#### IN THE IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MAZEN ALOTAIBI,

Appellant,

VS.

RENEE BAKER, WARDEN LOVELOCK CORRECTIONAL CENTER; AND JAMES DZURENDA, DIRECTOR OF THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,

Respondents.

Supreme Court No. 79752

district court case no. Mar 1782020 W1:34 a.m. department Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

## **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

#### **VOLUME IV OF VII**

BATES NOS. AA00624 - AA00821

CLARK HILL PLC

DOMINIC P. GENTILE
Nevada Bar No. 1923
VINCENT SAVARESE III
Nevada Bar No. 2467
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Tel: (702) 862-8300

Fax: (702) 862-8400 Attorneys for Appellant

## **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

# **INDEX**

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	BATES
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial -	3/5/2014	IV	AA00624-
Day 7	3,0,201.		AA00821

Electronically Filed 03/05/2014 04:26:10 PM

TRAN

Alun D. Lann

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C287173-1

Plaintiff,

DEPT NO. XXIII

vs.

MAZEN ALOTAIBI,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 7

MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2013

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

MARY KAY HOLTHUS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

DON P. CHAIREZ, ESQ.

Also Present:

Mohammad A. Taha, Interpreter

Saad Musa, Interpreter

Theresa Tordjman, Interpreter

RECORDED BY MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

### INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:		
RUTH LEON		
Direct Examination B	y Ms. Bluth	41
Cross-Examination By	Mr. Chairez	44
JERI DERMANELIAN		
Direct Examination B	y Ms. Holthus	47
Cross-Examination By	Mr. Chairez	85
Redirect Examination	By Ms. Holthus	97
Direct Examination B	y Mr. Chairez	135
WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANT:		
JENNIFER MELENDEZ MUR	RILLO	
Direct Examination B	y Mr. Chairez	100
Cross—Examination By	Ms. Holthus	118
MONTE MILLER		
Direct Examination B	y Mr. Chairez	135
Cross-Examination By	Ms. Bluth	165
E	XHIBITS	
STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED	<b>)</b> :	PAGE
7 through 34	Photographs	78

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2013, 11:11 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: Okay. We're on. So, we're discussing
5	jury instructions. The defendant is not present yet. Mr.
6	Chairez wants to start. And that's fine with the Court, so
7	long as the instructions, once they're settled, will be
8	reviewed with the defendant prior to the jury coming in.
9	Right?
10	MR. CHAIREZ: That's that's fine, Your Honor.
11	That's correct.
L2	THE COURT: All right. So let's get started. I have
L3	a bit of case law that I read over the weekend. Let's just go
L4	over the disputed instructions.
L5	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
L6	MS. HOLTHUS: What from from the defense end of
L7	them
L8	MR. CHAIREZ: I haven't seen anything from the State,
L9	Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I don't have any
21	MR. CHAIREZ: But I'm assuming they're bringing the
22	stock instructions to which I have no objection
23	MS. HOLTHUS: They they should have been sent to
24	you.
25	MS. BLUTH: Howard sent them to you last week.
- 1	

1	MR. CHAIREZ: No. All I got was a case called State
2	vs. Cossack. I didn't get anything else.
3	MS. HOLTHUS: You should have
4	MR. CHAIREZ: But
5	MS. HOLTHUS: got all of our instructions last
6	week.
7	MS. BLUTH: I'll forward you an electronic copy, Don.
8	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: So, do you want to just go through
10	yours first, Don? Your
11	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. That would be fine.
12	MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. With respect to his first one
13	THE COURT: I don't have any of them. I'm trying to
14	pull see if they're in my e-mail.
15	MS. HOLTHUS: Oh, you don't have Don's, either?
16	THE COURT: I have well, I have a couple. I don't
17	I'm assuming they're from the defendant.
18	MR. CHAIREZ: I think I just submitted four or
19	five
20	THE COURT: There are, like, four.
21	MR. CHAIREZ: specials.
22	MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah.
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
24	THE COURT: And I have your four.
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
1	

1	THE COURT: Okay. So, the first one I have is
2	MS. HOLTHUS: You have ours, right?
3	THE COURT: No. That's what I'm trying to see if I
4	can just pull up.
5	MS. HOLTHUS: I have five of Don's, actually.
6	MR. CHAIREZ: And I made another one today.
7	THE COURT: I have four, if you want to give me the
8	fifth one.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: Can I approach, Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: You may, sir. Thank you. I don't have
11	them. Thank you.
12	(Pause in proceedings.)
13	THE COURT: Where do you want to start?
14	MS. HOLTHUS: I was just going to go through
15	defendant's
16	THE COURT: Sure.
17	MS. HOLTHUS: first, while he because he still
18	hasn't gotten ours, with respect to the first one, you are
19	instructed that consent of the defense to the sexual assault,
20	he's giving the Carter instruction, and I believe that the
21	State has a more comprehensive, thorough, more exact we're
22	not disputing that he's entitled to that. But under Carter
23	and Honeycutt, I'm sure I'm looking for it now.
24	THE COURT: Now I have the State's. And the ones the
25	State gave me, is the instruction you're referencing in here?

1	MS. HOLTHUS: It should be, yes.
2	THE COURT: Do you know where approximately it is?
3	MS. HOLTHUS: It'll be in the back, because it's the
4	specials. I'm looking for it myself. I just had my hand on
5	it, now I lost it.
6	THE COURT: Is it — does it start out, "It is a
7	defense to the charge of sexual assault that the defendant
8	entertained a reasonable good faith belief that the alleged
9	MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. I think so.
10	THE COURT: "victim consented to engage in sexual
11	intercourse"?
12	MS. HOLTHUS: Yep.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Chairez
14	MR. CHAIREZ: I haven't seen it.
15	THE COURT: it's four from
16	MR. CHAIREZ: Let me
17	THE COURT: no, it's fifth from the back. Do you
18	want to see it?
19	MR. CHAIREZ: Sure.
20	THE COURT: I don't want to make a mess of these.
21	MS. BLUTH: Don, do you want me to run in the back
22	and make you a physical hard copy?
23	MR. CHAIREZ: No, that's okay. Let's see.
24	MS. HOLTHUS: I think ours is actually even stronger
25	pro-defense, quite honestly.

l	
1	THE COURT: You want to compare it with yours?
2	Here's yours right here.
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, let's see. I don't have a
4	problem with their first paragraph, but their second paragraph
5	I have a I'm not sure where they're getting the authority
6	for that.
7	MS. HOLTHUS: Honeycutt and Carter.
8	THE COURT: I have Carter right here. What does the
9	second sentence say? I can't see it.
10	MR. CHAIREZ: It says, "The claim that is based upon
11	ambiguous conduct by the alleged victim that is the product of
12	force, violence, duress, menace, or fear of immediate and
13	unlawful bodily injury on the person of another is not a
14	reasonable good faith belief."
15	MS. HOLTHUS: I think what they're kind of defining
16	is good faith. It's not in good faith if you've caused the
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Then I don't think either case show
18	me where it says in that Honeycutt, and if it says that, I'll
19	agree to it.
20	THE COURT: Do you have Carter? I have a copy of
21	Carter right here.
22	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
23	MS. HOLTHUS: I don't have either case right here,
24	Don.
25	THE COURT: Here. I was just looking through

1 Carter --2 MR. CHAIREZ: Carter --3 THE COURT: -- if you want to look at Carter. 4 MR. CHAIREZ: Oops. 5 Why don't you look at it. I don't have THE COURT: 6 Honeycutt. 7 MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. It's okay with me. 8 THE COURT: All right. So let's do this. Do you 9 have the one --10 MS. HOLTHUS: Is it in there, Don? 11 MR. CHAIREZ: Huh? 12 MS. HOLTHUS: Is it in there? It's --13 MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: Are you going to withdraw this proposed 15 instruction? 16 MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. I quess I'll withdraw it. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Because, otherwise, I would make 18 it part of the record. Okay. So the consent instruction 19 that's been agreed upon by the defense and the State is as 20 follows: 21 "It is the defense to the charge of sexual assault that the defendant entertained a reasonable and good faith 22 23 belief that the alleged victim consented to engage in sexual 24 intercourse. If you find such a reasonable and good faith 25 belief, even mistaken, you must give the defendant the benefit

of the doubt and find him not guilty of sexual assault. A belief that is based upon ambiguous conduct by the alleged victim that is a product of force, violence, duress, menace, or fear of immediate and unlawful bodily injury on the person of another is not a reasonable and good faith belief."

Okay. So that's one's stipulated to. Do you want to go to the next one by the defendant? It's a flight instruction.

MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. And I don't — I don't know that we have any — I mean, if there was flight, I'd probably be asking one — for one. So he's asking for non flight. He wants to argue non flight. I guess that's —

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHAIREZ: I mean, I just want to use it for the opposite inference. You know, when somebody flees, they can use it to be an indication of a guilty mind. And so to me the absence of flight would infer the opposite.

THE COURT: Okay. So, we'll allow the defense's proposed instruction on flight. It sounds like the State is stipulating to its admission.

MR. CHAIREZ: All right.

THE COURT: So.

MS. HOLTHUS: I think, then, the next — the next one I have is the statutory defense. Is that what you have? The credibility, or where — what do you have next?

THE COURT: I have the statutory sexual seduction. 1 2 MS. HOLTHUS: I would suggest we set that one aside, 3 because I think that's going to be the big brawl. 4 MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. 5 MS. HOLTHUS: And if we get everything else worked 6 out first, I mean, that's -- as far as I can tell from the 7 instructions, that's the big bone of contention. 8 Okay. The next one is, "The credibility THE COURT: 9 or believability of the witness should be determined by his 10 manner upon the stand." 11 MS. HOLTHUS: That's the same one we give. 12 MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine. I took it from theirs. 13 THE COURT: So, it's going to be withdrawn since it's duplicative? 14 15 MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine. 16 THE COURT: The next one. "Voluntary intoxication is 17 a defense to a specific intent crime. If you find that the 18 defendant was intoxicated, you may consider this evidence in 19 determining whether he could form the specific intent to 20 commit the crime for which he is charged." 21 MS. HOLTHUS: Judge, this one as well as the next one that he talks -- has submitted, the voluntary intoxication, 22 23 I'm going to ask that you give the actual statute rather 24 than --25 THE COURT: Is that set forth in your proposed?

MS. HOLTHUS: It is. And all I did was literally have my secretary retype the statute, because that, I think, gives the — looks like... Five from the back.

THE COURT: Five from the back. Hold on. "No act committed by a person" -- do you see it, Mr. Chairez?

MR. CHAIREZ: I see that.

MS. HOLTHUS: That's the actual — I'm showing Mr. Chairez, that's literally word for word the statute.

THE COURT: Do you have language somewhere in —
somewhere else talking about the specific intent crime?
Because I think otherwise they don't know what crimes it could be applied to. Because it can only — obviously apply to the specific intent —

MS. HOLTHUS: I guess we could — we could add a paragraph that says you are instructed that burglary, first-degree kidnapping and lewdness —

MR. CHAIREZ: And coercion.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- and coercion --

MR. CHAIREZ: Are specific intent crimes.

MS. HOLTHUS: -- are specific intent crimes.

THE COURT: I would. Otherwise --

MS. HOLTHUS: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- I don't know if it'll delineate between the two. So, Mr. Chairez, with the -- the correction that we've indicated, any objections to their intoxication

defense? 1 2 Sir? 3 MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah, I'm thinking, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Oh. Okay. I'm sorry. 5 MS. HOLTHUS: Shall we go ahead and add that sexual 6 assault is a general intent crime? Just to be balanced? 7 That's the only one left, right? 8 THE COURT: I'm going to add -- when you add -- I 9 would add this first sentence proposed by the defendant, which 10 is voluntary intoxication is a defense to a specific intent 11 crime. The following crimes are specific intent crimes. 12 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, that's -- it's not a defense, 13 It's a fact that will be considered. I think that Judge. 14 kind of --15 THE COURT: Well, then, phrase it like that. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, but that's --17 THE COURT: Well, I think it is a defense. 18 That's what it says. MS. HOLTHUS: 19 THE COURT: Isn't that how they use it? 20 MR. CHAIREZ: Well, that's what the -- the Supreme 21 Court says it's a defense. Okay. THE COURT: Well, I think they did use the word 22 23 defense. Let me look. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: And it would -- the statute says the 25 fact that the person's intoxication may be taken into

ı

consideration in determining the purpose, motive, or intent.

THE COURT: Well, if you look at — let's see, looks like Adamson vs. State, which is an unpublished case, 2012 West Law, 1660, 635 Nev. It says — and it cites to it looks like Ewish vs. State, it says, "Holding voluntary intoxication is a defense to specific intent crimes." So they use the word defense. Do you need me to pull that case?

MR. CHAIREZ: Remember, Your Honor, the purpose of the Courts is to interpret the statute. So I think that the language that the Supreme Court gave in the Adamson case is combining two other cases and — and also NRS 193.220. So I don't see any harm in using the language of the state Supreme Court.

MS. HOLTHUS: And then I think when you take that out of context, when — even when they use it, they make it sound like it's a complete defense. And that's not the — it's not the question. I mean, that's not — that's not the law.

MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah, but --

MS. HOLTHUS: Just the fact that you're drunk, that isn't a defense to a specific intent crime. It's something that you can consider. And that's why I pulled the statute so that it's not — it's not inaccurate. I mean, that's — that's what the statute says. So, I don't understand how we can be wrong with that. But to instruct them that if you find him voluntarily drunk, that's a defense to lewdness, well, I'm

here to tell you he was, on some level, drunk. 1 2 MR. CHAIREZ: Your Honor, I mean, the State spent 3 days saying the client, I mean my client, was totally sober. 4 So. 5 Your Honor, you have this instruction I gave you this 6 morning? 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm trying to look up 8 something. One -- one second, please. 9 MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. Go ahead. I mean, it's the 10 three sentence one. "Voluntary intoxication is a defense to a 11 specific intent crime." 12 THE COURT: Yes. That's the one I'm looking at. 13 "If you find that the defendant was MR. CHAIREZ: 14 intoxicated, you may consider this evidence." It's not asking 15 for directed verdict. You may consider this evidence in 16 determining whether he could form the specific intent. So, I just think it explains the statute, and that's what we took 17 18 from the Adamson case. 19 The what case? MS. HOLTHUS: 20 Well, Adamson, Ewish, and Catanio. MR. CHAIREZ: 21 THE COURT: Adamson is unpublished. 22 MR. CHAIREZ: Correct. 23 THE COURT: Ewish is who it cites. 24 MR. CHAIREZ: Right. 25 MS. HOLTHUS: Did you find this Ewish or just...

1	THE COURT: No, I don't have it. But I'm pulling it
2	up right now.
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Ewish and the other case, one says
4	intoxication is a defense in a specific intent crime and
5	another one says lewdness with a minor is a specific intent
6	crime.
7	MS. HOLTHUS: Which what page is it, Don?
8	THE COURT: On Adamson, it's the second of two pages.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: I don't have — I just have Catanio. I
10	don't see it in Catanio.
11	(Pause in proceedings.)
12	MR. CHAIREZ: We're making your job easy, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: I'm happy about that.
14	MR. CHAIREZ: What we're thinking of doing, Your
15	Honor, is with my proposed instructions
16	MS. HOLTHUS: Why don't we do this one, though,
17	because this is all of them.
18	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. Yeah.
19	MS. HOLTHUS: This is just lewdness.
20	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah.
21	MS. HOLTHUS: So, just take out this.
22	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
23	MS. HOLTHUS: Then we put that here.
24	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
25	MS. HOLTHUS: And then below that

1	MR. CHAIREZ: Right. All right.
2	MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, were you saying that Adams or
3	Adamson was unpublished and it cites Ewish?
4	MR. CHAIREZ: It cites Ewish and Catanio.
5	(Pause in proceedings.)
6	THE COURT: Did you guys come up with a stipulated
7	MS. HOLTHUS: I think we did.
8	THE COURT: instruction on intoxication?
9	MR. CHAIREZ: We have, Your Honor.
10	MS. HOLTHUS: And I will
11	MR. CHAIREZ: Fix it.
12	MS. HOLTHUS: fix it. That's what I will do.
13	I'll fix it.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So, we will say that you guys
15	stipulated on an instruction for intoxication. Okay.
16	The last one I have, and this is the one you're
17	saying there's going to be some discussion on
18	MS. HOLTHUS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: is statutory sexual seduction. I I
20	was looking at cases this weekend on statutory sexual
21	seduction. The only thing I don't think it is, is I don't
22	think it's a lesser included. It looks like a lesser related.
23	MS. HOLTHUS: Which is exactly our argument.
24	THE COURT: Okay. However, I'll tell the State
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I mean

THE COURT: — I was inclined to allow this instruction if proffered by the defense after looking at the case law. I do think there is testimony in this case of consent by the victim.

MS. HOLTHUS: But then that makes it lewdness.

MR. CHAIREZ: No.

MS. HOLTHUS: And they're only entitled to lesser included. The law is clear about that.

THE COURT: I understand that. But they can also request --

MR. CHAIREZ: No.

THE COURT: — lesser related.

MS. HOLTHUS: Our position, he's not entitled to it and we don't see where — how do you get around the lewdness, I guess. When — when would that be? If — if your issue is consent, because AJ's 13, if it's consensual, it's a lewdness. Or not guilty.

MR. CHAIREZ: Then what is this — what's statutory sexual seduction, then, Your Honor?

MS. HOLTHUS: Our --

MR. CHAIREZ: See, lewdness, in my opinion, is lack of penetration. So, if the — you know, if the penis does not go in the mouth or the penis does not go in the rectum, then you would have lewdness. And —

MS. HOLTHUS: Well, lewdness in our facts, we have

two counts that are both ultimately, if you were convicted, going to be treated as alternative counts, and those — those are the ones that are alternative to the effects of both. The remaining, obviously not. But the statutory — I mean, he's arguing is that is an alternative for which count?

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, it would be the — the sexual assault counts. Because they've charged two lewdness counts, and we're going to move to dismiss those, Your Honor. Because we believe AJ said there was no fondling, there was no touching, there was no rubbing.

THE COURT: But there's not a --

MS. HOLTHUS: The cause -- it clearly --

THE COURT: -- there's a case law that says there's no requirement of touching.

MR. CHAIREZ: Well ---

THE COURT: It says, "While lewdness does not require physical contact," that's State vs. Catanio.

MR. CHAIREZ: Right. That's correct. I agree with that position.

MS. HOLTHUS: Well, we do --

MR. CHAIREZ: There doesn't need to be touching, Your Honor. But when I asked AJ on cross-examination, you know, your position is he just forced it in. There was no foreplay, there was no rubbing, there was no fondling. And that's what he said. So...

MS. HOLTHUS: We would --

MR. CHAIREZ: But -- okay.

J

MS. HOLTHUS: We provided the case was Cossack, which clearly supports our right. The two lewdnesses are obviously related to the sex assault, and ultimately if he were convicted of both, we would ask that you sentence him only on the sex assault and set aside for another day, if you will, the lewdness. But Cossack clearly says that we can charge those two lewdnesses in the event the jury found consent or maybe in this fact — on these facts, reasonable belief regarding consent. Then those would be the appropriate counts. So, we certainly have the right to do that. I'm assuming those are the only two he's objecting to.

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, the concern, Your Honor, is —

MS. HOLTHUS: And let — let me — just one more
thing, Don.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

MS. HOLTHUS: He's worried about the fondling and hinting around. My position is particularly he's addressing the anal. One of the things we do allege is touching the penis to the anus. And you can't get in the anus without touching it. So the argument would be it would be complete upon contact without requiring the extra penetration and/or against the consent. So that is an alternative on two different theories.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I guess this is the problem when politicians make the law, Your Honor. Because it seems illogical that if you have an -- a sexual act against somebody's will, it's sexual assault. If you have sexual assault, even with a minor under 14 where the state Supreme Court has said consent is a defense, I guess my -- my concern or my issue is, Well, what is the lesser included? Is it basically just consent as a defense to sexual assault? Because why would it be a defense and then you still find them quilty of lewdness? Because I think lewdness is a completely different act than sexual assault.

So, the purpose of -- and so Mr. Alotaibi and I went around and around yesterday about the statutory sexual seduction jury instruction as to what you would do and, you know, how we would go about arguing it in closing argument. But my sense is --

MS. HOLTHUS: Can I interrupt for a minute?

MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah.

MS. HOLTHUS: And the record should reflect that Mr. Alotaibi is present in the courtroom.

MR. CHAIREZ: That's -- that's right.

THE COURT: I did notice it. The interpreter is not present, though.

All right, Mr. Alotaibi, we're going over jury

instructions. You've missed some of the discussion of the jury instructions. However, the only reason we started without you is Mr. Chairez has agreed that he's going to go over every single thing as well as all the instructions that were agreed upon with you with the presence of the interpreter. So you'll know exactly what the case laws — what case law is going to be given to the jury in your case.

MR. CHAIREZ: It's okay. He has a lawyer that speaks simple English. So...

THE COURT: Well, let me — on the statutory sexual seduction, the reason I think it's a lesser related versus a lesser included, because it includes the additional factor of the consenting percent must be under the age of 16 years. What I did not see, I'll be frank with you, perhaps the State or the defense has it, is any case which discussed the propriety of having a lewdness count as well as a statutory sexual seduction. And I didn't find it. The only thing I've found so far is Slobodian vs. State, 107 Nev. 145.

MR. CHAIREZ: That was my case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. CHAIREZ: As a DA.

MS. HOLTHUS: And, you know, I don't have it here. I know that — I mean, to us it doesn't make any sense that — and — and Mr. Chairez is asking when. The statutory would be the appropriate alternative if you had consent issue and you

had a child between, say, the ages of 14 and -- and 16.

That's when it -- then you could make the pitch more so. But if it's not a lesser included, then he's not entitled to it.

THE COURT: Well, he's not entitled to it --

MS. HOLTHUS: And I suppose the Court --

THE COURT: -- as a matter of course.

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, Your Honor --

MS. HOLTHUS: Correct.

THE COURT: He can still request.

MR. CHAIREZ: I believe Epperson based --

MS. HOLTHUS: I don't --

THE COURT: Hold on. I --

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Only one at a time. Let Ms. Holthus [indiscernible].

MS. HOLTHUS: My objection is that it — it's in some ways an absurdity that he would be allowed to argue for a statutory lesser for anally raping or anally penetrating or fellatio, when the kiss on the neck and the lick on the neck and the fondling on the body, he's certainly not going to get a statutory alternative on that. And so it just doesn't even make sense. How can — how can it be this low-grade felony for — for tearing up his anus, whereas the little lick on the neck is — is the full lewdness? That can't be the intent —

MR. CHAIREZ: Well --

1	MS. HOLTHUS: of a legislature. And I think it's
2	real clear from those facts that it was never intended. A
3	child can consent to a sexual assault. A child cannot consent
4	to a lewdness.
5	MR. CHAIREZ: That's correct.
6	MS. HOLTHUS: That's just the way the law is.
7	MR. CHAIREZ: That is correct, Your Honor.
8	MS. HOLTHUS: And so therefore there is no reason to
9	go to the statutory.
10	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, here's first off Your Honor
11	THE COURT: Well, I'm
12	MR. CHAIREZ: we're not asking for
13	THE COURT: Hold on.
14	MR. CHAIREZ: statutory
15	THE COURT: I'm guessing the lewdness are, like, the
16	kissing on the neck and everything else.
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
18	THE COURT: And then
19	MR. CHAIREZ: We're not asking for a statutory sexual
20	seduction as a lesser included or lesser related of lewdness.
21	Okay. That's just the bottom line. In the lewdness, our
22	defense will be intoxication. All right. And we'll fight
23	and we'll fight the specific intent. So, for any of the
24	lewdness counts that are going to go to the jury, that is our
25	defense, intoxication.

For the two sexual assault counts, our defense will be reasonable consent or reasonable mistaken belief of consent. So, as the State has it charged right now, if they want to go for all or nothing, and not have a — I mean, I don't believe that a lesser — lewdness is a lesser included of sexual assault. And I even think the Cossack case that they cited —

MS. HOLTHUS: That's -- that's correct.

MR. CHAIREZ: Pardon?

MS. HOLTHUS: That's correct.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

MS. HOLTHUS: That's why we've gone ahead and pled it, because we realized we wouldn't be entitled to it ultimately. So we have pled it as an alternate theory. We could have pled the alternate related theory of statutory. We chose not to. I mean, that's a — that was a charging decision we made at the beginning of the case, because it factually — it doesn't make any sense. Because if Mr. Chairez is successful and — and getting the reasonable belief as to consent, or that the child actually consented, then it goes to the lewdness. And then he has the consent of intoxication. If they believe that, then it's not guilty. Period.

Because, quite honestly, statutory under these facts also requires intent of arousing, appealing, or gratifying.

THE COURT: So are you asking for statutory sexual? 1 2 I'm looking at he case right now. 3 MS. HOLTHUS: We're -- we're --4 MR. CHAIREZ: Well, Your Honor --5 MS. HOLTHUS: -- opposing it. 6 MR. CHAIREZ: -- here is my thing. As long as the 7 Court gives me the consent instruction and the reasonable 8 mistaken belief of consent --9 Which the State stipulated to. THE COURT: 10 MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I guess it's stipulated. 11 THE COURT: I thought that was the one where you guys 12 came up with --13 MR. CHAIREZ: Right. 14 We did. We specifically said if they MS. HOLTHUS: 15 have a -- a doubt, a reasonable doubt as to his -- whether he believed the consent, then he gets the benefit of the doubt 16 17 and it's a not quilty on the sex assault. I mean, it's --18 THE COURT: Okay. But that's the instruction the 19 State and the defense counsel, you put your heads together and 20 came up with a -- an agreeable stipulated instruction, right? 21 MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I know we did that with voluntary 22 intoxication and -- and maybe we did it with -- did we, with 23 the -- the consent? I think we did, Your Honor. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: We did. 25 MR. CHAIREZ: I mean --

•	ſ
1	MS. HOLTHUS: We did the Carter we did a Carter
2	instruction that we agreed on
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
4	MS. HOLTHUS: and we did a voluntary
5	intoxication
6	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
7	MS. HOLTHUS: that set forth a statute first.
8	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: And then it I have it right here.
10	It was the statute and then it adds with it, "If you find the
11	defendant was intoxicated, you may consider this evidence in
12	determining whether he could form the specific intent to
13	commit the crime for which he is charged." And then, "You are
14	instructed that burglary, first-degree kidnapping, lewdness
15	with a child under 14, coercion, are specific intent crimes.
16	Sexual assault is a general intent crime."
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I just want to make sure, Your
18	Honor, for a sexual assault, I can argue consent or reasonable
19	mistaken belief of consent for the two counts of sexual
20	assault, correct?
21	MS. HOLTHUS: Correct.
22	MR. CHAIREZ: All right. And we're not going to give
23	any
24	THE COURT: And the jury has an instruction that
25	MR CHAIREZ: lesser included It's going to be

1 guilty or not guilty, correct? 2 MS. HOLTHUS: Well -- and --3 MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. Well, that's --4 MS. HOLTHUS: We're giving the -- I mean, we're not 5 giving. The law -- I mean, we have charged the lewdnesses. 6 Our argument will be that he's guilty of both. And that it's 7 a sentencing determination. To me, I believe that that's the 8 way the case law reads. Because here's the problem. 9 structure it any other way, if the jury finds him quilty of 10 the sex assault, he's still guilty of the lewdness. 11 these facts, it's completely contained in the sex assault. 12 MR. CHAIREZ: I mean, and I disagree, Your Honor. 13 Based on -- I believe, based on these facts, lewdness is not a 14 lesser included to the two sexual assault counts. I believe 15 the lewdness as they've charged it, with the neck and the 16 other part of the body --17 THE COURT: I think it is a lesser included. I think 18 the State indicated. 19 MR. CHAIREZ: That it is or is not? 20 THE COURT: Is not. 21 MR. CHAIREZ: Is not? 22 MS. HOLTHUS: It's lesser related, which is why we --23 MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. -- chose to offer it. 24 MS. HOLTHUS: We have 25 alternative theories. If for some reason on these facts, I

think it's probably not ever going to happen, if they found that there was not penetration, I suppose. But it's simply that there's no consent requirement as to the lewdness. So they — he would be guilty of — potentially of both. He can't be sentenced on both. But we're entitled to present the alternative theory to the extent that there's any issue regarding consent. And — and/or penetration.

We have pled the anal penetration as simply a touching. So we don't even need the penetration there. Fellatio's trickier, because touching the mouth is effectively fellatio. So, you can't really plead it any other way. So that one has to be just a straight lesser related, he's guilty of both.

THE COURT: I'm not sure what you want me to do, Mr. Chairez. Do you want to think it over? I mean, as far as whether or not as a strategy you want to argue for the additional charge of statutory sexual seduction? I'm not sure what you're asking for at this point. Would you like to have the chance to think it over? Because I think we're mostly settled on the instructions. Yes?

MR. CHAIREZ: I guess I'll think it over.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHAIREZ: But, I mean, I'll just say --

THE COURT: Because it -- I think it's more of a defense strategy --

MR. CHAIREZ: — based upon — for me, again, as long as I'm able to argue consent and reasonable mistake of consent, and they're going to have the sexual assault guilty or not guilty, that's one thing. And I guess for the lewdness and any of the other specific intent crimes, if we're allowed to argue voluntary intoxication, I think we're 99 percent there. So I'll just decide whether — and they're totally opposed to the statutory sexual seduction as a lesser included of sexual assault, correct?

THE COURT: I —— I agree with the State in that it's not a lesser included.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. But a lesser related?

THE COURT: I believe that it's a lesser related.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Which means it's not as a matter of course, but you can request the instruction as lesser related. I will, in the meantime, do a little bit more research --

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

THE COURT: -- on the issue. So, okay. We'll --

MS. HOLTHUS: Let me just — let me just make a little bit more of a record, then, in that regard.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. HOLTHUS: Our position is that it is not a lesser included of sexual assault. Sexual assault can be committed without necessarily committing statutory sexual seduction;

One: Digital or other object of penetration of a minor can be done without the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying a lust or passions or desires of either persons. This specific intent is not required for sexual assault, but is required for statutory sexual seduction under subsection B for other penetrations not found in subsection A.

Two: Forcing another person to make a sexual penetration on himself or herself or another or on a beast. This is because statutory sexual seduction only involves sexual penetrations occurring between the defendant and the victim.

Third, sexual penetration of a minor by a juvenile who has been certified as an adult. This is because statutory sexual seduction requires the defendant to be age 18 or over, but sex assault does not.

Four, the age of a victim under 16 is required for statutory sexual seduction, but not for sexual assault.

The victim's age is an element of the enhancement for sexual assault of a minor, but it's our position that there should be a distinction between an element of offense and an element of an enhancement when you're looking at a lesser included analysis. It makes no sense the statutory sexual seduction should be a lesser included of sexual assault on a minor, but not on sexual assault.

So, that's -- that's our position, that it doesn't

make sense and that it's not the law. 1 2 Was she reading the case right now? MR. CHAIREZ: 3 MS. HOLTHUS: No. 4 MR. CHAIREZ: And did I hear -- okay. I think she's responding to the --5 THE COURT: 6 MR. CHAIREZ: Did I hear her say statutory -- did she 7 say statutory sexual seduction requires specific intent? MS. HOLTHUS: If -- if it's under -- sub B of the 8 9 statute, where it says, "Statutory sexual seduction is any 10 other sexual penetration committed by a person 18 years of age 11 or older with a person under the age of 16," that is not --12 not ordinary sexual intercourse, anal, cunnilingus, or 13 fellatio. 14 That's NRS -- I don't have the statute in THE COURT: 15 front of me. 16 MS. HOLTHUS: 200.34 -- 364. 17 Okay. So at this point, Mr. Chairez is THE COURT: 18 not requesting statutory sexual seduction. You will indicate 19 to the Court prior to jury instructions, obviously --20 MR. CHAIREZ: Correct. THE COURT: -- if you do want the Court to offer 21 22 this. 23 MR. CHAIREZ: Right. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else we need to 25 go over as far as the jury instructions?

1	MS. HOLTHUS: Do they there were arguable other
2	bad acts brought in by the defendant, the driving and drinking
3	and such, possible marijuana. Do they want a first of all,
4	we're going to ask if defense requests that you read the
5	what's that instruction
6	THE COURT: The not testify instruction?
7	MS. HOLTHUS: No, the you've heard evidence
8	defense could
9	THE COURT: The limiting instruction?
10	MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. But, what's it called?
11	THE COURT: The prior bad acts?
12	MS. HOLTHUS: Just the other bad acts admonishment, I
13	guess. And also offer it if defense wants in our jury
14	instructions.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: If they're offering it, we'll take it,
16	Your Honor.
17	MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. Then I'm we will ask you then
18	to read the admonishment. I don't know that they're
19	THE COURT: Is it contained in these?
20	MS. HOLTHUS: I don't know that.
21	THE COURT: Oh, I see you put them in your
22	instructions.
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. I haven't had a chance to read
24	them yet.
25	MS. HOLTHUS: We intended to. Yes.

25

1	MR. CHAIREZ: But I
2	MS. HOLTHUS: Yes, it's
3	MR. CHAIREZ: I'm
4	MS. HOLTHUS: It's in there, Judge. "Evidence which
5	tends to show the defendant committed offenses other than that
6	for which he's on trial, if believed, may not be considered
7	that he's a person of bad character." Anyway, you do have it.
8	THE COURT: I do. Well, that's a standard
9	instruction.
10	Mr. Chairez, you wanted that instruction, yes?
11	MR. CHAIREZ: About uncharged bad acts?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	MR. CHAIREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So that is in the State's pile.
15	MS. HOLTHUS: The interpreter's present.
16	THE COURT: The interpreter is present. So
17	THE INTERPRETER: There might be
18	THE COURT: You know what, that's okay. What
19	what's going to happen now is Mr. Chairez needs to go over the
20	jury instructions we went over with the defendant. So you can
21	sit next to him. We're not going to I mean, we don't have
22	to be we're not going to be on the record while he
23	discusses them with his client.
24	Mr. Chairez, it sounds like we have settled jury
25	instructions. Is there anything else? Did you look at the

1	State's proposed?
2	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I'm looking at them now, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. CHAIREZ: Well
6	MS. HOLTHUS: Are you ready to flip through them,
7	Don, or no? Do you want to break for lunch and give him time
8	to go through these with his
9	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.
10	MS. HOLTHUS: You want that, Don?
11	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah, that's fine.
12	THE COURT: Because, what I'll ultimately ask you
13	guys to do is come up with your finalized instructions and ask
14	you to go through and number it together.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
16	THE COURT: And both of you can make sure that
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
18	THE COURT: it does include everything that was
19	agreed upon.
20	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
21	THE COURT: And then give me that copy.
22	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
23	THE COURT: To make sure nothing's left out. Okay.
24	Thanks.
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Now, am I going to be allowed to stay

1 here with my client and the interpreter? 2 I don't have any trouble with that. THE COURT: 3 MR. CHAIREZ: Or do we need to go someplace else? 4 THE COURT: I don't know where Jason is, but that's 5 fine. 6 THE MARSHAL: Here, Judge. 7 THE COURT: He needs to stay in here and talk to Mr. 8 Alotaibi, go over the instructions, okay, during the lunch 9 break. All right. Jason's nodding yes. (Court recessed at 12:02 p.m., until 1:16 p.m.) 10 11 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 12 THE COURT: We are on the record. Okay. I just want 13 to make sure of some things, since Mr. Alotaibi is here with 14 the interpreter. 15 Mr. Alotaibi, when we left off, you kind of came in 16 as we were doing jury instructions. What I want to make sure 17 is that Mr. Chairez went over all the jury instructions, which 18 are the laws we're going to give the jury when they decide 19 your case. Did he do that with you with the --20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Yes? I need to hear -- you're nodding 22 your head. Are you -- are you saying yes? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Yes. All right. And I just want to make 25 sure that you don't have -- do you have any questions

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regarding the jury instructions or what went on?

THE DEFENDANT: My attorney will address you at this point.

> THE COURT: Okay. I just need a yes or a no.

MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. He's answering yes. don't have any questions right now. And I -- we didn't even get into the issue of -- I mentioned to him there is an issue whether or not statutory sexual seduction is a lesser related or lesser included. Obviously, that's a concept he does not understand.

But -- so we focused on the jury instructions that talked about consent, reasonable mistake of consent, the intoxication, the various definitions. He wonders why we give the same instruction, it seems to him, over and over. and that kind of thing.

But at any rate, yeah, it's -- and we discussed it yesterday, as well, Your Honor. So --

> THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHAIREZ: -- we didn't have the State's instructions at that time, but we discussed the special ones that we would be asking for.

> THE COURT: Okay.

> MR. CHAIREZ: So.

THE COURT: And as we left it, the statutory sexual seduction, it was not requested by you at this time.

However --

MR. CHAIREZ: Right.

THE COURT: -- if you make a strategic decision to request it, then we'll address it prior to the giving --

MR. CHAIREZ: Right.

THE COURT: -- of the jury instructions.

MR. CHAIREZ: Right.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CHAIREZ: And it also depends on whether or not Mr. Alotaibi testifies. Because we're — that — that for me is the bigger issue right now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else we need to address before bringing the jury back in?

MS. HOLTHUS: Just that I have — I found my person on standby to redact that video. It's my understanding I gave Mr. Chairez several choices on where we could stop it before the — the nude whatever — before Mr. Alotaibi is undressed. He has indicated that he wants as much of the video as he possibly can have.

There is downtime that the jury can fast forward.

But there's, like, a half hour where he's sitting in the office with his head down on the desk and the people come in and do everything. I'm going to let it run through the ID

collection of the photos and swabbed. Everything except for, obviously, the penile swabs and the photographs of his penis. And that's my understanding, the way Mr. Chairez wants that.

MR. CHAIREZ: And — and that is correct, Your Honor. And basically our main concern is defendant does not remember being photographed at all. Okay. And since that's one of the issues as to what level of intoxication did he have, I want to show yes, he was photographed, and we have that already in the exhibits. But that would be the main reason for wanting to include it. So I don't want the jury to be bored with lots and lots of video or lots and lots and lots of downtime. But that's my only concern.

And the other concern we had about the one exhibit that you kept out, the nude picture. So --

MS. HOLTHUS: The one that's up there --

THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. You wanted me to keep the nude picture out.

MR. CHAIREZ: I did. I know. We want that out —
THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHAIREZ: — and I think Ms. Holthus and I agree that that 30 seconds to 90 seconds, whatever it is, they could cut it out.

MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. Well, let me — let me — this is — my understanding of this is what our plan was to do.

There's approximately — the whole thing is, like, two to two

1 and

and a half hours.

0 E

MR. CHAIREZ: Right.

MS. HOLTHUS: There's, like, 15 minutes when the ID is in there. I was going to leave everything. I'm also going to leave that big gap where he's sitting in the room on his own, you can observe him. He's got his head down. They can fast-forward. You can see that nobody's coming in or out or anything's changing. But rather than — I don't want to do any more —

MR. CHAIREZ: Editing?

MS. HOLTHUS: — editing of the video that he requests. So I'm fine — I — my plan is to leave it in. I explained to Mr. Chairez that at 1:30 the detective leaves. He comes in at that moment and he changes out the cuffs and then there's a conversation. That's where we've already addressed, Mr. Chairez wanted the part where Mr. Alotaibi asks about a lawyer. And then he's told that he's being arrested. And Mr. Alotaibi says, For what? And there's a — a dialogue going back and forth there that I understand Mr. Chairez wants in. Then the detective leaves. And then that's at 1:30—ish.

And then at 2:12, ID and everybody come back in and they start taking photographs, explaining to him the process, they do buccal swabs and whatnot. Up at — at 2:20, they explain to him, Okay, now here's the, I don't know, awkward part of the — not-so-good part, this is where we're going to

1	take pictures of you naked, blah, blah, blah. We can cut it
2	right after the explanation so that it's clear what's going to
3	happen, and then right before Mr. Alotaibi is undressed, if
4	if that's where he wants it. I just want to know where he
5	wants that. We'll cut it anywhere he wants.
6	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I mean, the only part of it I
7	want to cut is the same part we cut out in the still
8	photographs. So.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. So I'm going to take it up to
10	him dropping his pants, basically.
11	MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine.
12	MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah?
13	MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
16	THE COURT: Anything else before I bring the jury
17	back in?
18	Nope? All right. Mr. and Ms. Interpreter, remember,
19	you're still under oath.
20	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the jury.
22	(Jury reconvenes at 1:22 p.m.)
23	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
24	gentlemen of the jury.
25	Ms. Holthus, I know today's the day we're taking

1	witnesses out of order. Is the next one up the State's
2	witness?
3	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MS. HOLTHUS: The next two are.
6	THE COURT: And please call your next witness.
7	MS. BLUTH: The State calls Ruth Leon.
8	THE MARSHAL: Who?
9	MS. BLUTH: Ruth Leon.
10	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing, raise your right hand
11	to be sworn in by our clerk, please.
12	RUTH LEON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
13	THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your
14	first and last name for the record, please.
15	THE WITNESS: Ruth Leon. R-U-T-H L-E-O-N.
16	THE COURT: Whenever you're ready.
17	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. BLUTH:
20	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Leon. How are you employed?
21	A I'm an investigator with the district attorney's
22	office.
23	Q Okay. So you work with Ms. Holthus and I on
24	cases; is that correct?
25	A Right.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

	Q		['d	lik	e to	tur:	n yo	ur a	ttent	ion	spec	lfica	lly	to
October	9th	of	201	L3.	On	that	day	wer	e you	pre	esent	at a	-	
pretrial	L cor	nfer	cenc	ce w.	ith	Rash	ed A	lshe	hri?					

A Yes.

Q And on that day did Mr. Alshehri talk to you about when he came to Las Vegas with the defendant, Mr. Alotaibi?

A Yes.

Q And during that questioning did he discuss drinking alcohol with the defendant?

A Yes.

Q And specifically when he talked about getting back to the Circus Circus after the strip club, did he ever use the term "blackout" when referring to the defendant's level of intoxication?

A No.

Q What did -- how did he discuss the defendant to be behaving?

A He indicated that — that the defendant had been drinking. They were all drinking. They went to retrieve the car back from the casino that they left the car at. At that time, I believe, he said Mohammed and the defendant had an argument about the keys, misplacing them. And then they were able to drive away. They found the keys, they were able to drive away. It was the defendant, Mazen, Mohammed, and

1	Rashed.	They	drove from the casino they were at to the Circus
2	Circus.		
3	i i	Q	Okay. And you said he never used the term
4	"blackou	t"?	
5		A	No.
6	·	Q	Did he ever what what did he say about
7	once the	y got	to the casino in regards to the defendant's
8	intoxica <sup>.</sup>	tion :	level?
9		A	He indicated they drove in, parked at the
10	self-par	king,	and the defendant wanted to continue partying.
11	That he	didn'	t want to listen to reason, they were Mohammed
12	and Rash	ed was	s trying to get him upstairs to go to sleep.
13		Q	Did he ever mention that he had any trouble
14	walking?		
15		A	No.
16		Q	Talking?
17		A	No.
18		Q	Communicating with them?
19		A	No. The only problem they had was trying to
20	convince	them	to for him to go upstairs.
21		Q	And you also mentioned that he spoke about the
22	defendan	t dri	ving from the parking lot to the Circus Circus?
23		A	Correct.
24		Q	Did he discuss whether or not the defendant had
25	any prob	lems o	during that drive?

1	A He said he indicated that he drove good.
2	Q And, lastly, in regards to when AJ Dang left the
3	bathroom after the assault, did Rashed ever discuss whether or
4	not he could see AJ exiting the room after the assault?
5	A He did indicate that he could see somebody he
6	could see the body leave, but he couldn't see in detail who he
7	was or how like, what he looked like. Like, if he, you
8	know, he couldn't give us detail as to how he looked.
9	Q So, he didn't have a good enough view of the
LO	victim as he left the room?
L1	A Correct.
L2	Q And those were all answers that he gave in a
L3	pretrial conference before this trial started on October 9th?
L4	A Correct.
L5	MS. BLUTH: Thank you. I'll pass the witness.
L6	THE COURT: Mr. Chairez, sir.
L7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
L9	Q Ms is it Leone or Leon?
20	A Leon.
21	Q Okay. You don't know what the word "blackout"
22	is in Arabic, do you?
23	A I don't.
24	Q All right. And would you say that Rashed's
25	level of English was fluent, semi-fluent, un-fluent, or enough

1	to where you could barely converse with him?
2	A Fluent.
3	Q Fluent? All right. And so when you were
4	talking with Rashed for this pretrial conference, did you ever
5	use the word "blackout" with him to say how drunk was Mr.
6	Alotaibi?
7	A No.
8	Q Okay. So, this whole issue about a blackout is
9	just something that came up afterwards, correct?
10	A He never used that term.
11	Q All right. But you don't know whether or not he
12	even knows what the term how how to say that term in
13	English, do you?
14	A I couldn't tell you. I just know that he didn't
15	use that term when we met with him.
16	Q All right. And you never discussed with him the
17	various levels of intoxication, correct?
18	A We discussed with him how much he had been
19	drinking, how much the defendant had been drinking, those kind
20	of things.
21	Q All right. And did he tell you how much he saw
22	the defendant drink?
23	A He told he had — he saw him drinking
24	continuously throughout the night.
25	Q All right. From, like, 2:00 in the morning

1	till, like, 6:00 or 7:00 in the morning, when they returned to
2	the hotel?
3	A I don't know the specific time. I just know
4	that he said he had been drinking.
5	Q And he told you that Mohammed and Mr. Alotaibi
6	had a fight because some keys were lost or something to that
7	effect. All right. And it was Mr. Rashed's recollection that
8	Mr. Alotaibi drove the car from wherever it was parked to the
9	Circus Circus?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And he didn't mention that he was weaving,
12	driving erratically or anything like that?
13	A No. We asked him specifically
14	Q Okay.
15	A how he was driving.
16	Q All right.
17	A He said he was driving good.
18	Q Okay.
19	MR. CHAIREZ: Nothing further.
20	THE COURT: Anything else?
21	MS. BLUTH: Nothing, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, for your time. You're
23	free to go.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Next witness, please.

MS. BLUTH: The State calls Jeri Dermanelian.

THE MARSHAL: Remain standing, raise your right hand to be sworn by our clerk, please.

JERI DERMANELIAN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your first and last name for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Jeri Dermanelian, J-E-R-I D-E-R-M-A-N-E-L-I-A-N.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HOLTHUS:

Q What do you do?

A I'm a registered nurse that practices sexual assault nurse examinations.

Q Give me your education, experience, and training in the area of sexual assault nurse examinations.

A I've been a sexual assault nurse examiner for approximately five years. I've been a registered nurse in the state of Nevada for 35 years. I became SANE certified by the International Association of Forensic Nursing five years ago. I have recertified as we're required to, every three years now. I also have a certification as a — a sexual assault nurse examiner in pediatrics and adolescents and the adult version.

I have continuing education units that are required to keep your certification from the International Association

of Forensic Nursing and other agencies that offer sexual assault examination education.

Q Prior to your last five years as a SANE examiner, what did you do for the other 30 years?

A I have to tell you that I am a workaholic. I worked 30 years at the county hospital, University Medical Center. Started out as a staff nurse in the emergency department, went on to become a charge nurse. After I was a charge nurse, I became the educator for the emergency department. Then I became a coronary care unit nurse, open-heart nurse. And from there I went to becoming a nursing supervisor for the house. And then I became an on-duty administrator before I retired.

In addition to that, I worked for almost five years at the same time at St. Rose Dominican Hospital in their ICU and their ER.

In addition to that, I own a small educational company called Academy for Career Enhancement, and that's where I teach advanced cardiac life support, pediatric advanced life support, emergency nurse pediatric course, adult emergency nurse coursing, trauma nurse courses, advanced cardiac life support. It — it'll go on with my education and my training and my work. And I have more if you need more to hear from.

Q With respect to a sexual assault nurse examiner,

I

what -- what exactly is that?

A Sexual assault nurse examiner is someone who is specialized in doing sexual assault exams. Again, qualified for pediatrics, adolescents, and adults.

Q What is the purpose of a sexual assault examination?

A Sexual assault examination, we basically do three things. We do a medical exam with a head-to-toe assessment, looking for any types of injuries that may have been caused prior to a sexual assault, during the sexual assault. We also do forensics examination and collection of evidence, where we take swabs, we use different tools to get to different body parts to get the DNA. We use secure digital forensics imaging photography to help document the potential injury patterns that we see. And we complete sexual assault kits.

In addition to that, we offer our patients that come in what we call preventative treatment and assessment for sexually transmitted infections. We offer them what's called prophylactic or preventative treatments for sexually transmitted infections, which generally inquires — or requires a couple of antibiotics that we give to the patients.

In addition to that, we connect with the law enforcement agency that may be involved in the case and we work with them. In addition to that, we have them connect

with the advocates from the rape crisis center, make sure that they get connected into the community system and have them receive their advocacy from the rape crisis center.

Q And where is it that you see patients?

A We see patients at University Medical Center.

In the adult emergency department we have two rooms. One's called a quiet room where patients are many times entered.

When they enter into the hospital, they'll go in and sit so that they're separated out from the general triage area or the waiting room. And then we have a room called the sexual assault exam room, where the physical head—to—toe assessment and the physical exam takes place when we do the examinations and treatments.

Q And how many — how many hospitals, how many places are set up, basically, to perform the SANE examinations?

A In Clark County we have one, the University Medical Center. It's a central location, hospital-oriented base program.

Q So, for the most part, if there's a sexual assault alleged victim, they're going to come — and they need an examination, they would come through UMC?

A In — in general, if they're age 12 or up. If they are female and they have menstrual cycles, then they can come in at the age of 9 or 10, as long as they're

1	menstruating.
2	Q And below that, non-menstruating or under 12?
3	A Generally, they will go to Sunrise Hospital for
4	the adolescent or the pediatric version of the SANE exam.
5	Q And so approximately how many SANE examinations
6	have you conducted?
7	A As of just this year from January until now,
8	I've done approximately 455 sexual assault exams.
9	Q And have you also testified in court regarding
LO	the findings and results of those examinations?
L1	A Yes, ma'am.
L2	Q Approximately how many times?
L3	A I believe I'm approaching 60.
L4	Q In this particular you you know what case
L5	you're here about, correct?
L6	A Yes, ma'am.
L7	Q And directing your attention to December 31st of
L8	2012, did a patient present for a sexual assault examination?
L9	A Yes.
20	Q What's the protocol upon arrival?
21	A The patients can — the protocol is the patient
22	enters a variety of different ways. They can either walk in
23	by themselves, they can be brought in by a family member or
24	parent, they can come in by ambulance, they can enter the
25	hospital through the pediatric emergency department entrance,

they can come in through trauma resuscitation, or they can walk in through the adult emergency department entrance. Or they can come in by ambulance through the ambulance entrance. So there's a lot of different entrances into the system.

- Q In this particular case and we're talking about the patient AJ Dang; is that correct?
  - A AJ Dang is who I did an exam on the 31st.
- Q Tell me -- well, let's go -- generally, the protocol is it comes to you, and then what happens?

A How they present, sometimes they enter with a law enforcement agency and they're taken to the quiet room. And then from the quiet room, the nurses in triage — which means to sort out the patients — are notified that there's a patient that needs to be registered and checked in. The triage nurses will contact the sexual assault nurse examiner who's on call. We are dispatched to the hospital at that point in time.

Once we reach the hospital, we ascertain whether the patient is in the quiet room, maybe being interviewed by a detective possibly, or being talked to by a law enforcement agency person. And when they're done, we will take the patient into the sexual assault exam room and start our process.

If the patient comes on — in without law enforcement, then the patient's placed in the quiet room. And

the triage nurses will get a general set of vital signs, if the patient has any allergies, medications that they take, any general medical history that we need to know about. And then the patient will be placed again in the quiet room.

Then we are contacted, we will go in. If they don't have law enforcement present and then we will ascertain whether they want law enforcement involvement or not.

Adolescents have three options, adults have four. We go through those options with the client and make sure that they understand that they have the option and they control the exam.

Q What are the three options?

A As an adolescent, you have the option, number one, to say no, I don't want a examination done. I want nothing further done. I don't want you as a sexual assault nurse examiner to touch me at all. We honor that, particularly in the face of the fact that they've just gone through what they've gone through. We try and talk to them — if they choose Option No. 1 and say don't — I don't want anything done, we try and educate them on why they may want to consider the risk versus the benefits, some positives and negatives of either not having anything done.

Second option that the adolescent has an option to do is to do just the medical portion of the exam, which means the STI testing, the head-to-toe assessment, and making sure that

there's no injury patterns that are present that need medical assistance. And then we offer the STD lab draws where we test for HIV, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, syphilis, gonorrhea, chlamydia and such. Then we offer them the antibiotic treatment.

And then we also make sure that they get connected with the advocates so that they can have the advocacy piece brought into that. So, if they take the second option, there's no forensics, there's no DNA findings, there's no secured digital forensics photographs taken, there's no law enforcement involvement other than we do have mandatory reporting. So it would be reported, but they make the choice whether they talk to or not talk to law enforcement.

Third option that they have as an adolescent is to do the full forensics sexual assault examination, which includes the sexual assault kit, the medical exam, and the DNA testing, and — and whatnot. So, the third option includes the medical and the forensics.

Q And you — you were explaining that if they were to refuse to talk to law enforcement, essentially you can't force that, correct?

A I cannot make anybody speak.

Q Okay. But you do — regardless of what an adolescent would want, you have to call law enforcement, and it's up to basically Metro to — to take it from there; is

1 | that correct?

A My job as a sexual assault nurse examiner, I'm required by the State Board of Nursing, there are times when we're mandated to call in. And one of those reasons would be anyone under the age of 18, we're mandated to call that in to a law enforcement agency.

Q If you suspect either sexual or physical abuse, correct?

A That's correct.

Q All right. And then you said the adults have one more choice?

A Yeah. The adults have something called a Jane Doe option, and that was mandated by Joe Biden, Vice President of the United States, several years ago. And a Jane Doe for the adults is an option to do — give a 30-day window so that the — the adult has the option to decide whether they want to go forward or not. But we collect all the same evidence and do the photographs and do the DNA testing.

But some people, some adults need more time to think about the process of going through the full examination and what that all entails going forward with all the legal process and whatnot. So, we give them what's called a Jane Doe or a John Doe, if it's male. And they have 30 days to acknowledge whether they want to go forward. If we don't hear from them within 30 days or they don't contact us or law enforcement

doesn't reach us, then we destroy the kit and it reverts back to — necessarily it'll revert back to just the medical portion of the exam.

- Q AJ was how old when he presented?
- A AJ was 13.
- Q Okay. Tell me what what you did with him. He came in with anybody?

A AJ came in with his grandmother and a law enforcement officer. And Detective Angie Christensen was the detective assigned the case. AJ went with Detective Christensen into the quiet room. I took AJ's grandmother into the SANE room to try and get medical history, because that's a vital piece of what we do as sexual assault nurse examiners, is find out what kind of medical history is present so that I can ascertain whether the injury patterns that I'm seeing or a medical condition could cause the injury patterns that I may find once I do my head—to—toe assessment.

- Q And did you get a lot of medical history from Grandma?
- A Grandma did not know AJ's medical history sufficiently. As a matter of fact, she couldn't tell me anything about his medical history.
  - Q So what did you do then?
- A I I talked to AJ's grandmother through an interpreter, because she did not comprehend English at a level

where she was comfortable. So, through the interpreter I asked the medical questions. She didn't — wasn't able to answer them. So, then I put a call in with that interpreter to the mother. And it was ascertained at that time that the mother spoke English. And so then the interpreter was let go, so to speak. And then I talked and got his medical history from his mom, Tina.

Q And after that, what was AJ's demeanor? Describe how he — how he presented.

A AJ is 13. He had various emotions. He was anxious. He was cooperative. At times he was embarrassed. During one point of the exam his face got beet red. When I was talking to him about his bowel movement pattern and how often he defecates or has bowel movement, or poops, his face would get bright red when we would talk about such items.

At one point he got very tearful, when we were initiating the anal exam or the rectal exam. And we did stop. And that's one of the rules that we have in the room is that if you need — as many breaks as you want, we're taking whatever it takes to help you get through this exam. So if you need a break, we're going to take a break. Either they will indicate that or their physical signs, tears in the eyes will tell me it's time to take a break and let them take a break and rest. And then we go forward with the exam.

Q So, prior to actually conducting the exam, you

1	do obtain did you obtain a history from AJ himself?
2	A Yes. When I did my history with AJ, he was with
3	me in the SANE room with the door shut. And it was AJ and
4	myself.
5	Q Did you determine his date of birth?
6	A I did.
7	Q That was?
8	A I would have to look at my notes to remember
9	that.
10	Q Do you have those with you?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	Q Would that refresh your recollection?
13	A Let me look. Birth date was 9/25 of 1999.
14	Q So he was essentially 13 and three months?
15	A Yep.
16	Q Almost to the day, to the week, anyway. Did you
17	do height and weight?
18	A I actually weighed AJ, because he appeared small
19	to me. Estimated his height at 5'3". Asked him what he
20	weighed, he had no clue. He appeared small statured to me,
21	when I was doing my physical, just, I we call it
22	across-the-room assessment. So I physically took him to a
23	scale in the adult emergency department and weighed him. He
24	was 108 pounds.
25	Q What other observations did you make about him

developmentally?

A He was, in my opinion, small. I kept calling him young. AJ is young to me. And when I ask myself, Jeri, what does young mean? Physically, when I looked at his body, he had not filled out; his chest muscles, his arms, his deltoid muscles were not filled out. His Adam's apple had not protruded out. His voice was a timbre that told me he was adolescent level.

When I did the physical exam, it was obvious that he was young. He had no adult pubic hair, you know, on his pubic area. His testicles were present. And so when I did the physical exam, it did help me come to the conclusion that my term, young, AJ was a young 13-year-old.

- Q Are you familiar with the Tanner stages?
- A Yes.
- O What are those?
- A Tanner stages are something that we use in the pediatric and the adolescent world to assess what level of maturity the body is at. And I would scoot AJ in at a Tanner light Tanner to just starting to get a little fuzz hair on the pubic area. And there was no full pubic hair, there was no elongation of the penis or widening of the penis that occurs with an adult male penis.
  - Q 1 would be what?
  - A The adult male would be wider and longer.

1	Q No. But I'm saying, you said he's at a Tanner
2	Stage 2. So Tanner Stage 1 is?
3	A Pre-pubescent, meaning that there's no signs of
4	maturity, boy's upper body, arms, pubic region, penis,
5	testicles, size.
6	Q 3 being?
7	A Having some pubic hairs what would actually kind
8	of sparsely pop out. 4 would be an additional from, you know,
9	thigh to thigh, and then the the long the highest level
10	of Tanner would be the full including the full pubic hair,
11	including the inner aspects of a femur inside of the legs.
12	Q And based upon your observations, were you able
13	to assess whether he was prepubescent or not?
14	A In my opinion he's prepubescent based off of my
15	physical assessment findings.
16	Q Did you — do you also inquire or take a history
17	regarding the alleged assault?
18	A I do take a history of the assault.
19	Q Directly from AJ?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And, essentially, with respect to the assault as
22	as it's relevant to your examination, what did he tell you?
23	A That he had been forced to have a penis into his
24	mouth, it caused him to have pain. He stated that he was
25	finger was placed into his butt, is the term he used. I
1	

he's at a Tanner

ascertained that the butt meant his anus and his rectum. And that his penis — penis went into his anus and his rectum that caused him to have tearing, pain, and a searing sensation. He also told me that he was made to put out his hand, an erect penis was put in his hand. He stated he didn't move his hand, but the penis moved back and forth in his hand in what we described as a masturbation process that took place.

He indicated that he was hit with a closed fist to his buttocks. And that he indicated that he had been bit in his left ear. And he stated that he had been licked on his left chest, anterior chest wall. And he indicated that he was standing at one point, and on his knees at one point, standing and on his knees in — in position, positioning.

Q Did you — did you also inquire whether a lubricant was used?

A He indicated during the section of the, what we call the SART Smart documentation that I use, we go --

O What's that stand for?

A SART Smart, it's the acronym that we use for Sexual Assault Response Team Smart. Just a proprietary tool that I use that helps me document what happened during the physical assault of the sexual assault. So, we go through, question by question by question, the — the process of what happened. So, he would be asked specific questions. For example, have you eaten anything since the sexual assault?

Have you drank anything since the sexual assault? And it's kind of a check box system that we use.

We also ask have you changed clothes, you know, we ask questions about underpants. And it's a systematic process that we use to make sure that we find out all the pieces of information. And it's very interesting, because sometimes patients will — while I ask the question, and they'll — when I ask their general history of what happened, they will forget a item. Then when I ask specifically off of our checklist, it will trigger something else that occurred, and then that information comes out.

- Q You also collect evidence?
- A I do collect evidence.
- Q And did you in this case from AJ? What did you collect?
  - A A sexual assault kit was collected.
  - Q Which is -- what does that include?
- A A sexual assault kit is a kit that has in it specific envelopes that are labeled and listed as to what area of the body we take, like, swabs. And the swabs are really like six six—inch Q—tips. And inside each little envelope, there is a small box that has to be opened up. And some of the boxes have different lettering on it, like "O" means that we would take two swabs from the oral area of the mouth. Box with the "B" would be buccal slides of the cheek, and so

Τ.4

forth.

Each one of these two swabs are put into the individual box, they are labeled, they are put into the envelopes that are designed for oral, an envelope for buccal, an envelope for miscellaneous, an envelope for testicular or testicles, an envelope for rectal, and — and so forth.

In addition to that, we will take any debris that we find and place that in the sexual assault kit. We will take any — sometimes we use 4x4s, little cotton swabs, and we'll put those in. There's all types of tools that we use to assess our clinical findings. And we can put those in the kit.

Q Are each — are each item put in a sealed container or —

A Yeah. They all have envelopes, they're all labeled. And if they're not labeled, like the miscellaneous, I put whatever it was that I was, like, for AJ, his left ear went into a box and I labeled that "left ear." His —

Q What -- what is done with the entire sexual assault kit? Each envelope is --

A Sealed.

Q — sealed and secured, and then they're placed into the sexual assault kit, which is sealed and secured. And then what?

A Yes, ma'am. And then I secure that into the KARR REPORTING, INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SANE room and into a locked it's a double-lock process that			
we have. And then the the scientist from the Las Vegas			
Crime Lab come once a week and pick the sexual assault kits			
up. And then they're they're transported by that agency to			
their crime lab.			

- Q And then during that time they remain in that sealed, locked condition, with only Metro having access to it?
  - A Metro has access to it.
- Q In this particular case, you you collected the underwear?
  - A I did.
- Q And you already described the secretions that you collected; that was from the left-ear swabbing?
- A I took a swab from the left ear, where he stated he was bit, the left anterior chest where he said he was licked. I also took swabs from his anal area before I took swabs from the rectal area. Yeah.
  - Q Did you collect blood?
- A I did draw blood. Sexually transmitted blood testing would include HIV, Hepatitis B, Hepatitis C, and something called an RPR, which is a syphilis test.
  - Q Did you also collect a buccal swab?
- A I did a buccal swab. That's from the inside cheek area of the mouth.
  - Q And, now, did you then -- or as you're

2

3 4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collecting the evidence, are you performing your medical exam?

Α We do the head-to-toe, it's called look, Yeah. listen, feel, where we start at the head and move on down. So, it's literally where we start at the head, we look with our eyes. If we can listen with our stethoscopes, we will. And then we feel, which is a fancy word, we use palpate. look, listen, feel. And then if there's a swab to be taken -and we're talking the entire time generally to our patient. So that, again, when we're talking to them, we ask a thousand questions and sometimes that jars their memory into something. Because I don't want to miss any evidence, I need to continually talk to my client and get the information from them.

And what were the results of your overall -your examination?

Α AJ had signs of blunt force trauma. contusions, which is a fancy -- fancy word I use is sometimes ecchymosis, you'll see, he had edema or, you know, that's -edema means swelling. And that he had multiple peri -perianal lacerations noted. He also had a contusion, ecchymotic area on his buttock. And he had glistening, wet appearance noted on the outside of his buttocks.

- Would that be consistent with a lubricant? 0
- That would be consistent with a lubricant. Α
- And you said -- so where -- where is all this Q

1	stuff we're talking about? Where		
2	A He had a contusion inside his mouth.		
3	Q Okay. Let me start with that.		
4	A Okay.		
5	Q What is a contusion?		
6	A It's a bruise. It means that you bleed		
7	underneath the skin.		
8	Q And that bruise would be consistent with what?		
9	A Some form of energy force or what we call		
LO	blunt-force trauma being applied to in AJ's throat. He		
11	told me that he had pain when the penis was in his mouth. And		
L2	he did not have pain prior to that. So, a contusion was noted		
L3	by me on the what technical area I call the soft pallet		
L4	of the throat. And it was blue in color, and it was		
L5	irregularly shaped. And I did photograph it with secure		
L6	digital forensics imaging. And I also used a filter on that		
L7	photograph so that you can see the the image better.		
.8	Sometimes people can see better with a filter process on.		
L9	Q Were they would would getting that		
20	contusion, that bruise, would that be painful?		
21	A Yes, it could be.		
22	Q Is that the kind of thing that's caused by,		
23	generally speaking, illnesses?		
24	A Generally, no. Unless you're, like, mortally or		
25	going-to-die ill. You can sometimes end up with contusions		

occurring when you have blood disorders. But when I talk to AJ's mom, AJ has no history of having any kind of what we call bleeding diathesis, which is a fancy word for any kind of bleeding or clotting disorder.

- Q So, then, based on your observation, what he had was a -- a bruise consistent with blunt-force trauma?
  - A Blunt-force trauma, correct. Yes.
- Q And what -- what is -- what do you define blunt-force trauma?

A It's an energy force that's applied to an area of skin, and when the tissue underneath it cannot tolerate that energy force being applied to it any longer, a real small — what we call capillary bags — veins in the arteries have real small venials and arteries, they will start to bleed or weep blood out from them when an energy force is applied to them either one time excessively or multiple times.

- Q Would it be consistent with an erect penis being shoved against the soft pallet?
  - A Yes.
- Q Would it be consistent with a flaccid penis being shoved against the soft pallet?
- A It it is possible that a flaccid penis, if it was large enough and got to an area of that tissue, yes, it is possible that a flaccid penis could cause a contusion.
  - Q Any other observations about the mouth?

A His, what we call the frenulum, was intact. Which — which is good. We look for energy forces that tear that little piece that puts your lip — tie your tongue down to your mouth and the upper and the lower lip, you have a little piece of tissue, that was all intact. That was good for him.

Q All right. So, then you — then you were describing perianal tearing and other things, that perianal, meaning anus?

A Yeah. Outside of the rectum. The rectum is inside. Outside the rectum where we can see, you have the anus, which is the distal-most end, where bowel movements or poop comes out of. And AJ was noted to have multiple lacerations in that region starting out in a clock position at what we call the 11:00 position. So, I don't know if you want me to talk about the clock position. But he had multiple lacerations.

Q And those — those lacerations were consistent with what?

A His lacerations were consistent with his history that he gave me that a finger was placed into his anus, into the rectum, and a penis was placed into his anus into the rectum.

Q Would it be consistent with a — a big poop? A large bowel movement?

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

1

A You — you could have a tear or a laceration occur with a bowel movement if you have a history of constipation, if you have a history of fissures, if you have a history of fistula formation, if you have a history of hemorrhoids sometimes you will have a laceration occur when you have a bowel movement. But again, with AJ's mom and with AJ himself, I went through that history with him and he had no history of constipation, hemorrhoids, fistulas, fissures. He had no GI—related issues that would cause him to have massive diarrhea that sometimes can break the skin down. He had no history of infections, wasn't taking any medications for anything that would cause his skin to wear down and cause a laceration to occur.

Q And did -- did -- how many -- did he have more than one laceration?

A He had multiple lacerations.

Q Is that more or less consistent with penetration versus bowel movement?

A Yeah. Bowel movement, generally, you'll have limited number of lacerations that can occur if you have all the other conditions present. With blunt-force trauma, rectal, and finger into the rectum, you can have multiple areas of tear. And AJ had multiple areas of tear.

Q So, again, we're talking about the anus and the rectum, you observed multiple lacerations, what else?

1.8

A I noticed on his rectum, right when he went into what we call a knee-chest position, where his head is down on the examination table and his butt is up in the air, you can see the — common language, crack of his butt. As soon as I looked at the crack of his butt, on the left buttocks he had a four-centimeter by two-and-a-half centimeter contusion and it had six specific darkened areas that was consistent with his history of telling me that he was hit once or twice with a closed fist to his buttocks.

In addition to that, he had glistening, clear glistening noted in the crack of his buttocks. If you look at the secure digital forensics imaging photographs, I believe that was imaged to you. To me, my visual eyes, it looked wet appearance. And that is why I took swabs. Because he gave me an information that there was a lubrication utilized with — on his buttocks and into the rectum.

In addition to that, when I spread — we use something called separation and traction technique. When I just separated his butt cheeks so I could get a closer look at the initial visualization of his anus, the outside of his butt — what AJ was calling his butt — it was evident immediately to me that he had some redness called ecchymosis bruising and that I saw immediately a laceration, immediately, right at the 12:00 position of the anus.

Q Was he bleeding?

A He was bleeding from two specific spots. On a clock, at the 11:00 position, he had a laceration, which is — it was irregular in shape, which tells me there was tearing of the skin that took place. And there was a blood clot noted there as well as free-flowing blood. And that's significant to me as a nurse examiner, because that tells me that the injury was recent. Because the body will try and seal itself and heal itself. And his body was doing its normal job. It had formed a small blood clot and was trying to seal off that laceration. But there was free blood from the 11:00 position.

And then when you came to the largest laceration, which was at the 12:00 position, that laceration was irregular edge, again, so that you notice — you know that when you see an irregular edge on the laceration, that means that you have tearing of the skin that occurs from an energy force that's being applied either one time excessively, or repetitive motion can cause that. There was free blood noted, also, in that 12:00 laceration.

## Q Was AJ in pain?

A Yes. AJ came in with a pain level, overall he gave the level of 6 out of 10. 6 would be considered — 1, 2, 3 would be minor pain, 4, 5, 6 would be medium pain, 7, 8, 9, you know, or 9 — 8, 9, or 10 would be severe pain. When he walked in he had a pain level of 6 out of 10, which makes sense to me, because the — the energy force is not currently

tell them, essentially, you have to unhinge your jaw for me to get this photograph. And he would point to the area and say, penis going in the mouth. He did not have pain prior to that. Was it constant pain or was it increased by The pain was highest when the penis was in the mouth. And then when I swabbed it with light palpation to get And if he was at rest with no swab or anything He told me that his throat hurt. So there would And what about with respect to his rectum or his His anus, when we started out, again, the pain KARR REPORTING, INC. 72 AA00695

level is 6. Spread the butt cheeks, pain level went higher, because the air current hit the anal area. And the anal area is very vascular and has nerve endings. And those nerve endings, the technical term, it's called nociceptors, they're pain receptors, are — are open. And when air — convection air goes by, it causes the pain receptors to say you're having pain. And he had pain when I separated his butt cheeks and noted the wetness and — he had pain. Swab, pain.

Q In your experience in your population, do your patients traditionally like pain?

A No. The vast majority of my patients, and I would estimate it at 1 percent or less, like pain.

Adolescents don't like pain, children don't like pain, and most adults do not like pain.

Q In fact, in your area, is pain an indicator?

A I — in medicine we always use pain. Pain — pain is something that we pay a lot of attention to, because it tells us where to go look for injury patterns, particularly in the sexual assault exam world. And he had pain, again, in the mouth and the — and the anus and the rectum. He also had pain when he pooped. After the exam was all done, AJ went into the bathroom — and I probably need to back this up.

When I did a — the swabs from the rectum, it can stimulate the want to — to defecate or to poop. And so when we were all done with the exam, AJ had taken his medication

that I gave him. And after his medicine, one of the medicines he takes by mouth causes a pretty foul taste to be in your mouth, so I have a box of candy for the kids. And the adults, actually, hit the candy pretty hard, too, to eat some candy. AJ ate a lot of candy, a lot of sugar. And just so you know, when you eat a lot of sugar all at once, sometimes that will stimulate the bowel to want to push a stool through.

So he went into the bathroom to have a bowel movement. And I told him when he went into the bathroom not to flush. I always tell the patients if you have a bowel movement don't flush, because I want to look to see if there's any blood or any clots or whatever I need to look at, the color of the stool.

He went into the bathroom, had a bowel movement. And when he came out of the bathroom, I was standing about 10 feet away from him at my door of the SANE room, and I was looking at him, and he came out fully pale. And I said, AJ, are you okay? And he said, I pooped. And I said, Did you flush the toilet? Because I thought I had heard he flushed the toilet, and he had. He forgot not to flush. But he indicated that his poop was normal, what he called a normal color. But it had a blood clot in it and some blood noted in it.

And so, because he was pale -- and he was pale -- I reassessed him, had him drink some fluids, kept an eye on him to make sure that he fully recovered. Because he told me that

he had hurt to poop.

AJ also indicated to me during the DNA testing and the sexual assault exam testing, when I was taking the DNA, that there was a second event, a second time that the penis went into the — to the rectum. And he said the first time his pain level was 10 out of 10, which is the highest, the severe pain that you can go on a scale. He said the second time the penis went into the rectum, he said it was more than 10.

Q Okay. And you — you — you actually can't, from your examination, tell if it's one, two, three times, essentially, that's — all you can say is that there — there's injuries consistent with at least some blunt force trauma; is that correct?

A There was injuries consistent with blunt force trauma.

Q And you — you testified that you do take photographs. I'm just going to show you — and at this point I'm just asking you to please fairly and accurately depict things that you took at the time. Seven, I don't — I won't go through them what they are. Yes or no, is —

- A Yes.
- Q And if you'll hold them this way so we can --
- A Oh, sure.
- Q Nine.

```
Α
 1
                     Yep.
 2
               Q
                      There.
 3
                     Yep.
               Α
 4
               Q
                     Eight, that was?
 5
               Α
                     Yes.
 6
               Q
                     Nine.
 7
               Α
                     Yes.
 8
               Q
                      I'm guessing 10?
 9
                     Yep.
               Α
10
                     11?
               Q
11
               Α
                     Yes.
                     12?
12
               Q
13
                     Yep.
               Α
                            Yes.
14
               Q
                     13?
15
               Α
                     Yes.
16
               Q
                     14?
                           15?
17
               Α
                     Yes.
18
                     16?
               Q
19
                     Yes.
               Α
                     17?
20
               Q
21
               Α
                     Yes.
22
               Q
                     18?
23
               Α
                     Yep.
                     19?
24
               Q
25
               Α
                     Yep.
                            Yep.
```

```
20?
 1
                Q
 2
                Α
                     Yep.
 3
                      21?
                           23?
                Q
 4
                     Yep.
                Α
                      24?
 5
                Q
 6
                Α
                     Yep.
 7
                Q
                      25?
 8
                Α
                     Yes.
                            Yes.
                Q
                      26?
                           27?
 9
10
                     Yep.
                Α
11
                      28?
                Q
                Α
12
                      Yes.
                Q
                      29?
13
14
                Α
                     Yes.
15
                     30?
                Q
16
                     Yes.
                Α
17
                     31?
                Q
18
                Α
                     Yes.
19
                     32?
                Q
20
                     Yes.
                Α
21
                Q
                     33?
22
                Α
                     Yes.
23
                     And 34?
                Q
24
                Α
                     Yes.
25
                               Move to admit.
                MS. HOLTHUS:
```

1	THE COURT: Any objection?
2	MR. CHAIREZ: No objection.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Admitted. Permission to publish.
4	(State's Exhibit 7 through 34 admitted.)
5	MS. HOLTHUS: Permission to publish? Thank you.
6	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
7	BY MS. HOLTHUS:
8	Q I'm assuming this is just kind of a charting
9	thing?
10	A Yeah. That's documentation with the account
11	number, his birth date, his name, medical record number, age,
12	sex.
13	Q AJ?
14	A That's a photograph of AJ.
15	Q Hands?
16	A AJ.
1.7	Q Feet?
18	A AJ.
19	Q More medical record. What are we looking at
20	here?
21	A That's the what AJ referred to as his throat.
22	Q Okay. Are you able to see any of the injury
23	from this particular picture? Let me ask you, why don't you
24	pick out and show me which is the the photograph, that
25	best

1	A	The first or the second. The second has the
2	filter on it.	
3	Q	Has the what?
4	A	The filter process that shows the the
5	contusion, or	the bruise.
6	Q	Let me see that.
7	А	First or second.
8	Q	Okay. Here's the first.
9	А	Yep.
LO	Q	And then you said the second, that filter, what
L1	what's the	purpose?
L2	A	The filter shows the contusion better for some
L3	people's eyes	. And it helps me identify the edging, which
L4	tells me wheth	ner it's defined or not.
L5	Q	Okay. Can you circle for me the contusion?
L6	A	Sure.
L7	Q	And then the rest of these are just other
L8	А	Versions of
L9	Q	the same?
20	A	Yes, ma'am.
21	Q	Now, I'm going to take this next bunch that are
22	as I'm loo	king for you, could you describe the glistening
23	substance con	sistent with lubricant. What's the best, easiest
24	there for you	to point that out?
25	A	Either either one of this this shows it

1	very well.
2	Q This is Exhibit 18.
3	A You're looking at the glistening here. You can
4	see it actually reflects off of the flash from the photograph.
5	Q And and that is consistent with lubricant.
6	How is that different from the body's own fluids?
7	A The blood that I saw out of his out of the
8	tears would be red. It was red in color. This is not. This
9	is clear.
10	Excuse me, but the volume, also
11	Q Okay.
12	A is consistent with the lubrication.
13	Q What, an outside lubricant versus the body's
14	own?
15	A Correct.
16	Q I'm looking at the contusion you identified on
17	the buttocks?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Which one is
20	A The one with the filter with the with the
21	measuring stick.
22	Q I think I — you just said this is the one with
23	the filter?
24	A This has got the filter on. This is the left
25	buttock.

1	Q That's what you said was consistent with
2	A He said he was hit once or twice with a closed
3	fist to his buttocks.
4	Q And the remainder of these I believe are — if
5	you could pick. Those are the ones with respect to the
6	lacerations of the the perianal lacerations.
7	For the record, that was 32 that we just showed
8	regarding the contusions on the butt.
9	A Rectal So may I separate these out into
10	upper anus, lower?
11	Q Sure.
12	A Okay. Lower. This is upper. Upper. Upper.
13	Upper. Lower. Lower. Upper and lower. And that's lower.
14	This was the initial Okay. So I'll sort these out.
15	You want upper one photo of upper and one photo of
16	lower?
17	Q Sure. One or two or whatever
18	A Okay.
19	Q that that adequately illustrate what
20	you're talking about.
21	A This —
22	Q They'll have all of them, so I'm just looking
23	for a couple of them.
24	A Yes. Those are the upper.
25	Q Uh—huh.

1	A And that would be the best for lower.
2	Q Okay. I'm showing you this as I — did you give
3	me two of upper?
4	A I did.
5	Q Okay. So then that means this is lower,
6	correct?
7	A Is that the whole picture that I'm looking at
8	there? That looks like upper to me.
9	Q I think this is the one you said was lower.
10	These two is upper?
L1	A Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Now I can see the whole
L2	picture of the raphe. That's the lower.
L3	Q These are upper, right?
L4	A Upper. Yes, ma'am.
L5	Q Okay.
L6	A Yep.
L7	Q So, here's the lower. What are we looking at?
L8	A That this area here, now this has got a
L9	filter on it. This is the area where I'm noting a laceration,
20	and the 7:00 position of a clock, if I may draw, be if this
21	were a clock, this would be well, I'm following that 7:00.
22	There were two lacerations there noted at the 7:00 position.
23	The 6:00 position, which would be noted there, had a
24	laceration. And there also was a laceration at the 5 what
25	we would call the 5:30 position.

The 4:00 position had a contusion. Just so that you know, the 6:00 position also had edema and swelling, based off the fact that there were no perianal folds or folding on top of each other. The anus is a lot like a curtain. When the curtain's pulled open, you have a lot of the curtain that folds over itself. When we separate out with something called separation and traction technique, we look at whether they have those folds or not. An edema gets rid of the folding. So.

- Q That's swelling, right?
- A Swelling.
- Q Okay.
- A Yeah.

Q Now I'm showing you — and that last picture of a lower was 30. I'm showing you now the upper, State's Exhibit 24. What are we looking at there?

A That's the upper — the anus. And we're going to go with the upper portion again. If this were a clock, this would be the largest laceration that was at the 12:00 position. You can see the — the width of the — the laceration. The depth varied and it was irregular, the edging of the laceration is irregular, which means that you had tearing of the anus occur. The 11:00 laceration is noted right there. Those two lacerations, 11:00 had a blood clot with free-blowing blood coming from it, and the 12:00

1	laceration, which was the largest most in-depth laceration,
2	had free-flowing blood coming from it. And again, the edging
3	of those lacerations were irregular in shape.
4	Q And this is with the filter?
5	A Yeah. Can
6	Q Can you tap that off?
7	A Yeah. Can that be — thank you. Yes. That
8	is
9	Q Is there anything additional that we can observe
10	here?
11	A The there is also a second laceration at what
12	we call the 12:30 position, and then again at the 3:00
13	position there is the contusion noted. Best I can do.
14	Q Essentially, have you detailed for us your
15	significant findings with respect to this examination. Did
16	you
17	A Yes.
18	Q And you did you did say you gave him some
19	medication, antibiotics or something?
20	A Yes. Preventative treatment would be a gram of
21	azithromycin, that's a powder that turns into a liquid that
22	prevents one of the STDs. And then we gave an injection to
23	prevent the another STD. So he was given two antibiotics.
24	Q In your 35 years in nursing, are you familiar
25	with the effects of alcohol on the ability to get or maintain

1	
1	an erection?
2	A Alcohol is a sedative, and sedatives can effect
3	muscles and cause sedative effects, which is relaxation.
4	There's a common, I guess, street term that's used that I hear
5	often with maintaining an erection. The street term would be
6	something like the person was not able to maintain an
7	erection, they call it a limp dick or a whiskey dick, it's
8	been termed by patients that come through.
9	Q And the last question I have, you described AJ
10	as being prepubescent. What does that mean with respect to
11	sperm?
12	A Until you hit puberty, you do not produce sperm.
13	Once you have puberty, it can take up to a year postpubescent
14	period for them to produce a full normal adult amount of
15	sperm.
16	Q Thank you.
17	MS. HOLTHUS: Pass the witness.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
20	Q How do you pronounce your last name?
21	A It's Dermanelian.
22	Q Okay. If I call you Dermelian, just forgive me.
23	But Derma —
24	A You can call me Jeri, sir.
25	Q All right. Jeri, and you can call me Don, all

1	right?
2	A Thank you.
3	Q Okay. In all of the pictures that you took, you
4	were taking photographs to document what you believed were
5	injuries that were consistent with AJ's story, correct?
6	A I did take AJ's history and I did document the
7	injury patterns that I noted.
8	Q And I notice in all of the pictures that we saw,
9	you didn't take any pictures of the ear or you didn't take any
10	pictures of the neck. Is there a reason for that?
11	A Yeah. I was very conservative with AJ's
12	privacy-related issues. If I did not see an injury, I did not
13	photograph it.
14	Q But you wrote down or you AJ told you that he
15	had been bitten on the ear; is that correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And if he would have had a bite mark that would
18	have been visible with injuries or blood or something like
19	that, you would have taken that picture?
20	A I most likely would have taken that picture.
21	Q All right. And you had a long conversation with
22	AJ as to how all of this happened, correct?
23	A I had a conversation with him. Yes, sir.
24	Q And you wrote down everything that he told you,
25	basically?

1	A Everything? Probably not. I ask a thousand
2	questions, I get a thousand answers. But I do try and be
3	pertinent to the sexual assault information.
4	Q All right. But AJ told you that he had
5	breakfast with a girl named Mary?
6	A He did.
7	Q All right. And he told you that he had four
8	donuts?
9	A Four Krispy Kreme donuts.
10	Q And AJ also told you, at least in the way you
11	wrote it down in your notes, that he was anally assaulted
12	first and thereafter the penis was forced in his mouth; is
13	that correct?
14	A Actually, not by my documentation that I have
15	that information. I did not put down the order of occurrence.
16	Q Okay. Well, do you want to take a minute to
17	look at your notes? And I'm I'm looking at page 11 of 27,
18	but it could be something different. It's just below, "The
19	patient states that his left ear was bit by the male, swabs
20	were taken of the left ear."
21	A Is that on Rose Hart documentation, sir?
22	Q Yes, it is. It is. Is that not you?
23	A That Rose Hart.
24	Q Pardon?
25	A May I just see that?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q Yes.

A So I can ascertain which page you're on. Oh. Documentation... I'm with you, sir.

Q Okay. Well, right after — my — my notes are highlighted. I don't know if you highlighted them or the DA's office highlighted them. But go down just a — three lines from the swabs taken of the left ear. And do your notes say, well, read your notes and tell me where he says he was sexually assaulted. And then I want you to read at the bottom to say where he was sexually assaulted.

A "The patient states the male put lotion on his penis"?

O Correct.

A "And put lotion on AJ's 'butt.'"

Q Okay. Now let's go down to the third — well, the second from the bottom line.

A "Patient states he thinks he passed out for a second when his penis entered the rectum."

Q No, the -- I guess the next line. Second from the bottom. The line.

A Oh. "The patient states the male turned him around and forced his penis into the patient's mouth."

Q All right. Now, do you see any — anything significant in perhaps the order that the patient is telling you all of these sexual activities took place?

1	A I don't ascertain that from the way that I
2	dictated.
3	Q Okay. All right. So, this is what the patient
4	told you; first, he was anally raped; secondly, the penis was
5	forced into his mouth, correct?
6	A Technically, I cannot say that from my notes. I
7	did not put an order of occurrence. Normally when I do an
8	order of occurrence it'll be the order No. 1 is penis to
9	mouth, order No. 2 would be penis to rectum. Normally I would
LO	say order of occurrence. I did not in this case.
11	Q Okay. So, you don't know which one took place
L2	first?
L3	A I I can't say with any certainty at this
L4	point which came first.
L5	Q All right. Okay. Now, with respect to
L6	descended testicles, did you make any notes in your notes
L7	about whether or not AJ had descended testicles?
L8	A In the dictation I believe I put that he had two
L9	testicles that were descended, meaning in the scrotal sac.
20	Q All right. And generally speaking, when a young
21	man has descended testicles, is that an early stage of making
22	him postpubescent?
23	A The testicles, normally when an infant is born,
24	are outside the scrotal sac. So I would have to say I'd
25	have to think about that. I don't know if I can come up with
1	

an answer for you right now. I'm going to think about that 1 2 for a minute, if I may. 3 Okay. Well, I mean, I think the prosecutor went 4 to great trouble asking you questions as to whether or not AJ 5 could, in fact, produce his own sperm or ejaculate or have 6 semen and you were of the opinion that maybe he was just a 7 little bit too young. But, so, you know, in terms of thinking 8 about it, I'm just trying to decide, you mentioned that 9 there's descending testicles, and generally speaking, 10 descended testicles are a sign that a young man can already produce his own semen. 11 12 Semen is different than --Α 13 MS. HOLTHUS: I'm going to just object. Is he --MR. CHAIREZ: Or -- or just -- or --14 15 -- is that a question or is he MS. HOLTHUS: 16 testifying? 17 MR. CHAIREZ: I'm asking her --MS. HOLTHUS: 18 Okay. 19 MR. CHAIREZ: -- if she agrees with that statement. 20 MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. 21 MR. CHAIREZ: Or --22 THE WITNESS: I would not be able to agree with that 23 statement. 24 BY MR. CHAIREZ: 25 Okay. All right. So what are -- what was the Q

significance of putting down that he had descended testicles?

A That he had two balls in the scrotal sac. He had two testicles noted in the scrotal. Sometimes one testicle stays inside the body cavity. And so when we do our assessment of male genitalia, we look and ascertain whether they have two testicles, small almond shaped, hard almond objects, in the scrotal sacs. Just noting that, because if there's only one, then I would want to have him medically evaluated. If there were none, I would want him to be medically evaluated.

Q All right. And did you find any semen or around the genital areas of AJ?

A Semen I cannot see and I do not test for. I take the swabs, and the scientist from the Las Vegas Crime Lab would answer your question, sir.

Q All right. And with respect to the perianal lacerations that you saw and that you photographed, in your mind, that would indicate that there was — hate to use this word, but heavy friction between the two body parts, correct?

A There was an energy force applied to the anus that exceeded the skin's ability to stay together and collected into one unit.

Q Okay. And this energy force that you're referring to, would you say that — I don't want to use the word violent enough, but lack of a better term, violent enough

1	that you would think that there would be some kind of a skin
2	or liquid that would have been left behind that could be found
3	in the DNA samples that were later taken?
4	MS. HOLTHUS: I'm going to object. This is outside
5	her expertise.
6	THE COURT: She can answer, if she can.
7	THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that question.
8	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
9	Q If the prosecutor will let you, I think you
10	should.
11	THE COURT: Hold on. I think
12	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
13	THE COURT: are you saying that you're not
14	qualified to answer that question?
15	THE WITNESS: I don't have the education to answer
16	that question.
17	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
18	Q Well, they they also got into questions about
19	whiskey dick and other things like that, and I think that's
20	beyond her education. But at any rate
21	THE COURT: There's no objection placed on the
22	record, though.
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
24	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
25	Q All right. At any rate, you believe, based upon

1	your observations, there was aggressive touching between the
2	person who had sex with AJ and AJ, correct?
3	A There was an energy force applied to his anus
4	Q Right.
5	A that caused him to have multiple anal tears.
6	Q Right. And you don't know whether or not that
7	would leave multiple amounts of skin DNA or multiple amounts
8	of DNA from another source?
9	MS. HOLTHUS: Same objection.
10	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. I'll I'll
11	THE COURT: Hold on a second. Are you withdrawing
12	the question?
13	MR. CHAIREZ: Pardon?
14	THE COURT: Are you — are you withdrawing the
15	question?
16	MR. CHAIREZ: I'll rephrase the question, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
19	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
20	Q And with respect to the energy force that was
21	used on the mouth of AJ, would you say that was a heavy-duty
22	energy force that was being used or violent energy force,
23	enough to where it would leave what you believe to be a bruise
24	in the boy's mouth?
25	A Generally, yes.

1	, Q	Okay. Now, one other question. In based
2	upon your obs	ervation, there's no question that this boy had
3	anal sex with	somebody, correct?
4	A	He had an energy force applied to his anus.
5	Q	All right. And
6	А	His history that he gave me stated there was a
7	finger and a	penis
8	Q	All right.
9	A	placed in the anus into his what he called
10	butt.	
11	Q	And based upon what the boy told you, he stated
12	this was not	a consensual encounter between him and the
13	perpetrator?	
14	A	AJ told me he told the man to "stop" and "no."
15	Q	And with respect to the penis that you believe
16	went inside the boy's mouth, again, you do not believe that	
17	was a consens	ual encounter, correct?
18	А	Consent versus nonconsent, I have no x-rays, lab
19	tests, swabs,	that can help me with consent versus no consent.
20	Q	So, when you look at these pictures, we would
21	agree that AJ	had sex with somebody. But we don't know
22	whether AJ co	nsented or didn't consent?
23	А	I have no test results that can help you with
24	consent versu	s no consent.
25	Q	So, and and lastly, in looking at these

pictures, you say that the wounds are essentially very recent, correct?

A The free-flowing blood indicates to me, from 35 years of looking at lacerations, cuts, bruises, bleeding items, that it was a current — within hours. And that the fact that AJ had clot noted at the 11:00 laceration tells me that his body was trying to do what it's supposed to do, which is start to heal.

Q And lastly, did AJ's mother tell you whether or not AJ had any — or did you find out whether or not AJ had a — a condition known as Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder?

A His mom indicated he had ADHD. Yes, sir.

Q All right. And did the mother tell you whether or not AJ was on medication for that?

A She indicated to her knowledge he had stopped taking the medication when he went to live with his father, which was in July, if I remember my notes correctly.

Q Okay. So, in terms of a child not taking his medication, if he has the symptoms of — or he has this condition ADHD, do you know whether or not that would affect his behavior or are you not qualified to answer that question?

A It's out of my realm of expertise, ADHD. I -- I cannot speak to that.

Q Okay. Do you know what ADHD is?

1	A It's a hyperactive disorder.
2	Q And do you know how it would influence the
3	behavior of a 13- or 14-year-old?
4	A I'm sure that it would have
5	MS. HOLTHUS: I'm going to object. I think it calls
6	for speculation and it's outside her area of expertise. She's
7	not here as a psychiatrist or a psychologist or
8	THE COURT: The objection's sustained.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: All right.
10	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
11	Q And lastly, I just want to clarify, in your
12	opinion, and I don't know where you got this term energy
13	force, is that something that's used in the medical field?
14	A We use it in the trauma world all the time.
15	Q Okay. All right. In terms of the energy force
16	that you believe was used, no question in your mind that this
17	was a sexual encounter between AJ and somebody else?
18	A The lacerations were consistent with blunt-force
19	trauma, an energy force that caused the lacerations.
20	Q All right. And that would go with respect to
21	the rectum and that would also go with respect to the mouth?
22	A And the buttock.
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Nothing further.
24	MS. HOLTHUS: Just a couple.
25	REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MS. HOLTHUS:	
2	Q You had talked about AJ describing the	
3	perpetrator putting lotion on his butt, correct?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q And also on his — on the perpetrator's own	
6	penis?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q And when he described the the male putting	
9	the finger into his butt, it was kind of in a when he was	
10	putting the lotion on it, basically?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q He was kind of describing the the digital	
13	penetration was kind of a precursor to to the penis	
14	penetration?	
15	A The finger came before the penis, according to	
16	AJ.	
17	Q And it was to lube up his butt? Where he had	
18	the lube on the lubricant and he was putting the finger	
19	with the lubricant inside AJ's butt?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q And while you can't you don't have a test for	
22	consent, AJ told you these sexual acts were not consented to;	
23	is that correct?	
24	A That's correct.	
25	Q And the energy force that caused the injury to	

1	the throat would have been painful?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And then the energy force that caused the
4	injuries to the rectum would also have been painful?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Chairez?
8	MR. CHAIREZ: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, for your time. You're
10	free to go.
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Are the next yeah. Jase says the
13	jurors need a little bit of a break. Is the next witness
14	yours, Mr. Chairez?
15	MR. CHAIREZ: It is, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. CHAIREZ: He is.
18	THE COURT: He is?
19	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: All right. Why don't we give the jurors
21	a 10-minute break.
22	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you're admonished
23	not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone on any
24	subject connected with the trial. Don't read, watch, or
25	listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any

1 .	medium of inform, including, without limitations, television,
2	newspaper, radio, Internet. Do not form or express an opinior
3	on this case until it's submitted to you. Thanks.
4	(Court recessed at 2:43 p.m., until 3:01 p.m.)
5	(In the presence of the jury.)
6	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and
7	gentlemen.
8	The next witness, please. Does Jason know who it is?
9	MR. CHAIREZ: Jennifer Melendez.
10	THE COURT: I think he knows, because he's going to
11	get her.
12	THE MARSHAL: Please remain standing and raise your
13	right hand to be sworn by our clerk.
14	JENNIFER MELENDEZ MURILLO, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
15	THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your
16	first and last name for the record, please.
17	THE WITNESS: Jennifer, J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R, Melendez,
18	M-E-L-E-N-D-E-Z, Murillo, M-U-R-I-L-C.
19	THE COURT: Your witness.
20	MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, may the parties approach,
21	please?
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
24	MS. BLUTH: I just realized that we didn't rest.
25	Like, we're done with our case in chief and I didn't put on

1	
1	the record that we had rested before he started calling his
2	witnesses. So, I just told Don I need to rest, sorry.
3	MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine.
4	MS. BLUTH: Sorry about that.
5	THE COURT: You're finished?
6	MS. BLUTH: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	(End of bench conference.)
9	THE COURT: All right. So, the State rests at this
10	point. We're not calling the defendant's witness in his case
11	in chief.
12	MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
15	Q Jennifer, is it okay if I call you Ms. Melendez?
16	A Yes.
17	Q All right.
18	A That's fine.
19	Q And I need you to speak loud. There's a little
20	black box in front of you. It's a microphone, and the lady
21	over here is recording everything. So she's going to need you
22	to say yes or no. No uh-huh or uh-uh, etcetera, etcetera.
23	Okay?
24	A Okay.
25	THE COURT: Are you okay? Are you are you I
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	lucas recules promont garroct?			
1	know you're pregnant, correct?			
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
3	THE COURT: You're not having contractions, are you?			
4	THE WITNESS: Oh, no. I'm not that far.			
5	THE COURT: Okay. You were concerning me the way			
6	you're breathing. Okay. Something you need to take a			
7	break or something, please let us know.			
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, no. I'm fine. I just woke up from			
9	a nap.			
10	THE COURT: Okay.			
11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			
12	BY MR. CHAIREZ:			
13	Q Ms. Melendez, where do you live?			
14	A San Antonio, Texas.			
15	Q All right. And how long have you lived there?			
16	A Almost all my life.			
17	Q And how old are you now?			
18	A 27 years old.			
19	Q And I guess you told the judge that you're			
20	pregnant. Do you know how far along you are?			
21	A Three months.			
22	Q And are you familiar with my client, Mazen			
23	Alotaibi?			
24	A Yes.			
25	Q All right. And how do you know Mazen Alotaibi?			
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 101			

1	A In San Antonio through mutual friends. We've
2	spent a lot of time together.
3	Q And are there any military bases near San
4	Antonio, Texas?
5	A Yes. There's quite a few.
6	Q All right. And which Air Force base was Mazen
7	working at when you met him?
8	A At Glenn Air Force Base.
9	Q All right. And how far is that from where you
10	live?
11	A I'm going to say at least 21, 25 miles.
12	Q Okay. And do you recall when you first met
13	Mazen Alotaibi?
14	A I think it had to be in December,
15	November/December of 2011.
16	Q Well, let's put it another way. When was the
17	last time you were in Las Vegas before yesterday?
18	A Well, yeah, New Year's, couple days after New
19	Year's.
20	Q All right. And prior to the New Year's of this
21	year, 2013, how long had you know Mazen? A couple of months,
22	a few months?
23	A Well, it was already a year.
24	Q Well, would you say that you didn't know
25	Mazen before he lived at or was stationed at Lackland Air

1	Force Base; is that correct?
2	A Before then? No.
3	Q All right. So, maybe it it was just a couple
4	of months; is that correct? Or a few months?
5	A No. It was one year from December to December.
6	Q Well, and did you know any of Mazen's other
7	friends that were in the military?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. Now, was he in the American military
10	or was he in another military?
11	A Saudi military.
12	Q All right. And what branch of government for
13	the Saudi military was he in?
14	A Air Force.
15	Q Now, his other friends, were they also in the
16	Saudi Air Force?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And how was it that you happened to have contact
19	with Mazen and these other guys?
20	A I met one individual, I was actually selling
21	hookah for a friend of mine. I volunteered to do it one night
22	for him at Club Rio. And I met another guy there. And he was
23	very helpful through the whole night. And we exchanged
24	numbers to hang out and party and stuff.
25	Q What did you say, you were selling hookah?
	II

- 11		
1	A	Hookah, yeah. One of a a friend of mine from
2	another bar,	he actually has his own business doing hookah.
3	Q	All right.
4	А	And he asked me if I would do it for him at
5	another plac	e, and so I said yes.
6	Q	So you worked like a bartender or a waitress
7	A	No
8	Q	walking around the
9	A	I just promote.
10	Q	Promote. Okay. Now, through these individuals
11	you got to k	now Mazen Alotaibi?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	All right. And how often would you see Mazen
14	Alotaibi?	
15	А	Almost every day.
16	Q	And why is that?
17	А	Because he was a good person.
18	Q	All right. And you
19	A	And we were good friends.
20	Q	Okay. Were you ever romantically involved with
21	Mazen Alota:	ibi?
22	А	Oh, no, sir.
23	Q	Okay. And why is that?
24	А	Just friends.
25	Q	Okay. But did you have do you have a
		KARR REPORTING, INC. 104

li li		
1	boyfriend?	
2	A Yes, sir.	
3	Q All right. And is the boyfriend that you have	а
4	friend of Mazen's or not?	
5	A Yes, sir.	
6	Q All right. And what's your boyfriend's name?	
7	A Mohammed.	
8	Q Okay. And what's Mohammed's last name?	
9	A Aziz [phonetic].	
10	Q Okay. And when you say you got together with	
11	Mazen regularly, did you ever have a chance to socialize with	1
12	Mazen?	
13	A Yes. It took a while, maybe three or four time	es
14	encountering each other to actually speak. He's very quiet.	
15	Q All right. And when you guys would socialize,	
16	did you ever seen Mazen Alotaibi drink alcohol?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q All right. And did you ever see any of the	
19	other Saudis drink alcohol?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q All right. And did that surprise you?	
22	A No.	
23	Q Okay. And why not?	
24	A Because men drink.	
25	Q All right. Well, what about Moslem men?	
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 105	

1	
1	A They're not supposed to.
2	Q Okay. And in the time that you've lived in San
3	Antonio and been in San Antonio, have you seen these Saudi men
4	who are Moslems drink alcohol?
5	A Yes.
6	Q All right. And do they drink a lot?
7	A Yes.
8	Q But had prior to this let's say New
9	Year's, while you were there in San Antonio, did you ever see
10	Mazen get drunk?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. How on how many different occasions?
13	A More than I could count.
14	Q Okay. Did that concern you that you would see
15	Mazen get drunk?
16	A Yes and no.
17	Q Okay.
18	A He's very quiet and to himself. But going out,
19	I mean, he's all right, he's just very shy person.
20	Q All right. When you say shy, is he shy around
21	other men or is he shy around other women?
22	A Women.
23	Q All right. And did he ever express a an
24	interest to you that he wanted you to help him meet women?
25	A Yes.

Q Okay.

A I tried one time and then it ended up kind of bad. He tried to dance with one girl and ended up another gentleman pretty much fighting him, hitting him. So it didn't end well.

Q And so the other gentleman hit Mazen?

A Yeah. But that gentleman has a lot of problems at that club.

Q Well, did that gentleman think that the girl that Mazen was trying to dance with was his girl?

A I don't know. Maybe he just knew her. Because they weren't boyfriend and girlfriend. So I think he just was wanting to not have any guys around his friend.

Q All right. And in the time that you knew Mazen there in Texas, did you ever travel with him to Austin?

A With Mazen? No.

Q Let's talk about New Year's. When did the idea first came up that it would be a good idea to go to Las Vegas, Nevada, and spend New Year's there in Las Vegas?

A Well, actually, me and another gentleman that actually accompanied him, Mohammed, we were going to come together with another friend, Ali. But Ali got sent back. So that plan got cancelled. He didn't — I didn't know he knew Mohammed. And so when I found out they were coming, I was already in Vegas.

ll ll		
1	Q All right. Now, so I g	uess I'm confused now.
2	You came with a guy by the name of Mo	hammed?
3	A No. He came with a guy	named Mohammed. I came
4	with another gentleman named Aziz, Sa	m, and a girl, Serena.
5	Q All right. And where d	id you guys stay?
6	A The first hotel we stay	ed at the Stratosphere.
7	The second one was Harrah's. And tha	t's exactly where he met
8	up me and another gentleman that was	in Saudi Air Force.
9	Q All right. So, when	do you recall what date
10	and what time you met up with Mazen h	ere in Las Vegas?
11	A It was New Year's Eve,	and I'm going to have to
12	say it had to be around 7:30 or 8:00	p.m.
13	Q Okay. And that's when	you first met up with him
14	or that's	
15	A Yeah. That's they o	came to by our hotel,
16	and then we ended up meeting them lat	er again at another
17	casino.	
18	Q Okay. So when you met	up with these Saudis,
19	Mazen and Mohammed were already here	in Las Vegas?
20	A No. They had just got	there that night, I
21	believe.	
22	Q Okay.	
23	A They drove on I believe	e they went to California
24	first and then came here.	
25	Q So, do you recall where	e you guys went first to
	KARR REPORTING, 1	INC.

1	party or socialize?
2	A I'm believing the Palms, because we did try to
3	get in the club there. And they ended up closing.
4	Q And what kind of club were you looking for?
5	A Just where I could dance.
6	Q All right. So you guys did not look for a strip
7	club; is that correct?
8	A No. Absolutely not. I am the people I came
9	with earlier that night went to a strip club. And that's why
10	I wasn't with them. And so another Saudi guy named Emad, he
11	was in town, and he ended up walking the Strip with me that
12	day because I didn't want to go to a strip club.
13	Q All right. Now, when you were at the Palms
14	Casino, is that where you met Mazen?
15	A The second time, yeah. He met up there maybe a
16	hour or so after the first time meeting.
17	Q All right. And did you see Mazen drinking?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And what was he drinking?
20	A He does a lot of doubles with no mixers. Crown,
21	any brown liquor.
22	Q Any what kind of liquor?
23	A Brown liquor.
24	Q All right. Is there a difference between brown
25	liquor and other liquor?

- 11	
1	A There's clear liquor.
2	Q Clear liquor?
3	A Vodka and all that he doesn't drink.
4	Q Okay. And did there come a time when you guys
5	decided to leave the Palms Casino?
6	A Yeah. We actually asked the bartender what bar
7	club we could go to that we I could dance. And he told
8	us one, I'm not sure the name, Bill-something-or-other. And
9	so I went outside to get us a car to fit our group, because
10	there was a lot of us. And I found this lady, and I asked her
11	if she could fit us. She said yes. But she didn't take us to
12	where I asked her to take us. We did end up at a strip club.
13	Q Do you remember the name of that strip club?
14	A Oh, no. Because, like I say, I didn't want to
15	go to a strip club.
16	Q And do you remember how much she charged you for
17	the taxi ride from the Palms Casino to the strip club?
18	A Like, \$175.
19	Q That seem like a lot of money to you?
20	A Yeah. I was kind of shocked.
21	Q Okay. Did she take a short route or did she
22	take some other kind of route?
23	A No, we actually went a long way around.
24	Q All right. And who ended up paying the money
25	for the taxi when you arrived at the

1	A I believe him and maybe two other boys pitched
2	in.
3	Q Okay. And when you got to the strip club, how
4	did Mazen act?
5	A He went straight to the bar.
6	Q All right. And where did the other guys go?
7	A We actually sat in front of the the girls
8	dancing. Well, I started dancing, but they told me to stop.
9	Q Who told you to stop?
10	A The girls got off the stage and had one of the
11	bouncers come and tell me that I couldn't dance, I was being
12	distractive.
13	Q All right. So what did you do as a result of
14	the bouncer telling you to stop?
15	A I went to where Mazen and Sam were.
16	Q And what was Mazen doing?
17	A Drinking and talking with Sam.
18	Q All right. At any point during the time that
19	you were there, did somebody do a strip dance for Mazen?
20	A No. But he did actually pay one for me, because
21	I felt bad for the girl. I was, like, it'll be all right,
22	it'll get you some money. And I asked them if they would get
23	me a dance, and they did.
24	Q All right.
25	A But none of the boys I was with got a dance that

i i i	
1	night.
2	Q Okay. Now, Mazen basically sat at the bar most
3	of the time that you were there at the strip club?
4	A Yeah. Him and Sam sat away from the girls and
5	they just talked to each other.
6	Q All right. And were they drinking?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did you see I guess he was drinking,
9	what, brown liquor?
LO	A Yeah. Because, like I say, he always does
11	double drinks.
12	Q Okay.
13	A So.
14	Q And you recalled approximately what time you
15	guys left the strip club?
16	A I'm not sure, but I believe I got back to my
17	hotel around 6:00 or 7:00 in the morning.
18	Q All right.
19	A And I ended up passing out, because I was
20	intoxicated.
21	Q And when did you wake up from being passed out?
22	A Not till the next day, maybe 10:00 or 11:00 in
23	the morning.
24	Q All right. And when was the last time that you
25	saw Mazen when you left the

- 11	II	
1	A The strip	club was the last time I saw him.
2	Q When you lo	ooked at him, could you tell whether
3	he had been drinking?	
4	A Yes. His	eyes were bloodshot and he was just
5	sitting there. He wasn'	t really doing anything.
6	Q All right.	Did he so, in your opinion, was
7	he drunk?	
8	A Yes. Well	, having a bunch of doubles.
9	Q All right.	
10	A Yeah.	
11	. Q In your op	inion, did you see whether or not he
12	was combative?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Okay. And	do you know how Mazen, Mohammed, and
15	his group got back to Ci	rcus Circus?
16	A I don't.	Because one of their group members
17	actually escorted me bac	k to my room with Sam. They both
18	escorted me to my room.	
19	Q All right.	So you last saw Mazen at the strip
20	club?	
21	A Yeah. Tha	t's the last time I remember seeing
22	him.	
23	Q Just one f	inal area of questions. When you knew
24	Mazen in San Antonio, yo	u say he was good to you or
25	A Yes.	

- II	
1	Q Okay.
2	A We talked a lot.
3	Q All right. And what kinds of things did you
4	talk about?
5	A My life, my drama.
6	MS. HOLTHUS: Objection. Hearsay.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
9	Q All right. Do you feel that you have the
10	ability to determine whether well, in the time that you
11	socialized with Mazen, did he ever express an interest to
12	you
13	MS. HOLTHUS: Objection. Hearsay.
14	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, it's not being offered to prove
15	the truth of the matter, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Finish the question, please. And then
17	let me rule
18	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
19	THE COURT: rule on the objection.
20	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
21	Q In your interactions and encounters with Mazen,
22	would you characterize Mazen as a studious guy or not a
23	studious guy?
24	A To be honest, I don't know what that means.
25	Q Okay. Did he read a lot of books and go to

1	classes all the time?
2	A Yeah. He did do his studies. He was the one to
3	lock himself in his room.
4	Q All right.
5	A Him and another gentleman that he stayed with.
6	Q All right. And you say that he helped you a
7	lot. In what ways would he help you?
8	A He was a good friend. Like I said, we talked a
9	lot about me.
10	Q Did he ever offer you rides when your car was
11	broken?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay.
14	A To and from school, he would pick me up, or
15	just, if I needed somebody to hang out with
16	Q All right.
17	A $$ he would come and we'd hang out.
18	Q All right. And in your encounters with him,
19	other than the one time he got hit by the individual because
20	he was trying to dance with this guy's girl, you never saw him
21	get violent?
22	A No.
23	Q All right. And were you a Facebook friend of
24	Mazen's?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And did you ever look at the things that
2	Mazen posted?
3	A Well, most of it is in Arabic.
4	MS. HOLTHUS: Objection.
5	THE COURT: What's the objection?
6	MS. HOLTHUS: It's hearsay and relevance, and now
7	that she says it's most of it's in Arabic, lack of personal
8	knowledge.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I wouldn't understand.
10	THE COURT: Hold on a second. What it where are
11	we going?
12	MR. CHAIREZ: I didn't hear the part about Arabic.
13	But that's — the question was did she ever look at the things
14	that Mazen was posting on the
15	THE COURT: Yeah. And the State objected. She said
16	some of it was Arabic.
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
18	THE COURT: But I wasn't sure where you were going
19	with this line of questioning.
20	MR. CHAIREZ: All right.
21	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
22	Q On the Facebook images that you saw on Mazen's
23	Facebook, did you ever see pictures
24	MS. HOLTHUS: I'm going to object to the relevance.
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I'm

1	THE COURT: I think I know what you're going at. But
2	where where are you going with this?
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, let me approach.
4	(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
5	MR. CHAIREZ: I'm just going to ask if he ever
6	THE COURT: Likes girls or boys?
7	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
8	MS. HOLTHUS: [Indiscernible.]
9	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
10	MS. HOLTHUS: [Indiscernible.]
11	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
12	MS. HOLTHUS: [Indiscernible.]
13	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	(End of bench conference.)
16	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, please
17	disregard Mr. Chairez's last statement. He's decided to
18	withdraw that from the record.
19	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
20	Q And so, lastly, Jennifer, I just want to
21	clarify. When you last saw Mazen, in your opinion, based upon
22	all the drinking that he was he had done, was he drunk?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. And were you drunk?
25	A Yes.

l	
1	Q And did you see Rashed?
2	A I really don't know who that is.
3	Q Okay. All right.
4	MR. CHAIREZ: Nothing further.
5	THE COURT: All right. Anything by the State?
6	MS. HOLTHUS: Yeah. Please.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. HOLTHUS:
9	Q Are you — do you speak Arabic?
10	A I know some.
11	Q Okay. When you speak to Mazen and and his
12	friends, what do you speak?
13	A Well, I try teaching them English, so mostly
14	English, some Arabic.
15	Q All right. And how fluent are you in Arabic?
16	A Not very fluent.
17	Q What is your primary language?
18	A English.
19	Q Now, you said you said you came to Las Vegas
20	for New Year's with Mohammed?
21	A No. I came with Aziz and Sam and Serena.
22	Q And then you said Mazen came with Mohammed?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And then who else?
25	A I think there was another individual, but I
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	don't know him.
2	Q Rashed, does that sound familiar?
3	A Really, I don't know.
4	Q Was he was he with you that whole night?
5	A Yeah. There was one of their friends, but
6	like I said, I don't know him, so I didn't talk to him.
7	Q How many — how big was this group?
8	A Wow. Let's see, I'm going to say at least more
9	than six. Okay. Emad, Adel, Saeed, and then Mazen was with
10	us, then Mohammed, and then their friend, oh, more than six.
11	And then Emad was there, so.
12	Q We counted Emad already.
13	A Oh, okay. Well, then there's seven.
14	Q So there's Jennifer, I got Emad, Adel, Saeed.
15	A Uh-huh.
16	Q Mazen, Mohammed, Jennifer
17	A And then —
18	Q —— did you say Sam?
19	A Sam. Yeah, he was with us that night.
20	Q Was there another female?
21	A Not with us.
22	Q Okay. I thought
23	A She did come in my group, but she didn't she
24	was not with us that night.
25	Q Okay. So, she came to Vegas in your group?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

i i		
1	A	Yeah. With Sam, Aziz, and then me and Serena.
2	Q	Oh, Aziz.
3	А	And Aziz wasn't with that that night, either.
4	Не	
5	Q	Mohammed is Mohammed Aziz, is that the same
6	person?	
7	А	No. It's a totally different Mohammed.
8	Q	Mohammed Jafaari, or do you know?
9	А	I don't know. I'd have to check.
10	Q	Okay. This is a different Mohammed than your
11	boyfriend,	though?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	You didn't come with your boyfriend Mohammed?
14	A	No. He was actually in Saudi Arabia. His
15	father pas	sed away and him and his brother had to go back and
16	deal with	the paperwork and all that.
17	Q	Okay. So now I'm counting eight, does that
18	sound righ	t?
19	A	Like I said, there was a lot.
20	Ç	And you said you were staying with who?
21	A	Sam, Aziz, and Serena.
22	Ç	Serena, was that the girl?
23	Į.	Yes.
24	Ç	That wasn't with you?
25	P	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. So, the four of you had a place at
2	Harrah's?	
3	A	Harrah's.
4	Q	And then it's your testimony that you hooked up
5	with who, whe	en?
6	А	Emad actually met me on the Strip, because I was
7	walking arour	d by myself. The people I came with, they wanted
8	to go to a st	rip club and I didn't want to be a part of that.
9	So I went dri	nking by myself and I was texted.
10	Q	And when was this?
11	А	Pretty much all day. From
12	Q	When did they go to
13	A	after breakfast
14	Q	a strip club?
15	А	Oh, they probably went around 4:00 or 5:00, they
16	were ready to	o leave me.
17	Q	4:00 or 5:00 in the evening?
18	A	In the yeah, in the afternoon.
19	Q	Okay. So 4:00 or 5:00 in the evening your
20	friends and -	
21	A	They they went their own way.
22	Q	That's Aziz and Sam
23	A	And Serena.
24	Q	and Serena.
25	A	They had other friends there that they left
		KARR REPORTING, INC. 121

- 11	
1	with.
2	Q So they went to the strip clubs and you went
3	around drinking on the Strip by yourself?
4	A By myself. And Emad met me out there so I
5	wouldn't be alone.
6	Q Okay. You knew Emad, though.
7	A Yes, from San Antonio.
8	Q And where did you meet I'm sorry. Where did
9	you meet up with Emad?
10	A Oh, Caesar's Palace, I believe. Or
11	Q How many places were you
12	A I don't know.
13	Q — drinking that night?
14	A I went from the Harrah's, and I went towards
15	Circus Circus, because Emad was at Circus Circus. That's
16	where he was staying. And so we kind of met in the middle.
17	Q You met up with Emad?
18	A Yeah. I'm not sure where it was.
19	Q Did you have a plan, did you call him on his
20	phone? Or how did that work out?
21	A No. I was just drinking and we were texting
22	where are you at? And I told him, Well, I'm alone. And he
23	was like, Okay, well, I'm going to come meet you so you're not
24	alone. I was like, Thanks.
25	Q And — and what were you drinking?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	A I drank Crown and coke, and rum and coke. I
2	can't drink just straight liquor.
3	Q How many did you have before you met up with
4	Emad?
5	A Maybe two or three. I'm really slow.
6	Q And over what period of time was that?
7	A Well, from, like I said, we started early.
8	After we ate breakfast or lunch we started drinking.
9	Q Okay. Started drinking right after breakfast or
10	lunch?
11	A Uh—huh.
12	Q And then —
13	A Which was probably around 2:00 or 3:00.
14	Q Okay. And then your friends left you around
15	4:00 to go to the strip club?
16	A Well, to meet up with their friends and they
17	were going to get a limo and go to the strip club and
18	Q And that's when you went off on your own?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you left Harrah's?
21	A Yes. And I headed towards Circus Circus. So I
22	don't know what casino we met at, but it was in between.
23	Q But did you drink at did you stop at others?
24	You stopped at, you said, Caesar's?
25	A Well, I I pretty much went through the Strip.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	Q	Did you basically drink in every casino along
2	the way?	
3	А	Not every one. But whenever I decided to sit
4	down and tak	e a break from all the walking, I got a drink.
5	Q	Okay. And then at some point you hook up with
6	Emad?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And it's just the two of you then?
9	A	It's just the two us.
10	Q	How long did
11	A	And we were walking around. We went and met up
12	with his cou	sin, and then after that we went back to my hotel.
13	We met up wi	th my group. But
14	Q	Is that your your group that had left you?
15	А	Yeah.
16	Q	Hooked back up with you now?
17	А	Yes. But, like, Serena's not old enough to get
18	in the clubs	. And that was my goal, to go to a club.
19	Q	Okay. Now, earlier, we heard testimony that
20	Mazen and Ra	ashed and Mohammed didn't get into town until 1:00
21	or 2:00 in	the morning from California?
22	A	Well, like I said, I was drunk.
23	Q	Okay. So that could be?
24	A	Yeah. I I didn't check my time on it. I
25	just know t	nat I met they met me at my hotel.

1		Q	Okay. At some point?
2		A	Uh-huh.
3		Q	Yes?
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	And that once they met you, you continued to
6	drink at	your	hotel?
7		A	No. We actually went to another hotel. We went
8	to the Pa	ılms.	
9		Q	Okay.
10		A	Me and Emad.
11		Q	That's when you went to the Palms?
12		A	And then they met us maybe a hour or so later
13	there.		
14		Q	At the Palms?
15		A	Yes.
16		Q	Okay. And the whole time you're drinking?
17		A	Yes.
18		Q	And that you get to the when you get to the
19	Palms and	d Maz	en and for the record, you're identifying
20	somebody,	, you	keep pointing at somebody saying Mazen.
21		A	Mazen
22		Q	Do you see him here?
23		A	the gentleman sitting in front of me.
24		MS.	HOLTHUS: Record reflect identification of
25	defendant	t.	

- 11	
1	THE COURT: It will.
2	BY MS. HOLTHUS:
3	Q So, defendant meets you over at Palms with
4	Mohammed —
5	A Emad.
6	Q and some guy, you don't know his name?
7	A Yeah. Yeah. And at that time, Sam did
8	accompany us, the guy from my group.
9	Q Okay. So, then you guys — you do or don't
10	drink at the Palms? I'm sorry.
11	A No, we did drink. We sat right at the bar. As
12	soon as you walk in the door there's a bar.
13	Q Okay.
14	A We sat right there, we waited for them to
15	accompany us.
16	Q All right. So, then they show up, and how many
17	drinks do you have there once the defendant and his friend
18	show up?
19	A I probably had two.
20	Q And how many did they have? How long were you
21	there?
22	A Oh, I don't know. They drink fast. So they had
23	at least more than two or three.
24	Q All right. And then from there you decided to
25	leave there — is that where you're going clubbing? Because
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

now you're
A Yeah. There, that's when I asked the gentleman
at the bar if he knew of a club I could go to, because the one
at the Palms they had just closed for a season, I guess.
Q All right.
A And so I asked him where we could go, I want to
dance. He gave me a name, he went outside to find a car to
fit our group. And that's when the lady was like, Okay, well,
I could fit your group.
Q So, how many how many in your group at this
point go?
A I think
Q Do
A $$ there was eight of us at that time.
Q Did Serena go now?
A No. She's not old enough.
Q Okay. To go to any clubs? And what about I
thought Serena went to clubs before with Aziz and Sam earlier
in the day?
A She went to a strip club.
Q A strip club?
A You have to be only 18 to go to a strip club.
Q Okay. You're going night clubs are 21?
A Yes. I want to dance. I don't want to see
naked girls.

	•
1	Q Okay. But you end up getting in a cab. Is it
2	just you then and and seven guys?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you guys and you don't know which strip
5	club you went to, but you know it cost you 175 bucks to get
6	there?
7	A Yeah. Because the guys were upset. Once we got
8	there and the lady told us how much, they were kind of like,
9	Really? We wanted to go to a club. And what was funny about
10	that is the club I asked her to take me to was just across the
11	street, three casinos down.
12	Q Okay. And how long did it take you to get from
13	the Palms to the strip club?
14	A It took us a while. Like, I think we went
15	through a lot of back roads. Because when I actually saw
16	where it was and where everything else was, it was, like,
17	right there. And we
18	Q Okay.
19	A went, like, down dark roads and everything.
20	So, it was like
21	Q What time did you get to the strip club?
22	A I'm not sure.
23	Q Tell me approximately?
24	A I don't know. Like I said
25	Q You have no idea?

1	A — I was drunk, and since you said, they didn't
2	get there till 1:00 or 2:00, I don't want to be inaccurate.
3	Q Okay. So you really have absolutely no idea
4	what time anything
5	A No.
6	Q You you were pretty drunk?
7	A I could still stand and dance, but I was drunk.
8	Q And you remember it, everything?
9	A Yeah. I — like I said, I mix my drinks. I
10	didn't drink straight liquor.
11	Q Okay.
12	A So.
13	Q You mean, with coke or something nonalcoholic?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Not different kinds of alcohol
16	A Yeah. Just one
17	Q the same kind of alcohol?
18	A alcohol and and a coke.
19	Q Mixer. And you said you were dancing. What
20	kind of dancing? Were you on the dance floor? Were you
21	A No. There's no dance floor at the strip club.
22	The stage right there was for the girls. And my friends, they
23	were all sitting down and I'm the only one standing up
24	dancing. Because the lady that drove us there actually said
25	it's okay, you could dance there. And turning out I couldn't

1	dance there. The girls actually got off stage and went and
2	complained. And one of the bouncers told me I need to sit
3	down or I need to leave.
4	Q So, you were just dancing at your seat, you
5	weren't, like, stripping or dancing at your
6	A No, no, no.
7	Q You were just
8	A God, no.
9	Q — having a good time?
10	A Yeah. At that time I still had my boyfriend.
11	So, no stripping.
12	Q Okay. So did you you sat down when they told
13	you to sit?
14	A I actually went to where Mazen and Sam were and
15	I sat with them.
16	Q At the bar?
17	A Yeah. Because I was upset when they told me I
18	needed to sit down. I was, like, Are you serious.
19	Q You were upset?
20	A Yes. Because I I asked the girl to take me
21	somewhere I could dance. And she took me to a strip club
22	where I didn't want to go in the first place. And then I
23	couldn't even dance. So, of course I was upset.
24	Q So, did you just sit at the bar and — and were
25	drinking?

1	say skip the dance?
2	A No. She she doesn't get that lucky.
3	Q Okay. So you you had so she she was
4	dancing, Mazen
5	A In front of me. And Mazen
6	Q — in front of you —
7	A $$ and Sam, they were still sitting at the bar.
8	Q Okay. But they had paid for that dance for you?
9	A Yes. Yes, they did.
10	Q All right. And that's what they call a lap
11	dance. It's one-on-one dancing, it's not supposed to be
12	touching, right?
13	A Not to me.
14	Q Okay. And then after she finished the dance,
15	then what happened, what did you do?
16	A Actually, that we left a little while after
17	that.
18	Q And how did you get home?
19	A Because, I mean, none of the guys were really
20	doing anything. They didn't want a dance from a girls. And
21	we just we were already pretty much drunk by then.
22	Especially me. So Sam and Emad, they escorted me to my room.
23	Q When you say escorted?
24	A Like, they took me to my room. Emad made sure I
25	laid

i i	·
1	Q How did they get you there? How did you get
2	there?
3	A down, and I don't know. I was drunk.
4	And, like, when I got to my room I laid on the bed. Emad was
5	like, Are you okay, do you need anything? And I was like, I'm
6	fine. And boom, I'm out.
7	Q Okay. So, from the time that you left the strip
8	club with them to the time you go back to your room, you don't
9	actually remember?
10	A No.
11	Q You have no idea whether you walk, drove, cab?
12	A No. But I'm sure we didn't walk. It was
13	probably a cab. I think we may have went separately, but I'm
14	not sure.
15	Q Okay. You have no recollection of it?
16	A Yes. I don't know.
17	Q And your best guess is that you got back to the
18	room somewhere around 6:00 or 7:00 a.m.?
19	A Yeah. That's my guess.
20	Q But it's
21	A Well, it was still
22	Q you've had a lot to drink kind of
23	A dark outside, so I know it wasn't around
24	8:00, because the sun would have been out.
25	Q Okay. And then you said you slept through till
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 133

1	10:00 or	11:00	)?
2		A	Yeah.
3		Q	Does that mean three or four hours, or literally
4	24 hours	till	the next day?
5		A	No, no, no. It's till 11:00 in the morning. I
6	have to e	eat.	
7		Q	Okay.
8		A	So, I woke up
9		Q	So you got up a few hours later?
10		A	at 8:00.
11		Q	And then you you didn't you didn't see the
12	defendant	and	them again?
13		A	I didn't see him again. I did see the other
14	guys.		
15		Q	Okay. And
16		A	That night on New Year's.
17		Q	All right. And
18		A	And none of them spoke to me on what had
19	happened	•	
20		Q	Okay. And the the last you said that you
21	thought 1	the -	- the defendant was drinking doubles, right?
22		A	Yes.
23		Q	And then isn't it true you said that he always
24	drank lil	ke th	at?
25		A	Yes.

I	
1	Q Nothing else. Thank you.
2	MS. HOLTHUS: Pass the witness.
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Nothing further.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, for your time.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	THE COURT: Free to go.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing and raise your right
9	hand to be sworn in by our clerk.
10	MONTE MILLER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
11	THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your
12	first and last name for the record, please.
13	THE WITNESS: My name is Dr. Monte Wayne Miller,
14	M-O-N-T-E M-I-L-E-R.
15	THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Mr. Chairez.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
18	Q Dr. Miller, what kind of work do you do?
19	A I am a forensic scientist.
20	Q All right. And what is it that a forensic
21	scientist does?
22	A Excuse me?
23	Q What is it that a forensic scientist does?
24	A Well, we're mainly scientists involved with
25	issues that come before a court, evidence that's tested for
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	court proceedings, anything of that nature, really, is is			
2	forensic science.			
3	Q All right. And have you come to court today to			
4	testify as an expert witness?			
5	A I have.			
6	Q And have you ever testified as an expert witness			
7	before?			
8	A Yes. Many times.			
9	Q All right. In which courts?			
10	A Military court, Federal Court, civil court,			
11	state and district courts.			
12	Q And have you ever testified as an expert witness			
13	in the state of Nevada?			
14	A I have not.			
15	Q All right. Now, Dr. Miller, based upon your			
16	education, training, and experience, do you feel you have			
17	specialized knowledge that would assist the jury or the trier			
18	of fact in reaching a conclusion with respect to the evidence			
19	that's been presented at this trial?			
20	A I think I can.			
21	Q All right. And can you tell me a little bit			
22	about your background? I mean, how many times have you			
23	testified as an expert witness?			
24	A I've probably testified 25 to 30 times,			
25	somewhere in that range. I've worked on hundreds of cases. I			

ha
 la
 Te
 my
 th
 st
 ir
 se
 DN

10 things that

12

13

11

14

15

1617

19

18

2021

22

23

24

25

have about 15 years of DNA experience, either in a research lab or in a forensics lab or working as a consultant for the Texas Department of Public Safety. After I graduated and got my Ph.D. in biochemistry where I did DNA research, I went to the Texas Department of Public Safety where I worked for the state crime lab. There, they trained me to do crime scene investigation as well as work in the laboratory on biological samples. Anything having to do with blood, semen, saliva, DNA, processing evidence of that nature, or the types of things that I did inside the laboratory.

- Q All right. The Texas --
- A I left there --
- Q -- Crime Lab, were you working for the prosecution?

A I generally testify for the prosecution, though the lab is really independent. They're not really there to, you know, put somebody in jail or get somebody out. They're really independent scientists that are hired by the State of Texas to process the evidence in criminal trials. So, I — I testified on behalf of the prosecution, because if somebody was exonerated, I guess they let them go. But when, you know, there were issues and somebody had to testify on behalf of the State, then I would come in because I — I work for the State.

Q Now, in your current profession, you -- do you testify only for the defense or do you testify for the

prosecution, or do you -- does it depend?

A Generally I testify for the defense. Almost all of my work comes from the defense. I do do other work, you know, I've done some work for the New York Police Department, NYPD, and — and help them with some evidence things. I — I do do a number of things. I have been called in by the, you know, US Department of Justice where they just, you know, ask me questions. I've had judges call me during break just out of the blue and ask me some questions because there were some issues. So I get questions and I do a little bit of work, but I would say primarily my work is — is defense.

Q All right. And in preparation for your testimony today, did you receive a package from me about the evidence that had been gathered in this case?

A I did.

Q All right. And did you have an opportunity to review it?

A I did.

Q And did you receive from me a couple of weeks ago evidence that had been given to us by the Las Vegas Crime Lab?

A I did.

Q And did you have a chance to evaluate and run your test or whatever it is that you do?

A Yes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q All right. So, have you come to court today prepared to offer an expert opinion as to the findings that you found based upon the evidence that was gathered in this case?

A Yes.

Q All right.

MR. CHAIREZ: I would tender him as an expert witness, Your Honor. I don't know if the State wants to ask him some questions or not.

MS. BLUTH: State's fine.

MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. He'll be so qualified.

BY MR. CHAIREZ:

Q And prior to coming to court today, what did you look at or what did you do in this case in an effort to understand the facts?

A I was given a video from Circus Circus, for one, which I looked at. Shows a lot of, you know, Mr. Dang walking around. There are a lot of — of gaps in it. But there are a lot of — of information there. Then I actually went to the Circus Circus, stayed in Room 631, I took measurements of the room, the bathroom, all of the places that on the video where I saw people, all of the elevators, I walked through those, I looked at those, I evaluated, you know, where did he actually walk. And I went and re-did that again yesterday.

I was privy to some of the police reports. And then, of course, a couple of weeks ago I was given the information from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Crime Laboratory where I looked through all of that. I looked through all of their policies and procedures to see what it was that they did, how did they do it, what were the results, what kind of conclusions did they make. I looked at the DNA printouts to try and compare those. I looked at the concentrations of DNA that they got in different things.

So, I went through in — in detail to look through that report. And I think that's most of what I've had to review.

Q So, based upon what you did, after you had all this information and the stuff that you had recently, how did you go about evaluating the case?

A I really try to look at it, the video and all the other stuff, gives me, you know, some --

MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this time and ask to approach.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)

MS. BLUTH: I'm looking at the notice of when this notice was provided by Mr. Chairez. And his notice [indiscernible]. Get to the page. It states that Mr. Miller is going to testify as an expert witness to the DNA findings

provided by the State. 1 Right. 2 MR. CHAIREZ: So, I mean, he has to stay within that MS. BLUTH: 3 I don't know whether I'm talking about video and 4 scope. 5 walking --That's not [indiscernible]. MR. CHAIREZ: 6 MS. BLUTH: -- and measurements. 7 MR. CHAIREZ: I just --8 Evaluating the case. MS. HOLTHUS: 9 MR. CHAIREZ: I'm not going to get -- when I -- but 10 that's -- we're not going to go into that. He's 11 [indiscernible]. I'm going to start right now on the DNA 12 report that was given him a couple of weeks ago. 13 THE COURT: As long as you stay in those parameters. 14 15 MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. (End of bench conference.) 16 BY MR. CHAIREZ: 17 Dr. Miller, we basically just want to focus on 18 0 the work and evaluation you did in terms of evaluating the DNA 19 evidence and not the other stuff. Is that okay? 20 And so I guess the first question is with respect to 21 the DNA, how did you go about evaluating this case? 22 What I do is I take the whole file and I 23 A generally take the report. I start with that. Then I look 24 down, every test that they do, whether it turns out positive 25

or negative, no matter what they do should be and is generally reflected on the report. So, if they look at a piece of evidence and they do some testing on it, they may not say, Well, I ran this particular test. But they will give you the results of that test. We found semen or we didn't find semen. And so the report is kind of a synopsis of all of their I looked at this, I looked for this, this is what I findings. found, this was the DNA results.

So, I start there and I use that as sort of my template. I read through it and make sure that I understand it, take notes on it. And then I go through all the paperwork. And I look to see that the results that they're reporting are accurate with the information that they collected. In other words, if they say, Did I find semen? I then go and look at what the results of their actual testing were, well, did they find semen? Did they find sperm?

When I look at the DNA profiles, you know, I look at those and compare them myself and say, Do I agree that this matches this or that this matches that. So, I look at these kinds of things, I look at the concentrations of DNA that they got off of different things. There are places where, you know, under certain circumstances I might expect there to be a lot of DNA. And so I look at the concentrations that they got, I look at the results that they got. And I compare those all to the report to make sure that what they did and what

they said are accurate.

Q So, essentially, you don't go out and do any independent testing, but you just rely upon the results that are given to you by the Las Vegas Metro Crime Lab?

A Correct.

Q All right. And in a nutshell, do you — do you recall what it is that the Las Vegas Metro Crime Lab, what tests they ran and — and what objects they considered?

A Yeah. I can tell you off the top of my head all the tests that they ran and what the results were.

Q Okay. What were the tests that they ran?

A They ran a number of tests. They tested a great deal of things for semen. And then they ran the DNA on those things on — do you want me to list all of the things that they tested?

Q Yes, please. Or do you want to list them just one at a time? Okay.

A For Mr. Dang, they tested a — a number of things. They tested for semen his — and they tested for semen and it was positive on his testicles, on his penis, on the boxers, on the rectal sample, on the anal sample, and on the left hand. They also tested —

Q Okay. With respect to these five or six areas that they tested on AJ Dang, you say they found semen on AJ Dang's body and also upon his boxers?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>
24

71	3700
Α	Yes.

Q Okay.

A In these six places. There were other places they either didn't test or the tests were negative. But those six places they got positive results showing semen, sperm, or both.

Q All right. And the positive results that they received, did they run a DNA sample to see whether or not there was any DNA from Mazen Alotaibi upon these six spots that they found on AJ Dang?

A They did on those semen spots, as well as some other spots. They didn't test for semen.

Q All right. And with respect to the spots they -- that they tested, did they find any DNA on AJ's body or boxers that had semen DNA from Mazen Alotaibi?

A When they run a sample that has semen, what they do is they separate the DNA. Sperm are pretty hardy, so they're able to separate them from everything else. So, let's just say that a man and a woman have — have intercourse and she pulls on her panties, walks around with them for a couple of hours, you take them off, you cut a little spot out. What you're able to do in the lab is separate out the sperm from everything else.

And so you can take that little cutting of the panties and you end up with two tubes of DNA from this one

piece of evidence. And in — in this particular case, with a man and a woman, it's possible that you can then get one DNA profile that's him from the sperm, and another DNA profile that's her from everything else.

This is, you know, a worldwide procedure. Every crime lab in the world, as far as I know, uses it. It's really good. It works really well in the right hands. You know, there's a protocol for it. And if people follow it and they're good scientists, you get good results. In this case, it looked like their results were pretty consistent, so it appears that they did do a good job.

So, they have this process where from one piece of evidence — and in this case, each one of these pieces of evidence that they determined there was semen on — they did this procedure. So they have from, for example, the penis swab, they have two DNA results. One from the sperm, one from the nonsperm. And in this case, in all six cases where they had semen or sperm on samples that were taken from either AJ Dang's body or from his underwear, in every single case they either got no results, results that weren't useful, or they got the DNA profile from Mr. Dang himself, which, you know, suggests that any semen or sperm that was found on him or his body is from him.

Q Now, when you say it suggests that that semen or sperm is from AJ, you're implying that none of it is from

Mazen Alotaibi, correct?

A That's the implication from the testing that — that we saw. There's no — nothing in the DNA from the sperm portion of those profiles that were consistent with — with Mr. Alotaibi.

Q All right. Now, earlier, we had a nurse testify that she believed AJ Dang was prepubescent. Okay. Meaning that AJ Dang would be unable to generate any of his own semen, or I guess she also was implying that maybe AJ Dang could not ejaculate. Would you agree with her opinion, based upon the DNA stuff that was discovered?

A Yeah, I'm not a medical doctor, so I can't speak to, you know, any of that kind of stuff. But I can tell you that the results from this, on more than one sample they got a strong DNA profile or a good DNA profile from Mr. Dang. And that doesn't usually happen without sperm. Because essentially you — you're using a chemical process that dissolves everything that's not sperm, and then you wash that away. And you're left with just sperm.

And so generally speaking, if there's no sperm there at all, you won't get anything in that sperm cell fraction, is what it's called. In this case, there were two samples that — and one of them was a very good sample that I would think strongly suggests that there was his — some of his sperm there.

1	Q Some of AJ's sperm?
2	A Some of AJ's sperm.
3	Q All right. Or semen?
4	A It's kind of the same thing. If you find sperm,
5	you've found semen. Semen is the liquid ejaculate from the
6	male orgasm and the the sperm are the little swimmers that
7	get women pregnant. So, you know, the the semen is the
8	pool and the sperm are the swimmers. And so if you find
9	sperm, you've found semen.
LO	Q All right. And what other spots did the Las
11	Vegas Crime Lab test where they found spots of semen upon AJ's
12	body?
13	A Those are — in every case where they find semen
14	and sperm, they run they run this it's called a
15	differential. Because they have two different samples for
16	each one. In every single case where they find semen and
17	sperm, they run that.
18	Q All right. Well, let's talk about the nonsperm
19	DNA. Did they find some nonsperm DNA upon AJ's body?
20	A Yeah.
21	Q And where did they find it?
22	A Well, there's going to be nonsperm DNA
23	everywhere. Because we have DNA all over our bodies. So of
24	the samples that they took, in addition to those that I
25	mentioned, they also took ones from his neck, from his chest,

Did they also find any on his ear? 2 They did find DNA on his ear. 3 Okay. And the DNA they found on these 4 Q particular parts of AJ's body were nonsperm DNA; is that 5 6 correct? That's the implication. If they didn't find 7 semen, they didn't run it to see if there was sperm DNA. So I 8 can't -- and I can't say whether there's sperm there or not. 9 But the implication is that -- that, you know, there's no 10 semen or sperm there. 11 So, with respect to the ear, if they found DNA 12 Q from Mazen Alotaibi on AJ, what would be the likely ways that 13 it could have been transferred from Mazen to AJ Dang? I mean, 14 could saliva transfer that type of DNA? 15 Saliva would do it. There are a number of ways 16 you can transfer DNA. If two people shake hands and, you 17 know, real hardy handshake, where you grab them real good and 18 shake for a couple of seconds, you can transfer DNA. You can 19 swab one person's hand and you would get the other person's 20 DNA. Skin cells are not a great source. That's a good way to 21 22 do it. But a much, much better way are bodily fluids. 23 Semen, saliva, blood, you know, anything from the internal 24 part of the body is -- is an exceptionally good source of DNA. 25

from his right hand. And they ran those, as well.

1

1	Q	All right. And you mentioned that the right
2	hand also had	nonsperm DNA; is that correct?
3	A	The right hand had DNA that we assume was not
4	from sperm.	
5	Q	But it also came back to Mazen Alotaibi,
6	correct?	,
7	А	The right hand, yes. The right hand did.
8	Q	And
9	А	But the right hand also had was was a
10	sample that w	as identified as having semen on it.
11	Q	On AJ's hand? I mean, on AJ's hand?
12	A	On AJ's right hand, yes.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	So this is one they would have split into two
15	into two frac	tions.
16	Q	But the semen was not from Mazen Alotaibi?
17	А	The semen from the sperm cell fraction was not
18	from Mazen Al	otaibi. In other words, they split it into these
19	two, and the	sperm fraction on the right hand was not from
20	Mazen Alotaik	oi. But what was in the nonsperm fraction on the
21	right hand wa	s from well, was consistent with the DNA
22	profile of Ma	zen Alotaibi.
23	Q	And if, assuming hypothetically, AJ Dang was
24	sexually assa	aulted or had sex, voluntary sex with an
25	individual, a	and a lubricant, like shampoo, would have been

used, would you expect any kind of DNA to be left behind?

- A So, we're talking about having anal sex --
- Q Yes, sir.
- A -- and a lubricant being used --
- Q Yes, sir.
- A -- and we're wondering where or not the DNA would end up --
  - O Correct.
  - A -- is that the question?
  - Q Right.

A The internal parts of the body are extremely good sources of DNA. The mouth, for example. Saliva, for example; if you cut yourself, blood; the inside of the rectum; any of our internal parts are exceptionally good sources of DNA. They're — the skin there is just different than our outer skin. Once a lubricant is used, what ends up happening is because of the friction, a lot of the DNA then ends up in this liquid.

So, imagine for a moment that the liquid is not invisible, that it looks like red paint. Wherever you can find the liquid, you're going to find DNA. So, if two people have anal sex, they use some sort of lubricant, assuming that it's not just some huge amount so it's not diluted everywhere, but there's a significant amount, you would expect to find DNA anyplace you would find that liquid.

Now, if we imagine that it's — it's red paint, for example, or, you know, it's red in color so we can see it, I would imagine that two people have anal sex, the person who was penetrating the person using his penis, I would imagine that he would pull that out, pull up his underwear, an enormous amount of easily detectable DNA would be found on his penis and on his underwear. And it would be like a dump—truck load of DNA. It would be easy to find and easy to detect. You would also expect that some DNA from the penis penetrating the rectum might be there. The — the difference there is that the penis, of course, is an exterior part of the body.

And so as the skin on the outside of our body just is not a great source of DNA, the inside is. The potential exists that the interior DNA from the rectum would just be in such a high concentration that you wouldn't see the DNA from the penis. So, I would expect on the penis there to be a great deal of DNA. I would expect that the person who pulled up their underwear over a penis like that would get it all over them.

The person who was penetrated, I would expect there to certainly be a potential for DNA from the penis to be on them and on their rectum and on their underwear. But I wouldn't necessarily go as far to say that I would expect it.

Q Now, in this particular case, did they find any DNA around the buttocks area of AJ Dang from Mazen Alotaibi?

A On none of the samples from his rectum or his anus did you get a profile. And they split it into the two fractions. And you didn't get a profile from anybody but AJ Dang from his rectum or his anus that you would expect at least something.

Q All right. And with respect to the mouth of AJ Dang, would you expect, if the penis of Mazen Alotaibi was in the mouth of AJ Dang, or anybody's penis was in the mouth of AJ Dang, would you expect to find some DNA from that person in the mouth or around the mouth of AJ Dang?

A I wouldn't. The mouth has so much liquid in it and it's constantly being swallowed and it's got a lot of acids in it. And even from, you know, my experience running hundreds of these cases, even when somebody has come to the hospital two, three hours after an alleged ejaculation into their mouth, you rarely will find semen or — or sperm. Even just a couple of hours later.

Now, certainly, I have seen cases where somebody, you know, just a few minutes afterwards, went down and was tested, I mean, just right after they had given somebody oral sex, and it was detected. And I think to my knowledge that's the only time I've ever seen somebody have their mouth come back positive. So, I would not expect to find DNA, I wouldn't expect to find sperm, I wouldn't expect to find anything inside the mouth of somebody else.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

Q With respect to Mazen Alotaibi's body, what parts were tested on him and what tests were run?

A They took a swab of his penis and his boxers.

Q All right. And with respect to his penis, did they find DNA -- what kind of DNA did they find?

A Well, again, they took the sample. They detected semen and they split it into these two fractions. They had the sperm cell fraction and the nonsperm fraction. The sperm fraction here was consistent with — with Mr. Alotaibi and him alone. In fact, that — and on the boxers, the sperm cell fraction appeared to be him and him alone, which strongly suggests that the semen found on both of those samples is most likely his.

The nonsperm fraction on the penis was very low.

What was there possibly could have been Mr. Dang, but it was so low, it would be difficult to really be sure. But if it was Mr. Dang, there's also a third person there. So it's just — it's really low. You do see some mixtures. Could be Mr. Dang, maybe not. It certainly isn't in the quantity that I would expect from a sexual encounter. And a from a sexual encounter from having some lubricants and anal sex, you would expect there to be literally a dump load of — a dump-truck load of DNA there. And what you see are maybe a couple of shovelfuls.

So, while there is some DNA there, it's really hard

DNA.

Q All right. Did they run a test on a condom that was found in the bathroom?

A The condom I don't believe was found in the bathroom. I believe the condom was found in the living area, which was away from the bathroom. They did run DNA on that. And all of the results that they got are not consistent with either Mr. Dang or Mr. Alotaibi. So whatever it was, it didn't seem to be connected directly to those two.

Q And one final area. Was there nonsperm DNA found near the genital areas of Mr. Dang?

A There was. On his boxers, on his testicles, and on his penis, they found DNA that was foreign to him in the nonsperm part of the DNA that was consistent with Mr. Alotaibi.

Q All right. And based upon everything that you know about this case, the — the samples that were tested, the evidence that was gathered, how do you believe Mr. Alotaibi's nonsperm DNA could have arrived or gotten onto this particular part of Mr. Dang's body?

MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to speculation: How do you believe it could have gotten there.

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, he's here to offer a scientific opinion --

THE COURT: Let him offer an expert opinion.

1	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	MR. CHAIREZ: Let me rephrase the question.
4	BY MR. CHAIREZ:
5	Q You mentioned you had an opportunity to read all
6	of the police reports?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay.
9	A Well, I don't know if I had all of them.
10	Q Well well, you read Mr. Dang's statements,
11	correct?
12	A I read some statements that they attributed to
13	Mr. Dang. I don't know that I actually read a statement that
14	he made. But there were statements or opinions of the
15	officers as to what may have happened based on statements that
16	he made.
17	Q Well, let's just focus on the DNA report. You
18	were able to see the four-page DNA profile, plus the
19	additional test or whatever that Metro Crime Lab ran, correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q All right. And so with respect to the DNA
22	that's found on Mr Mr. Dang, on the left ear, the left
23	neck, the chest, the right hand, and the genitals, would you
24	agree with the Metro Crime Lab that all of the DNA found there
25	is all nonsperm DNA?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

7\	Yes.
Δ	YAC
τ.7	エしじゃ

Q Okay. So, you don't disagree with them?

A No. I — they're — the testing that they did appeared to be accurate. The testing was — was consistent, it was consistent on this person, and it was consistent on this other person and throughout the case. I — I found the, you know, the — the procedures that they ran and the way that they ran it and the reporting that they used, I found it to all be accurate and — and good. I don't really take issue with anything that they did.

Q All right. And if, hypothetically speaking, if two individuals are smoking a marijuana cigarette together, would there be a possibility of transferring saliva DNA if someone is sharing a marijuana cigarette?

A If someone were to walk up right after it was lit, say, you know, when it's long, I would expect there to be probably very little. But once it gets small and people are passing it around in the area where they're holding it with their mouth, I would expect there to be saliva transfer.

Q All right. And with this saliva transfer, would this be on the hand of AJ Dang? Is it possible, hypothetically speaking?

A Yeah. I mean if he's holding it and passing it back and forth, that's where I would expect it to be.

Q All right. And it is possible -- what other

ways could AJ Dang get nonsperm DNA on his right hand from Mazen Alotaibi?

- A I don't know. I mean, there are --
- Q For instance, if you --
- A there are a million different ways you can get your I mean, you can shake hands, you can give a high-five, I mean, you get any bodily fluid onto your finger, I mean, there's there's an innumerable number of ways where you can get DNA.
  - Q All right.
- A Two people that are sharing an area for even more than a few minutes, if they come into contact, I would expect there to be at least some transfer of DNA.
- Q All right. And is it possible to transfer from the right hand of AJ Dang to the testicle area of AJ Dang the DNA of Mazen Alotaibi that has no sperm in it onto Mr. AJ Dang's testicle or genital area?
- A Again, I mean, if you treat saliva or something like that as if it's not some clear liquid that you can't see, but that it's bright red so you can see it, so the saliva gets wherever the saliva gets. So let's just imagine that you have some on your fingertips, you know, if you reach down to, you know, touch your penis or you go to the bathroom, anyplace that you would expect this red liquid that's now wherever it is on your fingers, and if you're smoking a joint I would

expect it to be probably in this area of your fingers, you know, imagine again that it looks like bright red paint.

Where would that get? You know, wherever you touch, you're going to get some.

So, any method by which you touch that area, I mean, if you go in and you go to the bathroom, let's say, and you pull down your underwear and you touch your penis, well, you just transferred some DNA there. And with something like saliva, saliva's an excellent source of DNA. So, wherever that, you know, red paint, whatever you want to call it, wherever that saliva gets, if you swab that area, you're going to get DNA.

Q Now, you mentioned — you used earlier the illustration of a dump truck versus a shovelful. The DNA that they found on AJ Dang from Mr. Alotaibi, was it a — more in the nature of a shovelful or was it more in the nature of a dump truck?

A Well, that depends on which sample you're talking about. Some of those samples are — are exceptionally good samples. For example, the one on the ear, that's a strong sample. There's a lot of DNA there. On the chest swab, there's a lot of DNA there. On the hand there is less, but if you imagine that the hand's touching things, it goes away on the hands a lot quicker than it would on, say, your ear, because it's going to slowly dissolve as you touch things

-- or not dissolve, but it's going to slowly water down as you touch things and get it on other things.

There is a -- a significant amount on his boxers. There is --

Q On whose boxers?

amount of DNA. Again, this isn't like a dump-truck load, but there is a significant amount on his boxers, there is less on his testicles, meaning that you don't even get a full DNA profile. So there's, like, you know, a few shovelfuls on his testicles and very little on his penis.

Q So, do you have an opinion that you hold to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty whether or not there is DNA evidence supporting whether Mr. Mazen Alotaibi had sex anally with the victim, AJ Dang?

A At the end of the day what I try to do is just understand the evidence and how it applies. And essentially when you talk about a sexual assault of this case, you're talking about anal sex. And the question is where do you see the DNA and how much do you see and is it semen?

In this case, I see that the semen on Mr. Dang appears to be his, and the semen on Mr. Alotaibi appears to be his. The places on Mr. Dang I would most expect to see the DNA of Mr. Alotaibi would be the rectum and the anus, and I don't see his DNA there at all.

Where I do see his DNA are on the — on the neck and the ear, on the chest. And those are really not a concern. Two people spend any time together it's not a surprise to see your DNA on areas that are exposed. The areas here that are of some concern are the fact that you find a reasonable amount of his DNA on the boxers. But what you see is much less on the testicles and much less even then on the penis. And so while you see DNA in places that are questionable, you don't see a lot.

On the other side of that, when you're talking about anal sex, if you imagine that somebody doesn't take a shower, that right after sex they pull their underwear up, you would expect to find an enormous amount of DNA. And that's probably the No. 1 place that I would expect there to be a lot of DNA would be on Mr. Alotaibi's penis, as well as on his boxers. And you just — you don't see that here. In fact, you see, you know, what could be somebody else's DNA on his boxers mixed with his semen. And so I just — I — I really can't say what happened.

But there are certain things that I look for. I look for what type of DNA is on somebody, what are the concentrations, where did it come from, where did it possibly come from, and where are the places that I — I most likely would see it.

Q So, in your opinion, there's no question that

the DNA on AJ's ear is from Mazen Alotaibi? 1 On the ear, I would see -- say, to a scientific 2 degree of certainty, I can say that Mr. Alotaibi's DNA is 3 found on Mr. Dang's ear. 4 5 And it's found on his neck and chest area? Α Yes, as well. 6 And it's found on his right hand? 7 Based on the fact that the rest of the DNA that 8 Α 9 I see I can say to a scientific degree of certainty came from Mr. Alotaibi, the sample on his hand does not rise to the 10 level where I can say to a scientific degree of certainty 11 12 that's his DNA. I would say to an extremely high probability 13 the DNA found on his hand is from Mr. Alotaibi. And given the fact that the other profiles are so high, I find it very 14 unlikely that it came from anywhere but Mr. Alotaibi. 15 The right hand? 16 0 On the right hand. 17 Α Of AJ? Q 18 19 Of Mr. Dang. All right. And finally, with respect to Mr. 20 0 Alotaibi, the Metro Crime Lab found no DNA from AJ Dang upon 21 the body of Mazen Alotaibi? 22 The only DNA that they found that had any 23 Α possibility of belonging to Mr. Dang was they found an 24 incredibly small amount -- I don't want to say incredibly 25

small amount; they found a very small amount on Mr. Alotaibi's penis. Again, this is a place I would expect to find just a — a dump-truck full of DNA and we see just this tiny little bit. In fact, it's so low it's difficult to connect it to — to Mr. — Mr. Dang.

You can say that there are striking similarities. Imagine that you have a lottery ticket and you've matched, say, 10 of the — say there's — you have a lottery ticket, you've got to match 30 numbers. You can match maybe 10 of them. But there are two or three numbers you can't account for.

So there's this profile on his penis that has some similarities to Mr. Dang. And if it is Mr. Dang's, there's at least three people on that penis. So it's low enough that the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department just said, We're not going to compare it. But that's because that's what their policy is and I'm not held to their policy, so I went ahead and compared it anyways.

Q So, even though the Metro Crime Lab said it wasn't from AJ Dang or they couldn't be sure, you at least are throwing it out to be open with the jury that there is that small possibility of trace amounts on the penis of Mazen Alotaibi?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And you say there's another individual's KARR REPORTING, INC.

DNA also on the penis of AJ Dang? I mean, excuse me, Mazen Alotaibi?

A It could just be that it's Mr. Alotaibi's DNA and somebody else's. Again, your DNA profile is, like, 30 numbers long. Now, if you got, let's say, 12 numbers, 12, 13 numbers and 8 or 10 of them match Mr. Dang, it's, like, maybe it's his and maybe there's a third person. But it could just be somebody else altogether. Right. It might not be Mr. Dang, it might just be another person. So there may not be three people there. I don't know.

Q Well, can you tell whether or not it's from another man or another woman? Or another —

A You really can't. Guy — all men have a Y chromosome. It's what turns you into a man. If you get the Y chromosome, then when you're a fetus, you turn into a boy. So, once you have the Y chromosome, you can't really tell where the rest of the DNA came from. If you have, you know, if you take an intimate sample from a woman's vagina after she's had sex and you find a Y chromosome, you know there was a boy there. But you can't really do it in reverse. Once you have the Y chromosome, you really can't tell where the other DNA came from.

Q And — and lastly, Dr. Miller, from what was gathered in the bathroom, can we tell whether or not somebody took a shower based upon the evidence gathered by the Las

1	Vegas police?
2	MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This is
3	way outside the expertise of a DNA expert, whether or not
4	someone took a shower.
5	THE COURT: Why don't you perhaps ask it a different
6	way.
7	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I'll I don't need to ask.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: That's fine.
10	THE COURT: Cross?
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. BLUTH:
13	Q Good afternoon, Doctor.
14	A Good afternoon.
15	Q And I want to be clear about a few things before
16	we get started. You're hired by Mr. Chairez, that's correct,
17	to testify in this case?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And so he has to pay a certain fee for
20	you to look at the materials?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And then he also has to pay a certain fee for
23	you to come in and testify over what you looked over?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Okay. And how much would he be paying you for
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

those two things? Generally speaking, I charge \$165 an hour and I 2 charge \$1,800 a day plus my travel costs. This one didn't 3 always work out that way, but that's basically what I charge. 4 So what is the total, as we sit here today? 5 Q A For this entire case? 6 7 Q Yes. Or for just coming here today? 8 Α For this entire case, please. 9 Q We're probably looking at about \$12,000. 10 Α Thank you. Now, you've stated that you 11 worked for the State for a period of time? 12 Correct. 13 Α And I was unclear, when you said you testified 14 0 about 25 to 30 times, how many of those were in a criminal 15 setting like we're in now? 16 I think virtually all of them have been in a 17 Α criminal setting. There have been a couple of them that were, 18 like, lawsuits. I don't think I -- off the top of my head I'm 19 not sure if I ever testified that, but I -- I testified --20 virtually every time is for a criminal case. 21 And since you quit working for the State 22 and you started working in the private sector, how many times 23 have you testified in a criminal trial like we're in now? 24 Probably half the time, so maybe 15 to 20. 25 Α

1	Q And so in any of those 15 to 20 times, have you
2	testified for the prosecution?
3	A I have not.
4	Q Okay. So, the last — since you left working
5	for the State, you've testified about 20 times in the criminal
6	courtroom?
7	A Approximately, yeah.
8	Q Yeah. And all those times were for the defense?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Okay. When you have a sample left, and we
11	talked a lot about sperm fractions and fractions taken from
12	epithelial cells, so we we call them skin DNA and sperm
13	DNA, if we could do that, just to keep it a little bit easier.
14	A Sure.
15	Q Is it possible to find carryover from one to the
16	other?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Does that question make sense?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. Can you explain that?
21	A It's an imperfect process. I mean, basically,
22	what happens is you take sperm are like little tanks.
23	They're they're pretty tough little things. They've got a
24	job to do and they have to swim upstream and and actually
25	do some work. And so these are a little different kind of a

cell than — than the rest of our cells, whether it comes from saliva, whether it comes from skin, no matter where it comes from.

And so what the lab does is they take a sample, let's just say it's — it's a cutting from underwear. And what they do is they will try to put some soft chemicals in there that dissolves everything but the sperm. And then they try to wash it. And they spin it down. And the — and the sperm kind of pellet to the bottom. And then they put harsher chemicals that will dissolve the sperm. And so the plan is to dissolve with something, you know, somewhat less harsh and try to dissolve and clear everything else away, then wash it off. And hopefully you have just sperm in the bottom and then you dissolve that and hopefully get just a sperm cell fraction.

And what happens is sometimes it doesn't get washed as well, and so you'll have carryover from the skin cell fraction into the sperm cell fraction. And other times when you're doing this process, some of the sperm cells will just break open in the earlier procedure and you will get some of the sperm carryover into the other.

Q And so would you agree -- Ms. Marschner testified, the analyst who did the DNA in this case, and in certain areas where she saw sperm fraction and it was inconclusive, she testified that she believed that it was actually carryover from the skin cells. So that is a

possibility, correct?

A It is a possibility. And certainly in — in some of those cases, in this particular case, you're talking about the rectal and the anal sample. And those are excellent sources of DNA. They have large quantities of DNA. And so it's much more possible when you have a lot of DNA. The thing is that it's much more likely for you to have sperm break open and have carryover from the sperm into the nonsperm DNA. If you do a really good job and you're a good scientist, generally, you don't have much carryover from the skin cells into the DNA, because — or into the sperm, because you can wash it off. You break everything open, you rinse it off, and then you generally have just sperm left.

So a high enough concenage [phonetic] of DNA you certainly can have carryover, though it's much less likely to have it carry over in the other direction. And in this case, just looking at the other profiles that she got, it looked like the — some labs have more carryover than others because the scientists are just — they're just better. In this case, there were a number of samples where there wasn't any carryover, leading me to believe that she's probably doing a pretty good job. So —

Q I guess my question — I'm sorry, we're getting a little far. But my question was is it possible for skin cells to carry over into the sperm fraction?

l l		
1	A	It's possible, yes.
2	Q	Thank you. I want to talk about the DNA on AJ's
3	ear. You sta	ted this is this is a very good sample?
4	A	It's a good sample, yes.
5	Q	And you also talked about the strengths about
6	body fluids,	saliva, blood, semen, that those leave stronger
7	traces of DNA	than what you referred to as touch DNA?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And so this sample on AJ's ear would be
10	consistent wi	th probably more of a body fluid?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you saw the video from Circus Circus?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And so I'm sure you watched all of it?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And you saw the section where the defendant
17	leaned over a	nd appears to be doing something to AJ's ear?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And that would be probably consistent with
20	leaving that	body fluid on that ear?
21	А	I mean, if he if he licked his ear, then
22	then certainl	y that would be that would be consistent with
23	how that prof	ile could get there.
24	Q	Okay. The fact that someone uses a lubricant on
25	the penis wou	ld cause less friction between the penis and the

anus?

A Yes.

\_

Q So, there's a less of a chance for skin-to-skin; I'm not saying it's an impossibility, but there's less of a chance?

A Okay. Less of a chance of skin-to-skin friction, or less of a chance of DNA transfer.

Q Well, we know that the lubricant causes less friction. So I'm asking, there's less of a chance for cell-to-cell transfer, skin-to-skin transfer?

A Well, actually, what ends up happening is — is — is there's a lot more DNA transferred to the liquid rather than directly from one item to the other. And so the DNA gets into the liquid, and then the DNA ends up wherever the liquid is. So, if the DNA, like, for example, the DNA from the penis and rectum end up getting into the liquid, and then the liquid — where does the liquid go, is really the question. There's a significant amount of DNA transfer into the liquid. And then where does the liquid go? Does the liquid stay on the penis? Liquid get onto the underwear? Does the liquid stay in the rectum? Wherever the liquid is, there's DNA and it's significant. That's a significant amount.

Q And — but what I'm asking you is the — not testing the liquid, testing the body parts. So, we have the swab of the penis, swab of the anus.

- 1	
1	A Right.
2	Q So, the using the lubricant would decrease
3	your chances of finding that in those places?
4	A It would increase it dramatically.
5	Q It would
6	A Significantly.
7	Q It would decrease your ability to find it?
8	A No. It would it would increase the chance of
9	finding DNA dramatically.
10	Q Explain that.
11	A Liquids transfer DNA really well. They transfer
12	it into all the nooks and the crannies. If you just imagine,
13	for example, that my hand is dry and I put it into something
14	that, say, has a dry powder on it. Okay. And I would get
15	powder on it. But if I stick my finger into a liquid, that
16	liquid is going to not only soak into my hand, but it gets
17	into all the little nooks and crannies and all those little
18	other places.
19	Liquid is a far better way to transfer DNA and to
20	transfer cells and to have them stick and soak in than than
21	dry.
22	Q So, when
23	A So
24	Q when Mr. Chairez was asking you questions, I
25	believe you stated that it would decrease your likelihood of

finding DNA if someone used a lubricant on your -- on his penis going into an anus. And so now you -- you are changing --

- A No. I -- I would --
- Q -- and now you're --

A — I would say the chances of finding the DNA from the penis in the rectum is probably decreased. And the reason for that is because if you imagine that this liquid is taking in all the cells, and the rectal and anal cells are going to be probably 100 times stronger, because they're just so much better at transferring DNA, they come off a lot easier, all of them have DNA. Skin cells, not all of them have DNA. They don't come off easily.

And so if you just imagine that this liquid and this friction, and then you realize that the anus and the rectum are going to transfer a lot more DNA, then — and on top of that the penis has — now has less friction, you're going to transfer less penile DNA to the liquid. And so the penis is going to be less likely to be visible when you run the DNA, just because, numerically speaking, it's overwhelmed.

Q Okay. So, now you're saying that the use of a lubricant would increase your chances of getting DNA? I mean, it's a yes-or-no question.

- A Okay.
- Q So, are you -- lubricant --

A Okay. It's decreasing the chances of finding the DNA from the penis and the rectum. It's increasing the chances of finding the rectal DNA in the liquid and on the penis.

Q Okay. Well, if you don't have the liquid, if you don't have this lubricant to test, and so you're just left with the penis and the rectum?

A Well, but — but the liquid is — has soaked into the penis, is — is on the penis. What I'm saying is you pull your penis out, let's say you have sexual intercourse with somebody who is using a lubricant. You pull your penis out, that liquid is somewhere. It doesn't just magically go away. It's either in the rectum, and that which is in the rectum is probably now transferred less DNA from the penis onto it. But as far as the cells from the rectum, that's not increased on the penis, because that's where the liquid is. It soaks in, gets in all the nooks and crannies.

Q So, if you have used lubricant, and on top of that not only did you lubricant the penis, but you lubricant — lubricated the outside of the anus and the inside of the anus, that would again decrease your likelihood of leaving the DNA sample; yes or no?

- A Wherever you put the lubricant --
- Q Yes or no, please, Doctor.
- A Okay. Wherever you put the lubricant --

1	Q No, Doctor. It's a yes or no. It's either a
2	yes or it's a no.
3	A Okay. So, ask me again.
4	Q Okay. So, if you use lubricant, not only on the
5	penis, but on the inside of the anus, so in in the
6	rectum
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q on the outside of the anus, that increases or
9	decreases
10	A Which, decrease
11	Q the likelihood of finding DNA?
12	A It will okay. Let's be specific. It
13	decreases the DNA from the penis being transferred into the
14	rectum. It increases the DNA from the rectum being
15	transferred onto the penis.
16	Q Even if the lubricant was inside of the rectum?
17	A Oh, sure. Wherever the liquid is, we've
18	increased the amount of DNA from the rectum. Wherever that
19	liquid now is, we have rectum liquid.
20	Q And —
21	A So, wherever that gets, it's going to be
22	stronger. And wherever it gets, the penis is going to be
23	lesser.
24	Q And if you add to the fact that the individual
25	did not ejaculate, that would also greatly decrease your

1	chances of finding DNA? Yes or no, please.
2	A You would detect an ejaculation.
3	Q Okay. But that wasn't my question. My question
4	is if the individual does not ejaculate inside of the rectum,
5	that would decrease your likelihood of finding DNA?
6	A Which DNA again, we are we talking about
7	penile DNA or are you talking about the ejaculate?
8	Q Yes.
9	A Yes. You would not detect the ejaculate if he
10	didn't ejaculate.
11	Q And on top of that, if the victim is bleeding so
12	much that they're passing blood clots afterwards, that would
13	also decrease your chances of finding DNA?
14	A Again, which DNA?
15	Q Well, both. I mean, the blood, you've said
16	yourself that bodily fluids leaves much stronger sources of
17	DNA. I mean, you used the mouth as an example, correct?
18	A Okay.
19	Q So if your anus is coated with lubricant, and on
20	top of that you have tears and you're bleeding so much that
21	you're blood-clotting, your sources would overtake that of the
22	other individual?
23	A Again, yes. The the rectal sample in that
24	case would overwhelm the penile sample on the rectum. And the
25	rectal sample would overwhelm the penile sample on the penis.

Either way you look at it, the rectal sample is overwhelming the penile sample. No matter where it goes or which person we're talking about, if you add lubricants or you add blood or whatever, you've increased the amount of DNA from the rectum. And so you have less chance of finding the penile sample on any — on any of those things, and you've increased the chances of finding the rectal sample on any of those things.

Q Okay. And Mr. Chairez had asked you some questions about, you know, how could the nonsperm DNA get on AJ's right hand; do you remember?

A Yes.

Q And you said there's — there's plenty of ways that it could do that?

A Yes.

Q It could be transferred, I think you said, from one area to another. It could also be consistent that the victim was forced to hold the defendant's penis while being forced to perform oral sex on him; that would be another way that it would be possible?

A Yes.

Q The defendant's DNA was found on AJ's boxers, and to be specific, that was on the inside of AJ's — the crotch of AJ's boxers; is that correct?

A I believe that's the spot that they cut out.

But once you cut out a piece of the boxers, whatever's on the

1	A I do have I do have some I did have some
2	pictures at the time. I don't have them with me.
3	Q But I'm asking if that's what your
4	interpretation came from, was from looking at the pictures?
5	A I can't say that I actually looked at the
6	pictures and said, well, where exactly was she talking about?
7	I mean, basically, when you cut a piece of cloth, you cut the
8	inside and the outside. So I wouldn't anticipate I mean, I
9	know on some women's underwear there's, like, an inner liner,
10	but generally on boxers there there isn't.
11	Q But, I you would agree with me that in her
12	report she delineates differences between outside and inside
13	when she did the testing
14	A She does delineate —
15	Q that's all I'm asking.
16	A that specifically.
17	Q Thank you. And the that stain came back as
18	you said that it was consistent with the defendant, as well as
19	Ms. Marschner said it was consistent with the defendant. And
20	do you remember the frequency with which that identity was
21	made?
22	A Excuse me, can you repeat the question?
23	Q Do you remember the frequency with which she
24	noted?
25	A Which stain?

1		Q	The boxer shorts, the inside of the victim's
2	boxer sh	orts?	
3		A	From AJ Dang?
4		Q	Yes.
5		A	The inside boxer shorts of AJ Dang, I believe
6	that was	700	billion to one.
7	<b>1</b>	Q	Okay. So, identity is assumed pursuant to the
8	Las Vega	s Met	ropolitan Police Department's standards?
9		A	Yeah. Once you get to that number, yes.
10		Q	Okay. And then in regards to the right hand, do
11	you reme	mber	the statistic with which that was
12		A	95 billion.
13		Q	Would you call that identity assumed?
14	,	A	That does not rise to the level.
15		Q	Okay. And how many people live on earth?
16		A	Seven billion.
17		Q	Okay. Was it important to you to know whether
18	or not A	J Dar	ng was prepubescent at the time of you looking
19	through	the m	materials?
20		A	It was.
21		Q	Okay. And why is that?
22		A	Well, if a boy hasn't hit puberty, and then he
23	is not l	ikely	to be producing sperm. If he's to the point
24	where	- and	he's young enough, he probably doesn't produce
25	semen, e	either	. And so once you find semen and sperm on

somebody who doesn't produce it, it would make sense that it's from the other person. It's sort of like finding semen or sperm on a woman, you know it's not hers.

Q So, it was important to you to know whether or not AJ Dang was prepubescent?

A It was important to me to know whether or not he was capable of producing semen or sperm. And I — to me, I'm — I'm not a medical doctor. I'm not really sure at what point a young man can produce semen. I know that until he is at least somewhere along in puberty, he can't produce sperm, at least not viable sperm. But I'm not really sure at what point he can produce what.

- Q So ---
- A I did --
- Q Sorry.
- A I did --
- Q Go ahead.

A I did ask at some point, you know, what's the situation here with him? I mean, how old is he? You know, what — what is his — his pubescent status? Because it is important. If — if he doesn't produce semen and he doesn't produce sperm, then anyplace we find semen and sperm...

- Q It's assumed to be the defendant?
- A Well, it didn't come from him.
- Q But it's -- I mean, it was important to you to

find out whether or not AJ was prepubescent, because if he is prepubescent and he's not producing sperm or semen, then it can be assumed that everywhere where we see that, it's the defendant's?

- A Well, doesn't necessarily that it came from --
- Q It's yes or no.

A — the defendant. No. That doesn't mean that. What it means is that it didn't come from Mr. Dang. And it could have come from the defendant or any other male. And unless we can do the DNA profile and find out that it was semen or sperm from this person, the results that the testing — that the lab got do not imply that the DNA or semen or sperm was from anybody. If it's not from AJ Dang, then we don't know who it's from.

Q So, in an e-mail dated to Mr. Chairez on Tuesday, April 9th, at 6:17 a.m., you would agree with me that you wrote, "I cannot overemphasize the importance of whether or not AJ Dang is prepubescent. If he does not yet produce semen or sperm, then all of the semen and sperm found is assumed to originate from Mazen Alotaibi." Those are your words?

- A Those -- sounds like my words.
- Q In regards to well, actually, let me ask you this. When you get the materials from the Las Vegas

  Metropolitan Police Department's DNA lab, they give you raw

1	data, or data, however you want to say it; is that correct?
2	A I think I had the FSA files.
3	Q You you receive a disc
4	A Yes.
5	Q — that shows the graphs that they use —
6	A Yes.
7	Q and all those types of techniques?
8	A Yes. I don't know if I got the actual raw data
9	from the computer. I didn't need those or I didn't use them
10	if I had them.
11	Q Okay.
12	A But otherwise I got most of the raw data, yes.
13	Q Okay. And that's how you knew that the victim's
14	DNA was found on several on those graphs. I think we we
15	showed the the jury the graphs when we were going over with
16	Ms. Marschner. That's where you had seen in several places
17	the victim's DNA on the defendant's penis?
18	A The electropherograms?
19	Q Yes.
20	A Yes. I compared those myself.
21	Q And you and you saw, you saw the markers, you
22	saw on several places where the victim's DNA could be found on
23	the defendant's penis?
24	A There were several yes. There are there
25	are indications on the penis that there's there are some
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	striking similarities.
2	Q Thank you.
3	MS. BLUTH: Nothing further.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Chairez, redirect?
5	MR. CHAIREZ: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: All right. Is this gentleman free to go?
7	MR. CHAIREZ: He is free to go.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You're free to go,
9	sir.
10	All right. Mr. Chairez, any other witnesses today?
11	MR. CHAIREZ: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Can you come here for a sec?
13	(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
14	THE COURT: Any further [indiscernible].
15	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
16	THE COURT: That's right.
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. And [indiscernible] sexual
18	assault.
19	THE COURT: Okay. [Indiscernible.]
20	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
21	THE COURT: I haven't made a decision
22	[indiscernible].
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
24	MS. HOLTHUS: I want
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Mazen doesn't want to give, and I do.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 184

1	But he doesn't understand why [indiscernible]. So
2	[indiscernible] explain what happened.
3	MS. HOLTHUS: So when are you going to decide if he's
4	testifying? I thought we were closing everything tonight and
5	we were
6	MR. CHAIREZ: I don't
7	MS. HOLTHUS: coming back tomorrow and just
8	closing.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: I don't [indiscernible]. Yeah.
10	That's just I'd rather just do that. Then we can focus on
11	closing arguments tonight and
12	THE COURT: Is he going testify?
13	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I would say no.
14	MS. BLUTH: I'm uncomfortable with that
15	[indiscernible].
16	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible] rest and I tried to
17	rest
18	MS. HOLTHUS: Have you talked to him about
19	testifying?
20	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah, I have. And 90 percent of what
21	he wants to testify [indiscernible] issues blackout, I don't
22	[indiscernible].
23	MS. HOLTHUS: Selective memory, we're going to call
24	it.
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I know. So, see, just like I'm
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	going to crucify AJ for all his inconsistencies, I don't want
2	you to be able to do that to him.
3	MS. HOLTHUS: I understand. I don't I don't care
4	what you do. I just want to make sure you've had enough time
5	to talk to him about it, consider it
6	MR. CHAIREZ: [Indiscernible.]
7	MS. HOLTHUS: that's your decision. If that's the
8	case
9	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
10	MS. HOLTHUS: then I would prefer to close it
11	tonight so we know what we're doing tomorrow.
12	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, either we [indiscernible].
13	MS. BLUTH: You mean as it stands now?
14	MR. CHAIREZ: Now.
15	MS. BLUTH: No.
16	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. Then I'll close it tomorrow.
17	THE COURT: So, will [indiscernible].
18	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. [Indiscernible.]
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. HOLTHUS: Do you want to speak to him?
21	MS. BLUTH: Yeah, do you want to do you want to
22	speak
23	MR. CHAIREZ: No. [Indiscernible.]
24	MS. BLUTH: He's I'm sorry, what did you say?
25	MR. CHAIREZ: I mean, I'll I'm the lawyer.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MS. BLUTH: I
2	MS. HOLTHUS: I know what you said, but you need to
3	speak to him, and you already know.
4	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah.
5	MS. HOLTHUS: You want him to
6	THE COURT: I'm going [indiscernible].
7	MS. HOLTHUS: [indiscernible] a while ago, right?
8	THE COURT: Thursday.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. I understand.
10	MS. HOLTHUS: So, you you've been considering this
11	with him more?
12	MR. CHAIREZ: The last three or four days.
13	MS. HOLTHUS: Okay. And I just want I don't care.
14	I just want to know.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. Yeah.
16	MS. HOLTHUS: That the record was
17	THE COURT: You want [indiscernible] tomorrow, it
18	doesn't matter to me.
19	MR. CHAIREZ: I'm ready I'm ready. I'm ready to
20	argue. So, yeah, we'll rest.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	(End of bench conference.)
23	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the
24	jury.
25	Mr. Chairez, sir, do you have any additional
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

witnesses at this time?

MR. CHAIREZ: Hold on, Your Honor. We're going to rest, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to — we're going to have to call it a night. But we'll see you tomorrow at 1:00. Here's what's going to happen tomorrow. When you come in tomorrow we're going to give you the jury instructions. The jury instructions contain the law that you're going to use to decide this case when you go back to the jury room. After I give you the law in this case, the State will have a chance to present its closing arguments. The defense will have an opportunity to give you their closing arguments. Then after that the State may have a rebuttal. After that, we'll send you guys back into the jury room to deliberate.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, until I see you tomorrow, you're admonished not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of inform, including, without limitations, television, newspaper, radio, Internet. Do not form or express an opinion on this case until it's submitted to you.

Thank you. And I appreciate the people that act like it's the first time they've heard this. All right. Have a wonderful evening.

(Jury recessed at 4:52 p.m.)

MR. CHAIREZ: Is it agreed that I'm allowed to argue consent and sexual assault — consent as a defense to sexual assault? I don't want them arguing that lewdness is a lesser included of sexual assault.

THE COURT: But it's not.

MR. CHAIREZ: Well, but that's their position.

THE COURT: But you didn't say it's a lesser included.

MS. HOLTHUS: What I'm going to argue is — no, what I'm going to say is even if you find there's consent or reason to believe consent, that gives you a lewdness. Now, you still have to meet the specific intent requirement, but it's not like it's not guilty everything. On those two count for the SA's, it's first the — it's actually both. My — I'm going to argue both. That he — he committed them both. One — one requiring consent, or one oblivious to consent and one necessarily assumes not consent.

MR. CHAIREZ: I mean, we agree, Your Honor, consent is not a defense to lewdness. That is our position. And so what we intend to do is argue Mazen was intoxicated, the kiss on the ear, the kiss on the neck, any of that, or the spitting or saliva transfer, that's all based on the intoxication.

I mean, it's no secret that that's what our position is. I just want to make sure that I'm not handcuffed, that

when I start arguing consent that AJ went up there, he can --1 whatever sex may have happened, AJ consented to it. I don't 2 want them to say, Oh, he can't -- because the newspaper keeps 3 on getting it wrong. Consent is not a defense to sexual 4 assault. So, I'm getting these calls from all over the 5 country, Why are you going through the motions if consent is 6 not a defense to sexual assault? And I go, Because the 7 reporter doesn't sit through the courtroom and he doesn't know 8 the law. The judge, the DA, and I do. 9 THE COURT: I guess I'm still -- I'm sorry, I --10 maybe I'm a little bit slow to follow. I just need to know --11 MR. CHAIREZ: No, no, Your Honor. It's --12 THE COURT: I understand what you guys are saying. 13 MR. CHAIREZ: Right. 14 I just need to know whether or not you're 15 THE COURT: going to ask for the statutory sexual seduction instruction so 16 I can do some more research. Or preferably the State provides 17 some really good research on the lewdness this afternoon --18 MR. CHAIREZ: Well, to be honest with Your Honor --19 THE COURT: -- towards the --20 MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I mean --21 MR. CHAIREZ: -- the state of the law in Nevada is 22 confusing. Okay. And I think the -- what was the case you 23 24 gave me? 25 MS. BLUTH: Cossack?

MR. CHAIREZ: Cossack -- Cossack?

2

MS. HOLTHUS:

Cossack was more the alternate

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

theories, though. Right. But I think based upon the MR. CHAIREZ:

evidence that we heard here is yes to the degree that the State tries to prove sexual assault, the main issue will be did penetration occur, and secondly, was it against the will of AJ Dang? All right. But, you know, if I argue out of AJ Dang's own mouth he said there was no fondling, he said there was no foreplay, he said all of this, he basically just said it was quick, violent, and etcetera, whatever he said. would be to me sexual assault. So they can't have both theories if their own witness doesn't support it. And I think that this is what these cases are arguing.

For instance, I cannot argue intoxication unless there's some evidence -- I mean, intoxication as a defense to lewdness unless we admit there was intoxication. So we're forced to admit that in that kind of thing. So, it's -- don't think you're slow, Your Honor. I go through this every day and I'm trying to say am I missing something, and why isn't -why -- where does lewdness come in relationship to sexual assault, statutory sexual seduction, and I guess it's --

MS. HOLTHUS: It's a lesser -- on two of the counts, as to the sexual assault with a minor, two of the lewdnesses are lesser related counts. We have charged them to the extent

1	that they were defined for some reason, there was a consent
2	issue on the SA. We are then saying even if you find that AJ
3	consented or that defendant had a reasonable belief that AJ
4	consented, you still can look at lewdness. Lewdness, even if
5	he consented, would be would be the guilty verdict,
6	assuming you can find specific intent. Now, that's where your
7	intoxication argument
8	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: comes in.
10	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
11	MS. HOLTHUS: You would argue that, and then you say,
12	No, not guilty, lewdness, because he couldn't form a specific
13	intent.
14	THE COURT: Yeah. So basically, like, Count 5 and 6,
15	it's the same act, which is placing the penis into the mouth.
16	MS. HOLTHUS: Correct.
17	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
18	THE COURT: It's going to be sexual assault, yes or
19	no, or lewdness, yes or no.
20	MS. HOLTHUS: Right.
21	THE COURT: Well, it'd be if no, then lewdness. But
22	again, my question is
23	MS. HOLTHUS: I don't know how I don't know
24	I
25	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, see, is Ms. Holthus saying
	li .

1	statutory sexual seduction under no circumstances is a lesser
2	included
3	MS. HOLTHUS: Yes.
4	MR. CHAIREZ: of sexual of sexual assault?
5	MS. HOLTHUS: Legally, yes. I mean, that's a total
6	legal argument, is it or is it not the same?
7	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I think their own case, Cossack
8	says that it is.
9	MS. HOLTHUS: No. We chose to charge three
10	MR. CHAIREZ: No, no. That you're allowed to
11	MS. HOLTHUS: lesser-related offenses.
12	MR. CHAIREZ: You're allowed to charge in the
13	alternative —
14	THE COURT: Correct. They did.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: and I think that that's what Cossack
16	stands for.
17	MS. HOLTHUS: But if we came in and said we want it,
18	because it's a lesser-included, you'd be going no, and you'd
19	be right.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I guess I still need to
21	MR. CHAIREZ: Why don't you I'm going to research
22	it, Your Honor. But right now, as long as I'm allowed to
23	argue consent, and as long as I'm allowed to argue
24	intoxication for lewdness and all the other charges, I think
25	we'll be okay All right

1	MS. BLUTH: So, you don't want it?
2	MR. CHAIREZ: Well.
3	MS. HOLTHUS: Do you want to meet at 10:00 and he
4	could say yea or nay?
5	MR. CHAIREZ: Let's
6	THE COURT: I could do 10:30, because I have morning
7	calender. How about 11:00, so you don't have to wait at all?
8	Can you do that?
9	MS. HOLTHUS: Well, I mean, we're — we're doing
10	Powerpoints, we're doing jury instructions, I'm fixing things
11	up so that we can get right to the jury tomorrow.
12	THE COURT: Okay. I have calender at 9:30. I can
13	either pitch in at 9:00, I don't know how much time I'll have
14	to read everything, or after.
15	THE MARSHAL: The interpreter's asking what time to
16	be back.
17	THE COURT: Hold on a second. I need to know. I
18	need to know what you want to do, please, the interpreter.
19	MR. CHAIREZ: Your Honor. Your Honor, as long as I
20	can argue consent and I'm not handcuffed, and as long as I can
21	argue intoxication, we don't need the statutory sexual
22	seduction.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And they've stipulated to you guys
24	work together on an instruction for consent and intoxication.
25	MS. HOLTHUS: Yes.

1	
1	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
2	THE COURT: So, we do have one in the instructions?
3	MS. HOLTHUS: That's correct.
4	MR. CHAIREZ: Right.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. CHAIREZ: So we'll just show up at 1:00.
7	THE COURT: Show up a little bit before that.
8	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
9	THE COURT: Because you have get the final jury
10	instructions numbered.
11	MS. BLUTH: Want to meet us at 12:30 here?
12	MR. CHAIREZ: 12:30.
13	MS. BLUTH: Is that okay, Jason?
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, we can meet outside, Your Honor.
16	Or we want to walk in with agreement. Okay. We don't want
17	you to miss your lunch and I don't need Jason telling me he's
18	missing the World Series or something.
19	MS. HOLTHUS: Is this for jury do you want to come
20	over early, Don, in the morning
21	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah, I
22	MS. HOLTHUS: like, at 10:00?
23	MR. CHAIREZ: Well, I have to drop off Jennifer at
24	the airport. So.
25	MS. HOLTHUS: No, we don't need to meet with her. We
	WARD DEPORTING ING

1	can meet with the judge at, like, quarter to one. But we can
2	meet earlier [indiscernible] already, so that you
3	[indiscernible].
4	THE COURT: I'm not sure at this point what they're
5	saying. When do I when do you need to see me and when do
6	you need the defendant, please.
7	MS. HOLTHUS: Well, that's why I was
8	MS. BLUTH: 12:30.
9	MR. CHAIREZ: Yeah. 12:30 or 1:00?
10	MS. BLUTH: Well, the jury's going to be here at
11	1:00.
12	THE COURT: 12:30 so you because you've got to
13	number the instructions and go through them.
14	MR. CHAIREZ: We'll meet beforehand.
15	MS. HOLTHUS: We'll meet at 12:30?
16	MS. BLUTH: Yeah. You and I will meet at 12:30,
17	we'll put them in order, and then we'll give them to Judge at
18	12:30.
19	MR. CHAIREZ: You have my cell number?
20	MS. BLUTH: Yeah.
21	MR. CHAIREZ: Okay.
22	MS. BLUTH: So, Judge, we'll see you at 12:30 and
23	I'll make sure we're all ready by 12:30 to meet with you.
24	THE COURT: Okay. The defendant, 12:30?
25	MS. BLUTH: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Okay. So you need the defendant and we need an interpreter at 12:30. MR. CHAIREZ: Okay. (Court recessed for the evening at 5:01 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

## **AFFIRMATION**

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

KARR Reporting, Inc.