IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TYRONE DAVID JAMES, SR., Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

TYRONE DAVID JAMES, SR., Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 80907

No. 80902

	FILED
	AUG 0 5,2020
CLE	ELIZABETH A BROWN
BY_	UNTY CLERK

ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEALS AND GRANTING MOTIONS

These are appeals from a single district court order denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In the interest of judicial economy, these appeals are consolidated for all appellate purposes. *See* NRAP 3(b)(2).

Appellant has filed motions for extensions of time to file the opening brief and appendix. The motions are granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until September 28, 2020, to file and serve a single opening brief and appendix. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

e and the

...