## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TED MICHAEL DONKO,
Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 81075

MAY 0 8 2020

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND SUSPENDING BRIEFING

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction. This court's review of this appeal reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, the order does not appear to be a final, appealable order because it states "Jurisdiction retained as to any Restitution" and therefore, it contemplates the district court will be imposing restitution in a yet to be determined amount. See NRS 176.105(1)(c); Slaatte v. State, 129 Nev. 219, 298 P.3d 1170 (2013); Whitehead v. State, 128 Nev. 259, 285 P.3d 1053 (2012). Accordingly, appellant shall have 21 days from the date of this order to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Respondent shall have 14 days from the filing of any response to file any reply.

The deadlines for filing documents are suspended until further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering\_, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A **\*\*** 

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Ted Michael Donko