IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RUTH L. COHEN, AN INDIVIDUAL, Appellant,

VS.

PAUL S. PADDA, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC, A NEVADA PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Respondents.

PAUL S. PADDA, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC, A NEVADA PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY.

Appellants,

VS.

RUTH L. COHEN, AN INDIVIDUAL, Respondent.

No. 81018

FILED

FEB 18 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5.7 ALLEY
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 81172

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties have filed a motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering briefs in these appeals. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). The parties only provide good cause for an extension of time to file the answering brief in Docket No. 81018. Nevertheless, because these appeals are subject to parallel briefing schedules, the motion is granted. Respondents shall have until March 10, 2021, to file and serve their respective answering briefs in these appeals. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. Id. Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely

file the answering briefs may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the disposition of these appeals without answering briefs. See NRAP 31(d). It is so ORDERED.

/ Lander Ty, C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas Hayes Wakayama Campbell & Williams Paul Padda Law, PLLC Donald L. Fuller, Attorney at Law, LLC Peterson Baker, PLLC