

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT CLARKE, an individual,  
Appellant,

v.

SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al.,

Respondents.

SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al.,

Appellants,

v.

DANA GENTRY, AN INDIVIDUAL; and  
ROBERT CLARKE, an individual,

Respondents.

Supreme Court No. 80520

District Case No. A764942

Electronically Filed  
Apr 30 2021 11:18 a.m.  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court No. 81166

District Case No. A764942

**SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION AND SERVICE  
EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 1107'S JOINT  
RESPONSE TO ROBERT CLARKE'S "NOTICE OF RECENT EVENTS"**

Glenn Rothner (*Pro hac vice*)  
Jonathan M. Cohen (10551)  
Maria Keegan Myers (12049)  
ROTHNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE  
510 South Marengo Avenue  
Pasadena, California 91101  
Telephone: (626) 796-7555  
Facsimile: (626) 577-0124  
E-mail: grothner@rsglabor.com  
jcohen@rsglabor.com  
mmyers@rsglabor.com  
Attorneys for Appellant/Respondent  
SERVICE EMPLOYEES  
INTERNATIONAL UNION

Evan L. James (7760)  
CHRISTENSEN JAMES &  
MARTIN  
7440 West Sahara Avenue  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117  
Telephone: (702) 255-1718  
Facsimile: (702) 255-0871  
E-mail: elj@cjmlv.com  
Attorneys for Appellant/Respondent  
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC  
EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION  
a/k/a SEIU 1107

Service Employees International Union (“SEIU”) and Service Employees International Union, Local 1107 (“Local 1107”) (collectively, “Unions”) hereby oppose Robert Clarke’s “Notice of Recent Events Re: Federal Court Decision on Alter Ego Liability.”

Clarke brings to the Court’s attention a recent unpublished order of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada in *Javier Cabrera, et al. v. Serv. Employees Int’l Union, et al.*, Case No. 2:18-cv-00304-RFB-DJA.<sup>1</sup> In particular, Clarke emphasizes the district court’s ruling that SEIU was not entitled to summary judgment on the plaintiffs’ claim that SEIU was an alter-ego of Local 1107 under federal law.

That order is not pertinent here for the following reasons. First, Clarke waived his alter-ego claim for the reasons described at pages 49–51 of the Unions’ joint opening/answering brief.

Second, even assuming *arguendo* that Clarke did not waive it, the claim still fails for the reasons described at pages 51–56 of the Unions’ opening/answering brief. Indeed, the evidence in this case failed to support Clarke’s belated argument regarding the Unions’ alleged alter-ego status. Nor is there any basis in the record for Clarke’s unfounded assertion that the district court’s unpublished order in

---

<sup>1</sup> Despite Clarke’s professed candor in bringing the order to the Court’s attention, he neglects to mention that on April 7, 2021, SEIU and Local 1107 filed motions for reconsideration of that order. Those motions are still pending.

*Cabrera* was “based on the same evidence as was presented in this case.”

Last, the district court order lacks even persuasive value. There, the court applied a four-factor test under federal law to determine the Unions’ alleged alter-ego status. Order at 7. Here, the parties’ purported alter-ego status would be evaluated under a different state law test. *See, e.g., Polaris Indus. Corp. v. Kaplan*, 103 Nev. 598, 601 (1987).

For these reasons, SEIU and Local 1107 respectfully oppose Clarke’s “Notice of Recent Events.”

DATED: April 30, 2021

ROTHNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE  
GLENN ROTHNER  
JONATHAN M. COHEN  
MARIA KEEGAN MYERS

CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN  
EVAN L. JAMES

By                   /s/ Jonathan Cohen / Evan L. James  
Jonathan Cohen, Evan L. James  
Attorneys for Respondents and Appellants  
SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL  
UNION and NEVADA SERVICE  
EMPLOYEES UNION A/K/A CLARK  
COUNTY PUBLIC EMPLOYEES  
ASSOCIATION, SEIU 1107

