

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

No. 81354

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

No. 81355

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

No. 81356

**FILED**

OCT 19 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE*

Docket No. 81356 is an appeal from an order imposing sanctions for counsel's misconduct before the court. Preliminary review of the docketing statement and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the order is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). Appellants challenge an order entered sanctioning counsel for failing to adhere to the court's directions. No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order that solely concerns contempt. See *Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered

