

Electronically Filed
May 28 2020 01:56 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

1 **NOAS**
2 MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ.
3 Nevada Bar No. 5132
4 MSimons@SHJNevada.com
5 **SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC**
6 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46
7 Reno, Nevada 89509
8 Telephone: (775) 785-0088
9 Facsimile: (775) 785-0087
10
11 *Attorneys for Nanyah Vegas, LLC*

12 **DISTRICT COURT**
13
14 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

15 CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS A.
16 HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
17 CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
18 Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
19 INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
20 LLC, A Nevada limited liability company,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as Trustee
24 of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
25 ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
26 company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
27 CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

29 NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
30 company,

31 Plaintiff,

32 v.

33 TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;
34 PETER ELIADAS, individually and as Trustee of
35 the The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
36 SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
37 of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
38 IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
39 company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
40 CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

41 Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-13-686303-C
DEPT. NO.: XXVII

CONSOLIDATED WITH:
CASE NO.: A-16-746239-C

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that Nanyah Vegas, LLC, by and through its attorney Mark G. Simons of SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court for the following:

1. 5/4/2020 Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees (**Exhibit 1**);
2. 5/4/2020 Judgment (**Exhibit 2**);¹
3. 5/5/2020 Order: (1) Granting Rogich Defendants' Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs; and (2) Denying Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Rogich Defendants (**Exhibit 3**); and
4. 5/5/2020 Judgment Regarding Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs in Favor of the Rogich Defendants (**Exhibit 4**).

AFFIRMATION: This document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 21st day of May, 2020.

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., #F-46
Reno, Nevada, 89509



MARK G. SIMONS
Attorney for Nanyah Vegas, LLC

¹ The Judgment includes an award of costs. A separate order awarding costs was not entered by the Court.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b) and EDCR 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC and that on this date I caused to be served a true copy of
the **NOTICE OF APPEAL** on all parties to this action in the following manner:

(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2, the above-
referenced document was electronically filed on the date hereof and **served through the
Notice of Electronic Filing automatically generated by the Court's facilities to those
parties listed on the Court's Master Service List.**

DATED this 21st day of May, 2020.




Employee of Simons Hall Johnston PC

EXHIBIT LIST

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGES
1	Order re Eliades, et al.	4
2	Judgment re Eliades, et al.	2
3	Order re Rogich	6
4	Judgment re Rogich	2

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



ORDR (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY

Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN

Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS
PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES**

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

Defendants Peter Eliades (“Eliades”) and Teld, LLC’s (“Teld”) Motion for Attorney’s Fees (the “Motion for Attorney’s Fees”) originally came before the Court on January 30, 2020. At that time, the Court granted the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, but also ordered that Eliades and Teld submit supplemental briefing in the form of an affidavit or declaration from their counsel, showing how their request for \$216,236.25 was apportioned between Eliades, Teld, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 (the “Eliades Trust”), and Eldorado Hills, LLC (“Eldorado Hills”), and to what extent Eliades and Teld claimed that apportionment is impracticable due to the interrelationship between the claims and parties. Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration was filed on February 21, 2020. Nanyah Vegas, LLC (“Nanyah”) filed a response to Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration on March 20, 2020. On March 31, 2020, the Court issued a minute order providing its final ruling on the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, which is set forth in detail below.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Section 9(d) of the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (the “MIPA”) contains the following prevailing party attorney’s fees provision.

In the event that any action or proceeding is instituted to interpret or enforce the terms and provisions of this Agreement, however, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its costs and attorney’s fees, in addition to any other relief it may obtain or be entitled to.

- Eliades and Teld are both parties to the MIPA.
- Nanyah sued both Eliades and Teld for alleged breaches of the MIPA. In doing so, Nanyah alleged that it was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA.
- Any finding of fact set forth herein more appropriately designated as a conclusion of law shall be so designated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Court finds that Nanyah was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA. Thus, Nanyah is bound by Section 9(d) of the MIPA. *Canfora v. Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc.* 121 Nev. 771, 779, 121 P.3d 599, 604 (2005).
- The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld are the prevailing parties against Nanyah under Section 9(d) of the MIPA, as this Court previously entered summary judgment in their favor

1 and dismissed all of Nanyah's claims against them. Thus, Eliades and Teld are entitled to
2 reimbursement of their reasonable attorney's fees from Nanyah.

- 3 ➤ "Generally, in calculating attorney's fees, the court should consider the qualities of the
4 advocate, the character of the work to be done, the work actually performed by the lawyer,
5 and the result." *Hornwood v. Smith's Food King No. 1*, 107 Nev. 80, 87, 807 P.2d 208, 213
6 (1991) (citing to *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33
7 (1969)).
- 8 ➤ The Court further finds that Eliades and Teld have fulfilled the *Brunzell* factors above.
9 Specifically, the Court finds that Eliades and Teld's counsel are qualified advocates, that the
10 character of their work and the work performed were reasonable and justified, and that the
11 result they obtained for Eliades and Teld—entry of summary judgment—was successful.
- 12 ➤ The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld's counsel's hourly rates are reasonable in this
13 community for complex commercial litigation and allowed by courts in Nevada for
14 professional services rendered in complex commercial litigation.
- 15 ➤ If the Court ultimately determines that apportionment is impracticable because the claims and
16 parties are interrelated, the Court has the discretion to decline apportionment. *Mayfield v.*
17 *Koroghli*, 124 Nev. 343, 353-54, 184 P.3d 362, 369 (2008). However, the Court is first
18 required to make a good faith effort to apportion attorney's fees, considering that
19 Bailey ♦ Kennedy also represented Eldorado Hills and the Eliades Trust (two non-parties to
20 the MIPA) in this consolidated action.
- 21 ➤ The Court therefore requested a supplemental affidavit or declaration from Eliades and
22 Teld's undersigned counsel relating to apportionment. Following this Court's review of the
23 supplemental declaration as well as Nanyah's response thereto, the Court finds that Eliades
24 and Teld apportioned attorney's fees between them and the Eliades Trust/Eldorado Hills (two
25 non-parties to the MIPA) when practicable, such as with respect to a Motion for Summary
26 Judgment filed solely on Eldorado Hills' behalf, which was omitted from Eliades and Teld's
27 request for attorney's fees. For the remainder, the Court finds that it was impracticable to
28 apportion attorney's fees because of the interrelationship between the parties and claims.

- 1 ➤ Accordingly, the Court finds that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
2 Teld as reimbursement for their incurred attorney's fees.
3 ➤ Any conclusion of law set forth herein more appropriately designated as a finding of fact
4 shall be so designated.

5 **ORDER**

6 The Court, having heard oral argument, having reviewed the papers, exhibits, and pleadings
7 on file, and having considered the same, and for the reasons stated upon the record, GRANTS the
8 Motion for Attorney's Fees and orders that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
9 Teld. The Court will issue a separate monetary judgment for that amount.

10 DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.

11
12 
13 _____
14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

15 Submitted by:


16 BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

17 By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
18 Dennis Kennedy, Esq.
19 Joseph Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

20 *Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES,*
21 *THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF*
22 *10/30/08, TELD, LLC and ELDORADO*
HILLS, LLC

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2



JUDG (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

JUDGMENT

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

1 Judgment is entered in favor of Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC
2 in the amount of two hundred and sixteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-six and 25/100 dollars
3 (\$216,236.25). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of Judgment until paid in full.

4 Judgment is also entered in favor of Peter Eliades, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08,
5 Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of thirty-one
6 thousand, ten and 98/100 dollars (\$31,010.98). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of
7 Judgment until paid in full.

8
9 DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.

10
11 Nancy L Alf
12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

13 Submitted by:

14 BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

15
16 By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
17 Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq.
18 Joseph A. Liebman, Esq.
19 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
20 Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302
21 *Attorneys for Defendants* PETE ELIADES,
22 THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
23 TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3



ORDR

Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500

Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH
DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION
FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS; AND (2) DENYING
NANYAH'S MOTION TO RETAX
COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH
DEFENDANTS**

Date of Hearing: January 30, 2020

Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

1 **ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR**
2 **ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS; AND (2) DENYING NANYAH'S MOTION TO**
3 **RETAX COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

4 Defendants SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust
5 ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC
6 ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the
7 "Rogich Defendants"), filed their Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Attorneys'
8 Fees Motion") on October 22, 2019; Plaintiff NANYAH VEGAS, LLC ("Nanyah") filed its
9 Opposition to such Attorneys' Fees Motion on January 8, 2020; the Rogich Defendants filed
10 their Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

11 Nanyah filed its Motion to Retax Costs submitted by the Rogich Defendants'
12 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements ("Motion to Retax Costs") on October 16, 2019; the
13 Rogich Defendants filed their Opposition to such Motion to Retax on January 9, 2020; and
14 Nanyah filed its Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

15 Both of the aforementioned Motions having come for hearing on **January 30, 2020** at
16 **11:00 a.m.**, with all parties being represented by their undersigned counsel of record; Mark
17 Simons, Esq., having appeared at the hearing on behalf of Nanyah, and Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq.,
18 having appeared at the hearing on behalf of the Rogich Defendants; the Court having requested a
19 supplemental declaration from counsel for the Rogich Defendants regarding the redactions and
20 block billings provided for within its Motion ("Supplemental Declaration"); the Rogich
21 Defendants having filed their Supplemental Declaration on February 28, 2020; Nanyah having
22 filed its response ("Nanyah's Response") to the Supplemental Declaration on March 19, 2020;
23 the Court having reviewed and considered all papers on file in this matter, including without
24 limitation the Supplemental Declaration and Nanyah's Response thereto, as well as having heard
25 and considered arguments made by counsel at the time of the hearing on this matter, good cause
26 appearing, hereby issues the following findings of fact, conclusions of law¹, and Order:

27 _____
28 ¹ If any findings of fact are more appropriately deemed conclusions of law or *vice versa*, they are so deemed.

1 **FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

2 1. The Court finds that while it is not appropriate to award attorneys' fees to the
3 Rogich Defendants under NRS 18, it is appropriate for this Court to award the Rogich
4 Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NRCP 68;

5 2. The Court finds that the Offer of Judgment served on Nanyah on October 29, 2018
6 (the "Offer") pursuant to NRCP 68 was made in good faith, both in in timing and amount, and, as
7 such, the fees incurred thereafter by the Rogich Defendants, in the principal amount of
8 \$541,021.50, were appropriate, reasonable and justified, and are hereby awarded;

9 3. The Court finds that the it was grossly unreasonable – not in bad faith – but
10 grossly unreasonable for Nanyah not to accept the Offer;

11 4. That in awarding fees, the Court considers: (1) the qualities of the advocate: his
12 ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the
13 work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the
14 responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the
15 importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and
16 attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits
17 were derived. Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969).

18 5. That after review, the Declarations submitted by the Rogich Defendants' counsel
19 justify the award of fees as requested, and finds that the Rogich Defendants have fully satisfied
20 each of the Brunzell factors as set forth therein. Id.;

21 6. Specifically, the Court finds that the Rogich Defendants' counsel are qualified
22 advocates, that the work performed and hours spent were reasonable, justified and not
23 duplicative, and that the result obtained, including dismissal of the Rogich Trust and summary
24 judgment in favor of Rogich and Imitations, was successful;

25 7. The Court further finds that although there was some block billing, there was
26 sufficient detail that the Court could determine that the time was reasonably spent;

27 8. The Court further finds that the hourly rate was very low compared to the skill of
28 the attorney;

1 9. The Court further finds that although there were some redactions on the attorneys'
2 fee invoices, the Court finds there was no churning or duplicative work and the work was
3 advanced in good faith;

4 10. The Court further reiterates its finding that all of the costs included in the Rogich
5 Defendants' Amended Memorandum of Costs in the amount of \$39,748.55 are justified,
6 including copying charges, filing fees, messenger fees, postage, Secretary of State copy of
7 records, service of process and Westlaw expenses, all of which costs have previously been
8 awarded to the Rogich Defendants; and

9 11. The Court further finds that with respect to the Westlaw expenses, they are
10 significantly less than the other Defendants when the Rogich Defendants were in the case much
11 longer and had the laboring oar of defense.

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That Rogich Defendants' Attorneys' Fees Motion is hereby GRANTED as set forth herein;
2. That Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs was previously DENIED;
3. That, pursuant to NRCP 68, the Rogich Defendants are entitled and are hereby awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$541,021.50**;
4. That the Rogich Defendants were previously awarded their costs as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$39,748.55**;
5. That the awards of attorneys' fees and costs to the Rogich Defendants shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

Nanyah Vegas, LLC v. Teld, LLC et al.
Case No.: A-13-686303-C
Consolidated With:
Case No.: A-16-746239-C

of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.

DATED: May 5, 2020.

Nancy L Alf
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants


APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: Did Not Sign
Mark Simons, Esq.
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste F-46
Reno, NV 89509
Attorneys for Nanyah Vegas, LLC

By: Did Not Sign
Joseph Liebman, Esq.
BAILEY KENNEDY
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148
*Attorneys for Pete Eliades, The Eliades
Survivor Trust, TELD, LLC, and
Eldorado Hills, LLC*

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4



JUDG (CIV)
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Telephone: (702) 385-2500
Facsimile: (702) 385-2086
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
IN FAVOR OF
THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

Nanyah Vegas, LLC v. Teld, LLC et al.
Case No.: A-13-686303-C
Consolidated With:
Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IN
FAVOR OF THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

Judgment is hereby entered in favor of SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the "Rogich Defendants") and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC, in the amount of \$541,021.50 for reasonable attorneys' fees, and additionally in the amount of \$39,748.55 for reasonable costs, for a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the total principal amount of **\$580,770.05**. Said amount shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.


DATED: May 5, 2020.


DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants



ASTA
MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 5132
MSimons@SHJNevada.com
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46
Reno, Nevada 89509
Telephone: (775) 785-0088
Facsimile: (775) 785-0087

Attorneys for Nanyah Vegas, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; PETER ELIADAS, individually and as Trustee of the The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-13-686303-C
DEPT. NO.: XXVII

CONSOLIDATED WITH:
CASE NO.: A-16-746239-C

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46
Reno, NV 89509
Phone: (775) 785-0088

Pursuant to NRAP 3(f), Nanyah Vegas, LLC ("Nanyah") submits the following Case Appeal Statement:

1. The district court case number and caption showing the names of all of the proceedings below are both set forth above in the caption to this Case Appeal Statement.

2. Judge issuing decision, judgment or order appealed from: Honorable Nancy L. Allf.

3. Appellant and Appellant's counsel:

- NANYAH VEGAS, LLC

c/o Mark G. Simons, Esq. (SBN 5132)
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46
Reno, Nevada 89509
Telephone: (775) 785-0088

4. Respondents and Respondents' counsel:

- SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST, LLC
- IMITATIONS, LLC

c/o Brenoch Wirthlin
Hutchison & Steffen
Peccole Professional Park
10080 West Alta Drive, STe. 200
Las Vegas, NV 89145

- ELDORADO HILLS, LLC
- TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company
- PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of the THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08

c/o Dennis Kennedy, Esq.
Joseph Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

5. Whether any identified attorney is not licensed to practice law in Nevada: No.

1 **6. Whether Appellant was represented by appointed counsel in district**
2 **court or on appeal:** No. Appellant has been and will continue to be represented by
3 retained counsel.

4 **7. Whether Appellant was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*:**
5
6 No.

7 **8. Date that proceedings commenced in district court:**

- 8 a. Case No.: A-13-686303-C was commenced on July 31, 2013 (the
9 "First Action").
10 b. Case No.: A-16-746239-C was commenced on November 4, 2016
11 (the "Second Action").

12 These actions were consolidated pursuant to Stipulation and Order dated April 5, 2017.

13 **9. A brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district**
14 **court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted**
15 **by the district court:**

16 This action stems from Nanyah's investment of \$1.5 million into Eldorado Hills,
17 LLC ("Eldorado"). The District Court entered a series of orders dismissing Nanyah's
18 claims in total. Those decisions are subject to Appeal Number 79917.
19

20 The current appeal arises out of the District Court's granting an award of attorneys'
21 fees and costs in favor of (1) PETER ELIADES and TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
22 company; (2) PETER ELIADES, THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08; TELD,
23 LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC; and (3) SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as
24 Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST and IMITATIONS, LLC.
25

26 **10. Whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal or**
27 **original proceeding:** Yes.
28

1 A. Appeal No. 66823. On February 12, 2016, the Nevada Supreme Court
2 previously reversed the District Court on her incorrect application of law relating to the
3 statute of limitations seeking to bar Nanyah's claim of unjust enrichment against
4 Eldorado.

5
6 B. Appeal No. 79072. After the District Court dismissed the claims against the
7 Rogich Trust, Nanyah filed a Petition for Writ of Mandamus, or in the Alternative,
8 Prohibition (the "Writ"). The District Court accepted Nanyah's Writ and on July 25, 2019,
9 issued its Order Directing Answer. After various extensions to answer were obtained, the
10 District Court entered its October 4, 2019, Decision dismissing all remaining claims
11 against the parties. As a result, Rogich and the Rogich Trust filed an Emergency Motion
12 to Dismiss the Writ given that an appeal was available due to the District Court's ruling
13 concluding all claims. On October 23, 2019, this Court entered its Order dismissing the
14 Writ since this appeal was available.
15

16 C. Appeal No. 79917. Appeal of the District Court's various decisions
17 dismissing Nanyah's claims in total.

18 D. Appeal No. 81038. Appeal of the District Court's granting an award of
19 attorneys' fees in favor of PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of the ELIADES
20 SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08 and TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company.
21

22 **11. Whether the appeal involves child custody or visitation:** No.

23 **12. Whether the appeal involves the possibility of settlement:** The parties
24 have previously sought to settle this case without success.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

AFFIRMATION: This document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this 21st day of May, 2020.

SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., #F-46
Reno, Nevada, 89509

MARK G. SIMONS
Attorney for Nanyah Vegas, LLC


SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste. F-46
Reno, NV 89509
Phone: (775) 785-0088

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b) and EDCR 8.05, I certify that I am an employee of
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC and that on this date I caused to be served a true copy of
the **CASE APPEAL STATEMENT** on all parties to this action in the following manner:

(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2, the above-
referenced document was electronically filed on the date hereof and **served through the**
Notice of Electronic Filing automatically generated by the Court's facilities to those
parties listed on the Court's Master Service List.

DATED this 21st day of May, 2020.


Employee of Simons Hall Johnston PC

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C****Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)****vs.****Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)**§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Location: **Department 27**
 Judicial Officer: **Allf, Nancy**
 Filed on: **07/31/2013**
 Cross-Reference Case Number: **A686303**
 Supreme Court No.: **66823**
67595
70492
79917
81038

CASE INFORMATION**Related Cases**

A-16-746239-C (Consolidated)

Case Type: **Breach of Contract**Subtype: **Other Contracts/Acc/Judgment****Statistical Closures**

02/21/2020 Summary Judgment

03/22/2017 Summary Judgment

02/23/2015 Summary Judgment

Case Status: **02/21/2020 Closed****DATE****CASE ASSIGNMENT****Current Case Assignment**

Case Number A-13-686303-C
 Court Department 27
 Date Assigned 07/31/2013
 Judicial Officer Allf, Nancy

PARTY INFORMATION






		<i>Lead Attorneys</i>
Plaintiff	Alexander Christopher Trust Removed: 10/04/2019 Dismissed	Barnabi, Charles E. <i>Retained</i> 702-475-8903(W)
	Huerta, Carlos A	Barnabi, Charles E. <i>Retained</i> 702-475-8903(W)
	Nanyah Vegas LLC	Simons, Mark G <i>Retained</i> 775-785-0088(W)
	Ray, Robert Removed: 10/21/2013 Inactive	
Defendant	Eldorado Hills LLC	Kennedy, Dennis L. <i>Retained</i> 7025628820(W)
	Rogich, Sig Removed: 11/05/2014 Dismissed	Wirthlin, Brenoch <i>Retained</i> 702-385-2500(W)
Consolidated Case Party	Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03	
	Eliades, Peter Peter Eliades Removed: 04/26/2017	Kennedy, Dennis L. <i>Retained</i>

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

Data Entry Error















7025628820(W)

Sigmund Rogich**Wirthlin, Brenoch**
Retained
702-385-2500(W)**TELD, LLC****Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC****Kennedy, Dennis L.**
Retained
7025628820(W)**Counter Defendant Alexander Christopher Trust****Barnabi, Charles E.**
Retained
702-475-8903(W)**Go Global Inc****McDonald, Brandon B**
Retained
702-385-7411(W)**Huerta, Carlos A****Other Plaintiff Go Global Inc****McDonald, Brandon B**
Retained
702-385-7411(W)**Trustee Eliades, Peter**
Removed: 10/05/2018
Dismissed**Huerta, Carlos A****Barnabi, Charles E.**
Retained
702-475-8903(W)**Rogich, Sig****Wirthlin, Brenoch**
Retained
702-385-2500(W)

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
	<u>EVENTS</u>	
07/31/2013	 Complaint Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Complaint</i>	
07/31/2013	Case Opened	
08/01/2013	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)</i>	
08/30/2013	 Proof of Service Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Proof of Service - Eldorado Hills LLC</i>	
09/12/2013	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure</i>	
09/12/2013	 Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>(Vacated 10/30/2013) Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion to Dismiss</i>	


CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

09/18/2013	 Proof of Service Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Proof of Service - Sig Rogich aka Sigmund Rogich</i>
10/11/2013	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Motion Hearings</i>
10/21/2013	 Amended Complaint Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>First Amended Complaint</i>
10/30/2013	 Notice Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice Vacating Its Motion to Dismiss</i>
10/30/2013	 Notice Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills LLC's Notice Vacating Its Motion to Dismiss</i>
11/08/2013	 Answer and Counterclaim Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Answer to First Amended Complaint and Counterclaim</i>
01/09/2014	 Joint Case Conference Report Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Joint Case Conference Report</i>
02/12/2014	 Commissioners Decision on Request for Exemption - Granted <i>Commissioner's Decision on Request for Exemption - Granted</i>
02/14/2014	 Arbitration File <i>Arbitration File</i>
02/20/2014	 Answer to Counterclaim Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Answer to Counterclaim</i>
02/20/2014	 Scheduling Order <i>Scheduling Order</i>
03/12/2014	 Order Setting Civil Bench Trial <i>Order Setting Civil Bench Trial, Pre-Trial/Calendar Call</i>
04/30/2014	 Motion for Leave to File Party: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendants' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer on an Order Shortening Time</i>
07/25/2014	 Notice of Hearing Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Notice of Hearing</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

07/25/2014	 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
08/11/2014	 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendant Sig Rogich, Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
08/13/2014	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
08/14/2014	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Initial Appearance and Fee Disclosure</i>
08/25/2014	 Countermotion For Partial Summary Judgment Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
09/02/2014	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Reply to Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
09/08/2014	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
09/09/2014	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Certificate of Service</i>
09/10/2014	 Errata Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Errata</i>
09/12/2014	 Motion to Compel Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendants' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on Order Shortening Time</i>
09/16/2014	 Amended Answer Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Amended Answer to First Amended Complaint; and Counterclaim Jury Demand</i>
09/18/2014	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Reply to Opposition to Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
09/19/2014	 Opposition to Motion to Compel Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on an Order Shortening Time</i>













CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

09/19/2014	 Notice of Withdrawal of Motion Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs' Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
09/22/2014	 Motion to Continue Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery on an Order Shortening Time</i>
09/22/2014	 Certificate of Service <i>Certificate of Service</i>
09/25/2014	 Amended Certificate of Service Party: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Amended Certificate of Service</i>
09/25/2014	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendants Opposition to Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery</i>
09/30/2014	 Motion to Continue Trial Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Motion to Continue Trial on an Order Shortening Time (First Request)</i>
09/30/2014	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Certificate of Service</i>
10/01/2014	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
10/01/2014	 Order Granting Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment</i>
10/02/2014	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Opposition to Motion to Continue Trial</i>
10/06/2014	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Motion to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time</i>
10/30/2014	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
10/30/2014	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
11/05/2014	 Order Granting Summary Judgment














CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment</i>
11/06/2014	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Entry of Order Granting Partial Summary Judgment</i>
11/07/2014	 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements</i>
11/19/2014	 Motion for Attorney Fees Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
12/05/2014	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
12/11/2014	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing on Motion Hearing</i>
12/15/2014	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
12/30/2014	 Reply in Support Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendant's Reply In Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
01/16/2015	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings: Defendant Sig Rogich, Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time - Ruling - October 8, 2014</i>
01/28/2015	 Notice Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Transcript Request</i>
02/10/2015	 Order Granting Motion Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Order Granting Motion For Award of Attorneys Fees</i>
02/11/2015	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order Granting Award of Attorneys Fees</i>
02/23/2015	 Judgment Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>FINAL JUDGMENT</i>
02/24/2015	








CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	 Notice of Entry of Judgment Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Final Judgment</i>
03/13/2015	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Recorder's Partial Transcript of Proceedings: Notice of Hearing Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Partial Summary Judgment - September 11, 2014</i>
03/13/2015	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
03/13/2015	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
03/17/2015	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Notice of Hearing Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Partial Summary Judgment - September 11, 2014</i>
04/25/2015	 Request Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Transcript Request</i>
06/15/2015	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Partial Transcript - Excludes Ruling Defendant, Sig Rogich Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue Trial on Order Shortening Time - October 8, 2014</i>
11/20/2015	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Defendant's Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs - January 15, 2015</i>
02/22/2016	 Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
02/22/2016	 Order <i>Order Setting Status Check</i>
03/07/2016	 Opposition Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration for Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
03/14/2016	 Supplement to Opposition Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Supplement to Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration for Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
03/22/2016	 Reply to Opposition









CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' (A) Reply to Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; and (B) Request for Oral Argument</i>
03/22/2016	 Application Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Application to Set Oral Argument on Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
04/04/2016	 Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Substitution of Attorneys</i>
04/04/2016	 Supplement Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Supplement to Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
04/28/2016	 Order Denying Motion Filed By: Counter Defendant Alexander Christopher Trust <i>Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
04/29/2016	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i>
04/29/2016	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment -Remanded <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Reversed and Remand; Rehearing Denied</i>
05/16/2016	 Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Substitution of Counsel</i>
05/25/2016	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
05/25/2016	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
05/27/2016	 Notice of Posting Bond Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Notice of Posting Bond</i>
07/21/2016	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Affirmed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Affirmed</i>
07/28/2016	 Declaration Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Declaration of Samuel S. Lionel in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

07/28/2016	 Motion for Attorney Fees Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>(Withdrawn 8/30/16) Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
07/29/2016	 Amended Certificate of Service Party: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Amended Certificate of Service</i>
08/12/2016	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
08/24/2016	 Reply in Support Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Reply in Support of Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i>
08/30/2016	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Stipulation and Order to Withdraw Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees Without Prejudice</i>
10/19/2016	 Notice Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Plaintiffs' Notice of Transcript Request</i>
11/14/2016	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript Re: Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment - April 20, 2016</i>
02/22/2017	 Affidavit Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Affidavit of Judgment</i>
03/22/2017	 Order to Statistically Close Case <i>Civil Order to Statistically Close Case</i>
03/31/2017	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation for Consolidation</i>
04/05/2017	 Notice of Consolidation Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Consolidation</i>
04/24/2017	 Answer Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC <i>Defendants' Answer to Complaint</i>
05/25/2017	 Joint Case Conference Report Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Joint Case Conference Report</i>
06/14/2017	 Motion to Quash Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories

06/20/2017



Motion to Quash

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories

06/26/2017



Opposition and Countermotion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
ntermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr.Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories

07/26/2017



Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial, and Calendar Call

Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial/Calendar Call

07/31/2017



NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Affirmed

Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Affirmed

08/18/2017



Affidavit

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Corrected Affidavit of Judgment

08/31/2017



Notice of Firm Name Change

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Firm Name Change

09/12/2017



Notice of Deposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

09/21/2017



Stipulation

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Stipulation re: Re-Open Deadlines

10/17/2017



Objection

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Objection to Notice of Taking Deposition and Request for Production of Documents

10/24/2017



Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendation

10/25/2017



Notice

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Issuance of Subpoenas Duces Tecum

11/13/2017



Motion to Compel

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants' Motion to Compel

11/16/2017

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Nevada Title Company</i>
11/16/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Kenneth Woloson, Esq.</i>
11/16/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Holley, Driggs, Walch, Fine, Wray, Puzey & Thompson</i>
11/16/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Bradshaw, Smith & Co, LLP</i>
11/16/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Gerety & Associates</i>
11/16/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Bank of Nevada</i>
11/21/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Mutual of Omaha Bank</i>
11/29/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to City National Bank</i>
11/30/2017	 Notice of Change of Hearing <i>Notice of Change of Hearing</i>
12/04/2017	 Opposition Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Opposition to Motion to Compel</i>
12/08/2017	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel</i>
12/12/2017	 Notice Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum</i>
12/12/2017	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Subpoena Duces Tecum to Blakely Island Holdings, LLC</i>
12/13/2017	 Notice

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Issuance of Subpoenas Duces Tecum</i></p>
12/15/2017	<p> Motion for Leave to File Party: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Complaint</i></p>
12/15/2017	<p> Certificate of Service <i>Certificate of Service</i></p>
12/18/2017	<p> Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order to Extend Discovery Deadlines</i></p>
12/18/2017	<p> Acceptance of Service Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Acceptance of Service Regarding Subpoena Duces Tecum to Carlos Huerta</i></p>
12/18/2017	<p> Non Opposition Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Non-Opposition to Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Complaint</i></p>
12/22/2017	<p> Motion to Strike Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel</i></p>
01/02/2018	<p> Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order to Vacate Hearing on Defendants' Motion for leave to Amend Answer</i></p>
01/04/2018	<p> Order Shortening Time Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Shortening Time to Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel</i></p>
01/05/2018	<p> Motion to Compel Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories</i></p>
01/05/2018	<p> Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strke Defendants' Motion to Compel</i></p>
01/09/2018	<p> Motion to Strike Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel</i></p>
01/23/2018	<p> Amended Answer Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>(A746239) Defendants' First Amended Answer to Complaint</i></p>
01/23/2018	<p> Certificate of Service</p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Certificate of Service

01/23/2018



Opposition to Motion to Compel

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Opposition to Motion to Compel and Countermotion for an Order that the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed

01/24/2018



Substitution of Attorney

Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
(A746239) Substitution of Attorneys

01/26/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Compel

01/26/2018



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admission Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed

01/29/2018



Order Granting Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Order Granting Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Complaint

01/31/2018



Substitution of Attorney

Substitution of Attorneys

02/21/2018



Substitution of Attorney

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Substitution of Counsel

02/23/2018



Motion for Summary Judgment

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Motion for Summary Judgment

02/27/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF COUNTERMOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE ANSWERS TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AS HAVING BEEN TIMELY FIELD

02/28/2018



Supplement to Opposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Defendants Peter Eliades, individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and TELD, LLC's Supplemental Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel

03/05/2018



Joinder to Motion For Summary Judgment

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Defendants Peter Eliades, individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment

03/08/2018



Joinder to Motion For Summary Judgment

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations LLC's Joinder to Defendants Peter Eliades Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Trust of 10/30/08 Eldorado Hills LLC and Teld's Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment

03/14/2018



Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendation

03/19/2018



Opposition and Countermotion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment; Countermotion for Summary Judgment; and Countermotion for NRCP 56(f) Relief

03/20/2018



Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Fee Disclosure

03/21/2018



Notice of Entry

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

NOTICE OF ENTRY

04/11/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment and for NRCP 56 (f) Relief

04/11/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's: (1) Reply in Support of their Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment and for N.R.C.P. 56(f) Relief

04/16/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Reply to Opposition to Countermotion for Summary Judgment; and Countermotion for NRCP 56(f) Relief

04/17/2018



Joinder

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Joinder to Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC and Teld's Reply in Support of Their Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment and NRCP 56(f) Relief

04/17/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Notice of Taking Deposition of Sigmund Rogich

04/17/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Taking Deposition of Peter Eliades

04/17/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Taking Depositions

04/19/2018



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Partial Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions (Ruling Only), Heard on April 18, 2018

04/23/2018



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Partial Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions (Excludes Ruling), Heard on April 18, 2018

04/27/2018



Amended Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Sigmund Rogich

04/27/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Taking Deposition of Kenneth Woloson, Esq.

04/27/2018



Amended Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Melissa Olivas

05/01/2018



Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Discovery Commissioners Report and Recommendations

05/02/2018



Notice of Entry

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Notice of Entry

05/03/2018



Motion to Continue Trial

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on Order Shortening Time

05/09/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Amended Notice of Taking Depositions

05/10/2018



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and As Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on OST

05/10/2018



Notice of Taking Deposition

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Kenneth Woloson, Esq.</i></p>
05/10/2018	<p> Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #1 re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of Its Managing Member</i></p>
05/10/2018	<p> Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #2 re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding That Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million Into Eldorado Hills, LLC</i></p>
05/10/2018	<p> Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #3 re: Defendants Bound by Their Answers to Complaint</i></p>
05/10/2018	<p> Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #4 Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials</i></p>
05/11/2018	<p> Notice of Non Opposition Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Notice of Non-Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on Order Shortening Time</i></p>
05/11/2018	<p> Motion in Limine Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants' Motion in Limine to Limit Trial Testimony of Yoav Harlap at Trial</i></p>
05/15/2018	<p> Reply to Opposition Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date</i></p>
05/21/2018	<p> Joinder to Motion in Limine Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Joinder to Motion in Limine to Limit Trial Testimony of Yoav Harlap at Trial</i></p>
05/22/2018	<p> Order Denying Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Denying Countermotion for Summary Judgment and Denying NRCP 56(f) Relief</i></p>
05/22/2018	<p> Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>(A686303) Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment</i></p>
05/22/2018	<p> Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Orders</i></p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

06/01/2018	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/01/2018	 Appendix Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 2</i>
06/01/2018	 Appendix Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 2</i>
06/01/2018	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/01/2018	 Appendix Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Volume 1 of 2</i>
06/01/2018	 Appendix Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment Volume 2 of 2</i>
06/04/2018	 Order Denying Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Denying Motion to Continue Trial Date and Granting Firm Trial Date Setting</i>
06/04/2018	 Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment</i>
06/05/2018	 Motion Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually And As Trustee Of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust And Imitations, Llc's Motion For Reconsideration</i>
06/06/2018	 Order Setting Civil Jury Trial, Pre-Trial, and Calendar Call Filed By: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A <i>Order Setting Civil Jury Trial,Pre-Trial/Calendar Call</i>
06/12/2018	 Notice of Taking Deposition Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Dolores Eliades</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

06/14/2018	 Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment</i>
06/14/2018	 Joinder To Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Joinder to Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Motion for Reconsideration</i>
06/19/2018	 Motion for Leave to File Party: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Eliades Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages</i>
06/19/2018	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Opposition to Eliades Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/19/2018	 Opposition and Countermotion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Opposition to Eldorado Hill's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment</i>
06/21/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment</i>
06/25/2018	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Fee Disclosure</i>
06/25/2018	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Fee Disclosure</i>
06/25/2018	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Reply in Support of Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment</i>
06/25/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitation, LLC's Motion for Reconsideration and Joinder</i>
07/02/2018	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Reply in Support of Defendants' Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations LL"C Motion for Reconsideration

07/13/2018



Motion to Strike

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion, on Order Shortening Time, to Strike Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/16/2018



Receipt of Copy

Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Receipt of Copy of Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion, on Order Shortening Time, to Strike Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/16/2018



Receipt of Copy

Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Receipt of Copy of Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion, on Order Shortening Time, to Strike Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/16/2018



Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants' Motion for Expedited Hearing on Pending Motions in Limine on Order Shortening Time

07/19/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC
Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Countermotion for Summary Judgment

07/19/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Reply in Support of Their Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Countermotion for Summary Judgment

07/23/2018



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Strike Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/24/2018



Order

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Order Denying Motion to Reconsider

07/24/2018



Errata

Errata to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Strike Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/24/2018



Reply in Support

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Reply in Support of Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion, on Order Shortening Time, to Strike Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment

07/24/2018



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Limited Opposition to Defendants' Motionf or Expedited Hearing on Pending Motions in Limine on Order Shortening Time

07/25/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion for Expedited Hearing on Pending Motions in Limine

07/26/2018



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration

08/02/2018



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Transcript of Proceedings, Motions, Heard on July 26, 2018

08/10/2018



Order

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Reconsideration

08/13/2018



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Peter Eliades
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Reconsideration

08/13/2018



Order Granting Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Order

08/17/2018



Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Motion for Rehearing

09/04/2018



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs

09/05/2018



Errata

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC
Errata to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs

09/07/2018



Motion in Limine

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC
Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills, LLC Manager

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

09/07/2018	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language</i>
09/07/2018	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-In-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC</i>
09/19/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 3: Defendants Bound by their Answers to Complaint</i>
09/19/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 4: Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials</i>
09/19/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 1: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of its Managing Member</i>
09/19/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 2: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding that Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million Into Eldorado Hills, LLC</i>
09/20/2018	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Reply in Support of Their Motion for Rehearing</i>
09/24/2018	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Preclude any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-in-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC</i>
09/24/2018	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Preclude any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is bound by any testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills Manager</i>
09/24/2018	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Preclude any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language</i>
09/26/2018	 Notice of Association of Counsel

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Notice of Association of Counsel

09/27/2018



Amended Notice

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Amended Notice of Association of Counsel

09/28/2018



Opposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #2 Re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding that Nanyah Vegas Invested \$1.5 Million into Eldorado Hills, LLC

09/28/2018



Opposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah's Motion in Limine #3 re Defendants Bound by their Answers to Complaint

09/28/2018



Non Opposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations Notice of Non-Opposition to Nanyah's Motion in Limine #4 Re Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials

09/28/2018



Opposition

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich
Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #1 Re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of its Managing Member

10/02/2018



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Transcript of Proceedings, Motion for Rehearing; Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Counter Motion for Award of Fees and Costs, Heard on September 27, 2018

10/03/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC
Reply in Support of Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language

10/03/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC
Reply in Support of Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills, LLC Manager

10/03/2018



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC
Reply in Support of Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-In-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC

10/03/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply to Oppositions to Motion in Limine #1 re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of Its Managing Member

10/03/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply to Oppositions to Motion in Limine #2 re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding that Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million into Eldorado Hills, LLC

10/03/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply to Oppositions to Motion in Limine #3 re: Defendants Bound by Their Answers to Complaint

10/03/2018



Reply to Opposition

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply to Oppositions to Motion in Limine #4 re: Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials

10/05/2018



Order

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

(A686303, A746239) Order: (1) Granting Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment

10/08/2018



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Notice of Entry of Order

10/11/2018



Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03

Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

10/12/2018



Pre-Trial Disclosure

Party: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Pretrial Disclosures

10/15/2018



Motion to Retax

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike

10/16/2018



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions in Limine, Heard on October 10, 2018

10/25/2018



Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

10/25/2018



Appendix

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

10/29/2018



Notice of Department Reassignment

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Notice of Department Reassignment

10/29/2018	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice of Non-Consent to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Unpleaded Implied-In-Fact Contract Theory</i>
10/31/2018	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Supplemental Pretrial Disclosures</i>
10/31/2018	 Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures</i>
11/02/2018	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike</i>
11/06/2018	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Stipulation and Order to Extend Pre-Trial Memorandum Deadline</i>
11/06/2018	 Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Order Regarding Motions in Limine</i>
11/06/2018	 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend Pre-Trial Memorandum Deadline</i>
11/06/2018	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Motions in Limine</i>
11/16/2018	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearings on: (1) Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike; and (2) Defendant Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for ATTorneys' Fees and Costs Until After the Trial Date</i>
11/20/2018	 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearings on: (1) Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike; and (2) Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attonreys' Fees and Costs Until After the Trial Date</i>
12/07/2018	 Order Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call <i>Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

12/19/2018	 Order Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call <i>Order Re-Setting Civil Jury Trial and Calendar Call</i>
12/20/2018	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Stipulation and Order to Set the Hearings on: (1) Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike; and (2) Defendant Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
12/21/2018	 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Set the Hearings on: (1) Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike; and (2) Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
01/25/2019	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
01/29/2019	 Satisfaction of Judgment Filed by: Trustee Huerta, Carlos A; Counter Defendant Alexander Christopher Trust <i>Satisfaction of Judgment</i>
01/30/2019	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
01/30/2019	 Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Fee Disclosure</i>
02/06/2019	 Motion for Relief Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)</i>
02/07/2019	 Order Shortening Time Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Order Shortening Time</i>
02/08/2019	 Ex Parte Motion Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time on Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)</i>
02/08/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
02/12/2019	

CASE SUMMARY













CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	 Receipt of Copy Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Receipt of Copy</i>
02/15/2019	 Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
02/15/2019	 Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Eldorado Hills LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for NRCP 15 Relief</i>
02/15/2019	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)</i>
02/15/2019	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #5 re: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
02/15/2019	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #6 re: Date of Discovery</i>
02/18/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, Sigmund Rogich, Individually and Imitations, LLC's Omnibus Opposition to (1) Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment and (2) Limited Opposition to Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
02/19/2019	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Certificate of Service</i>
02/19/2019	 Reply in Support Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Reply in Support of Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60 (b)</i>
02/25/2019	 Notice of Change of Firm Name Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Firm Name Change</i>
02/25/2019	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hills' General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial</i>
02/25/2019	 Motion in Limine Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff and Carlos Huerta from Presenting at</i>

CASE SUMMARY


CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Trial any Contrary Evidence as to Mr. Huerta's Taking of \$1.42 Million from Eldorado Hills, LLC as Go Global, Inc.'s Consulting Fee Income to Attempt to Refinance

02/26/2019	 Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based Upon the Court's October 5, 2018, Order Granting Summary Judgment</i>
02/27/2019	 Motion to Compel Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Motion to Compel Production of Plaintiff's Tax Returns and For Attorneys' Fees on Order Shortening Time</i>
03/08/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #6 RE: Date of Discovery</i>
03/08/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>OPPOSITION TO NANAY VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #5 RE; PAROL EVIDENCE RULE</i>
03/08/2019	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 5 Re: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
03/08/2019	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 6 Re: Date of Discovery</i>
03/14/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
03/14/2019	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion in Limine #5 re: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
03/14/2019	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of Motion in Limine #6 re: Date of Discovery</i>
03/14/2019	 Opposition to Motion to Compel Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion to Compel</i>
03/15/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
03/18/2019	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Production of Plaintiff's Tax Returns</i>
03/20/2019	

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion in Limine re: Carlos Huerta</i>
03/20/2019	 Opposition to Motion in Limine Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hill's General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial</i>
03/20/2019	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
03/20/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based upon the Court's October 5, 2018 Order Granting Summary Judgment</i>
03/20/2019	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Rogich Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions</i>
03/21/2019	 Errata Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Errata to Rogich Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions</i>
03/21/2019	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript of Proceedings, Motions, Heard on March 20, 2019</i>
03/22/2019	 Order <i>Order Striking Filings</i>
03/22/2019	 Pre-Trial Disclosure <i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's 2nd Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosures</i>
03/25/2019	 Motion to Reconsider Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time</i>
03/26/2019	 Order Denying Motion Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Order Denying The Rogich Defendants' NRCP 60(b) Motion</i>
03/26/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
03/27/2019	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas LLC's Reply in Support of Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based Upon the Court's October 5, 2018, Order Granting Summary Judgment</i>













CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

03/28/2019	 Reply in Support Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hills' General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial</i>
03/28/2019	 Reply Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Rogich Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion in Limine Regarding Consulting Fee Admission</i>
04/04/2019	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine # 5: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
04/05/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Reconsider Order on Motion in Limine #5 Re Parol Evidence Rule on OST</i>
04/05/2019	 Reply to Opposition Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Reply in Support of Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time</i>
04/05/2019	 Objection Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures</i>
04/05/2019	 Pre-Trial Disclosure Party: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's 2nd Supplemental Pretrial Disclosures</i>
04/05/2019	 Objection Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Objections to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Pre-Trial Disclosures</i>
04/05/2019	 Objection Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Objections to Eldorado Hills, LLC's Pre-Trial Disclosures</i>
04/09/2019	 Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Motion to Compel Production of Plaintiff's Tax Returns and for Attorneys' Fees</i>
04/09/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
04/09/2019	 Notice Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice of Non-Consent to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Unpleaded Implied-In-Fact Contract Theory</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

04/09/2019	 Pre-Trial Disclosure Party: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants 3rd Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosure Statement</i>
04/09/2019	 Joinder Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as a Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC's Joinder to Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice on Non-Consent to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Unpleaded Implied-In-Fact Contract Theory</i>
04/09/2019	 Opposition Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for NRCP 15 Relief</i>
04/10/2019	 Order Denying Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
04/10/2019	 Joinder Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Sigmund Rogich, Individually and As Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC s Joinder to Eldorado Hills, Llc's Objections To Nanyah Vegas, LLC's 2nd Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosures</i>
04/10/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine # 5: Parol Evidence Rule</i>
04/10/2019	 Pre-Trial Disclosure Party: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendants Fourth Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosure Statement</i>
04/12/2019	 Pre-Trial Disclosure Party: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's 3rd Supplemental Pretrial Disclosures</i>
04/15/2019	 Request for Judicial Notice Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Request for Judicial Notice</i>
04/15/2019	 Objection Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Objections to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's 3rd Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosures</i>
04/15/2019	 Objection Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Objections to Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, and Imitaitons, LLC's Third and Fourth Supplemental Pre-Trial Disclosure Statement Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(3)</i>
04/16/2019	 Notice of Compliance Party: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Notice of Compliance With 4-9-19 Order

04/16/2019



Objection

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Supplement to Objections to Defendants' Pretrial Disclosures

04/16/2019



Pre-trial Memorandum

Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Pre-Trial Memorandum

04/16/2019



Ex Parte Motion

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120

04/16/2019



Pre-trial Memorandum

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Pretrial Memorandum

04/16/2019



Pre-trial Memorandum

Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

Eldorado Hills, LLC's Pre-Trial Memorandum

04/16/2019



Errata

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Rogich Defendants' Errata to Pretrial Memorandum

04/17/2019



Certificate of Service

Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Certificate of Service

04/17/2019



Order Denying Motion

Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig

Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #6 re: Date of Discovery

04/17/2019



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Notice of Entry of Order

04/17/2019



Request for Judicial Notice

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Request for Judicial Notice and Application of the Law of the Case Doctrine

04/17/2019



Trial Subpoena

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Trial Subpoena - Civil (Carlos Huerta)

04/17/2019



Trial Subpoena

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Trial Subpoena - Civil (Dolores Eliades)

04/17/2019



Trial Subpoena

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Trial Subpoena - Civil (Craig Dunlap)

04/17/2019



Trial Subpoena

Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Trial Subpoena - Civil (Peter Eliades)

04/18/2019



Opposition to Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120

04/19/2019



Objection

Objection to Nanyah's Request for Judicial Notice and Application of Law of the Case Doctrine

04/19/2019



Response

Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Response to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Request for Judicial Notice and Application of Law of the Case Doctrine

04/21/2019



Supplemental Brief

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Supplement to its Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for the Purposes of NRS 163.120

04/21/2019



Memorandum of Points and Authorities

Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

The Rogich Defendants Memorandum of Points and Authorities Regarding Limits of Judicial Discretion to Modify Notice Requirements to Trust Beneficiaries Provided under NRS Chapter 163

04/23/2019



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Transcript of Proceedings, Jury Trial, Heard on April 22, 2019

04/30/2019



Order

(A746239) Order

04/30/2019



Notice of Entry of Order

Notice of Entry of Order

05/01/2019



Order Denying

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Order Denying Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions

05/01/2019



Order Denying

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Reconsider Order on Motion in Limine #5 re: Parol Evidence Rule

05/01/2019



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Transcript of Proceedings, Telephonic Conference, Heard on April 18, 2019


05/01/2019



Notice of Entry of Order














CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
05/01/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
05/06/2019	 Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Denying the Rogich Defendants' Motions in Limine</i>
05/06/2019	 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Defendant the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Pursuant to NRS 18.005 and NRS 18.110</i>
05/07/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order Denying The Rogich Defendants' Motions in Limine</i>
05/10/2019	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCp 50(a)</i>
05/13/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
05/16/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>STIPULATION AND ORDER SUSPENDING JURY TRIAL</i>
05/16/2019	 Notice of Entry Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>NOTICE OF ENTRY OF STIPULATION AND ORDER SUSPENDING JURY TRIAL</i>
05/21/2019	 Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
05/22/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
05/22/2019	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Certificate of Service</i>
05/22/2019	 Motion for Summary Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
05/23/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

05/24/2019	 Opposition to Motion For Summary Judgment Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCP 50(a)</i>
05/29/2019	 Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for NRCP 15 Relief</i>
05/29/2019	 Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Order Regarding Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120</i>
06/13/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order Regarding Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Memorandum of Costs and Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i>
06/13/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order Regarding Motions for Summary Judgment</i>
06/13/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order Regarding The Eliades Defendants' Memorandum of Costs and Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i>
06/24/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
06/24/2019	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
07/11/2019	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i>
07/22/2019	 Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e)</i>
07/22/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
07/24/2019	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCP 50(a)</i>
07/30/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Stipulation and Order to Reset the Hearings on: (1) Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal Under Rule 41(e)

07/30/2019



Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Reset the Hearings on: (1) Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal Under Rule 41(e)

08/06/2019



Opposition to Motion to Dismiss

Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e)

08/29/2019



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

Reply in Support of Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

08/29/2019



Reply in Support

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC

Reply in Support of Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e)

09/09/2019



Recorders Transcript of Hearing

Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Motions, Heard on September 5, 2019

10/04/2019



Notice of Entry of Decision and Order

Notice of Entry of Decision and Order

10/04/2019



Decision and Order

(A686303,A746239) Decision

10/07/2019



Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, and Imitations, LLC's Amended Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Pursuant to NRS 18.005 and NRS 18.110

10/07/2019



Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03

Defendants Eldorado Hills, LLC, Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements

10/07/2019



Appendix

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03

Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Eldorado Hills, LLC, Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements - Volume 1 of 2

10/07/2019



Appendix

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD,

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C







	<p>LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03</p> <p><i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Eldorado Hills, LLC, Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements - Volume 2 of 2</i></p>
10/08/2019	<p> Errata</p> <p>Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich</p> <p><i>Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, and Imitations, LLC's Errata to Amended Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Pursuant to NRS 18.005 and NRS 18.110</i></p>
10/14/2019	<p> Stipulation and Order</p> <p>Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC</p> <p><i>Stipulation and Order to Extend Deadline to File Motions to Retax Costs</i></p>
10/16/2019	<p> Motion to Retax</p> <p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC</p> <p><i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Eldorado Hills, LLC, Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements</i></p>
10/16/2019	<p> Motion to Retax</p> <p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC</p> <p><i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of The Rogich Family Revocable Trust, and Imitations, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Pursuant to NRS 18.005 and NRS 18.110</i></p>
10/17/2019	<p> Motion for Attorney Fees</p> <p>Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter</p> <p><i>Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i></p>
10/17/2019	<p> Appendix</p> <p>Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter</p> <p><i>Appendix of Exhibits to Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i></p>
10/17/2019	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing</p> <p><i>Notice of Hearing</i></p>
10/22/2019	<p> Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs</p> <p>Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich</p> <p><i>Rogich Defendants' Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i></p>
10/23/2019	<p> Clerk's Notice of Hearing</p> <p><i>Notice of Hearing</i></p>
10/24/2019	<p> Notice of Appeal</p> <p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC</p> <p><i>Notice of Appeal</i></p>
10/24/2019	<p> Case Appeal Statement</p> <p>Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC</p> <p><i>Case Appeal Statement</i></p>
10/28/2019	<p> Opposition to Motion</p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03

Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's: (1) Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax Costs; and (2) Countermotion to Award Costs

10/30/2019	 Clerk's Notice of Hearing <i>Notice of Hearing</i>
11/06/2019	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice of Cross-Appeal</i>
11/07/2019	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Cross-Appeal</i>
11/07/2019	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
11/07/2019	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Eldorado Hills, LLC's Case Appeal Statement</i>
11/12/2019	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Stipulation and Order to Reset Hearings and Extend Briefing Deadlines</i>
11/20/2019	 Request Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Request for Transcript of Proceedings</i>
11/20/2019	 Notice of Filing Cost Bond Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Notice of Filing Cost Bond on Appeal</i>
12/09/2019	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript of Proceedings, Calendar Call, Heard on November 1, 2018</i>
12/09/2019	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript of Proceedings, Telephonic Conference, Heard on November 5, 2018</i>
12/09/2019	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript of Proceedings, All Pending Motions, Heard on April 8, 2019</i>
01/08/2020	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
01/08/2020	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs

01/09/2020	 Opposition to Motion Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Rogich Defendants</i>
01/23/2020	 Reply in Support Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter <i>Reply in Support of Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i>
01/23/2020	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply in Support of its Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Revocable Trust, and Imitations, LLC's Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements Pursuant to NRS 18.005 and NRS 18.110</i>
01/23/2020	 Reply in Support Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Reply In Support Of Motion To Retax Costs Submitted By Eldorado Hills, LLC, Peter Eliades, Individually And As Trustee Of The Eliades Survivor Trust Of 10/30/08, And Teld, LLC's Memorandum Of Costs And Disbursements</i>
01/23/2020	 Notice of Change of Address Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Change of Address</i>
01/23/2020	 Reply in Support Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Rogich Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
01/30/2020	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Stipulation and Order Re: October 4, 2019 Decision</i>
02/03/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
02/12/2020	 Recorders Transcript of Hearing <i>Transcript of Proceedings, Motions, Heard on January 30, 2020</i>
02/21/2020	 Declaration Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter <i>Declaration of Joseph A. Liebman in Further Support of Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees</i>
02/28/2020	 Notice of Change Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Change of Address/Change of Law Firm</i>
02/28/2020	 Declaration Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Declaration of Brenoch Wirthlin in further Support of Rogich Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees</i>








CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

03/04/2020	 Substitution of Attorney Filed by: Trustee Rogich, Sig; Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>(A686303, A746239) Substitution of Counsel</i>
03/16/2020	 Order Granting Motion Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Setting Supplemental Briefing on Apportionment</i>
03/16/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and TELD, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Setting Supplemental Briefing on Apportionment</i>
03/19/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Supplement to its Opposition to Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
03/19/2020	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Supplement to its Opposition to Rogich Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i>
04/14/2020	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
04/14/2020	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
04/22/2020	 Stipulation and Order <i>Stipulation and Order</i>
04/28/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
05/04/2020	 Judgment Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>(A746239) Judgment</i>
05/04/2020	 Order Granting Motion Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees</i>
05/05/2020	 Order Granting Motion <i>(A746239) Order: (1) Granting Rogich Defendants' Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs; and (2) Denying Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs Submitted by Rogich Defendants</i>


CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

05/05/2020	 Judgment (A686303, A746239) <i>Judgment Regarding Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs in Favor of the Rogich Defendants</i>
05/06/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter <i>Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees</i>
05/06/2020	 Notice of Entry of Judgment Filed By: Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC; Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC; Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter; Consolidated Case Party Eliades Survivor Trust of 10-30-03 <i>Notice of Entry of Judgment</i>
05/07/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
05/07/2020	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Trustee Rogich, Sig <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
05/21/2020	 Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
05/21/2020	 Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
<u>DISPOSITIONS</u>	
10/01/2014	Partial Summary Judgment (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Eldorado Hills LLC (Defendant) Judgment: 10/01/2014, Docketed: 10/08/2014
11/05/2014	Partial Summary Judgment (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant) Judgment: 11/05/2014, Docketed: 11/12/2014 Comment: Certain Claims
11/05/2014	Order of Dismissal (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff), Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant), Eldorado Hills LLC (Defendant) Judgment: 11/05/2014, Docketed: 11/20/2014
02/10/2015	Order (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant) Judgment: 02/10/2015, Docketed: 02/18/2015 Total Judgment: 237,954.50
02/23/2015	Judgment (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant)



CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	Judgment: 02/23/2015, Docketed: 03/11/2015 Total Judgment: 242,971.27 Satisfaction:
04/29/2016	Clerk's Certificate (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Eldorado Hills LLC (Defendant) Creditors: Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Judgment: 04/29/2016, Docketed: 05/06/2016 Comment: Supreme Court No 66823 - "APPEAL REVERSED and REMAND"
07/21/2016	Clerk's Certificate (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Go Global Inc (Other Plaintiff), Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff), Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant) Judgment: 07/21/2016, Docketed: 07/28/2016 Comment: Supreme Court No 67595 - "APPEAL AFFIRMED"
07/31/2017	Clerk's Certificate (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Go Global Inc (Other Plaintiff), Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Defendant), Eldorado Hills LLC (Defendant) Judgment: 07/31/2017, Docketed: 08/07/2017 Comment: Supreme Court No. 70492 APPEAL AFFIRMED
10/05/2018	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: TELD, LLC (Consolidated Case Party), Peter Eliades (Consolidated Case Party) Judgment: 10/05/2018, Docketed: 10/08/2018 Comment: Consoliated Case Parties Dismissed
10/04/2019	Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Carlos A Huerta (Plaintiff), Alexander Christopher Trust (Plaintiff), Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Eldorado Hills LLC (Defendant) Judgment: 10/04/2019, Docketed: 10/04/2019
05/05/2020	Judgment (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Debtors: Nanyah Vegas LLC (Plaintiff) Creditors: Sig Rogich (Trustee, Defendant), Sigmund Rogich (Consolidated Case Party) Judgment: 05/05/2020, Docketed: 05/06/2020 Total Judgment: 580,770.05
	HEARINGS
10/31/2013	CANCELED Motion to Dismiss (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated - On In Error</i> <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion to Dismiss</i>
10/31/2013	CANCELED Motion to Dismiss (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i> <i>parties stipulated to this continuance</i>
05/14/2014	 Motion for Leave (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendants' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer on an Order Shortening Time</i> Granted; Journal Entry Details: <i>Mr. Anderson advised he has exchanged emails with opposing counsel he is not opposed to the motion. There being good grounds and no opposition, COURT ORDERED, Defendants' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer on an Order Shortening time GRANTED. Order provided to the Court.;</i>
09/11/2014	Motion for Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)




CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<p>Events: 07/25/2014 Notice of Hearing <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills LLC's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i> Granted;</p>
09/11/2014	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i> Denied Without Prejudice;</p>
09/11/2014	<p> All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS LLC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTER-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT</i> Arguments by counsel regarding motion for partial summary judgment and counter-motion for partial summary judgment. Court noted it rarely considers counter-motions. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as Court declined to hear the counter-motion; Defendant Eldorado Hills LLC's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment GRANTED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval as to form and content. ;</p>
09/26/2014	<p>Motion to Compel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on OST</i> Off Calendar;</p>
09/26/2014	<p>Motion to Continue Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery on an OST</i> Denied Without Prejudice;</p>
09/26/2014	<p> All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on OST Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery on an OST</i> Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery on an OST Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on OST</i> Mr. Lionel stated Summary Judgment was Granted September 11, 2014 on the issue in Motion to Compel. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses is OFF CALENDAR. Argument by Mr. McDonald; for walking away from his interest in the Company, Deft received approximately \$680,000 and a Company transferred to him with a valuable piece of property. Mr. McDonald requested to conduct discovery and depositions. Argument by Mr. Lionel. Mr. McDonald has not reviewed documents recently provided (one month after discovery closed). Commissioner advised counsel anyone who engages in discovery outside the deadline does so at their own peril. Colloquy re: the Mosley factors. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE; 11/3/14 Trial date STANDS; if the Trial does not move forward, Commissioner will look at the issue again. Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and Mr. McDonald to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. Mr. Lionel to appear at status check hearing to report on the Report and Recommendations. 10/24/14 11:00 a.m. Status Check: Compliance ;</p>
10/08/2014	<p>Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 08/11/2014 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment <i>Defendant Sig Rogich, Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i> Granted;</p>
10/08/2014	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i></p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	Matter Heard;
10/08/2014	Motion to Continue Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Plaintiffs' Motion to Continue Trial on an Order Shortening Time</i> No Ruling;
10/08/2014	 All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>DEFENDANT SIG ROGICH, TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT... PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTER-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT... PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME</i> Mr. noted Mr. McDonald was bankruptcy approved and had been retained in this matter. Court so noted. Mr. Lionel argued in support of his motion stating Defendant had made misrepresentations before the bankruptcy court that they had no claim and now they are before this Court saying there is a claim, and that calls for judicial estoppel. Mr. Lionel argued regarding what judicial estoppel is intended for. Mr. Lionel further argued case law and cited several cases in open court. Lastly, Mr. Lionel argued regarding the requirement of a debtor to file a schedule of assets under oath, and stated the filed document omitted any claim against Rogich Trust. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Lionel argued Sig Rogich is not a party; the trust is a different entity and stated there was an original plan and three amendments but no claim or potential claim against Rogich Trust. The affidavit filed by Mr. Huerta was not true and accurate. Colloquy regarding the assignment of this claim and whether the Rogich Trust is bound by anything in the plan. Mr. Schwartz argued the claim against Mr. Rogich is disclosed as an asset; and there are amendments to those schedules that came out throughout the course of the case; however, there is nothing that takes Mr. Rogich out. Court inquired regarding disclosure statements and that no claim was made that Defendant would try and collect receivables, and the creditors were not on notice of that. Mr. Schwartz argued that is not what is required from a disclosure statement, you don't have to disclose to the Courts about a receivable that may have to be litigated to collect. Mr. Schwartz further argued regarding the difference between the case referenced by Mr. Lionel and the facts in this case. Lastly, Mr. Schwartz stated there is an asset that is clearly disclosed in the schedules and no one has stated they weren't aware of the bankruptcy or didn't know they were listed as an asset. Upon Court's inquiry regarding what it believed to be bankruptcy law with respect to the necessity of a disclosure statement, Mr. Schwartz stated there was a creditors plan drafted in which Mr. Huerta was a creditor and the law says you have a right to pursue it as a cause of action. Court stated there is no reference to this lawsuit, no mention of this receivable. Mr. Schwartz responded there was a reference to some collection activities that had to occur and there was a proposed plan that was 100% to the creditors based on the collection of those assets and they were aware Mr. Huerta had to collect on assets to pay his creditors. Further, there was no concealment, there is no fraud, and Mr. Rogich can't say he was not on notice. Defense admitted they were aware of the bankruptcy and admitted they received land. Court inquired as to why Go Global assigned the right to collect to someone else and noted it was not disclosed in any the bankruptcy court filings. Following further arguments by Mr. Lionel, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order. ;
10/24/2014	 Status Check: Compliance (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) Off Calendar; Journal Entry Details: <i>COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Defense counsel is relieved from preparing the Report and Recommendation based on settlement of case (letter dated Oct. 15, 2014); matter is OFF CALENDAR. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was placed in the attorney folder (s) of: Mr. Lionel - Lionel, S, C ;</i>
10/30/2014	CANCELED Pretrial/Calendar Call (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i>
11/03/2014	CANCELED Bench Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i>
01/15/2015	 Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Defendant's Motion for Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs

Granted;

Journal Entry Details:

Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of the motion and opposition. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Motino for Attorney Fees and Costs GRANTED in the amount of \$237,954.50. Ms. Shaine to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval. Upon inquiry, Court stated the judgment would be jointly and severally against all of the named Plaintiffs.;

03/22/2016



Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Minute Order: Status Check: Status of Case set 3/24/2016 VACATED

Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Minute Order: Status Check: Status of Case set 3/24/2016 VACATED

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 set a Status Check for March 24, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. to ascertain the status of the case following the Nevada Supreme Court's Order of Reversal and Remand. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Motion). COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that the STATUS CHECK set on MOTIONS CALENDAR on March 24, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. is VACATED; as the Motion has been filed, the Status Check is unnecessary. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was faxed to: Brandon B. McDonald, Esq. (702-385-2741) and Samuel S. Lionel, Esq. (702-692-8099). ;

03/23/2016



Minute Order (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Minute Order: Matters set on 3/29/2016 chambers calendar and 5/10/2016 chambers calendar.

Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Minute Order: Matters set on 3/29/2016 chambers calendar and 5/10/2016 chambers calendar.

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Motion) and the matter was set for Chambers Calendar on March 29, 2016. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on March 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed an Application to Set Oral Argument on Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Application) and the matter was set for Chambers Calendar on May 10, 2016. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that oral argument is appropriate, so the Court will set Oral Argument on Plaintiffs Motion. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Motion is fully briefed. COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review Plaintiffs Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment set for CHAMBERS CALENDAR on March 29, 2016 in CONTINUED to MOTIONS CALENDAR on April 20, 2016 at 10:30 a.m. for Oral Argument. COURT FURTHER ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review the Hearing on CHAMBERS CALENDAR set for May 10, 2016 is VACATED. CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was faxed to: Brandon B. McDonald, Esq. (702-385-2741) and Samuel S. Lionel, Esq. (702-692-8099). ;

03/24/2016

CANCELED Status Check: Status of Case (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Vacated

Status Check: Status of Case

04/20/2016



Motion For Reconsideration (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:


Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment DENIED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval ;

05/10/2016

CANCELED Motion (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<p><i>Vacated</i> <i>Plaintiffs' Application to Set Oral Argument on Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment</i></p>
08/31/2016	<p>CANCELED Motion for Attorney Fees (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated - per Stipulation and Order</i> <i>Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees</i></p>
07/19/2017	<p>CANCELED Motion for Protective Order (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories</i></p>
07/21/2017	<p>Motion for Protective Order (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories</i> Granted in Part;</p>
07/21/2017	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Defendants Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories and Countermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr. Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories</i> Granted in Part;</p>
07/21/2017	<p> All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details:</p> <p><i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories Defendant's Opposition / Countermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr. Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories</i> <i>Commissioner discussed procedural problem in the case; a Scheduling Order was issued years ago, but the only way to re-open deadlines is by 2.35 Stipulation; written discovery is closed. An updated Case Conference Report does not extend deadlines. Mr. Simons stated counsel Stipulated to continue discovery in the consolidated case, but counsel did not recognize the Scheduling Order in the lead case controls. Counsel will file a 2.35 Stipulation. Commissioner will give deadlines today to move the case forward, but technically, all discovery in the last few months should not have been done. Mr. Simons Stipulated to extend deadlines; counsel stated dates offered on an emergency basis are no longer available, and Mr. Simons has a schedule conflict with an upcoming Trial. Colloquy re: calculating the Five Year Rule (7-31-2018); Remand Three Year Rule discussed (7-21-2019). Commissioner must ensure counsel are conducting the case within discovery deadlines. Counsel need to discuss the Five Year Rule, and counsel could submit a Stipulation signed by the Judge. Colloquy re: service of Opposition/Countermotion. Mr. Simons requested a continuance for counsel to discuss deadlines. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, within two weeks, Mr. Simons must provide dates for deposition. Commissioner will not grant a two day, 14 hour deposition without more information; take deposition for one day, 7 hours and try to reach an agreement on the record. Colloquy re: 25 additional Interrogatories for each side. Opposition by Mr. Simons. Commissioner requested Mr. Simons check his office email service. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories is GRANTED IN PART; Protective Order is GRANTED; deposition of Mr. Harlap must be completed before by 9-29-17. Mr. Simons has 10-9-17 through 10-13-17 open for deposition. Mr. Lionel would like to get the deposition taken. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Countermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr. Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories is GRANTED IN PART; complete deposition in two days, 14 hours on or before 10-13-17 (efficient use of time); Interrogatories are limited to 40 at this time, therefore, Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories is DENIED; Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, discovery cutoff EXTENDED to 3-15-18; adding parties, amended pleadings, and initial expert disclosures due 12-15-17; rebuttal expert disclosures due 1-17-18; file dispositive motions by 4-16-18; Trial ready 5-29-18. Commissioner gave deadlines counsel agreed to in the JCCR; as of today discovery is open. Commissioner is available by conference call or file a Motion. Mr. Simons to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and Mr. Lionel to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise,</i></p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

counsel will pay a contribution.;

09/21/2017

Status Check: Compliance (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie)

DCRR 7-21-17

Matter Continued;
complied

12/15/2017



Motion to Compel (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie)

12/15/2017, 01/23/2018, 03/07/2018

COURT CALL - Defendants' Motion to Compel

Continued;
wrong date
correct entry deleted in error
Matter Continued;
Off Calendar;
Continued;
wrong date
correct entry deleted in error
Matter Continued;
Off Calendar;
Continued;
wrong date
correct entry deleted in error
Matter Continued;
Off Calendar;

Journal Entry Details:

COMMISSIONER stated it received a faxed copy of the deposition transcript of Yoav Harlap; noted review of the Motion and stated that the responses are not appropriate. Arguments by counsel. COMMISSIONER directed counsel to have a meet and confer to discuss the supplemental interrogatories and provide the Commissioner with a complete full set of the initial and supplemental answers to those interrogatories, which will be Pltf's responsibility to do so. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED Motion CONTINUED for IN CHAMBERS CONFERENCE to Thursday, January 11, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. to review the answers to the interrogatories to ascertain whether each answer is sufficient. Counsel to work together to develop a list of discovery that was not properly answered to provide to the Commissioner. COMMISSIONER directed Mr. Lionel to bring a Court Reporter to have a record of the in chamber proceedings. CONTINUED TO: 01/11/2018 10:00 AM (IN CHAMBERS CONFERENCE);

01/17/2018

CANCELED Motion for Leave (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Vacated - per Stipulation and Order

Motion for Leave to Amend Answer to Complaint

01/23/2018

Motion to Strike (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie)

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel
Denied;

01/23/2018



All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel Defendants' Motion to Compel Colloquy re: Rule 26(d). Commissioner advised Pltf's counsel it was not proper to file the Motion. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel is DENIED as Commissioner already ruled in part on the validity of the Motion when counsel appeared last time. Commissioner gave time for counsel to have a 2.34 meet and confer, resolve what they could, and bring a list of outstanding discovery chambers conference. Argument by Ms. Shanks. Commissioner needs a list of outstanding discovery, and a record with Javs is needed in this case. Mr. Lionel stated 95 percent of Defpts' discovery has been done. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, a 2.34 conference is REQUIRED unless counsel already conducted one; file a supplemental brief by 2-5-18; Defendants' Motion to Compel is CONTINUED; Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel on 2-7-18 is CONTINUED to 1:00 p.m. Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<i>Recommendations, and Ms. Shanks to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. 2-7-18 1:00 a.m. Defendants' Motion to Compel 2-7-18 1:00 a.m. Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defs Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories ;</i>
03/07/2018	Motion to Compel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>COURT CALL - Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories</i> see fax dated 1/31/18 Withdrawn;
03/07/2018	Opposition and Countermotion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>COURT CALL - Opposition to Motion to Compel and Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed</i> Granted;
03/07/2018	 All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>COURT CALL - Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories Opposition to Motion to Compel and Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed Defendants' Motion to Compel Mr. Lionel stated counsel met and conferred on 3-6-18, and Plaintiff agreed to provide meaningful answers to Interrogatories within 30 days. Mr. Simons stated extensive responses were received, and Plaintiff agreed to Withdraw the Motion to Compel. Upon agreement by counsel, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, supplements due and exchanged by 4-9-18; Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories is WITHDRAWN by Mr. Simons. Colloquy re: modifying discovery deadlines. 6-25-18 Trial date. Motion for Summary Judgment on Statute of Limitations set 4-18-18. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, discovery cutoff EXTENDED to 6-1-18; adding parties and amended pleadings are CLOSED; initial expert disclosures DUE 4-2-18; rebuttal expert disclosures DUE 4-30-18; file dispositive motions by 6-1-18 on OST. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed is GRANTED; Commissioner ALLOWED Admissions served five days late DEEMED TIMELY; both sets of Admissions are DEEMED TIMELY (Rogich and Eliades); Defendants Motion to Compel is OFF CALENDAR based on additional 30 days as agreed to by counsel. Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.;</i>
03/22/2018	CANCELED Status Check: Compliance (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie) <i>Vacated - per Commissioner</i>
04/18/2018	Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Granted in Part;
04/18/2018	Joinder (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment</i> Matter Heard;
04/18/2018	Joinder (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations LLC's Joinder to Defendants Peter Eliades Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Trust of 10/30/08 Eldorado Hills LLC and Teld's Joinder to Motion for Summary Judgment</i> Matter Heard;
04/18/2018	Opposition and Countermotion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment; Countermotion for Summary Judgment; and Countermotion for NRCP 56(f) Relief</i> Denied;

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

04/18/2018



All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, AND TELD, LLC'S JOINDER TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...SIGMUND ROGICH, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND IMITATIONS LLC'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES TRUST OF 10/30/08 ELDORADO HILLS LLC AND TELD'S JOINDER TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR NRCP 56(F) RELIEF Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment GRANTED IN PART as to fraudulent conveyance and constructive trust; DENIED IN PART in all other respects. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment; Countermotion for Summary Judgment; and Countermotion for NRCP 56 (f) Relief DENIED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order. Colloquy regarding the Court's trial calendar. Court directed parties to see if they can agree to the length of the trial, whether or not it will be a jury trial, and provide their availability for trial through the end of the year and Court will set a firm trial setting.;

04/26/2018

CANCELED Status Check: Compliance (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bulla, Bonnie)

Vacated - per Commissioner

05/17/2018



Motion to Continue Trial (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on Order Shortening Time

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on Order Shortening Time DENIED, however Court will set trial date certain, counsel to provide their availability to Court by May 25, 2018, Motions in limine set in June VACATED and to be RESET about two weeks before trial, based on availability. Colloquy regarding competing orders from last hearing. Court directed parties to send competing orders and it would sign one if it can, it not then Court will convene a telephonic so they can discuss the terms in dispute. ;

06/25/2018

CANCELED Jury Trial (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Vacated

07/10/2018

Motion to Reconsider (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Events: 06/04/2018 Motion to Reconsider

Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment

Denied;

07/10/2018

Motion For Reconsideration (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Events: 06/05/2018 Motion

Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually And As Trustee Of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust And Imitations, Llc's Motion For Reconsideration

Denied;

07/10/2018



All Pending Motions (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER PARTIALLY GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT DEFENDANTS SIGMUND ROGICH, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND IMITATIONS, LLC'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION COURT FINDS after review on June 4, 2018 Nanyah Vegas, LLC (Nanyah) filed a Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment (Nanyah Motion to Reconsider). On June 14, 2018, Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Trust, and Imitations, LLC (Rogich Defendants) filed an Opposition, to which Defendants Peter Eliades, the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC (Eliades Defendants) joined on June 21, 2018. Nanyah filed a Reply on June 25, 2018. The matter being fully briefed, and based on the papers and pleadings on file, the matter is deemed submitted. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review on June 5, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC s Motion for Reconsideration (Rogich Motion for Reconsideration), to which the Eliades Defendants joined on June 14, 2018. Nanyah filed an Opposition on June 25, 2018, and the Rogich Defendants filed a Reply on July 2, 2018. The matter being fully briefed, and based on the papers and pleadings on file, the matter is deemed submitted. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review The Court may only reconsider a previous decision if the moving party introduces substantially different evidence . . . or the decision is clearly erroneous. Masonry & Tile Contractors Ass'n of S. Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 113 Nev. 737, 741 (1997). Further, [o]nly in very rare instances in which new issues of fact or law are raised supporting a ruling contrary to the ruling already reached should a motion for rehearing be granted. Moore v. City of Las Vegas, 92 Nev. 402, 405 (1976). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review in relation to the Nanyah Motion to Reconsider, the internal accounting ledger submitted does not support a ruling contrary to the Court s previous decision. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review in relation to the Rogich Motion for Reconsideration, the Court already considered the evidence submitted, including the exhibits and deposition testimony of Mr. Harlap, and thus it does not support a ruling contrary to the Court s previous decision. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review, both the Nanyah Motion to Reconsider, and the Rogich Motion for Reconsideration are hereby DENIED. Movants to submit the orders in compliance with EDCR 7.21. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 7/16/2018 ;

07/20/2018

**Minute Order** (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Minute Order: Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Eliades Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages set 7/25/2018 GRANTED and VACATED

Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Minute Order: Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Eliades Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages set 7/25/2018 GRANTED and VACATED

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review on June 19, 2018 Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC filed a Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages (Motion), and hearing was set for July 25, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the Certificate of Service indicates the Motion was electronically served on all parties on June 19, 2018. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review no oppositions to the Motion have been filed. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review EDCR 2.20(e) provides in relevant part: Failure of the opposing party to serve and file written opposition may be construed as an admission that the motion and/or joinder is meritorious and a consent to granting the same. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the Court regularly grants similar motions so long as the page extension is reasonable. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the proposed pleading is 39 pages, which the Court finds reasonable for the type of motion and case. COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review pursuant to EDCR 2.20(e) and the merits of the Motion, Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages is GRANTED. Hearing set for July 25, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar is VACATED. Movant to submit the order in compliance with EDCR 7.21. ;

07/25/2018

CANCELED Motion for Leave (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Vacated

Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Eliades Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages

07/26/2018

Motion for Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)


Events: 06/01/2018 Motion for Summary Judgment

Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment

Denied;

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

07/26/2018	<p>Motion for Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Events: 06/01/2018 Motion for Summary Judgment <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i> Granted;</p>
07/26/2018	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Events: 06/19/2018 Opposition and Countermotion <i>Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment</i> Denied;</p>
07/26/2018	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Events: 06/19/2018 Opposition and Countermotion <i>Opposition to Eldorado Hills Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment.</i> Denied;</p>
07/26/2018	<p>Motion to Strike (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Events: 07/13/2018 Motion to Strike <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion, on Order Shortening Time, to Strike Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Untimely Countermotions for Summary Judgment</i> Denied;</p>
07/26/2018	<p>Motion (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Events: 07/16/2018 Motion <i>Defendant's Motion for Expedited Hearing on Pending Motion In Limine on order Shortening Time</i> Granted;</p>
07/26/2018	<p> All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO ELIADES DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO ELDORADO HILLS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING ON PENDING MOTION IN LIMINE ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME...MOTION TO STRIKE COUNTERMOTION Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simon regarding the merits of and opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment and the Countermotion for Summary Judgment. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment DENIED; Opposition to Eldorado Hills Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment DENIED. Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment and the Countermotion for Summary Judgment. COURT ORDERED, Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment UNDER ADVISEMENT; Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment UNDER ADVISEMENT; matter SET on chambers calendar for decision. Arguments by Mr. Lionel regarding expediting the hearing. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Motion for Expedited Hearing on Pending Motion In Limine on order Shortening Time GRANTED, parties to provide availability for dates in October for a two hour hearing; Motion to Strike Countermotion DENIED. Court stated they will confer to set deadlines on the motions in limine. Court directed counsel to confer with Court's Judicial Executive Assistant. 8/7/2018 (CHAMBERS) DECISION: DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND OPPOSITION TO ELIADES DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ;</p>

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

08/07/2018

**Decision (3:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

DECISION: Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment Decision Made;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review on July 26, 2018 the Court heard argument on Defendant Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment (Motion), as well as on Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment (Countermotion) and the Court took both matters under advisement. The Court set a Status Check on August 7, 2018 on Chambers Calendar to issue a decision or otherwise inform the parties of when they could expect one. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review based on the pleadings and papers on file, as well as arguments of counsel, the matter is deemed submitted, and COURT ORDERS the Motion is GRANTED and the Countermotion is DENIED. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review The fact that a contract or agreement contains a provision, as in the case at bar, binding the successors, heirs, and assigns of the parties hereto, is not of itself, as a general rule, sufficient to impose personal liability upon the assignee, unless by specific agreement to that effect or by an agreed substitution of the assignee for the vendee. S. Pac. Co. v. Butterfield, 39 Nev. 177 (1916). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review An assignment cannot shift the assignor's liability to the assignee, because it is a well established rule that a party to a contract cannot relieve himself of his obligations by assigning the contract. Neither does it have the effect of creating a new liability on the part of the assignee, to the other party to the contract assigned, because the assignment does not bring them together, and consequently there cannot be a meeting of the minds essential to the formation of a contract. S. Pac. Co. v. Butterfield, 39 Nev. 177 (1916). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review on October 30, 2008 The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, as Buyer, obtained an interest in Eldorado Hills via a Purchase Agreement. Section 4 of the Purchase Agreement reads in part: Seller, however, will not be responsible to pay the Exhibit A Claimants their percentage or debt. This will be Buyer's obligation. . . . The Exhibit A Claimants includes Nanyah Vegas, LLC, and its \$1,500,000.00 investment. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review, though The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust specifically agreed to assume the obligation to pay Nanyah Vegas, LLC its percentage or debt, there is nothing indicating that Teld, LLC, Peter Eliades, or the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 specifically agreed to assume those obligations from The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust. The language indicating the Agreement shall be binding and inure to the benefit of the heirs, personal representatives, successors, and permitted assigns of the parties hereto, absent any specific agreement, is not itself sufficient to impose liability on Teld, LLC, Peter Eliades, or the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08. And deposition testimony to the contrary does not impose a duty that the law or contractual relations do not otherwise impose. Accordingly, these Defendants are entitled to summary judgment on the contract-related claims and remedies, as well as for Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review [C]ivil conspiracy liability may attach where two or more persons undertake some concerted action with the intent to commit an unlawful objective, not necessarily a tort. Cadle Co. v. Woods & Erickson, LLP, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15 (2015). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review Agents and employees of a corporation cannot conspire with their corporate principal or employer where they act in their official capacities on behalf of the corporation and not as individuals for their individual advantage. Collins v. Union Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 99 Nev. 284, 303 (1983). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the intracorporate conspiracy doctrine does not apply to this case because the claim does not involve the Defendants conspiring with Eldorado Hills. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review Plaintiff's theory of Conspiracy is that it arises relating to the transactions whereby these defendants obtained membership interests in Eldorado subject to repayment obligations owed to Nanyah and these defendants pursuing their own individual advantages seeking to interfere with the return of Nanyah's investment in Eldorado. See Opposition p. 29. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review as discussed above, because there is no evidence these Defendants assumed the liability to repay Nanyah Vegas, LLC's investment, there is no unlawful objective necessary to support a claim for Conspiracy. Accordingly, these Defendants are entitled to summary judgment on Conspiracy. THEREFORE COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review for the reasons discussed above Defendant Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED, and Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is DENIED. Movant to prepare and submit detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 8/8/2018;

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

09/27/2018

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Events: 08/17/2018 Motion

Motion for Rehearing

MINUTES



Motion

Filed By: Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich

Motion for Rehearing

Under Advisement;

Decision Made;

Under Advisement;

Decision Made;

09/27/2018

Opposition and Countermotion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs

Decision Made;

09/27/2018



All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

MINUTES

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

MOTION FOR REHEARING...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS Arguments by Mr. Lionel and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion and countermotion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Rehearing and Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT and set on chambers calendar for decision. Court stated it was its intent to deny the motion however, Court will take another look at the timeline. Further arguments by Mr. Lionel. Court stated it will review the matter and if it grants the motion to rehear then it will give parties a chance to argue. 10/9/2018 (CHAMBERS) DECISION: MOTION FOR REHEARING; NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS



Decision (10/05/2018 at 3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

DECISION: MOTION FOR REHEARING; NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS

10/05/2018



Decision (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

DECISION: MOTION FOR REHEARING; NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review that on June 5, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC s Motion for Reconsideration seeking reconsideration of the Court s May 22, 2018 Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Notice of Entry of the Court s Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration was filed on July 26, 2018. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on August 17, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed the Motion for Rehearing seeking reconsideration of the Court s May 22, 2018 Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment and July 24, 2018 Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on September 4, 2018, Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs (Countermotion) was filed with the Court seeking attorney s fees and costs pursuant to NRS 7.085. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court heard oral arguments on the Motion for Rehearing on September 27, 2018. The Court took the matter under submission and set a Status Check for October 9, 2018 on Chambers Calendar for the Court to release a Decision on the Motion for Rehearing. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review [t]he Court may only reconsider a previous decision if the moving party introduces

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

substantially different evidence . . . or the decision is clearly erroneous. Masonry & Tile Contractors Ass'n of S. Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 113 Nev. 737, 741 (1997). Further, [o]nly in very rare instances in which new issues of fact or law are raised supporting a ruling contrary to the ruling already reached should a motion for rehearing be granted. Moore v. City of Las Vegas, 92 Nev. 402, 405 (1976). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court already considered the evidence submitted with the Motion for Rehearing, including the exhibits and deposition testimony of Mr. Harlap, and thus it does not support a ruling contrary to the Court's previous decision. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that EDCR 5.512(a) states in pertinent part that a party seeking reconsideration and/or rehearing of a ruling (other than an order that may be addressed by motion pursuant to NRCP 50(b), 52(b), 59, or 60), must file a motion for such relief within 14 calendar days after service of notice of entry of the order unless the time is shortened or enlarged by order. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that EDCR 2.24(b) states in pertinent part that a party seeking reconsideration of a ruling of the court, other than any order which may be addressed by motion pursuant to N.R.C.P. 50(b), 52(b), 59 or 60, must file a motion for such relief within 10 days after service of written notice of the order or judgment unless the time is shortened or enlarged by order. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that pursuant to both EDCR 2.24 and EDCR 5.512, the Motion for Rehearing is also untimely. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review, that because it has failed to introduce substantially different evidence or establish that the Court's previous decision is clearly erroneous, and because the Motion for Rehearing is untimely pursuant to EDCR 2.24 and EDCR 5.512, the Rogich Defendants Motion for Rehearing is hereby DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that Plaintiff's Countermotion seeking an award of fees and costs pursuant to NRS 7.085 is hereby DENIED. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm;

10/10/2018	Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 05/10/2018 Motion in Limine <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #1 re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of Its Managing Member</i> Denied;
10/10/2018	Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 05/10/2018 Motion in Limine <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #2 re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding That Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million Into Eldorado Hills, LLC</i> Denied;
10/10/2018	Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 05/10/2018 Motion in Limine <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #3 re: Defendants Bound by Their Answers to Complaint</i> Granted;
10/10/2018	Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 05/10/2018 Motion in Limine <i>Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #4 Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials</i> Granted in Part;
10/10/2018	Joinder (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Events: 05/21/2018 Joinder to Motion in Limine <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Eldorado Hills, LLC, and Teld, LLC's Joinder to Motion in Limine to Limit Trial Testimony of Yoav Harlap at Trial</i> Matter Heard;
10/10/2018	Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills, LLC Manager</i> Granted;

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C


10/10/2018	<p>Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p><i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language</i></p> <p>Granted;</p>
10/10/2018	<p>Motion in Limine (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p><i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-In-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC</i></p> <p>Deferred Ruling;</p>
10/10/2018	<p> All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Matter Heard;</p> <p>Journal Entry Details:</p> <p>NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #1 RE: ELDORADO HILLS, LLC BOUND BY ADMISSIONS AND STATEMENTS OF ITS MANAGING MEMBER...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #2 RE: NRS 47.240(2) MANDATES FINDING THAT NANYAH VEGAS, LLC INVESTED \$1.5 MILLION INTO ELDORADO HILLS, LLC...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #3 RE: DEFENDANTS BOUND BY THEIR ANSWERS TO COMPLAINT...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #4 YOAV HARLAP'S PERSONAL FINANCIALS...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, AND TELD, LLC'S JOINDER TO MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT TRIAL TESTIMONY OF YOAV HARLAP AT TRIAL...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY ARGUMENT THAT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC IS BOUND BY ANY TESTIMONY OR STATEMENTS BY CARLOS HUERTA FOLLOWING HIS RESIGNATION AS AN ELDORADO HILLS, LLC MANAGER...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY ARGUMENT THAT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC IS BOUND BY ANY CONTRACTUAL RECITALS, STATEMENTS, OR LANGUAGE...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT REGARDING AN ALLEGED IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT BETWEEN ELDORADO HILLS, LLC AND NANYAH VEGAS, LLC</p> <p>Following arguments by counsel, Court stated findings and ruled as follows. As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #1 re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of Its Managing Member, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED. As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #2 re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding That Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million Into Eldorado Hills, LLC, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED. As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #3 re: Defendants Bound by Their Answers to Complaint COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, however, to the extent that the parties obtained additional information after the answer was filed they will not be precluded from bring that forward at the time of trial. As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #4 Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED with regard to personal finances, there may be latitude at the time of trial based on foundation and if the door is opened. As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-In-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC, COURT ORDERED, motion DEFERRED UNTIL TIME OF TRIAL to see how the evidence comes in. As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, relief is that the presumption of the binding effect of the recitals is at issue As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills, LLC Manager COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, scope of testimony will be relevant at the time of trial and subject to resolution by objection.;</p>
11/01/2018	<p> Calendar Call (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p> <p>Matter Heard;</p> <p>Journal Entry Details:</p> <p>Mr. Sawyer requested to continue matter and advised his sister passed away Friday night in Florida. Further, funeral was yesterday, counsel returned last night and stated the past couple of weeks have been difficult for counsel. Court stated matter can be continued if everyone consents today. Mr. Kennedy stated he has no objection to request. Mr. Simons stated he does not have authorization to consent to continuance and noted the Rule 41(e) issue. Mr. Wirthlin stated counsel is talking a 60 day continuance and no objection to firm setting. Mr. Simons</p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

stated that he has not had time to communicate with his client, can reach out to him but instructions that he has today is to move forward with trial. Further, counsel advised he will reach out to client and to get response back. Colloquy regarding telephonic conference. Court stated counsel to let parties know if there is consent if not telephonic conference will go forward. Counsel to have availability for alternate trial dates when telephonic conference is held. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for telephonic conference. Further, the Court does not have the 2.47 or bench briefs the Court requested. Mr. Simons stated parties have communicated with regards to seeing if there can be some middle ground and does not seem to have any traction. Further, parties have exchanged exhibits. Parties have agreed to file pre-trial memorandums on Monday. Matter is moving along and all parties are ready except for this little event that has occurred. 11/518 2:30 PM TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE;

11/01/2018 **CANCELED Motion in Limine** (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated - per Attorney or Pro Per
Defendants' Motion in Limine to Limit Trial Testimony of Yoav Harlap at Trial

11/05/2018  **Telephonic Conference** (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
All counsel present telephonically. Colloquy regarding oral motion at last hearing to continue trial. Mr. Simons stated his client did not consent to the continuance however, he did obtain the availability of his client. COURT ORDERED, continuance GRANTED. Colloquy regarding availability. Court directed counsel to confer and let Court's Judicial Executive Assistant know by the close of business November 7, 2018.;


11/13/2018 **CANCELED Jury Trial - FIRM** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated

11/15/2018 **CANCELED Motion to Retax** (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated - per Order
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike

12/05/2018 **CANCELED Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated - per Order
Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld LLC's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

02/21/2019 **Motion for Relief** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)
Denied;

02/21/2019 **Opposition** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)
Matter Heard;

02/21/2019  **All Pending Motions** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60 (B)..NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B) Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT for Court to revisit the pleadings and enter a decision. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, matter SET on chambers calendar. Colloquy regarding if decision affects the future upcoming hearings. Court directed counsel provide availability to Court's Judicial Executive for a special setting. Mr. Wirthlin stated the deadline to file Motions in Limine is February 25, 2019. COURT ORDERED, matters on March 6, 2019 VACATED pending special setting. 3/5/2019 (CHAMBERS)DECISION: MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B)..NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

TO NRCP 60(B);

03/05/2019

CANCELED Decision (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated - Duplicate Entry

03/05/2019



Decision (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Decision Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b)

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review that on February 6, 2019 the Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) (Motion for Relief) was filed with the Court seeking relief from the October 5, 2018 Order: (1) Granting Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC s Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Countermotion for Summary Judgment (Order). The Matter was set for hearing on February 21, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court heard oral arguments on the Motion for Relief on February 21, 2019. The Court took the matter under submission and set a Status Check for March 5, 2019 on Chambers Calendar for the Court to issue a minute order with its decision. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that a motion made under NRCP 60 (b) shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) not more than 6 months after the proceeding was taken or the date that written notice of entry of the judgment or order was served. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Motion for Relief was timely made under NRCP 60(b). COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that NRCP 60(b), in pertinent part, permits the Court, [o]n motion and upon such terms as are just, to relieve a party from a final judgment, order or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect . COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that no mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect exists with respect to the Courts Order or the Court s August 8, 2018 Minute Order. THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that the Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) is hereby DENIED and the Status Check set for March 5, 2019 on Chambers Calendar is hereby VACATED. Plaintiff to prepare the Order in compliance with EDCR 7.21. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 3/5/2019;

03/06/2019

CANCELED Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated
Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment

03/06/2019

CANCELED Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated
Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment

03/06/2019

CANCELED Opposition and Countermotion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Vacated
Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Eldorado Hills LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for NRCP 15 Relief

03/20/2019

Motion in Limine (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #5 Re: Parole Evidence Rule
Denied;

03/20/2019

Motion in Limine (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #6 Re: Date of Discovery
Denied;

03/20/2019

Motion to Compel (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)
Motion for Compel Production of Plaintiffs' Tax Return and for Attorney's Fees and Order Shortening Time
Granted in Part;

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

03/20/2019



All Pending Motions (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #5 RE: PAROLE EVIDENCE RULE...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #6 RE: DATE OF DISCOVERY...MOTION FOR COMPEL PRODUCTION OF pLAINTIFFS' TAX RETURN AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin regarding the merits and opposition to the Motion in Limine #5. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #5 Re: Parole Evidence Rule DENIED. Mr. Liebman to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval. Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin regarding the merits and opposition to the Motion in Limine #6. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #6 Re :Date of Discovery DENIED. Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons regarding the Motion to Compel. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Compel Production of Plaintiffs' Tax Return and for Attorney's Fees and Order Shortening Time GRANTED IN PART as to Motion to Compel, DENIED IN PART as to Motion for Attorney's Fees; the part of the tax return showing treatment will be discoverable and the schedule L and front page of the tax return should be provided within ten days of entry of the order, if parties can agree to a protective order then it can be produced pursuant to a protective order, and if parties can not come to terms on a protective order then they may request a telephonic for the Court to resolve the matter with letters sent to Court so it can prepare for the telephonic. Court stated it is entering an order today striking the motions for summary judgment as they are past the dispositive deadline. Copy of order provided to counsel.;

04/04/2019

CANCELED Motion for Summary Judgment (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Vacated

Motion for Leave to File Motion for Summary Judgment and Motion for Summary Judgment

04/04/2019

CANCELED Motion to Reconsider (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

Vacated - Duplicate Entry

Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time

04/08/2019

Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time

Denied;

04/08/2019

Motion in Limine (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hills General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial

Denied Without Prejudice;

04/08/2019

Motion in Limine (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff and Carlos Huerta from Presenting at Trial any Contrary Evidence as to Mr. Huerta's Taking of \$1.42 Million from Eldorado Hills LLC as Go Goba Inc's Consulting Fee Income o Attempt to Refinance

Denied Without Prejudice;

04/08/2019

Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based Upon the Court's October 5, 2018, Order Granting Summary Judgment

Denied;

04/08/2019

CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

Vacated

Defendant Eldarado Hills, LLC's Motion to Extend the Disposition Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment

04/08/2019

CANCELED Motion for Summary Judgment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

Vacated

Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion to Extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline and Motion for Summary Judgment

04/08/2019

CANCELED Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Bell, Linda Marie)

Vacated - Duplicate Entry

Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time

04/08/2019



All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION TO SETTLE JURY INSTRUCTIONS BASED UPON THE COURT'S October 5, 2018, ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF AND CARLOS HUERTA FROM PRESENTING AT TRIAL ANY CONTRARY EVIDENCE AS TO MR. HUERTA'S TAKING OF \$1.42 MILLION FROM ELDORADO HILLS LLC AS GO GOBAL INC'S CONSULTING FEE INCOME O ATTEMPT TO REFINANCE...DEFENDANTS MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THE ALTERED ELDORADO HILLS GENERAL LEDGER AND RELATED TESTIMONY AT TRIAL...MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER ON NANYAH'S MOTION IN LIMINE #5: PAROL EVIDENCE RULE ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons. Mr. Liebman objected to the statements in the opposition claiming he authenticated the ledger. Further argument by Mr. Simons. COURT ORDERED, Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hills General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE and DEFERRED for determination at the time of trial as the authenticity is in dispute. Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons. COURT ORDERED, Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff and Carlos Huerta from Presenting at Trial any Contrary Evidence as to Mr. Huerta's Taking of \$1.42 Million from Eldorado Hills LLC as Go Goba Inc's Consulting Fee Income o Attempt to Refinance DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Wirthlin, and Mr. Liebman. COURT ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based Upon the Court's October 5, 2018, Order Granting Summary Judgment DENIED as Court needs to hear the evidence, however Court's intention is that the jury instructions should be consistent with the October 5, 2018 order with regard to the conclusions of law. Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time DENIED. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the order. Colloquy regarding whether a calendar call is set or needed. Court stated there is not a pretrial conference set at this time. Mr. Simons stated the Court's order striking the motions did not address the NRCP 15 motion to amend the pleadings to conform to the evidence established to the order. Mr. Liebman stated Mr. Simons motion was filed as a counter-motion and if Mr. Simons wants to re-file the motion then they will file an opposition, or the matter can be addressed at trial. Mr. Wirthlin agreed with statements by Mr. Liebman. Mr. Simons stated the matter was already filed and did not need to be filed again. Court stated if Mr. Simons wants to have the motion heard before trial then he will need to file an ex-parte order shortening time and make sure it is served on all the parties. Mr. Liebman inquired if a briefing schedule would be sent. Court directed parties to address at the matter on how they want to proceed at the last pre-trial conference. Colloquy regarding jury selection process. Mr. Wirthlin stated the order regarding the tax return being provided has been submitted to the Court. Court stated it will review it and sign it today.;

04/18/2019




Telephonic Conference (4:00 PM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:



All counsel present telephonically. Colloquy regarding Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120. Upon inquiry of Court if Mr. Simons is certain that Mr. Rogich is the only beneficiary, Mr. Simons stated it is unclear if Mr. Rogich is the sole beneficiary due to statements in the opposition. Upon inquiry of if there has been implied notification to the beneficiaries, Mr. Simons stated he believed Mr. Rogich was the only beneficiary however, counsel for Rogich Trust would not disclose who the beneficiaries were, and any beneficiary should have been fully cognizant of the action and notice. Mr. Wirthlin stated they will provide the information pursuant to the statute. Mr. Wirthlin also requested that the trial not be continued on that issue and they will provide briefing on it. Colloquy

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

	<p>regarding NRS 163.120 and Court's discretion. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120, DENIED IN PART as to the motion to continue trial, Court will take judicial notice of NRS 163.120, and on April 22, 2019 counsel can argue the legal aspect with regard to the scope of Court's discretion. Court stated any briefs need to be filed by midnight on April 21, 2019. Colloquy regarding hearing the counter-motion made by Mr. Simons at the last hearing before the trial. Court noted there was never on order shortening time presented to the Court and if both parties consent to argue the motion then they can do it in writing. Court further stated it would sign an order shortening time tomorrow if one is presented. Colloquy regarding request of judicial notice of supreme court order. Mr. Liebman and Mr. Wirthlin stated they would file oppositions to the request to take judicial notice of the supreme court order. Court directed counsel to provide an agenda of the things that will be argued and the order they will argued in before the start of trial on Monday. Colloquy regarding jury selection procedure and jury schedule.;</p>
04/22/2019	<p>Jury Trial - FIRM (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Off Calendar;</p>
04/22/2019	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Emergency Motion to Continue Trial</i> Matter Heard;</p>
04/22/2019	<p>Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Plaintiff's Rule under NRCp 15 to Amend Complaint</i> Denied;</p>
04/22/2019	<p> All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>JURY TRIAL...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ADDRESS DEFENDANT THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S NRS 163.120 NOTICE AND/OR MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL FOR PURPOSES OF NRS 163.120...PLAINTIFF'S RULE TO AMEND COMPLAINT UNDER NRCp 15 Court stated it received the order shortening time with regard to the NCRP 15 and Court is granting it so it can be argued. Arguments by Mr. Simons and Mr. Liebman in support of and opposition to Plaintiff's NCRP 15 and amending the complaint. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Rule Under NRCp 15 to Amend Complaint DENIED as being untimely and the claims being abandoned. Further arguments by Mr. Simons. Arguments by Mr. Simons and Mr. Wirthlin in support of and opposition to the Emergency Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, as to Emergency Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120, Trust DISMISSED. Mr. Simmons stated he would like to file an emergency motion writ the Supreme Court to take this up on a writ. Matter trailed for counsel to confer. RECALLED. Same parties present. Mr. Wirthlin stated counsel have conferred and are in agreement to suspend the trial with a few qualifications if the Court approves them. Colloquy regarding conditions and agreement to conditions. Upon inquiry of Court, all counsel stipulated to the suspension of the trial. Court noted there has not been a witness on the stand and it may or may not affect the five year rule. Mr. Simons stated it has been satisfied since they have commenced the trial. Matter concluded.;</i></p>
06/13/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion to Retax (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) <i>Vacated - per Stipulation and Order</i> <i>Motion to Retax and Alternatively Motion to Strike</i></p>
06/13/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) <i>Vacated - per Stipulation and Order</i></p>
06/26/2019	<p>CANCELED Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Cherry, Michael A.) <i>Vacated - per Stipulation and Order</i> <i>Defendant the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i></p>
09/05/2019	<p>Motion for Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)</p>

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

	<p>Events: 05/10/2019 Motion for Summary Judgment <i>Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCP 50(a)</i> Granted;</p>
09/05/2019	<p>Motion for Summary Judgment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment</i> Denied;</p>
09/05/2019	<p>Motion to Dismiss (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e)</i> Granted;</p>
09/05/2019	<p> All Pending Motions (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) MINUTES Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW PURSUANT TO NRCP 50(A)...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE UNDER RULE 41(E) Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCP 50(a); Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment; and Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e) TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT. Court stated it is going to write a decision, and would like to go back to the deposition and the documents to take a second look. Court stated a decision could be expected on or about September 27, 2019. 9/24/2019 (CHAMBERS) STATUS CHECK: DECISION;</i> SCHEDULED HEARINGS CANCELED Status Check (09/24/2019 at 3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Status Check: Decision</i></p>
09/24/2019	<p>CANCELED Status Check (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Vacated</i> <i>Status Check: Decision</i></p>
01/30/2020	<p>Motion for Attorney Fees (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld LLC's Motion for Attorney Fees</i> Matter Heard;</p>
01/30/2020	<p>Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Rogich Defendants' Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs</i> Matter Heard;</p>
01/30/2020	<p>Opposition and Countermotion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) <i>Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC's: (1) Opposition to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Retax Costs; and (2) Countermotion to Award Costs</i> Matter Heard;</p>
01/30/2020	<p> All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy) Matter Heard; Journal Entry Details: <i>DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES and TELD LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES . . . ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS . . . DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, TELD, LLC, AND ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S: (1)</i></p>

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

OPPOSITION TO NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION TO RETAX COSTS; and (2) COUNTERMOTION TO AWARD COSTS Court stated the Supreme Court is not sure there is a final order in this matter. Mr. Simons advised the parties executed a Stipulation and Order. Upon Court's inquiry, the parties acknowledged the same and Mr. Simons advised the Stipulation resolved Claim 8 and Claim 9 related to specific performance of declaratory relief. Stipulation and Order Re: October 4, 2019 Decision, signed in open court. Arguments by Mr. Liebman, Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons as to the merits of certain case law and statutes related to payment of attorneys' fees, block billing and the applicability of apportionment regarding the same. Further arguments of counsel regarding the validity of claims to attorneys' fees and costs by a non-signatory, third-party. Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED and set the following briefing scheduled regarding apportionment between the nonmoving parties and the moving parties: Defendants' BRIEF due February 21, 2020; Plaintiff's REPLY due March 20, 2020; Minute Order to issue March 31, 2020. Mr. Liebman to prepare the Order with form and content agreed to by all counsel. Further, Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Rogich Defendants and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED and set the following briefing scheduled regarding redactions and block billing: Rogich Defendants BRIEF due February 21, 2020; REPLY due March 20, 2020; Minute Order to issue March 31, 2020. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the Order with form and content agreed to by all counsel. Arguments by Mr. Liebman, Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simon regarding various costs related to copying, research, filing fees, messenger fees, postage and other costs, reasonable charges for costs and methodologies used to calculate the same. Further arguments of counsel regarding apportionment for costs. Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Motion to Retax on Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED in part and denied in part as to certain costs. Further, Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Motion to Retax on Rogich Defendants and ORDERED, Motion DENIED. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the Order with form agreed to by Mr. Simons.;

03/31/2020

**Status Check (3:00 AM)** (Judicial Officer: Allf, Nancy)

Status Check: Minute Order to issue regarding apportionment between the nonmoving parties and the moving parties

Minute Order - No Hearing Held;

Journal Entry Details:

COURT FINDS after review a Status Check was set for March 31, 2020 on Chambers Calendar as a follow-up from the January 30, 2020 hearing regarding the (1) Rogich Defendants Motion for Attorneys Fees (the Rogich Motion); and (2) Eliades and TELD, LLC s Motion for Attorney s Fees (the Eliades Defendants Motion). The Court requested an affidavit from counsel for the Eliades Defendants detailing how their requested fees were apportioned between the moving and non-moving clients. Moreover, the Court requested an affidavit from counsel for the Rogich Defendants justifying the redactions and block billings provided for within its motion. *COURT FURTHER FINDS* after review that on February 21, 2020, the Declaration of Joseph A. Liebman In Support was filed. Additionally, on February 28, 2020, the Declaration of Bre noch Wirthlin In Support was filed. Nanyah Vegas, LLC s supplements in opposition to the Rogich Motion and Eliades Defendants Motion were filed on March 19, 2020. *COURT FURTHER FINDS* after review that in awarding fees, the Court considers (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969). *COURT FURTHER FINDS* after review the Declarations provided by counsel justify the award of fees as requested, and that the Brunzell factors have been satisfied. *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969). *THEREFORE*, *COURT ORDERS* for good cause appearing and after review of all documents on file, the Rogich Defendants Motion for Fees and Eliades Defendants Motion for Fees are hereby GRANTED. The Eliades Defendants are entitled to all fees requested totaling \$216, 236.35 under the prevailing party attorney s fees provision contained in Section 9(d) of the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement. The Rogich Defendants are entitled to all fees requested totaling \$541,021.50 under N.R.C.P. 68. *COURT FURTHER ORDERS* for good cause appearing and after review that Defendants are directed to prepare and submit an order containing detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law (Order) based upon the Court s decision as clarified herein. Defendants are further ordered to provide opposing counsel with the proposed Order on or before April 17, 2020, from which date Plaintiffs shall have ten (10) days to review and approve said Order as to form before the Order is submitted to the Court. The final Orders should be emailed in both word and pdf format to DC27Inbox@ClarkCountyCourts.us. *COURT FURTHER ORDERS* that the Status Check set

CASE SUMMARY**CASE NO. A-13-686303-C**

for March 31, 2020 on Chambers Calendar is hereby VACATED. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm;

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
	Plaintiff Ray, Robert Total Charges 30.00 Total Payments and Credits 30.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Consolidated Case Party Eliades, Peter Total Charges 400.00 Total Payments and Credits 400.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Consolidated Case Party Sigmund Rogich Total Charges 600.00 Total Payments and Credits 600.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Consolidated Case Party TELD, LLC Total Charges 3.50 Total Payments and Credits 3.50 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC Total Charges 1,254.00 Total Payments and Credits 1,254.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Counter Defendant Alexander Christopher Trust Total Charges 33.50 Total Payments and Credits 33.50 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC Total Charges 1,126.00 Total Payments and Credits 1,126.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Trustee Huerta, Carlos A Total Charges 718.00 Total Payments and Credits 718.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Trustee Rogich, Sig Total Charges 500.00 Total Payments and Credits 500.00 Balance Due as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Counter Claimant Eldorado Hills LLC Appeal Bond Balance as of 5/26/2020 500.00
	Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas LLC Appeal Bond Balance as of 5/26/2020 1,000.00
	Trustee Huerta, Carlos A Appeal Bond Balance as of 5/26/2020 1,000.00
	Trustee Huerta, Carlos A Appeal Bond Balance as of 5/26/2020 0.00
	Trustee Huerta, Carlos A Appeal Bond Balance as of 5/26/2020 500.00

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. A-13-686303-C

|

CIVIL COVER SHEET A - 13 - 686303 - C

Clark County, Nevada

XXVII

Case No. _____

(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information

Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): Carlos Huerta, Robert Ray and Nanyah Vegas, LLC c/o Brandon B. McDonald, Esq.

Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): Sig Rogich and Eldorado Hills

Attorney (name/address/phone):

Brandon B. McDonald, Esq., 2505 Anthem Village Dr., Ste. E-474, Henderson, NV 89052, (702) 385-7411

Attorney (name/address/phone):

unknown

II. Nature of Controversy (Please check applicable bold category and applicable subcategory, if appropriate)☐ **Arbitration Requested****Civil Cases**

Real Property	Torts	
<input type="checkbox"/> Landlord/Tenant <input type="checkbox"/> Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Title to Property <input type="checkbox"/> Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> Liens <input type="checkbox"/> Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> Specific Performance <input type="checkbox"/> Condemnation/Eminent Domain <input type="checkbox"/> Other Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Partition <input type="checkbox"/> Planning/Zoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Negligence <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence – Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence – Medical/Dental <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence – Premises Liability (Slip/Fall) <input type="checkbox"/> Negligence – Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Product Liability/Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other Torts/Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> Intentional Misconduct <input type="checkbox"/> Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) <input type="checkbox"/> Interfere with Contract Rights <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) <input type="checkbox"/> Other Torts <input type="checkbox"/> Anti-trust <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud/Misrepresentation <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> Legal Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Unfair Competition
Probate	Other Civil Filing Types	
<input type="checkbox"/> Summary Administration <input type="checkbox"/> General Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Special Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Set Aside Estates <input type="checkbox"/> Trust/Conservatorships <input type="checkbox"/> Individual Trustee <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Trustee <input type="checkbox"/> Other Probate	<input type="checkbox"/> Construction Defect <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 40 <input type="checkbox"/> General <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Breach of Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Building & Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Carrier <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial Instrument <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Contracts/Acct/Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Collection of Actions <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Guarantee <input type="checkbox"/> Sale Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Uniform Commercial Code <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Petition for Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Other Administrative Law <input type="checkbox"/> Department of Motor Vehicles <input type="checkbox"/> Worker's Compensation Appeal	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal from Lower Court (also check applicable civil case box) <input type="checkbox"/> Transfer from Justice Court <input type="checkbox"/> Justice Court Civil Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Writ <input type="checkbox"/> Other Special Proceeding <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civil Filing <input type="checkbox"/> Compromise of Minor's Claim <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion of Property <input type="checkbox"/> Damage to Property <input type="checkbox"/> Employment Security <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Judgment – Civil <input type="checkbox"/> Other Personal Property <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery of Property <input type="checkbox"/> Stockholder Suit <input type="checkbox"/> Other Civil Matters

III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category; for Clark or Washoe Counties only.)

- | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> NRS Chapters 78-88 | <input type="checkbox"/> Investments (NRS 104 Art. 8) | <input type="checkbox"/> Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Commodities (NRS 90) | <input type="checkbox"/> Deceptive Trade Practices (NRS 598) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Business Court Matters |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Securities (NRS 90) | <input type="checkbox"/> Trademarks (NRS 600A) | |

7/30/13

Date

Signature of initiating party or representative



ORDR (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY❖KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS
PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES**

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

Defendants Peter Eliades (“Eliades”) and Teld, LLC’s (“Teld”) Motion for Attorney’s Fees (the “Motion for Attorney’s Fees”) originally came before the Court on January 30, 2020. At that time, the Court granted the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, but also ordered that Eliades and Teld submit supplemental briefing in the form of an affidavit or declaration from their counsel, showing how their request for \$216,236.25 was apportioned between Eliades, Teld, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 (the “Eliades Trust”), and Eldorado Hills, LLC (“Eldorado Hills”), and to what extent Eliades and Teld claimed that apportionment is impracticable due to the interrelationship between the claims and parties. Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration was filed on February 21, 2020. Nanyah Vegas, LLC (“Nanyah”) filed a response to Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration on March 20, 2020. On March 31, 2020, the Court issued a minute order providing its final ruling on the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, which is set forth in detail below.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Section 9(d) of the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (the “MIPA”) contains the following prevailing party attorney’s fees provision.

In the event that any action or proceeding is instituted to interpret or enforce the terms and provisions of this Agreement, however, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its costs and attorney’s fees, in addition to any other relief it may obtain or be entitled to.

- Eliades and Teld are both parties to the MIPA.
- Nanyah sued both Eliades and Teld for alleged breaches of the MIPA. In doing so, Nanyah alleged that it was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA.
- Any finding of fact set forth herein more appropriately designated as a conclusion of law shall be so designated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Court finds that Nanyah was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA. Thus, Nanyah is bound by Section 9(d) of the MIPA. *Canfora v. Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc.* 121 Nev. 771, 779, 121 P.3d 599, 604 (2005).
- The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld are the prevailing parties against Nanyah under Section 9(d) of the MIPA, as this Court previously entered summary judgment in their favor

- 1 and dismissed all of Nanyah’s claims against them. Thus, Eliades and Teld are entitled to
2 reimbursement of their reasonable attorney’s fees from Nanyah.
- 3 ➤ “Generally, in calculating attorney’s fees, the court should consider the qualities of the
4 advocate, the character of the work to be done, the work actually performed by the lawyer,
5 and the result.” *Hornwood v. Smith’s Food King No. 1*, 107 Nev. 80, 87, 807 P.2d 208, 213
6 (1991) (citing to *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat’l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33
7 (1969)).
- 8 ➤ The Court further finds that Eliades and Teld have fulfilled the *Brunzell* factors above.
9 Specifically, the Court finds that Eliades and Teld’s counsel are qualified advocates, that the
10 character of their work and the work performed were reasonable and justified, and that the
11 result they obtained for Eliades and Teld—entry of summary judgment—was successful.
- 12 ➤ The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld’s counsel’s hourly rates are reasonable in this
13 community for complex commercial litigation and allowed by courts in Nevada for
14 professional services rendered in complex commercial litigation.
- 15 ➤ If the Court ultimately determines that apportionment is impracticable because the claims and
16 parties are interrelated, the Court has the discretion to decline apportionment. *Mayfield v.*
17 *Koroghli*, 124 Nev. 343, 353-54, 184 P.3d 362, 369 (2008). However, the Court is first
18 required to make a good faith effort to apportion attorney’s fees, considering that
19 Bailey❖Kennedy also represented Eldorado Hills and the Eliades Trust (two non-parties to
20 the MIPA) in this consolidated action.
- 21 ➤ The Court therefore requested a supplemental affidavit or declaration from Eliades and
22 Teld’s undersigned counsel relating to apportionment. Following this Court’s review of the
23 supplemental declaration as well as Nanyah’s response thereto, the Court finds that Eliades
24 and Teld apportioned attorney’s fees between them and the Eliades Trust/Eldorado Hills (two
25 non-parties to the MIPA) when practicable, such as with respect to a Motion for Summary
26 Judgment filed solely on Eldorado Hills’ behalf, which was omitted from Eliades and Teld’s
27 request for attorney’s fees. For the remainder, the Court finds that it was impracticable to
28 apportion attorney’s fees because of the interrelationship between the parties and claims.

- 1 ➤ Accordingly, the Court finds that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
2 Teld as reimbursement for their incurred attorney's fees.
3 ➤ Any conclusion of law set forth herein more appropriately designated as a finding of fact
4 shall be so designated.

5 **ORDER**

6 The Court, having heard oral argument, having reviewed the papers, exhibits, and pleadings
7 on file, and having considered the same, and for the reasons stated upon the record, GRANTS the
8 Motion for Attorney's Fees and orders that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
9 Teld. The Court will issue a separate monetary judgment for that amount.

10
11 DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.

12
13 
14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

15 Submitted by:

16 BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

17 By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
18 Dennis Kennedy, Esq.
19 Joseph Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

20 *Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES,*
21 *THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF*
22 *10/30/08, TELD, LLC and ELDORADO*
HILLS, LLC



NEOJ (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
GRANTING DEFENDANTS
PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES**

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's
2 Motion for Attorney's Fees was entered in the above-captioned action on May 4, 2020, a true and
3 correct copy of which is attached hereto.

4 DATED this 6th day of May, 2020.

5 BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

6
7 By: /s/ Joseph A. Liebman

8 DENNIS L. KENNEDY

9 JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN

10 *Attorneys for Defendants* PETE ELIADES,
11 THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF
12 10/30/08, TELD, LLC and
13 ELDORADO HILLS, LLC
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY and that on the 6th day of May, 2020, service of the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES** was made by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known address:

MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ.
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Suite F-46
Reno, NV 89509

Email: msimons@shjnevada.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
NANYAH VEGAS, LLC

BRENOCH WIRTHLIN, ESQ.
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89145

bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

Attorneys for Defendants
SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND
ROGICH, Individually and as
Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY
IRREVOCABLE TRUST, and
IMITATIONS, LLC

MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI
JANIECE S. MARSHALL
GENTILE CRISTALLI MILLER
ARMENI SAVARESE
410 South Rampart Blvd., Suite 420
Las Vegas, NV 89145

Email: mcristalli@gcmaslaw.com
jmarshall@gcmaslaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants
SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND
ROGICH as Trustee of THE
ROGICH FAMILY
IRREVOCABLE TRUST

/s/ Sharon L. Murnane
Employee of BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY



ORDR (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY❖KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302
Telephone: 702.562.8820
Facsimile: 702.562.8821
DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com
JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS
PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S
MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES**

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

Defendants Peter Eliades (“Eliades”) and Teld, LLC’s (“Teld”) Motion for Attorney’s Fees (the “Motion for Attorney’s Fees”) originally came before the Court on January 30, 2020. At that time, the Court granted the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, but also ordered that Eliades and Teld submit supplemental briefing in the form of an affidavit or declaration from their counsel, showing how their request for \$216,236.25 was apportioned between Eliades, Teld, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 (the “Eliades Trust”), and Eldorado Hills, LLC (“Eldorado Hills”), and to what extent Eliades and Teld claimed that apportionment is impracticable due to the interrelationship between the claims and parties. Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration was filed on February 21, 2020. Nanyah Vegas, LLC (“Nanyah”) filed a response to Eliades and Teld’s supplemental declaration on March 20, 2020. On March 31, 2020, the Court issued a minute order providing its final ruling on the Motion for Attorney’s Fees, which is set forth in detail below.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Section 9(d) of the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement (the “MIPA”) contains the following prevailing party attorney’s fees provision.

In the event that any action or proceeding is instituted to interpret or enforce the terms and provisions of this Agreement, however, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its costs and attorney’s fees, in addition to any other relief it may obtain or be entitled to.

- Eliades and Teld are both parties to the MIPA.
- Nanyah sued both Eliades and Teld for alleged breaches of the MIPA. In doing so, Nanyah alleged that it was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA.
- Any finding of fact set forth herein more appropriately designated as a conclusion of law shall be so designated.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Court finds that Nanyah was an intended third-party beneficiary under the MIPA. Thus, Nanyah is bound by Section 9(d) of the MIPA. *Canfora v. Coast Hotels and Casinos, Inc.* 121 Nev. 771, 779, 121 P.3d 599, 604 (2005).
- The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld are the prevailing parties against Nanyah under Section 9(d) of the MIPA, as this Court previously entered summary judgment in their favor

- 1 and dismissed all of Nanyah’s claims against them. Thus, Eliades and Teld are entitled to
2 reimbursement of their reasonable attorney’s fees from Nanyah.
- 3 ➤ “Generally, in calculating attorney’s fees, the court should consider the qualities of the
4 advocate, the character of the work to be done, the work actually performed by the lawyer,
5 and the result.” *Hornwood v. Smith’s Food King No. 1*, 107 Nev. 80, 87, 807 P.2d 208, 213
6 (1991) (citing to *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat’l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33
7 (1969)).
- 8 ➤ The Court further finds that Eliades and Teld have fulfilled the *Brunzell* factors above.
9 Specifically, the Court finds that Eliades and Teld’s counsel are qualified advocates, that the
10 character of their work and the work performed were reasonable and justified, and that the
11 result they obtained for Eliades and Teld—entry of summary judgment—was successful.
- 12 ➤ The Court also finds that Eliades and Teld’s counsel’s hourly rates are reasonable in this
13 community for complex commercial litigation and allowed by courts in Nevada for
14 professional services rendered in complex commercial litigation.
- 15 ➤ If the Court ultimately determines that apportionment is impracticable because the claims and
16 parties are interrelated, the Court has the discretion to decline apportionment. *Mayfield v.*
17 *Koroghli*, 124 Nev. 343, 353-54, 184 P.3d 362, 369 (2008). However, the Court is first
18 required to make a good faith effort to apportion attorney’s fees, considering that
19 Bailey❖Kennedy also represented Eldorado Hills and the Eliades Trust (two non-parties to
20 the MIPA) in this consolidated action.
- 21 ➤ The Court therefore requested a supplemental affidavit or declaration from Eliades and
22 Teld’s undersigned counsel relating to apportionment. Following this Court’s review of the
23 supplemental declaration as well as Nanyah’s response thereto, the Court finds that Eliades
24 and Teld apportioned attorney’s fees between them and the Eliades Trust/Eldorado Hills (two
25 non-parties to the MIPA) when practicable, such as with respect to a Motion for Summary
26 Judgment filed solely on Eldorado Hills’ behalf, which was omitted from Eliades and Teld’s
27 request for attorney’s fees. For the remainder, the Court finds that it was impracticable to
28 apportion attorney’s fees because of the interrelationship between the parties and claims.

- 1 ➤ Accordingly, the Court finds that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
2 Teld as reimbursement for their incurred attorney's fees.
3 ➤ Any conclusion of law set forth herein more appropriately designated as a finding of fact
4 shall be so designated.

5 **ORDER**

6 The Court, having heard oral argument, having reviewed the papers, exhibits, and pleadings
7 on file, and having considered the same, and for the reasons stated upon the record, GRANTS the
8 Motion for Attorney's Fees and orders that Nanyah is obligated to pay \$216,236.25 to Eliades and to
9 Teld. The Court will issue a separate monetary judgment for that amount.

10
11 DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.

12
13 
14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

15 Submitted by:

16 BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

17 By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
18 Dennis Kennedy, Esq.
19 Joseph Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

20 *Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES,*
21 *THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF*
22 *10/30/08, TELD, LLC and ELDORADO*
HILLS, LLC



JUDG (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY❖KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

JUDGMENT

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

Judgment is entered in favor of Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of two hundred and sixteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-six and 25/100 dollars (\$216,236.25). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of Judgment until paid in full.

Judgment is also entered in favor of Peter Eliades, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of thirty-one thousand, ten and 98/100 dollars (\$31,010.98). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of Judgment until paid in full.

DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.


DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq.
Joseph A. Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES,
THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC



NJUD (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Judgment was entered in the above-captioned action on
2 May 4, 2020, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto.

3 DATED this 6th day of May, 2020.

4 BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY

5
6 By: /s/ Joseph A. Liebman

7 DENNIS L. KENNEDY

8 JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN

9 *Attorneys for Defendants* PETE ELIADES,
10 THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF
11 10/30/08, TELD, LLC and
12 ELDORADO HILLS, LLC
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY❖KENNEDY and that on the 6th day of May, 2020, service of the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT** was made by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court’s electronic filing system and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known address:

MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ. SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC 6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Suite F-46 Reno, NV 89509	Email: msimons@shjnevada.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> NANYAH VEGAS, LLC
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

BRENOCH WIRTHLIN, ESQ. HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145	bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com <i>Attorneys for Defendants</i> SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH, Individually and as Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST, and IMITATIONS, LLC
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI JANIECE S. MARSHALL GENTILE CRISTALLI MILLER ARMENI SAVARESE 410 South Rampart Blvd., Suite 420 Las Vegas, NV 89145	Email: mcristalli@gcmaslaw.com jmarshall@gcmaslaw.com <i>Attorneys for Defendants</i> SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as Trustee of THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

/s/ Sharon L. Murnane
Employee of BAILEY❖KENNEDY



JUDG (CIV)

DENNIS L. KENNEDY
Nevada Bar No. 1462

JOSEPH A. LIEBMAN
Nevada Bar No. 10125

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302

Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com

JLiebman@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES, THE
ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual;
CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE
ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a
Trust established in Nevada as assignee of
interests of GO GLOBAL, INC., a Nevada
Corporation; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, A
Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable
Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company; DOES I-X; and/or
ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; PETER ELIADES, individually and
as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of
10/30/08; SIGMUND ROGICH, individually
and as Trustee of The Rogich Family
Irrevocable Trust; IMITATIONS, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company; DOES I-X;
and/or ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. A-13-686303-C
Dept. No. XXVII

JUDGMENT

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

Case No. A-16-746239-C

Judgment is entered in favor of Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of two hundred and sixteen thousand, two hundred and thirty-six and 25/100 dollars (\$216,236.25). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of Judgment until paid in full.

Judgment is also entered in favor of Peter Eliades, The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld, LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of thirty-one thousand, ten and 98/100 dollars (\$31,010.98). Interest shall continue to accrue from entry of Judgment until paid in full.

DATED this 4th day of May, 2020.



DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY

By /s/ Joseph A. Liebman
Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq.
Joseph A. Liebman, Esq.
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302

Attorneys for Defendants PETE ELIADES,
THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08,
TELD, LLC, and ELDORADO HILLS, LLC



ORDR

Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500

Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH
DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION
FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS; AND (2) DENYING
NANYAH'S MOTION TO RETAX
COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH
DEFENDANTS**

Date of Hearing: January 30, 2020

Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

1 **ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR**
2 **ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS; AND (2) DENYING NANYAH'S MOTION TO**
3 **RETAX COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

4 Defendants SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust
5 ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC
6 ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the
7 "Rogich Defendants"), filed their Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Attorneys'
8 Fees Motion") on October 22, 2019; Plaintiff NANYAH VEGAS, LLC ("Nanyah") filed its
9 Opposition to such Attorneys' Fees Motion on January 8, 2020; the Rogich Defendants filed
10 their Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

11 Nanyah filed its Motion to Retax Costs submitted by the Rogich Defendants'
12 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements ("Motion to Retax Costs") on October 16, 2019; the
13 Rogich Defendants filed their Opposition to such Motion to Retax on January 9, 2020; and
14 Nanyah filed its Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

15 Both of the aforementioned Motions having come for hearing on **January 30, 2020** at
16 **11:00 a.m.**, with all parties being represented by their undersigned counsel of record; Mark
17 Simons, Esq., having appeared at the hearing on behalf of Nanyah, and Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq.,
18 having appeared at the hearing on behalf of the Rogich Defendants; the Court having requested a
19 supplemental declaration from counsel for the Rogich Defendants regarding the redactions and
20 block billings provided for within its Motion ("Supplemental Declaration"); the Rogich
21 Defendants having filed their Supplemental Declaration on February 28, 2020; Nanyah having
22 filed its response ("Nanyah's Response") to the Supplemental Declaration on March 19, 2020;
23 the Court having reviewed and considered all papers on file in this matter, including without
24 limitation the Supplemental Declaration and Nanyah's Response thereto, as well as having heard
25 and considered arguments made by counsel at the time of the hearing on this matter, good cause
26 appearing, hereby issues the following findings of fact, conclusions of law¹, and Order:

27 _____

28 ¹ If any findings of fact are more appropriately deemed conclusions of law or *vice versa*, they are so deemed.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Court finds that while it is not appropriate to award attorneys' fees to the Rogich Defendants under NRS 18, it is appropriate for this Court to award the Rogich Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NRCP 68;

2. The Court finds that the Offer of Judgment served on Nanyah on October 29, 2018 (the “Offer”) pursuant to NRCP 68 was made in good faith, both in in timing and amount, and, as such, the fees incurred thereafter by the Rogich Defendants, in the principal amount of \$541,021.50, were appropriate, reasonable and justified, and are hereby awarded;

3. The Court finds that the it was grossly unreasonable – not in bad faith – but grossly unreasonable for Nanyah not to accept the Offer;

4. That in awarding fees, the Court considers: (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969).

5. That after review, the Declarations submitted by the Rogich Defendants' counsel justify the award of fees as requested, and finds that the Rogich Defendants have fully satisfied each of the Brunzell factors as set forth therein. *Id.*;

6. Specifically, the Court finds that the Rogich Defendants' counsel are qualified advocates, that the work performed and hours spent were reasonable, justified and not duplicative, and that the result obtained, including dismissal of the Rogich Trust and summary judgment in favor of Rogich and Imitations, was successful;

7. The Court further finds that although there was some block billing, there was sufficient detail that the Court could determine that the time was reasonably spent;

8. The Court further finds that the hourly rate was very low compared to the skill of the attorney;

1 9. The Court further finds that although there were some redactions on the attorneys'
2 fee invoices, the Court finds there was no churning or duplicative work and the work was
3 advanced in good faith;

4 10. The Court further reiterates its finding that all of the costs included in the Rogich
5 Defendants' Amended Memorandum of Costs in the amount of \$39,748.55 are justified,
6 including copying charges, filing fees, messenger fees, postage, Secretary of State copy of
7 records, service of process and Westlaw expenses, all of which costs have previously been
8 awarded to the Rogich Defendants; and

9 11. The Court further finds that with respect to the Westlaw expenses, they are
10 significantly less than the other Defendants when the Rogich Defendants were in the case much
11 longer and had the laboring oar of defense.

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That Rogich Defendants' Attorneys' Fees Motion is hereby GRANTED as set forth herein;

2. That Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs was previously DENIED;

3. That, pursuant to NRCP 68, the Rogich Defendants are entitled and are hereby awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$541,021.50**;

4. That the Rogich Defendants were previously awarded their costs as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$39,748.55**;

5. That the awards of attorneys' fees and costs to the Rogich Defendants shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.

DATED: May 5, 2020.

Nancy L. Alf
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:


HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: Did Not Sign
Mark Simons, Esq.
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste F-46
Reno, NV 89509
Attorneys for Nanyah Vegas, LLC

By: Did Not Sign
Joseph Liebman, Esq.
BAILEY KENNEDY
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148
*Attorneys for Pete Eliades, The Eliades
Survivor Trust, TELD, LLC, and
Eldorado Hills, LLC*



1 **NEO**
2 Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
3 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN**
4 10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
6 Telephone: (702) 385-2500
7 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086
8 Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
9 *Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and*
10 *as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,*
11 *and Imitations, LLC*

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF
THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order: (1) Granting Rogich Defendants' Renewed
2 Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs; and (2) Denying Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs
3 Submitted by Rogich Defendants was entered on the 5th day of May, 2020, a copy of which is
4 attached hereto.

5 Dated this 7th day of May, 2020.

6 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN**

7
8 By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
9 Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10 10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
11 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
12 Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
13 *Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants*
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that on this 7th day of May, 2020, I caused the document entitled **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** to be served on the following by Electronic Service to:

ALL PARTIES ON THE E-SERVICE LIST

/s/Danielle Kelley
An Employee of Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC



ORDR

Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500

Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH
DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION
FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
COSTS; AND (2) DENYING
NANYAH'S MOTION TO RETAX
COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH
DEFENDANTS**

Date of Hearing: January 30, 2020

Time of Hearing: 11:00 a.m.

1 **ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR**
2 **ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS; AND (2) DENYING NANYAH'S MOTION TO**
3 **RETAX COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

4 Defendants SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust
5 ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC
6 ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the
7 "Rogich Defendants"), filed their Renewed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Attorneys'
8 Fees Motion") on October 22, 2019; Plaintiff NANYAH VEGAS, LLC ("Nanyah") filed its
9 Opposition to such Attorneys' Fees Motion on January 8, 2020; the Rogich Defendants filed
10 their Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

11 Nanyah filed its Motion to Retax Costs submitted by the Rogich Defendants'
12 Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements ("Motion to Retax Costs") on October 16, 2019; the
13 Rogich Defendants filed their Opposition to such Motion to Retax on January 9, 2020; and
14 Nanyah filed its Reply brief on January 23, 2020.

15 Both of the aforementioned Motions having come for hearing on **January 30, 2020** at
16 **11:00 a.m.**, with all parties being represented by their undersigned counsel of record; Mark
17 Simons, Esq., having appeared at the hearing on behalf of Nanyah, and Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq.,
18 having appeared at the hearing on behalf of the Rogich Defendants; the Court having requested a
19 supplemental declaration from counsel for the Rogich Defendants regarding the redactions and
20 block billings provided for within its Motion ("Supplemental Declaration"); the Rogich
21 Defendants having filed their Supplemental Declaration on February 28, 2020; Nanyah having
22 filed its response ("Nanyah's Response") to the Supplemental Declaration on March 19, 2020;
23 the Court having reviewed and considered all papers on file in this matter, including without
24 limitation the Supplemental Declaration and Nanyah's Response thereto, as well as having heard
25 and considered arguments made by counsel at the time of the hearing on this matter, good cause
26 appearing, hereby issues the following findings of fact, conclusions of law¹, and Order:

27 _____

28 ¹ If any findings of fact are more appropriately deemed conclusions of law or *vice versa*, they are so deemed.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Court finds that while it is not appropriate to award attorneys' fees to the Rogich Defendants under NRS 18, it is appropriate for this Court to award the Rogich Defendants their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to NRCP 68;

2. The Court finds that the Offer of Judgment served on Nanyah on October 29, 2018 (the “Offer”) pursuant to NRCP 68 was made in good faith, both in in timing and amount, and, as such, the fees incurred thereafter by the Rogich Defendants, in the principal amount of \$541,021.50, were appropriate, reasonable and justified, and are hereby awarded;

3. The Court finds that the it was grossly unreasonable – not in bad faith – but grossly unreasonable for Nanyah not to accept the Offer;

4. That in awarding fees, the Court considers: (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969).

5. That after review, the Declarations submitted by the Rogich Defendants' counsel justify the award of fees as requested, and finds that the Rogich Defendants have fully satisfied each of the Brunzell factors as set forth therein. *Id.*;

6. Specifically, the Court finds that the Rogich Defendants' counsel are qualified advocates, that the work performed and hours spent were reasonable, justified and not duplicative, and that the result obtained, including dismissal of the Rogich Trust and summary judgment in favor of Rogich and Imitations, was successful;

7. The Court further finds that although there was some block billing, there was sufficient detail that the Court could determine that the time was reasonably spent;

8. The Court further finds that the hourly rate was very low compared to the skill of the attorney;

1 9. The Court further finds that although there were some redactions on the attorneys'
2 fee invoices, the Court finds there was no churning or duplicative work and the work was
3 advanced in good faith;

4 10. The Court further reiterates its finding that all of the costs included in the Rogich
5 Defendants' Amended Memorandum of Costs in the amount of \$39,748.55 are justified,
6 including copying charges, filing fees, messenger fees, postage, Secretary of State copy of
7 records, service of process and Westlaw expenses, all of which costs have previously been
8 awarded to the Rogich Defendants; and

9 11. The Court further finds that with respect to the Westlaw expenses, they are
10 significantly less than the other Defendants when the Rogich Defendants were in the case much
11 longer and had the laboring oar of defense.

12 ///

13 ///

14 ///

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

1. That Rogich Defendants' Attorneys' Fees Motion is hereby GRANTED as set forth herein;

2. That Nanyah's Motion to Retax Costs was previously DENIED;

3. That, pursuant to NRCP 68, the Rogich Defendants are entitled and are hereby awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$541,021.50**;

4. That the Rogich Defendants were previously awarded their costs as a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the amount of **\$39,748.55**;

5. That the awards of attorneys' fees and costs to the Rogich Defendants shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.

DATED: May 5, 2020.

Nancy L. Alf
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:


HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants

APPROVED AS TO FORM

By: Did Not Sign
Mark Simons, Esq.
SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON
6490 S. McCarran Blvd., Ste F-46
Reno, NV 89509
Attorneys for Nanyah Vegas, LLC

By: Did Not Sign
Joseph Liebman, Esq.
BAILEY KENNEDY
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89148
*Attorneys for Pete Eliades, The Eliades
Survivor Trust, TELD, LLC, and
Eldorado Hills, LLC*



JUDG (CIV)

Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500

Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
IN FAVOR OF
THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IN
FAVOR OF THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

Judgment is hereby entered in favor of SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the "Rogich Defendants") and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC, in the amount of \$541,021.50 for reasonable attorneys' fees, and additionally in the amount of \$39,748.55 for reasonable costs, for a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the total principal amount of **\$580,770.05**. Said amount shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.


DATED: May 5, 2020.


DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants



1 **NEO**
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
2 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN**
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Telephone: (702) 385-2500
4 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
5 *Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and*
6 *as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,*
and Imitations, LLC

7
8 **IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF**
9 **THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK**

10 CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
11 CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
12 INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
16 ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
17 CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

18 Defendants.

19 NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
20 liability company,

21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

22 TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
23 the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
24 of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
25 company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

26 Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Judgment Regarding Award of Attorneys' Fees and
2 Costs in Favor of the Rogich Defendants was entered on the 5th day of May, 2020, a copy of
3 which is attached hereto.

4 Dated this 7th day of May, 2020.

5 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN**

6
7 By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
8 Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
9 10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
11 Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
12 *Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants*
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that on this 7th day of May, 2020, I caused the document entitled **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** to be served on the following by Electronic Service to:

ALL PARTIES ON THE E-SERVICE LIST

/s/Danielle Kelley
An Employee of Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC



JUDG (CIV)

Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500

Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com

*Attorneys for Sigmund Rogich, Individually and
as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust,
and Imitations, LLC*

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

CARLOS A. HUERTA, an individual; CARLOS
A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust established in
Nevada as assignee of interests of GO GLOBAL,
INC., a Nevada corporation; NANYAH VEGAS,
LLC A Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-13-686303-C

Dept. No.: XXVII

Consolidated With:

Case No.: A-16-746239-C

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD
OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
IN FAVOR OF
THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

NANYAH VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

TELD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,
PETER ELIADES, individually and as Trustee of
the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08;
SIGMUND ROGICH, individually and as Trustee
of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
IMITATIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability
company; DOES I-X; and/or ROE
CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,

Defendants.

**JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IN
FAVOR OF THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS**

Judgment is hereby entered in favor of SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust ("The Rogich Trust"), Sigmund Rogich individually ("Rogich") and Imitations, LLC ("Imitations" and collectively with the Rogich Trust and Rogich referred to herein as the "Rogich Defendants") and against Nanyah Vegas, LLC, in the amount of \$541,021.50 for reasonable attorneys' fees, and additionally in the amount of \$39,748.55 for reasonable costs, for a judgment against Nanyah Vegas, LLC in the total principal amount of **\$580,770.05**. Said amount shall bear post judgment interest at the Nevada statutory interest rate per annum from the date of award until fully satisfied, for all of which let execution and garnishment issue forthwith.

DATED: May 5, 2020.


DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

By: /s/Brenoch Wirthlin
Brenoch Wirthlin, Esq. (NV Bar No. 10282)
10080 W. Alta Dr., Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Email: bwirthlin@hutchlegal.com
Attorneys for the Rogich Defendants

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

May 14, 2014

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

May 14, 2014 9:30 AM Motion for Leave

HEARD BY: Alf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Traci Rawlinson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Anderson, Steven C Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Anderson advised he has exchanged emails with opposing counsel he is not opposed to the motion. There being good grounds and no opposition, COURT ORDERED, Defendants' Motion for Leave to File an Amended Answer on an Order Shortening time GRANTED. Order provided to the Court.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

September 11, 2014

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

September 11, 2014 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Traci Rawlinson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 McDonald, Brandon B Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS LLC'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTER-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Arguments by counsel regarding motion for partial summary judgment and counter-motion for partial summary judgment. Court noted it rarely considers counter-motions. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Counter-Motion for Partial Summary Judgment DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE as Court declined to hear the counter-motion; Defendant Eldorado Hills LLC's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment GRANTED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval as to form and content.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

September 26, 2014

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

September 26, 2014 9:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie

COURTROOM: RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Patti Slattery

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 McDonald, Brandon B Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery on an OST Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses on OST

Mr. Lionel stated Summary Judgment was Granted September 11, 2014 on the issue in Motion to Compel. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Defts' Motion to Compel Discovery Responses is OFF CALENDAR. Argument by Mr. McDonald; for walking away from his interest in the Company, Deft received approximately \$680,000 and a Company transferred to him with a valuable piece of property. Mr. McDonald requested to conduct discovery and depositions. Argument by Mr. Lionel.

Mr. McDonald has not reviewed documents recently provided (one month after discovery closed). Commissioner advised counsel anyone who engages in discovery outside the deadline does so at their own peril. Colloquy re: the Mosley factors.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Pltfs' Motion to Continue Trial and Discovery is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE; 11/3/14 Trial date STANDS; if the Trial does not move forward, Commissioner will look at the issue again.

Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and Mr. McDonald to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution. Mr. Lionel to appear at status check hearing to report on the Report and Recommendations.

10/24/14 11:00 a.m. Status Check: Compliance

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

October 08, 2014

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

October 08, 2014 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Alf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Shelly Landwehr

RECORDER: Sandra Pruchnic

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Huerta, Carlos Plaintiff
Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
McDonald, Brandon B Attorney
Schwartz, Samuel A. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT SIG ROGICH, TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TREUST'S MOTIONFOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT...PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTER-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARYJUDGMENT...PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONTO CONTINUE TRIAL ON AN ORDER SHORTENING TIME

Mr. noted Mr. McDonald was bankruptcy approved and had been retained in this matter. Court so noted.

Mr. Lionel argued in support of his motion stating Defendant had made misrepresentations before the bankruptcy court that they had no claim and now they are before this Court saying there is a claim, and that calls for judicial estoppel. Mr. Lionel argued regarding what judicial estoppel is intended for. Mr. Lionel further argued case law and cited several cases in open court. Lastly, Mr. Lionel argued regarding the requirement of a debtor to file a schedule of assets under oath, and stated the filed document omitted any claim against Rogich Trust.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Lionel argued Sig Rogich is not a party; the trust is a different entity and stated there was an original plan and three amendments but no claim or potential claim against Rogich Trust. The affidavit filed by Mr. Huerta was not true and accurate. Colloquy regarding the assignment of this claim and whether the Rogich Trust is bound by anything in the plan.

Mr. Schwartz argued the claim against Mr. Rogich is disclosed as an asset; and there are amendments to those schedules that came out throughout the course of the case; however, there is nothing that takes Mr. Rogich out. Court inquired regarding disclosure statements and that no claim was made that Defendant would try and collect receivables, and the creditors were not on notice of that. Mr. Schwartz argued that is not what is required from a disclosure statement, you don't have to disclose to the Courts about a receivable that may have to be litigated to collect. Mr. Schwartz further argued regarding the difference between the case referenced by Mr. Lionel and the facts in this case. Lastly, Mr. Schwartz stated there is an asset that is clearly disclosed in the schedules and no one has stated they weren't aware of the bankruptcy or didn't know they were listed as an asset.

Upon Court's inquiry regarding what it believed to be bankruptcy law with respect to the necessity of a disclosure statement, Mr. Schwartz stated there was a creditors plan drafted in which Mr. Huerta was a creditor and the law says you have a right to pursue it as a cause of action. Court stated there is no reference to this lawsuit, no mention of this receivable.

Mr. Schwartz responded there was a reference to some collection activities that had to occur and there was a proposed plan that was 100% to the creditors based on the collection of those assets and they were aware Mr. Huerta had to collect on assets to pay his creditors. Further, there was no concealment, there is no fraud, and Mr. Rogich can't say he was not on notice. Defense admitted they were aware of the bankruptcy and admitted they received land. Court inquired as to why Go Global assigned the right to collect to someone else and noted it was not disclosed in any the bankruptcy court filings.

Following further arguments by Mr. Lionel, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

October 24, 2014

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

October 24, 2014 11:00 AM Status Check: Compliance

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie

COURTROOM: RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Richard Kangas

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Defense counsel is relieved from preparing the Report and Recommendation based on settlement of case (letter dated Oct. 15, 2014); matter is OFF CALENDAR.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was placed in the attorney folder(s) of:

Mr. Lionel - Lionel, S, C

January 15, 2015

May 14, 2014

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract**COURT MINUTES****March 22, 2016**

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
 vs.
 Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 22, 2016	3:00 AM	Minute Order	Minute Order: Status Check: Status of Case set 3/24/2016 VACATED
-----------------------	----------------	---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy**COURTROOM:** No Location**COURT CLERK:** Nicole McDevitt**RECORDER:****REPORTER:**

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 set a Status Check for March 24, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. to ascertain the status of the case following the Nevada Supreme Court s Order of Reversal and Remand.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Motion).

COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that the STATUS CHECK set on MOTIONS CALENDAR on March 24, 2016 at 9:30 a.m. is VACATED; as the Motion has been filed, the Status Check is unnecessary.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was faxed to: Brandon B. McDonald, Esq. (702-385-2741) and Samuel S. Lionel, Esq. (702-692-8099).

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

March 23, 2016

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 23, 2016

3:00 AM

Minute Order

**Minute Order:
Matters set on
3/29/2016 chambers
calendar and
5/10/2016 chambers
calendar.**

HEARD BY: Alf, Nancy

COURTROOM: No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review that on February 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Motion) and the matter was set for Chambers Calendar on March 29, 2016.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on March 22, 2016 Plaintiffs filed an Application to Set Oral Argument on Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Application) and the matter was set for Chambers Calendar on May 10, 2016.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that oral argument is appropriate, so the Court will set Oral Argument on Plaintiffs Motion. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Motion is fully briefed.

COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review Plaintiffs Motion for Reconsideration or

Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment set for CHAMBERS CALENDAR on March 29, 2016 in CONTINUED to MOTIONS CALENDAR on April 20, 2016 at 10:30 a.m. for Oral Argument. COURT FURTHER ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review the Hearing on CHAMBERS CALENDAR set for May 10, 2016 is VACATED.

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order was faxed to: Brandon B. McDonald, Esq. (702-385-2741) and Samuel S. Lionel, Esq. (702-692-8099).

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

April 20, 2016

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

**April 20, 2016 10:30 AM Motion For
Reconsideration**

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Traci Rawlinson

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Plaintiffs' Motion for Reconsideration or Relief from Order Granting Motion for Partial Summary Judgment DENIED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract**COURT MINUTES****July 21, 2017**

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

July 21, 2017 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories Defendant's Opposition / Countermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr. Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories

Commissioner discussed procedural problem in the case; a Scheduling Order was issued years ago, but the only way to re-open deadlines is by 2.35 Stipulation; written discovery is closed. An updated Case Conference Report does not extend deadlines. Mr. Simons stated counsel Stipulated to continue discovery in the consolidated case, but counsel did not recognize the Scheduling Order in the lead case controls. Counsel will file a 2.35 Stipulation. Commissioner will give deadlines today to move the case forward, but technically, all discovery in the last few months should not have been done. Mr. Simons Stipulated to extend deadlines; counsel stated dates offered on an emergency basis are no longer available, and Mr. Simons has a schedule conflict with an upcoming Trial. Colloquy re: calculating the Five Year Rule (7-31-2018); Remand Three Year Rule discussed (7-21-2019). Commissioner must ensure counsel are conducting the case within discovery deadlines. Counsel need to discuss the Five Year Rule, and counsel could submit a Stipulation signed by the Judge. Colloquy re: service of Opposition/Countermotion. Mr. Simons requested a continuance for counsel

to discuss deadlines.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, within two weeks, Mr. Simons must provide dates for deposition. Commissioner will not grant a two day, 14 hour deposition without more information; take deposition for one day, 7 hours and try to reach an agreement on the record. Colloquy re: 25 additional Interrogatories for each side. Opposition by Mr. Simons. Commissioner requested Mr. Simons check his office email service. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion for Temporary Protective Order to Quash Deposition Notice and Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories is GRANTED IN PART; Protective Order is GRANTED; deposition of Mr. Harlap must be completed before by 9-29-17. Mr. Simons has 10-9-17 through 10-13-17 open for deposition. Mr. Lionel would like to get the deposition taken. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Countermotion for 2 Days to Complete Mr. Harlap's Deposition and Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories is GRANTED IN PART; complete deposition in two days, 14 hours on or before 10-13-17 (efficient use of time); Interrogatories are limited to 40 at this time, therefore, Leave to Serve 25 Additional Interrogatories is DENIED; Extend Time to Respond to Interrogatories is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, discovery cutoff EXTENDED to 3-15-18; adding parties, amended pleadings, and initial expert disclosures due 12-15-17; rebuttal expert disclosures due 1-17-18; file dispositive motions by 4-16-18; Trial ready 5-29-18. Commissioner gave deadlines counsel agreed to in the JCCR; as of today discovery is open. Commissioner is available by conference call or file a Motion. Mr. Simons to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and Mr. Lionel to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

December 15, 2017

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

December 15, 2017 9:30 AM Motion to Compel

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie

COURTROOM: RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Marwanda Knight

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COMMISSIONER stated it received a faxed copy of the deposition transcript of Yoav Harlap; noted review of the Motion and stated that the responses are not appropriate. Arguments by counsel. COMMISSIONER directed counsel to have a meet and confer to discuss the supplemental interrogatories and provide the Commissioner with a complete full set of the initial and supplemental answers to those interrogatories, which will be Pltf's responsibility to do so.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED Motion CONTINUED for IN CHAMBERS CONFERENCE to Thursday, January 11, 2018 at 10:00 a.m. to review the answers to the interrogatories to ascertain whether each answer is sufficient. Counsel to work together to develop a list of discovery that was not properly answered to provide to the Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER directed Mr. Lionel to bring a Court Reporter to have a record of the in chamber proceedings.

CONTINUED TO: 01/11/2018 10:00 AM (IN CHAMBERS CONFERENCE)

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

January 23, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

January 23, 2018 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie

COURTROOM: RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Shanks, Therese M. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel Defendants' Motion to Compel

Colloquy re: Rule 26(d). Commissioner advised Pltf's counsel it was not proper to file the Motion. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Strike Defendants' Motion to Compel is DENIED as Commissioner already ruled in part on the validity of the Motion when counsel appeared last time. Commissioner gave time for counsel to have a 2.34 meet and confer, resolve what they could, and bring a list of outstanding discovery chambers conference. Argument by Ms. Shanks. Commissioner needs a list of outstanding discovery, and a record with Javs is needed in this case.

Mr. Lionel stated 95 percent of Defts' discovery has been done. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, a 2.34 conference is REQUIRED unless counsel already conducted one; file a supplemental brief by 2-5-18; Defendants' Motion to Compel is CONTINUED; Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel on 2-7-18 is CONTINUED to 1:00 p.m. Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and Ms.

Shanks to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

2-7-18 1:00 a.m. Defendants' Motion to Compel

2-7-18 1:00 a.m.

Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defts Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

March 07, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 07, 2018 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Bulla, Bonnie **COURTROOM:** RJC Level 5 Hearing Room

COURT CLERK: Jennifer Lott

RECORDER: Francesca Haak

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT CALL - Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories

Opposition to Motion to Compel and Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed

Defendants' Motion to Compel

Mr. Lionel stated counsel met and conferred on 3-6-18, and Plaintiff agreed to provide meaningful answers to Interrogatories within 30 days. Mr. Simons stated extensive responses were received, and Plaintiff agreed to Withdraw the Motion to Compel. Upon agreement by counsel, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, supplements due and exchanged by 4-9-18; Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Compel Defendants Responses to Request for Production and Interrogatories is WITHDRAWN by Mr. Simons. Colloquy re: modifying discovery deadlines. 6-25-18 Trial date. Motion for Summary Judgment on Statute of Limitations set 4-18-18.

COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, discovery cutoff EXTENDED to 6-1-18; adding parties and amended pleadings are CLOSED; initial expert disclosures DUE 4-2-18; rebuttal expert disclosures DUE 4-30-18; file dispositive motions by 6-1-18 on OST. COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED, Countermotion for an Order That the Answers to Requests for Admissions Should be Considered as Having Been Timely Filed is GRANTED; Commissioner ALLOWED Admissions served five days late DEEMED TIMELY; both sets of Admissions are DEEMED TIMELY (Rogich and Eliades); Defendants Motion to Compel is OFF CALENDAR based on additional 30 days as agreed to by counsel. Mr. Lionel to prepare the Report and Recommendations, and counsel to approve as to form and content. A proper report must be timely submitted within 10 days of the hearing. Otherwise, counsel will pay a contribution.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

April 18, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

April 18, 2018 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, AND TELD, LLC'S JOINDER TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...SIGMUND ROGICH, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND IMITATIONS LLC'S JOINDER TO DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES TRUST OF 10/30/08 ELDORADO HILLS LLC AND TELD'S JOINDER TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; AND COUNTERMOTION FOR NRCP 56(F) RELIEF

Arguments by counsel regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment GRANTED IN PART as to fraudulent conveyance and constructive trust; DENIED IN PART in all other respects. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment; Countermotion for Summary Judgment; and Countermotion for NRCP 56(f) Relief DENIED. Mr. Lionel to prepare the order. Colloquy regarding the Court's trial calendar. Court directed parties to see if they can agree to

the length of the trial, whether or not it will be a jury trial, and provide their availability for trial through the end of the year and Court will set a firm trial setting.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

May 17, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

May 17, 2018 9:30 AM Motion to Continue Trial

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion to Continue Trial and to Set Firm Trial Date on Order Shortening Time DENIED, however Court will set trial date certain, counsel to provide their availability to Court by May 25, 2018, Motions in limine set in June VACATED and to be RESET about two weeks before trial, based on availability. Colloquy regarding competing orders from last hearing. Court directed parties to send competing orders and it would sign one if it can, it not then Court will convene a telephonic so they can discuss the terms in dispute.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

July 10, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

July 10, 2018

3:00 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Alf, Nancy

COURTROOM: No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER PARTIALLY GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT
DEFENDANTS SIGMUND ROGICH, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ROGICH
FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST AND IMITATIONS, LLC'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

COURT FINDS after review on June 4, 2018 Nanyah Vegas, LLC (Nanyah) filed a Motion to Reconsider Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment (Nanyah Motion to Reconsider). On June 14, 2018, Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Trust, and Imitations, LLC (Rogich Defendants) filed an Opposition, to which Defendants Peter Eliades, the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, Teld LLC, and Eldorado Hills, LLC (Eliades Defendants) joined on June 21, 2018. Nanyah filed a Reply on June 25, 2018. The matter being fully briefed, and based on the papers and pleadings on file, the matter is deemed submitted.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review on June 5, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC s Motion for Reconsideration (Rogich Motion for Reconsideration), to which the Eliades Defendants joined on June 14, 2018. Nanyah filed an Opposition on June 25, 2018, and the Rogich Defendants filed a Reply on July 2, 2018. The matter being fully briefed, and based on the papers and pleadings on file, the matter is deemed submitted.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review The Court may only reconsider a previous decision if the moving party introduces substantially different evidence . . . or the decision is clearly erroneous. *Masonry & Tile Contractors Ass'n of S. Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd.*, 113 Nev. 737, 741 (1997). Further, [o]nly in very rare instances in which new issues of fact or law are raised supporting a ruling contrary to the ruling already reached should a motion for rehearing be granted. *Moore v. City of Las Vegas*, 92 Nev. 402, 405 (1976).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review in relation to the Nanyah Motion to Reconsider, the internal accounting ledger submitted does not support a ruling contrary to the Court s previous decision.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review in relation to the Rogich Motion for Reconsideration, the Court already considered the evidence submitted, including the exhibits and deposition testimony of Mr. Harlap, and thus it does not support a ruling contrary to the Court s previous decision.

THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review, both the Nanyah Motion to Reconsider, and the Rogich Motion for Reconsideration are hereby DENIED. Movants to submit the orders in compliance with EDCR 7.21.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 7/16/2018

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

July 20, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

July 20, 2018

3:00 AM

Minute Order

**Minute Order:
Motion for Leave to
File Nanyah Vegas
LLC's Opposition to
Eliades Defendant's
Motion for Summary
Judgment and
Countermotion for
Summary Judgment
in Excess of Thirty
(30) Pages set
7/25/2018 GRANTED
and VACATED**

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy

COURTROOM: No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review on June 19, 2018 Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC filed a Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages (Motion), and hearing was set for July 25, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the Certificate of Service indicates the Motion was electronically served on all parties on June 19, 2018.

PRINT DATE: 05/26/2020

Page 25 of 56

Minutes Date:

May 14, 2014

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review no oppositions to the Motion have been filed.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review EDCR 2.20(e) provides in relevant part: Failure of the opposing party to serve and file written opposition may be construed as an admission that the motion and/or joinder is meritorious and a consent to granting the same.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the Court regularly grants similar motions so long as the page extension is reasonable. COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the proposed pleading is 39 pages, which the Court finds reasonable for the type of motion and case.

COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review pursuant to EDCR 2.20(e) and the merits of the Motion, Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Motion for Leave to File Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in Excess of Thirty (30) Pages is GRANTED. Hearing set for July 25, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar is VACATED. Movant to submit the order in compliance with EDCR 7.21.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

July 26, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

July 26, 2018 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO ELIADES DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...OPPOSITION TO ELDORADO HILLS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING ON PENDING MOTION IN LIMINE ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME...MOTION TO STRIKE COUNTERMOTION

Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simon regarding the merits of and opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment and the Countermotion for Summary Judgment. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment DENIED; Opposition to Eldorado Hills Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment DENIED.

Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment and the Countermotion for Summary Judgment. COURT ORDERED,

Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of The Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment UNDER ADVISEMENT; Opposition to Eliades Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment UNDER ADVISEMENT; matter SET on chambers calendar for decision.

Arguments by Mr. Lionel regarding expediting the hearing. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Motion for Expedited Hearing on Pending Motion In Limine on order Shortening Time GRANTED, parties to provide availability for dates in October for a two hour hearing; Motion to Strike Countermotion DENIED. Court stated they will confer to set deadlines on the motions in limine. Court directed counsel to confer with Court's Judicial Executive Assistant.

8/7/2018 (CHAMBERS) DECISION: DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND OPPOSITION TO ELIADES DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

August 07, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

August 07, 2018 3:00 AM Decision

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review on July 26, 2018 the Court heard argument on Defendant Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC s Motion for Summary Judgment (Motion), as well as on Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Countermotion for Summary Judgment (Countermotion) and the Court took both matters under advisement. The Court set a Status Check on August 7, 2018 on Chambers Calendar to issue a decision or otherwise inform the parties of when they could expect one.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review based on the pleadings and papers on file, as well as arguments of counsel, the matter is deemed submitted, and COURT ORDERS the Motion is GRANTED and the Countermotion is DENIED.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review The fact that a contract or agreement contains a provision, as in the case at bar, binding the successors, heirs, and assigns of the parties hereto, is not of itself, as a general rule, sufficient to impose personal liability upon the assignee, unless by specific agreement to that effect or by an agreed substitution of the assignee for the vendee. S. Pac. Co. v. Butterfield, 39 Nev. 177 (1916).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review An assignment cannot shift the assignor's liability to the

assignee, because it is a well established rule that a party to a contract cannot relieve himself of his obligations by assigning the contract. Neither does it have the effect of creating a new liability on the part of the assignee, to the other party to the contract assigned, because the assignment does not bring them together, and consequently there cannot be a meeting of the minds essential to the formation of a contract. S. Pac. Co. v. Butterfield, 39 Nev. 177 (1916).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review on October 30, 2008 The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust, as Buyer, obtained an interest in Eldorado Hills via a Purchase Agreement. Section 4 of the Purchase Agreement reads in part: Seller, however, will not be responsible to pay the Exhibit A Claimants their percentage or debt. This will be Buyer s obligation. . . . The Exhibit A Claimants includes Nanyah Vegas, LLC, and its \$1,500,000.00 investment.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review, though The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust specifically agreed to assume the obligation to pay Nanyah Vegas, LLC its percentage or debt, there is nothing indicating that Teld, LLC, Peter Eliades, or the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08 specifically agreed to assume those obligations from The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust. The language indicating the Agreement shall be binding and inure to the benefit of the heirs, personal representatives, successors, and permitted assigns of the parties hereto, absent any specific agreement, is not itself sufficient to impose liability on Teld, LLC, Peter Eliades, or the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08. And deposition testimony to the contrary does not impose a duty that the law or contractual relations do not otherwise impose. Accordingly, these Defendants are entitled to summary judgment on the contract-related claims and remedies, as well as for Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review [C]ivil conspiracy liability may attach where two or more persons undertake some concerted action with the intent to commit an unlawful objective, not necessarily a tort. Cadle Co. v. Woods & Erickson, LLP, 131 Nev. Adv. Op. 15 (2015).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review Agents and employees of a corporation cannot conspire with their corporate principal or employer where they act in their official capacities on behalf of the corporation and not as individuals for their individual advantage. Collins v. Union Fed. Sav. & Loan Ass'n, 99 Nev. 284, 303 (1983).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the intracorporate conspiracy doctrine does not apply to this case because the claim does not involve the Defendants conspiring with Eldorado Hills.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review Plaintiff s theory of Conspiracy is that it arises relating to the transactions whereby these defendants obtained membership interests in Eldorado subject to repayment obligations owed to Nanyah and these defendants pursuing their own individual advantages seeking to interfere with the return of Nanyah s investment in Eldorado. See Opposition p. 29.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review as discussed above, because there is no evidence these

Defendants assumed the liability to repay Nanyah Vegas, LLC's investment, there is no unlawful objective necessary to support a claim for Conspiracy. Accordingly, these Defendants are entitled to summary judgment on Conspiracy.

THEREFORE COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review for the reasons discussed above Defendant Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED, and Plaintiff Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is DENIED. Movant to prepare and submit detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 8/8/2018

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

September 27, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

September 27, 2018 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Marshall, Janiece S Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR REHEARING...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS

Arguments by Mr. Lionel and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion and countermotion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Rehearing and Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT and set on chambers calendar for decision. Court stated it was its intent to deny the motion however, Court will take another look at the timeline. Further arguments by Mr. Lionel. Court stated it will review the matter and if it grants the motion to rehear then it will give parties a chance to argue.

10/9/2018 (CHAMBERS) DECISION: MOTION FOR REHEARING; NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR REHEARING AND COUNTERMOTION FOR AWARD OF FEES AND COSTS

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

October 05, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

October 05, 2018 3:00 AM Decision

HEARD BY: Alf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review that on June 5, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed Defendants Sigmund Rogich, Individually and as Trustee of the Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust and Imitations, LLC s Motion for Reconsideration seeking reconsideration of the Court s May 22, 2018 Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Notice of Entry of the Court s Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration was filed on July 26, 2018.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on August 17, 2018 the Rogich Defendants filed the Motion for Rehearing seeking reconsideration of the Court s May 22, 2018 Order Partially Granting Summary Judgment and July 24, 2018 Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on September 4, 2018, Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Opposition to Motion for Rehearing and Countermotion for Award of Fees and Costs (Countermotion) was filed with the Court seeking attorney s fees and costs pursuant to NRS 7.085.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court heard oral arguments on the Motion for Rehearing on September 27, 2018. The Court took the matter under submission and set a Status Check

for October 9, 2018 on Chambers Calendar for the Court to release a Decision on the Motion for Rehearing.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review [t]he Court may only reconsider a previous decision if the moving party introduces substantially different evidence . . . or the decision is clearly erroneous. *Masonry & Tile Contractors Ass'n of S. Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd.*, 113 Nev. 737, 741 (1997). Further, [o]nly in very rare instances in which new issues of fact or law are raised supporting a ruling contrary to the ruling already reached should a motion for rehearing be granted. *Moore v. City of Las Vegas*, 92 Nev. 402, 405 (1976).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court already considered the evidence submitted with the Motion for Rehearing, including the exhibits and deposition testimony of Mr. Harlap, and thus it does not support a ruling contrary to the Court s previous decision.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that EDCR 5.512(a) states in pertinent part that a party seeking reconsideration and/or rehearing of a ruling (other than an order that may be addressed by motion pursuant to NRCP 50(b), 52(b), 59, or 60), must file a motion for such relief within 14 calendar days after service of notice of entry of the order unless the time is shortened or enlarged by order.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that EDCR 2.24(b) states in pertinent part that a party seeking reconsideration of a ruling of the court, other than any order which may be addressed by motion pursuant to N.R.C.P. 50(b), 52(b), 59 or 60, must file a motion for such relief within 10 days after service of written notice of the order or judgment unless the time is shortened or enlarged by order.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that pursuant to both EDCR 2.24 and EDCR 5.512, the Motion for Rehearing is also untimely.

THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review, that because it has failed to introduce substantially different evidence or establish that the Court s previous decision is clearly erroneous, and because the Motion for Rehearing is untimely pursuant to EDCR 2.24 and EDCR 5.512, the Rogich Defendants Motion for Rehearing is hereby DENIED. COURT FURTHER ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that Plaintiff s Countermotion seeking an award of fees and costs pursuant to NRS 7.085 is hereby DENIED.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

October 10, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

October 10, 2018 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #1 RE: ELDORADO HILLS, LLC BOUND BY ADMISSIONS AND STATEMENTS OF ITS MANAGING MEMBER...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #2 RE: NRS 47.240(2) MANDATES FINDING THAT NANYAH VEGAS, LLC INVESTED \$1.5 MILLION INTO ELDORADO HILLS, LLC...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #3 RE: DEFENDANTS BOUND BY THEIR ANSWERS TO COMPLAINT...NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #4 YOAV HARLAP'S PERSONAL FINANCIALS...DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, ELDORADO HILLS, LLC, AND TELD, LLC'S JOINDER TO MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT TRIAL TESTIMONY OF YOAV HARLAP AT TRIAL...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY ARGUMENT THAT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC IS BOUND BY ANY TESTIMONY OR STATEMENTS BY CARLOS HUERTA FOLLOWING HIS RESIGNATION AS AN ELDORADO HILLS, LLC MANAGER...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE ANY ARGUMENT THAT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC IS BOUND BY ANY CONTRACTUAL RECITALS, STATEMENTS, OR LANGUAGE...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE

TO PRECLUDE ANY EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT REGARDING AN ALLEGED IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT BETWEEN ELDORADO HILLS, LLC AND NANYAH VEGAS, LLC

Following arguments by counsel, Court stated findings and ruled as follows.

As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #1 re: Eldorado Hills, LLC Bound by Admissions and Statements of Its Managing Member, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #2 re: NRS 47.240(2) Mandates Finding That Nanyah Vegas, LLC Invested \$1.5 Million Into Eldorado Hills, LLC, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #3 re: Defendants Bound by Their Answers to Complaint COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, however, to the extent that the parties obtained additional information after the answer was filed they will not be precluded from bring that forward at the time of trial.

As to Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion in Limine #4 Yoav Harlap's Personal Financials, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED with regard to personal finances, there may be latitude at the time of trial based on foundation and if the door is opened.

As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Evidence or Argument Regarding an Alleged Implied-In-Fact Contract Between Eldorado Hills, LLC and Nanyah Vegas, LLC, COURT ORDERED, motion DEFERRED UNTIL TIME OF TRIAL to see how the evidence comes in.

As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Contractual Recitals, Statements, or Language, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, relief is that the presumption of the binding effect of the recitals is at issue

As to Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion in Limine to Preclude Any Argument that Eldorado Hills, LLC is Bound by Any Testimony or Statements by Carlos Huerta Following his Resignation as an Eldorado Hills, LLC Manager COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, scope of testimony will be relevant at the time of trial and subject to resolution by objection.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract**COURT MINUTES****November 01, 2018**

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
 vs.
 Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

November 01, 2018 11:00 AM Calendar Call

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: April Watkins

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:	Kennedy, Dennis L.	Attorney
	Liebman, Joseph A.	Attorney
	Lionel, Samuel S.	Attorney
	Simons, Mark G	Attorney
	Wirthlin, Brenoch	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Mr. Sawyer requested to continue matter and advised his sister passed away Friday night in Florida. Further, funeral was yesterday, counsel returned last night and stated the past couple of weeks have been difficult for counsel. Court stated matter can be continued if everyone consents today. Mr. Kennedy stated he has no objection to request. Mr. Simons stated he does not have authorization to consent to continuance and noted the Rule 41(e) issue. Mr. Wirthlin stated counsel is talking a 60 day continuance and no objection to firm setting. Mr. Simons stated that he has not had time to communicate with his client, can reach out to him but instructions that he has today is to move forward with trial. Further, counsel advised he will reach out to client and to get response back. Colloquy regarding telephonic conference. Court stated counsel to let parties know if there is consent if not telephonic conference will go forward. Counsel to have availability for alternate trial dates when telephonic conference is held. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for telephonic conference. Further, the Court does not have the 2.47 or bench briefs the Court requested. Mr. Simons stated parties have communicated with regards to seeing if there can be some middle ground and does not seem to have any traction. Further, parties have exchanged exhibits. Parties have agreed to file pre-

trial memorandums on Monday. Matter is moving along and all parties are ready except for this little event that has occurred.

11/518 2:30 PM TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

November 05, 2018

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

November 05, 2018 2:30 PM Telephonic Conference

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:	Liebman, Joseph A.	Attorney
	Lionel, Samuel S.	Attorney
	Simons, Mark G	Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- All counsel present telephonically.

Colloquy regarding oral motion at last hearing to continue trial. Mr. Simons stated his client did not consent to the continuance however, he did obtain the availability of his client. COURT ORDERED, continuance GRANTED. Colloquy regarding availability. Court directed counsel to confer and let Court's Judicial Executive Assistant know by the close of business November 7, 2018.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

February 21, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

February 21, 2019 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Rogich, Sig Trustee
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B)..NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B)

Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Relief From the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) and Nanyah Vegas LLC's Opposition to Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT for Court to revisit the pleadings and enter a decision. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, matter SET on chambers calendar. Colloquy regarding if decision affects the future upcoming hearings. Court directed counsel provide availability to Court's Judicial Executive for a special setting. Mr. Wirthlin stated the deadline to file Motions in Limine is February 25, 2019. COURT ORDERED, matters on March 6, 2019 VACATED pending special setting.

3/5/2019 (CHAMBERS)DECISION: MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER

PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B)..NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF
FROM THE October 5, 2018 ORDER PURSUANT TO NRCP 60(B)

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

March 05, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 05, 2019 3:00 AM Decision

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review that on February 6, 2019 the Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) (Motion for Relief) was filed with the Court seeking relief from the October 5, 2018 Order: (1) Granting Defendants Peter Eliades, Individually and as Trustee of the Eliades Survivor Trust of 10/30/08, and Teld, LLC s Motion for Summary Judgment; and (2) Denying Nanyah Vegas, LLC s Countermotion for Summary Judgment (Order). The Matter was set for hearing on February 21, 2019 at 10:00 a.m. on Motions Calendar.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Court heard oral arguments on the Motion for Relief on February 21, 2019. The Court took the matter under submission and set a Status Check for March 5, 2019 on Chambers Calendar for the Court to issue a minute order with its decision.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that a motion made under NRCP 60(b) shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) not more than 6 months after the proceeding was taken or the date that written notice of entry of the judgment or order was served.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that the Motion for Relief was timely made under NRCP 60(b).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that NRCP 60(b), in pertinent part, permits the Court, [o]n motion and upon such terms as are just, to relieve a party from a final judgment, order or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect .

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that no mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect exists with respect to the Courts Order or the Court s August 8, 2018 Minute Order.

THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that the Motion for Relief from the October 5, 2018 Order Pursuant to NRCP 60(b) is hereby DENIED and the Status Check set for March 5, 2019 on Chambers Calendar is hereby VACATED. Plaintiff to prepare the Order in compliance with EDCR 7.21.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm 3/5/2019

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

March 20, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 20, 2019 9:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #5 RE: PAROLE EVIDENCE RULE...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE #6 RE: DATE OF DISCOVERY...MOTION FOR COMPEL PRODUCTION OF pLAINTIFFS' TAX RETURN AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME

Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin regarding the merits and opposition to the Motion in Limine #5. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #5 Re: Parole Evidence Rule DENIED. Mr. Liebman to prepare the order and submit it to opposing counsel for approval.

Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin regarding the merits and opposition to the Motion in Limine #6. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas LLC's Motion in Limine #6 Re :Date of Discovery DENIED.

Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons regarding the Motion to Compel. COURT ORDERED,

Motion for Compel Production of Plaintiffs' Tax Return and for Attorney's Fees and Order Shortening Time GRANTED IN PART as to Motion to Compel, DENIED IN PART as to Motion for Attorney's Fees; the part of the tax return showing treatment will be discoverable and the schedule L and front page of the tax return should be provided within ten days of entry of the order, if parties can agree to a protective order then it can be produced pursuant to a protective order, and if parties can not come to terms on a protective order then they may request a telephonic for the Court to resolve the matter with letters sent to Court so it can prepare for the telephonic. Court stated it is entering an order today striking the motions for summary judgment as they are past the dispositive deadline. Copy of order provided to counsel.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

April 08, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

April 08, 2019 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION TO SETTLE JURY INSTRUCTIONS BASED UPON THE COURT'S October 5, 2018, ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANTS MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE PLAINTIFF AND CARLOS HUERTA FROM PRESENTING AT TRIAL ANY CONTRARY EVIDENCE AS TO MR. HUERTA'S TAKING OF \$1.42 MILLION FROM ELDORADO HILLS LLC AS GO GOBAL INC'S CONSULTING FEE INCOME O ATTEMPT TO REFINANCE...DEFENDANTS MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE THE ALTERED ELDORADO HILLS GENERAL LEDGER AND RELATED TESTIMONY AT TRIAL...MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER ON NANYAH'S MOTION IN LIMINE #5: PAROL EVIDENCE RULE ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME

Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons. Mr. Liebman objected to the statements in the opposition claiming he authenticated the ledger. Further argument by Mr. Simons. COURT ORDERED, Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude the Altered Eldorado Hills General Ledger and Related Testimony at Trial DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE and DEFERRED for determination at the time of trial as the authenticity is in dispute.

Arguments by Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons. COURT ORDERED, Defendants Motion in Limine to Preclude Plaintiff and Carlos Huerta from Presenting at Trial any Contrary Evidence as to Mr. Huerta's Taking of \$1.42 Million from Eldorado Hills LLC as Go Global Inc's Consulting Fee Income or Attempt to Refinance DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Wirthlin, and Mr. Liebman. COURT ORDERED, Nanyah Vegas, LLC's Motion to Settle Jury Instructions Based Upon the Court's October 5, 2018, Order Granting Summary Judgment DENIED as Court needs to hear the evidence, however Court's intention is that the jury instructions should be consistent with the October 5, 2018 order with regard to the conclusions of law.

Arguments by Mr. Simons, Mr. Liebman, and Mr. Wirthlin. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, Motion to Reconsider Order on Nanyah's Motion in Limine #5: Parol Evidence Rule on Order Shortening Time DENIED. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the order.

Colloquy regarding whether a calendar call is set or needed. Court stated there is not a pretrial conference set at this time. Mr. Simons stated the Court's order striking the motions did not address the NRCP 15 motion to amend the pleadings to conform to the evidence established to the order. Mr. Liebman stated Mr. Simons motion was filed as a counter-motion and if Mr. Simons wants to re-file the motion then they will file an opposition, or the matter can be addressed at trial. Mr. Wirthlin agreed with statements by Mr. Liebman. Mr. Simons stated the matter was already filed and did not need to be filed again. Court stated if Mr. Simons wants to have the motion heard before trial then he will need to file an ex-parte order shortening time and make sure it is served on all the parties. Mr. Liebman inquired if a briefing schedule would be sent. Court directed parties to address at the matter on how they want to proceed at the last pre-trial conference. Colloquy regarding jury selection process. Mr. Wirthlin stated the order regarding the tax return being provided has been submitted to the Court. Court stated it will review it and sign it today.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

April 18, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

April 18, 2019 4:00 PM Telephonic Conference

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Kennedy, Dennis L. Attorney
Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
Simons, Mark G Attorney
Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- All counsel present telephonically.

Colloquy regarding Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120. Upon inquiry of Court if Mr. Simons is certain that Mr. Rogich is the only beneficiary, Mr. Simons stated it is unclear if Mr. Rogich is the sole beneficiary due to statements in the opposition. Upon inquiry of if there has been implied notification to the beneficiaries, Mr. Simons stated he believed Mr. Rogich was the only beneficiary however, counsel for Rogich Trust would not disclose who the beneficiaries were, and any beneficiary should have been fully cognizant of the action and notice. Mr. Wirthlin stated they will provide the information pursuant to the statute. Mr. Wirthlin also requested that the trial not be continued on that issue and they will provide briefing on it. Colloquy regarding NRS 163.120 and Court's discretion. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Address Defendant The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust's NRS 163.120 Notice and/or Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120, DENIED IN PART as to the motion to continue trial, Court will

take judicial notice of NRS 163.120, and on April 22, 2019 counsel can argue the legal aspect with regard to the scope of Court's discretion. Court stated any briefs need to be filed by midnight on April 21, 2019. Colloquy regarding hearing the counter-motion made by Mr. Simons at the last hearing before the trial. Court noted there was never an order shortening time presented to the Court and if both parties consent to argue the motion then they can do it in writing. Court further stated it would sign an order shortening time tomorrow if one is presented. Colloquy regarding request of judicial notice of supreme court order. Mr. Liebman and Mr. Wirthlin stated they would file oppositions to the request to take judicial notice of the supreme court order. Court directed counsel to provide an agenda of the things that will be argued and the order they will argue in before the start of trial on Monday. Colloquy regarding jury selection procedure and jury schedule.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

April 22, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

April 22, 2019 10:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03B

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Fell, Thomas H Attorney
 Kennedy, Dennis L. Attorney
 Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- JURY TRIAL...NANYAH VEGAS LLC'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO ADDRESS DEFENDANT THE ROGICH FAMILY IRREVOCABLE TRUST'S NRS 163.120 NOTICE AND/OR MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL FOR PURPOSES OF NRS 163.120...PLAINTIFF'S RULE TO AMEND COMPLAINT UNDER NRCP 15

Court stated it received the order shortening time with regard to the NCRP 15 and Court is granting it so it can be argued. Arguments by Mr. Simons and Mr. Liebman in support of and opposition to Plaintiff's NCRP 15 and amending the complaint. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Rule Under NRCP 15 to Amend Complaint DENIED as being untimely and the claims being abandoned. Further arguments by Mr. Simons.

Arguments by Mr. Simons and Mr. Wirthlin in support of and opposition to the Emergency Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, as to

Emergency Motion to Continue Trial for Purposes of NRS 163.120, Trust DISMISSED. Mr. Simmons stated he would like to file an emergency motion writ the Supreme Court to take this up on a writ. Matter trailed for counsel to confer.

RECALLED. Same parties present.

Mr. Wirthlin stated counsel have conferred and are in agreement to suspend the trial with a few qualifications if the Court approves them. Colloquy regarding conditions and agreement to conditions. Upon inquiry of Court, all counsel stipulated to the suspension of the trial. Court noted there has not been a witness on the stand and it may or may not affect the five year rule. Mr. Simons stated it has been satisfied since they have commenced the trial. Matter concluded.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

September 05, 2019

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

September 05, 2019 10:30 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Fell, Thomas H Attorney
Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
Lionel, Samuel S. Attorney
Simons, Mark G Attorney
Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW PURSUANT TO NRCP 50(A)...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT...DEFENDANT ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S MOTION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE UNDER RULE 41(E)

Arguments by Mr. Liebman and Mr. Simons regarding the merits of and opposition to the motion. COURT ORDERED, Motion for Summary Judgment or Alternatively for Judgment as a Matter of Law Pursuant to NRCP 50(a); Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment; and Defendant Eldorado Hills, LLC's Motion for Dismissal with Prejudice Under Rule 41(e) TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT. Court stated it is going to write a decision, and would like to go back to the deposition and the documents to take a second look. Court stated a decision could be expected on or about September 27, 2019.

9/24/2019 (CHAMBERS) STATUS CHECK: DECISION

PRINT DATE: 05/26/2020

Page 52 of 56

Minutes Date:

May 14, 2014

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract

COURT MINUTES

January 30, 2020

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

January 30, 2020 11:00 AM All Pending Motions

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03A

COURT CLERK: Carolyn Jackson

RECORDER: Brynn White

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Liebman, Joseph A. Attorney
 Simons, Mark G Attorney
 Wirthlin, Brenoch Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES and TELD LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY FEES . . . ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS . . . DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE ELIADES SURVIVOR TRUST OF 10/30/08, TELD, LLC, AND ELDORADO HILLS, LLC'S: (1) OPPOSITION TO NANYAH VEGAS, LLC'S MOTION TO RETAX COSTS; and (2) COUNTERMOTION TO AWARD COSTS

Court stated the Supreme Court is not sure there is a final order in this matter. Mr. Simons advised the parties executed a Stipulation and Order. Upon Court's inquiry, the parties acknowledged the same and Mr. Simons advised the Stipulation resolved Claim 8 and Claim 9 related to specific performance of declaratory relief. Stipulation and Order Re: October 4, 2019 Decision, signed in open court.

Arguments by Mr. Liebman, Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simons as to the merits of certain case law and statutes related to payment of attorneys' fees, block billing and the applicability of apportionment regarding the same. Further arguments of counsel regarding the validity of claims to attorneys' fees and costs by a non-signatory, third-party.

Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED and set the following briefing scheduled regarding apportionment between the nonmoving parties and the moving parties: Defendants' BRIEF due February 21, 2020; Plaintiff's REPLY due March 20, 2020; Minute Order to issue March 31, 2020. Mr. Liebman to prepare the Order with form and content agreed to by all counsel.

Further, Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Rogich Defendants and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED and set the following briefing scheduled regarding redactions and block billing: Rogich Defendants BRIEF due February 21, 2020; REPLY due March 20, 2020; Minute Order to issue March 31, 2020. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the Order with form and content agreed to by all counsel.

Arguments by Mr. Liebman, Mr. Wirthlin and Mr. Simon regarding various costs related to copying, research, filing fees, messenger fees, postage and other costs, reasonable charges for costs and methodologies used to calculate the same. Further arguments of counsel regarding apportionment for costs.

Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Motion to Retax on Defendants Peter Eliades and Teld, LLC's and ORDERED, Motion GRANTED in part and denied in part as to certain costs.

Further, Court stated its FINDINGS regarding Motion to Retax on Rogich Defendants and ORDERED, Motion DENIED. Mr. Wirthlin to prepare the Order with form agreed to by Mr. Simons.

**DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Breach of Contract**COURT MINUTES****March 31, 2020**

A-13-686303-C Carlos Huerta, Plaintiff(s)
 vs.
 Eldorado Hills LLC, Defendant(s)

March 31, 2020 3:00 AM Status Check

HEARD BY: Allf, Nancy **COURTROOM:** No Location

COURT CLERK: Nicole McDevitt

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

**PARTIES
PRESENT:**

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- COURT FINDS after review a Status Check was set for March 31, 2020 on Chambers Calendar as a follow-up from the January 30, 2020 hearing regarding the (1) Rogich Defendants Motion for Attorneys Fees (the Rogich Motion); and (2) Eliades and TELD, LLC s Motion for Attorney s Fees (the Eliades Defendants Motion). The Court requested an affidavit from counsel for the Eliades Defendants detailing how their requested fees were apportioned between the moving and non-moving clients. Moreover, the Court requested an affidavit from counsel for the Rogich Defendants justifying the redactions and block billings provided for within its motion.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that on February 21, 2020, the Declaration of Joseph A. Liebman In Support was filed. Additionally, on February 28, 2020, the Declaration of Bre noch Wirthlin In Support was filed. Nanyah Vegas, LLC s supplements in opposition to the Rogich Motion and Eliades Defendants Motion were filed on March 19, 2020.

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review that in awarding fees, the Court considers (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and

attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969).

COURT FURTHER FINDS after review the Declarations provided by counsel justify the award of fees as requested, and that the Brunzell factors have been satisfied. Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat. Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 50 (1969).

THEREFORE, COURT ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review of all documents on file, the Rogich Defendants Motion for Fees and Eliades Defendants Motion for Fees are hereby GRANTED. The Eliades Defendants are entitled to all fees requested totaling \$216, 236.35 under the prevailing party attorney s fees provision contained in Section 9(d) of the Membership Interest Purchase Agreement. The Rogich Defendants are entitled to all fees requested totaling \$541,021.50 under N.R.C.P. 68.

COURT FURTHER ORDERS for good cause appearing and after review that Defendants are directed to prepare and submit an order containing detailed findings of fact and conclusions of law (Order) based upon the Court s decision as clarified herein. Defendants are further ordered to provide opposing counsel with the proposed Order on or before April 17, 2020, from which date Plaintiffs shall have ten (10) days to review and approve said Order as to form before the Order is submitted to the Court. The final Orders should be emailed in both word and pdf format to DC27Inbox@ClarkCountyCourts.us.

COURT FURTHER ORDERS that the Status Check set for March 31, 2020 on Chambers Calendar is hereby VACATED.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was electronically served by Courtroom Clerk, Nicole McDevitt, to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve. /nm



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT

MARK G. SIMONS, ESQ.
6490 S. MCCARRAN BLVD., STE F-46
RENO, NV 89509

DATE: May 26, 2020
CASE: A-13-686303-C
C/W A-16-746239-C

RE CASE: CARLOS A. HUERTA; CARLOS A. HUERTA as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust Established in Nevada as Assignee of Interest of GO GLOBAL, INC.; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC vs. SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH, as Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust; ELDORADO HILLS, LLC

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: May 21, 2020

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS **NOT** TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

- ☒ \$250 – Supreme Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the Supreme Court)**
 - If the \$250 Supreme Court Filing Fee was not submitted along with the original Notice of Appeal, it must be mailed directly to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court Filing Fee will not be forwarded by this office if submitted after the Notice of Appeal has been filed.
- ☐ \$24 – District Court Filing Fee (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
- ☒ \$500 – Cost Bond on Appeal (Make Check Payable to the District Court)**
 - NRAP 7: Bond For Costs On Appeal in Civil Cases
- ☐ Case Appeal Statement
 - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
- ☐ Order
- ☐ Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (3) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (g) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

****Per District Court Administrative Order 2012-01, in regards to civil litigants, "...all Orders to Appear in Forma Pauperis expire one year from the date of issuance." You must reapply for in Forma Pauperis status.**

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS PETER ELIADES AND TELD, LLC'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; JUDGMENT; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT; ORDER: (1) GRANTING ROGICH DEFENDANTS' RENEWED MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' DEES AND COSTS; AND (2) DENYING NANYAH'S MOTION TO RETAX COSTS SUBMITTED BY ROGICH DEFENDANTS; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; JUDGMENT REGARDING AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IN FAVOR OF THE ROGICH DEFENDANTS; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; NOTICE OF DEFEICIENCY

CARLOS A. HUERTA; CARLOS A. HUERTA
as Trustee of THE ALEXANDER
CHRISTOPHER TRUST, a Trust Established in
Nevada as Assignee of Interest of GO GLOBAL,
INC.; NANYAH VEGAS, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

SIG ROGICH aka SIGMUND ROGICH, as
Trustee of The Rogich Family Irrevocable Trust;
ELDORADO HILLS, LLC,

Defendant(s),

Case No: A-13-686303-C


Consolidated with A-16-746239-C

Dept No: XXVII

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada
This 26 day of May 2020.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Amanda Hampton', is written over a faint, circular court seal. The seal contains the text 'UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA'.

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk.
A-13-686303-C