file, which contained one envelope that had Hyatt's Las Vegas post office box as a return address, but was postmarked from Long Beach, California. My narrative notes of the March 1995 Las Vegas, Nevada field visit that included this interview, attached as Exhibit 16, show that the postmark was dated December 8, 1991.

19. I could never verify Mr. Hyatt's claimed Nevada civic and social affiliations that began 7 earlier than April 1992. My letters to the computer hobby group and Jewish temple addresses that Mr. 8 Hyatt gave were returned as undeliverable. True and correct copies of the returned envelopes are attached 9 as Exhibit 17. Mr. Hyatt's accountant later told me that Mr. Hyatt provided the wrong temple in the 10 initial response, and gave the name of another temple, but this second temple did not respond to my 11 inquiry. The Nevada Development Authority that Hyatt identified in his response had no record of his 12 membership. A true and correct copy of the response from the Nevada Development Authority stating 13 this is attached as Exhibit 18. The Nevada Governor's office had no record of any contact with Mr. 14 Hyatt. Exhibit 19 is a true and correct copy of the letter from the Nevada Governor's office stating this. 15 The Nevada Senator's office did not respond to my inquiry. 16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

20. The Nevada school tutoring program that Mr. Hyatt claimed to have assisted beginning in April 1992 could not verify his alleged volunteer activity. True and correct copies of my relevant 18 telephone notes and school district letter stating this are attached as Exhibit 20. 19

21. The Hyatt audit file indicates that when the FTB asked for escrow documentation for the sale 20 of Mr. Hyatt's California home, Mr. Hyatt provided copies of three non-notarized, unrecorded 21 documents: a grant deed, a promissory note, and a trust deed. Exhibit 14. The audit file reflects that the 22 FTB then asked the Recorder's Office in the appropriate California county for recorded documents 23 concerning the property transfer, and that the Recorder's office provided what appeared to be the same 24 grant deed, notarized, and recorded on June 16, 1993. True and correct copies of the FTB's request letter 25 to the Recorder's Office and the recorded grant deed in the audit file are attached as Exhibits 21 and 22, 26 27 respectively.

28

22. Excerpts from two licensing agreements between Mr. Hyatt and electronics companies in the

0000008

RA000363

ARA00008





Hyatt audit file that are dated after Hyatt's claimed move to Nevada list a California mailing address for 1 Mr. Hyatt. True and correct copies of these licensing agreement excerpts in the audit file are attached 2 3 as Exhibit 23...

23. In March 1995, I went to Las Vegas, Nevada to make a field visit on the 1991 Hyatt audit. 4 I was accompanied by another FTB auditor who was visiting Las Vegas on her own cases, and who 5 served as a witness to my work on the Hyatt audit during the field visit. The field visit took place over 6 three consecutive business days. Only part of each day was spent working on the Hyatt audit. 7

24. My narrative notes of the March 1995 Las Vegas field visit, attached as Exhibit 16, accurately 8 describe the actions that I and the other FTB auditor took on the Hyatt audit during the visit. I prepared 9 these narrative notes the day after returning to California from the Las Vegas trip. They are included as 10 a part of the FTB's audit file concerning Mr. Hyatt. 11

25. During the March 1995 field visit, when a contact with a Nevada citizen required it, I 12 identified myself as a California Franchise Tax Board employee and showed my Franchise Tax Board 13 identification card. A true and correct copy of my identification card is attached as Exhibit 24. If any 14 person contacted requested information about the reason for the inquiry, I stated that it was regarding a 15 tax matter. Neither I nor the auditor accompanying me revealed Mr. Hyatt's name during any such 16 contact unless necessary, and we never disclosed Mr. Hyatt's social security number or comparable 17 specifics about Mr. Hyatt to anyone during the field visit. 18

26. During the end of November 1995, I accompanied another FTB auditor to Las Vegas to 19 assist on the other auditor's cases. During the trip, because the other auditor's case work was in the 20 vicinity of Mr. Hyatt's claimed residence, I made a brief observation of it. I made no inquiries with 21 other persons during this trip concerning the residency of Mr. Hyatt. 22

27. The FTB's audit file for Mr. Hyatt reflects that the Hyatt audit involved phone contacts 23 with Nevada third parties between July 15, 1993 and September 27, 1995. Attached as Exhibit 25 is a 24 schedule containing all of the notes of phone contacts with Nevada third parties during the audit that 25 are contained in the Hyatt audit file. 26

28. The FTB's audit file reflects that the Hyatt audit involved mail contacts with Nevada 27 third parties between July 15, 1993 and September 27, 1995. The audit file reflects that these mail 28

0000009



1

2

contacts were either by letter alone, or by a letter accompanied by a "Demand to Furnish Information," a standard FTB form.

29. The audit file reflects that the FTB's mail correspondence by letter alone involved twenty
letters to fifteen Nevada recipients: the Department of Motor Vehicles (two letters), the Las Vegas
Postmaster (three letters), five Clark County Government agencies (seven letters), Nevada Governor
Robert Miller, Nevada Senator Richard Bryan, Dr. Steven Hall (Mr. Hyatt's dentist), University
Medical Center, KB Plumbing, Mr. Pryor (a resident in Mr. Hyatt's claimed Las Vegas
neighborhood), Mr. Eggers (another resident), and Allstate Sand and Gravel. True and correct copies
of all of these letters from the Hyatt audit file are attached as Exhibit 26.

30. The audit file reflects that the FTB's mail correspondence by cover letter enclosing an
 FTB "Demand to Furnish Information" involved fifteen letters to twelve Nevada recipients,
 including: Temple Beth Am (two letters), the Sports Authority (two letters), Nevada Development
 Authority, Personal Computer Users Group, Bizmart, Sam's Club, Congregation Ner Tamid, Las
 Vegas Valley Water District, Silver State Disposal Service, Southwest Gas Corp., Las Vegas Sun
 (two letters) and the Wagon Trails Apartments. True and correct copies of all of this correspondence
 from the Hyatt audit file is attached as Exhibit 27.

17 31. 78% of the FTB's third party contacts in Nevada by mail or phone described above were
18 to persons or entities that Mr. Hyatt identified on his initial response to the FTB's request for
19 residency information.

32. Certain FTB correspondence from California to Mr. Hyatt or his representatives in
Nevada that occurred during the Hyatt audits has previously been identified in this affidavit as
Exhibits 2, 4, 7, 9, & 13. The remaining FTB correspondence from California that occurred during
the Hyatt audits where a representative of Mr. Hyatt's in Nevada is the recipient is attached as Exhibit
28.

33. Attached as Exhibit 29 is a schedule containing all of the notes of phone contacts with
Hyatt's Nevada accountant during the audit that are contained in the FTB's audit files for Mr. Hyatt.
34. I spent less than three business days physically in Nevada and nominal hours on phone
and mail contacts from California to Nevada to verify Mr. Hyatt's claims as compared to the total 624

0000010

RA000365

ARA00010



1 hours the FTB spent on the 1991 audit.

2 35. The audit file shows that the FTB contacted the Clark County Department of Election 3 Records and was informed that on July 5, 1994, Mr. Hyatt filed a voter registration affidavit to change his claimed voter registration address to 5441 Sandpiper Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. A true and correct copy of the 1991 narrative report discussion of "Voter Registration" and record of 5 this discussion is attached as Exhibit 30. I verified through a "Lexis" search that the above property 6 7 was owned by Michael and La Dawn Kern, Mr. Hyatt's accountant, and that the Kerns had sold the 8 property on October 27, 1994 and had purchased another property on June 3, 1994. A true and 9 correct copy of the Lexis printout is attached as Exhibit 31.

10 36. All of the actions that I took involving Mr. Hyatt were for the purpose of determining 11 whether Mr. Hyatt had established significant ties with Nevada and had severed significant ties with 12 California at the time that he claimed.

13 37. I determined that Mr. Hyatt had not established significant ties with Nevada and had not 14 severed significant ties with California during 1991.

15 38. I was assigned to work the residency audit of Mr. Hyatt for 1992 which was initiated based upon facts developed during the audit of 1991, which showed that Mr. Hyatt had not 16 17 established significant ties to Nevada during 1991 and continued to have significant California ties beyond 1991. A true and correct copy of a letter dated January 19, 1996 to Eugene Cowan is 18 19 attached as Exhibit 32.

I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that the assertions of this Affidavit are true. DATED this _____ day of January, 2000.

7

a Cox

24 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

this $2/3^{3+}$ day of January, 2000. Notary Public

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Linda Richardso

0000011

Document 20 Docket 80884 ARA00011

Resiae	nt	a Nonresider Part-Year	!			540.NR
	-	Use the California mailing label. Otherwise, please print or type.			_	Do Not Use
Step 1	ļ	Fiscal year beginning , 1991, ending , 19		<u></u>		These SF ace
ame and				Your social security n		P
ddress		GILBERT HYATT	ŀ	069-30-		- M
		P.O. BOX 60028		Spouse's social secur	пту пите	A
		LAS VEGAS, NV 89160	L	·····		R
		LAS VEGAS, AV SVICS				E
	1	X Single				
Step 2	2	Married filing joint return (even il only one had income)				
iling Status	3					
heck anly one		Child but nut your dependent, enter child a name nere,	<u> </u>			
	5			n abook hara		
5 30 3	6	If someone (such as your parent) can claim you as a dependent on his or her ta		n, check here,		• • □
	7	skip lines 7 through 10 and enter -0- on line 11		«?	· · · · ·	
emptions	8				•••••	8
not ter	g	Senior. If you or your spouse is 65 or older, enter 1. If both are 65 or older, enter				• 9
llar	10					_
nounts		//				_
k re.	÷	Ęn	ter the	total number of d	ependen	ts 10
	11	Total number of exemptions. Add lines 7 through 10	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	
	12	Total state wages from all your Form(s) W-2, box 25, including				
step 4		wages earned outside California				
xable	13	Federal adjusted gross income from line 31 of your Form 1040, line 16 of your			40	17 107 7
come		Form 1040A, line 3 of your Form 1040EZ or line 30 of your Form 1040NR	••••	• • • • • • • • •	13	17,103.3
tach copy	14	California adjustments - subtractions. Enter amount mediatica, media	line share	•	14	117,13
your Form(s) -2, W2-G,	14					
99-R.	15	Subtract line 14 from line 13. If less than zero, end the original de			15	16,986.1
11, 597, and 8-B hers.		Hem	Ro			
	16	California adjustments - additions. Enter amount from Schedule California 23 2	000 -		16	747,9
	17	Adjusted gross income from all sources. Combine line 15 and line 16	- · · ·	· · · · · · · •	17	17734,10
		а. Г				C 35
	18	Enter the Your standard deduction (see instructions), OR		· · · · · · · · •	18	6,35
		larger of: • Your Itemized deductions (from Schedule CA, line 29).	-		40	17777 74
	19	Total taxable income. Subtract line 18 from line 17. If less than zero, enter -0-		<u></u>	19	17727,74
Step 5	20	California adjusted gross income from Schedule SI, line 22		•	20	633,22
	21	Ratio. Divide line 20 by line 17. Enter the percentage			21	0.03
LACA		Tax on the amount shown on line 19. Check if from:				
ney		X Tax Table or Tax Rate Schedule FTB 3800 or FTB 3803 • 22	1	945,940.		
ter ' re.		Caution: If under age 14 and you have more than \$1,100 of investment incom	ne,	-		
		read line 22 instructions to see if you must attach form FTB 3800.				
	23	Exemption credits.				
		Caution: See the instructions for line 23 and the worksheet and instructions in	ר			
	ſ	"Step 6" before entering an amount on line 23. Check if from:		0		
	ł	Ine 23 instructions X line 23 worksheet or Schedule P (540NR). (9) 23		0.		
	24	Subtract line 23 from line 22. If less than zero, enter -0	1	945.940		
		Multiply line 24 by the percentage on line 21			25	69,47
	26	Tax from Schedule G-1 and form FTB \$870A		• • • • • • • •	26	
	27	Add line 25 and line 26. Continue to Side 2		• • • • • • • •	27	69,47
		-				
Burger 24. 5		Copyright (ct 1991 form software only Center Piece Software				540NR 1991 SId

RA000367

•		
	CILBERT HYATT	203-30-39
CENTER TICE	28 Amount from Side 1, line </td <td>69,47</td>	69,47
		<i>L</i>
o	29 Credit for child and dependent care expenses. See instructions • 29	
Step 6	30 Credit for taxpayers with military income. See instructions	
redits	31 Credit for joint custody head of household. See instructions • 31	
	32 Credit for dependent parent. See instructions	
	33 Credit for senior head of household. See instructions	
	34 Credit for head of household with a nondependent relative. See instructions • 34	
	36 Add hnes 29 through 35 and multiply the total by the percentage on Side 1, time 21	
	37 Enter credit name code no and amount > 37	
	38 Enter credit name code no and amount ▶ 38	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	39 Enter credit name code no and amount > 39	
1 - C	40 To claim more than three credits, see instructions	
	41 Credit for taxpayers with income under \$22,841. See interest full, true and what their this is to	
	41 Credit for taxpayers with income under \$22,841. See instructions full, frue and correct copy of 42 42 Total credits. Add lines 36 through 41	
		69,46
Step 7	44 Alesideve himmonitax. Alech ochedeler (otority)	
ther	45 Other taxes. See instructions	
axes	46 Total tax. Add lines 43 through 45	69,46
	47 California income tax withheld. Enter total from your 1991 Form(s) W-2, W-2G, 1099-R, 591, 594, 597 and 598-B	
Step 8	48 1991 estimated tax and amount applied from 1990 return. Include	
ayments	amount paid with extension payment voucher (form FTB 3519)	
	49 Renter's credit. Enter amount from Schedule H (540NR), line 9 📕 49	
	50 Excess California SDI withheld. See instructions	
	51 Total payments. Add lines 47 through 50	
	52 Overpaid tax. If line 51 is larger than line 46, subtract line 46 from line 51	
Step 9	53 Amount of line 52 to be applied to your 1992 estimated tax.	
verpald		
ax or ax Due	54 Amount of overpaid tax available this year. Subtract line 53 from line 52	69,46
	55 Tax due. If line 46 is larger than line 51, subtract line 51 from line 46	07,40
utions	and the hold of the second formed the second s	
	57 Aizheimer's Disease/Related Disorders Fund • 57 58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account • 61	
	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62	
	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) • 63	
	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62	
	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) • 63	
	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) • 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 • 65	
Step 11	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens •58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. •59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. •60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. •61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) •62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) •63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 •64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64.	
Step 11	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account • 61 California Election 62 Your political party • 61 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party • 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 • 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: • 65 67 AMOUNT YOU WE, Add lines 5. Attach check or money order for full amount • 66	
itep 11 efund or mount	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (325 max) • 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 • 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: • 65 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 65. Attach check or money order for full amount coveriste Torm S40NB" on it. • 66	·····
itep 11 efund or mount	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account • 61 California Election 62 Your political party • 61 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party • 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 • 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: • 65 67 AMOUNT YOU WE, Add lines 5. Attach check or money order for full amount • 66	·····
itep 11 efund or mount Su Owe	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens •58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. •59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. •60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. •61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 •64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64	·····
itep 11 efund or mount Su Owe	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens •58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. •59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. •60 61 •61 California Election 62 Your political party •61 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party •63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 •64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 •65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68	·····
itep 11 efund or mount ou Owe Step 12 terest	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 • 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 • 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line S 5. Attach check or money order for full arount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write Star Board, P.O. Box 942857, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or S805F is attached, check box at right 69	
itep 11 arund or nount Su Owe itep 12 itep 12 id	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens •58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. •59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. •60 61 •61 California Election 62 Your political party •61 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party •63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 •64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 •65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68	
itep 11 efund or mount bu Owe itep 12 iterest terest	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add lines 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Fib S805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 70 70 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check bo	·····
itep 11 efund or mount bu Owe itep 12 terest terest ad enaities	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) 63 Gampaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64. 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add lines 55 and fine 85. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 85. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right 69 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check box at right. 70	<u>69,46</u>
itep 11 frund or mount bu Owe Step 12 terest id enaities iign	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add lines 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Fib S805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 70 70 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check bo	69,46
itep 11 efund or mount ou Owe Step 12 iterest ad enaities	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens • 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 • 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55. And line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right 63 69 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check box at right. 70	<u>69,46</u>
itep 11 efund or mount bu Owe iterest iterest id enaities iign iere	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 64 65 Total contributions. Add lines 56 and 64 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 68 69 90 10 69 90 61 62 63 64	69,465
Step 11 efund or mount ou Owe Step 12 sterest ad enaities Sign iere	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 58 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account 61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (\$25 max) > 62 Campaign Fund 63 Spouse's political party amount (\$25 max) > 63 64 70 tal voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 64 65 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add lines 55 and line 65. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right 61 • 59 70 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check box at right. 70 IMPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Under payments of seriory, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompaying schedules and statements, and to the and belief, its true, correct, and complete. Your signafive Spouse's signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X	69,465
Step 11 efund or mount ou Owe Step 12 sterest enaities Sign iere ttach copy of derai return	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens. • 53 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 Campaign Fund 62 Your political party amount (325 max) 63 Spouse's political party amount (325 max) 64 63 Spouse's political party 65 64 65 65 66 67 68 67 68 68 69 69 69 60 70 16 70 16 70 17 70	69,465
Step 11 erund or mount ou Owe Step 12 iterest ad enaities Sign iere trach copy of derat return this return.	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens. • 53 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 Campaign Fund 62 Your political party amount (325 max) 63 Spouse's postical party amount (325 max) 64 65 65 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 65 701 contributions. Add lines 56 and 64. 66 65 66 65 67 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board. P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-000. 66 7 AMOUNT YOU DWE. Add line 55 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board. Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board. Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return and late payment penalties. 68 10 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 69 11 MPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Under penalties. 70 11 MPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Under penalties. 70 11 MPORTANT: You must attach a copy o	69,465
Step 11 erund or mount ou Owe Step 12 sterest nd enaities Sign iere trach copy of deral return this return. is unlawful	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens. • 53 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. • 59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. • 60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. • 61 Campaign Fund 62 Your political party amount (325 max) • 62 63 Spouse's political party amount (325 max) • 63 64 65 • 64 • 65 65 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 • 64 65 • 65 • 64 • 65 66 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 • 66 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add lines 55 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 68 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right • 69 70 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check box at right. • 70 IMPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Under peruity, I decize that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Your signstyle Your Signstyle <	69,465
Step 11 mount ou Owe Step 12 iterest ad enaities Sign iere tach copy of derat return this return. is unlawful forge a	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens •59 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program. •59 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse. •60 61 Veterans Memorial Account. •61 California Election 62 Your political party amount (325 max) 63 63 •64 64 65 65 Total voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 •63 66 •65 •66 67 Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board," Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 65 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 69 Underpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 70 If you do not need California income tax forms mailed to you next year, check box at right. 70 IMPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal Income tax return and federal schedules. Your signature Spouse's signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X Yur Signature Your Signature Spouse's signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X Yur Signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X Yur Signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X Yur Signature (if filing jointy, both must sign) X	69,465
Step 11 erund or mount ou Owe Step 12 iterest nd enaities Sign iere trach copy of deral return this return. is uniawful forge a bouse's gnature.	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 54 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program 559 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse 60 61 California Election 62 Your political party 63 64 65 64 65 65 64 66 65 66 64 67 63 68 65 69 701 60 65 66 66 67 Add lines 56 and 64 68 65 69 701 60 65 66 66 67 AMOUNT OUE 68 67 69 AMOUNT YOU OWE Add lines 56 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 g 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If fo	Pate 1/13/92
Step 11 erund or mount ou Owe Step 12 iterest ad enaities Sign iere trach copy of derai return this return. is uniawful forge a oouse's	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 54 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program 559 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse 60 61 California Election 62 Your political party 63 64 65 64 65 65 64 66 65 66 64 67 63 68 64 69 65 60 66 61 66 62 63 64 65 65 66 66 65 66 66 67 70al voluntary contributions. Add lines 57 through 63 68 67 69 REFUND OR NO AMOUNT DUE. Subtract line 65 from line 54. Mail your return to: Franchise Tax Board, P.O. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-0000 67 AMOUNT YOU OWE. Add line 55 and line 65. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board. P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 growth for an it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board. Franchise Tax Board. Franchise Tax Board. Form Shatcatch a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Form shattach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Signature (if filing jeinty, both must sign) X 70 IMPORTANT: You must attach a copy of your federal income tax return and federal schedules. Signature (of paid problemer (opclaration of arreturn including acc	69,469
Step 11 etund or mount ou Owe Step 12 tterest ad enaities Sign tere tach copy of deral return this return. is uniawful forge a pouse's gnature.	58 California Fund for Senior Citizens 54 59 Rare and Endangered Species Preservation Program 559 60 State Children's Trust Fund for the Prevention of Child Abuse 60 61 California Election 62 Your political party 63 64 65 64 65 65 64 66 65 66 64 67 63 68 65 69 701 60 65 66 66 67 Add lines 56 and 64 68 65 69 701 60 65 66 66 67 AMOUNT OUE 68 67 69 AMOUNT YOU OWE Add lines 56 and line 55. Attach check or money order for full amount payable to "Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." Write your social security number and "1991 Form 540NR" on it. Mail it with your return to: Franchise Tax Board." P.O. Box 942867, Sacramento, CA 94267-0001 g 67 68 Interest and late return and late payment penalties. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If form FTB 5805 or 5805F is attached, check box at right. 69 1 69 Inderpayment of estimated tax. If fo	69,469

RA000368





.

350034-K382

FT
I AXABLE YEARNonresident or Part-Year Resident
California Adjusted Gross Income

CALIFORNIA SCHEDULE

SI

Use this schedule if you were a full-year nonresident or part-year resident of California in 1991. Attach to Form 540NR. See Schedule SI instructions. Name(s) as shown on your return Your social security number

_		069-30	
ST	EP 1 - California income - Enter all of your income earned while you were a California resident and your income rece		sources within
	California while you were a nonresident.		
2	Wages, salaries, tips, etc.	<u> </u>	14 070
3	Taxable interest income	2	14,872
4	Dividend income Alimony received Business income or (loss) Capital gain or (loss)	3	4,750
5	Amildry received fully frue and correct copy of	4	<u></u>
-	Business income of (loss)	5	613,606
• • •	capital gain or tiosa	6	
7	Lapital gain distributions not reported on line b	7	
8	Other gains or (lossés)	8	
9	a Total IRA distributions		
	b Taxable amount	9b	
10	Total pensions and annuities		
•	b Taxable amount		
11	Rents, royalties, partnerships, S corporations, estates, trusts, etc.	11	
12		12	
13	Other income (list type and amount)	13	
14	California Income. Add lines 1 through 13 in the far right column	14	633,228
<u>Sti</u>	P 2 - California Adjusted Gross Income - Enter adjustments that are directly related to income reported above.		
15	IRA deduction: You Spouse	15	·
16	Deduction for self-employment tax	16	······
17	Self-employed health insurance deduction	17	
18	Keogh retirement plan and self-employed SEP deduction	18	
19	Penalty on early withdrawal of savings	19	
20	Alimony paid. Recipient's last name: Recipient's social security number	20	
21.	Total adjustments. Add lines 15 through 20	21	
22	Total adjustments. Add lines 15 through 20. California adjusted gross income. Subtract line 21 from line 14. Enter the amount here and on Form 540NR, line 20	22	633.228
	Note: Be sure to complete Step 3.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000,220.
STE	P 3 - Important: Check the appropriate boxes below and enter the appropriate information that applies to you and your s		<u> </u>
		Vou	Spouse

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		$\Box \bigotimes$	
8 9 10 11	l lived in California during 1991 for (enter the number of days)	S,	pouse

ATTACH THIS SCHEDULE TO FORM 540NR

Schedule SI 1991 Side 1

0000014

RA000369

ARA00014

180564 3.000



356034-K382 04/13/9: 00:29:25 V150

TAXABLE YEA	<u>R</u>			SCHEDULE
1991		California Adjustments		CA
Nemc(s) as shown		this schedule directly behind Form 540NR.	1	
			Social security m	imber
GILBERT			069-30-9	999
<u>PART I A</u>	DJL	STMENTS TO FEDERAL ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME		
Step 1	1	State income tay refund from forderst from this tay to get the second		
Subtractions	2	State income tax refund from federal Form 1040, line 10, or Form 1040NR, line 11 Unemployment compensation from federal Form 1040, line 20, or Form 1040A, line 12,	·· 1	
		or Form 1040NR, line 21	2	
	3	Social security benefits from federal Form 1040, line 21b, or Form 1040A, line 13b,		
		or Form 1040NR, page 4, line 73		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4	California nontaxable interast or dividend income. See instructions		117,136
	6	Railroad retirement benefits and sick pay. See instructions California Lottery winnings, See instructions		
•	7	California Lottery winnings. See instructions Difference between state and federal wages. See instructions IRA distributions. See instructions Pensions and annuities. See instructions	ect copy of	
	8	IRA distributions. See instructions	nent on file	
	9			
	10 11	Passive activity. See instructions Depreciation and amortization from form FTB 3885A, line 6a and line 10a JAN - 3 2000	. 10	
	12	Capital gains or (losses) from California Schedule D, line 11a	•• 11	
	13	Other gains or (losses) from California Schedule D-1, line 21a and line 38		
	14	Other subtractions:		······
		California disaster loss deduction from your 1991 form FTB 3805V Dother. See instructions, Specify	. 14=	
		b Other. See instructions. Specify		
	15	Total subtractions. Add lines 1 through 14b. Enter here and on Form 540NR, line 14	· . 14b	117 106
Stop 2				117,136
Step 2	16	Interest on state and municipal bonds from a state other than California. See instructions	76	4,608
Additions	17 18	Difference between state and federal wages. See instructions	47	
	19	Passive activity. See instructions Depreciation and amortization from form FTB 3885A, line 6b and line 10b	18	
	20	Capital gains or (losses) from California Schedule D, line 11b		
	21	Other gains or (losses) from California Schedule D-1, line 21b and line 38	20	
	22	Uther addutions:		
		a Federal net operating loss deduction from your 1991 federal Form 1040, line 22, or Form 1040NR, line Other See institutions Federal	22 22:	743,302
		b Other. See instructions. Specify		
	23	Total additions. Add lines 16 through 22b. Enter hare and on Form 540NR, line 16	226	747,910.
PART II AE	JUS	TMENTS TO FEDERAL ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS		747,910.
	24	Federal itemized deductions. Add the amounts on federal Schedule A (Form 1040), lines 4, 8, 12, 16, 17, 24 and 25 or Schedule A (Form 1040), lines 4, 8, 12, 16, 17,	18.	
	25	24 and 25 or Schedule A (Form 1040NR), lines 2, 4, 5, 5, 8 and 9 State and local income taxes from federal Schedule A (Form 1040), line 5, or Schedule A (Form 1040N	. 24	31,788.
		line 2 and foreign income taxes. See instructions		
	26	Subtract line 25 from line 24	25	31,788.
	27	Other adjustments. See instructions. Specify		
	28	Combine line 26 and line 27	_ 27	NONE
	29	Combine line 26 and line 27 California itemized deductions	. 28	31,788.
		 If your federal adjusted gross income on Form 540NR, line 13 is not more than: 	. 29	6,358.
		 S100,000 if single or married filling separate 		
		- \$150,000 if head of household		
		 - \$200,000 if married filing joint or qualifying widow(er) enter the amount on line 28, on line 2 If your federal idjusted error income on From Foolup it. 	29	
		 If your federal adjusted gross income on Form 540NR, line 13 is more than the amount listed about the worksheet in the instructions for line 29 to figure the amount to enter on fine 29. 	ove for your filir	ng status, complete
		If your California itemized deductions on line 29 are larger than your standard deduction, enter your C		d . da d
		Form 540NR, line 18. Otherwise, enter your standard deduction on Form 540NR, line 18.	ann on na Hemil28	a deanchou2 ou
		Form 540NR	Rooklet 10	01 0000 00
505 2 ^90			GUURIEL 19	si rage 19
				000001
			•	

RA000370





1991

GILBERT HYATT

SSN: 069-30-9999

CALIFORNIA SOURCE INTEREST INC SCHEDULE SI, LINE 2

ASSUME ALL INTEREST EARNED.... 14872.00 DURING 1/1/91 TO 10/1/91..... FOR SIMPLICITY--ACTUALLY SOME. INTEREST EARNED AFTER 10/1/91. WHILE NON RESIDENT.....

Total

14872.00

CALIFORNIA SOURCE DIVIDENDS SCHEDULE SI, LINE 3

ASSUMES ALL DIVIDENDS EARNED.. 4750.00 WHILE CALIFORNIA RESIDENT FROM 1/1/91 TO 10/1/91 FOR SIMPLICITY -- ACTUALLY SOME... DIVIDENDS EARNED AFTER 10/1/91 WHILE NON RESIDENT.....

Total

4750.00

CALIFORNIA BUSINESS SOURCE INC SCHEDULE SI, LINE 5

PIONEER	200000.00
PHILIPS CORP	400000.00
NIKKEI ELECTRONICS (SPEAKING).	12500.00
CMP PUBLICATIONS (SPEAKING)	1105.65

Total

613606.00

DEDUCTION FOR SELF-EMP TAX

ASSUMES NO SE TAX DEDUCTION... ALLOCABLE TO CAL SOURCE.... BUSINESS INCOME FOR SIMPLICITY --ACTUALLY A PORTION IS ALLOCABLE....

Total

SELF EMP HEALTH DEDUCTION SCHEDULE SI, LINE 17



0.00

0000016

RA000371





ASSUMES NO PORTION OF SELF-EMP HEALTH DEDUCTION IS ALLOCABLE. TO CAL SOURCE BUSINESS INCOME. FOR SIMPLICITY -- ACUTALLY... SOME PORTION IS ALLOCABLE....

1991

Total

0.00

SEP DEDUCTION SCHEDULE SI, LINE 18

ASSUMES NO PORTION OF SEP.... DEDUCTION IS ALLOCABLE TO CAL. SOURCE BUSINESS INCOME FOR ... SIMPLICITY -- ACTUALLY SOME .. PORTION IS ALLOCABLE.....

Total

0.00



This is to certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the original document on file with the Franchise Tay Board.

JAN 3 2000

0000017

RA000372 ARA00017

	GILBERI	T HYATT			069-30-9999
PA	RT I -	ADJUSTMENTS TO FEDERAL ITEN	AIZED DEDUCTIONS		
1.	Feder	cal itemized deductions befo medule A, lines 4, 8, 12, 16	pre phase-out (f	rom federal	31,788.
2.	State	and local income taxes:			
		State/local taxes. Fed Sc Foreign taxes. Fed Sch A,	h A, ln 5 line 7		-
	Tot	al State and Local Taxes (S	chedule CA, line	e 25)	·
3.	Other	adjustments (for Form 540	or Form 540NR f:	ilers only):	
<u>.</u>		Interest adj. FTB 3526, l Depr adj for fed Form 2106 Depr/amort adj ⁻ for fed Sch	assets A, ln 20	This is to full, true the origin	- Tertify that this is a and correct copy of al document on file manchise Tax Board.
				JAN	3 2000
					- -
			······································		• •
	Tota	al Other Adjustments (Schedu	le CA, line 27)		-
4.		California Itemized Deducti	-		
PAF		ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS WORKSHI			
1. 2.	CA Ite Add th	emized Deductions before pha a amounts on Schedule A, li 7 plus any gambling losses	ase-out (Sch CA, ine 4. line 11.	and	
3.	NOTE:	ict line 2 from line 1 If the result is zero, sto from line 1 above on Sched	p here: enter t	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	31,788.
4.	Multip	ly line 3 by 80% (.80)		25.430.	
5. 6.	Enter	the amount from Form 540, 1 on line 6 the amount shown status:	below for your	<u>. / 2،5 و ل ا ا و ۲ ا</u>	
	Sī	ngle or married filing sepa ad of household rried joint, or surviving s	rate \$100,000} \$150,000}		
7.	NOTE: here; Schedu	If the result is zero or l enter the amount from line le CA, line 29.	ess, stop 1 above on	17,103,327.	
8. 9.	Multip	ly line 7 by 6% (.06)	12	<u> 1022,090.</u>	- -
	Enter † Total 1	e the amounts on line 4 and the smaller of the two amou Itemized Deductions. Subtra the result here and on Sche	nts here act line 9 from	line 1.	
			1111e 25		<u> </u>
		•		0	000018
					· · · · ·

		•• 1.
G	ILBERT HYATT	069-30-9999
CRE	DIT INFORMATION	
1.	Review the FTB instructions and enter an 'X' if qualified for any of the following credits:	
	 a. Credit for Joint Custody Head of Household b. Credit for Dependent Parent c. Credit for Senior Head of Household d. Credit for Qualified Parent Enter number of months qualified for this credit 	· · · [_] · · · [_]
2.	Enter total political contributions for 1991	
3.	Taxpa Enter total military income received in 1991	yer Spouse
	SUMMARY OF AVAILABLE CREDITS	
CODE		•
•	Credit for Child and Dependent Care Expenses Credit for Taxpayers with Military Income	••••
170	Credit for Joint Custody Head of Household.	
173	Credit for Dependent Parent	1
163	Credit for Senior Head of Household	
164	credit for head of household with a Nondependent Relative	
165	Credit for Public Retirees Under 65 or the Credit for the Elderly or Disabled This is to certify Prison Inmate Labor Credit, FTB 3507 Jobs Credit, FTB 3524 Low Emission Vehicle Credit, FTB 3554	• • • •
184 162	Credit for Political Contributions	diraci copy of 25.
166	Jobs Credit, FTB 3524	cument on file
160	Low Emission Vehicle Credit, FTB 3554	rain
169	Enterprise Zone Employee Credit, FTB 3553	
161	Credit for Qualified Parent	
171	Credit Carryovers, FTB 3518	
191	Ridesnaring Large Employer Program, FTB 3518	
192	Credits Small Employer Program, FTB 3518	
193 194	I EMDIOVER SUBSIDIZED TRANSIT Passes FTR 351	
176	Employee Vanpool Program, FTB 3572 Enterprise Zone Hiring/Sales and Use Tax Credit, FTB 3805	···
177	Program Area Hiring/Sales and Use Tax Credit, FTB 38052.	6
178	I WALEY CONSErvation Credit Carryover	
179	Solar Pump Credit Carryover (farmers only)	[
182	I DHELUY CONSELVATION CREDIE CAFEVOVEE. NUM (SIA	
186	Residential Rental and Farm Sales Credit FTR 3529	
189	I Employer child care Program Credit, FTB 3501	
190	Employed Unite Care Contribution Credit, FTB 3501	
174 175	Recycling Equipment Credit, FTB 3527	•••
180	Agricultural Products Credit, FTB 3534 Solar Energy Credit Carryover, FTB 3805L	•••
181	I COmmercial Solar Energy Credit Carryover, FTB 38051	
196	Commercial Solar Electric System Credit, FTB 3556	
183	Research Credit, FTB 3523 [Start-up companies, HSP FTR 356	1511
185	Orphan Drug Credit, FTB 3528	{
172	LOW-INCOME HOUSING Credit, FTB 3521	
188 187	Credit for Prior Year Alternative Minimum Tax, FTB 3510]
TO \	Other State Tax Credit, Schedule S	••





350034-K382 04/13/9. 00:29:25 V 150

FŤ TAXABLE YEAR

180502 4 000

1991	Alternative Minimum Tax and Cre Limitations - Nonresidents or Par	ədit rt-Year	Resident	 'e	P (540NR)
Attach this schedule t	o Form 540NR		- Noordon	<u> </u>	
Name(s) as shown on GILBERT HYA					al security number
Part Section A	- Tentative Minimum Tax (TMT) and Alternative Minimum Tax			009-	30-9999
Amount, if any, Combine line 1 Adjustments (Adjustments (Adjustment addu b Medical and d	from Form 640NR, line 19 (may be less than zero) from line 9 of the worksheet for line 29 of Schedule CA and line 2 See instructions before completing): action from Form 540NR, line 18 lantal expense itemized deductions from federal Schedule A (Form 1040), line 24	4.		1 2 3	17,727,743. (25,430) 17,702,313.
 Personal and a Refund of personal filterest 	real property taxes	- 4d - 4e	1,860 NON]	
I Circulation and J Mining explore	4a through 4f . If property placed in service after 1986 . I research and experimental expenditures paid or incurred after 1986 . ation and development costs paid or incurred after 1986 . Intracts entered into after 2/9/95	• 4h		4g	1,860.

	k Long-term contracts entered into after 2/28/86		
	1 FOULUOR CONTROL FACILITIES DIRECT IN Service after 1096	-	
	m installment sales of certain property		
	m installment sales of certain property		
	• Certain loss timitations		
	p Tax shelter farm activities		
	d Passiva activitias		
	AMIL O LAVA		
E	E Combine lines 4h through 4r	45	
	tex preterence items (see instructions before completing):		
	Appreciated property charitable deduction		
	D Depletion	-1000	
	C Add the baland the bo		T
	a service depreciation of real property placed in service before 1987	5c	
	Accelerated depreciation of leased personal property placed in service before 1997	-	
	amortization of certified pollution control facilities placed in service before 1987	-	
	g interigible drilling costs	-	
	n Add lines og through bg		
6	the second in the second in the second	5h	17 78
7	cinor, viologo (220,000 il married filing separate; 530.000 if single or hand of household	6	17,704,173.
8	Enter: \$150,000 (\$75,000 if married filing separate; \$112,500 if single or head of household)	7	30,000.
9	Subtract line 8 from line 6. If zero or less, enter zero here and on line 10	8	112,500.
10	Multiply line 9 by 25% (25)	9	17,591,673.
11	Multiply line 9 by 25% (25) Subtract line 10 from line 7 if zero or less onter the this school of this school of the	_10	4,397,918.
12	Subtract line 10 from line 7. If zero or less, enter zero. If this schedule is for a child under age 14, see instructions Subtract line 11 from line 5. If zero or loss other and the second state of the sec	11	NONE
13	Subtract line 11 from line 6. If zero or less, enter zero here and on line 17	12	17,704,173.
14	Multiply line 12 by 8.5% (.085)	13	1,504,855.
		14:	17,704,173.
	and the second of the second of the second sec	146	4,498.
		14c	17,708,671.
	d AMT California adjusted gross income from Part I, Section B, line 4 Ratio, Divide line 14d by line 14c. Fotor the posterior bits in the section B.	14d	633,228.
16		140	3.5758%
16		15	53,811.
	the second operation of the percentage from form 50000 line 21 if an any		
	shi shin show, nine 20, see instructions	16	69,470.
.,	Anerrative minimum tax, Subtract line 15 from line 15. If less than zero, enter zero. Soo perturbations finte		
	the stand under uge 14, 11 you do not have Part II, Section 3 credits also enter this amount on Same tables it	17	NONE
_	the resident of the server of		
<u>- I</u>	you have entered an amount on line 15, see the special note on page 6 of the Schedule P (\$40NR) instructions.		
		(540N	JR) 1991 Side 1
507	4 000		

0000020

RA000375



356034-K382 04/13/9: 00:29:25 V150

art i Section 8 - Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT) California Adjusted Gross Income 1 California adjusted gross income from Schedulo SI, line 22	<u> </u>	
2 Adjustments (See instructions before completing):	1	<u> </u>
a Depreciation of property placed in service after 1985		
b Circulation and research and experimental expenditures paid or incurred after 1986 2b		
e Mining exploration and development costs paid or incurred after 1986		
d Long-term contracts entered into after 2/28/86		
Pollution control facilities placed in service after 1986		
f Installment sales of certain property		
g Adjusted gain or loss		
h Certain loss limitations		
7 Tax sheller farm loss		
J Passive activity loss		
k Beneficiaries of estates and trusts		
I Total adjustments. Add lines 2a through 2k	21	
3 Tax preference items (See instructions before completing.):		
a Depletion 3a	19.54	
> Accelerated depreciation of real property placed in service before 1987		
c Accelerated depreciation of leased personal property placed in service before 1987 3c		
d Amortization of certified pollution control facilities placed in service before 1987		
Intangible drilling costs		
f Add lines 3a through 3e	3f	
The section of the se	4	633,228
IL II Credit Limitations		
stion A - Tax in excess of tentative minimum tax		
a Regular tax from Part I, Section A, line 16	11	69,470
b remouve innumber tex from Fart I, Section A, line 15	1 46	53,811
e subtract line in from line la. If less than zero, enter zero	1e	15,659
a exemptions from Form 540NR, line 11, multiplied by \$50, times the percentage from Form 540NR, line 21		
It you were required to limit your exemption credits, see instructions.	111	NONE
Enter line 1c or line 1d, whichever is smaller	- <u>10</u>	NON
f Divide the amount on line is by the percentage from Form 540NR, line 21. Enter the result here and on Form		
		NONE
sauruk, line 23, and check the Schedule P(540NR) box		NONE
sauruk, line 23, and check the Schedule P(540NR) box	• 11	60 470
540NR, line 23, and check the Schedule P(540NR) box a Enter the amount from Form 540NR, line 27 (use refigured amount if exemption credits are limited) b Tentative minimum tax from Part 1, Section A, line 15 Subtract line 2b from line 2a. If less than zero, enter zero	. 28	69,470. 53,811.

Part II continues on Side 3.

ET.



This is to certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the original document on file with the Franchise Tax Board. JAN 3 2000

0000021

RA000376

ARA00021

Side 2 Schedule P (540NR) 1991





350034-K382 04/13/9 00:29:25 V150

ection B - Credits that may not reduce excess tax below tentative minimum tax		(a) Credit amount	(b) Gredit used this year	(o) Fax	(O Credit
de	1		the year	belance	carryover
4 Enler the amount from line 3					
	1		1	15,659	4
5 Credit for child and dependent care expenses from the worksheet in Form 540kR	1_				
instructions x the percentage from Form 540NR, line 21	5			15,659	<u>.</u>
6 Credit for taxpayers with military income from the worksheet in Form 540NR					
instructions x the percentage from Form 540AR, line 21	6			15,659	
7 Credittar joint custody head of household from the worksheet in Form S40NR instructions					
	7			<u> </u>	.]
8 Credit for dependent parent from the worksheet in Form 540NR instructions	ł –				7
* the percentage from Form \$40NR, line 21				15,659.	
S Credit for senior head of household from the worksheet in Form 540NR instructions					
x the percentage from Form 540NR, line 21	9			15,659.	. 1987
10 Credit for head of household with a nondependent relative (see form 540NR instructions)					7
	10	(15,659.	
11 Credit for political contributions				<u>/</u>	
line 21	11		· ·	15,659.	
2 12 Prison inmate labor credit from form FTB 3507	12		T	15,659.	
13 Jobs credit from form FTB 3524	13		1	15,659	1
9 14 Low-emission vehicles credit from form FTB 3554	14	1	1	15,659	1
18 Enterprise zone employee credit from form FTB 3553	15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15,659	+
16 Credit for qualified parent (from worksheet in Form 54DNR instructions)	10		<u> </u>	15,659.	<u> </u>
17 Ridesharing credit: Carryover from form F18 3518	17			15,659.	
18 Ridesharing credit: Large employer program from form FT8 3518	18	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
19 Ridesharing credit: Small employer program from form FTB 3518	19			15,659.	<u> </u>
20 Ridesharing credit: Employer subsidized transit passes from form #18 3518	20			15,659.	ł
21 (1997)	21			15,659.	┝────
22 Emergrise zone hiring/sales and use lax credil from form F18 38052	22	<u> </u>		15,659.	· · · ·
23 Program area hiring/sales and use tax credit from form FTB 38052			<u> </u>	15,659.	ļ
194 weaker states and the state of the state	23	·		15,659.	l
	24			15,659.	
	25	<u>_</u>		15,659.	
	28			15,659.	
	27	This is to	certify that this t	15,659.	
29 Employer child care contribution credit from form FTB 3501	100	A full true	and correct copy	of 15,659.	
30 Recycling equipment credit from form FIB 3527	5	the origi	nal document on Franchise Tax Boo		
The second secon	37			15,059.	
	Man		enda	15,659.	
32 Solar energy credit carryover from form FT8 3805L	32	JAN	3 2000	15,659.	
33 Commercial solar energy credit carryover from form FTB 38051	33	·		15,659.	
34 Commercial solar electric system credit from form FTB 3556	34			15,659.	
35 Research credit from form FTB 3523 (start-up companies use FTB 3505)	35			15,659.	
	38			15,659.	
	37 .			- 15,659.	
	38			15,659.	
tion C - Credits that may reduce tax below tentative minimum	tax				**_*
39 If line 3 is zero, enter the amount from tipe 2a. If line 3 is more than zero, enter the total					
of time 2b and line 38, column [c]	39			69,470.	
40 Solar energy credit carryover from line 32, column (d)	40			69,470.	
41 Commercial solar energy credit carryover from line 33, column (d)	41			69,470.	
42 Commercial solar electric system credit from line 34, column (d)	42			69,470	
43 Research credit from tine 35, column (d)	13			69,470	······································
44 Drphan drug credit from line 36, column (d)	14				
All the free to the second sec	15			69,470.	
4E Other ships in a shift from Colory a	15			<u> </u>	
tion D - Credits that may reduce alternative minimum tax (AM)				69,470.	
A7 Enlar ways another at the second					
48 Solar energy credit expression from the sector A, line 17				NONE	
	8			NONE	
49 Commercial solar energy credit carryover from fine 41, column (d)	18			NONE	
50 Adjusted AMT. Enter line 49, column [c], here and on Form 540NR, line 44 - 5	50 L3			NONE	

0000022

180509 1.000

RA000377





356034-K382 04/13/9r 00:29:25 V150

GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

Statement 1 069-30-9999

Supplement to Form 540NR



This is to certily that this is a full, true and correct copy of the original document on file with the Franchise Tax Board.

JAN 3 2000

0000023

1

Statement





356034-K382 04/13/9 00:29:25 V150	
GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160	Statement 2 059-30-9999
Supplement to Form 540NR Schedule CA	
California Itemized Deductions Worksheet	
1. Enter the amount from Schedule CA, line 28	
2. Schedule A, lines 4, 11, and 17 amounts plus	31,788.
any gambling losses on line 25	NONE
 Subtract line 2 from line 1 Multiply line 3 by 80% Enter the amount from Form 540, line 13 Enter on line 6 the amount for filing status: a) 1 or 3, \$100,000 b) 4, \$150,000 	31,788. 25,430. 17134841.
c) 2 or 5, \$200,000 7. Line 5 less tine 6 8. Multiply line 7 by 6%	100,000. 17034841. 1,022,090.
9. Enter the smaller of line 4 or line 8	25,430.
10. Total itemized deductions	6,358.

JAN

This is to certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the original document on file with the Franchise Tax Board. JAN 3 2000

0000024

RA000379

ARA00024

Statement 2



04/13/9 00:29:25 V150

GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

356034-K382

Statement 3 069-30-9999

California Carryover Schedule

			ull, true	o certily that t and correct of inal document Franchise Tax	on file
Net Operating Loss Carr	yover		JAN	3 2000	
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/77		2,	.351.	· · · · ·
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90				2,351.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/78		27,	964.	
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90				27,964.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/79		32,	527.	
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90				32,527.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/80		38,	549.	
Total utilization	·	(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90		~		38,549.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/81		41,	128.	
Total utilization		(ſ	NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90				41,128

Continued on next page

Statement 3

0000025

RA000380

356034-K382 04/13/9 00:29:25 V150

GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

Statement 4 069-30-9999

California Carryover	Schedule (Cont'd)	This is to cartiny that this is a full, true and correct copy of	
		The orbinal documention file =======	12
1		JAN 3 2000	

Carryover generated FYE	12/31/82	40,110.
Total utilization	· .	(NONE)
Carried forward from	12/31/90	40,110.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/83	52,774.
Total utilization	· ·	(NONE)
Carried forward from	12/31/90	52,774.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/84	. 52,367.
Total utilization		(NONE)
Carried forward from	12/31/90	52,367.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/85	. 68,275.
Istal utilization		(NONE)
Carried forward from	12/31/90	68,275.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/86	. 67,391.
Total utilization		(NONE)
Carried forward from	12/31/90	67,391, ··
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/87	89,027.
Total utilization		(NONE)

Continued on next page

Statement 4

0000026

RA000381 ARA00026



356034-K382 04/13/9 00:29:25 V150

GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

.

Statement 5 069-30-9999

California Carryovet Schedule (Cont'd)

Carried forward from	12/31/90		89,027.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/88	81,485.	
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90		81,485.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/89	62,696.	
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90		62,696.
Carryover generated FYE	12/31/90	86,658.	
Total utilization		(NONE)	
Carried forward from	12/31/90		86,658.
Total amount carried for	ward from YE 12/31/90	 	743.302

This is to certify that this is a full, true and correct copy of the original document on file with the Franchise Tax Board. JAN 3 2000

Statement 5 0000027

RA000382





SCHEDULE C (Form 1040) Bepartment of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	(Sole P Partnerships, joint ve	IS From Business roprietorship) ntures, etc., must file Form 1055. See instructions for Schedule C For		DNS No. 1545-007	
Name of proprietor	•		·	rily number (SSIG	
GILBERT HYATT				30-9999	
A Principal business or profession, including product or service (see instructions) SPEAKEING/PATENTS				B Enter principal mainens code ffrom page 2 > 6882	
C Business name				22 Jel0 redeum DI	
GILBERT HYATT					
E Business address (including suit	te or room no.)				
City, town or post office, state F Accounting mathod: (1) X		COS ROAD APT NO 237F	LAS VEG	AS, NV 8912	
I Did you "materially participate"	ining quantities, costs, or valuations be in the operation of this business dur	(3) explanation (4) X et tween opening and closing inventory? Of ing 1991? Of "Ne," see instructions for	limitations on	ne H) Yes No planation.) losses.) X	
<u>J If this is the first Schedule C</u> Part I Income	filed for this business, check here .	<u> </u>	<u></u>	▶ X	
	on: If this income was reported to ye	ou on Form W-2 and the "Statutory	<u> </u>		
		check hereSimt 5 ►		13,606.	
			2		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			13,606.	
	40 on page 21		4	13,606.	
		refund (see instructions)	6		
-					
	gross income		. 🏲 7	13,606.	
	On: Enter expanses for business use	of your home on line 3D) 21 Repairs and maintenance			
S Advertising	╵╹╹╹╹┝────────	22 Supplies (not included in Par			
(see instructions)	9	23 Taxes and licenses	```		
to Car and truck expenses (see instructions - also attach		24 Travel, meals, and entertainm	елт:		
Form 4562)	10	aTravel	24.	106.	
11 Commissions and fees	11	entertainment			
 Depreciation and section 179 expense deduction (not included in Part III) (see instructions) 		e Enter 20% of line 24b subject to limitations (see			
14 Employee benefit programs (oth		instructions)			
than on line 19) 15 Insurance (other than health)		dSubtract line 24c from line			
16 Interest:	•••••	25 Utilities 26 Wages (less jobs credit)	25	<u> </u>	
a Mortgage (paid to banks, etc.)	162	THIS IS TO CEATTER SEAT THIS IS A			
b Other	16b	TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OFT			
17 Legal and professional services		ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ON FILE WITH			
18 Office expense		FRANCHISE TAX BOARD			
20 Rent or lease (see instructions):		Leven			
a Vehicles, machinery, and equipm		Y .			
b Other business property		27 bTbtal other expenses	27b		
	es 8 through 27b. These are your to	tal expenses bafore expenses for		106	
business use of your home	line 28 from line 7		P 28	<u>106.</u> 13,500.	
	rour home (attach Form 8629)		29		
		nter here and on Form 1040, line 32. Al			
	e SE, line 2 (statutory employees, see ee instructions)		. 31	13,500.	
2 If you have a loss, you MUST o	check the box that describes your invi	astment in this activity (see instructions)	322	All investment is all risk.	
	loss on Form 1040, line 12, and Sche d 326, you MUST attach Form 6198,) 325 [Some investment is not at risk.	
•	Notice, see Form 1040 instructi	ons. Se	hedule C li	form 1040) 1991	
N753 .000	•			0000	

RA000383 ARA00028 356034-K382 04/10/ 00:49:11 V150 CILBERT HYATT



069-30-9999

Schedule C (Form 1040) 1997	Page 2
Part III Cost of Goods Sold (See Instructions.)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
33 Inventory at beginning of year. Of different from last year's closing inventory, attach explanation)	133
34 Purchases less cost of items withdrawn for personal use	34
35 Cost of labor. (Do not include salary paid to yourself).	35
36 Materials and supplies	38
37 Other costs	37
38 Add lines 33 through 37	38
39 Inventory at and of year.	39
40 Cost of goods sold. Subtract line 39 from line 38. Enter the result here and on page 1 line 4	40
Part IV Principal Business or Professional Activity Codes	

Locate the major category that best describes your activity. Within the major category, select the activity code that most closely identifies the business or profession that is the principal source of your sales or receipts. Enter this 4-digit code on page 1, line 8. For example, real estate agent is under the major category of "Real Estate," and the code is "5520." (Note: If your principal source of income is from farming activities, you should file Schedule F (Form 1040), Profit or Loss From Farming.)

See IRS instruction guide for codes.

Ļ

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ON FILE WITH THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD.

H753

RA000384


1x0110



SCHEDULE C (Form 1040) Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Attain	Profit or Loss From Business (Sole Proprietorship) > Partnershipe, Joint ventures, etc., must file Form 10 h te Fern 1040 or Fern 1041. Soc instructions for Schedule C	65.	Chell Ho. 1545-0074
Name of proprietor	<u>, </u>	Social socurit	
GILBERT HYATT		069-30	
A Principal business or profession, includ INVENTOR/LCD - COMPUT		B Enter principa	
C Business name	2/13		▶ 7517 I number Oleit 5530
GILBERT HYATT			
Business address (including suite or ro			
City, town or post office, state, and Z Accounting method: (1) X Cash	IP code 3225 S PECOS ROAD APT NO 237 [2] Accrual [3] Other (specify)	F LAS VEGA	AS, NV 8912
Method(s) used to	Lower of cost Other (attach	Does not apply Gf	
value closing inventory: (1) 🛄 Cost		checked, skip line	
I Was there any change in determining qu	entities, costs, or valuations between opening and closing inventory?	(if "Yes," attach expla	nation)
Did you "materially participate" in the	operation of this business during 1991? Of "No," see instructions	for limitations on le	sses) [X]
Part i income	r this business, check here	<u></u>	· · · · · •
	is income was reported to you on Form W-2 and the 'Statutory		<u> </u>
employee" box on that form was check	ked, see the instructions and check hereStmt_7		2,266,567.
Returns and allowances		2	
Subtract line 2 from line 1		3 42	2,266,667.
 Cost of goods sold (from line 40 on pr Subtract line 4 from line 3 and enter 1 	nge 2		266 653
Other income, including Federal and state	the gross profit here gasoline or fuel tax credit or refund (see instructions)	5 42	2,266,667.
meaning merening roads an elle stole	And which a state fact of the find (see with fighting a set a		
Add lines 5 and 5. This is your gross	income	> 7 42	2,266,667.
art II Expenses (Caution: Em	er expanses for business use of your home on line 30.)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B Advertising			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Bad debts from sales or services	22 Supplies (not included in 9 23 Taxet and license		
(see instructions)			
instructions - also attach Form 4562	24 Travel, medis, and anter 10 BTravel	ainmant: 24a	2,650.
1 Commissions and fees	11 24,267,350. bMeals and		2,000.
2 Depietion	12 entertainment	521.	
Depreciation and section 179 expense deduction (not included	c Enter 20% of line 24b subject to		
in Part III) (see instructions)	limitations (see		
Employee benefit programs (other than on line 19)	14 dSubtract line 24c from 1	104.	
insurance (other than health)			417.
i Interest:	16 25 Ubilities 26 Wages Cless jobs credit)	25	
Mortgage (paid to banks, etc.)		and amounth	
b Other	16b See Statemer	nt 7 🚺	
'Legal and professional services	17 23,770. THIS IS TO (ENTIFY THAT THIS	IS A FULL
Office expense	18 1,973. THUE AN	D CORRECT CORY	OF THE
Pension and profit-sharing plans	19 ORIGINAL D	OCUMENT ON FILE	WITH THE
Vehicles, machinery, and equipment	20a	NCHISE TAX BOAR	1
Other business property	20b 27 bTotal other expanses .	276	233,886.
	pugh 27b. These are your total expenses before expenses for		
business use of your home		> 28 24	,530,233.
Tentative profit (loss). Subtract line 28 1	ram line 7		736,434.
Expenses for business use of your home	(attach Form 8829)	30	
ever provide or lices, subvict line 30	from line 29. If a profit, enter here and on Form 1040, line 12	. Aiso	
go on to line 32 (fiduciaries, see instruc	2 (statutory employees, see instructions). If a loss, you MUST	1 17	726 404
	box that describes your investment in this activity (see instruction	$\frac{31}{100}$	736,434.
If you checked 323, enter the loss on F	orm 1040, line 12, and Schedule SE, line 2 (statutory employees,	5 3 3 5	sk. Zue iovertment is not
	NU MUST attach Form 6198.	, acu [] a	ri sk.
see instructions). If you checked 32b, yo			
see instructions). If you checked 32b, yo Paperwork Reduction Act Notice,	see Form 1040 Instructions.	Schedule C (For	n 1040] 1991
see instructions). If you checked 32b, yo	see Form 1040 Instructions.	Schedule C (Forr	ο ¹⁰⁴⁰ 1991

RA000385 ARA00030



356034-K382 04/10/200:49:11 V150 CILBERT HYATT

069-30-9999

Schedulg C (Ferm 1040) 1991	tan 2
Part III Cost of Goods Sold (See Instructions)	
33 Inventory at beginning of year. Of different from last year's closing inventory, attach explanation]	13
34 Purchases less cost of itams withdrawn for personal use	34
35 Cost of labor. (Do not include salary paid to yourself),	
36 Materials and supplies	36
37 Other costs,	37
38 Add lines 33 through 37	38
39 Inventory at end of year.	39
40 Cast of goods sold. Subtract line 39 from line 38. Enter the result here and on page 1, line 4	
Part IV Principal Rusiness or Professional Activity Codes	******

Part in Principal Business of Professional Activity Codes

Locate the major category that best describes your activity. Within the major category, select the activity code that most closely identifies the business or profession that is the principal source of your sales or receipts. Enter this 4-digit code on page 1, line B. For example, real estate agent is under the major category of "Real Estate," and the code is "5520." (Note: If your principal source of income is from farming activities, you should file Schedule F (Form 1040), Profit or Loss From Farming)

See IRS instruction guide for codes.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ON FILE WITH THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD.

0000031

1x0120 2.000

H763

RA000386 ARA00031



356034-K382 04/10/0? 00:49:11 V150

GILBERT HYATT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

Statement 7 069-30-9999

Supplement to Schedule C

Gross Receipts or Sales - Schedule C, line 1 Business name: GILBERT HYATT

PHILLIPS FUJITSU FUJITSU MATSUSHITA MATSUSHITA PIONEER (LAPSE OF OPTION ON LICENSE)

Total to Schedule C, line 1

Other expenses - Schedule C, line 27a Business name: GILBERT HYATT

R & D EXPENSES

Total to Schedule C, line 27b

400,000. 9,000,000. 7,665,667. 9,000,000. 9,000,000. 7,000,000. 200,000. 42,266,667.

233,886. 233,886.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ON FILE WITH THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD. sterio

0000032 Statement 7

RA000387



356034-K382 04/10/92 00:49:11 V150

GILBERT HYATT -LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89160

· .

Supplement to Schedule C

Gross Receipts or Sales - Schedule C, line 1 Business name: GILBERT HYATT

NIKKEI ELECTRONICS MAGAZINE CMP PUBLICATIONS

Total to Schedule C, line 1

Statement 6 069-30-9999

> 12,500. 1,106. 13,606.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT ON FILE WITH THE FRANCHISE TAX BOARD. oter

0000033

Statement 6

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD 150 VAN NUYS BLVD., ROOM 100 AN NUYS, CA 91401-3381 TELEPHONE: (818) 901-5225

For Privacy Act Notice, See Form FTB 1131

Date: June 17, 1993

Gilbert P. Hyatt P.O. Box 60028 Las Vegas, NV 89160

Years: 1989 & 1990 & 1991

Your returns have been assigned to this office for examination. We hope to complete the examination as soon as possible, but our workload sometimes requires that our audits be delayed for some time. Answers to the questionnaire on the reverse side will assist us in scheduling an appointment on a mutually convenient date, and in expediting the examination of your returns.

Please complete the questionnaire and return it to our office within 10 days. If additional information is needed, you or your designated representative will be contacted.

Your cooperation is appreciated.

Marc Shore Marc Shayer

Tax Auditor

FTB 4891-39 /REV 12-8CI PAGE 1

CONFIDENTIAL H 01213

> RA000389 ARA00034

0000034

2ND NOTICE !!!

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD 3150 VAN NUYS BLVD., ROOM 100 VAN NUYS, CA 91401-3381 TELEPHONE: (818) 90 1-5225

For Privacy Act Notice, See Form FTB 1131

Date: July 1, 1993

Gilbert P. Hyatt P.O. Box 60028 Las Vegas, NV 89160

Years: 1989 & 1990 & 1991

Your returns have been assigned to this office for examination. We hope to complete the examination as soon as possible, but our workload sometimes requires that our audits be delayed for some time. Answers to the questionnaire on the reverse side will assist us in scheduling an appointment on a mutually convenient date, and in expediting the examination of your returns.

Please complete the questionnaire and return it to our office within 10 days. If additional information is needed, you or your designated representative will be contacted.

Your cooperation is appreciated.

Marcs Marc Shayer

Tax Auditor

FTB 4891-33 (REV 12-86) PAGE 1

CONFIDENTIAL H 01214

0000035

RA000390

Officers and Founding Directors Richard H. Bowler Michael W. Kern L: Ralph Piercy Revelle B. Taylor PIERCY, DOWLER, TAYLC & KERN CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCO Signal Corporation A Pamber of the AICPA SEC Practice Section

> 6617 Vist Charleston Blvd., Suite 118 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

> > Telephone (702) 384-1120 Fax (702) 870-2474

- VN JUL - 1993 REC'D

CERTIFIED/RECEIPT REQUESTED

Jul 2, 1993

Mr. Mark Shayer Tax Accitor Franciuse Tax Board 6150 Can Nuys Blvd., Room 100 Var Muys, California 91401-3381

Cear Mr. Shayer:

Enclosed please find the Power of Attorney we discussed on behalf of Gilbert P. Hyatt for tax years 1989, 1990 and 1991.

I understand you will be forwarding to me a questionnaire on residency status for completion by Mr. Hyatt.

If, in the meantime, you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours truly,

PIERCY, BOWLER, TAYLOR & KERN Ker

Michael W. Kern

MWK:mlp Enclosures

cc: Mr. Gilbert P. Hyatt

CONFIDENTIAL H 01215

0000036



STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BOARD P.O. SOX 942840 SACRAMENTO, CA 94240

Power of Attorney

(ENTER TAXPAYERS NAME(S) AND ADDRESSES INCLUDING ZIP CODE, SOCIAL SECURITY OR CORPORATE NUMBER)

Gilbert P. Hyatt P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, Nevada 89180 SS #: 069-30-9999

(ENTER NAME(S), ADDRESSES (INCLUDING ZIP CODES) AND TELEPHONE NUMBERS OF SPECIFIC APPOINTEE(S) BELOW. DO NOT ENTER NAMES OF ACCOUNTING OR LAW FIRMS PARTNERSHIPS, CORPORATIONS, ETC.) HEREBY APPOINTS:

Eugene G. Cowan, Esq. 300 South Grand Avenue, 29th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 229-4824

As attorney(s) — in — fact to represent the taxpayer(s) before any office of the Franchise Tax Board for the following tax matters (SPECIFY THE TYPE(S) OF TAX AND YEAR(S) OR PERIOD(S) AND DATE OF DEATH IF ESTATE TAX.)

1991 Form 540NR and attachments

The attorney(s) — in — fact (or any of them) are authorized, subject to revocation, to receive confidential information and to perform on behalf of the taxpayer(s) the following acts for the tax matters described above:

CHECK THE BOXES FOR THE POWERS GRANTED.

- I. To receive, but not to endorse and collect, checks in payment of any refund of California Personal Income or Bank and Corporation taxes, penalties or interest.
- 2. To execute waivers (including offers of waivers) of restrictions on assessment or collection of deficiencies in tax and waivers of notice of disallowance of a claim for credit or refund.
- 3. To execute consents extending the statutory period for assessment or collection of taxes.
- 4. To execute closing agreements under Section 19132 or 25781 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code. 5. To delegate authority or to substitute another representative.
- 6. Other acts (specify).

This Power of Attorney revokes all earlier Powers of Attorney on file with the California Franchise Tax Board for the same matters and years or periods covered by this form except the following (SPECIFY TO WHOM GRANTED, DATE, AND ADDRESS INCLUDING ZIP CODE, OR REFER TO ATTACHED COPIES OF EARLIER POWERS): Mike Kern, CPA 6600 West Charleston, Suite 118

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 This Power of Attorney will remain effective for the time limit specified below:

Until the expiration of statute of limitations for the taxpayer's 1991 Form 540NR.

Please execute this form on the reverse side.

FTB 3520 (REV 7-87) PAGE 1

CONFIDENTIAL 0000037 H 01216

RA000392

ender & Co., Inc.)	[1-116.2]		
	[1-110.2]		(Rcl. 18-1793 Pub. 151)
DIVIDUAL			
Male + DAh H		0/	
Sindaume of Tandam	Signature of Spinic		122
	aignature or alareac	. Date	
·			
ORPORATION	·		
certify that I have the authority to execute this Powe	er of Attorney on behalf of the Corp	oration named herein.	
	•		
Signature of Curporate Officer	Tute of Offices	Date	
ATE OF CALIFORNIA			
DUNTY OF			
•	beíore		
undersigned, a Notary Public for the State of C	California, personally appeared		
	-		
the corporation that executed this instrument a	known to me to be an officer		
the corporation was executed this distribution a	na acknowledgea lo me inal		
•			
· · ·			
····	Notary Pobla		
-			
		^	
RTNERSHIP			
ertify that I have the authority to execute this Powe	r of Attorney on behalf of the		
inership named herein.			
Sensure of Param	<u> </u>	•	
	Daue		•
ATE OF CALIFORNIA			
UNTY OF			
	before		
undersigned, a Notary Public for the State of Ca	difornia, personally appeared		
	hanne de anne de her ear af d		
iners of the partnership that executed this instrum	known to me to be one of the ent and acknowledged to me		
such partnership executed the same.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· ·			
	Naury Public		
			0000038
520 (REV 7-87) PAGE 2			0200000
			CONFIDENTIAL
			H 01217
			11 (1211

RA000393 ARA00038





Power of Attorney

Taxpayers' Name(s) & Telepho	one No	يبتر بل في	BERT BI	HL I		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Social Security/Ta Number(s) 069	xpayer iden -30-999	tification 9		(Corpora	ition ID		<u> </u>	<u>.</u>		
Taxpayers' Mailing	Address	P.O.	вох	81230,	LAS	VEGAS,	NEVAL	A	89180-1	230	
respondent to the second		St	reet an	d Number			City		State	21	P Code
As owner or corpo Board, I hereby ap nymbers of specif	point [Enter	r belaw, n	ame(s)	addresses	(includ	ing ZIP cod	es), telephi	one ni	Imbers, and	FAX	
MICHAEL W	. KERN,	6600	<u>w.</u> (CHARLES	TON	BLVD.,	#118 ,	LAS	VEGAS,	NV	89102
CAF NO. 8	000-753	5R PH	IONE	NUMBER	(70	2) 384-	1120				
		57	Y N	JMBER (7021	870-24	74				

as attorney(s)-in-fact to represent the taxpayer(s) for the following tax matters; (Specify the type(s) of tax)

X Personal Income Tax Law

- Bank and Corporation Franchise Tax Law
- Other .

Specify the tax year(s) or period(s) (and/or date of death if estate tax): _

1989, 1990, 1991

The attorney(s)-in-fact (or any of them) are authorized, subject to revocation, to receive confidential tax information and to perform on behalt of the taxpayer(s) the following acts for the tax matters described above: [Check the box(es) for the powers granted.]

X To confer and resolve any assessment, claim or collection of a deficiency or other tax matter pending before the Franchise Tax Board and attend any meetings or hearings thereto for the specified law identified above.

- To receive, but not to endorse and collect, checks in payment of any refund of taxes, penalties or interest.
- To execute petitions, claims for refund and/or amendments thereto.
- To execute consents extending the statutory period for assessment or determination of taxes.
- 🗇 To execute closing agreements under section 19132 or 25781 of the California Revenue & Taxation Code.
- To delegate authority or to substitute another representative.
- C Other acts (specify):

This Power of Attorney revokes all earlier Powers of Attorney on file with the California Franchise Tax Board for the same matters and years or periods covered by this form except the following (SPECIFY TO WHOM GRANTED, DATE, AND ADDRESS INCLUDING ZIP CODE, OR REFER TO ATTACHED COPIES OF EARLIER POWERS):

This Power of Attomey will remain effective for the time limit specified below:

FTB 3520 (REV 11-92) SIDE 1

[The reverse side of this form must be completed]

confidential 0000029 H 01218

RA000394

INDIVIDUAL		
Chillest P. In the		
Signment of Teagerry	July 2, 10,93	
	Jon the second	
CORPORATION		
r		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this fower of Attorney on behalf of the Co	maning second basis	
Signature of Constants Officer		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA	Dur	
COUNTY OF		
On before		
the undersigned a Notary Public for the State of California, personally appeared		
of the corporation that exercised with the bean officer		
of the corporation that executed this instrument and acknowledged to me that such corporation executed the same		
	· · ·	
Marry Public	-	
I certify that I have the automic to mean the	-	
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Fower of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Fower of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Fower of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.		
STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF	CONFIDENTIAL	
I certify that I have the authority to execute this Power of Attorney on behalf of the partnership named herein.	CONFIDENTIAL H 01219 0000040	

1

RA000395 ARA00040



FRANCHISE TAX BOARD 150 VAN NUYS BOULEVARD, ROOM 100 VAN NUYS, CA 91401 Tel: (B18) 901-5225

July 15,1993

In reply refer to VN:MS

- Attn: Michael W. Kern, CPA Piercy, Bowler, Taylor & Kern 6600 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite #118 Las Vegas, NV 89102
- Re: Gilbert P. Hyatt CA Personal Resident/Non Resident Income Tax Audit For Years 1989 & 1990 & 1991 Taxpayer ID # 069-30-9999

Dear Mr. Kern:

~.

The State of California resident/non-resident tax returns of Gilbert P. Hyatt for 1989 & 1990 & 1991 have been forwarded to this office for examination. To assist in clarifying the taxpayer's residency status, please provide the following:

- 1. A completed copy of Form FTB 3805F(both sides) by the taxpayer for tax years 1986 through 1991.
- 2. A workpaper schedule showing how the figures listed on the California Schedule SI in 1991 were calculated.
- 3. The 1991 California Schedule SI indicates that the taxpayer left California on 10/01/91. Please identify what significant event took place on that day to support it as the taxpayer's date of departure from California.
- 4. The 1991 Federal Schedule C lists a business address at 3225 S. Pecos Road, Apt. 237, Las Vegas. Please indicate if the taxpayer lived at this address? If he did, then please list the exact dates that the taxpayer lived at this address.
- 5. One of the 1991 Federal Schedule C's reports \$42,266,667 in gross receipts from several entities. Please explain what these payments made to the taxpayer were for.

CONFIDENTIAL

H 01221

Please submit the requested information to the above address by August 12, 1993.

0000041

RA000396





Gilbert P. Hyatt July 15, 1993 Page 2 Of 2

To ensure proper handling, attach a copy of this letter to your reply.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Marc Shayer

Marc Shayer Tax Auditor

Enclosure

1.11

0000042 CONFIDENTIAL H 01222

RA000397

Officers and Founding Directors Richard H. Bowler Michael W. Kern L. Ralph Piercy Revelle B. Taylor

VN AUG - 9 1993 REC'D



CERTIFIED/RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED



ACCOUNTANTS, LTD. A Professional Corporation A Member of the AICPA SEC Practice Section

R, TAYLOR & KERN

6600 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 118 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

> Telephone (702) 384-1120 Fax (702) 870-2474

August 4, 1993

Mr. Marc Shayer Tax Auditor Franchise Tax Board 6150 Van Nuys Boulevard Room 100 Van Nuys, California 91401

Dear Mr. Shayer:

Pursuant to your request of July 15, 1993 (copy enclosed) I am submitting the following information:

- 1. A completed copy of Form FTB 3805F (both sides) for Mr. Gilbert Hyatt for tax years 1986 through 1993.
- 2. A workpaper schedule summarizing the figures listed on the California Schedule SI in 1991.
- 3. The 1991 California Schedule SI indicated that the taxpayer left California on October 1, 1991. Taxpayer actually left California on September 25, 1991 and became a resident of Nevada on September 25, 1991. The significant event that took place on September 25, 1991 to support the taxpayer's date of departure from California was his traveling to Las Vegas, Nevada from California to start setting up his residence and business. The significant event that took place on October 1, 1991 was his return to California to sign a Grant Deed and a Deed of Trust to complete the sale of his house in California and then he immediately returned to Las Vegas, Nevada on the same day.
- 4. The 1991 Federal Schedule C lists the business address at 3225 S. Pecos Road, Apt. 237, Las Vegas. Mr. Hyatt lived and worked out of 3225 S. Pecos Road, Apt. 237 in Las Vegas until he acquired his home in Las Vegas in April of 1992. Mr. Hyatt has worked out of his home as well as his business address at 6600 W. Charleston, Suite 118, Las Vegas.

0000043 CONFIDENTIA H 01227

RA000398



Mr. Marc Shayer Tax Auditor Franchise Tax Board Van Nuys, California

August 4, 1993

5. The 1991 Federal Schedule C reports \$42,266,667 in gross receipts from several entities. The payments were for licenses from major Japanese and European companies for patented technology to be incorporated into future products.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Yours truly,

PIERCY, BOWLER, TAYLOR & KERN er

Michael W. Kern

MWK:mlp Enclosures

cc: Mr. Gilbert P. Hyatt



RA000399

ARA00044

2

CONFIDENTIAL H 01228

Lasi N	ama .	First Name	(S) and initial(s)	Your So	cial Security No.	Spouse's	Social Security N
	ATT	GILE	BERT P.	06	9-30-9999		
	Present Home Address (Number and Street or Rural Route)		City. Town or Post Office		State County		ZIP Code
	O. BOX 81230		LAS VEGAS	NV	CLARK		89180
	O. BOX 81230 alifornia Address		LAS VEGAS	NV	CLARK		8918

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE (if married) FOR EACH YEAR SHOWN BELOW: H = Husband W = Wife

						·								
1	TAXABLE YEARS: Exact date you (and your spouse.	19_		19_		19		19_		19	86	19	87	_
	if married) first entered California: <u>H:</u> W:	н	w	н	w	н	w	н	w	н	w		w	,
	Number of months spent each year in California									12		12	+	-
3	Number of months spent each year in other states or countries: a Location												1	
	b Location									 -	 	+	+	-
	Where were you registered to vote?									NOI	VE	NON	IE	-
	State(s) or country(les) in which you held valid driver's license(s)									CA		CA		
	State(s) or country(ies) in which your automobile(s) were registered Where did your children attend school	_								CA		CA		
	(if applicable)?									GRC	WN (HILD	REN	
	you maintain your (1) checking accounts									CA		CA		
	(2) savings accounts									CA		CA]
9	banking activities transacted?								-+	CA		CA]
	personal dwelling (House, Trailer, etc.) in California									6		12		
	Number of months you rented a personal dwelling or apartment in California for your own use									6		0		

ALSO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

FTB 3805F (REV 9-90) PAGE 1

 $\pm i$

0000045

CONFIDENTIAL H 01229



STATE OF CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE TAX BU PO. BOX 942840 SACRAMENTO, CA 94240-5540



1.00

DNCERNING RESIDENT STATUS

lease	Last Name	First Name(s) and Innial(s)	Your Social Security A	io. Spouse s Social Security No.
ype r	HYATT	GILBERT P.	069-30-99	399
int	Present Home Address (Number and Street or Rural Route)	City. Town or Post Office	State County	ZIP Code
	P.O. BOX 81230 Prior California Address	LAS VEGAS	NV CLAF	<u>89180</u>
	7841 JENNIFER CIRCI Out of State Address	E, LA PALMA, CALIFO	ORNIA 90623	
	P.O. ROX 81230, LAS	VEGAS, NEVADA 891	80	

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION FOR YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE (if married) FOR EACH YEAR SHOWN BELOW: H = Husband W = Wife

	TAXABLE YEARS	: 19_	88	19	89	19	90	19	91	10	92	10	93
T	Exact date you (and your spouse, if married) first entered California: <u>H: 1954</u> W:	н	w	н	w	н	w	н	w	н	w	н	w
	Number of months spent each year in California	12		12		12	1	8.9		0		0	
3	Number of months spent each year in other states or countries:				1		1						
	a Location LAS VEGAS, NV			<u> </u>				3.1		12		12	
	b Location												1
	Where were you registered to vote?	NON	;	NOI	E	NON	E	NV		NV		NV	
	State(s) or country(ies) in which you held valid driver's license(s)	CA	_	CA		CA		CA NV		NV		NV	
	State(s) or country(ies) in which your automobile(s) were registered	CA		CA		CA		CA		CA NV		NV	
	Where did your children attend school (if applicable)?	GROW	N CH	ILDF	EN								
	a In which state(s) or country(ies) did you maintain your				· .		-	CA		Ì			
	(1) checking accounts	CA		CA		CA		NV		NV		NV	
		CA		CA		CA		CA NV		NV		NV	
		CA		CA		CA		CA NV		NV		NV	
i		12		12		12		8.9		0		0	
0 1 7	Number of months you rented a personal dwelling or apartment in											0	
(California for your own use	0		0		0		0		o		0	

ALSO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

FTR DBCSF (REV 9-90) PAGE 1

1

0000046 Confidential H 01230

Name GILBERT P. HYATT

bcial Security Number_069-30-9999

Taxable Years

IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH AN ADDITIONAL SHEET.

11 For the years in question, provide a brief summary of your business activities including type, address; and the nature of your involvement.

PURSUED PATENT APPLICATIONS WITH U.S. PATENT OFFICE IN WASHINGTON

D.C. FULL TIME.

12 For the years in question, provide a brief summary of your civic and social activities such as club memberships, professional associations, etc. The summary should provide the name and address of the organization, explain the type of activity and the nature of your involvement.

(SEE ATTACHED LETTER)

13 List all real property holdings you had in California during the years in question. Indicate which properties you or your family occupied during these years and the specific dates.

Location of Property

7841 JENNIFER CIRCLE

LA PALMA, CALIFORNIA

Dates	occupied	by you	or	family	'
 				-	

JUNE 1986 TO SEPTEMBER 24, 1991

(SOLD OCTOBER 1, 1991)

List all real property holdings you had outside California during the years in question. Provide the address and type of use of the property; i.e. business, personal.

Location of Property

(SEE ATTACHED)

Type of use

15 During what time period did you consider yourself to be a California resident? H: THROUGH SEPTEMBER 24, 1991 w

PRIVACY NOTICE

The Information Practices Act of 1977 and the federal Privacy Act require the Franchise Tax Board to tell you why we ask you for information. The Operations and Compliance Divisions ask for tax return information to carry out the Personal Income Tax Law of the State of California. We may request additional information if we audit your return or take collection action.

If you meet the income requirements, the Revenue and Taxation Code requires you to Tile a return or statement in the form we arescribe (Sections 18401 and 18431). When you file these or other documents, you must include your social security mamber for identification and return processing (Section 18934).

FTB JOSF IREV 9-901 PAGE 2

It is mandatory to furnish all information requested when you are required to file a return or statement. If you do not file a return, or do not provide the information we ask for, or provide fraudulent information, the law says you may be charged penalties and interest and, in certain cases, you may be subject to criminal prosecution. We also may disallow claimed exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions or adjustments. This could make the tax higher or delay or reduce any refund.

We may give the information you furnish us to the United States Internal Revenue Service, the proper official of any state imposing an income tax or a tax measured by income, the Multistate Tax Commission and to California government agencies and officials, as provided by faw. If you owe any monies, we may disclose the amount due to employers, financial institutions, County Recorders, vacation trust funds, process agents and other payers.

You have a right to access records containing your personal information maintained by the Franchise Tax Board. The officials responsible for maintaining the information are: 1) Filing of returns -Director, Document Processing Bureau: 2) Auditing of returns - Director, Personal Income Tax Audit Bureau; and 3) Collection of monies - Director, Enforcement Bureau. The address is: Franchise Tax Board. P.D. Box 942840, Sacramento, CA 94240-1040; telepnone: (916) 369-0500.

0000047

CONFIDENTIAL



FT TAXABLE YEAR



CALIFORNIA SCHEDULE

AXABLE YEAR Nonresident or Part-Year Resident 1991 California Adjusted Gross Income

this schedule if you were a full-year nonresident or part-year resident of California in 1991. Attach to Form 540NR. See Schedule SI instructions.

	LBERT HYATT O	69-30-	-9999
21	EP 1 - California Income - Enter all of your income earned while you were a California resident and your income receive	red from so	urces within
	California while you were a nonresident.		
1	Wages, salaries, bps, etc.	1	
-		2	14,872
3		3	4,750
4		4	
5	Business income or (loss)	5	613,606
6		6	
7	Capital gain distributions not reported on line 6		
6	Uther gains or (losses)	8	
9			
	b taxable amount	95	
10	a lotal pensions and annuities		
	D laxable smount	юь	
11	nancs, royauas, partnersnips, 5 corporations, estates, trusts, etc.		
12		12	
13	CANE INCOME LIST LYPE AND ANOUND	13	
14	California income. Add lines 1 through 13 in the far right column	14	633,228.
CT:			
211	EP 2 - California Adjusted Gross Income - Enter adjustments that are directly related to income reported above.		
75	IKA deduction: You Spouse	5	
16	Deoucland for self-employment tax	6	
-17	Ser employed health insurance deduction	7	
	toogs regression plan and set remployed SEP deduction	8	
	Penalty on early withdrawal of savings	9	
20	Recipient's social security number	0	
21	Total adjustments. Add lines 15 through 20	4	
22	Control ma adjusted gross income. Subtact line 21 from line 14. Enter the amount here and on Form 540NR, line 20, 21	z 6	33.228.
	Note: Be sure to complete Step 3.		
e TE	P 2		
312	P 3 - Important: Check the appropriate boxes below and enter the appropriate information that applies to you and your spou	ISO.	
		Yau	Spouse
	T	es Na	Tet No
1	I changed my legal residence from California during 1991 and have not moved back to California	$\overline{\mathbf{n}}$	
~	Changed my legal residence from California during or before 1991 and moved back to California during 1001		HH
	I changed my legal residence to California during 1991. I was not previously a California resident		
-	t was a nonresident of California for all of 1991		
	t was a resident ofINE VADA		
	My spouse was a resident of		
5	t was a military nonresident stationed in California in 1991.		
	was a carronnea minitary resident stationed outside California in 1991	- X	
,	i owned a nome in California while not living in California		
	If yes, enter the address of the home		
	P. (1. 6	-	
8	l lived in California during 1991 for (erner the number of days)	Sp) OUL e
3.	left California on (enter date)		
10	returned to California on (enter date)		
11 (became a California resident during 1991 on lenter date)		

ATTACH THIS SCHEDULE TO FORM 540NR

30564 3.000

0000048

Schedule SI 1991 Side 1 CONFIDENTIAL H ()1732

RA000403

Gilbert P. Hyatt 069-30-9999 1991 California Schedule SI

Line 2	Taxable interest income	
	Fidelity Thrift & Loan California Federal Bank Irvine City Bank Note from sale of residence Total Line 2	\$ 3,596 5,751 3,292 <u>2,233</u> * <u>\$ 14,872</u>
Line 3	Dividend income	
	Franklin Federal Money Total Line 3	\$ <u>2,928</u> * <u>\$4,750</u>
Line 5	California Business Income	
	Pioneer Philips Corp. Nikkei Electronics Magazine (speaking) CMP Publications (speaking) Total Line 5	\$200,000.00 400,000.00 12,500.00 1,105.65 §613,605.65

J.

Inadvertantly this amount was overstated.

0000049 com

confidential H 01233

RA000404





Attachment to Number 14

Location of Property

3225 S. Pecos, Apt. 237 Las Vegas, Nevada

.

6600 W. Charleston, Suite 118 Las Vegas, Nevada

Las Vegas, Nevada (Home address is confidential, but can be given to you in confidence upon your request.) Type of Use

Residence, Personal Business Office (October of 1991 - April 1992)

Business Lease April 1992 through Present

Residence, Personal Business Office April 1992 to Present

0000050 CONFIDENTIAL H 01234

RA000405 ARA00050

:: / ,





Supplemental Answer to Question 12 of the FTB Information Form

Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) 345 East 47 Street; New York, New York 10017 Professional society, no activity Period: about 1957 to present

Association of Computing Machinery (ACM) P.O. Box 12115 Church Street Station, New York New York 10249 Professional society, no activity Period: about 1980 to present

Licensing Executives Society (LES) 71 East Avenue; Norwalk, Connecticut 06851 Professional society, no activity Period: about 1988 to present

Sam's Club

Las Vegas, Nevada Membership department store, purchasing activity Period: April 4, 1992 to present

 The Sports Authority 2620 Decatur Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Sports equipment, sports activity Period: April 4, 1992 to present

Bizmart

2640 Decatur Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Membership department store, purchasing activity Period: June 12, 1992 to present

Personal Computer Users Group 316 Bridger Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Computer club, hobby activity Period: about November 1991 to present

Temple Beth Am 4180 Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada Jewish temple, religious activity Period: October 1991 to present

Mount Charleston Ski Resort Mount Charleston, Nevada Ski resort, ski activity Period: October 1991 to present Comdex

Las Vegas Convention Center Computer conference, professional activity Comdex speaker in 1990 Periodic: November 1990 October 1991 November 1992

Clark County School District Las Vegas, Nevada Elementary through high school, civic activity Volunteer consulting with Clark County School District regarding computer training for quality of education and motivation of entrepreneurs Period: about April 1992 to present

Nevada Governor Robert Miller Nevada Senator Richard Bryan Las Vegas, Nevada International trade activity Period: 1992 to present

Nevada Development Authority (NDA) Las Vegas, Nevada International trade activity Period: October 1991 to present

0000051

RA000406

ARA00051

CONFIDENTIAL H 01235

BUR MAR 0 2 1995 RECTD



si shiri

-STATE OF CALIFORNIA ANCHISE TAX BOARD N. GLENOAKS BLVD., SUITE 200 دسم BURBANK, CA 91502-1170 TELEPHONE: (818)

(818) 556-2942

February 27, 1995

Dr. Edgar Hamer 3801 Katella Ave. Suite 101 90720 Los Alamitos

For the purposes of administering the California Personal Income Tax Law, and for that purpose only, the following information is requested under authorization of California Personal Income Law Section 19254.

Between 1991 and 1993, was the following individual listed below treated at your facility?

Gilbert P. Hyatt

If so, please indicate which dates the individual visited your office/facility.

For your own convenience, you may make marginal notations on the extra copy of this letter and return it in the enclosed postage paid envelope.

Thank you for your valuable cooperation.

Sheila Cox Tax Auditor Telephone (818) 556-2942

March 1, 1995

1

Dear Ms. Cox: The above-referenced individual was examined in our office on September 26, 1991. There were no other visits.

U M O

GAR E. HAMER. M. D.

0000052

CONFIDENTIAL H 01542

RA000407

556-2942

8/2/95 .

Mr. Michael W. Kern CPA c/o Piercy, Bowler, Taylor, & Kern 6100 Elton Ave. #1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Re: FTB audit of Gilbert P. Hyatt for 1991

Dear Mr. Kern:

We have reviewed the information provided and gathered regarding the taxpayer's residency status. The purpose of this letter is to explain our understanding of the facts and to inform you of our determination.

I. INFORMATION/FACTS

A review of department records indicate that Mr. Hyatt filed a Non-Resident or Part-Year Resident tax return for 1991 and did not file California tax returns after 1991. In response to our questionnaire, Information Concerning Resident Status, Mr. Hyatt left California on September 24, 1991 for Nevada.

During the year under examination the taxpayer had the following connections with California:

- The taxpayer owned a home at 7841 Jennifer Circle in La Palma, CA. According to the taxpayer this home was sold on October 1, 1991 to Grace Jeng. Grace Jeng is the taxpayer's assistant, who works and resides with the taxpayer. The title on the house did not pass to Grace Jeng until June of 1993. The taxpayer paid the property tax on this house from 1988-1992. Grace Jeng paid the property tax from 1992-1994. Grace Jeng still owns the house in La Palma.
- 2. The taxpayer maintained bank accounts in California. The taxpayer had a Franklin Fund Account through Investment Financial Corp. of California Federal Bank in Long Beach. The taxpayer's address on the 12/31/91 and 12/31/92 account statements was 7841 Jennifer Circle in La Palma California (the residence that he claimed that he had sold). This account is where the taxpayer transferred the licensing fees that he had received from the Japanese companies (approximately \$40 Million).

0000053

CONFIDENTIAL H 01858

RA000408

ARA00053

21.11



3. The taxpayer maintained two safe deposit boxes in California. Information was obtained from the bank that the taxpayer did have safe deposit boxes in California and they provided the dates that he visited these boxes. The taxpayer did not change the address on the safe deposit box accounts to his Las Vegas P.O Box until 7/21/92, even though he visited the boxes on 12/5/91 and 12/10/91 (after the date of the taxpayer's alleged change to Nevada residency). He also visited the boxes on 7/13/92.

- 4. The taxpayer had a 1977 Toyota (vehicle license 886 SLP) registered in the State of California through 3/18/93. The taxpayer registered a 1977 Toyota in Nevada in March of 1992 (vehicle license number 557 EMR).
- 5. The taxpayer had a California driver's license (F0566131), which was valid through 3/26/93.

0000054

confidential H 01859





6. The taxpayer used the services of California professionals. i.e. accountants, attorneys, doctors, and investment advisors, based upon examination of his banking information and other correspondence.

Attorneys

Law Office of Gerard Tramwell - Los Angeles (Date of Check - 12/18/91)

Law Office of Loeb and Loeb - Los Angeles (Date of Check - 12/18/91)

<u>Riordan and McKenzie</u> - Los Angeles (Dates of checks - 12/18/91, 2/10/92, 7/28/92)

Roger McCaffrey, Attorney - Anaheim (Dates of checks - 3/30/92, 6/23/92)

LAIPLA-LA Patent Law Association - Los Angeles (Date of check - 7/2/92)

 Dale Fiola
 - Los Angeles

 (Date of check - 7/1/92)

Pretty, Schroeder, Brueggemann & Clark - Los Angeles

Goldberg and Andrus - Studio City (Engaged December of 1992 through summer of 1993)

Lav Offices of Gregory Roth -La Palma (provided patent services for the past 25 years)

Accountant

Block, Plant, Egler - Sherman Oaks (Dates of checks - 5/10/92, 10/24/92)

Investment Services

Shearson Lehman - Los Angeles (Dates of checks - 3/6/92, 8/24/92)

Portfolio Advisory Services - Los Angeles (Dates of checks -8/26/92, 9/2/92, 10/18/92, 10/30/92)

Pa~a 7

0000055

CONFIDENTIAL H 01860

RA000410





Doctors

- 1. Dr. Myatt La Palma (Dentist)
- 2. Dr. William H. Peloquin Fullerton (Opthamologist) (dates visited - 9/13/91, 10/31/91, 2/4/93)
- 3. <u>Dr. Gerald M. Isenberg</u> Long Beach (Internist) Association of Colo-Rectal Surgeons (dates visited - 10/9/91, 1/23/92, 1/24/92, 1/30/92, 2/12/92, 2/21/92, 3/5/92, 4/9/92, 7/6/92)
- 4. <u>Dr. Edgar Hamer</u> Los Alamitos (Dermatologist) (date visited - 9/26/91)
- 5. Los Alamitos Medical Center Los Alamitos (Hospital) (dates of treatment - 1/24/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, 9/23/92)
- 6. <u>Dr. Melvin Shapiro</u> 5400 Balbos Encino, CA -(dates visited - 2/3/92, 3/17/93)
- 7. Los Alamitos Imaging Clinic Los Alamitos, CA (dates of treatment - 1/23/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, 9/23/92)

D--- /

0000056 CONFIDENTIAL H 01861

RA000411 ARA00056

- 7. The taxpayer continued (and continues) to maintain at least two P.O. boxes in California. The P.O box application (Form 1093) shows that Gilbert P. Hyatt and Grace Jeng were listed as the box users of P.O. box 3357 in Cerritos, CA. This box was renewed on 4/16/92, after the date of the taxpayer's alleged change to Nevada residency. The taxpayer sent a letter to the Postmaster on 2/2/92 requesting to add Grace Jeng and Barry Lee to P.O. Box 3357 in Cerritos.
- 8. The taxpayer signed an agreement to receive payments from Matsushita Co. Ltd. of Osaka Japan on November 14, 1991 for the use of his patent for the microchip. Although the agreement was signed <u>after</u> the taxpayer's alleged change to Nevada residency, the agreement had his California address. The agreement stated that it was to be in accordance with the laws of the State of California. On November 15, 1991 \$25,000,000 was wire transferred to Gilbert Hyatt through a trust account at Union Bank in Los Angeles.
- 8. The taxpayer signed an agreement to receive payments from Fujitsu Ltd. of Tokyo Japan on October 24, 1991 for the use of his patent for the microchip. Although the agreement was signed after the taxpayer's alleged change to Nevada residency, the agreement had his California address. The agreement stated that it was to be in accordance with the laws of the State of California. On October 31, 1991 \$15,000,000 was wire transferred to Gilbert Hyatt through a trust account at Union Bank in Los Angeles.
- 9. The taxpayer did not turn off the La Palma City Water Services at the La Palma residence until 11/26/91, when Grace Jeng had the water service turned on in her name, even though he claimed that he had sold the home on 10/1/91.

0000057

CONFIDENTIAL H 01862

RA000412

ARA00057

Pann 5





The taxpayer claims he was a resident of Nevada from September 24, 1991 to the present This claim is based on the following connections with Nevada:

 The taxpayer rented an apartment at 3225 Pecos Avenue Apartment 237 in Las Vegas from November 1, 1991 thru April of 1992. He claimed to have left California on September 24, 1991. We do not know where he resided from September 24, 1991 through November 1, 1991.

During March of 1995, I and another representative of FTB visited the Wagon Trails Apartments at 3225 Pecos in Las Vegas. We interviewed the managers and they provided the rental file for examination. The manager had stated that Gilbert Hyatt had rented the apartment, but Grace Jeng had come in and made the rental arrangements for him. She had signed the lease for him and did the initial walkthrough of the apartment. He later came back and signed for himself. He had faxed the initial application to her.

The taxpayer had stated on the rental application that his employer was D&C Corporation of P.O. Box 846 Cypress, California (213) 809-1087. He had listed that his closest relative or contact was his associate Grace Jeng at 13337 E. South Street Cerritos, California 90071.

When I asked if the apartment 237 appeared to have been regularly occupied, the manager had stated that she didn't see the taxpayer too often. She stated that the taxpayer had told her that he travelled a lot for business. The taxpayer had reported on the California Form 3805F that he had worked out of this apartment.

Based upon examination of the letter of 30 day notice in the rental file, the taxpayer had stated that he had bought a house and that he was moving back to California. Grace Jeng had signed the move-out notice. He had listed as a forwarding address P.O. Box 60028 Las Vegas, Nevada.

I asked the managers if they had any record of how the rent had been paid, whether through the mail, in person, etc. They indicated that they have no record of it. They stated that the taxpayer did pay by check each month, often paying ahead of time with a postdated check. We saw in the file an envelope which Mr. Hyatt had used to pay the rent. The envelope had a return address of P.O. Box 60028 Las Vegas. The envelope was postmarked from Long Beach, California and was date stamped 12/8/91.

CONFIDENTIAL

H 01863

RA000413

ARA00058

0000058

. .





- 2. The taxpayer purchased a house in Las Vegas in April of 1992 at 7335 Tara Avenue. The escrow instructions stated that the purchaser could change the name on the title when escrow closed. Information obtained from the Clark County Treasurer's office showed that this parcel of land is in the name of Kern Trust; Mike Kern is the trustee. Mike Kern is the taxpayer's accountant and representative in Las Vegas.
- 3. The taxpayer rented at least two P.O. boxes in Las Vegas. One of the boxes was forwarded to Mail Room Plus at 4012 S. Rainbow Blvd. in Las Vegas.
- 4. The taxpayer registered to vote in Nevada on November 27, The address listed was 3225 S. Pecos Rd. in Las 1991. The Clark County Department of Elections informed Vegas. us that the taxpayer voted once in the 11/92 election, but they did not indicate whether he had voted in person or using an absentee ballot. On 7/5/94, the taxpayer re-registered claiming to be residing at 5441 Sand Piper Lane in Las Vegas. The Clark County assessor's office verified ownership of 5441 Sandpiper Lane Las Vegas. The property is in the name of Michael W. and La Don Kern since 12/14/82. Michael Kern is Gilbert Hyatt's accountant. This house was sold by the Kerns on 10/27/94.
- 5. The taxpayer got a Nevada driver license in November of 1991.
- 6. The taxpayer maintained several bank accounts in Las Vegas. These accounts were established on 11/22/91, 12/12/91, 1/27/92, 8/13/92. Three of the accounts were opened at California Federal Bank, the same bank where the taxpayer had accounts in California.
- The taxpayer began using the services of a dentist in Las Vegas in April of 1992. The taxpayer visited Dr. Steven Hall's office on the following dates: 4/6/92, 4/7/92, 6/9/92, 6/18/92, 11/3/92, 11/12/92, 12/21/93.

8. The taxpayer purchased a 1992 Toyota Celica hatchback in Las Vegas, Nevada in March of 1992. The vehicle was purchased from Toyota West of Las Vegas. The vehicle registration was not obtained from the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles, so it is not known if this car is registered in the taxpayer's name.

0000 7

0000059

CONFIDENTIAL H 01864





II. CALIFORNIA TIES VS. NEVADA TIES

1. TIME SPENT IN CALIFORNIA AS OPPOSED TO TIME SPENT IN NEVADA.

Based on the schedules provided by the taxpayer, he admits to spending 8.9 months in California and 3.1 months in Nevada in 1991. He admits that he spent 12 months in Las Vegas in 1992 and 1993.

<u>Analysis</u>

The taxpayer claimed that he left California on 9/24/91. He did not rent an apartment in Las Vegas until November 1, 1991. The taxpayer does not state where he resided from 9/24/91 through 11/1/91. The taxpayer has provided no documentation of moving expenses, other than a registration of a trailer owned by someone in his family.

The taxpayer claimed that he spent 12 months in Las Vegas in 1992. Based upon documentation received, the taxpayer had surgery in California during 1992 and hospitalized for most of February 1992. The taxpayer was treated at the following facilities and saw the following doctors:

Los Alamitos Medical Center in Los Alamitos -1/24/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, and 9/23/92.

Los Alemitos Imaging Clinic of Los Alemitos -1/23/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, and 9/23/92.

Dr. Gerald M. Isenberg of the Association of Colo-Rectal Surgeons in Long Beach -10/9/91, 1/23/92, 1/24/92, 1/30/92, 2/12/92, 2/21/92, 3/5/92, 4/9/92, and 7/6/92

<u>Dr. Melvin Shepiro</u> of Encino, CA - 2/3/92, 3/17/93

Conclusion:

Although the taxpayer stated on the Form 3805F that he was in Nevada for 12 months during 1992, the taxpayer was in California for most of February 1992 and throughout the rest of the year he spent time in California. It is not known whether the taxpayer recuperated from his surgery in California.

)-~~ a

0000060

CONFIDENTIAL H 01865

RA000415 ARA00060



2. OWNERSHIP OF REAL PROPERTY

The taxpayer owned a home at 7841 Jennifer Circle in La Palma, CA. According to the taxpayer this home was sold on October 1, 1991 to Grace Jeng. Grace Jeng is the taxpayer's assistant, who works and resides with the taxpayer. The title on the house did not pass to Grace Jeng until June of 1993. The taxpayer paid the property tax on this house from 1988-1992. Grace Jeng paid the property tax from 1992-1994. The water services at this house was in the taxpayer's name until 11/26/91, when it was transferred to Grace Jeng's name. Grace Jeng still owns the house in La Palma.

The taxpayer rented an apartment at 3225 Pecos Avenue Apartment 237 in Las Vegas from November 1, 1991 thru April of 1992. He claimed to have left California on September 24, 1991. We do not know where he resided from September 24, 1991 through November 1, 1991.

The taxpayer purchased a house in Las Vegas in April of 1992 at 7335 Tara Avenue. The escrow instructions stated that the purchaser could change the name on the title when escrow closed. Information obtained from the Clark County Treasurer's office showed that this parcel of land is in the name of Kern Trust; Mike Kern is the trustee. Mike Kern is the taxpayer's accountant and representative in Las Vegas.

The Las Vegas Valley Water District has provided information that the account for 7335 Tara was established on 4/1/92. The customer name is G. Julia Jeng and the mailing address is P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas.

Southwest Gas Corporation of Las Vegas has provided information that Gilbert Hyatt is not the customer of record at 7335 Tara. The account for that address is in the name of G. Julia Jeng.

Silver State Disposal Service in Las Vegas has provided information that the account at 7335 Tara was opened on 4/1/92 in the name of Michael Kern. (The taxpayer's representative) There is a notation on the account that payments have been made by Gilbert Hyatt. When we were in Las Vegas on 3/7/95, we saw the Silver State Disposal Service coming up Tara street. We asked the trashman if they got much trash at 7335 Tara. He said that they got a bag every once in a while. He said that he had always wondered if anyone lived there.

Statistics (size, cost, etc.) comparing the taxpayer's La Palma home to his Las Vegas home will not be weighed in the determination, as the taxpayer sold the La Palma house on 10/1/91 before he purchased the house in Las Vegas during April of 1992.

0--- 0

0000061

CONFIDENTIAL H 01866

RA000416 ARA00061





When we observed the house at 7335 Tara in Las Vegas during March of 1995 we noted that the house was not landscaped at all and that the driveway was unfinished. We noted that all of the other homes in the neighborhood were landscaped. In observation of this house, we also noted that there were no gates or apparent security systems. This is in spite of the taxpayer's representatives repeated statements that the taxpayer is afraid of being kidnapped.

Analysis

If the house in Las Vegas is the taxpayer's primary residence, why wouldn't he invest in landscaping the house and paving the driveway?

Conclusion:

It does not make same that a person such as the taxpayer who was a millionaire would want to live in a low income (HUD) apartment, such as the Wagon Trails. Clara Kopp had told us that most of the residents were low income and many were receiving subsidies from HUD.

The taxpayer did not close his account with the City of La Palma Water Services until 11/26/91, when Grace Jeng had the account opened in her name. Most people have the utilities turned off when they sell a house. The taxpayer retained access to the house in La Palma through his assistant Grace Jeng.

The house in Las Vegas and the utilities for this house are in Mike Kern's (Trust) name or Grace Jeng's name. The taxpayer apparently did not want his name associated with this residence.

The house had been owned by the taxpayer for nearly 3 years when we observed it in March of 1995, but the taxpayer had not landscaped the yard nor had he paved the driveway.

0000062

confidential H 01867

RA000417

3. BANKING ACTIVITIES

\$

A list of all the taxpayer's bank accounts which were active during years 1990, 1991, and 1992 had been requested from the taxpayer. The taxpayer had been unable to find the statements for his Southern California bank accounts from 1990 to 1992. When he finally provided the documentation the account statements did not cover 1990 and there were not many checks written on the accounts for 1991. The taxpayer's representative had stated in his letter the taxpayer had supplied all of the information which had been requested. Information provided for the later years 1991 and 1992 indicate that the taxpayer is a check writer.

In reviewing the taxpayer's banking information, such as cancelled checks from California Federal Bank account 177-0514457-7 (Las Vegas Branch), California Federal Bank account 179-0512056-2 (Las Vegas Branch), Valley Bank of Nevada account 210173019 (Las Vegas), Bank of America account 210173019 (Las Vegas Branch), and other information, it was noted that many of the checks are written in handwriting which is quite different from the taxpayer's handwriting.

The taxpayer's representative had stated in a letter that the taxpayer has not authorized any other individuals to sign checks on his bank accounts. He had also stated that the taxpayer may have authorized other to use the credit cards, but he does not maintain records of such authorizations. This financial information is relevant to this residency determination; this information was requested for analysis to determine the taxpayer's whereabouts during the year. If the taxpayer authorized other individuals to use his account, then the information is not necessarily indicative of the taxpayer's location.

It is also noted that the taxpayer opened three Las Vegas bank accounts at California Federal Bank, where he already had accounts in California. The statements show that transactions were made in Las Vegas and in California.

0000063

CONFIDENTIAL H 01868

> RA000418 ARA00063

Supporting Statistics:

- A. Total CA Bank Accounts
- 1. Franklin Federal Money Fund (checking account 11300991158) (Invest Financial Corp. California Federal Long Beach, CA) account closed 5/18/92

7

- 2. Irvine City Bank -savings account 11105172-8 account closed 1/8/91
- 3. First Fidelity Thrift and Loan Association-(savings) account closed 12/17/91
- 4. California Federal Bank (checking account 004-0513797-3) account closed 8/13/92
- 5. California Federal Bank (checking account 082-0522494-6) account closed 8/13/92
- 6. California Federal Bank (checking account 004-0513065-8) account closed 8/13/92
- 7. California Federal Bank (checking account 004-0513798-2) account closed 6/11/91

Total Nevada Bank Accounts 4

- 1. Valley Bank of Nevada 210173019 (checking account) account opened on 12/20/91
 - Bank of America 210173019 (checking account) B of A took over Valley Bank in 8/92
- 2. California Federal Bank 177-0016768-7 (checking account) account opened on 1/27/92
- 3. California Federal Bank 177-0514457-7 (checking account) account opened on 10/25/91
- 4. California Federal Bank 179-0512056-2 (checking account) account opened on 8/13/92

CONFIDENTIAL

0000064



\$10,208,403 **

13,639

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000420

ARA00065

0000065

в. Total Ending Balances 1991:

Franklin Federal Money Fund (11300991158)	\$10, 179, 147
Irvine City Bank	Ø
First Fidelity Thrift and Loan Association	0
California Federal Bank (004-0513797-3)	12, 426
California Federal Bank (082-0522494-6)	453
California Federal Bank (004-0513065-8)	16, 377
California Federal Bank (004-0513798-2)	0

California

Valley Bank of Nevada 210173019	200
Bank of America 210173019	0
California Federal Bank 177-0016768-7	0
California Federal Bank 177-0514457-7	13, 132
California Federal Bank 179-0512056-2	0
Nevada	13,332

**Many of these funds were used to pay licensing fees to Phillips and the rest was invested in various money markets and mutual The Franklin Fund Account was closed in May fund accounts. of 1992.

Total Ending Balances 1992:

California \$0	Franklin Federal Money Fund (11300991158) Irvine City Bank First Fidelity Thrift and Loan Association California Federal Bank (004-0513797-3) California Federal Bank (004-0513065-8) California Federal Bank (004-0513798-2)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	California Valley Bank of Nevada 210173019	

Valley Bank of Nevada 210173019	Ø
Bank of America 210173019	9, 891
California Federal Bank 177-0016768-7	0
California Federal Bank 177-0514457-7	831
California Federal Bank 179-0512056-2	2,917

Nevada

j




C. Total # of checks written on CA Bank Accounts:

7/91	1
10/91	4
11/91	8
12/91	10
1/92	4
2/92	2
3/92	2
4/92	2

Total # of checks written on Nevada Bank Accounts:

11/91	З
12/91	11
1/92	21
2/92	22
3/92	10
4/92	43
5/92	33
6/92	50
7/92	. 55
8/92	36
9/92	23
10/92	15
11/92	39
12/92	26

Analysis

In reviewing the banking activities of the taxpayer, it is not determinable to what extent his banking activities were transacted in California versus Nevada. For example, with the three California Federal Accounts opened in Las Vegas, deposits were made at the following branches in California:

Account	Date	Location of Branch	Amount
177-0514457-7	12/14/91	Los Cerritos, CA	\$15,000
177-0514457-7	12/28/91	Los Cerritos, CA	623
177-0514457-7	12/31/91	Los Cerritos, CA	2,200
177-0514457-7	1/8/92	Los Cerritos, CA	5,137
179-0512056-2	9/11/92	Los Cerritos, CA	10,000
179-0512056-2	9/19/92	Los Cerritos, CA	2,200
179-0512056-2	9/25/ 9 2	Anaheim, CA	166

7300000

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000421 ARA00066 Although the taxpayer wrote the majority of the checks on Nevada bank accounts, many of the checks had been cashed in California. It was noted that the taxpayer does have grown children who are California residents and he wrote checks to them, usually on a monthly basis. It was also noted in examination of the taxpayer's checks that the taxpayer had used various businesses located in California such as copier Services, typing services, etc. after the date he allegedly became a resident of Nevada.

11/9/91	Linda Wetsch \$10,000.00	
12/22/91	Leni Schlindvein \$50.00) Northridge
1/18/92	Ron R. Hoffman \$200.00) Los Angeles
1/18/92	Copley/Colony Cable 27.50	
1/31/92	KCET 100.00	Los Angeles
1/20/92	Bill Sherman 20.00	-
2/11/92	Black Angus 66.00	
3/1/92	Harry Widdifield 1,000.00	
3/11/92	Copy Us, Inc. 164.81	
3/12/92	John Heller 10.00	
4/9/92	John Herman 121.75	
4/13/92		ere ingezee
7/11/92		
	Leni's Typing 500.00	nor en roge
7/27/92	Xerographic Copier 377.10	
7/27/92	Xerographic " 3,900.00	California
7/28/92	Copy Tech 740.99	Long Beach
8/12/92	Leni's Typing 500.00	El Monte
9/2/92	John Harmon 151.30	
9/3/92	Chasen's 500.00	California
9/21/92	Chasen's 1,926.48	California
10/2/92	Majordomo 593.31	
10/2/92	Leni's Typing 400.00	
10/20/92	Youngmart Travel 1,700.00	
10/30/92	John Harmon 167.20	
11/15/92	John Harmon 300.00	
12/6/92	Leni's Typing 1,267.00	
12/6/92	Adella Bormentos 300.00	
	AGETTA BOLMENCUS JUG. UG	Los Angeles

j

0000067

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000422



Based upon examination of the taxpayer's checks and bank statements provided to date, it was noted that there were a number of checks which the taxpayer had made out to "CASH". He endorsed the check and the check was then endorsed by Grace Jeng. Most of these checks had been cashed at California Banks. It is unusual that the taxpayer would be giving money to Grace Jeng every month, if he had sold his house to her and she paid mortgage payments to him (as the taxpayer's Schedule B shows interest income from the sale of residence).

Bank Account	Check	Date	Amount
California Federal	-99	1/8/92	\$ 200
California Federal	173	2/5/92	1,000
California Federal	229	3/30/92	1,000
Valley Bank of Nevada	324	6/1/92	1,000
Valley Bank of Nevada	395	7/17/92	1,000
Valley Bank of Nevada	452	9/14/92	1,000
California Federal	116	10/16/92	1,000
Valley Bank of Nevada	503	12/7/92	200
Valley Bank of Nevada	512	12/7/92	500

Also, as mentioned above, it is not known if another individual was writing checks on these accounts, as the handwriting differs dramatically. It is also unusual that the taxpayer provided no checks for 1990, unless other account information has not been disclosed. This information had been requested and the taxpayer's representative had sent a statement that they had given us all information requested.

As the banking information does not appear to be complete for all years requested and that another individual was writing checks on these accounts, the banking information will not be weighed heavily in making the determination of the taxpayer's residency.

Conclusion:

5

The banking information provided by the taxpayer is not conclusive, but the information indicates that the taxpayer did still have many ties with the state of California throughout 1992. The taxpayer was still present in California throughout the year 1992, in contradiction to his assertion that he spent 12 months in Nevada.

000068

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000423 ARA00068





4. MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS USED DURING 1991-1992

California: Dr. Edgar Hamer (Los Alamitos, CA) - 9/26/91

Dr. William Peloquin (Fullerton, CA) - 9/13/91, 10/31/91, 2/4/93

Los Alamitos Medical Center (Los Alamitos, CA) -1/24/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, 9/23/92

Dr. Melvin Shapiro (Encino, CA) - 2/3/92, 3/17/93

Los Alamitos Imaging (Los Alamitos, CA) -1/23/92, 2/4/92, 2/11/92-2/21/92, 9/3/92, 9/23/92

Association of Colo-Rectal Surgeons (Long Beach) 10/9/91, 1/23/92, 1/24/92, 1/30/92, 2/12/92, 2/21/92, 3/5/92, 4/9/92, 7/6/92

Dr. Myatt DDS (La Palma) (could not be located)

Nevada:

Dr. Steven Hall DDS (Las Vegas) -4/6/92, 4/7/92, 6/9/92, 6/18/92, 11/3/92, 11/12/92, 12/21/93.

Analysis

This is a clear connection to California. If the taxpayer truly intended to become a Nevada resident he would have sought out Nevada doctors. He did see a dentist in Nevada beginning in April of 1992.

Conclusion

The medical information indicates that the taxpayer did still have many ties with the state of California throughout 1992. The taxpayer was still present in California throughout the year 1992, in contradiction to his assertion that he spent 12 months in Nevada.

0000069

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000424

5. OTHER PROFESSIONALS USED DURING 1991-1992

Attorneys -

1.	Gerard Tramwell	- Los Angeles
2.	Loeb and Loeb	- Los Angeles
э.	Riordan and McKenzie	- Los Angeles
4.	Roger McCaffrey, Attorney	- Anaheim
5.	LAIPLA-LA Patent Law Association	- Los Angeles
6.	Dale Fiola	- Los Angeles
7.	Pretty, Schroeder, Brueggemann & Clark	- Los Angeles
	Goldberg and Andrus	- Studio City
9.	Gregory Roth	- La Palma

Accountant -

1.Block, Plant, Egler- Sherman Oaks2.Michael Kern- Las Vegas, Nevada

Investment Services

1.	Shearson Lehman	- Los Angeles
2.	Portfolio Advisory Services	- Los Angeles

Analysis -

\$

1.

The taxpayer utilized California professionals exclusively, with the exception of his Nevada accountant. The taxpayer had several lawsuits in California during this time period, but he did not retain any legal counsel in Nevada. The taxpayer was present at the house in La Palma in December of 1992, when legal papers were served regarding one of these lawsuits.

Dates that the taxpayer had meetings with these professionals is not known, but checks were written throughout 1991 and 1992 to these professionals. See page 3 of this letter for schedule of dates checks were written.

This is a clear connection to California. If the taxpayer truly intended to become a Nevada resident he would have sought out Nevada professionals.

Conclusion

This information indicates that the taxpayer did still have many ties with the state of California throughout 1992. It is not known how many meetings the taxpayer had in California throughout the year 1992, but it is evident that he still was conducting business and investment activities in California.

0000070

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000425



6. DRIVER'S LICENSES AND VEHICLE REGISTRATIONS

The taxpayer obtained a Nevada driver's license during November of 1991. The taxpayer had a California driver's license which expired in March of 1993.

The taxpayer registered a 1977 Toyota in Nevada in March of 1992 (vehicle license number 557 EMR). This car had been registered in California.

The taxpayer purchased a 1992 Toyota Celica hatchback in Las Vegas, Nevada in March of 1992. The vehicle was purchased from Toyota West of Las Vegas. The vehicle registration was not obtained from the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles, so it is not known if this car is registered in the taxpayer's name.

Analysis

Ĵ

Sec. 1

The taxpayer's Nevada driver's license is a connection to Nevada, but the information obtained from the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles did not indicate whether or not the taxpayer had surrendered his California driver license, which was valid until 3/93.

It is not known why the taxpayer did not register his car in the State of Nevada until March of 1992. The Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles requires that new residents of Nevada register their cars in the state of Nevada within 45 days of establishing residency in Nevada.

Conclusion:

The taxpayer's Nevada driver license is a connection to Nevada, but the taxpayer did not register his car with the Nevada DMV until 1992. It is unusual that he would not have done both acts at the same time. If the taxpayer moved to Nevada in November of 1991 as he claims, then he was in violation of the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicle law regarding vehicle registration.

000071

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000426 ARA00071





7. VOTER REGISTRATION

- a. There was no record of the taxpayer being registered to vote in California
- b. The taxpayer registered to vote in Nevada in November of 1991. The Clark County Department of Elections informed us that the taxpayer voted once in the 11/92 election, but they did not indicate whether he had voted in person or using an absentee ballot.

On 7/5/94, the taxpayer re-registered in Nevada claiming to be residing at 5441 Sand Piper Lane in Las Vegas. The Clark County assessor's office verified ownership of 5441 Sandpiper Lane Las Vegas. The property is in the name of Michael W. and La Don Kern since 12/14/82. Michael Kern is Gilbert Hyatt's accountant. This house was sold by the Kerns on 10/27/94.

Note: When looking at voter registration as an indication of domicile we must consider how the courts have viewed voting as a test of domicile. In rejecting voting as a test of domicile the United States Supreme Court said in District of Columbia v. Murphy, 314 S. 441, pages 456 and 457 [62 S. Ct. 303, 86 L. ed 329]: "Whether or not one votes where he claims domicile is highly relevant but by no means controlling. Each state prescribes for itself the qualification of its voters, and each has its own machinery for determining compliance with such qualifications. A vote cast without challenge and adjudication may indicate only laxity of the state officials."

Analysis:

Voter registration is a minor area, and very easy to establish. This area is not given much weight. It is not known why the taxpayer registered to vote using Michael Kern's address.

0000072

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000427 ARA00072





8. Travel

Little information was obtained about the taxpayer's travels. The credit card statements provided by the taxpayer show that the taxpayer took a few trips during the years under examination, but the statements do not show where the taxpayer's air travel began or ended. No information was provided about the taxpayer's travel between California and Nevada. The taxpayer claims to have spent 12 months in Nevada and 0 months in California during 1992 and 1993.

The area of travel will not be given much weight.

0000073

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000428





9. Business Activities

- a. The taxpayer was an electronics engineer and aerospace consultant who was granted a patent for the single-chip integrated circuit (Microprocessor chip) for computers on 7/17/90. In 1968, he formed a closely held company with which he developed the microprocessor chip. He filed a patent application on the microprocessor chip on 12/28/70. The U.S. patents office heavily scrutinized his application, and did not issue the patent for almost 20 years. During this 20 year period, the taxpayer's closely held corporation went out of business, and he formed another closely held corporation, Digital Nutronics (a California corporation).
- b. In addition to the taxpayer's corporation Digital Nutronics, the taxpayer has filed a Schedule C as a "Patent Agent" on his 1989, 1990, and 1991 California tax returns. The addresses listed for the business on the Schedule C and for his corporation Digital Nutronics were both the same as the taxpayer's P.O. Box in California. It is not determinable where the taxpayer was conducting his business nor was any significant event identified which would cause the businesses to relocate to Nevada, other than the taxpayer's supposed change of residence.
- c. It was noted in examination of the taxpayer's checks that the taxpayer had used various businesses located in California such as copier Services, typing services, etc. after the date he allegedly became a resident of Nevada
- d. The only professional hired by the taxpayer in Nevada was his accountant, Michael Kern.
- e. The taxpayer claimed on the Form 3805F that he was working out of an office in Las Vegas and that he was working out of the same office as his accountant Michael Kern and Michael Kern had confirmed this statement during a telephone conversation in January of 1995. When we went to this office in March of 1995, the receptionist did not know who the taxpayer was when we asked to see him.

Analysis

As the main activity of the taxpayer's business pursuits had been the pursuit of the patent, there is not sufficient information to use the taxpayer's business activities in determination of residency, other than the fact that the attorneys who represented the taxpayer and the corporation were California professionals and this is a significant California tie.

Conclusion

The taxpayer had significant California ties, as seen through his business activities during 1991 and 1992, such as patent agreements and the use of California professionals. CONFI

CONFIDENTIAL HØ1879

RA000429

ARA00074

0000074



- a. The taxpayer had listed the following items as civic and social activities in response to question 12 of the FTB Form 3805F to show his social, professional, and other ties:
 - Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (New York, New York) Professional Society

A letter was sent to this organization, but no response was received.

2. <u>Association of Computing Machinery (ACM)</u> (New York, New York) Professional Society

A letter was sent to this organization. The taxpayer joined this association in May of 1992. He had changed his address to a Las Vegas P.O. Box on 5/29/92.

> 3. Licensing Executives Society (LES) (Norwalk, Connecticut) Professional Society

A letter was sent to this organization. The address given by the taxpayer was incorrect. No listing could be found for this organization in Norwalk Connecticut.

> 4. <u>Sam's Club</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) Retail Store

A letter was sent to this store. No response was received. This is a retail store and is not verifiable. This would not be considered a Nevada tie.

> 5. <u>Bizmart</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) Retail Store

A letter was sent to this store. No response was received. This is a retail store and is not verifiable. This would not be considered a Nevada tie.

0000075 CONFIDENTIAL

RA000430

6. <u>Personal Computer User's Group</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) Computer Club

A letter was sent to this club. The letter was sent back from the post office, as the address was incorrect. No listing could be found in Las Vegas for this club. This would not be considered a Nevada tie.

> 7. <u>Temple Beth Am</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) Religious activity

A letter was sent to this temple. The letter came back from the post office, as the address had been forwarded and the forwarding order had expired. A letter was sent to the new address and no response was received.

> 8. <u>Mount Charleston Ski Resort</u> (Nount Charleston, Nevada) Ski activity

This is a ski resort and is not verifiable. This would not necessarily be considered a Nevada tie.

9. <u>Comdex</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) Computer Conference

¢

This is a computer conference held in Las Vegas each year. It is attended by people from all over the country, and would not necessarily be considered a Nevada tie.

> 10. <u>Clark County School District</u> (Les Vegas, Nevada) Volunteer activities

A letter was sent to the Clark County School District. They have no record of any volunteer activities performed by the taxpayer.

> 11. Nevada Governor Robert Miller (Las Vegas, Nevada) International Trade Activity

A letter was sent to Governor Miller's office. The Governor's office responded to our letter that they have never heard of the taxpayer and have no record of him meeting with the Governor.

0000076 CONFIDENTIAL

RA000431



12. <u>Nevada Senator Richard Bryan</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) International Trade Activity

A letter was sent to Senator Bryan's office. No response was received.

13. <u>Nevada Development Authority</u> (Las Vegas, Nevada) International Trade Activity

A letter was sent to this organization and they could not find any record of either Gilbert Hyatt or Digital Nutronics.

Analysis:

The items listed by the taxpayer as Nevada ties were self-serving statements with no documentary proof. A person may shop in Nevada, attend a convention, go skiing, etc. but this is not indicative of a person's residence. A person may join an organization, but this does not mean that the person is an active member. The documentation obtained from third party sources does not support the taxpayer's alleged ties to Nevada.

Conclusion: The above items will not be considered Nevada ties.



RA000432 ARA00077





III. APPLICABLE STATUTORY REFERENCES

A. Law

California Revenue and Taxation Code section 17041 imposes a personal income tax upon the entire taxable income of every resident of this state.

California Revenue and Taxation Code section 17014 defines a resident as:

- 1) Every Individual who is in this state for other than a temporary or transitory purpose; and
- 2) Every individual domiciled in this state who is outside the state for a temporary or transitory purpose.

B. Regulations

The regulation provides that the underlying theory of California's definition of "resident" is the state where the taxpayer has his closest connections (Cal. Adm. Code Tit. 18 Reg. 17014, Subd. (b)). The purpose of this definition is to define a class of individuals who should contribute to the support of the state because they receive substantial benefits and protections from its laws and government (Cal Adm. Code Tit. 18 Reg. 17014). An individual may claim only one domicile at a time (Cal Adm. Code Tit. 18, Reg. 17014 Subd. (c)).

When it is determined that a taxpayer was domiciled in this state, he will be considered a resident if his absence was for a temporary or transitory purpose. The determination of whether a taxpayer's purposes in leaving California are temporary or transitory in character is essentially a question of fact to be determined by examining all the circumstances of each particular case (Cal Adm. Code tit. 18, Reg. 17014 Subd. (b)).

Consistently, in light of these regulation, it has been held that the connections which a taxpayer maintains in this and other states are important indication of whether an individual's presence in or absence from California is temporary or transitory.

0000078

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000433

C. Court Rulings

A person may have only one domicile at a time (<u>Whittel v.</u> <u>Franchise Tax Board</u>, 231 Cal. App. 2d 278, 284 (41 Cal Rptr 673)(1964)) and he retains that domicile until he acquires one elsewhere (<u>Marriage of Leff</u>, 25 Cal App. 3d 630, 642 (102, Cal. Rptr. 195)(1972)). The establishment of a new domicile requires actual residence in a new place with the intention to remain permanently or indefinitely (<u>Estate of</u> <u>Phillips</u>, 269 Cal. App. 2d 656, 659 (75 Cal Rptr. 301)(1969)).

One does not lose a former domicile by going to and stopping at another place for a limited time with no intention to reside there permanently through the absence may continue for a number of years (<u>Chapman v. Superior Court</u>, 162 Cal. App. 2d 421, 426--427 (238 P. 2d. 23)(1958). The courts have gone on to further define domicile as a person's true, fixed permanent home, the place where he or she has no intention of permanently leaving and whenever absent he or she has the intention of returning there (Whittel, supra).

The <u>Whittel</u> case emphasizes that mere formalisms such as changing voter registration or statements to the effect that the taxpayer intended to be a resident of another state are transparent and cannot control the issue. The taxpayer attempted to emphasize his Nevada property holdings by deprecating his California interests because they were held in corporate form. The taxpayer in this case devoted much effort to his attempt to show that he was closely connected with Nevada, while minimizing the significance of the amount of time he spent in California. The brevity of the taxpayer's stays in Nevada considerably detracts from his claim of extensive activities there. The time element is one of the most important factors in determining residency.

0000079

CONFIDENTIAL TH 01884

RA000434





IV. AUDIT DETERMINATION

The facts in this particular case indicate the taxpayer was domiciled in California for the above referenced year and his absences from California were for temporary or transitory purposes. The taxpayer retained his California domicile until he acquired one in Nevada. The establishment of a new domicile requires actual residence in a new place with the intention to remain permanently or indefinitely. The taxpayer had significant ties with California beyond 1991. The taxpayer began developing significant ties with Nevada during 1992, but it is not determinable when he established a new domicile.

TEMPORARY OR TRANSITORY

California Regulations explain that whether a taxpayers purpose in entering or leaving California is temporary or transitory in character is essentially a question of fact to be determined by examining all the circumstances of each particular case (<u>Appeal</u> of <u>Anthony V. and Beverly Zuanovic</u>, Calif St. Bd. of Equal., Jan 6, 1976).

In accordance with the Regulations, the California State Board of Equalization has consistently held that the connections which a taxpayer maintains with this and other states/countries are an important indication of whether his presence in or absence from California is temporary or transitory in character. (Appeal of Richards and Kathleen K. Hardman, Calif. St. Bd. of Equal. August 19,1975). Some of the contacts considered relevant are the maintenance of a family home, bank accounts, business relationships, voting registration, possession of a local driver's license, and ownership of real property. (Appeal of Bernard and Helen Fernandez, Calif. St. Bd. of Equal., June 2, 1971).

As shown in the California ties vs. Nevada ties section of this letter, the taxpayer's connections to California by far overwhelm his connections to Nevada.

0000080

CONFIDENTIAL H 01885

RA000435

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the taxpayer's extensive ties to California, it is our conclusion that the taxpayer was a resident of California for the year 1991. As such, he is taxable on all income, regardless of its source.

Refer to the enclosed Schedule for the computation of the proposed tax assessment. If the taxpayer would like to make a payment on the deficiency, the interest can be calculated.

If you have any further information you wish to provide regarding the taxpayer's residency status or can demonstrate our understanding of the facts presented is incorrect, please do so in writing by <u>August 31, 1995</u>. If you need additional time, a waiver on the Statute of Limitations will be needed to extend the Statute. All cases must be submitted to review seven months prior to expiration of the Statute. For this reason, a waiver is enclosed, which should be signed by the taxpayer and sent to my office by August 31, 1995.

Please note, the determination reached in the audit is subject to further review.

If you have any additional questions concerning the audit, you can contact me at (818) 556-2942

Sheila Cox Tax Auditor

cc: Eugene Covan

0000081 CONFIDENTIAL

RA000436





ار

The tax effect of the case assuming that the taxpayer is a California resident for 1991 is as follows:

Taxpayer's 1991 Federal AGI	17, 103, 327
CA total taxable income	17, 727, 743
California AGI Ratio	633, 228 . 0357
Tax on total taxable income Less tax previously assessed	1,945,940 69,469
Tax Effect	\$1,876,471
-	

Fraud Penalty (75%)

1,407,353

TOTAL TAX PLUS PENALTY

\$3, 283, 824 =================

0000082

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000437 ARA00082

PENALTIES

Under 19164 of the Revenue and Taxation Code California has adopted the fraud penalty imposed in accordance with the provisions of IRC Section 6663. Under the federal IRC section, if any underpayment of tax required to be shown on the return is due to fraud, addition to tax will be made in amount equal to sum of 75% of the portion of the underpayment attributable to fraud. In order to impose the fraud penalty, FTB has the burden of proof to establish by clear and convincing evidence that:

1) There was an underpayment, and

2) That the underpayment is attributable to fraud.

The FTB burden to prove fraud by clear and convincing evidence is a lesser standard than the burden to establish tax evasion in a criminal proceeding, which must be established beyond a reasonable doubt.

Civil fraud is often defined as an intentional wrongdoing on the part of the taxpayer, with the specific purpose of evading a tax known or believed to be owing. For the fraud penalty to apply, there must be an intentional wrongdoing; the intent required is the specific purpose to evade a tax believe to be owing. The taxpayer must have intended to mislead, conceal, or otherwise prevent collection of such taxes. Mere carelessness is not sufficient.

Since intent is difficult to establish directly, courts have inferred fraudulent intent from various kinds of circumstantial evidence. Among the factors that courts have cited as indications of fraud are:

- 1) Understatement of income
- 2) Inadequate records
- 3) Implausible or inconsistent explanations of behavior
- 4) concealment of assets
- 5) failure to cooperate with tax authorities
- 6) engaging in illegal activities
- 7) dealing in cash
- 8) failure to made estimated tax payments.

0000083

CONFIDENTIAL

-HOIRRA

RA000438



It is our position that the taxpayer qualifies for the penalty under items 3, 4 and 5 above. In examination of these factors with respect to the taxpayer, the following observations are made:

IMPLAUSIBLE OR INCONSISTENT EXPLANATIONS OF BEHAVIOR -

The taxpayer signed agreements to receive payments from Matsushita and Fujitsu, both of Japan, for the use of his patent for the microchip. Although both agreements were signed <u>after</u> the taxpayer's alleged change of residence to Nevada, both agreements had his California address. The money was to be wire transferred to a trust account in Los Angeles. The agreements state that they are to be in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

The taxpayer transferred the licensing fees that he had received from the Japanese companies (approximately \$40 Million) into a Franklin Fund Account in Long Beach, California. The taxpayer's address on the account statements was the La Palma California residence of the house that he had supposedly sold.

INTENTIONAL EVIDENCE OF INTENT TO DEFRAUD

The taxpayer provided documentation stating that he had sold his home in La Palma on 10/1/91 to Grace Julia Jeng. We have gotten affidavits from several parties stating that Grace Jeng lives with the taxpayer and serves as his assistant, and that Grace and the taxpayer are always together. The title on the house did not pass to Grace Jeng until 6/93.

Based upon examination of the taxpayer's checks and bank statements provided to date, it was noted that there were a number of checks which the taxpayer had made out to "CASH". He then endorsed the check and the check was then endorsed by Grace Jeng. Most of these checks were cashed at California Banks. It is unusual that the taxpayer would be giving money to Grace Jeng every month if he had sold his house to her.

The statements made that the taxpayer lives with Grace Jeng (who the taxpayer supposedly sold the California house to), along with transfers of cash to Grace Jeng indicate that the taxpayer retained access to the house and the house was beneficially owned by the taxpayer. The transfer of the house was a sham transaction rather than a bona fide sale. The transaction was set up solely to avoid payment of California Income taxes.

Additionally, in examination of the checks, it was also noted that many of the checks are written in handwriting which is quite different from the taxpayer's handwriting. The signatures appear to be that of the taxpayer. One individual has given an affidavit that they had seen Grace Jeng use the taxpayer's credit 0

01880

Ľ

RA000439

CONFIDENTIAL



We received a letter from the La Palma City Water Services stating that Grace Jeng turned on water service 11/26/91 and that her mailing address was P.C. Box 3357 Cerritos. The owner was listed as Gilbert P. Hyatt. It does not make sense that the taxpayer would have sold his home on 10/1/91 and did not turn off the water service until 11/26/91, when Grace Jeng had the water service turned on in her name. People usually turn off the utilities when they sell their homes and move.

Based upon examination of the taxpayer's checks, it was noted that there was a check dated 4/13/92 to Ron's Repair and Remodelling. This check was cashed in California. I called Ron Schuchord of Ron's Repair and Remodelling and interviewed him on 3/28/95. He stated that he had done work for Mr. Hyatt at the house in La Palma. Ron stated that it is customary for him to receive a check from his customers on the date that the work is completed. He said that if the check was dated 4/13/92, then he was there on that date, but he no longer has invoices.

The taxpayer continued (and continues) to maintain at least two P.O. boxes in California. A letter from the U.S. Postmaster dated 5/12/94 included a copy of Form 1093 (P.O. Box application). Gilbert P. Hyatt and Grace Jeng were listed as the P.O. Box users and the renewal dated 4/16/92 was in Grace Jeng's name. Also included was a copy of a letter from Gilbert Hyatt to the Postmaster dated 2/2/92 requesting to add Grace Jeng and Barry Lee to P.O. Box 3357 in Cerritos.

The taxpayer rented at least two P.D. boxes in Las Vegas, he registered to vote, and he got a Nevada driver license in November of 1991. These items are considered minor areas, which are very easy to establish. Voter registration, P.O. boxes, and driver licenses are not given much weight.

The taxpayer rented an apartment in Las Vegas Nevadá beginning on November 1, 1991. The taxpayer claimed that he left California on October 1, 1991. Based upon this information we do not know where the taxpayer lived from October 1 through November 1 of 1991. He rented this apartment in Las Vegas from November 1991 through April of 1992 and paid \$540 per month for rent.

During March of 1995, I and another representative of FTB visited this apartment in Las Vegas. The apartments did not have any security gates or doors. (Despite statements by the representative that the taxpayer is afraid of being kidnapped).

0000085

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000440

I asked the managers if they had any record of how the rent had been paid, whether through the mail, in person, etc. They indicated that they have no record of it. They stated that the taxpayer did pay by check each month. We saw in the file an envelope which Mr. Hyatt had used to pay the rent. The envelope had a return address of P.O. Box 60028 Las Vegas. The envelope was postmarked from Long Beach, California and was date stamped 12/8/91. Clara stated that he would pay the rent ahead of time with a post dated check. They would keep the check until the rent was due.

Based upon our interview at the apartment in Las Vegas and examination of the rental file, the taxpayer rented this apartment in attempt to give the appearance of a Nevada residency. The fact that he had someone else rent the apartment for him, that he was paying the rent with postdated checks and mailing them from Californis, along with the appearance that he was not occupying the apartment are all evidence of this fact.

In April of 1992, the taxpayer purchased a house in Las Vegas at 7335 Tara. We received a letter from the Las Vegas Valley Water District showing that the account for 7335 Tara was established on 4/1/92. The customer name is G. Julia Jeng and the mailing address is P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas.

We received a letter from Southwest Gas Corporation of Las Vegas which stated that Gilbert Hystt is not the customer of record at 7335 Tara. I called Southwest Gas and spoke to Georgia Heki. She confirmed that account is in the name of G. Julia Jeng.

We received a letter from Silver State Disposal Service in Las Vegas. The account was opened on 4/1/92 in the name of Michael Kern. (The taxpayer's representative) There is a notation on the account that payments have been made by Gilbert Hyatt. When we were in Las Vegas on 3/7/95, we saw the Silver State Disposal Service coming up Tara street. We asked the trashman if they got much trash at 7335 Tara. He said that they got a bag every once in a while. He said that he had always wondered if anyone lived there.

When the taxpayer submitted the FTB Form 3805F, he also submitted a list of civic and social activities in response to question 12 on the form. The items listed as Nevada civic and social ties were checked. Several of the items were for retail stores in Nevada (not verifiable) and several were for clubs and religious organizations but the addresses given were not correct.

Ĵ

0000086

CONFIDENTIAL Un R91

RA000441



The taxpayer had stated on the 3805F that he had volunteered for the Clark County school district. We checked on this and the Clark County School District had no record of this. The taxpayer had listed the Senator from Nevada and the Governor of Nevada as Nevada ties. The Governor's office responded to our letter that they have never heard of the taxpayer and have no record of him meeting with the Governor. The items listed by the taxpayer as Nevada ties were self-serving statements with no documentary proof.

The taxpayer had stated on the FTB Form 3805F that he worked out of an office at the same address as the taxpayer's representative Mike Kern. The taxpayer's representative Mike Kern of Las Vegas had stated during a telephone conversation in January of 1995 that he saw the taxpayer on a frequent basis because he subleased office space and worked out of Mike Kern's office. When we were in Las Vegas, we went to the representative Mike Kern's office and asked for the taxpayer. The receptionist did not know who we were talking about. This is an indication that the taxpayer and his representative had made false statements with an intent to deceive.

It is not readily determinable if the taxpayer's records are inadequate, or if he is attempting to conceal them from FTB. The taxpayer does not have many of the documents requested, such as telephone bills. It is not determinable whether these items had been intentionally destroyed.

When the taxpayer's moving expenses were requested, the taxpayer's representative stated that the taxpayer had moved himself to Las Vegas using his son's trailer. As evidence of this, they gave me a copy of the trailer registration, which was registered in the state of Nevada in 1952. This does not provide any documentation or proof of the taxpayer's moving expenses.

Also, as evidence of the taxpayer's specific intent to defraud the government, we have gotten affidavits from several individuals that the taxpayer may have cheated on his taxes in the past. They stated that he would collect bills and receipts from various family members, friends, etc. and use those for business writeoffs.

We were told in affidavits that the taxpayer always wanted to pay expenses for family members and friends with checks. He wanted friends and family members to give him receipts from restaurants, bills, etc. He wanted receipts for anything. He would pay with a check with a stamp which said "private contractor." (In examination of the taxpayer's checks we saw checks with this stamp imprinted on the back.) He would use other people's receipts for business expense writeoffs, so he wouldn't have to pay income taxes. This is indication that the taxpayer has used tax avoidance schemes in the past.

CONFIDENTIAL

ARA00087

RA000442



In addition to the taxpayer's corporation Digital Nutronics, the taxpayer has filed a Schedule C as a "Patent Agent" on his 1989, 1990, and 1991 California tax returns. The taxpayer has deducted items such as office expense, utilities, etc. The addresses listed for the business on the Schedule C and for his corporation Digital Nutronics are both a P.O. Box. It is not determinable whether the taxpayer is deducting expenses for a home office or whether these items are personal expenses, as there is no indication of where the taxpayer carried on these businesses.

Concealment of Assets

١

In April of 1992, the taxpayer purchased a house in Las Vegas. The taxpayer's representative provided a copy of the escrow instructions for the purchase of the house with the address (The taxpayer's representative stated that the reason deleted. for the deletion was the taxpayer's concern about confidentiality). The escrow instructions state that the purchaser may change the name on the title when escrow closes. The Clark County Treasurer's office was called and they stated that this parcel of land is in the name of Kern Trust. Mike Kern is the trustee. He is the taxpayer's representative in Las Vegas. The taxpayer may have put this house into a trust account to make it difficult to trace his property.

When the texpayer was asked to provide a list of all bank accounts, cancelled checks, etc. he provided a list of bank accounts at the representatives office. The representative stated that they had been unable to get any of the California account information. For one of the accounts, they did not even have the account number. They later provided this information after I told them that I would request it from the bank directly if they did not.

There was one account which had not been included on the taxpayer's list. This account was for a Franklin Fund Account in Long Beach, California. We knew that this account existed, because the taxpayer had provided copies of checks from this account. We requested this account information from the taxpayer and they eventually provided it to us. The taxpayer's address on the account statements was the La Palma California residence of the house that he had sold. This account is where the taxpayer transferred the licensing fees that he had received from the Japanese companies (approximately \$40 Million).

From examination of the licensing agreements with the Japanese, the funds were to be wire transferred to a trust account in care of a Los Angeles attorney. When I asked the taxpayer's representative for copies of the account statements, he said that they did not have them because the trust fund had been mutually agreed upon and that the taxpayer did not have any control over it. Letters were sent to Matsushita and Fujitsu in Japan and we

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000443

5

Ξ





From examination of the taxpayer's checks, it was noticed that there was one check to Capital Bank in Cerritos, California. The back of the check said that it was for safe deposit boxes. Information was obtained from the bank that the taxpayer did have safe deposit boxes in California and they provided the dates that he visited these boxes.

The taxpayer did not change the address on the safe deposit box accounts to his Las Vegas P.O Box until 7/21/92, even though he visited the boxes on 12/5/91 and 12/10/91 (after the date that he supposedly left California). He also visited the boxes on 7/13/92.

Failure to cooperate with tax authorities

Throughout the course of the audit, the taxpayer's attorney and accountant have been reluctant to provide copies of the taxpayer's documents requested by the auditors. They both had stated that the documents could only be examined at the attorney's office. They said that the reason for this was the taxpayer's fear that he would be kidnapped. This reason is irrational and is an evasive tactic used by the taxpayer.

The apartment that the taxpayer had rented in Las Vegas and the house that he bought were both observed during a field visit to Las Vegas. The apartment had no security system and the house did not have a fence or any visible security system. It is not logical that someone who was worried about being kidnapped would not have his home enclosed or live in a gated community. We did note that there was a gated community several blocks from the taxpayer's home.

(The taxpayer's representatives began providing copies of documentation requested after a copy of the <u>Firestone</u> case was provided to them.)

The taxpayer's accountant has used delaying tactics, such as calling on the due date of a document request to state that he would not have the requested documentation on time. He had also stated that he felt that they had provided enough documentation to support the taxpayer's residency. He felt that we were being unreasonable to request the taxpayer's financial information. The taxpayer's representative tried to use intimidation techniques to get us to back off on document requests.

> 0000089 CONFIDENTIAL

> > RA000444



The taxpayer's representative has sent the requested financial information piecemeal and also has sent some of the bank statements more than once, to give the appearance of compliance with the document requests. He has sent copies of letters from the taxpayer to the credit card companies, showing that the taxpayer has requested the statements more than once. If the taxpayer really wanted to obtain this information from the credit card companies, he would have called them and followed up on this matter.

The taxpayer does not have many of the documents requested, such as telephone bills. It is not determinable whether these items had been intentionally destroyed.

Failure to cooperate with the FTB can be an indication of fraud. Thus, lying or giving evasive answers to FTB personnel, delaying tactics, and other actions designed to mislead FTB auditors are all indicia of fraud. These and other indicia or badges of fraud (including acts of concealment, the use of dummy business entities and bank accounts opened under assumed names or in the names of relatives or nominees) can be found in numerous criminal and civil fraud cases.

In evaluating the evidence, courts also consider the education level and sophistication of the taxpayer. Each case is decided on its own particular facts, and often no single factor is decisive. There is no exclusive list of factors to be considered in determining whether fraud has occurred.

The taxpayer in this case is an intelligent person with degrees from Berkeley and USC. He has owned businesses in California, he has dealt with the U.S. Patent Office, and negotiated licensing agreements, so he has shown a high degree of business knowledge and sophistication. Based upon examination of evidence, the taxpayer is a businessman of above-average education, considerable ability and experience.

The taxpayer's knowledge of the tax law is an important factor in determining whether fraud has been committed. The fact that the taxpayer is intelligent and sophisticated in tax matters will be taken into account even if the taxpayer is not a tax specialist. The taxpayer cannot escape the penalty by delegation of the tax return preparation to his accountant.

It is likely that the taxpayer has a knowledge of tax law, as it appears that he prepared his own tax returns and that of his corporation (Digital Nutronics) prior to 1991. (These earlier year tax returns did not have a preparer sign.)

0000090 CONFIDENTIAL

RA000445

If the taxpayer relied on a third part to keep his books and records, to prepare and file his returns, or for tax advice generally, such reliance may indicate the absence of fraudulent intent, even if an understatement of income occurs. When the taxpayer in good faith turns over all of his books and records or otherwise makes a full and complete disclosure of all of the facts to a third party to whom he has given the tax of preparing his return, the court generally do not find fraudulent intent. If however, the taxpayer did not supply his bookkeeper or tax return preparer with all of the relevant and necessary information, fraud has been found.

In this case, the taxpayer may have not revealed all of the facts regarding his residency to the taxpayer's representative. We do not know what the representatives know, but it is apparent that they have used using delaying tactics and evasive tactics in an attempt to protect their client. We do not know to what extent they advised the taxpayer on the perpetration of this scheme to defraud.

0000091

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000446

BUK SEP 2 6 1995 REC'D

ORANCE COUNTY OFFICE 611 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1160 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626

(7(4) 433-2900 FAX (7(4) 549-3244

EUGENE G. COWAN DIRECT DIAL (213) 229-85:5



RIORDAN & MCKINZIE

CALIFORNIA PLAZA 300 SOUTH CRAND AVENUE TWENTY-NINTH FLOOR LOS ANCELES. CALIFORNIA 9007) TELEPHONE (23) 629-4824 FAX (23) 220-8550

September 25, 1995

5743 CORSA AVENUE, SUITE 116 WESTLAKE VILLACE, CA 91362 (818) 706-1800 (805) 496-4688 FAX (818) 706-2956

> RICHARD J. RIORDAN (RETIRED)

> > FILE NO.

8-160-002

CONFIDENTIAL

H 01946

RA000447

ARA00092

0000092

i.

HAND DELIVERED

Franchise Tax Board 333 North Glenoaks Boulevard, Suite 200 Burbank, California 91502-1170

Attention: Sheila Cox, Tax Auditor

Re: Gilbert P. Hyatt

Dear Ms. Cox:

Enclosed is our original September 22, 1995 response to your letter dated August 31, 1995 regarding the 1991 tax audit for Mr. Gil Hyatt. Enclosed also is the accompanying documentation. Please kindly acknowledge receipt of our letter and documentation by initialling and/or date-stamping a copy of this letter and returning it to me.

Sincerely,

Eng & En

Eugene G. Cowan of RIORDAN & McKINZIE

EGC:agm Enclosures

1.3





CALIFORNIA PLAZA 300 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE TWENTY-NINTH FLOOR LOS ANCELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 TELEPHONE (23) 629-6824 FAX (23) 229-6550 WESTLAKE OFFICE

5743 CORSA AVENUE, SUITE 116 WESTLAKE VILLACE, CA 91362 (818) 706-1800 (805) 496-4688 FAX (818) 706-2956

> RICHARD J. RIORDAN (RETIRED)

> > FILE NO.

ORANCE COUNTY OFFICE 695 TOWN CENTER DRIVE SUITE 1500 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626 (714) 433-2900 FAX (214) 549-3244

> EUGENE G. COWAN DIRECT DIAL (213) 229-8515

September 22, 1995

BUR SEP 2 6 1995 REC'D

08-160-002

Franchise Tax Board 333 N. Glenoaks Blvd., Suite 200 Burbank, CA 91502-1170 Attention: Sheila Cox, Tax Auditor

Re: FTB audit of Gilbert P. Hyatt for 1991 Response to FTB Letter dated 8/31/95

Dear Ms. Cox:

We have reviewed your letter of August 31, 1995. Your letter greatly assisted this response by acknowledging that the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) is aware that Mr. Hyatt began establishing ties in Nevada in early 1992 and by noting that the purpose of the audit is to determine when Mr. Hyatt established ties with Nevada and severed ties with California. Thus, it appears to us that we could most benefit the FTB's review by providing additional information regarding Mr. Hyatt's ties from September, 1991 through early 1992. This letter also responds to your requests and comments in your August 31, 1995 letter.

Mr. Hyatt moved to Las Vegas in September, 1991. He left Southern California just after he finished his appointment with Dr. Hamer and took up residence in Las Vegas. He thought that his appointment was on September 24, 1991 (see enclosed

0000093

confidential H 01947

RA000448





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 2

statement from Dr. Hamer), but, after reviewing your letter of August 2, 1995, it appears that the appointment could have been on September 26, 1991.

Mr. Hyatt drove to Southern California on October 1st to execute the sale documents for his La Palma home and returned to Las Vegas that evening. He came back to Southern California for his appointment with Dr. Isenberg and returned to Las Vegas immediately thereafter.

Mr. Hyatt signed his Wagon Trails apartment rental agreements on October 13, 1991. The agreements covered rent from October 20 to October 31, 1991 and covered the full 6-month lease starting November 1st. Mr. Hyatt started the rental period on October 20th, because he knew that he was going away on an extended business trip.^{1/} Mr. Hyatt returned to Las Vegas from his business trip in time to attend the COMDEX '91 trade show.^{2/}

In the fall of 1991, after selling his California home, Mr. Hyatt rented and moved into his apartment in Las Vegas, applied for and received his Nevada drivers license (surrendering his California drivers license), registered to vote in Nevada, opened his Nevada bank accounts, sent in changes of addresses, joined a temple, continued with his house hunting, etc. These are all items that a person first does when he moves into a new area to reside. We do not understand the FTB's position that Mr. Hyatt's activities described above were formalities, especially since the FTB recognizes that Mr. Hyatt has established ties with

Mr. Hyatt went to Washington D.C., Dallas, Texas and New York, New York during the trip.

See attached representative documentation.

v

¥

CONFIDENTIAL 0000094 H 01948

RA000449 ARA00094





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 3

Nevada and became a resident of Nevada (at least according to the California courts in 1993). Frankly, we do not believe that a court would dismiss Mr. Hyatt's 1991 Nevadan activities as mere formalities.

The FTB dwells on Mr. Hyatt's private nature, expressing its disbelief that an individual concerned about privacy would live in the modest style in which Mr. Hyatt lives. We do not believe that the FTB is aware of the methods which successfully increase one's privacy. Mr. Hyatt's privacy has been successfully maintained because of his modest lifestyle and because of his low profile. Mr. Hyatt's Las Vegas apartment (at Wagon Trails) was modest. His Las Vegas home where he continues to reside is modest. The Las Vegas apartment did not and his Las Vegas home does not attract the scrutiny of the curious public or his intrusive family. High walls and gates are noticeable and invite the curious. By living modestly, Mr. Hyatt had not been bothered in his Nevada home by the public or by his intrusive family members even after the Hard Copy TV program acquired a photo of the home in 1993.³ This was not the case when he lived in La Palma, a residence that was well known and convenient to the public and to his family. Regardless of his current financial resources, Mr. Hyatt to this day is still maintaining his long standing character as a frugal inventor. Please understand that Mr. Hyatt is not trying to keep uninvited visitors out with high walls; he is keeping a low profile so that uninvited visitors cannot find him.

Your August 31, 1995 letter identifies a handful of newspaper articles published in early 1992 concerning Mr. Hyatt. The February, 1992 Los Angeles Times articles and McHenry & Associates press release cited in your letter acknowledge

3/

Mr. Hyatt expressly refused to be interviewed by Hard Copy. He has no control over the efforts exerted by that program to discover his residence.

0000095

confidential H 01949

> RA000450 ARA00095





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 4

Mr. Hyatt's Las Vegas residency. The February, 1992 New York Times article cited in your letter does not address Mr. Hyatt's residency.

News articles and press releases generally are of little value in determining residency. Reporters take "license" in writing their articles and it is well understood that most articles are replete with inaccuracies and inconsistencies. Reporters draw much of their information from older articles and materials and have little time to check whether the original materials were accurate or are still current.

The articles concerning Mr. Hyatt are no exception. The press release's reference to a "dateline" has no significance. The New York Times article stating, "Reached in La Palma" no doubt reflected a reporter's attempts to contact Mr. Hyatt in La Palma after he had moved. Phone messages were often left for Mr. Hyatt in La Palma with Grace Jeng, the new resident of the La Palma house, as well as with Greg Roth, Mr. Hyatt's patent counsel, and with Philips Corporation. Mr. Hyatt would return the phone calls from his home in Las Vegas. Reporters never asked Mr. Hyatt if he was returning the call from La Palma.

The LA Times article noting that Mr. Hyatt was looking for a permanent home in Las Vegas, was reflecting the fact that, at that time (2/25/92), Mr. Hyatt was looking for a home to purchase in Las Vegas (i.e. a permanent home, rather than his rental apartment).

Home Sale to Grace Jeng. Mr. Hyatt knew that Ms. Jeng wanted to buy a home in the La Palma -- Cerritos area because there was a large Chinese community in the area. Ms. Jeng was willing to pay Mr. Hyatt's asking price for his La Palma home so

> CONFIDENTIAL H 01950

> > RA000451

ARA00096

0000096





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 5

Mr. Hyatt did not need to engage a realtor (thereby saving the commission), advertise the home for sale, or show the home to "lookey loos." Hence, Mr. Hyatt does not have any realtor or advertisement materials.

Offers on Las Vegas Home. Enclosed is representative documentation concerning Mr. Hyatt's offers on homes in Las Vegas in 1991-1992. Included in the materials are computer printouts of available homes in December, 1991 and March, 1992; a receipt for dinner with Realtor Ron Stevenson (12/12/92); and copies of home purchase offers and counteroffers made in December, 1991, January, 1992, February, 1992 and March, 1992.

Business License. Enclosed is a copy of Mr. Hyatt's Nevada business license materials.

Business Travel. Enclosed is representative documentation of Mr. Hyatt's business travels to other locations during the period at issue: a 10-14-91 to 10-22-91 trip to Washington, D.C., Dallas and New York; a 11-18-91 to 11-20-91 trip to New York; a 1-8-92 to 1-17-92 trip to Washington D.C., New York and Dallas; a trip to Denver about 3-11-92; a 4-22-92 trip to San Francisco; a 5-19-92 to 5-21-92 trip to San Francisco, and a 5-25-92 to 5-28-92 trip to Dallas and Austin, Texas.⁴⁴

Grace Jeng Assignments. Mr. Hyatt engaged Ms. Jeng for business services through Leetronics Corporation (9700 Sombra Valley, Sunland, CA 91041, Attention: Barry

<u>4</u>j

Mr. Hyatt did take an occasional business trip thereafter in 1992.

0000097

CONFIDENTIAL H 01951

RA000452





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 6

Lee). Mr. Hyatt paid Leetronics for Ms. Jeng's services. He did not keep records of the assignments. Tasks were assigned verbally.

Banking Information. We do not understand the FTB's view that where an individual opens a mutual fund money market non-bank account (i.e., the Franklin Federal Market Fund account in the case of Mr. Hyatt) is relevant to a determination of that individual's residency, once he has moved.⁵⁴ Likewise, the original address on an account is irrelevant once a change of address is in place (as of October, 1991 in the case of Mr. Hyatt). As your August 31, 1995 letter acknowledges, the relevance of any account to the determination of an individual's ties is the written record created by the account. As your letter notes, Mr. Hyatt's Franklin account had "checks" to the Wagon Trails Apartments written on the account – a clear indication of Mr. Hyatt's ties to Las Vegas.

There appears to be confusion over the FTB's request for banking information from Mr. Hyatt and his cooperation in producing the information. Mr. Hyatt initially provided whatever banking information he had. The FTB then added to its request for banking information after Mr. Hyatt's submission. Mr. Hyatt then ordered any requested information that he did not have from the banks and credit card companies. The bank statements and check copies ordered by Mr. Hyatt were provided to you as Mr. Hyatt

¥

The FTB's position would mean that if an individual opened a mutual fund money market account from Oregon (perhaps while passing through Oregon), such an individual would be an Oregon resident.

8**200000**

confidential H 01952

> RA000453 ARA00098





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 7

÷ , :

received them from the banks.^{\leq} The exchange of financial information was done conscientiously and timely.

Please note that the FTB never requested bank statements or credit card statements for 1990; that is why none were provided.⁷ However, in response to your August 31, 1995 letter, Mr. Hyatt has requested 1990 statements from the banks and credit card companies.

<u>1991 and 1992 Checks</u>. A brief summary of the checks was contained in our previous response. Additional information, to the best of Mr. Hyatt's recollection, is provided below:

Linda Wetsch was paid a bonus for secretarial services performed in May-June, 1991;

Leni Schlindwein (and Leni's Typing), Harry Widdifield and John Keller were (and are still) old friends of Mr. Hyatt;

Ron Hoffman, CPA, was paid for accounting services for tax advice from August to September, 1991;

Copley/Colony Cable was paid for an old cable service bill;

⁶ Enclosed are copies of Mr. Hyatt's statement requests.

For example, the authorization forms attached to your March 1, 1995 correspondence identified only 1991 and 1992 materials.

0000099

confidential H 01953

RA000454





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 8

mail:

KCET was given a donation for public TV;

Black Angus was paid for a meal contemporaneously with Mr. Hyatt's stay at Los Alamitos hospital;

Copy Tech was paid for a telephone purchase of copy toner by mail;

John Harmon was paid for library services ordered by phone and provided by

Ron Schuchord was paid by mail for work that Mr. Hyatt had agreed with Ms. Jeng that he was going to pay for with respect to the La Palma property;

Xerographic Copier was paid for a copier purchased by phone, which was shipped to Mr. Hyatt's home in Las Vegas;

Copy Us was paid for photocopying service costs incurred by Ms. Jeng for her work for Mr. Hyatt/Leetronics, which costs were directly paid by Mr. Hyatt;

Chasen's was paid for an anniversary party for an old friend as a gift.

Majordomo was paid for an air cleaner purchased by mail as a gift for Mr. Hyatt's daughter;

Youngmart Travel was paid for tickets for a trip to New York with Mr. Hyatt's patent attorneys;

> CONFIDENTIAL H 01954

0000100

RA000455 ARA00100





Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 9

Adella Bormentos was paid for babysitting services for the children of a family member.

Professionals. Mr. Hyatt worked with a world-wide network of professional advisors, consultants and colleagues in 1991 to 1992 from patent examiners in Washington D.C. to lawyers in Taiwan. Schedule 1 attached hereto sets forth a representative list of non-California professionals that Mr. Hyatt used in 1991 to 1992 (to the best of Mr. Hyatt's recollection).

<u>California Medical Trips</u>. Mr. Hyatt has not found any travel documentation concerning his trips to California for medical treatment, although, except for his stay at the Los Alamitos Medical Center, most of his trips were completed in one day.

<u>Pneumonia</u>. Enclosed is representative documentation concerning treatment of Mr. Hyatt's pneumonia.

Affiliations. Enclosed is representative documentation concerning Mr. Hyatt's Nevadan affiliations and activities, such as his 1991 ski trip to Mt. Charleston, the Las Vegas PC Users Group, Nevada Development Authority activities, temple membership, Governor Miller meetings, and the Reliability and Maintainability Symposium.

0000101

CONFIDENTIAL H 01955

RA000456 ARA00101




RIORDAN & MCKINZIE

Franchise Tax Board September 22, 1995 Page 10

If you have any additional questions or need additional information or

clarification, please contact me.

Sincerely,

C

910000 st 0000102

Eugene G. Cowan of Riordan & McKinzie

cc: Gilbert Hyatt Mike Kern, CPA

70867.3

RA000457 ARA00102

CONFIDENTIAL

H 01956

ŝ





Schedule 1

Representative List of Non-California Professionals Used by Mr. Hyatt in 1991 - 1992

<u>Professionals</u>	Location
J. Haken, Esq.*	New York
A. Tamoshunas, Esq.*	New York
H. Beckers, Esq.*	New York
R. Peters, Esq.*	New York
Egli International	New York
Burns, Doane	Washington D.C.
Mahr-Leonard	Dallas
Lee & Li	Taiwan
John Fox, Esq.*	New York
Hidekazu Koyama, Esq.*	Japan
Tom Briody, Esq.*	New York
Dave Leonard, Esq.	Dallas
Bob Lott, Esq.	Dallas
Dick Winter, Esq.	New Jersey
Bob Nimps, Esq.	New Jersey
Don Erickson, Esq.	Kentucky
Bob Fletcher, Esq.	Kentucky
Jim Williams, Esq.	New York
John DiMatteo, Esq.	New York
Danny Hungtington, Esq.	Washington D.C.
Bill Schuyler, Esq.	Washington D.C.
Sid Kearns	Washington D.C.
Don Craft	Colorado
Don Black	Colorado
Steve Leuthold	Minnesota
Dave Deming	Minnesota
John Zaro	New York
Ken Holeski	Ohio

0000103 CONFIDENTIAL H 01957

RA000458 ARA00103





Donn Goodman	Ohio
George Sullivan	Massachusetts
Tom Neidemeyer	Massachusetts
Howard Eckers, Esq.	Nevada
Robert Durrans, M.D.	Nevada
Jim Jimmerson, Esq.	Nevada
Piercy, Bowler, Taylor & Kern	Nevada
Lee Howard	Nevada
Bob Huddleston	Nevada
Steven Hall, DDS	Nevada
Gard Jamison, CPA	Nevada
Ivan Goldsmith, M.D.	Nevada

Lawyer with Philips Corporation

CONFIDENTIAL H 01958

0000104





Statement from Dr. Hamer

CONFIDENTIAL H 01959

RA000460 ARA00105

0000105







Wagon Trails Rental Agreement

0000107

confidential H 01961



HENTAL AGREEMENT COMPLE MALE 4460 N TEN ANNIESS 1225 S. PECO: 1:1 LV NU STI21 APL 10. 237 TYPE 142 CUIL HO HECEWARD OF <u>GILber</u> a) a dynamic to some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic to a some the routed of <u>J</u> a) a dynamic 10-8 91 Dale BO 150 alled TENLART, the sum of 237 H IS PARAL IN te 16 p Ty Lay & ATICS LARDIOON WRITAL PAYMENTS DITEAMINISM 41 10 31 9 , 235.00 10 Conteractor Sopo -Conteración Sopo f Rach monte on a é monte la care Sifectare 11-1 11 - LE/undable 200.00 1 16. 2. 2. <u>2. 2. 2.</u> 8 1. 6 <u>8 8 8 8</u> 11 8.00 20 12! 1 :275 10 5 Starce LANDICHEVADENT UN GOD TO Cl.a ;/<u>:</u>_ litter TENAN TENAL WWWWENT_LINCOLN_PROPERTY SUMPANY H.S., INS._ Mena Bellice WITNESSETH: 11-1 al dia in Die the side lat day of the 7.7 Ξ 7440 2-1-1 -> In Paragraphi 1 DEFORE el 18 02 auges of \$25.00 The segue Q at the Country ال سار ورا ())... -· Condition of the destructed of them at the at the set of the set of the stand of a stand of the set of the s 0000108

H 01962

RA000463

ARA00108

1





where an Angele in the A where and also as also along of hom the promises of the g shad be the Minister Provided in Als Pursuitation Ministere Provided in Als Nation In M & Alve Ally hade or schemes or the option of the Law ہیں ہم کا کہ ک د ماشد میشد. میا شده برهیدی از میشد. اینگه (یه به به یه یه یه برگی (یه به به به یه به یه یه برگی (یه به به به یه یه یه یه r Arb d in the p y is the pr ng shall be cone by DAVID OF M North Arge Auri, 1). What fee Lashieray ant shall lake a e, if and a ----demised gramie ----a hu la . d shall be p و ايندم بدرا أجد الغالة No na sh ينصره and colucte S. OF AS AGAIN, AND IS IN be deducted in ine or Nu

- es shall be used any as a private residence for not rd: The Pro _ dhidimnj, lan
- shall coupy the premiars Assanthe Karpendeties of the Londard and tenant of to the Pryment of Utity Charges is arrest agrees to pay for at united, including unity organing and tenant of the Pryment of Utity Charges is arrest agrees to pay for at united, including unity organing and tenant of the Assantiation and the arrest of the Assantiation of the Value Hernes of the Assantiation of the Value Hernes of the Assantiation of the Value Hernes of the Assantiation of the allal thes of th

- 3. Notice Replace on December (Evence) Science 3 and approve to comply with out actionative incident of a copy of the Instant rules and policies now in allert approve to complete an out-of Constant rules and approve to comply with out-actionative incident of a copy of the Instant rules and policies now in allert of approve to receive and leven by an information and harder approve to comply with early formationed during the latent by the instant of the Instant rules and policies now in allert of approximation of the instant appearance in the instant of the policy of the Instant of the Instant rules and the Instant of the Insta and balance
- no No watchool of similar water bind dowce shall be placed in ar about the Premises webcut prior weesn consent of Land not of dowce well be tudy tweet, and tenant agrees to deposit with Landood presi of edepusio insurance. He islaviator, ruo each is or about the Promises webcut it in prior wellan company of the Owner. und seriesbor Shit be plan
- rel and not lot, subject, assign, or insinction ad or any part of the Pr revent or transfer shall be vote.
- ner by Testant; The said premises are to be used and enc butthess or ecception shall be carried an inverse. Test is the Cruedy Ordinanças and with thrustoins of popular ju in for reside no of protocy and for any p an indexe The first of the second second of the The state of the s A pl of the Ada a well and allow a a convey u want for role of insu services, our set of insur-services, our shull forced period the stand for any unlowed purpose any part of the prendant purpose a by the priod of the prendant of the bit of the prendant of the and in bayon of the to prove the in province according that, they will figurate by they weather correspond w ni --A Casaria Al alaration, organismanis at M. Casarigen, slawning, hole and other p in for the ba utile places in the building shad not be of revents or service of the p
- eet No music or route shall tin pour when on the presence which shall to the comparis of the building or in the Landiard nt shall not have inc
- alls and Kays: Tonan Lawlord's conserv, in old at the majo office scholl on per dnor 19 des said premiere et charge a dradbal lock nore stateling on said premiere. oré, for stiention in case et energiercy access la seid premiere, a seid hoy to be deposited with La ce, and the same may be us el el sey u
- 3. Destructions (Condenvendent): It have is any parties day parties the restry risk the promises the function of the thermitian, or of (or thermitian), or of the thermitian, or of (or thermitian), or of the thermitian of the refiers in the event of any servey-nay, and, or of the building in which the Francisce are invasion. By first, Cabuchy, or other any cost the Asymentry, except therase uses the second to a proper shorter relation remains by Sound II the produces are demanded or new coyse my first, cabuchy out on any second in the produces are demanded or new coyse my first, cabuchy out on any second and the produces are demanded or new coyse my first, cabuchy out on any second and the produces are demanded or the cabuchy out on any second and the second are second and the sec of the date of vacarity, if any any second and the second are set of the date of vacarity, if any any second are set of the date of vacarity.
- clastica; ites Aparament alus in autorclasic and puter in any and al lang and an at along and picced by Landord on the promoty of which the Promotes are a part. ion and any amount, ut
- may's Farm/Casts: In case and alvel his incompte for any unemptal detains such of any other covenant of this Agroumvest on the part of Tenant is be to e, er ler n Hy of any rare or And party in such
- net Tonard agrees & pocept all Hencos Io Tanted, which may be definered per stant to Tonard at the Prenvious, wheelver or net the Tontert Ass depended by H. R.S. 62,762. by or by depending the same in the United States nod, at vacated the presidence and all rightness along b
- the hereix and of o d Lands
- En require by Pro-Las maximum.
 23. These Times in or the assessment of Siz Agreement and such payment to be made as provide the last, made or sentences. All regist, remedies, elections, and posses printed Las of any other Landbook minor of any term or constituen housed and not constituent as form or smallform. This Agreements that the biologic approximation are to the langth of the of the partners handle by printly and serversity fields housed and. and no ene I breach of any similar or distinctor of all of the parties hereid, and all -
- 24 Liability: Turnet opens to hold owner and its agents harming born o promine would for Turnet's auchsive use. The Landord to not respon auch and all claims all tops or demage in property and all triany or death he persons occurring weble for the personal perpenty of the Tenand, it is the Tenand's responsibility to obtain his own (property Insurance.

- Entrinstein by Tanund: [4] If the term provided in paragraph 1 above be for other (month-termonth on the terms and conditions of this Agreem : be for other dwn month-to-month, ble Agreement shull be deemed a base for sald term; any holdover thereafter shal oo If this Agreement, except suid terms shall not then include any option or right to review or asserted the term hereot, except by (P) Tokani is required by this operation of the 2 i (P) Tokani is required by this operation is provide whether that thirty days is price by or other thus to applying on the terminution date. Landberg may give
- while a written thirty (30) days notice to the Landberd of the ranks offer prior to barand vacuating to tense explorition or 3 Loren to manifold-menth, and Tenson shall remain Robio for the and/or theil for Una larminarian Halice in Tan expiration of the tense of this re-for unlembel detained, for ocheal
- With the section in al tige ممتحماتهما والمعلو بالا rit, for uni gas say ane, note than and of all of the langaing ni of Landlord, Landa
- al in any a. For any part the sol, shad In ind coort space parties ach of Ren ini: II Dia
- or small all any time has in one M, cr 🛙 Afte of UPD Next shall victate as fail to observe any of the ser I to Levisland, of 8 the restayout of said nonment of said prominent distuits of Major termination provided by law, cleat Lor land 45.849
- agents in make som ern pro presentents or in rary con 3 of Lanting arei/or in Tenari, are hereby marged harein.
- of this Ar North shall, is any arrang, in swart or unanto-coaris, is a remander is to a to which is held mished or unanto-caable studient be effected and is wild and be and The Public London and and IN WITHESS WHEREOF, LAVI a a cara SHOUNDER BY AND

de an Ru de an feat u

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY BEFORE SIGNING

2120 17

Keller P. Ayott 10/13/91

TOWNT

NIT

ROWAR DAL walaho

0000109

3740

CONFIDENTIAL H 01963

RA000464

ARA00109



27



 $\sim m_{eff} m_{eff}$



CONF 0000110 H

CONFIDENTIAL H 01964

RA000465 ARA00110



RA000466 ARA00111





Requests for Statements

71970.1

CONFIDENTIAL 0000112 H 01966



TEL: 0

EP. 21. 1995 5:16 PM P 2

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

Household Credit Services, Inc. Household Bank, N.A. Department 0009 Anaheim, CA 92850-0009

Account Nos. 4317-3410-1024-2499 5418-2961-4100-6386 5414-7410-1018-2135

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced credit card accounts. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. Annual account statements will suffice. Thank you.

Best Regards,

i 0000113

CONFIDENTIAL H 01967

RA000468 ARA00113

FROM :

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

Visa Credit Card Department Chase Manhattan Bank P.O. Box 15008 Wilmington, DE 19850-5008

Account No. 4226-563-134-706

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced account. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

0000114

confidential H 01968

RA000469

ARA00114

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

The Bank of New York P.O. Box 1219 Newark, NJ 07101-1219

Account No. 5417-4000-4552-7056

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced account. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

0000115

CONFIDENTIAL H 01969

TEL: 0

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

California Federal Bank 398 South Decatur Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107

Account Nos. 177-0016768-7 177-0514457-7 179-0512056-2 004-0513797-3 004-0513798-2 004-0513065-8 082-0522494-6 004-0513799-1

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced accounts. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Hya Gilbert

0000116

CONFIDENTIAL H 01970

RA000471

ARA00116

TEL: 0

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

California Federal Bank 5700 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036

Account Nos. 010-0500874-3 004-0513065-8 004-0513796-4 004-0513797-3 004-0513798-2 004-0513799-1 004-0513800-7 004-0513837-4 082-0522494-6

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced accounts. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Gilbert

RA000472 ARA00117

CONFIDENTIAL H 01971

TEL: Ø

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

Bank of America P.O. Box 98600 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8600

Account No. 210173019

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced account. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

ratt

0000118

confidential H 01972

RA000473 ARA00118

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

Irvine City Bank 2400 Michelson Drive Irvine, CA 92715

Account No. 111-05172-8

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced accounts. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

0000119

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000474

ARA00119

·~`,



P. 21. 1995 5: 20 PM P 9

P.O. Box 81230 Las Vegas, NV 89180 PHONE: (702) 871-9899 FAX: (702) 871-9397

March 7, 1995

First Fidelity Thrift and Loan 2 City Boulevard East Orange, CA

Account No. 016000296-3

Dear Sirs:

I am the account holder in the above referenced account. I would appreciate a copy of the account statements for 1991 and 1992. An annual account statement for 1991 and for 1992 will suffice.

Thank you.

Best Regards,



CONFIDENTIAL H 01074

RA000475 ARA00120





Offers on Las Vegas Homes

2

0000121

CONFIDENTIAL H 01975

1. S. 1.

RA000476 ARA00121



. . .

S(SEARCH) I(INDEX) D(DISPLAY) R(REVISE) A(ADD) C(COUNTY F(FORMAT) E(EXIT): E SL COMPLETE 8/DE/91 9:56 AM ENTER FUNCTION CODE CMA; ALL CLASS?1 EA? 7-1 ... ITER KEYWORDS ?SF;2950 ?LP;195000-350000 2 DO YOU WANT TO USE SF FOR SOLDS (Y/N)? Y SP:195000-350000 ENTER FEATURES 7A1 282 \sim ENTER REPORT TITLE (UP TO 65 CHARACTERS)-----GIL HYATT ENTER E(EQUATIONS), F(FEATURES), A(ALL) OR RETURN: A ENTER G(GENERATE REPORT) D(DISPLAY) R(REVISE) C(COUNT) F(FORMAT) E(EXIT):5 GIL HYATT 8/DE/31 CLASS :RESI STATUS(ES) :A **AVAILABLE** EA **EXCLUSIVE AGENCY** :C **CONTINGENT SALE** T **TEMPORARILY OFF MARKET** :W **WITHDRAWN** :P **PENDING** X **EXPIRED** S **CLOSED** AREA(S) :7-1 SF:2,950-LP:\$195,000-\$350,000 SP:\$195,000-\$350,000 A1 -SINGLE-STOR S2 -INGRND-FRIV MATCHING PROPERTIES **AVAILABLE** ADDRESS ML# LIST FRICE AREA ____ ____ _____ 50593 3412 PAMA LANE 7-1 \$265,000 PRICE/SF: \$111.90 SQFT: 2,368 FB: 6761A B: 3 BEDROOMS D: NO GARAGE S: INGEND-PRIVATE 37746 2249 BOWIE CIRC 7-1 \$259,900* SQFT: 2,746 PRICE/SF: \$94.64 FB: 6763A B: 3 BEDROOMS D: 2 CAR GARAGE S: INGEND-PRIVATE 48950 1994 WAYFARER C \$237,500 7-1 PRICE/SF: \$95.45 SOFT: 2,488 FB: 67630 P- 3 BEDROOMS D: 3 CAR GARAGE S: INGRND-PRIVATE \$225,000 FB: 67617A S: INGEND-PRIVATE 0 HAPPY LANE 163 3436 7-1 PRICE/SF: \$93.59 SQFT: 2,404 B: S+ BEDROOMS D: 2 CAR GARAGE 0 CONFL 46326 T 1854 WELLINGTON 7-1 \$214,900 0000122 F8: 5762D PRICE/SF: \$94.79 SOFT: 2,267 B: 4 BEDROOMS D: 3 CAR GARAGE S: INGEND-FRIVATE

> RA000477 ARA00122

e e grade Ε CMA COMPLETE 9/DE/91 2:06 PM ENTER FUNCTION CODE CMA; ALL CLASS?1 AREA? 5-2 ENTER KEYWORDS TLINE HUNG UP, PLS RE-DIAL IF NECESSARY NO CARRIER CONNECT 1200 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$ S IS PRC (1-22) RVIP 9/DE/91 . ER COMFUTER ACCESS CODE: BEA; ALL CLASS?1 AREA? 5-2 ENTER KEYWORDS ?SF;2350-2900 ?LP;200000-350000 2 DO YOU WANT TO USE SP FOR SOLDS(Y/N)? Y SP:200000-350000 ENTER FEATURES ----2 ENTER REPORT TITLE (UP TO 65 CHARACTERS)------GIL HYATT ENTER E(EQUATIONS), F(FEATURES), A(ALL) OR RETURN: A ENTER G(GENERATE REPORT) D(DISPLAY) R(REVISE) C(COUNT) F(FORMAT) E(EXIT):6 GIL HYATT 9/DE/91 CLASS :RESI EA **EXCLUSIVE AGENCY** STATUS(ES) :A **AVAILABLE** :C **CONTINGENT SALE** :W **WITHDRAWN** :P **PENDING** T **TEMPORARILY OFF MARKET** X **EXFIRED** S **CLOSED** AREA(5) :5-2 SF:2,350-2,900 LP:\$200,000-\$350,000 0000123 CONFIDENTIAL SP:\$200,000-\$350,000 H 01977 ATCHING PROPERTIES

RA000478

ARA00123



< 7/- 12

E I i ...

9/DE/91

RA000479

ARA00124

HUNG UP, FLS RE-DIAL IF NECESSARY ND CARRIER

ENTER G(GENERATE REPORT) D(DISPLAY) R(REVISE) C(COUNT) F(FORMAT) E(EXIT):G

CLASS - :RESI STATUS(ES) :A **AVAILABLE** :C **CONTINGENT SALE** :W **WITHDRAWN** :P **PENDING** AREA(S) :S-2 SF:3,900-4,650 LP:\$235,000-\$395,000 SP:\$235,000-\$395,000

EA **EXCLUSIVE AGENCY** T **TEMPORARILY OFF MARKET** X **EXPIRED** S **CLOSED**

MATCHING PROPERTIES

AVAILABLE

GIL HYATT

ML#	ADDRE	55	AREA	LI	ST PRICE		
40311 PRICE/SF: \$88. B: 4 BEDROOMS	3190 .02	AFFLEBLOSS SQFT: 4 D: 3 CAR	,260	ş	\$375,000* FB: 45446 S: INDOOR S	6PA	
' 29 J∠ <ice \$86.<br="" sf:="">8: S+ BEDROOMS</ice>	3134 S 20			. F	\$299,900 FB: 4545J 6: NO FOOL	OR SPA N	978
38645 PRICE/SF: \$72. B: 4 BEDROOMS	2984 S 61	BRONCO SQFT: 3, D: 2 CAR 6	ARAGE	0000124 [#]	\$289,000* "8: 4544K S: NO FOOL	DE SPA	H0(9)





CMA;ALL ASS?1 A? 5-2 ENTER KEYWORDS ?SF;3200-45000 ? DO YOU WANT TO USE SP FOR SOLDS(Y/N)? Y SP:300000-450000 ENTER FEATURES ? ENTER REPORT TITLE (UP TO 65 CHARACTERS)------GIL HYATT ENTER E(EQUATIONS), F(FEATURES), A(ALL) OR RETURN: A

ENTER G(GENERATE REPORT) D(DISPLAY) R(REVISE) C(COUNT) F(FORMAT) E(EXIT):G

GIL HYATT CLASS :RESI STATUS(ES) :A **AVAILABLE** :C **CONTINGENT SALE** :W **WITHDRAWN** :P **PENDING** /TTA(S) :5-2 :3,300-4,500 LP:\$300,000-\$450,000 SP:\$300,000-\$450,000

9/DE/91

EA **EXCLUSIVE AGENCY**

- T **TEMPORARILY OFF MARKET**
- X **EXPIRED**
- S **CLOSED**

MATCHING PROPERTIES

AVAILABLE

ML#	ADDRESS	AREA	LI	ST PRICE	
38957 3 PRICE/SF: \$106.8 B: 4 BEDROOMS 44331 7 PRICE/SF: \$106.7 B: 4 BEDROOMS 49219 7 PRICE/SF: \$105.0 B: 5+ BEDROOMS 37994 2	3265 S TENAY 34	A WAY 5-2 SQFT: 4,207 D: 3 CAR GARAGE		\$449,500* FB: 45456 S: NO POOL OR SPA	•
44331 7 FRICE/SF: \$106.7 8: 4 BEDROOMS	'225 LATOUR '5	R COU 5-2 SQFT: 4,206 D: 3 CAR GARAGE		\$449,000 FB: 4544H S: NO FOOL OR SFA	ň
49219 7 PRICE/SF: \$105.0 B: 5+ BEDROOMS	195 W TARA 5	5-2 SQFT: 4,236): 3 CAR GARAGE	r F	\$445,000 FB: 4543H S: NO POOL OR SPA	יוחחו
FRICE/SF: \$119.4	6	SQFT: 3,724	F	'B: 4542K	
48249 24 PRICE/SF: \$112.0 B: 5+ BEDROOMS 36745 21 PRICE/SE: \$116.06	877 S BUFFAL I D	0 DR 5-2 SQFT: 3,928 : 3 CAR GARAGE	s F	5440,000 8: 4543F 5: INGRND-PRIVATE	FIDENTIAL
36745 21 PRICE/SF: \$116.06	121 S CIMARR B	ON 5-2 SQFT: 3,700	\$ 2/ F	429,500 B: 4541G	



0000126

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000481

ARA00126



PUNCHASE AGREEMENT AND EARNEST MONEY RECEIPT

MED FROM I THOCK		······································		, as Buyer(s),
of which is hereby eclemented	The loss of Clause Transmission		(dollar) (5 5000	. <u>00</u>
The dependence of the second second	U Broker's Treat Account G Excessor.			
HE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS OFFE	Eff and to be applied to the purchase price on the	property smortune at Lot 3//	Y NWYSW.	1 50.10
	<u>. </u>			<u> </u>
anity known as 7.77	S. Walleys A let			localed In the
LALKING TOTAL SUN OF & TOTAL SUN OF	Control 1'still		VOA	
Aller related to as the purchase pre-	ce. The balance of the purchase price to be paid	di Kalowa;	(solen) (5 <u>770</u>	00,00
Serre - May ar allow	appensor to sale in	Via filler St. Chice.		
Level your and	Seatters land, hile 4	maner prive to chose	5	
Sau	Maria da de la			
1.1510	there berged in a destroy	Tais for the sin Sugar	" A L COST OF	diarip
······	- H. Land St. St. S.	ciel ight		
The one h	A. wollow all upper failed :	entry tes ali , dal A	maken a ibr	Tand sind
- And all de	and the west lot find	as ty count.		Taya cin
Line Alex	hin courses up 1 to	unde de la der de la	marin lin	ten siAs
Tals Office Act	a late contenant bale 1	1. Lough that the profile	· Salang for	lege int.
INSTRUCTURE.	a le cu canter cel après de	Yel Cartone i The	Leen and L.	15.000 m
TACHED KEYANAN THE	Co any Asconorm			·
ARTIES FUNCTIONS ADDRESS TO T		hin		
the second	encion, by show buyer or Salar Train to be the		ALCON	<u>C C C C Marin</u>
TATIONS, trausance profiliante, la	Last provide a social and some ters, and a	. Evinal	(Show Meritel \$1	alus and Tenancvi
A MORTGAGE INSURANCE PRENT	UNI (MEP). Should the subject property have an Fi	A loan, the Mortgage Indulance Premium) not provided, to close about the provide	e of escrow, rd [] not provated
are not delinquent, shall be assume	nd by the Suyer Grant Maler Chartely Starter	Augus & reculturers of the second		
CHOW HOLDER. On acceptance of I	this offer an escrave shall be expeditiously opena	- Band Steven		
hid a line		CITER REPORT All concerns and family and family	ners) station denote	ed into the second
t of escrow, this implicance and nace		er shell associe eacrowinstructions nat i luces shell be paldby the parties as failo	noonalisiani with this i	nsirumeni, 11. A/CT
DENCE OF TITLE TRECONNENDED	state of the second sec			
with. Softer agrees to deliver at his as	Subject to assements, encomprisences, spring, right	us a way, resinctions, covenants, and con	ditions of record, unles	a sialed citierwise
	the party of the second s	of a property of any substance involution but	7.0.1.01	Inte
Internatice, and hatter spree to how	I the Brokers harvings for any delicitation in the	he right estate Brokers do not represent in notice.	e quality or the excert	of Coverage of the
	opense, pood and manipulable tille as evidenced i re undersigned parties horsby activativege till it the firstance humders for any dalighency in the blan new Fill or YARsenging. Selar shall pay it rever, the Selar, of his capiton, may pay more the	he real estate linchers do not represent in palicy, a discussivy discourt points effective as in a fine maximum paints stated sectors in a	e quality or the extern o close of eacrowiter (a event have from the	of Coverage of the Activity said Loan
of this transaction, tout less and/o	in iosh discount points will be paid as inform;	The maximum points stated horsen. In th	e event new Convent	of Coverage of the Hecuring said Loan Ional Reancing is a
ut of this transaction, tout less and/o DAN APPLICATION. If Bayeris is obtain	verver, une same, et his óption, may pay more the pritosh discourt points will be paid as follows: n new financing, or il required bytender, buyer furt	The Maximum points stated herein, in in	were new Convent	bnel financing is a
A of this transcion, town fees and/o	verver, and Samuer, at his spliton, may pay more the bit loan discount points will be paid as follows: n new financing; or if required bytander, buyer furt	n the maximum points stated barein, in in	when	tonel financing is a
art of this barrancilon, tour less and/o DAN APPE ICA 140H, If Bayeris is able	verver, and Samuer, at his spliton, may pay more the bit loan discount points will be paid as follows: n new financing; or if required bytander, buyer furt	n the maximum points stated barein, in in	when	tonel financing is a
All of these barrelian, town seese and/o DAN APPR CATEGOR & Burrel to obtain the factor of the second second second of the second second second second second a apprendiate to the advance to a second second of second sec	where, we save, it is called, way pay more the in item discourt points will be paid as follows: — new financing, or it required by handsr, buyer had at all the differ and Banksh of the forecasary document workey provided hands or by text. It is defined new financing, the Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing. The Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing.	B The macrimum points stated human, in in Martiness and the state of the state of the state and agrees to make application for state or an internet of the state of the state of the state state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has seen as and, of the state of the space, has	• Event new Convent • Event new Convent • Event 1/4 • Event will be to data and net loss then the s reduce the purchase	ult of this contract also price. Should price accordingly.
And of these barrelians, to use seems a margin DAN APPR CATEGOR IF Barrelians to obtain $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^2$ damys from ecceptance of the second barrelians of the second barrelians of approximation that second barrelians of the second barrelians to the second barrelians of the second barrelians of second barrelians of the second bar	where, we save, it is called, way pay more the in item discourt points will be paid as follows: — new financing, or it required by handsr, buyer had at all the differ and Banksh of the forecasary document workey provided hands or by text. It is defined new financing, the Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing. The Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing.	B The macrimum points stated human, in in Martiness and the state of the state of the state and agrees to make application for state or an internet of the state of the state of the state state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has seen as and, of the state of the space, has	• Event new Convent • Event new Convent • Event 1/4 • Event will be to data and net loss then the s reduce the purchase	ult of this contract also price. Should price accordingly.
I of this bornaction, top feed to an offeed and a second s	where, we save, it is called, way pay more the in item discourt points will be paid as follows: — new financing, or it required by handsr, buyer had at all the differ and Banksh of the forecasary document workey provided hands or by text. It is defined new financing, the Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing. The Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing.	B The macrimum points stated human, in in Martiness and the state of the state of the state and agrees to make application for state or an internet of the state of the state of the state state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has seen as and, of the state of the space, has	• Event new Convent • Event new Convent • Event 1/4 • Event will be to data and net loss then the s reduce the purchase	ult of this contract also price. Should price accordingly.
I of this bornaction, top feed to an offeed and a second s	where, we save, it is called, way pay more the in item discourt points will be paid as follows: — new financing, or it required by handsr, buyer had at all the differ and Banksh of the forecasary document workey provided hands or by text. It is defined new financing, the Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing. The Safer agreem to at the behavior new financing.	B The macrimum points stated human, in in Martiness and the state of the state of the state and agrees to make application for state or an internet of the state of the state of the state state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has state the support of the state of the space, has seen as and, of the state of the space, has	• Event new Convent • Event new Convent • Event 1/4 • Event will be to data and net loss then the s reduce the purchase	ult of this contract also price. Should price accordingly.
I of Mrs. burnaction, loan less ang/o An APPLICATION. P Bayers to color (a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b	where, we save, it is collabor, may pay more the of loan discourt points will be paid as follows: in meet francing, or if required bytander, layer hard need francing, or if required bytander, layer hard to be obtain new iterates, it is to solar account of the bettern new iterates, it is to solar account of the bettern new iterates, it is to solar account of the bettern new iterates, it is to solar account of the bettern new iterates, it is to solar account of the bettern new iterates, it is to be the solar of the observations and the power has be to be the solar is to be the new iterates iterates in the interactions it is contain any termination of the property are substantiately device not contained is property are substantiately device not contained to the account the Bayer lates to complete the put is to the owned the Bayer lates to complete the put is to the owned the Bayer lates to complete the put is to the owned the Bayer lates to complete the put is to the owned the Bayer lates to complete the put the solar owned the solar best account is to be account to be and escand the solar is account of the solar escand to the solar best account to best account the Bayer lates to complete the put to the solar best account to the solar account to the solar best account to the solar account to be the solar best account to the solar best ac	It the macrimum points stated herein. In the $\sqrt{2}$, 1 and agrees to make application for and lown- mitted for supported approximation for an amount the base appropriate approximation for an amount the base appropriate approximation for an amount the base of the Seller, at this option, may a support for the Seller of the Seller and apply of points for strategies from a seller the applies of the seller of the Seller Seller Seller the seller of the seller Seller Seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the sell	work new Convention work new Convention work of the set data work of the set data convention convention convention	A series of the contract and of the contract are price. Should price accordingly.
A of Brok bernarden, fuor here angly ANAPYLICATION. P Bayers to color (***) (**) (**	where, we save, it is collabor, may pay more the it is an discourt points will be paid as follows: as all the office and function bytander, layer hard as all the office and function of bytander, layer hard the office and function of the Safer agreem to al- te between we insurectly. The Safer agreem to al- te between we insurectly. The Safer agreem to al- te between we insurectly. The Safer agreem to al- te adopt the date to purchase by the second of all approximations contained by the second the adopt the date to purchase by the second is more than agreement of the second second hard and property are additionally device when the total property are additionally device when the total property are additional to constrained S. In the owner in the option. Demogene and as con-	It the macrimum points stated herein. In the $\sqrt{2}$, 1 and agrees to make application for and lown- mitted for supported approximation for an amount the base appropriate approximation for an amount the base appropriate approximation for an amount the base of the Seller, at this option, may a support for the Seller of the Seller and apply of points for strategies from a seller the applies of the seller of the Seller Seller Seller the seller of the seller Seller Seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller of the seller the seller of the sell	work new Convention work new Convention work of the set data work of the set data convention convention convention	A series of the contract and of the contract are price. Should price accordingly.
I of the bernardton, loss less angly and the semantic of the bernardton, loss less angly and the semantic of	where, we save, it is collars, may pay more the it is the discourt points will be paid as tolows: 	In the macrimum points stated human. In in 	Buryer Mill be In data Buryer Will be In data Buryer Will be In data Buryer Will be In data Software Mill be Software Mill Software Mill Software Mill Software Mill Software Mill Many described in	Additional Managing is a
of this barraction, toos tees ang/o MAPPE CATOR, I payant to activity this barraction, toos tees ang/o MAPPE CATOR, I have acceptance this barraction rear seek and seek approximation terms are and any seekates approximation terms are and any any particular company seek and any other status agrees to satisfy any any other status barraction to satisfy any other status barraction to satisfy any other status barraction to satisfy any other status barraction and the CRS DEFAULT AND RSK OF (OS barractions) to change and reaction that incurred in the space- ment of the improvements on satis incurred in the space-ments on satisfy that incurred in the space- ment of the improvements on satisfy that incurred in the space- tion the space-of- ment of the space-ments on satisfy the space-of- ment of the space-ments of the second that is period and the second that when a space-of- met of the space-of- the space-of- the space-of- the space-of- met of the space-of- the space-of- the space-of- met of the space-of- met	In the second points will be paid as follows: If the four discourt points will be paid as follows: In the formation of the second processing document as all the other and tartests in the Safet agreem to all the other new theoretics. The Safet agreem to all as all approximations contained therein. This the other new theoretics. The safet agreem to all the other to purchase the Safet agreem to all the other to the safet agreement of the safet agreement of the safet agreement with the theoretic agreement of (incepts) the doct to purchase the theoretic agreement of (incepts) are additionable developed or contential (incepts) are additionable developed or contential (incepts) are additionable developed or contential (incepts) are additionable developed or contential is to the owner the Bayes that to complete the purchase a content of the and eccourd eccourd agreement as and the addition of the agreement, but all the request of years (1) days the section closing date and/or part with modify in any way any right or obligation of the tother bayes the side of contents of the other additionable the too with modify in any way any right or obligation of the tother bayes the side of the other additionable the content to the asterious the safet agreement, but all the request of years (1) days the section costing date and/or part with modify in any way any right or obligations of the tother bayes the safet agreement of the other additionable the safet agreement of the other additionable the other additiona	It be maximum points stated hereat. It is $\sqrt{2}$. It as a substitution of an anom- state of the second gradient of the state of the second gradient of the s	sound new Convent articles arten articles arten arten articles articles	and of this contract also price. Should get a see price. Should price accordingly. If the accordingly. If a contract and a contract a contract of the second B billy the sufficiency of B billy the sufficiency of the contract of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the boost of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the boost of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the boost of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the boost of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the sufficiency of the boost of the sufficiency of the su
of this is sumaction, toom is ease motion of the second term of the second term of the second term of the Scherm many term any second term of the Scherm many term and term of the Scherm many term and term of the Scherm of Scher	where, we save, it is collably, may pay more the in four discourt points will be paid as holows: — I meethenicity of Pequide Dynader, buyer hat call this offer and Barrich of reconstry document the bodies and Barrich of the law. I be obtain near them in or by law. I be obtain near them any pay be required affer the solution of the approximate the solution in the obtain near them in the solution of the approximate the solution of the solution of the solution of the and socrat has the total to the is a solution of the and socrat data to the total is the done Extended hence you have the total total to the solution of the and socrat solution of the total is the observed of the Bayer laws to complete the pup . In the done Extended hence you have the total total total is assetted to the Bayer law of socrat solution of the solution of the and socrat solution and for the total Extended hence you have to complete the pup . Is the done to Lagrammert, but at the request of years (11) days the socraw related to the dollarity the so- constitutes the strate spreament but dollarity the so- constitutes the strate spreament but to the total to the socration of the any spreament but the dollarity the so- constitutes the strate spreament but dollarity the socrations and socrat and the total spreament but the dollarity the socrations and socrations and socrations and for pup the total to	It be maximum potents stated human, in in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa reducts the purchase (1	and of this contract and of this contract all of the contract al
of Inst burnardice, loan less angly MAPPL CATION. I Bayers the obtain "(")	where, we save, it is approximate, were pay more the in four discourt points will be paid as lollows: In meethnancing, or it requires thyrmeter, surver hard as all the offer and families of thy law, the object and families or by law, the object and families or by law, and approve the approximate the object of all approximate consistent theorem, not is accessed this disc to purchase the object the above cancel allow may pay be interaported discu- tions and the approximate consistent theorem, not is accessed this disc to purchase the object the above cancel allow may pay be interaported discus- tions and the approximate the object is accessed to the approximate the object is accessed to the approximate the object is accessed to the approximate the object is the object of the approximant, but at the request of years (1) days the second coulding disc and/or pur- rent the models, the approximant but collegible on the theory of the approximate the theory of the collegible on the theory of the the theory of the collegible on the theory of the the theory of the collegible on the theory of the the theory of the collegible on the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of theory of the theory of theory of the theory of theory of the theory of theory of theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of th	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Buryer will be in defa minor have Conserved minor has here the a	and of this contract and of this contract all of the contract al
of this burnardon, loss less angly of this burnardon, loss less angly on APPL (CATION, J Bayer the dotter the dotter the Solar and y work any excellence of the Solar and y work any excellence of the Solar and the Bayer test and the solar the Bayer test and the solar the Bayer and Solar Bayer and Sola	where we save a loss option, many pay more the in four discourt points will be paid as lotters: 	It be maximum points stated human. It is	Buryer man Consumation Buryer will be in defa min not less than the s min not less that the s	a of bits contract also price, Should price accordingly, a of other as herein a obligated to pay a of all of the sufficient a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bits a bityer herein a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bityer hereind a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bityer hereind anyth less incurred a of anythere included ments concerning hadloss included
of this burnardon, loss less angly on APPL (CATION, I Burnard the angly NAPPL (CATION, I Burnard the added to the second	where, we save , or this caption, marp pay more the in fram discourt polities will be paid as holows: 	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4.1}$ are apprect to make application for and house an apprect to make application for and house an apprect to make application for and house the appropriate approximation of a second the house and house the state is an amplitude and the house appropriate approximation A.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./P.M. A.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./P.M./	Buryer man Consumation Buryer will be in defa min not less than the s min not less that the s	a of bits contract also price, Should price accordingly, a of other as herein a obligated to pay a of all of the sufficient a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bits a bityer herein a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bityer hereind a obligated to pay anyth less incurred bityer hereind anyth less incurred a of anythere included ments concerning hadloss included
of this barnaction, team is an angly on the second team is a single of the second sec	where, we save, it is applied to be paid as tolows: In the family of the paid of the state of the second paid of the state is a first disc and tenders of the save save save save to at the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save and appreciate conditions contained thereads, and is accepts this differ to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders and the save save to at the save the slower tenders and the save save to at the save tenders and the approximate the save save to at the accepts this differ to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders to purchase by <u>save</u> to the save save save to any save to attempt to the tenders and the approximate the save to attempt to the save save save save save save to attempt to the save to the save save save save save save to attempt to the resonance of the approximate to the save save to attempt to the resonance of the approximate to the save save save to attempt to the the save to modely the save any right or obtaining the save the tenders the save to any way save save save save save save save the save to an obtain a to the save to any save save save save save save save to attempt to be the to the save to any save save to any save save to any save save to any save save save to any save save save to any save save to any save save to any save save save to any save save to any save save to any save save to an	It be macrimum potes stated human, in in	Buryer man Conservation Section 1 - 1/11 Section 2 - 1/111 Section 2 - 1/111 Section 2 - 1/111 Section 2 - 1/1	a deliver as herein a deliver
of Inst burnardice, loan less angly MAPPL CATION. I Buyers the obtain "1"(-1)" dry's from acceptance TRUSAL COMOTIONS. I be about TRUSAL COMOTIONS. I be about September in the same that another approaches the same that another. In Setter state agrees to satisfy any any CER ENFAALTON. Linksee the sates of the state boot another in sate of the state boot another in sate of the state boot and there is a state of the state boot and there and boot of the state boot and there and boot of the state boot as another made to which accessing to chars and there in the there of charse the in the state of the state of and there ago on the state of the state of a state on the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state on the state of the state of the state of the state on the state of the state of the state of the state on the state of the state of the state of the state of the state on the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of th	Invest, will Sawer, it is to obtain, warp pay more the investmenting, at its option, warp pay more the investmenting, at its request of provide a holows: 	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
of the summarized particle from the second to the second	where, we save, it is applied to be paid as tolows: In the family of the paid of the state of the second paid of the state is a first disc and tenders of the save save save save to at the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save the disc disc and tenders of the save save save save and appreciate conditions contained thereads, and is accepts this differ to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders and the save save to at the save the slower tenders and the save save to at the save tenders and the approximate the save save to at the accepts this differ to purchase by <u>save</u> the slower tenders to purchase by <u>save</u> to the save save save to any save to attempt to the tenders and the approximate the save to attempt to the save save save save save save to attempt to the save to the save save save save save save to attempt to the resonance of the approximate to the save save to attempt to the resonance of the approximate to the save save save to attempt to the the save to modely the save any right or obtaining the save the tenders the save to any way save save save save save save save the save to an obtain a to the save to any save save save save save save save to attempt to be the to the save to any save save to any save save to any save save to any save save save to any save save save to any save save to any save save to any save save save to any save save to any save save to any save save to an	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
And of Phile Summarching, Jonan Sees angly Sam APPE CARITOR - Planyers is a costen of the Sharmarchine - Planyers is a costen of the Carlo - The Baryers is a costen of the Carlo - The Sharmarchine - Sharmarchine or Softwarms agrees to satisfy any any weak SAL CORNENTIONS. Linking any any VER & CERNAL TICH. Linking the Sharmarchine of Softwarms agrees to satisfy any any VER & CERNAL TICH. Linking the Softwarm of Softwarms and Softwarms (Softwarm VER & CERNAL TICH. Linking the Softwarm LILEPS DEFAULTION. Linking the Softwarm VER & CERNAL TICH. Linking the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of Softwarm of Softwarms of the Marchine Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of Softwarms of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Networm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Networm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Networm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Networm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm of the Softwarm Networm	Invest, will Sawer, it is to obtain, warp pay more the investmenting, at its option, warp pay more the investmenting, at its request of provide a holows: 	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
and dress burnardisch, loon leves angly and APPLICATION. I Biogensis to acchem- try and any tool any observations in a second second second second second markstal. Consol tracket. Free Bayers as Select atta agrees to satisfy any any a Select atta agrees to satisfy any any a Select atta agrees to satisfy any any a Select atta agrees to satisfy any any any select atta agrees to satisfy any any any select atta agrees to satisfy any any any select atta agrees to satisfy any any select at	Invest, will Sawer, it is to obtain, warp pay more the investmenting, at its option, warp pay more the investmenting, at its request of provide a holows: 	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
1 of PPA Summarized, loss angly and APPE CATOR. I Bayers from according to the same a	where, the Same, of the option, many pay more the intervention of the option will be paid as tolows: I have financing of required bylander, wayse kurd and this delay and family and pay have required as the obtain option and the Safer agrees to all the obtain option and the Safer agrees to all property and safet the parchage by the safer agrees and the safet agrees the safet and agrees the safet to parchage by the safet agrees and the safet agrees and the safet the safet agrees agrees the safet agrees the safet agrees (1) days the sacrow closeling date and/or present to mode agreement, but at the request of the safet agrees agrees the safet agrees and agrees the agrees the safet agrees agrees the safet agrees and agrees (1) days the sacrow closeling date and/or present to moder balls and action converses to a safet agrees the safet agrees agrees and agrees areas the the order to provide a short agrees and safe avere the ment or provide parties agrees and safe avere the the order to provide a short converse do areas avere the the to the the safet agrees and safet agrees the safe the total the safet agrees and safet agrees the safe the total the safet agrees and safet agrees the safe the total conducted the safet agrees and safet agrees the total agrees and safet agrees and safet agrees the total agrees agrees agrees agrees agrees agrees the total agrees agree	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
I of lifes burnardisch, loon hees ang/o with APPL CATEORL P Bayers to action PL (2) Johns Row accessing to the Sales area to an any measure to some any some any existing any any some any some any existing any any some any some any some any some any some some any some any some any some any some some any some any some any some any some term of the some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any some any s	Invest, will Sawer, it is to obtain, warp pay more the in foun discourt politik will be paid as holows:	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Super new Conversion Super will be in defa and not less than the s minor less than the s	a deliver as herein a deliver
of IPPs brankers, loan tees angly with APPL CATEOL I Bayer the action of the Saler and with any excellence of the Saler and with any excellence of the Saler and any teet any excellence of the Saler and any teet any of any tees for any of the sale and the second of the sale and the angle of the Saler and any teet and the sale and tees and the same the angle and tees and the same the angle and term and the same the angle of the Saler and the same the angle of the same the same the same the term of the same the same the term of the same the same the term of the same of the same the term of the same of the same the term to the same term of the same the term to the same term of the same term the same term of the same term term of the same term of the same term of the same term o	Next, will Same, et its option, mer pay more her in ben discourt politik will be paid as tolows: — In new financing, will be paid as tolows: — In early provided herein or by lex. It early be the provided herein or by lex. It is a first for the provided bergen and so the solution of the and excours to an the above it is the early the Baye herein to comptee the pro- resence of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the agreement, but at the request of the text of the text of the agreement, but at the text of the text of the text of the agreement banks and for a text the text of the text of the agreement banks and for a text the text of text of the agreement banks and the text of the text of text of	It is maximum points stated human, is in $\sqrt{4}$.	Buyer man Convenient Buyer will be in defa min of lass invent into a convenient Convenient into a convenient Convenient into a convenient Convenient into a convenient mark and altrights of its mark, and altrights of its mark, and altrights of its mark, and altrights of the convenient convenient more a convenient the base of the convenient more and altrights of the convenient the convenient more a convenient the convenient more and altrights of the convenient the convenient more a convenient the convenient the convenient the convenient the convenient the convenient more a convenient more a convenient more and convenient parties The convenient DATE TC	a deliver as herein a deliver
I of the burnaristics, loop less angly and APPLICATION. J Bayers to action of the Second Second Second Second Second Physical Control of Second Second Second Physical Control TICHE, the Second Physical Control TICHE, the Second Physical Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second LEPS DEFAULT AND REX CF LOSS of the Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second	How off the Same, of the Option, many pay more the the source of the Same of the	B to maximum potes stated human, b is	Buryer man Convenient Buryer man be an data Buryer mile be data Convent, Seder wall Convert, Seder wall Convert, Seder wall Convert, Seder wall Convert, Seder wall Seder data 5 The servert, Seder wall Seder data 5 The servert, Seder wall Seder data 5 The servert, Seder wall Seder data 5 Seder data 5 The servert, Seder wall Seder data 5 Seder	Additional inclusion of the second meaning and to be a second mean of the second means concerning the second means the second means concerning the second means concerni
of IPA's Burnaction, Loss See angly MAPPL CATION. J Buyers the action of IPA's Burnaction, Loss See angly MAPPL CATION. J Buyers the action the Scherm and your any sector attack agrees to satisfy any any generative the two shares the action. I Bother shall be demand reached and LEPS DEFAULT AND RESC OF LOS Mark Shares and the action with the sector attack agrees to satisfy any any LEPS DEFAULT AND RESC OF LOS Mark Shares and the action with the sector attack agrees to satisfy any left of the sector and sector the Schermer and Schermer and Scher Mark Shares and Schermer and Scher Mark Shares and Schermer and Scher Mark Shares and Schermer and Scher Methy and Schermer and Scher Methy and Schermer and Schermer Methy and Schermer and Schermer Methy and Schermer and schermer Methy and Schermer and scher Methy and Schermer and Schermer Methy and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer Methy and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer and Schermer Methy and Scherer and Schermer a	Next, we save, if it's option, were pay more the interview of some set of required by index, buyer had to addite and access of required by index, buyer had a differ addies and access of recommend of accessing deal approxide handling. The Salar agrees to addie to be approxide handling to be been addied attempt deal approxide the addies and provide attempt deal approxide accessing the required attempt deal approxide accessing the required attempt to be addies and accessing the required attempt to be addies and accessing the required attempt to addies this addies to purchase by the addies attempt to a set addies that addies accessing this addies to purchase by the addies attempt and access to the addies to accessing the addies and access and access a same addies and access and access and are proved in the Bayer has to comptele the purchase the addies of the addies to comptele the purchase accessing the accessing the accessing the analysis of a same addies to the addies to comptele the purchase to access of this agreement, full at the request of the theory of the agreement, full at the request of a same to access a same and access and are to access the addies to access the addies to addies the whole a same to the addies agreed to addie the theory and access and wand access agreed to addie at addies to be the addies and access and are the theory is active to the the addies and a second to addie to the theory and access and are and a the theory may be conducted using a location and a second the theory and accession and accession accession accession theory may be conducted using a location and a second the theory and accession and accession accession accession theory may be conducted using a location accession accession theory and accession accession accession accession accession theory and accession acces	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{superative} & sup$	Additional inclusion of the second meaning and to be a second mean of the second means concerning the second means the second means concerning the second means concerni
And a first barraction, been seen angly And APPLICATION. I Baryeris to bother the construction of Baryeris to bother the construction of Baryeris to bother was been and served to satisfy any any RTR EPMA ITAR. Links to barraction as before sites agrees to satisfy any any RTR EPMA ITAR. Links to barraction to before sites to approximate the satisfy as before sites agrees to satisfy any any RTR EPMA ITAR. Links to barraction to before sites to approximate the satisfy as before sites agrees to satisfy any any RTR EPMA ITAR. Links to barraction to before sites to approximate the satisfy as before sites agrees to satisfy any the satisfy and the agrees to satisfy any the satisfy and the agrees of the satisfy the satisfy and the agrees of the satisfy and the treatment. We all the agrees to approximate the satisfy and the satisfy to chase satisf second the satisfy to chase satisf second and rest satisfy to chase satisfy second and rest satisfy the satisfy second and plan. INF AGREENT, This approximation in any party to coverage of and plan. INF AGREENT, the satisfy second and rest satisfy second and plan. INF AGREENT, the satisfy second and rest satisfy second and plan. INF AGREENT, the satisfy second and rest satisfy second and plan. INF AGREENT and and plan ACT. IF NOT SAUSING The Trudentian and and rest satisfy second and plan. INF AGREENT and and and plan INF AGREENT and and AND/OR ISEL BEFORE SIGNING	How we saw and the calculation way pay more the the ban discourd points will be paid as tolows: In meethemicing of required bylander, ways further the discourd points will be paid as tolows: meethemicing of required bylander, ways further the discourd points or by tex. the discourd benefit of recommended discourd the discourd benefit of the color of the discourd the discourd benefit of the discourd benefit of the the discourd benefit of the discourd benefit of the discourd the discourd benefit of the discourd benefit of the the discourd benefit of the discourd benefit of the discourd the high of the discourd courd benefit of the discourd the high of the discourd courd discourd benefits for put the high of the discourd courd discourd benefits of a the stratector, summark but discourd benefits and for the the tortextector, summark best discourd benefits and for the the tortextector, summark best discourd benefits and the the tortextector, summark best discourd benefits and the the tortextector, summark best discourd benefits and the the discourd benefits and the discourd benefits and the the discourd benefits an	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	Buryer man Convenience Buryer man be a defailed Buryer will be a defailed Buryer a defailed will be a defailed Buryer will be a defailed DATE DATE TIME	Additional including the sufficient in the second in the s
In all the second secon	Note: And Same, at the option, marp pay more the in team discourt politie will be paid as holows:	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \l$	Additional including the sufficient in the second in the s
of IPA's Sumaction, Loan Seas angly of IPA's Sumaction, Loan Seas angly IVAPVE CSTONL IP IMPArts to account IVASAL COMONTORS, IPAL Sease Series and segress to suffixing any any Series and segress to suffixing any any EAR STRAALTON, Linksan the Series I Series and Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and Series and IVA Series and IVA Series and Series and IVA Series and Series and IVA Series and IVA Serie	Note: And Same, at the option, marp pay more the in team discourt politie will be paid as holows:	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \label{eq:constraint} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \label{eq:constraint} \\ \l$	Additional Processing Lange Lan
In of these between the constraints of the second secon	where, we same, at its option, may pay more the in these discourt politik will be paid as holows; in the methodarcage and function of the paid as holows; in the object and function of the paid of the object and function of the paid of the object and the object	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	Buryer man Conservation Second man Consecond man Conservation Second man Conservation Second man	Additional including the sufficient in the second in the s
of IPs burnaction, loan teas angly of IPs burnaction, loan teas angly IVI APVE CATOR, I Burnartis to obtain IVI APVE CATOR, I Burnartis to obtain IVI APVE CATOR, I Burnartis to obtain IVI APVE CATOR, I Burnartis to obtain Series attained and the angle of the Series of the angle of the angle of the Series of the angle of the angle of the Series of the the angle of the Series of the angle of of the angle of the ser	How chick and portion will be paid as holows: In some thranching of Pequinari bytender, buyer harb and this adder and Barnshi di recorsany document the off provided hards or by fex. The off provided hards or by the results of the off provided hards del appreciated conditions contained hards off of accorden the address purchase by	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	Buryer will be in defa million//// Buryer will be in defa million//// million/// million//	Add provide and provide a second meaning is a second meaning is a second meaning is a second meaning concerning the second meaning concerning the second meaning concerning meaning concerning and second meaning and seco
of this barneticn, team ison angly in APPL CATEOR & Baryer the acceleration (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Home Charactery points will be paid as holows: In the factor of points will be paid as holows: In each manager, of hequinarity hydroder, buyer half the off provided herein or by lear. He off provided charter or provide herein to all be reached all off provided distribution of the and eccours is no the above of the appreximation of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and and or and eccours and account of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximation of the and eccours account and account of the and eccours and account of the appreximant. He indefined for Lightstation Damagers and ac count of the account of the account action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored distanting the of the appreximate action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored bandward and account action action of the action account to account the appreximate account action account account action account action actio	b The macrimum points stated herein, b is	Buyer man Conversion Survey man be in default million	A contract of the property and the property of the contract of the property of the contract of the property of
d Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Missian Control of Buyers to actual the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the Missian Control Totals. The set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the Missian Control Totals. The set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the se	Home Charactery points will be paid as holows: In the factor of points will be paid as holows: In each manager, of hequinarity hydroder, buyer half the off provided herein or by lear. He off provided charter or provide herein to all be reached all off provided distribution of the and eccours is no the above of the appreximation of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and and or and eccours and account of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximation of the and eccours account and account of the and eccours and account of the appreximant. He indefined for Lightstation Damagers and ac count of the account of the account action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored distanting the of the appreximate action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored bandward and account action action of the action account to account the appreximate account action account account action account action actio	It be macrimum potes stated human. It is	Buryer man Conservation Second man Consecond man Conservation Second man Conservation Second man	A SC - CPM, SHOULD STORE - SHOULD ST
d Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Miss Barmaction, Ioun less angly A Missian Control of Buyers to actual the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the Missian Control Totals. The set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the Missian Control Totals. The set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the se	Home Charactery points will be paid as holows: In the factor of points will be paid as holows: In each manager, of hequinarity hydroder, buyer half the off provided herein or by lear. He off provided charter or provide herein to all be reached all off provided distribution of the and eccours is no the above of the appreximation of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and account of the and eccours and and or and eccours and account of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximant, full at the request of the appreximation of the and eccours account and account of the and eccours and account of the appreximant. He indefined for Lightstation Damagers and ac count of the account of the account action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored distanting the of the appreximate action commerced to and a proved is any lead ecclored bandward and account action action of the action account to account the appreximate account action account account action account action actio	b The macrimum points stated herein, b is	$\begin{array}{c} subset needs Conserved in a set of needs Conserved in an of Conserved in an of the set of defails of the set of $	A contract of the property of the contract of the property of the contract of the property of

140/94

RA000482 ARA00127



11 11

111 GILBERT P. HYATT P. O. BOX 60038 LAS YEGAS, NY 89160 Dec 12 19/ ¥-7001/3538 A Tenada PAT TO THE - \$5.000.00 100 CAUFORNIA FEDERAL BANK 11101111 177 5144577

12/21/41

THE A GAVE CHECK WAS PREVIOUSLY USED BY BUYER ON ANOTHOR OFFIC AND WILL BE REPLACED by A CHECK MADE PRYMALE TO CHECAGO TITLE UPM) ADCEPTIALE OF THIS OFFIC.

0000128

CONFIDENTIAL

RA000483

ARA00128



STANDARD PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND EARNEST MONEY RECEIPT

Received Iron GILBERT P. HYATT		
THE SUM OF SEVEN THOUSAND, FIVE HUNDRED AND 00/100	Dellars (\$7,500.00	• •
in the form of cash O. personal check D. other (capilain) PAYABLE TO NEVADA) ·
the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by WALT SHOEMAKER, RE/MAX VIP		-
SETTING MONTY ON THE TOTAL PRICE OF TWO HUNDRED, ONE THOUSAND AND O	0/100 DOLLARS	-
s 201.000.00 DOLLARS for the purchase of property located in the City of LAS	VEGAS Country CLARK	-
described asIU PHEASANT RIDGE, LEGAL: 23/1 QUAIL SUMMIT.		•
1. SUBJECT TO BUYER OBTAINING A MORTGAGE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$10 MORTGAGE.	60,800.00 FROM FIRST CALIFORN	IA .
2. BUYER TO MAKE DOWN PAYMENT OF FORTY THOUSAND, TWO HUNDRED		-
INCLUDING ABOVE EARNEST DEPOSIT.	AND 007100 DOLLARS (\$40,200.)	20),
3. BUYER TO APPROVE CONR'S AND CONDONINIUM ASSOCIATION WITHIN SELLER TO MARRANT AND DISCONDONINIUM ASSOCIATION WITHIN	N FIVE (S) DAVE OF THESE POLY	•
SELLER TO WARRANT ALL ELECTRICAL, PLUHBING, HEATING AND AN	IR CONDITIONING AND TOOL	РТ.
HEATER TO BE IN GOOD WORKING CONDITION AS EVIDENCED BY A	WALK-THROUCH INCRETION	6
CLOSE OF ESCROW.	AND THROUGH INSPECTION AT	•
LENDER TO PROVIDE HELL CAN HER OWN NORMAL CLOSING COSTS AS	CUSTOMARY TH THE SECOND	
	AYS.	ADA.
BUTER AND SELLER TO SPLIT POINTS.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• .
BISGUER TO PAY FOR FORTAIN HOMEAND STRUCTS	URAL ENSPECTIONS	
B)SELLER TO PAY ALL REPAIRS		
The above purchase price includes the following personal property free of encombrance.		
 In the event the BUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provident the tails cared, such as a second the tails cared in one of the second as consideration for the execution of this agreement. 	ded, or, if the improvements on usid property are BUYER and SELLER shall have no further effect ministion of tille to the ying described property, , fire, a house, you're described property, scribed herein may at the option of the SELLER be	
 In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provide the tatal cared, monthly easily retained as consideration for the execution of this agreement. Premiums on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUYER), property taxes, sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to	the a bore the shore described property.	•
In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as berein provided the total sould be total events of the second of	the a bore the shore described property.	•
 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the total source of the provided the total source of the second money description of this agreement. Premium on insurance policies (acceptable to the UUVER), property taxes, acver meefeca, rents and in 20 day month to Special assessments, If any, that are not delinquent, that be assumed by the buyer Conso of energy (COE) shall be on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and data of passes 	the shall be CLOSE OF ESCROW	•
 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the task consideration for the execution of this agreement. It reminum an insurance policies (acceptable to the UUVER), property taxes, sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to	the short of the back of the store of the SELLER be better at shall be provided in sacrow on the SELLER be interest shall be provided in sacrow on the basis of a subon shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROW</u>	•
 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provide the task or and subsection of the execution of this agreement. Premiums on insurance policies (acceptable to the UUVER), property taxes, sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to exact a sever use foca. This shall be used on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of pusses Trite shall be vested in TO DE DE TEREMENTATION of the sever and date of pusses Unless the SELLERS acceptance of this offer to purchase in communicated in the andersigned BU ECEMBER 15 19 91 the offer the the document rents of the offer the factor of the second of the use of the source of th	The shall be <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROV C_{1} C_{1} C_{2} C_{2} C_{3} C_{3	•
 2 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of the fail of the second memory of the s	The shall be <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW $C_{1} O_{1} O_{2} O_{2} O_{2}$ restrict that is provided in excess on the basis of a mism shall be <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW $C_{1} O_{2} O_{2} O_{2}$ TTER by <u>4:30 p.m.</u> and be retarged to the DUTER herein on demand. The DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or all the LUTER or conditions of this near or all	•
 In the event the EUVYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the task of the bar of the event the execution of this agreement. Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the EUVYEII, property taxes, sever use foca, rents and in 20 day month to	The start of the line shows described property. $L_{10}^{10} \sim C_1$ ($M_{10}^{10} \sim C_2$), $M_{10}^{10} \sim C_2$ ($M_{10}^{10} \sim C_2$), $M_{10}^{10} \sim C_2$ interest shall be provided in excrew on the basis of a mission shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROW</u> <u>FORP</u> <u>C.O.F.</u> TTER by <u>4:30 p.m.</u> p.m. all be retarged to the DUTER herein on domand. The BUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the terms or conditions of this agreement or any TB) hereto. FR a.d. withf	•
 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as beroin provided the that of the the set of the theory of theory of the theory of the theory of theo	The shall be <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF ESCROW <u>CLOSE</u> OF <u>ESCROW</u> <u>CLOSE</u> OF <u>ESCROW</u>	
 2 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the UNVEII fails to consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the UUTER), property taxes, according to the second money design and his agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the UUTER), property taxes, according to the second money design and his agreement. 4. Special assessments, If any, that are not delinqueed, that be assumed by the buyer. 5. Cone of exerce (COE) shall be on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and data of possess. 6. Title shall be vested in TO be De Test Method (Fig. 10) By By Her Boyer. 8. The shall be vested in TO be De the offer to prochase in commendated to the andersigned BU ECEMBER 15 19 91 this offer to prochase in commendated to the andersigned BU ECEMBER 15 19 91 this offer to prochase in commendated to the andersigned BU. 8. The undersigned AGENT(s) shall not be held responsible by the parties here to share a prover to charge any of eterms of this parthase agreement. BUYER shall be the statisting the write consect in consection herewith without first obtaining the write consect of the BU. 8. The undersigned SELLER agrees to pay SEE ABOVE 8. The to be constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and no verbal statements make by writing a the tore rank the first approximation of the part of the Buyer BU. 	The start of the set of the second s	
 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as beroin provided the that of the the set of the theory of theory of the theory of the theory of theo	The start of the set of the second s	
 2 In the event the BUVE'II fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the that a consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, according to the buyer	The provest of the second sec	
 2. In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of 20 to 100 the entry interface as consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3. Premiums an insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to 100 the entry of the BUTER). property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to 100 the entry of the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to 100 the entry of the BUTER). property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to 100 the entry of the BUTER) property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to 100 the entry of the BUTER and the SELLERS acceptance of this offer the processes in communication in the more the offer shall be devened revoked and the above entry of the terms of this partness agreement. BUTER and SELLER that have as power to change any of acceptance are of the terms of this partness agreement. BUTER and SELLER that have as power to change any of acceptance are of the terms of this partness agreement betware the parties and on verbal statements and the ACENT BUTER in the cent either party shall prevail in any train commenced to enforce the BUTER and SELLER that states the entire agreement betware the parties and on verbal statement shall be writing. In the cent either party shall prevail the unit state of commenced to enforce the started in any of the basis states. Selling Agent <u>RE/MAX VIP, REALTORS</u> Prone <u>791-5555</u> Address <u>1601 E</u>. "Lamingo Rd., Ste. 1 City La Incosperation with <u>ILEDI SIMONS, AMERTCANA</u> 	The provest of the second sec	
 2 In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the that a consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefeca, rents and in 20 day month to	The provest of the second sec	
 2 In the event the BUTE's fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the balance of the balance of the second money of the second	All the law of the second seco	
 2 In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of a bill of cf. for retained as consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum an insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefeca, rents and in 20 day month to	All the law of the second seco	
 2 In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the that a consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3) Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, across machines, rents and in 20 day month to the the agreement. 4) Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, across machines, rents and in 20 day month to the the on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of pusses. 5) Conse of carrow (COE) shall be on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of pusses. 6) This shall be vested in TO DE DE DETERS METALED TO BY BUYEE (SET). 10) Unless the SELLERS acceptance of this offer to purchase in communication to another shall be decreaded and the above cancel, money shall be decreaded and the above cancel, money shall be decreaded and the above cancel another shall be decreaded and the above cancel above the data above cancel above above the data above cancel above above the data above cancel above the data abov	All the law of the second seco	
 2 In the event the BUYEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of a bill of cf. for retained as consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum an insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefeca, rents and in 20 day month to exact any constraints of any chart are not delinqueed, shall be assumed by the buyer	All the law of the second seco	
2 In the event the BUYE!! fails to complete the purchase as beroin provider the task of the bard of the task of the task of the provider the task of the task of the task of the provider the task of the task of the provider the task of the provider the task of the provider task of the terms of the provider task of the provide task of the provide task of the pro	The barries of the barries of the SELLER be interest that be provided in excreme on the basis of a mism shall be provided in excreme on the basis of a mism shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROM</u> FORE $C_1 O_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ TTER by <u>4:10 p.m</u> pm and be retarged to the DUTER herein on domand. It be BUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the BUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the BUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the BUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the BUTER or Configurations of this agreement or any T(b) hereto. FF and with the complete the comply with any or all the butter of configurations of this agreement or any the butter of configurations of this agreement of any the butter of complete the comply with any or all the butter of complete the second of the agreement of any the butter of the complete the complete the complete and perform the complete the complete the complete S VEGAS State NV 89109 mid agreed to purchase said property on the terms agreed hore. Market (202) 7 75 - 766 8	
2 In the event the BUTEH fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of an only of the task of the task of the provider the task of the task of the provider the task of the task of the provider task of the task of the task of the provider task of the task of task of the task of the task of the task of task of the task of task	All the low of the second seco	
2 In the event the BUTE II fails to complete the purchase as beroin provider that a consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, across machine, rents and it and the agreement. 4 Special assessments, If any, that are not definquent, that be assumed by the buyer 5 Conse of carrow (COE) shall be on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of peaces 6 Title shall be vested in TO DE DE TOR M(TADE) By BUYER IS YER IS 27 7 Unless the SELLERS acceptable to this offer to purchase in communication the adversigned BU 8 For shall be overted in TO DE DE CORE (SMCTA) BY INSU YER IS 27 9 9 11 and shall be down or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of peaces 6 Title shall be vested in TO DE DE CORE (SMCTA) BY INSU YER IS 27 BY 7 Unless the SELLERS acceptance of this offer to purchase in communication the adversigned BU 8 The undersigned AGENT(5) shall not be held responde created and the above canced money above to chance by either adversigned and the adverse agreement. BUTER and SELLER shall have no power to chance agreement and the adverse agreement. BUTE and SELLER shall have any power to chance by either action commenced to convert this agreement and the green for a shall be responded without first ablances and as verbal ataloncets made by writing. In the event either party shall be the sector and conditions attorney be actent the agreement by the fagreement in the agreement b	An ILDO of LUE to be above described property. Life a book of the start of the SELLER be interest shall be provided in second on the basis of a salan shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROM</u> FORE by <u>C.O.F.</u> THER by <u>C.O.F.</u> The best of the DUTER berein on demand. It be DUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the DUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the bUTER or SELLER to comply with any or all the bout the second discount points at C.O.E. any party are a part for coloristic incorporated in the bout the second discount for the second discourse of the second discourse incorporated in such individual for respective heirs, executors and <u>C. Ne of all be purchase as State</u> <u>NE va Ca</u> <u>S VEGAS</u> <u>State</u> <u>NE va Ca</u> <u>State</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u>MANE</u> <u></u>	
2 In the event the BUYE!! fails to complete the purchase as herein provider the task of the fail of the fails of the second on this agreement. 3 Premisum an insufance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property taxes, sever meefecta, rents and in 20 day month to	Annual of Life is the shore described property. This a function of the SELLER be interest shall be provided in secrew on the basis of a mism shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROW</u> <u>COLC</u> <u>C.O.E.</u> TTER by <u>4:10 p.m.</u> pm. and be retarged to the DUTER herein on demand. If the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the bruter conditions of this agreement or any Tab hereto. FF and with the top of the agreement or any the but the second time to this agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the second of the second the but the second time to the second of the second the south the second time to the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the seco	
2 In the event the EUTYEII fails to complete the purchase as beroin provider that a consideration for the exercition of this agreement. 3 Premisum on insurance policies (acceptable to the EUTER), property taxes, across machine, rents and in 20 day month to the exercition of this agreement. 4 Special assessments, If any, that are not definquent, that be assumed by the buyer 5 Conse of corrow (COE) shall be on or before FEBRUARY 29 19 92 and date of peaces 6 Title shall be vested in TO DE DE TEREWARY 29 19 92 and date of peaces 7 Unless the SELLERS acceptance of this offer to proceed a commonicated to the anternigned BU ECEMBER 15 19 91 this offer shall be downed revealed and the above consent money shall be to remember to the proceed to the affer to proceed and the above consent money shall be to mark the section of the proceed to the affer to proceed and the above consent of the AGENT(5) shall not be held responsible by the partice here to gathere by either and this purchase agreement. BUTER and SELLER shall have consent of the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to reveal and the above consent of the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible by the partice consent of the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to reveal and the above consent of the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to reveal and the access the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to the series and on verbal statement in the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to reveal a statement of the AGENT (5) shall not be held responsible to reveal and the access and the access agreement. BUTER and SELLER shall have any power to change any dithe to accesthe actin a statement of the agreement and the access	Annual of Life is the shore described property. This a function of the SELLER be interest shall be provided in secrew on the basis of a mism shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROW</u> <u>COLC</u> <u>C.O.E.</u> TTER by <u>4:10 p.m.</u> pm. and be retarged to the DUTER herein on demand. If the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the bruter conditions of this agreement or any Tab hereto. FF and with the top of the agreement or any the but the second time to this agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the second of the second the but the second time to the second of the second the south the second time to the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the seco	
2 In the event the EUTYEN fails to complete the purchase as berrin provider the task of the task of the fails of the securities of the secure securities of the securities of the secu	Annual of Life is the shore described property. This a function of the SELLER be interest shall be provided in secrew on the basis of a mism shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROW</u> <u>COLC</u> <u>C.O.E.</u> TTER by <u>4:10 p.m.</u> pm. and be retarged to the DUTER herein on demand. If the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or sill the bruter conditions of this agreement or any Tab hereto. FF and with the top of the agreement or any the but the second time to this agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the agreement of any the but the second time to the second of the second the but the second time to the second of the second the south the second time to the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the second of the second of the second of the second the second of the seco	
2 In the event the UUYEII fails to complete the purchase as beroin provider that the dist of the fails of the second on the agreement. 3 Premiums an insurance policies (acceptable to the UUTER), property taxes, server mechas, rents and it do agreement. 4 Special assessments. If any, that are not delinqueed, that be assumed by the buyer 5 Conse of corrow (COE) shall be an or before FEBRUARY 29 19 32 and data of presex 6 The shall be vested in TO DE DE TOR MATA 120 19 39 30 and data of presex 7 Unless the SELLERS acceptance of this offer to prochase is communicated to the anternigned DU 8 The undersigned AGENT(s) shall not be held responsible by the parties here to gather thy either offer shall be decured revoked and the above emerat money shall be the torms of this purchase agreement. BUTER and SELLER shall have compared to relative shall be the torms of this purchase agreement. BUTER and SELLER shall have compared to relate the later of the later of the agreement in connection herewith without first ablaining the written consent of the later and y data of the later of the agreement between the torm of the agreement and the second in connection herewith without first ablaining the written consent of the later of the agreement between the torm of the correct in the ratio shall be reading and the parties and on vorbal statement and by arrive and the agreement and the agreement between the torm of the agreement and the agreement is agreement. BUTER and SELLER and the correct and the agreement and by writing inspected the above the states and on vorbal statement and by arrive and the agreement and by agreement and the agreement anot actore the agreement anot actor a tother agreement	ALL ADDA ADDA ADDA ADDA ADDA ADDA ADDA	
2 In the event the UUVEII fails to complete the purchase as herein provided the that a consideration for the execution of this agreement. 3 Premisum as insurance policies (acceptable to the UUVER), property taxes, server meefects, rents and in 20 day meants to any that are not definquent, shall be assumed by the buyer	An internet in the second described property. An internet is an an at the option of the SELLER be interest shall be provated in second on the basis of a mine shall be <u>CLOSE OF ESCROM</u> <u>FORP</u> <u>C.O.5</u> . TTER by <u>4:30 p.m.</u> pm. and be retarned to the DUTER herein on demand. The DUTER or SELLER is comply with any or all the BUTER or SELLER is comply with any or all the total are conditione of this agreement or any Tab hereto. <u>For a critical and the second total agreement or any</u> Tab hereto. <u>Sor party are a pathereof unless incorporated in</u> <u>indigetion of the second total agreement or any</u> <u>10 hereto.</u> <u>10 heret</u>	

RA000484 ARA00129

-

GILBERT P. HYATT P. O. BOX 60028 LAS VEGAS, NV 89160 113 Pe 17 _1921 16-7001/3220 PAY TO THE ORDER OF \$7,500.00 100 LLARS A FEDERAL BANK ORM 7 ز 4 1322070019:0113 177 5144577#

ط.

ant ner

.

CONFIDENTIAL

0000130

چن ، جن ا	AURADE AUREEMENTA		0 21	,91
C.	EFT P HUAT		<u>سیسی نا</u>	. 25 Bulgar(1).
ACCINED FROM 1776 12			100mm) 12	· o:)
میں ایک	en man or of Ocur Hangers Com	A Decare and Doom	• epicen	
The provide states and an an an an and an an	C Brower's True Account 2 Eac	- Al the same first	1 MAD 7- 58 4	797 107 3.
	568 000 00 0000 00 0000 000 71/032			
10 10 10 10 27	SS S. MITTE LINE			located in the
CAR LASTEARS	_ come (1/1)	/ Sate of	NEVICIT	<u>_</u>
FCR THE TOTAL SUM OF S TUTA A	1 Tring San	Las a loon.	1000001) B 256,	<u>(</u>)
4. Sec EAGAIST THE		Toward function for	Sice.	
1 SASON Ry Brund, 11	V Combon Trans the	to defension flit -	L MOSE.	
	Per unia Bund in the	A LCORE FILST I MITE	alle Buser	Tet &
Cost of All		- INCLINATS AL FUNDET	antes: x fool-	
	·····			
	<u> </u>	Third to be part to		
The firmen	1 to to manual	ne 2011-2 FFE		wrec
Transferrant T	a - F. J FAIR ERST D	Stor FIET. Ain		Alered.
- 741 1=56	CI SI bo. Constructor	110- Ecure - Apore	in at Treek	That have
Esclar_ 100	The start of the second st	2.		
SELATIONED THIS MAL			TEVE TO ÉLEVIT	
AGTH PAATIES FURTHER AGREE TO 1 CLOSING AND POSSESSION, Cone AGTIMUM PORT IN IN FEAT 24, 2004 (2)	of encrow shall be on an owners	LA SALA & STRATE AL		1 18 2 5 5 5 5 1 S
2 VISTING TO SUPPORT TO 1	. Adarsking to BC. H	INT IN ESCIPT	(500-44/24) 54	ikus and Tenency).
		to the loss the mondade namence y	Manus and a Change	
S COLO CI ENCON ANAL	ts, no-reg 1244 net by the Super State Last Stations In-	ALL STREAM & LOCAL & TRANS OF THE MACH		
	and the second section state is especially to			· · · ·
· Chapto Tine		איין אינגעראיין אוראיר איין איינע איינערא איי	Manufacture and a second	ng into the etc/out
Cost of eaching with mean since and nec	NETTA SHOW THE DR COMMAND THE RE	ale tares shall be paid by the parties at	10000: <u>At cr</u>	100
	57755-57	rent of way, restrictions, coverants, an	a conciona pliecore, unive	I List of Others The
		and by a policy of Mile Insurance Authors	nov (HICKGO T.	
En Paperes, and Salter agree to to	SUCHER IN ALLOWING INCLUDING IN THE SUBJECT IN THE SUBJECT IN THE SUBJECT INCLUDING IN THE SUBJECT INTER SUBJECT IN THE SUBJECT IN THE SUBJECT IN THE SUBJEC	לים אפררץ. א דש השטרייהבוץ פאנכטנריו בטורע גל פכורי	e el the close of escion fer se	
NOT IS 13COUS	the Salar, it his ashor, may bey mare	then the must point plant mean	, In the oversil new Conversion	
	a versament es présent de seu et present L'uni estant d'ants es pe seu et present		signame ple	
1.1C Lange born according	a of this offer and "prices of receively,0000"	weightigs for securing said loan. Otherwi	us, the Buyer will be in color	al of this contract
- 3 C.4 Server 1834 Free T.A. Supplying	un genter al un statement to the paper aftered to un genter allen ann gutteret to begin aftered a anneak bitteret to same to the paper		server and part based shall the sale	in a select & second
"Ty Sefer \$10 12110 12110 12110 1	a di suprisi di un Giore conterne Contes, fi	an and The former !	River_a_	k
1	activities into personale by	AH () 12/26		<u>e</u> i
	Exceptions of the periods by <u><u><u></u></u></u>		i reformed at the Salver Acts to L. In 1965 events, Server will be	College La herren College d'la Ley
ביאראין של איז	וואר איז	Store Selectored property _Sont T	I CJEET TO THE HEAVY	am area tocumed 3
PERSONAL PROVIDENCE OF THE SAME	Servicement for Utoriation Comages and dat		rennent, and antipita is the	Experimentary Signal
מיש איי איינע אניגע איינע א געראין איינע געראיי געראייע איינע איינע געראיי געראיינע געראיינע איינע אי	r, bernement for Universitien Conserves and an enserves of this apprentient, but it into require y one (31) Cays the easing case end/of the	possession case for the purpose of con	Shing any occurrentation	ar accurring - inj 1217
15. CRANGES Buy of and Solar Para and per		e of The Prudencial Halemark Really D' 67 6 - Hillen Consent of This Prudential Hal	w other real estate specific, in	chuding the nort
18 STAGAL AGALEMENTS - NO MEREDAGE	Configuration and a subset of the second s	second and all an anticity manorande	y any party are a part nered. L'espresaritations, or statem	and concerning
17 1FULL FES IN THE WART SCHEN Darty SH	an E-rade to any including commerced in the	leves the streement, he shall be unified	ه الإيدة ال 100 يونان والقون الد واز	ctions including
IL BUIDING AGREEMENT. This agreement	Shell be throng your of partice, restantion	Nent, exection, basigns and/or success a that the lemma of lines sale may be readed	Lors in Morell, and to the Las Vegas invitore	Laing Service,
TO HOME WARRANTY SHOULD LTY SATY PO	1910 Indineti bi Sende e milaritari unti di ve		- · · · ·	
P De Consego d'und plan 21 ACINCY DISCLOSURE, De Provenius C Sub-eperi d'Un Serer C Buyes/ 8 activit de General e Acent in the brand	The should be and sure response	= to compensating the Duyer's Agent +	- be by sectores	
A ASHT IS & BANT'S ACTA IN THE FANT	ons may be conducted using a further man	une une mit be considered onderg of	the partice	
WHEN PROPERLY COM-	The undersigned Experiments formed and all the li-	مربعه میوهد ده کمه پاستندی است. مربعه مده که بهت و در کمه و مربعه در میشند.	مدر باری سرو ورست او مرکز . در اور بر او مرکز او مرکز او مرکز او م	مو بعسهمو کند د
PLETED THIS IS A SINDING	11 11 July 11	/	_ /	. 41
CONTRACT. IF NOT FULLY UNDERSTOOD, SEEK COMPE-	Willey V. W. M.	<u> </u>	(2/22	<u>1971</u>
TENT LEGAL AID AND/OR	BUYER		DATE 10:00 :	- AM
COUNSEL BEFORE SIGNING.	BUYER		TIME	
	و وحوي ما مد بدونها مد مل وي بينوني سال	ана 12 раскала инд арман 12 год Род Крано 14 рај и на Арманија, Јанин крупт 12 рау 74 14 рај - Пореко Средни и рау 74		
The Prudential 🅢	مر کار (()) محمد و بر استخبر بر ومعا مور به - به ما کا میک می دها مورد سونو کا اسا دها ا		سهب ہے جب سپرے پو است سے س	
Hallmark Really				12
An independently owned and	SELLER		DATE	AM
Real Estate Allheirs, MC			:	PU
	SELLEP ATT		Prime 2	51-1010
	AUTHORIZED AGENT . THE PRI	DENTIAL HALLMARK REALTY		PHONE
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·· ··· ·· ··	• • • •

RA000486 ARA00131

CONFIDENTIAL

000013

Ś

7



۰. ۵

CHASE AGREEMENT AND EARNEST MONEY HECEIPT

MECENED FACE	Der Diller		DEC. 2/	//
	LIXEL + HUATT			. 45 Buye
receipt of which is hereby acting				600.00;
באוונינער אל טי אוונטא וואישא נא	HERDING IN THIS FORM OF CLUB. THE PERSON INTO C BEOCHER'S THUS ACCOUNTS	Line (122)) ar anna, anglus 1771-1866	
UPON THE ACCEPTANCE OF TH		Second and the property second and	TARGE MAD THE 25	60210
	431/032			19 32 701
Cons LAS Jeans	235 5 MUTR Lan	<i>۲</i>		Distance in
FCA :- L-AS VERAS	Course CL	KL .	Sand NEVALIT	
neren site retenut to as me purch	the THEFT THE THE	ano	(004ers) (5 7	50,000 00
1.S.C. Excust.	TUNEY DERINIT to ACCU	We had place in Solitows:		
E Star Ry Bruger	the set is a set of the set	to be defasition of		
		te he defasition pi	ut to scast.	
	to CRE with Brund 14.7	NA Land "Ficst	(Lauter Home (Sara	and and a
CAST OF	- Ar		milling & Pour	ANY IN A
- THIS 100-1				
Tit film	to to contract upon	BULFR, GEDERAL	CETTING + FORMUN	13 A Longe
- Turi an	a Korne Sava Hanc In	section to be pro		
		T Up Burger	EFAcin of A Chy	-Acaren
- 743 050		INSCOUNT FLER	Ada THE HEADING	Cours these
FSCIE	to elso f. bo. Constructor	T Upr Bay of	POPOrin of Trad	Retor And
SEENTACHED THE	e. Duran han			
BOTH PARTIES FURTHER ADREE	the second secon	Dura: hoosenne	W LEERIE TO FACE	at they inch
After Fundini Batter In an all and a	The of escilow shall be on or before . TA	D. 491 mar	. A	
2 VESTING THE TOP VEHICLE T	as of a secon by all a series Sould Shart Start	to by Laparate advestment.		01 61 251
A FAA MORTGASE WESTBANES OF	mi laure, internet, and internet laure ten	HIRT IN ESCIPTION	Snow Marta	Status and Tenancys
S COLO OF SKIP ALL	"E salita (MID) Should be subject property he	the an Prixteen, the Mongage man	Contract in the second of the	
	A DIA DIA DIA DIA DIA DIA DIA DIA DIA DI	There is the state of the second seco		
a durice Time	A request of the sacro- score that be expectitually a request of the sacro- score. The bayer and recessary recording last and document and contents of the sacro-			
Cost of maritime tills officiants and	" Indust of the store scant the Boyer and recessary recording last and document and	To Seler that execute enclose in	and and instruments shart i in an and instruments shart in	stied highly etcrow
1 Sector hat is	ANNON ED	a manual states to an in the bend of such	realities as follows: At prove y	the ster
Concerne of the conveye	ATTENDED IN SALAMENTS, SACUTORINOS, 200	ng Ages of way, restrictions, cover	with and conditions of record, up	Mis sister of
	12 4204ALA, COORT AND DISTRICTION OF A 1 4 10		Autor T	·
	The university and particle building and	second by a prostly of size substrated		
4 DISCOLUT POINTS, AND BAYER	The unspectational particul territy accounted	in the policy.	Representation of the only	N & LA-1675 21 714
A DISCOLUT POINTS, AND BUYER IN A DISCOLUT POINTS, AND BUYER IN AND IS OSCORD ////	The university and particle handly accounting includ the Broker's names has any distancy to contain have Fick or VA Associated, Senter shall have been find and the college may married	to the party of the statements of a in the party. (phy the name of the statement party in the party.)	allective of the close of escrow fo	H at Zona/aga 21 21a
A DISCOUNT POINTS, AND BUYER IN A DISCOUNT POINTS, AND BUYER IN AN IS SECOND ////	H ADDATUS, DODD AND PLANDING THAT, DATA The UNDERSCHART DATES THAT BE AS HAD The UNDERSCHART DATES THAT AN ADDATES THAT THE BOTHER THAT AND ADDATES TO OTHER THAT ADDATES TO OTHER THAT ADDATES THAT ADDATES	In the two of a pointy of the starting of a in the second while drawns up on in the pointy. (piry the near-way discourt points for the near-way discourt points (second by a second by the second by the points of the second by th	a menorial dia anti in a custo of the aver official difference of escrewing difference in the close of escrewing difference in the svent new Consect	A at Low / age army I lecuring laid Lown World Rhending is a
Automatical Englandhar agrice an a DiSCOLINE ROMATS, Although an har to opcool <u>////</u> Somes. (en of the mangachon, loan fees an				At at Course and Loan though and Loan though Brunning is a
 The scene of grants again the scene of the scene scene of the scene of the scene of the scene of the scene of	fant now financing, or it required sylandst, buy	with the active to make accelerate		
Contraction Differences of a second s	and the second provide the based as tone plant new Triancing, or a required sylander, buy ance of this other and kumme at any solution	whether agrees to make applicate	nter seid loan within	<u>د</u>
Reg Adaptive Device Agree B Ods COVIN 200475, since Bayre B And to access <u>////</u> ponst. (enf of this ministed the, beam faces an i Covinsible, Conf. Chi, i dayret tab oo <u>/////</u> i days from access <u>///////////////////////////////////</u>	2. If we change party we perpendicular part new financing, or a required systematic, buy ance of this offer and harresh all necessary be remady provided hearts as system. Arr 11 is obtain new financing, the Same agree. Arr 11 is obtain new financing, the Same agree.	er Raffer Agrees to make applicatio emerication for securing sold loops, to be obtain the appropriate agrees Whether the caph or the Security of	Consumes, the Buyer will be in de	<u>د</u>
Reg Advanced Total Software agrees a Constructure Total Total Software agrees and to social Construction, Some Fores an I Construction Construction, Some Fores and I Construction Total Software Software (1) Total Software Software Software Software (1) Total Software Software Software Software Software Software (1) Total Software Softwar	And the standard purchase we be passed as both from new financing, of it required systemase, buy ance of this offer and Aversa all Aversa any oco lessensedy provided hereins as system. Are the obtain new financing, but Samer agrees it, the Sayaw at 10 dollan any bay the required (and all Appraisad conditions contained prevale	er Eufler agrees to make applicatio emeridian for securing sold look to be contain the appropriate reports Merence to capity or the Safer, at it off the emerent	Consumes, the Buyer will be in de	f lauf of this contract lates price. Should a price accordingly.
Construction Device Agree B One Construction Device Agree B one Construction Device Agree B one to exclude Construction, Dean fees an one to exclude Construction, Dean fees an one to exclude Construction, Dean fees an one Construction Construction '	Term new Transcing, of it required will be pass as boo faint new Transcing, of it required sylences, buy ance of this offer and Aversa all Aversa any oco lessen and provided news in a sylear, and it is obtain new Insystem, the sylear sylear and all Appraisal conditions contained marked in dall Appraisal conditions contained marked in dall appraisal	er Luther agrees to make applicate unnertailen for securing sold loan. If erotics in cappopular agrees ifference in cappopular agrees in the security of the security in the security of the security in the security of the security of the interview of the security of the security in the security of the security of the interview of the security of the secu		Autor of this contract tasks price. Should a price accordingly.
Adjustance Deglambar Agree B Adjustance Deglambar Adjustance AdjustanceDeglamba	The set of	er lichter operation in mehre applicate er lichter operation in sector applicate er be obtaan ter appropriate appropri te ochsten ter appropriate appropri ferenten ter cap, or tro Sater, at in for an appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate approprise appropriate appropriate appropriate approp	ntersed teen working	4 Mart of this contract Lates price. Should a price accordingly.
Augusta 2004 Delay and a bayes a Augusta 2004 Delay and Bayes about Augusta 2004 Delay and Bayes about Augusta 2004 Delay and Bayes about Augusta 2004 Delay and Bayes	The set of	er lichter operation in mehre applicate er lichter operation in sector applicate er be obtaan ter appropriate appropri te ochsten ter appropriate appropri ferenten ter cap, or tro Sater, at in for an appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate appropriate for a sector appropriate approprise appropriate appropriate appropriate approp	ntersed teen working	4 Mart of this contract Lates price. Should a price accordingly.
Instrumental Top Spring Agence of Instrumental Top Spring Agence of Instrumental Top Spring Agence of Instrumental	The set of	The second secon	nter seid toon verten Consense, the Buyer will be in de uit in en amourt not leas than the in color, may reduce the person in a first person of the person in a first person of the first person with the color of the in the series, Salar will the least of a future. The Third person before for future the the	Aut of this contract Hast of this contract Lister price. Should price accordingly.
Adjustance Del Santan Aginer B Adjustance Del Santan Aginer Adjustance Del Santance Del Santan Aginer Adjustance Del Santan Ag	The set of	The second secon	nter seid toon verten Consense, the Buyer will be in de uit in en amourt not leas than the in color, may reduce the person in a first person of the person in a first person of the first person with the color of the in the series, Salar will the least of a future. The Third person before for future the the	Aut of this contract Hast of this contract Lister price. Should price accordingly.
In a Advertige Total Software of the S Oris Convert a Devir 1, a see Boyer is not to socked	Part new francing, or it requires the paid an bio Part new francing, or it requires systemer, buy areas of this offer and harmon it increases to the increased provided herein at system. It is obtain new frances, buy services and and all appraises conditions contained therein and all appraises conditions contained therein and the approx contained therein the examination of the and example for contained the examination of the and example to on the SSS (FTUS answer) To support the 2000 parts of the system of the systemeter, and at the reput Mark, be retained for UppoCation Demandres that the assist of the systemeter, and at the reput My-one (11) down the expension. And at the reput	The second secon	The said loan workin	Aut of this contract Lister price. Should a price accordingly.
Har Advances Total Statute of the Bayer and the exceed <u>All Advances</u> and Bayer and Advances of the Advances of the exceeding the Advances of the Advances	Part new francing, or it requires the paid an bio Part new francing, or it requires systemer, buy areas of this offer and harmon it increases to the increased provided herein at system. It is obtain new frances, buy services and and all appraises conditions contained therein and all appraises conditions contained therein and the approx contained therein the examination of the and example for contained the examination of the and example to on the SSS (FTUS answer) To support the 2000 parts of the system of the systemeter, and at the reput Mark, be retained for UppoCation Demandres that the assist of the systemeter, and at the reput My-one (11) down the expension. And at the reput	The second secon	The said loan workin	Aut of this contract Lister price. Should a price accordingly.
Advanced Dig Software of the Software of the Software Softwar	The environment was be paid as box parts now means, or if required systems, buy parts of this offer and human at decessary good for remoty provided hearts at system. If we approve it is obtain near hysetters, as bosine appro- ted all approximations conditions contained hearts and all approximations conditions contained hearts and all approximations and parts to the form of the access the offer to purchase to your and the access of the to your action of the offer here and the access of the to your action to access the to access of the to your action of the offer access to your the access of the to your action of the offer access to your the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your the your (in) and your to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access to your access to your access to your access the access of the to your access the access the access to your acce	The the second property of the second property of the second property of the second property of the second	Intersection - Eren Consenses, the Brown to be the the consense of the service of the purchase the consense of the purchase the consense of the service of the service and the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service the service of the service of the service of conservice of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the ser	Last of this contract uses price Snould price scondingly.
In Advanced Del 2016 anter des el Col SCOVI a Del 17, s'are Bayer al ant le socied <u>////</u> Com fees an ant le socied <u>////</u> Com fees an (ent of the transaction, loan fees an (ent of the transaction, loan fees an (ent of the transaction, loan fees an "	The end of the set of	The second seco	nite sadd toon verien	Last of this contract uses price Snow price sciencing,
Construction and a second	The set our function point we be pose as box parts our financing, or if required systems, buy arris of this offer an extension at system. If it is obtain new financing, it is barring our arris a obtain new financing, it is barring our arris a obtain new financing, it is barring our arris a obtain offer to purchase or arris a data appraisal conditions contained function we decapts that offer to purchase or and the actions formed purchase or and the actions formed purchase or arris a statement of the purchase or and the actions of the to purchase or arris a statement of the arrise of the actions of the extension of the arrive former and the action SSS (FIT is swell) to bury relation to burgers and a the assistence of the approximate and the regen- phenetic of the approximation and the order power (a) consolity is any any any and or obtained power (a) model of the approximate and conserver the order of the approximation and the order power (a) model of the arrive approximation of the arrise the assistence of the approximation of the arrive power (a) model of the arrive approximation of the arrive the assistence of the approximation and the order power (b) model of the arrive approximation of the arrive the approximation of the arrive approximation of the arrive the arrive approximation of the arrive approximation of the arrive the arrive approximation of the arrive approximation of the arrive the arrive approximation of the arrive approximation of the arrive the arrive approximation of the arrive approximation of the arrive the arrive approximation of the arrive approximation of	The second secon	nter sed toon verven Connects, the Buyer will be in do in the annual not loss that the fight of annual not loss the set is good any include the purchase of the internal set of the se	Aut of this contract state of this contract uses price scoredny.
Est Adjunction To District Adjust 30 Objection 12 District Adjust 30 And 16 objection 2 District Adjust 30 And 16 objection 2 District 30 District 30 CAM 30 Prior That See any Annual 4 CAM 30 Prior That See any Annual 4 CAM 30 Prior That See any Annual 4 CAM 30 District That District Adjust 20 District That District That Sec COM 1 District That District That	Part new francing, or it regarded systemes, but areas of this office and knows at devices.asy con- be sensed provided hereas at dynamics, but areas of this office and knows at dynamics. But are all activities in the handling, the Same pays it is to obtain new handling, the Same pays and all Approximations opposed and the regarded and all Approximations of the purchases op and the activity constrained based of the activity constrained based of the activity of the burdlings of the obtained of the activity of the soften, any seminate all property are instantiations of the activity of the obtained the seminativity of the soften, any seminate and the activity of the soften devices on the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the regard why concept of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the soften of the activity of the soften devices of the soften of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the s	$\label{eq:responses} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \\ $	Inter said toon varian Consenses, the Buyer wat be in do with the an amount not loss than the is color, negrotoco the purpose in a set amount not loss than the is a purpose of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of component of the set of the set of component set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of component set of the set	And of this contract takes of this contract takes price. Should a gride accordingly. Its <u>gride</u> to deliver as herein to deliv
R. Advanced Dig Data and Speed a Order Control Data (See Bayes) a new to access (See See See See See See (See See See See See See See See See See	The international purpose was be paid an about part new management of the payments, buy areas of this offer and human at developments on the semanty provided hearts at system. And all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and the above Zernard teamy shall Graduate and the standard of the state teams lead on the Data States of this approximation above the require the standard (1) for your approximate teams the states of the approximate team the states of the approximate team tea	$\frac{A}{2} - \frac{A}{2}$ we have a generation make application or have a generation and the appropriate a magnetic difference in cash, or the Samer and the appropriate an	The sadd team version	Last of this contract sales price. Should price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodebur as herron biodebur as herron with as Shourned a Buyer hereunour and bus shourned a Buyer hereunour mor aclashing the right agomta. of unitas including including the right agomta.
Har Marrield Told Difference of the Bayer and one to each and the Standing Company of the Bayer and one to each and the Standing Company of the Standing (and of the translation), found fees an one to each and the Standing Company and a Company of the Standing Company and the Standing Company of the Standing Compan	The international purpose was be paid an about part new management of the payments, buy areas of this offer and human at developments on the semanty provided hearts at system. And all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and all approximations contained hearts and the above Zernard teamy shall Graduate and the standard of the state teams lead on the Data States of this approximation above the require the standard (1) for your approximate teams the states of the approximate team the states of the approximate team tea	$\frac{A}{2} - \frac{A}{2}$ we have a generation make application or have a generation and the appropriate a magnetic difference in cash, or the Samer and the appropriate an	The sadd team version	Last of this contract sales price. Should price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodebur as herron biodebur as herron with as Shourned a Buyer hereunour and bus shourned a Buyer hereunour mor aclashing the right agomta. of unitas including including the right agomta.
E. Advanced Toll Define Ages of Objection is Devils, and Bayes and not to exceed <u>All A</u> and Bayes and not to exceed <u>All A</u> and Bayes to too and to exceed <u>All A</u> and Bayes to too <u>All A</u> and the states and is <u>Canadamic Canadamics</u> and <u>and too is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> and <u>and too is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and and a constraint to assess to takely any is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and too is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and a constraint to assess to takely any is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and a constraint to assess to takely any is <u>Canadamics</u> <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and a constraint to assess to <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and a constraint to assess to <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and a constraint to <u>Canadamics</u> <u>and <u>a constraint</u> <u>and <u>canadamics</u> <u>and <u>canadamics</u> <u>and <u>Sanadamics</u> <u>and <u>canadamics</u> <u>and <u>Sanadamics</u> <u>and <u>canadamics</u> <u>and Sanadamics</u> <u>and</u> <u>and</u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>	The set our function, or it requires the pole an bios part over financing, or it requires hyperoet, buy arrise of this office and setting a decisionary one is sensed provided herein at arguing. It we always at no obtaining pay the requires i and all approximations (and the purchase of the decision bios Cornel theory wall of features and the accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios cornel theory wall of the decision bios and the set of the theory of the set of the the accord to the purchase of the DSS print theory of the approximate the decision of the accord to the cornel wall and the return the the accord to the purchase the return the cornel to the set of the cornel of the DSS print theory of the accord to a the cornel of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set for the request to provide a finance of the set of the set of the set of the set of the accord to a the set of the request to provide a finance of the set of the accord to a	The second seco	Ander seid toon version	Last of this contract uses price. Should price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or objects of pay US (Jav TAK II) (J) Mal De autoration of actioning the right approx.
Harring Tong Depring Agent B Andrews Court States Bayes a met is eached <u>All Annotations</u> Dennis and is eached <u>All Annotations</u> Dennis (And Stimut mentaction, beam fees an all Caracterized Court and States and Court and the Court and States and Court and Court and States and a Court and Department of the States and Court and Department of the and Court and Department of the and the states and Lynes to takely any met States and Department of the and the states and Department of the States and Department of the States and the Court and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the and the States and the Court and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and The States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the States and Department of the St	The set our function, or it requires the pole an bios part over financing, or it requires hyperoet, buy arrise of this office and setting a decisionary one is sensed provided herein at arguing. It we always at no obtaining pay the requires i and all approximations (and the purchase of the decision bios Cornel theory wall of features and the accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios cornel theory wall of the decision bios and the set of the theory of the set of the the accord to the purchase of the DSS print theory of the approximate the decision of the accord to the cornel wall and the return the the accord to the purchase the return the cornel to the set of the cornel of the DSS print theory of the accord to a the cornel of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set for the request to provide a finance of the set of the set of the set of the set of the accord to a the set of the request to provide a finance of the set of the accord to a	The second seco	Ander seid toon version	Last of this contract uses price. Should price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or objects of pay US (Jav TAK II) (J) Mal De autoration of actioning the right approx.
E. Advanced Told School and a Bayer at any Is social Court of School and School School and Is social Court for School School School (Art 31 that translation), than fees an any Is social Court Fore School School School (Art 32 that School School School School School (Art 32 that School	Part new francing, or it regarded systemes, but areas of this office and knows at devices.asy con- be sensed provided hereas at dynamics, but areas of this office and knows at dynamics. But are all activities in the handling, the Same pays it is to obtain new handling, the Same pays and all Approximations opposed and the regarded and all Approximations of the purchases op and the activity constrained based of the activity constrained based of the activity of the burdlings of the obtained of the activity of the soften, any seminate all property are instantiations of the activity of the obtained the seminativity of the soften, any seminate and the activity of the soften devices on the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the SSS first of activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the regard why concept of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the regard of the activity of the soften devices of the soften of the activity of the soften devices of the soften of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the activity of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the soften devices of the soften devices of the soften devices of the the s	The second seco	Ander seid toon version	Last of this contract sales price. Should price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodebur as herron biodebur as herron with as Shourned a Buyer hereunour and bus shourned a Buyer hereunour mor aclashing the right agomta. of unitas including including the right agomta.
	The set our function, or it requires the pole an bios part over financing, or it requires hyperoet, buy arrise of this office and setting a decisionary one is sensed provided herein at arguing. It we always at no obtaining pay the requires i and all approximations (and the purchase of the decision bios Cornel theory wall of features and the accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios cornel theory wall of the decision bios and the set of the theory of the set of the the accord to the purchase of the DSS print theory of the approximate the decision of the accord to the cornel wall and the return the the accord to the purchase the return the cornel to the set of the cornel of the DSS print theory of the accord to a the cornel of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set for the request to provide a finance of the set of the set of the set of the set of the accord to a the set of the request to provide a finance of the set of the accord to a	The second seco	Inter said toon version	Last of this contract sales price. Snough price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or obligated to pay US car TAK (1) (L) and to active mor actioning the right approx. Of unities including including the right approx.
 Carl Adjustical Topol School of the State of Original Topol Topol School of the School School	The set our function, or it requires the pole an bios part over financing, or it requires hyperoet, buy arrise of this office and setting a decisionary one is sensed provided herein at arguing. It we always at no obtaining pay the requires i and all approximations (and the purchase of the decision bios Cornel theory wall of features and the accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios cornel theory wall of the decision bios and the set of the theory of the set of the the accord to the purchase of the DSS print theory of the approximate the decision of the accord to the cornel wall and the return the the accord to the purchase the return the cornel to the set of the cornel of the DSS print theory of the accord to a the cornel of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set for the request to provide a finance of the set of the set of the set of the set of the accord to a the set of the request to provide a finance of the set of the accord to a	The second secon	Inter said toon version	Last of this contract sales price. Snough price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or obligated to pay US car TAK (1) (L) and to active mor actioning the right approx. Of unities including including the right approx.
Construction Construction of the Second	The set our function, or it requires the pole an bios part over financing, or it requires hyperoet, buy arrise of this office and setting a decisionary one is sensed provided herein at arguing. It we always at no obtaining pay the requires i and all approximations (and the purchase of the decision bios Cornel theory wall of features and the accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios Cornel theory wall of the theory and bios accord to the purchase by the decision bios cornel theory wall of the decision bios and the set of the theory of the set of the the accord to the purchase of the DSS print theory of the approximate the decision of the accord to the cornel wall and the return the the accord to the purchase the return the cornel to the set of the cornel of the DSS print theory of the accord to a the cornel of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the decision of the set of the accord to a the conservent of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set of the theory of the set of the accord to a the set for the request to provide a finance of the set of the set of the set of the set of the accord to a the set of the request to provide a finance of the set of the accord to a	The second seco	Inter sed toon version	Last of this contract sales price. Snough price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or obligated to pay US car TAK (1) (L) and to active mor actioning the right approx. Of unities including including the right approx.
 Carlowicz Dol School Schol School School School School School School School School Scho	The new foundary provided the particle and box parts new financing, or if required stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy and an approximation of the stylences of and all approximations and the stylences in the obtain new financing, buy the required if and all approximations and the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the decepts this office to purchase by the figures and the approximation of the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the standing of the stylences. By all of the stylence the standing of the stylences and stores leave on the SSS print Strengther by the stores and the requires the standing of the stylences and a new requires of the stores of the stores and the outlings from to modify if stylence stores represented to a static to ever store in a store and stores opera- tion of the stores and the comments of the first the stores of the stores and the outlings from the stores of the stores and the comments of the store requires of the stores and the comments of the store of the store and the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the stores of the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the sto	The second seco	Ander seld toon version	Last of this contract sales price. Snough price accordingly. Is <u>9 j</u> biodestow as herein biodestow as herein or obligated to pay US car TAK (1) (L) and to active mor actioning the right approx. Of unities including including the right approx.
Advanced To Deprint Advanced and Bayer Biology and Advanced To Deprint Advanced A	The new foundary provided the particle and box parts new financing, or if required stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy and an approximation of the stylences of and all approximations and the stylences in the obtain new financing, buy the required if and all approximations and the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the decepts this office to purchase by the figures and the approximation of the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the standing of the stylences. By all of the stylence the standing of the stylences and stores leave on the SSS print Strengther by the stores and the requires the standing of the stylences and a new requires of the stores of the stores and the outlings from to modify if stylence stores represented to a static to ever store in a store and stores opera- tion of the stores and the comments of the first the stores of the stores and the outlings from the stores of the stores and the comments of the store requires of the stores and the comments of the store of the store and the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the stores of the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the sto	The second seco	Consenses, the Bayer will be the dealer of the analysis of the Bayer will be the dealer of the set	Auto of this continues takes of this continues takes price scoreingy, 19 <u>9 1</u> 10 define the part 10
 Adversion Town To Server Server	The new foundary provided the particle and box parts new financing, or if required stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy ance of this office and stylences, buy and an approximation of the stylences of and all approximations and the stylences in the obtain new financing, buy the required if and all approximations and the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the decepts this office to purchase by the figures and the approximation of the stylences of the decepts this office to purchase by the standing of the stylences. By all of the stylence the standing of the stylences and stores leave on the SSS print Strengther by the stores and the requires the standing of the stylences and a new requires of the stores of the stores and the outlings from to modify if stylence stores represented to a static to ever store in a store and stores opera- tion of the stores and the comments of the first the stores of the stores and the outlings from the stores of the stores and the comments of the store requires of the stores and the comments of the store of the store and the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the stores of the stores and the stores for the stores of the store and the stores the stores of the sto	The second seco	Ander sadd toom version	Aut of this contract faut of this contract tables price scoreingly. Is <u>9 j</u> b debut is the pay <i>US just</i> The survey as these tourses a But to autorized a But
Construction Construction of the second	A set new number of the set of th	The second seco	Ander seid toon version	Auto of this continues takes of this continues takes price scoreingy, 19 <u>9 1</u> 10 define the part 10
Construction and approximately and approximately and approximately	And the transcence guind will be post as both parts from themeting, or if required stylenose, hap ance of this office and harms at accessably only for its obtained provided harms at system. A we approach the strength of the transfer and all approach of the provides of the strength of the service and the strength and for tabular the access the constitution of the strength of tabular the strength of the provides of the strength of the strength of the strength and for tabular the strength of the strength and the strength the strength of the	The second secon	Aller seld team version	Auto of this continues takes of this continues takes price scoreingy, 19 <u>9 1</u> 10 define the part 10
Construction and an analysis of the second of the sec	And the contract purposed will be paid an box part new thanking, of it required signature, hap ance of this office and harms at average, hap ance of this office and harms at average, the second is remarkly provided harms at average. But show pays and the actions form to purchase by the required is and all approximation of the burchase by the required and all approximations office to purchase by the second by the action of the burchase by the required and the actions form to purchase by the required and the actions for the purchase by the required and the actions of the action actions for the purchase the restant action of the action action for the action the actions of the actions action action actions at the action action of the action actions and purchase and the control actions of the action action actions and the action the action actions at the purchase of the actions actions the action actions at the actions and party is population the action actions and party is actions and the action actions and actions and party is populations. The undershall be activitied barries and actions and party is populated the action action actions and party is populated at the actions and activities actions and party is appoint the action action action actions and party is appoint the action action actions and party is appoint the action action action actions and party is appoint the action actions action act	The second secon	Aller seld team version	Auto of this continues takes of this continues takes price scoreingy, 19 <u>9 1</u> 10 define the part 10
A ADJENSION AND ADDENDED AND ADDENDED ADDESDATE	And the transcence guind will be post as both parts from themeting, or if required stylenose, hap ance of this office and harms at accessably only for its obtained provided harms at system. A we approach the strength of the transfer and all approach of the provides of the strength of the service and the strength and for tabular the access the constitution of the strength of tabular the strength of the provides of the strength of the strength of the strength and for tabular the strength of the strength and the strength the strength of the	The second secon	Aller seld team version	And the second next second nex
 Advertida Polyability April 19 (2014) Oriscovit approx 2014) Oriscovit approx 2014 Oriscovit approx 2014	And the transcence guind will be post as both parts from themeting, or if required stylenose, hap ance of this office and harms at accessably only for its obtained provided harms at system. A we approach the strength of the transfer and all approach of the provides of the strength of the service and the strength and for tabular the access the constitution of the strength of tabular the strength of the provides of the strength of the strength of the strength and for tabular the strength of the strength and the strength the strength of the	The second secon	Consenses, the Bayer will be to do the dotter and the second bayer and base the dotter and the second bayer and bay	And a minimum of the construct of the co
A ADJENSION AND ADDENDED AND ADDENDED ADDESDATE	A set now increase, or it requires with an post and both and be a set of the	The second secon	Aller seld team version	fault of this continues fault fault fault
 Advertida Polyability April 19 (2014) Oriscovit approx 2014) Oriscovit approx 2014 Oriscovit approx 2014	And the transmission of the part of the set books and books of the set of the	The second secon	Alter sed teen version Our mass, the Buyer will be in do will be an even with the first teen to an even in and instantial beam teen an even in and instantial beam teen and teen with the service of the provide teen and teen relation of the Score deal and teen relation of the Score deale and teen relation of the Score deal an	And a minimum of the construct of the co
 Advertida Polyability April 19 (2014) Oriscovit approx 2014) Oriscovit approx 2014 Oriscovit approx 2014	A set now increase, or it requires with an post and both and be a set of the	A grant agreed to make application and the application of the appropriate and the appr	Alexander of the Buyer will be in do Alexander, the Buyer will be in do Alexander, the Buyer will be in do Alexander of the Buyer will be in do Alexander of the Buyer will be in do Alexander of the Second and Alexander of the	fault of this continues fault fault fault

CONFIDENTIAL

0000132

A. N



÷.,

STANDARD PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND EARNEST MONEY RECEIPT

Received (rem GILDERT P.	
THE SUM OF TEN THOUSAND AND DO	D/100 Delan (\$ 10,000.00
in the form of cash Q, personal check Q, other is	
	WALT SHOPPAKER, RE/HAX VIP REALTORS
	THREE HUNDRED, THENTY THOUSAND AND DO/100
	MITCHAR AF ADDRESS AND A LAS VECAS A LAS VECAS
described as 2771 S. BUFFALO D	RIVE, LAS VEGAS, J/PT SEA NJ 4 SEC 9-21-60
I. DUTER TO PAY ALL CASH	OR CERTIFIED CHECK OF THREE BUEDRED, THENTY THOUSAND
BOLLARS (\$320.000.00)	INCLUDING ABOVE EARNEST MONEY DEPOSIT AT CLOSE OF ESCHON.
2. SELLER TO WARRANT ALL.	ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING, HEATING & AIR COUDITIONING MANY DIMING
	OD WORKING CONDITION AS EVIDENCED BY A WALK-THROUGH
INSPECTION AT CLOSE OF	
J. MILER AND SELLER TO PAT	OWN NORMAL CLUBTING COSTS AS CUSTOMARY IN THE STATE OF
ALEVADA.	
5. SELLER TO PAY FOR AND OF	STAIN NOME AND STRUCTURAL INSPECTION.
	EPAIRS UP TO FIVE MINDRED DOLLARS (\$500.00).
	ROVIDE A HOHE OWHERS WAREANTT.
TO HAVE FIC	HT OF ANTBUAL OF INSPECTICAL REPORTS, CCNRA,
	THE BE PERCE CE.
	HAR AT MAN TITE,
The above purchase price includes the following pera	and property free of encumbrance. AS SHEEM ON LISTING AUREEMENT.
L. This charge of is a he subject to some h	Ascements, rights of way, real rictions, conditions and coverants of second. SELLER agroes to deliver, at
Premiums on insurance publicies (acceptable to the 30 day month to	IT IF YER, property lases, sever use face, ranks and interest shall be provated to excrew on the banks of a
8. Title shall be vested in _ to be determin	ied by Buyer before close of eacrow
7. Unices the SELLERS accentance of this offer to .	4 = 0
Street and the second s	served reversed and the always served means that he actually the means a
al the terms of this purchase agreement. BITTER a excrew agreement in connection herewith without 9. If new financing, SEILER agrees to pay	and SEILER shall have as power to change any of the terms or conditions of this agreement or any first obtaining the writen consent of the AffENT(s) on for a BUTER AND -
action including starney's facs, When approved by assigns.	een the parties and no verbal alatements made by any party are a part hereof unloss incorporated in 7 logal action commenced to enforce this agreentite to shift for antibolic and costs incurred in such UUY Lite and SELLER this agreenties and the high includes they depose the holes, as acutors and
	Flamingo Rd., Ste. 1 m Las Vegas Veyada
In cooperation with J. VOODCOCK, AHERICA	NA Revolution of the state of t
Phone 302-1111 Address	LAS VECAS NV
The undersigned BUYER, having inspected the above, d and conditions herein stated and acknowledges receip	escribed property and its spirortenances. Offers and agrees to purchase sold property on the terms of a copy of this agreement lepsiting AGENZ samed above.
Note JANUARY 7. 1991 Time 8 - P Address PO BEX 60028	m. KUUYER CHILLET Hyelt
	$\frac{1}{1000} = \frac{1}{1000} = 1$
	NCE OF OFFER TO PURCHASE
The understaned SELLER(e) accepts the forevalue ution	OU OF OTTOM TO FOROMADE
	Witchast and effers to sell the annuals down but it is the second se
Entirest money shall not recent the full amount of the	to juirchase and sprees to self the property duscribul shore an the terms and conditions as stated sment. Said SELLERic; further agrees to pay AGENT(s) as a fee for services
Data	connect money deposite should the UVER lender asid deposite. providing one-half of anid ACENT(s) for, Agent's fau to be divided per sportic sprometic.
Date Time P.	connect money deposite should the UVER lender asid deposite. providing one-half of anid ACENT(s) for, Agent's fau to be divided per sportic sprometic.

RA000488 ARA00133

CONFIDENTIAL H 01087



RA000489 ARA00134

.





STANDARD PURCHASE AGIGEMENT AND EARNEST MONEY RECEIPT

deciden <u>Gilbert P. Hyatt</u>
ESUM OF TUCALLY-Five Thousand and no/100ths Dellas (1 23,000.00
he form of each D. promound check D. other (capiam) Payable to Minnesota Title
receipe of which is hereby acknowledged by <u>Walt Shnowaker</u> , RE/HAX VIP, Realcord
Samuel Meast on THE TOTAL FAICE OF Four Hundred Fifty Thousand and no/1002h Dollars
ober as 1970 Hiller Lanc Logal Sec 6 Custing
Subject to Huyer obtaining a morrgage in the amount of \$360,000.00 from First Galiforni.
and the second payment of Hipety Thousand Duty
seller to variant all clear they build the
conditioning, 6 pool 6 spa equipment to be in good working condition as evidenced by a
welk through inspection at close of escrow. 4) Buyer and Seller to pay own normal also
costs as customery for all
inspections. Sallar he all
7) Seller to pay for and namelie
7) Seller to pay for and provide a Homeowners Warranty. B) Sale is contingent on Buyers approval of towners
Buyers approval of inspection reports, CC4R's and title report. 9) Escrow to be with
Joanne Frank at Minnesota Title. 10) Buyer and Seller to split points. 11) Seller
to guarantee square footage as shown on multiple listing printmet 5715 square fect.
share purchase price includes the following personal property free of encombrance. As allown on listing egreement and
This conversed is to be subject to encombrances, easements, rights of way, realizations, conditions and covariants of record. SELLER agross to deliver, at his captale, good and marketable (this as evidenced by a policy of Ulls inverses to the INTER. The SUTER at his option may terminate this offer in parchase and his earnest money shall be returned, if the SELLER tails to deliver as hereis provided, with the improvements on said property are raised and in earnest money shall be returned, if the SELLER tails to deliver as hereis provided, with the improvements on said property are raised at this offer in the self-state of provide the set of the these this agreement between SUTER and SELLER will be only on sold property are raised that the SELLER will be estimated in an and connection of the search and the set of the to the alary description in the result will ULYER fields to pay all expenses increase in connection of the SEL of the slaw description of the connection of the SELLER will be estimated to be a sold expenses increased in connection of the search of the state of the state of the search of the state of the search of the state of the sta
It controls as insurance policies (acceptable to the BUTER), property lazes, sewer use fees, eesis and interest shall be provided in escrow on the basis of a
Special assessments, if any, that are not definquent, shall be assumed by the layer
Concern retrow (COE) that be an or before Harch 31 in 92
and the school and a this att
The undersigned ACENT(s) shall not be held resumable in the parties here of a range i shall be returned to the BUYER havein on demand. of the terms of this pareheast agreemont. BUYER and SELLER shall have no power to change any of the forms or SELLER to comply with any or all ceres afreement in connection berewith without first abtaining the written consent of the AGENT(s) thereto. If new financing, SELLER agrees to may
and Tuyer
The foregoing constitutes the entire or reservent between the parties and on verbal statements made by any material statement of C.U.E.
asigns.
The Thi-SSSS Address 1601 E. Flamingo Rd., Stc. 1 Car Las Vegas

couperation with Americana <u>595-2588</u> me . Aldress

Cir. undersigned BUYER, having inspected the abuve, described property and its appartementers, effers and egrees to purchase said property on the terms herein stated and acknowledges receipt of a copy of this agreement from the AGENT panied above. at c

Time 1.00 p.m.		Mixer Cilbert P. B.
Ideres 2 0 Box (10028		BUYER Cilbert P. Hyatc
		WYER_ MIRANT Hatt
y Las Vegas	NV	
State		rich:
· .		

ACCEPTANCE OF OFFER TO PURCHASE

unientimed SELLETICS) second the foregoing offar to purchase and agrees to sell the property described abare on the terms and conditions or stated in, and schoon ladges receipt of a capy of this agreement, Sold SELLETICS) (wither agrees to pay AGENESS) as a fee for services rnges munar shall int execced the full amount of the ADENT(s) fea. Agent's fea to be divided per separate agencies agencient

0000135

ONFIDENTIAL	H 01989
Q C	Ţ

RA000490 ARA00135