| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 Email: dgentile@clarkhill.com GIA N. MARINA Nevada Bar No. 15276 Email: gmarina@clarkhill.com 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #500 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 862-8300 | Electronically Filed<br>Oct 25 2019 02:45 p.m.<br>Elizabeth A. Brown<br>Clerk of Supreme Court |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| 7                     | Fax: (702) 862-8400<br>Attorneys for Defendant/Appellant, Mazen Alotaibi  |  |
| 8                     | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA   |  |
| 9                     | MAZEN ALOTAIBI,   | CASE NO. 79752   |
| 10<br>11              | Appellant,  | A-18-785145-W<br>DEPT. XXIII   |
| 12                    | vs.<br>THE STATE OF NEVADA,   | APPELLANT'S DOCKETING<br>STATEMENT IN A CRIMINAL APPEAL  |
| 13                    | Respondent.   |  |
| 14                    |   |  |
| 15                    | GENERAL INFORMATION   |  |
| 16                    | 1. This is an appeal from a Supplemental Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of   |  |
| 17                    | Habeas Corpus filed by the Defendant in a criminal case by the Eighth Judicial District Court in  |  |
| 18                    | and for the County of Clark, State of Nevada, the Honorable, Stefany Miley, Department  |  |
| 19                    | Number 23. The District Court Case Number is C-18-785145-W.   |  |
| 20                    | 2. On January 28, 2015, Defendant was adjudged guilty and sentenced to the Nevada   |  |
| 21                    | Department of Corrections as follows:   |  |
| 22                    | A. Defendant is presently serving sentences of imprisonment with respect to Counts  |  |
| 23                    | 3 and 5 (Sexual Assault of a Minor Under 14 Years of Age) of the Second Amended Information   |  |
| 24                    | filed in the above-entitled matter to which this Petition is addressed. The length of sentence  |  |
| 25                    | imposed on Petitioner was a term of life imprisonment with parole eligibility only after a  |  |
| 26                    | mandatory, minimum term of thirty-five (35) years of imprisonment have been served as to each   |  |

Defendant is also presently serving sentences of imprisonment with respect to

such count (to be served concurrently).

27

28

convictions of other offenses also charged in the Second Amended Information filed in the above-entitled matter, as follows: Count 1 (Burglary)— a maximum of forty-eight (48) months of imprisonment with a minimum parole eligibility of twelve (12) months; Count 2 (Kidnapping)— a maximum of fifteen (15) years of imprisonment with parole eligibility after five (5) years of imprisonment have been served; Count 7 (Lewdness with a Child Under 14 Years)— life imprisonment with parole eligibility after ten (10) years of imprisonment have been served; Count 8 (Lewdness with a Child Under 14 Years)— life imprisonment with parole eligibility after ten (10) years of imprisonment have been served, all such sentences to be served concurrently with one another and with the sentences as to Counts 3 and 5 herein challenged.

On February 5, 2015, the Judgment of Conviction in this matter was filed by the district court. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed his conviction on February 28, 2017. Defendant was successful in having the Supreme Court of Nevada consider his case with an opinion being filed on November 9, 2017. The Supreme Court of Nevada affirmed the Judgment of Conviction. Defendant filed a Petition for Certiorari on February 7, 2018 that was denied by the United States Supreme Court on April 16, 2018. On November 28, 2019, Defendant filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. The State filed a Return on December 31, 2018. Defendant filed a Reply on January 14, 2019. On September 6, 2019, the Judge entered an Order on Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, affirming in part, declaring Petitioner's counsel was ineffective. However, the Judge denied in part, reasoning that although Petitioner's counsel was ineffective, the ineffectiveness did not result in a reasonable probability that the outcome would have been different. Alotaibi appeals from the Judgment of Conviction and all rulings, decisions and orders of the district court ancillary thereto; and from the sentences imposed by the district court with respect to the counts of conviction.

- B. The sentences imposed have not been stayed pending appeal.
- C. The Defendant was not admitted to bail pending appeal.
- 3. Defense counsel in the district court was retained.
- 4. The attorneys filing this Docketing statement are: Dominic P. Gentile, Esq., and Gia N.

Marina, Esq., of the law firm of Clark Hill PLLC, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Fifth Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89169.

- 5. The above-named appellate counsel for the Defendant (*see* Answer number 9, *supra*) are retained.
- 6. To the best of the knowledge and belief of the attorneys filing this Docketing Statement, the attorneys representing Respondents Renee Baker, Warden, Lovelock Correctional Center; And James Dzurenda, Director Of The Nevada Department of Correction are: Chief Deputy District Attorney Charles W. Thoman, Esq. and Deputized Law Clerk Joshua L. Prince, Esq. of the Clark County District Attorney's Office Criminal Division, Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Third Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155.
  - 7. The following dispositions below are implicated by this appeal:
    - A. Denial of Post-Conviction Habeas Corpus (NRS Ch. 34)
- 8. This appeal does raise issues concerning, *inter alia*, pretrial proceedings and a life sentence.
- 9. The attorneys filing this Docketing Statement are not in favor of expediting the appellate process in this case.
- 10. Other than his direct appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court and his subsequent Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, Petitioner has not filed any other petition, application or motion with respect to the Judgment of Conviction challenged herein.
- 11. There are no other pending or prior proceedings in other courts which are related to the instant appeal. This case has not previously been the subject of an appeal or writ proceedings in the Supreme Court.
- 12. Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus on November 28, 2018 regarding ineffective assistance on counsel. The claims included that Petitioner's trial attorney unilaterally rejected the trial court's invitation to request a jury instruction on a lesser-related, uncharged offense, that Petitioner's trial attorney commenced discussion of jury instructions without the presence of the Petitioner on the condition that he would review all discussions regarding jury

instructions with Petitioner, that Petitioner's trial attorney failed to discuss the jury instructions with Petitioner and also failed to obtain Petitioner's consent to reject the trial court's offer with respect to counts 3 and 5 of his Sexual Assault charges. The district court rules that although Petitioner's counsel was ineffective, the ineffectiveness did not result in a reasonable probability that the outcome would have been different.

- 13. This appeal presents the following issue:
- A. Whether the district court erred in denying the Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)?
- 14. All of the above-identified issues presented in this appeal (see Answer number 18, supra) implicate matters affecting important public interests.
- 15. An evidentiary hearing was not conducted with respect to the Defendant's Petition in the Supreme Court of the United States seeking a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Nevada.
- 16. The attorneys filing this Docketing Statement would object to the submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument.

## TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

- 17. The district court denied the Defendant's petition for writ of habeas corpus on September 6, 2019.
  - 18. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from is September 9, 2019.
- 19. The order denying Defendant's petition for writ of habeas corpus was served on September 5, 2019.
  - 20. The time for filing the notice of appeal was not tolled by a post judgment motion.
  - 21. Notice of appeal was filed on September 30, 2019.
- 22. The time limit for filing the notice of appeal in this case is governed by NRAP 4(b)(1)(A) and NRS 34.575(1).

## **SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY**

23. NRS 34.575(1) grants this Court jurisdiction to review the final order from the district court denying Writ of Habeas Corpus in this case.

## **VERIFICATION**

I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Mazen Alotaibi Name of Appellant

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of October, 2019.

CLARK HILL PLLC

DOMÍNIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 GIA N. MARINA Nevada Bar No. 15276

3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 500

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 862-3300

Attorneys for Defendant/Appellant,

Mazen Alotaibi

27

28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that on the 25 day of October, 2019, I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record, by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following addressed to: Clark County District Attorney's Office – Criminal Division Mary Kay Holthus, Chief Deputy District Attorney Jacqueline M. Bluth, Chief Deputy District Attorney Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155 Email: mary.holthus@clarkcountyda.com Email: jacqueline.bluth@clarkcountyda.com An employee of Clark Hill PLLC 

6 of 6