## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BARRY JAMES RIVES, M.D.; AND LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY OF NEVADA, LLC,

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

VS.

TITINA FARRIS; AND PATRICK FARRIS,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.
BARRY JAMES RIVES, M.D.; AND
LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY OF
NEVADA, LLC,

Appellants,

VS.

TITINA FARRIS; AND PATRICK FARRIS.

Respondents.

No. 80271

FILED

APR 3 0 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5.1/2244
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 81052

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

Titina Farris and Patrick Farris (Farris) have filed a motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief on cross-appeal in Docket No. 80271. In support of the motion, counsel cites his caseload. Farris has failed to demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances to warrant a second extension of time as required under NRAP 26(b)(1)(B) after receiving a telephonic extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Farris shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve a reply brief on cross-appeal in Docket No. 80271. Failure to file a timely reply brief on cross-appeal may be treated as a

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

21-12468

waiver of the right to file a reply brief on cross-appeal in Docket No. 80271. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

\_/ Jardesty, C.J.

cc: Clark Newberry Law Firm
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
Schuering Zimmerman & Doyle LLP
Mandelbaum, Ellerton & Associates
Hand Page Sullivan Martin, LLC
Bighorn Law/Las Vegas
Claggett & Sykes Law Firm