IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Appellant, VS. JOHN JOSEPH SEKA, Respondent.

No.	80925
<u></u>	FILED
	OCT 07 2021
CLE BY_	ELIZABETHA BROWN

ORDER DENYING EN BANC RECONSIDERATION

Having considered the petition on file herein, we have concluded that en banc reconsideration is not warranted. NRAP 40A. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.¹

Parraguirre

Stiglich

Inor J.

21-28753

Silver

J.

Herndon

J.

'The motion for leave to file an amicus curiae brief in support of respondent's petition for en banc reconsideration filed by Nevada Attorneys for Criminal Justice is denied.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

HARDESTY, C.J., CADISH, AND PICKERING, JJ., dissenting:

We dissent. The parties here both agreed that the standard set forth in Sanborn v. State, 107 Nev. 399, 812 P.2d 1279 (1991) applies to the motion for new trial herein, and the court's opinion appropriately applies that standard. However, further analysis of the statutory scheme at NRS 176.0918 through NRS 176.09187 and NRS 176.515 as well as the issues raised in the amicus brief is warranted to determine the correct legal standard for a motion for new trial based on DNA evidence. Therefore, we would grant the petition for en banc reconsideration and the motion for leave to file an amicus brief in support of the petition.

rdestr C. J.

Hardesty

J.

Cadish

J. Pickerin

cc:

Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Jennifer Springer Clark Hill PLC Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A