1			
2			
	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
3		Electronically Filed Nov 30 2020 03:38 p.m	١.
4		Elizabeth A. Brown	
•	SEAN MAUDICE DEAN	Clerk of Supreme Cour	τ
5	SEAN MAURICE DEAN, Appellant,		
6	Vs.	CASE NO. 81209	
0	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
7	Respondent.		
0			
8	APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF		
9			
10	Appeal From The Fourth Judicial District Court		
10	Of The State of Nevada		
11	In And For The County Of Elko		
10			
12	THE HONORABLE AARON D. FOI	RD	
13	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEVADA		
1.4	100 N. CARSON STREET CARSON CITY, NV 89701		
14	TYLER J. INGRAM	DAVID B. LOCKIE	
15	Elko County District Attorney	Attorney At Law	
	MARK S. MILLS	State Bar Number 2384	
16	Deputy District Attorney	919 IDAHO STREET	
1.5	State Bar Number 11660 540 Court Street, 2 nd Floor	ELKO, NV 89801 (775) 738-8084	
17	Elko, NV 89801	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT	
1.0	(775) 738-3101		
18	ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT		
19			
17			

Docket 81209 Document 2020-43323

Table Of Contents

2	Page No.	
3	1. Dean Letter to Woodbury18	
	2. Notes between Dean and Woodbury30	
4	3. Petition for Advice and Instructions	
5	4. Sentencing Hearing Transcript6	
6	5. Woodbury Letter to Dean25	
7	6. Woodbury Trial Notes16	
8	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX	
9	1. Petition for Advice and Instructions	
	2. Sentencing Hearing Transcript6	
10	3. Woodbury Trial Notes16	
11	4. Dean Letter to Woodbury18	
12	5. Woodbury Letter to Dean25	
13	6. Notes between Dean and Woodbury30	
14	TYLER J. INGRAM Elko County District Attorney	
15	By: Mark S. Mills	
16	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar Number: 11660	
	-2-	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 30th day of November, 2020, Electronic Service of 3 the APPENDIX TO RESPONDENT'S BRIEF shall be made in 4 accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 5 Honorable Aaron D. Ford Nevada Attorney General 6 and 7 8 DAVID B. LOCKIE 919 IDAHO STREET ELKO, NV 89801 9 Attorney for Appellant 10 /S/ Amanda Waugh 11 Amanda Waugh **CASEWORKER** 12 DA#: AP-20-01134 13 14 15 16

+-15-009c9-01-

Case No. CR-FP-15-1508

Dept. 2

Ţ

3

4

5

ć

8

9

10

12

14

16

17

15

19

. ;

30

23

24

2.5

16

17

ે ક

V.

2016 SEP 29 AH 10: 05

2016 SEP 29 AH 10: 0 !

TENCE TOTAL CO ONLY

EL KO COL DISTLICT AFTORNEY CLERK ____ DEPUTY S

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO, STATE OF NEVADA

Plaintiff.

PETITION FOR ADVICE AND INSTRUCTION

SEAN MAURICE DEAN.

Defendant.

Comes now Gary D. Woodbury, the Court appointed attorney for the above-named Defendant and requests this Court to provide advice and instruction on how to proceed with respect the continuing representation of the Defendant at sentencing and on appeal.

Counsel informs the Court as follows:

1. Counsel was appointed by the Elko Justice Court to represent Defendant, who was found by the Elko Justice Court to be indigent, in the above-entitled case.

///

///



1

3

4

5

6

7

ŝ

15

. 3

. .;

1 -

16

1 ?

12

19

ΞŪ

- 1

13

. 4

...5

ĹÉ

28

- 5. Since Defendant's conviction, Counsel has received 5 letters from Defendant. The letters are dated July 8, 2016, July 18, 2016, August 31, 2016, September 15, 2016 and September 23, 2016.
- 5. On July 12, 2016, Counsel was informed that Defendant would not meet with and did not wish to have further personal conversations with Counsel.
- 6. Counsel is informed that Defendant will not verbally communicate or meet with his appointed counsel. David Loreman, who represents Defendant in a separate criminal case pending in Department 1 of the Fourth Judicial District Court.
- 7. The presentence report of the Nevada Division of Parole and Probation dated September 7. 2016 indicates that Defendant declined to participate in a presentence interview.
- 6. Counsel in the instant case and Defendant have not communicated since Defendant's conviction on June 28, except through an exchange of letters.
- 7. Defendant's written communications to and from counsel have generally involved a request for a copy of Defendant's file, discussions regarding an appeal from Defendant's conviction,

- 2 -

advice from counsel and Defendant's rejection of that advice concerning the upcoming sentencing proceeding, and in the letter of September 15, non-specific allegations that Defendant's trial had not been properly conducted. Counsel responded in writing requesting that Defendant specify the problems he had identified.

. 6

- 8. Defendant's letter of September 23, expresses his opinion that Counsel is racially biased toward African Americans and that a variety of decisions, acts, and omissions of Counsel before and during trial reflects that bias and effectively undermined a fair trial for Defendant.
- 9. Prior to July 18, Defendant was advised by Counsel that he may request replacement counsel be appointed by the Court to appear on his behalf at his sentencing, and may request replacement counsel be appointed by the Court to prepare and file an appeal on his behalf. He was also informed that under some circumstances he would be allowed to represent himself.
- 10. In his July 18 letter noted above, Defendant declined to request replacement counsel either for sentencing or appeal.
- 11. Defendant imposed some initial requirements be met before deciding whether to appeal in his August 31, 2016 letter. Counsel attempted to address those requirements in a letter to Defendant on September 12, 2016. Defendant subsequently directed counsel to proceed with an appeal in his letter of September 15, 2016.

Counsel is of the opinion that in light of Defendant's belief that Counsel is racially biased, that the record reflects that bias, and that Defendant was not competently and reasonably represented by Counsel at trial, that Counsel should be relieved from further representation of Defendant both at sentencing and on appeal.

Based on the above, further advice and instruction from the Court is requested.

Under Penalties of perjury, the undersigned declares that he is the Counsel for Defendant named in the foregoing case and knows the contents thereof; that the pleading is true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and that as to such matters he believes it to be true.

2.8

GARY D. WOODBURY
Attorney for Defendant
State Bar No. 1915

- 4 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I, KIMBERLY DAWSON, on the 29 day of SEPTEMBER 2016 served the foregoing Motion by delivering, mailing or by facsimile transmission or causing to be delivered, mailed, or transmitted by facsimile transmission, a copy of said document to the following:

By delivering to:

2

3

4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

. .

13

1.4

15

ĺέ

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

n R

THE HONORABLE ALVIN R. KACIN FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT ELKO COUNTY COURTHOUSE ELKO, NV 89801

and

ELKO CCUNTY DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 5 40 COURT ST, 2ND FLOOR ELKO, NV 89801

KIMBERLY DAWSON

- 5 -

2016 SEP 30 AM 8: 43 1 Case No. CR-FP-2015-1508 KG CO DISTRICT COURT C. CRK___DEPUTY__ IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF 7 NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO 8 00000 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA 10 Plaintiff, 11 SENTENCING HEARING -12 SEAN MAURICE DEAN, CONTINUED 13 Defendant. 14 15 16 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 17 BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled matter came on for hearing on September 29, 2016, at the hour of 18 1:48 p.m. of said day, before the HONORABLE ALVIN R. 19 20 KACIN, District Judge. 21 22 23 24 Reported by Lisa M. Manley, CCR #271 25

1	Case No. CR-FP-2015-1508	1 PROCEEDINGS
2	Dept. II	2 THE COURT: This is Case CR-FP-2015-1508. State
3		3 of Nevada is plaintiff. Sean Maurice Dean is the
4		4 defendant.
5		5 Mr. Dean is here. He is with counsel Gary
6	IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF	6 Woodbury.
7	NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ELKO	7 Mark Mills, Elko County Deputy District Attorney,
8	00000	8 is here to represent the State.
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA :	9 Sara Macias is here from the Division of Parole
10	Plaintiff, :	10 and Probation's local office.
11	v. : <u>SENTENCING HEARING</u> -	11 I think I know the answer to this question, but
12	SEAN MAURICE DEAN, : CONTINUED	12 are the parties ready for sentencing?
13	Defendant. :	13 MR. WOODBURY: We are not, Judge.
14 .		14 THE COURT: The State is?
15		15 MR. MILLS: Yes, Your Honor.
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	16 THE COURT: All right. Now, the defendant was
17	BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled matter	17 convicted by an Elko County jury on the 28th of June this
18	came on for hearing on September 29, 2016, at the hour of	18 year of Count 1, attempted murder with the use of a deadly
	1:48 p.m. of said day, before the HONORABLE ALVIN R.	19 weapon; Count 2, battery with the use of a deadly weapon
20	KACIN, District Judge.	20 resulting in substantial bodily harm; and Count 5, battery
21		21 with the use of a deadly weapon.
22		22 The Court ordered the pre-sentence investigation
23		23 report, which was received, along with a statement from
24		24 Bert Minter.
25	Reported by Lisa M. Manley, CCR #271	The parties, I assume, have gotten copies.
	1	3
1	APPEARANCES	1 I was prepared to go forward with sentencing
2		2 today. The State provided a sentencing memorandum on
5	For the Plaintiff: MARK MILLS, ESQ.	3 September 16, filed that. Court reviewed that.
4	For the Plaintiff: MARK MILLS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 540 Court Street	4 Apparently the State is seeking to have Mr. Dean
٥	2nd Floor Elko, Nevada 89801	5 adjudged an habitual criminal under NRS 207.010(1)(b)(1).
6		6 So this is a very serious matter. This is one of
1	For the Defendant: GARY D. WOODBURY, ESQ.	7 the more serious cases I think the Court would ever see in
8	1053 Idaho Street Elko, Nevada 89801	8 terms of sentencing with what the State is seeking. The
9		9 State is seeking a life sentence without the possibility of
10		10 parole for the habitual criminal adjudication.
11		11 So I am concerned because on today's date I
12		12 think it was today at 10:05 a.m., Mr. Woodbury filed a
13		13 paper. It's tiled Petition for Advice and Instruction.
14		14 I have read that. I don't know if Mr. Dean has
15		15 reviewed this or not.
16		16 MR. WOODBURY: He has, Your Honor.
17		17 THE COURT: Is that correct, Mr. Dean?
18		18 DEFENDANT DEAN: That's correct.
		19 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Woodbury
19		
19 20		20 basically, I think, is laying out in here that there has
		20 basically, I think, is laying out in here that there has 21 been a breakdown of communications between counsel and
20		
20 21		21 been a breakdown of communications between counsel and
20 21 22		21 been a breakdown of communications between counsel and 22 client.
20 21 22 23		21 been a breakdown of communications between counsel and 22 client. 23 Is that correct?

THE COURT: Okay. Well, go ahead then. 1 Court, if I may, when it's entirely good for you. 1 2 THE COURT: That's fine. DEFENDANT DEAN: I guess to give you a full 2 Mr. Woodbury, we'll hear from him first, get his 3 understanding of how I feel, why I feel the way I feel, I 4 side of things, then I will hear from you about this issue. have to start from the beginning. As you know, I never wanted to have this trial Will that work? DEFENDANT DEAN: (Nods head) 6 here in Elko, okay. I had my very specific reasons why I 7 didn't want to have this trial in Elko; that being that THE COURT: Mr. Woodbury? MR. WOODBURY: _I really don't have anything to 8 since I moved here in 2012, I have had some very uncomfortable experiences here. 9 add to the Petition, Your Honor. We served it on the prosecution, so they know what it is. It seem like every day I come out my house, going 10 11 And the rules of procedure, and the law 11 to the store or walking down the street, no matter if I was 12 generally, require me to keep confidential the bulk of the 12 in the east end of Elko or the west end or down Mountain 13 City Highway, or going towards anywhere, I always heard the 13 information, and I have attempted to do that. Mr. Dean is free to release whatever information "N" word, somebody called me a nigger, okay. 14 he wants in his statement, of course, but I don't have So it was my experience from that that told me 15 15 anything further to add. 16 that this is how Elko is, you know what I'm saying? It 17 didn't just come from Caucasians, it came from Native 17 THE COURT: Of course, I want to remind Mr. Dean 18 that communications between his attorney and him are Americans, Mexicans alike. confidential. This was my experience every time -- seemed like 19 19 20 every other day I came out the house I was hearing that. 20 DEFENDANT DEAN: I understand that, Your Honor. 21 It was from people's cars, out they house, out they 21 This is way too serious for me to just --22 windows, it didn't make no difference. I heard it. It got 22 THE COURT: Mr. Dean, we're going to have to have 23 you speak up. I assume you want to make a good record, as 23 so bad that every time I came out the house, I expected it. 24 well, and the court reporter takes down what you say. And it happened, okay. 24 25 DEFENDANT DEAN: Absolutely. If I may speak 25 This is the reason why I brought it up. S 7 The other reason is being -- as we all know, 1 freely? 1 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to tell you, you 2 there is very few African Americans here in Elko, very few. 2 3 And I say very few meaning there's very few citizens, 3 can speak freely, I suppose, but I want to caution you 4 that, you know, you have the right to an appeal. You are 4 actual citizens, that live here in Elko. I know this. You 5 going to get convicted of something here because the Court 5 may see a few but they don't live here. So it was my general consensus when we went to go 6 has to sentence you eventually. select a jury, the chances were that I was going to get an You have the right to an appeal, right. Maybe 8 all-white jury. And this is why I asked Woodbury on two 8 there is a reversal, I don't know. 9 separate occasions, "I want you to approach the judge and I just want you to remember you have the Fifth 9 tell him my apprehensions about having this" -- "having 10 Amendment right to remain silent still. And also you have 11 this trial here in Elko," for those very same reasons. 11 the right to keep confidential your communications between First time I approached him, he just shrugged it 12 your attorney and you. 13 off like, "So?" That was the first time. I just looked at So with that caution, do you understand all that? 13 DEFENDANT DEAN: I do. I think the Court needs to 14 him like, "Really?" You know what I'm saying? 14 The second time was in May, beginning of May, 15 know what happened. when he came and visited me. He said to me -- he said, THE COURT: Okay. 16 "Okay, that's a legitimate request. I will bring it forth DEFENDANT DEAN: And how I feel about it. 17

20 Do you understand all that? 21 DEFENDANT DEAN: I understand the attorney -- I

22 understand.
23 THE COURT: The attorney-client privilege?

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. But I want you

24 DEFENDANT DEAN: Yeah, I understand that. But I

25 feel this is more important than that.

to understand what I just told you.

18

19

But in hindsight, how is that to be? I mean -- I

And he did that. Now, what you said was, you

20 know, look, we're going to through the jury selection

21 process, you know what I'm saying, and if we notice that

22 there is people in the pool that's racist, I'm going to

23 tell them to get up out of here, you know what I'm saying,

to the Court."

24 and we'll go from here.

19

25

1 mean, like, the Court ask them, "Are you racist?" They 2 raise a hand, "Yeah, I'm a racist"? Come on, that's not --3 you know what I'm saying? People here in Elko aren't using to living around 5 African Americans. They don't know how we interact with 6 people, how we live, how we go about our daily business. 7 They don't know that. The only thing they know is what happens on TV, 9 how they depict African Americans on TV, radio, rap music, 10 you know what I'm saying, what have you. So they got this stereotype of every African 12 American is violent, pimps, gangbangers, drug dealers and 13 what have you. So there is an inbred stereotype in them that the 14 15 Court can't possibly say -- give any instruction to say, 16 can you -- can you look at this case based on the facts 17 presented, and that's it, and judge this case just on that. 18 You know what I'm saying? Have those people relieve what 19 is in they hearts, you know what I'm saying, from the 20 get-go. 21 I mentioned this to woodbury. I said, look, you 22 can get up here and have everything in my favor go for me, 23 man, but if these people are dead-set against me, man, it 24 don't make no difference what you say, you know what I'm 25 saying, they still going to convict me just because of they

1 told woodbury, "I want to get rid of that dude." There was another -- there was another girl that 3 I wanted to get rid of because she kept looking at me. And 4 I couldn't discern whether or not she had a crush on me or 5 she just didn't like me. You know what I'm saying? I told him, "I want her to go. And the guy that 7 you got into conflict, I want him to go, too." You know 8 what I'm saying? He kept him on. I turned around and asked 10 Woodbury, "I asked you to get rid of him. Why did you keep 11 him?" Quote-unquote this is what you said to me, "Because 12 I liked him." 13 "Whose trial is this, yours or mine? The end 14 result is" -- "the consequence is going to be on me. 15 whether good or bad." You know what I'm saying? "You represent me. If I ask you to get rid of 16 somebody, get rid of them." You know what I'm saying? 17 So he don't. Because he tell me, "Because I like 18 19 him." Okay. Let's go forward. 20 I got this yesterday. And you can see it was 21 22 hand-delivered to me, okay, by woodbury. Four-page letter, 23 okay. 24 We started trial on Tuesday. Come Thursday, I 25 came in here at eight o'clock, I get here at eight 11

1 beliefs. 2 Okay. Let's fast forward to the jury selection. 3 Okay. Now, we going to jury selection. And, as you 5 know, Mr. woodbury blatantly, with his little spiel, 6 stereotype of African Americans, he went on and on, telling 7 everybody "Look around, what do you see in here? There is 8 nothing but white people, no" -- "few Mexican, few Native 9 Americans, but mainly white." Went on about, you know, stereotype, talking 10 11 about African Americans like watermelon, all the rest of 12 that stuff, and what have you. Very violent, what have 13 you. And in hindsight, in looking at it, I would say, 14 15 look, okay, he did me a service by bringing that to they 16 attention, made them aware, you know what I'm saying, of 17 just what our stance was. As I looked at it again, put myself in they 18 19 position, if I was sitting in the jury pool and he would 20 have made that spiel, I would have got offended. "What are 21 you implying? Are you calling me a racist?" One guy took offense to that, got into a verbal

23 argument with Woodbury, you know what I'm saying? He was

After Woodbury came back and sat down with me, I

24 mad behind that, you know what I'm saying?

25

1 o'clock in the morning. Deputy drops us off at eight 2 o'clock. Come sit down. Woodbury had a habit of leaving his -- his 4 paperwork sitting right there. My notepad, you know what 5 I'm saying, legal pad, happened to be on top. I grabbed it, started leafing through it, looking 7 through the notes and questions I wanted woodbury to ask --8 that he never did -- and came across a green piece of paper, green like this. (indicating) And I looked at it and couldn't believe what I 10 11 read on it. It said, and I quote, Joseph Schenk -- you 12 remember Joseph Schenk, you know what I'm saying? One of 13 the witnesses, you know what I'm saying, that came to 14 testify against me, half black and half white. This is what woodbury said in his note to 16 himself, "Joseph Schenk, he's a nigger, too." Really? I 17 just looked at him. So when he came in, I asked him, "What 18 is this?" He looked at it and he's all, "Just my notes," 19 took it from me, nothing else was said. You know, at that time, Thursday, we had been --20 21 we halfway through the trial, mind you, and I am wondering, 22 "Okay, do I bring this to the Court's attention now," you 23 know what I'm saying, "Or do I bring it later"? Because here we have spent six and a half months 25 of preparing for this trial and this just now came up. I Respondent's Appendix 009 1 had no idea that this is the way he felt about African
2 Americans.
3 Stop here. Let me read something to you that he
4 wrote to me. This is four pages to the Court -- to me.

This is the title, I'm a Racist Bigot. This is the title of the section he wrote. This is what he wrote.

7 "I don't recall exactly what was going on when I wrote Joe 8 Schenk was a nigger too in my notes."

9 Let's proceed down further. He wrote, "I guess
10 my point is, if you think me writing the word 'nigger' in
11 my notes is racially significant, so be it. I have called
12 you a lot worse names than that for getting hooked up and
13 staying with a five-foot-nine fat lady who sells dope and
14 gambles away everything she has qot."

Are you serious? He just admitted -- he's admitting saying that.

17 If I had known he was prejudiced against African
18 Americans, there is no way in hell I'm going to sit up here
19 and have this man represent me. I apologize to the Court.
20 This is just making me really upset, okay.

21 But I never would have done that. I would have 22 had him excuse himself altogether, you know what I'm 23 saving?

24 Because I don't want nobody who feels that way 25 under the circumstances that I was being put under with the 1 him, and he tells me, "don't say nothing about it," keep it 2 under -- like keep it under wraps.

The other thing is the doctor. Now, Woodbury has been doing this a number of years. He is the ex-D.A. He been on both sides of the tracks. Many years under his

6 belt as far as litigation is concerned.

7 There was a question in there asked, you know 8 what I'm saying, about the doctor, when the doctor 9 testified. After the doctor testified and gave his 10 statement under oath, woodbury came back up to me and he 11 said, "Oops" -- this is what he said -- and I quote -- he 12 said, "Oops, the D.A. got one over on us."

13 I turned around and looked at him, and I'm like, 14 "What?"

And he's like, "I didn't know that the wound" -16 "one of the wounds on Bert Minter was three and a half
17 inches deep."

18 Now, mind you, I got my discovery from there, you 19 know what I'm saying?

Now, let's just say the doctor didn't specify
that it was three and a half inches deep, okay. Just the
fact that he had explored -- Mr. Minter had exploratory
surgery should have indicated to you, you know what I'm
saying, that there was something -- there was something

25 else going on.

15

1 potential of having an all-white jury represent me. There is more things in here. I wrote woodbury a 2 seven-page letter in -- September the 20th I wrote it. 3 And five of those pages to Woodbury was 5 expressing my feelings to him, you know what I'm saying? 6 Various things that I asked him to bring -- to question the 7 witnesses on that he never did; he just disregarded me. 8 The things that I wanted him to present, he never did. Point in case, let me read something else to you. 9 This is why -- about my injuries, okay. It was 10 11 brought up, I think by Mr. Mills over there, about my 12 injuries. It was more. And I say "injuries" because there

when it was brought up, I turned around to
When it was brought up, I turned around to
Woodbury and I showed him the other injury that I got. And
you know what he said to me? "Don't say nothing about it."
Why?

That's a piece -- a significant piece of information that could have had a profound effect on the jury. Because there was a specific question asked, and that would have eradicated that whole -- that whole question that was asked. It would have answered it point blank.

24 It was more than one injury to me. I had a 25 couple injuries on my hand. I asked him, showed them to If he is having exploratory surgery, there is more than just scratches on him.

3 Let me read something he said over here.

I have got -- this is doctor's testimony. "I got caught with the doctor's testimony. The depth of the wound was not reported by him. I concluded it had to be superficial."

How are you going to make that conclusion? How go do you make that conclusion, you know what I'm saying, when you get the medical report? This is what we got an investigator for, to investigate these things.

How do you miss that, with all your experience,
when you read a medical report that said this man is doing
exploratory surgery? He ain't doing exploratory surgery on
superficial marks, he doing something that's came close to
a vital organ or something. That's why he exploring. He
ain't going to do exploratory surgery on no scratches.

18 He tells me he missed it. How do you miss that? 19 That's significant.

That's significant.

There is more to this. He already acknowledged

in here that he didn't -- I'm going to read -- "I realize I

haven't answered all of your letters. What is clear is you

are of the opinion that I am incompetent and/or that a

racist bigot" -- "bigotry played a part in the quality of

defense I provided. Either accusation requires me to

Respondent's Appendix 010

1 inform the Court." 2 Now, mind you, yes, I do feel that way. Giving 3 you an example why I feel that way: If you are not 4 prejudiced, if you don't have no prejudice in you, you not 5 going to refer to African Americans as niggers, okay. 6 That's a derogatory remark, it's the lowest name you can call an African American. He could have easily have said, "Joseph Schenk, 9 he is African American, too," or "Joseph Schenk, he is a 10 black guy, too," or "Joseph Schenk shares the same 11 nationality as Sean Dean." He didn't say none of that. He specifically said "Joseph Schenk, he's a nigger, too." 13 Hold on, man, you know what I'm saying? That is 14 15 how you feel about me? You know what I'm saying? And here we went through this trial, you know what I'm saying, and that's how you feel about me? You racist. You prejudiced against me. You know 18 19 what I'm saying? 20

Yeah, I feel like his -- his representation of me 21 is -- was inadequate. It was -- there was a lot of things

22 I asked him to bring to court -- before the court,

23 questions that I wanted him to ask, common sense questions

24 that would have made a lot of difference in this. He just

25 disregarded me, period. He just didn't ask them.

"I was informed by one of the suits that showed 1 2 up at the verdict that the word was out on the street that 3 we might have pulled it off. The suits were all defense 4 lawers. Nobody on this planet, including you, is totally 5 racially neutral. But for the most part, it doesn't have 6 much to do with anything." First of all, let me address you, Mr. Woodbury. 8 You don't know me like that, okay. You don't know me from 9 the first, other than what -- the case that me and you have 10 had together, both in 2013 and now. You don't know me like 11 that. I don't have a racist bone in my body, okay. I 12 13 see people and I judge people how they treat me, and that's 14 how I treat them, okay. So you making that statement is 15 way out of line. You don't know me like that, okay. And the second -- what's being implied, you know 16 17 what I'm saying? The suits, you know what I'm saying? 18 What's being said on the street? How do they know what's

19 going to happen? How would they even know that, you know 20 what I'm saying? That don't even sit right with me, that statement 22 right there. You know what I'm saying? It's just for them reasons right there that I

24 feel, you know what I'm saying, that my whole trial has 25 been compromised. You know what I'm saying?

He took this trial where he wanted it to go. I 2 feel like my trial has been compromised, you know what I'm saying? Totally compromised, you know what I'm saying? 4 Behind the circumstances.

I am glad he ain't going to be my lawyer no more. 6 I don't want him to be my lawyer no more. Because I don't 7 want nobody representing me that's prejudiced.

Let me tell you something else, Mr. Judge. You 9 will probably appoint me another attorney. Let me tell 10 you, I don't feel comfortable with nobody in Elko 11 representing me behind this.

I can't even talk to my other lawyer, David 12 13 Loreman, behind this. I haven't talked to him in I don't 14 know how many months. I got another case I am fighting. I 15 can't even bring myself to talk to him. That's why I 16 didn't talk to P & P. I don't trust nobody.

After the trial I turned around and looked into 17 18 in the audience. There was about ten or eleven suits out 19 there, including the lieutenant from the sheriff's office, 20 sitting in the audience.

My first -- my first impression was, "oh, these 22 are cops," you know what I'm saying, "coming to see what 23 happened in my trial."

It wasn "t cops, it was defense lawyers. 24

Let me read what Mr. Woodbury said about that. 25

Just by -- just by that and -- right there, I 2 just -- it ain't right, you know what I'm saying, that I 3 was represented by some that's prejudiced against me.

That's all I have to say to the Court. THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Dean, it seems 5 pretty clear that you don't want to go forward with 7 Mr. Woodbury as your attorney.

DEFENDANT DEAN: I don't feel comfortable going 9 forward with anyone representing me after all that's 10 happened. I can't even talk to my lawyer behind me, Dave 11 Loreman, on my other case because I don't feel comfortable.

12 THE COURT: We're going to get there. What's the State's position on relieving 13

14 Mr. Woodbury of his duty to represent or his appointment to 15 represent Mr. Dean?

MR. MILLS: Your Honor, I don't necessarily 16 17 oppose it. Sounds like there has been a significant 18 breakdown in the relationship between Mr. Woodbury and his 19 client.

Young versus Nevada, 120 Nev. 963, talks about 20 21 motions for substitution of counsel. And it's a 22 three-pronged test. The extent of the conflict is the 23 first prong. Second prong is the adequacy of the inquiry. 24 And prong three is the timeliness of the motion.

I think we have just heard about the extent of

Respondent's Appendix 011

25

1 the conflict and that there was an adequate inquiry. 1 me to ask him?" You know what I'm saying? 2 Then it goes on to say that, "A defendant is not That's acknowledging the fact that this 3 entitled to have a particular attorney serve as counsel. 3 proceeding, it's mine, you know what I'm saying? It's not 4 If the complete collapse of the attorney-client 4 his. He go home every day. Okay. 5 relationship is evident, a refusal to substitute counsel The outcome of that trial I just had was either I 5 6 violates a defendant's Sixth Amendment rights." 6 was going to spend the rest of my natural life in prison or So that's the -- the conclusion. I think, that 7 go home. And he's representing me and prejudiced against 8 the Court has to -- the issue the Court has to decide is 9 whether there has been that complete collapse of the 9 My whole case was -- my whole case was 10 attorney-client relationship, which would constitute a --10 compromised behind that. The whole case. 11 you know, where refusal to substitute would constitute a THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dean, the impact of 11 12 Sixth Amendment violation. 12 all of this that you are bringing out, I think, is going to Sounds like a pretty significant conflict to me. 13 have to be vetted at some point at some evidentiary 13 14 I'll submit it to your discretion. hearing, I would imagine. THE COURT: All right. I thought counsel would At this point, the only thing I know to do is to 15 16 cite that case. I have dealt with that issue in other relieve Mr. Woodbury of his obligation or his appointment 17 cases, Mr. Dean. to represent you, then appoint you counsel. 17 You're telling me that you don't want to so it seems pretty clear that at this time the 18 18 19 Court has to relieve Mr. Woodbury of his appointment to represent yourself. You can if you want. You actually have a Sixth Amendment right to represent yourself. 20 represent you. DEFENDANT DEAN: I understand that. 21 Now, the next question is going to be, do you 21 22 want to represent yourself, or do you want to have counsel THE COURT: The choice is yours. You can 22 23 appointed for you? 23 represent yourself if you want. I know you have already said you don't want local Before I would allow you to do that, I would have 24 24 25 counsel. I take that to mean that you want counsel from 25 to canvass you regarding that issue. Because to give up 1 outside this area? 1 the right -- the Sixth Amendment right to counsel is a big DEFENDANT DEAN: Yes. 2 deal. It's one of constitutional dimension, the lawyers THE COURT: Okay. I don't think that was 3 say. 4 anything that was part of -- well, that's a new twist on 4 You don't want to do that, you want to have 5 this. That hasn't been presented to the Court previously. 5 counsel appointed to represent you. I'm going to have to 6 At least I don't think it was in this petition that was 6 frankly noodle on that a little bit and get an attorney to 7 filed with the Court. represent you. Of course, this is the first time I have heard Now, how that attorney proceeds is going to be up 9 from you on the issue, so go ahead. 9 to you and your attorney in light of the information that you have provided there. DEFENDANT DEAN: Thank you. 10 Besides the change in my counsel, what I just Now, I don't -- I'm not going to sit up here and 11 11 12 said was the truth, okay. He confirmed it in his letter, 12 go through the procedure that I think maybe could happen in light of your disclosures here today, or whether we go 14 straight to a sentencing hearing. 14 He just got through representing me through my 15 whole trial, okay. And I got convicted on that, okay. But the point is, this is something that a new 15 Being as that this man is clearly prejudiced 16 attorney is going to have to consult with you on, and you 16 17 and your attorney are going to have to decide how to 17 against African Americans, my trial is compromised. There 18 is no way to look at that, you know what I'm saying, based 18 proceed from here. 19 on the fact that he just disregarded everything I asked him It's something that I can't do for you. 19 20 to ask the witnesses that were getting up on the stand. It's obviously something that Mr. Woodbury can't 20 21 do for you at this point given the breakdown that's I have gone to preliminary hearing with Dave 22 Loreman, for example, in my other case. He asked his 22 happened here between you and your counsel. 23 questions to the -- to the witnesses. And after he And the D.A. can't be involved with this either. 23

24

24 finished with everything, he turned around and asks me

25 politely, respectfully, "Do you have any questions you want

Respondent's Appendix 012

I asked the D.A. for -- the deputy district

25 attorney for what he thinks the law is on this. He told

1 me. I agree with him. I don't think Mr. Woodbury should 1 Elko's small. 2 represent you anymore. THE COURT: It's small, yeah. 2 And we cannot go forward with the sentencing 3 DEFENDANT DEAN: Yes, this is small, okay. And I don't think -- I'm not going to sit here 4 hearing today. We're simply unprepared for a sentencing 5 hearing at this point. 5 and say -- I am not naive, okay. I know it's going to get 6 around, okay, of what just happened here, of what was said The -- the issue for the Court is a very weighty 7 one any time you're sentencing -- any time a judge is 7 here. I know. 8 sentencing someone on charges as serious as this, and with So I ask the Court, please assign me somebody 9 one not even being probatable, that's the attempted munder 9 outside this -- outside of Elko County. 10 with the use of a deadly weapon charge that you - the jury THE COURT: No matter -- that may be true. No 10 returned a quilty verdict on. 11 matter what happens here, we're going to have a transcript 12 produced by our court reporter, and whoever your new Then the Court has to consider the habitual 12 13 criminal count. 13 counsel is is going to see exactly what was said here And there are a whole bunch of things that the 14 today. 14 15 Court has to consider in deciding what sort of sentence to 15 DEFENDANT DEAN: Absolutely. THE COURT: That is why we go on the record. The impose for the deadly weapon enhancement on the first count 16 17 that clearly no one is ready to address today. proceedings are supposed to be transparent. 17 The Court, among other things, in deciding what Okay. Well, I think the only thing I can do at 18 18 19 that enhancement would be, has to consider the facts and 19 this point is continue the sentencing hearing, get new 20 counsel to appoint you -- get new counsel appointed to you, 20 circumstances of the crime, the criminal history of the 21 defendant, the impact of the crime on any victim. go forward and set a new sentencing hearing. 22 mitigating factors presented by the defense, and other 22 So, do we have anything else for today? MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor. 23 relevant information. And it's a nonexhaustive list. 23 THE COURT: All right. Now, I intend to get I was -- I set this hearing on a non-law and 24 24 25 motion day, figuring we would have the time to go through 25 right on this. Today is Thursday. I would think within 1 the next few days the Court will be able to get on the horn 1 all that and I would have evidence regarding that. I can't see, since Mr. Woodbury is going to be 2 with somebody, get an appointment done. 2 I am not going to have you fill out a new 3 relieved of the appointment, going forward today. 4 application for counsel. I know you have been incarcerated You want to have counsel. I have to appoint 5 for a long period of time and that you don't have the 5 counsel to represent you. 6 resources to hire your own counsel. The way it works in Nevada now in the rural So I'm going to go ahead and get you an attorney. 7 counties is basically the judge has to run somelody down The attorney will be in contact with you. 8 and appoint somebody to represent you. The judges still to I have to tell you, I don't know a lot of 9 this day -- although there is a push to change all that, 10 attorneys in Reno or elsewhere. If I decide to go that 10 how that would work, the judge would be taken out of that 11 route, more than likely what I would do is contact whatever 11 loop. 12 appointing authorities there are over there and consult But at this point, the judge is in that loop. 12 13 with them. And the judge has to get a new lawyer to represent your. 13 It's a rather informal process. And the question is, yeah, should I listen to 14 14 Anything else? 15 what you have to say and appoint somebody -- well, I allways 15 MR. MILLS: No. Your Honor. listen to what you have to say. But the question is 16 THE COURT: All right. I think I would expect, 17 whether I grant your request to appoint counsel from 17 18 if I were you, to hear from your attorney by the end of 18 outside this area, which basically would mean oing to 19 next week. Reno, I would think, or even Las Vegas. 19 Anything else for today? But anyway -- yes, Mr. Dean? 20 20 MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor. DEFENDANT DEAN: In light of the fact that after 21 22 the trial, when I turned around, seen no less han ten 22 THE COURT: Mr. Dean? DEFENDANT DEAN: No, thank you. 23 defense attorneys out there, I ask the Court, Please, in 23 THE COURT: Procedurally you and your attorney 24 light of all that -- they are colleagues, okay I am not

25 naive to think that this is not going to get aound Eilko.

25 are going to have to figure out how to go forward in light

Respondent's

of the information you have brought forward in court today. That's something that I definitely cannot interfere with. All right. Court's in recess. (WHEREUPON, the hearing was concluded at 2:24 p.m.) WHEREUPON, the hearing was concluded at 2:24 p.m.)	1
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	<i>L</i> 1
22	11
23	25
24	24
25 29	25
1 STATE OF NEVADA 2) SS. 3 COUNTY OF ELKO) 4 I, LISA M. MANLEY, Official Court Reporter of the Fourth 5 Judicial District Court, Dept. II, of the State of Nevada, 6 in and for the County of Elko, do hereby certify that I was 7 present in court during all the proceedings had in the 8 matter of the State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus 9 SEAN MAURICE DEAN, defendant, heard at Elco, Nevada, on 10 September 29, 2016, and took verbatim stenotype note s 11 thereof; and that the foregoing pages cortain a full, true 12 and correct transcription of my stenotype notes so taken, 13 and a full, true and correct copy of all proceedings had. 14 15 16 17 LISA M. MANLEY · CCR-271 18 OFFICIAL COURT LEPORTER 19 20 21 22 23 24	
25	Respondent's Appendix 014
	Toopondonto Appondix 014

1 STATE OF NEVADA 2 SS. 3 COUNTY OF ELKO I, LISA M. MANLEY, Official Court Reporter of the Fourth 5 Judicial District Court, Dept. II, of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Elko, do hereby certify that I was 6 present in court during all the proceedings had in the 8 matter of the State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus 9 SEAN MAURICE DEAN, defendant, heard at Elko, Nevada, on 10 September 29, 2016, and took verbatim stenotype notes 11 thereof; and that the foregoing pages contain a full, true 12 and correct transcription of my stenotype notes so taken, 13 and a full, true and correct copy of all proceedings had. 14 15 16 17 LISA M. MANLEY - CCR-271 18 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1) Sherk is A Kiggs Tose 2) Did Ary lady mill Prixel Miss The free It is Black Is The may bedy in all Phiers a her 3) Iman'T have a colored Butter Knowles HAVE CENTIL CHARACTURISTICA I's There may bely on the 1900 of There Thinks There is no Think To The 14. Existance of These chance in the All The Defense is Making good to de is get your information from the majoring with ess stand - LIST from the majoring 5 Brief to that some woder med somebody what you rought have heard fixen some Letel Fixed Somen gul age CIPEL-11-9 16 10° 10° EXTENCEDING & SWARE GATALORD Derah no de prest que reconsos straining in frait que recons No Block and

Cocy

This is in response to your Letter of Sept 20

manufactured of some of found of the

you be the last been enting of the like a neck

The stunt that punk as hims pulked with

removes in the Anxiety Atracks. Judge kaking Knew it was a Diety as I we also, Just by his reported of the control of the part of the demand of an hotion to kning up the Rullshit The D.A? office and Demay, and buff get Away with was to tally Bullshit. But These issues are only a small part

of whole replied of the fucked of leight row.

Togethere, There were two Fronts we were going to affect which, the First Pring. Trying to establish that we work that here had been the reaction that with land to enter he peoplied that with here and the reaction that are enter here with here and the reaction that are entered with he peoplied to the peoplied that are entered in the dated centry to affect you. But so Fucking had, he looked ready to affect you. But so Fucking had, he looked ready to affect you. But so his this of the unite field being the entered to any the entered to any the people of the people in the field being the people of the people in the people of th

Street St

Light was shirt on the present the window, Now the respect to the "Toke the state the state

So now here the Dear Traine. It, you, Did Alor of processing the past of the LEST d'Arrice to discredit her. I ever inhoduced you to sometime many of medical president the man deliver in TESHIFURN HEAR TEST HOUSENED IN THE LAST MINHE YOU PULL THE CUE OUT FROM UNDER HE. IF I TESTIFIED, and DENISE Took like the transfol word of reak its Lake really read, And if the didre were the pinth and the model in the Fol where each to were the imp a line. You know for are a real and a complete and a Lodge Down on Methode, and selling 4 is even masi page check would comply at 4 content on the stand and Sely I had anything to do whith that This receive a distribution of used the men or they we in a suit, so it there has been weed in which have the han recitor, its the creditions on the line, not are the fill was a contract to a phone, whereder, in the

creditate, her her kards were clear, And we rath know

The entire some constructions of the contract open there is no entire to the contract open there is no entire to the contract open the con

testification of the Tolich know one of the warms who

The same of the sa The will have the top of the The Continue of the continues of the property of the Continue a leasen reign on a record in a longer out Arand sono is on our with the interest of the what there cetaling, The contract of the contract o of will make the sound of the love of the MAN FOR THE COUNTY IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA TOWN CHOCK PARTY OF THE PARTY O Market Commence of the Commenc the first of the second of THE MORNING TO STREET THE DEAN ARTHUR LANGE Respondent's Appendix 020

The Control of the Control of the

The second section of the sect CETS TORK OF THE the peching of service the desire and but and but the wind of the ENTITUDES TO THE CONTRACTOR AFFERES CLESS ON ON THE Payker making the premiers, spened the door by Calmid " THE ON WE COUNTY, HE WAR OF ESCALLY TO ONE COME. En monte expression of the deglar contect ind specifical and kind streeted veiling review that the The state of the s of the continuous and readily to the continuous fixile production Keally, you know, Cites Figure in months in the House exposed the During your you suggested had not a read build use Divine the Use of the second o White mercan the first property and the property of the contractions Line to the passing the possible that do not a Enter Promise Production of the State of the CHARTATATIC CAN'T WERE CONTROLLED TO THE CONTROL THE CONTROL TO THE CONTROL THE CONTROL TO THE CONTROL THE CONTROL THE CONTROL THE CONTROL Respondent's Appendix 022

in Comment of active sections were building F. Mar vor 10 description 1 reconstruction of Here I appeals to the or of the contract of th Redrech to the one the of which order our 100 CONT SON AND A SERVICE SCHOOL OF THESE SON COLD I hook to the case sector, who is not a way show the DEN SAME RELIEF DOR SWILL DONNE DONNER DEN Less frances the sample service ! Look To didn't have tred town legal pay his organia. I A KONTO TO THE OF THE CONTOCKED TO A SHOP CAN THE And Creating your month control with your like the cut I got Between my sid it has Finger, Sid I god I not Cutt on my hard; Croy Disputed a Stelling multiple ? when I Insued you and bound and but the men to be thank, and TRISTSUPPET TO ACCOUNT MARK COSTO I CIE. difference 2 - Will Permit the many in the Party A. C. Carrier

Respondent's Appendix 023

Million March 1988 And Control of the Control of th

RECEIVED
JUN - 2 2017

Dear Sean:

I received your letter of September 23 today. I want to respond to it prior to sentencing.

PICKING ON DUFF

There is little consistency between what we try to accomplish at preliminary hearing and at trial. First, since getting bound over at preliminary hearing is practically a cinch, little consideration is given to the magistrate's reaction to the questioning or behavior I engage in before him.

My purpose is generally, as it was in your case, to pin down the witnesses to their positions, to explore and discover facts and circumstances, both those that appear in the reports, but also others that seem probable even though not written down, and to watch the witnesses behavior in response to questioning. I'm sure there are dozens of other things going on, but for my purposes in this letter, the above will suffice.

For the most part, conducting a good preliminary hearing sets the stage for a good trial. I didn't need to make Duff mad at me during trial. Had I done so, the jury would reasonably have concluded I was playing lawyer games with him and that he's actually a pitiful little soul who didn't deserve that kind of treatment, particularly from a lawyer who's showing off.

The things Denise did to Duff, particularly spending all his rental dough in slot machines, left virtually no possibility the jury would conclude he wasn't mad at her, and that when he said he wasn't, he was lying.

THE DOPE QUESTION

Over time I've done a number of trials involving folks in the drug world. I am also aware of and have had discussions with the involved lawyers about a number of other trials done by other folks as well that have drugs in the background. I will give you a small example of the trouble that engenders.

A few years ago a guy living in the drug world shot his best friend who also lived in the drug world. The virtually undisputed facts of the case and the law were that my guy, the shooter, had a perfect right to shoot his friend in self-defense. The jury convicted him in less than two hours. The general public these days has little regard for folks living in the drug world.

I knew all that when we started to look at Denise's drug stuff. The first problem was, I didn't have a unassailable way to keep you out of that world if I brought her into it. If I made her a drug seller or user, you were going to be in there

too. The question was whether the value we'd get by putting her in that world would outweigh the cost of the jury figuring you were in it too.

When we started looking at it, I was undecided whether to make Duff or Denise, or both of them, involved in the conspiracy to get you out of the picture. I ultimately settled on Duff leading the show. That decision was based on what I knew Denise would look like in front of the jury. You will recall me repeatedly asking why you hooked up with such a dumb woman.

I balanced that against the question of whether I could make her look smarter with the games she was playing with you on the texts.

My initial theory, which you know, was to have her throw you out of the house shortly after November 12 because your episode with Christina Hodges had the cops watching Denise's house trying to find you and that loused up her drug sales or alternatively that her drug supplier told her to get you out of the house or her supply was going to be cut off.

Step two was to have Denise decide she had to get rid of you for good because you wouldn't leave her alone, so she sat up the Sean and Duff fight to get you in jail.

Step three was it seemed like we needed to get Duff and Denise doped up enough to make them stabbing each other or themselves in order to get you gone believable to the jury.

Let me add that by the time of trial ended we had Duff lit up like a Christmas tree on the medications he was getting from his doctor.

There are probably a half a dozen more specific things I was thinking about too, but I' running out of time. I want you to clearly understand that your credibility was directly on the line whether you testified or not. Your statement to the cops about the event was in evidence.

Kolsch never did come up with her supplier, or more precisely he did come up with her but there was no way to get her to talk. As a result, we were going to have to rely on Denise, Darrell Palmer, you and closing argument.

The decision to not put that stuff on was made just before the end of the trial. We were ready to do it, but when I weighed the advantages against the potential costs and how I thought we had done that far, and I made the decision not to.

THE DOCTOR'S TESTIMONY

- الحـ

I got caught with the Doctor's testimony. The depth of the wound was not reported by him. I concluded it had to be superficial. If you recall, he was essentially

speculating about the depth of the wound based on Duff's fat. The injury was minor because it didn't penetrate the perimortem, but the figure 3 ½ inches made me nervous. That happens. There wasn't any way to avoid the testimony in any event.

I'M A RACIST BIGOT



I don't recall what exactly was going on when I wrote that Joe Schenk was a nigger too in my notes.

I spent a whole lot of hours thinking about your race and figuring out what to do to make sure you got a fair trial in Elko in front of a white jury. Actually, I went to some lengths to try to get you a better than fair trial.

I also spent a considerable period of time thinking about what to do with Schenk. He was, in some ways, the most difficult witness to handle because I had to put him in the conspiracy with Duff and/or Denise. Otherwise, he didn't have any axe to grind with you.

He was also a problem because Duff didn't seem to mind having Schenk for a son-in-law of sorts. There was a substantial period of time I was considering that Duff, finding himself in competition for Denise's affections, with you had probably thought about your race, but his acceptance of Schenk was a problem. Black men and white women are on the mind of lots of white guys and it was clear there were going to be white guys on the jury.

In the abstract, if the circumstances of the case had been different, I might well have played on black stereotyping by white jurors to get at him.



So I guess my point is that if you think me writing the word nigger in my notes has racial significance, so be it. I've called you a lot worse names than that for getting hooked up and staying with a 5'9" fat lady who sells dope and gambles away everything she's got.

RACIST ELKO



I was informed by one of the suits that showed up at the verdict that the word was out on the street that we might have pulled it off. The suits were all defense lawyers. Nobody on this planet, including you, is totally racially neutral, but for the most part it doesn't have much to do with anything.

I don't expect you to see it, but persuading a jury that a guy with six or 7 stab wounds, who's claiming his ex-wife's boyfriend with a half a dozen prior felony convictions did it, actually inflicted them on himself or had his ex wife inflict them is a pretty heavy load. That is particularly so when there are four eye-witnesses saying the boy friend did it.

CLOSING

I realize I haven't answered all of your letter. What is clear is that you are of the opinion that I'm incompetent and/or that racial bigotry played a part in the quality of the defense I provided. Either accusation requires me to inform the court of your opinion and request to be relieved as your counsel.

I gave you a lecture before you got sentenced in the strangling case a few years ago, if you remember. The point of the lecture was that based on the number of conviction you had it was pretty clear you were a lousy criminal because you keep getting caught and convicted.

I told you that you were at a point where bad things were going to happen to you if you didn't stay a long ways away from situations that might go to hell. That independent of the facts, there would be a presumption that you were guilty as hell of something. What's good for Sean Dean wasn't in play anymore. Getting Sean Dean off the street was all that would be in play for prosecutors and judges the next time around.

You looked me right straight in the eye and told me you understood that. I think you did. There's no doubt a lot of fault in various places for you being in the pickle you're in. Some of it is yours.

Gary D. Woodbury

PETITIONER EXHIBIT N	NO:
CASE NO.: CV-HC-17-7	11 / DEAN, Sean
DISTRICT COURT: JUE	GE ALVIN R. KACIN
DATE MARKED: 11/19/1	19 / /
DATE ADMITTED:	11/20/19
CLERK: DM	

The Biggest PRUBLEM we're PACING IS The DR. WARD SAID one of The 12. STAB would went in 3/2 liches. That Tends to MAKE you liable on HERREHUES - The ATTEM pred MURDEL Charge. My grestien is Whether you know, in A KNIR Fight, whether you don'T JUST STAB, you Also SLICE While The blode is in The gog, FUE DEVER Known envoice Point Just one or Who other it Depender on the person I guess, and How Femilise they are with using A Fix heur ben in a knife fight. It you intent is to kill someone. Slicing someone isn't come to get the bob some, you have to polk that person.

Respondent's Appendix 030

PETITIONER EXHIBIT NO:

CASE NO.: CV-HC-17-711 / DEAN, Sean
DISTRICT COURT: JUDGE ALVIN R. KACIN
DATE MARKED: 11/19/19
DATE ADMITTED: 11/20/19
CLERK: DM