

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TYRONE DAVID JAMES, SR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 80902

TYRONE DAVID JAMES, SR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 80907

FILED

DEC 03 2020

ELIZABETH A. BRIDMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until January 29, 2021, to file and serve the reply brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney