## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRADY EDWARD BYRD,

Appellant,

VS.

CATERINA ANGELA

BYRD,

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 81199

District Court Case No. D5277701 Onically Filed

Jun 25 2020 02:45 p.m.

Elizabeth A. Brown

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE OF SUPREME Court

**DOCKETING STATEMENT** 

- 1. Number 4. Appellant failed to check the box that this includes "Modification" of the Decree of Divorce.
- 2. <u>Number 9</u>. The district court did not err as a matter of law and there was no abuse of discretion. The district court's factual findings are given deference by this Court and will be upheld if not clearly erroneous and if supported by substantial evidence. <sup>1</sup>
- 3. <u>Number 23.</u> Respondent also sought "modification" of the Decree of Divorce based on ambiguities, vagueness, and unconscionable language and Appellant's breach of fiduciary duty to Respondent.
- 4. Number 27. Additional Pertinent documents attached hereto.

1

Gepford v. Gepford, 116 Nev. 1033, 1036, 13 P.3d 47, 49 (2000); Schmanski v. Schmanski, 115 Nev. 247, 251, 984 P.2d 752, 755 (1999); Castle v. Simmons, 120 Nev. 98, 103, 86 P.3d 1042, 1046 (2004).

## WEBSTER & ASSOCIATES

/s/ Jeanne F. Lambertsen
ANITA A. WEBSTER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1221
JEANNE F. LAMBERTSEN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9460
6882 Edna Ave.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Phone: 702-562-2300

Fax: 702-562-2303

<u>anitawebster@embarqmail.com</u> <u>jlambertsen@embarqmail.com</u> Attorneys for Respondent

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRAP 25, I hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that I am an employee of Webster & Associates and that on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020, I caused to be served the foregoing document by way of Notice of Electronic Filing to the following:

Daniel W. Anderson Mills & Anderson 703 S. 8<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 Counsel for Appellant, Grady Edward Byrd.

> /s/ Lillian Brand An employee of Webster & Associates