IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAIMON MONROE,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ERIC
JOHNSON, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 81048

MAY 0 7 2020

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition appears to allege that the State failed to produce material evidence—specifically, two search warrants.

Problematically, petitioner has not provided this court with all of the exhibits or documentation that would support his claims for relief. See NRAP 21(a)(4) (providing the petitioner shall submit an appendix containing all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"). Therefore, without deciding the merits of the claims raised, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b).

We reiterate that "[p]etitioner[] carr[ies] the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted." Pan v. Eighth

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Pickering

Hardesty

Hon. Eric Johnson, District Judge cc: Daimon Monroe Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk