

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

CLEMENT MUNNEY; AND CHEF EXEC  
SUPPLIERS, LLC,

Appellants,

vs.

DOMINIQUE ARNOULD,

Respondent.

No. 81354

**FILED**

DEC 01 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 81355

No. 81356

**ORDER REINSTATING BRIEFING**

Docket No. 81356 is an appeal from an order imposing sanctions for counsel's misconduct before the court. Because it appeared that no statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order solely imposing sanctions, *cf. Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered in an ancillary proceeding is not appealable), this court directed appellants to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Appellants have responded and contend that the sanction order was imposed pursuant to an appealable order granting an injunction, and that therefore this court has jurisdiction. *See Vaile v. Vaile*, 133 Nev. 213, 217, 369 P.3d 791, 794 (2017); and *Lewis v. Lewis*, 132 Nev. 453, 456, 373 P.3d 878, 881 (2016) (considering challenges to contempt findings and sanctions

in an order that modified child custody). It appears the sanctions were imposed because counsel failed to appear for the hearing on the injunction. Accordingly, it appears this court has jurisdiction and the appeal in Docket No. 81356 may proceed. The briefing schedule is reinstated as follows. Appellants shall have 60 days from the date of this order to file and serve a combined opening brief and appendix. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Kern Law, Ltd.  
Marquis Aurbach Coffing