IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

No. 81293

FILED

MAR 1 1 2021

HA BROWN

(CIERK

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellant/Cross-Respondent, vs. U.S. BANK N.A., A NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION; AND NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC, A FOREIGN LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF THE LXS 2006-4N TRUST FUND, ERRONEOUSLY PLED AS U.S. BANK, N.A.,

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondents/cross-appellants have filed a motion for a second extension of time to file the answering brief. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to perform an act, further extensions of time to perform that same act are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of the requested extension; good cause is not sufficient. NRAP 26(b)(1)(B); NRAP 31(b)(3)(A)(iv). Respondents/crossappellants previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the opening brief. As cause for the requested extension respondents/crossappellants cite the complexity of the issues and the need to consult with the clients. This court is not convinced that respondents/cross-appellants demonstrate extraordinary and compelling circumstances in support of a second extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Respondents/cross-appellants shall have 7 days from the date of this order

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA to file and serve a combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal. No further extensions of time will be granted absent demonstration of extraordinary and compelling circumstances. *Id.* Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sarderty, C.J.

cc: Kim Gilbert Ebron Akerman LLP/Las Vegas Gerrard Cox Larsen