## Case Nos. 81024

## In the Supreme Court of Nevada

TEVA PARENTERAL MEDICINES, INC., fka Sicor, INC.; Baxter Healthcare Corporation; and McKesson Medical-Surgical, INC.

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark; THE HONORABLE TREVOR ATKINS, District Judge, Dept. 8; THE HONORABLE NANCY ALFF, District Judge, Dept. 27; and THE HONORABLE JIM CROCKET, District Judge, Dept. 24,

Respondents.

YVETTE ADAMS et al.,

Real Parties in Interest.

Electronically Filed Nov 02 2020 04:32 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

## **NOTICE OF TIMELINE FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Please take notice of the attached timeline to which counsel Dan

Polsenberg will refer in the oral argument tomorrow.

Dated this 2nd day of November, 2020.

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

By: <u>/s/ Daniel F. Polsenberg</u> DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376) JOEL D. HENRIOD (SBN 8492) ABRAHAM G. SMITH (SBN 13,250) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 949-8200

Attorneys for Teva Parenteral Medicines, Inc., fka Sicor, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on November 2, 2020, I submitted the foregoing "No-

tice of Timeline for Oral Argument" for filing via the Court's eFlex elec-

tronic filing system. Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Glen J. Lerner GLEN LERNER INJURY ATTORNEYS 4795 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89147 Peter C. Wetherall WETHERALL GROUP LTD. 9345 W. Sunset Rd., Ste. 100 Las Vegas, NV 89148

Attorneys for Real Parties in Interest

Tami D. Cowden Eric Swanis, Jason K. Hicks GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 10845 Griffith Peak Dr., Ste. 6000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Brian Rubenstein (*pro hac vice*) GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 1717 Arch Street, Ste. 400 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Philip M. Hymanson Henry J. Hymanson HYMANSON & HYMANSON 8816 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

Attorneys for Petitioners

With courtesy copies via email:

Hon. Nancy Allf EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 Hon. Jim Crockett Hon. Trevor Atkins EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT Phoenix Building, 11th Floor 330 S. 3rd St. Las Vegas, NV 89101

<u>/s/ Cynthia Kelley</u> An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

SCOTUS decides <i>Wyeth v. Levine</i> , holding that state law can hold brand-name manufacturers liable for an inadequate warning in a label	Ans. 10
Chanin judgment	Ans. 10
SCOTUS decides <i>Mensing</i> , holding that claims against generic manufacturers for design or labeling are preempted	Ans. 10
Washington judgment	Ans. 10
Sacks judgment	Ans. 10
Nevada Supreme Court schedules oral argument in <i>Chanin</i> for this date, but case settles before on plaintiffs' motion for "global" settlement conference in all infected cases	Docket 57085
SCOTUS decides <i>Bartlett</i> , rejecting the stop-selling theory of liability and clarifying the applicable federal preemption analysis	
Federal and state courts consistently hold that under <i>Mensing</i> and <i>Bartlett</i> , state-law claims against generic manufacturers are preempted and the stop-selling theory lacks merit	Pet. Br. passim
SCOTUS decides <i>Albrecht</i> , holding that preemption is an issue for the judge and not the jury	
Underlying district court in <i>Bridges</i> denies motion to dismiss, saying defendants "could have just <b>ceased selling</b> the 50 mL vials"	7 App. 1585 (emphasis added)
	brand-name manufacturers liable for an inadequate warning in a label Chanin judgment SCOTUS decides Mensing, holding that claims against generic manufacturers for design or labeling are preempted Washington judgment Sacks judgment Nevada Supreme Court schedules oral argument in Chanin for this date, but case settles before on plaintiffs' motion for "global" settlement conference in all infected cases SCOTUS decides Bartlett, rejecting the stop-selling theory of liability and clarifying the applicable federal preemption analysis Federal and state courts consistently hold that under Mensing and Bartlett, state-law claims against generic manufacturers are preempted and the stop-selling theory lacks merit SCOTUS decides Albrecht, holding that preemption is an issue for the judge and not the jury Underlying district court in Bridges denies motion to dismiss, saying defendants "could have just <b>ceased selling</b> the 50 mL