IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVONTAE WHEELER,)	SUPREME COURT NO.	81374
Appellant,)		
VS.)	APPEAL	
STATE OF NEVADA,)		
Respondent.))	DISTRICT COURT NO.	C-17-328587-3
	_)		

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

(VOL. 9 OF 14)

SANDRA L. STEWART Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No.: 6834 1361 Babbling Brook Court Mesquite, Nevada 89034 (702) 363-4656 Attorneys for Appellant

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
AGREEMENT TO TESTIFY (ROBINSON)	10-21-2018	2592	011
ARRAIGNMENT (ROBINSON) -RT	10-22-2018	2595	011
ARRAIGNMENT-RT	12-19-2017	0393	002
ARRAIGNMENT-SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT-RT	05-03-2018	0567	003
BAIL-RT	03-20-2018	0502	003
BAIL-RT	03-22-2018	0506	003
BAIL-RT	09-05-2018	0741	003
BAIL-STATE OPPOSITION	03-19-2018	0449	002
BAIL-WHEELER MOTION	03-13-2018	0440	002
BRADY-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
BRADY-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
BRADY-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	1002	005
BRADY-STATE OPPOSITION	12-27-2019	1015	005
BRADY-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0929	004
BRADY-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0956	004
CONTINUE TRIAL-RT	11-05-2019	0904	004
CONTINUE TRIAL-WHEELER MOTION	12-14-2018	0814	004
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	1008	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0942	004
EXHIBIT LIST	02-19-2020	3103	013
EXHIBITS	02-13-2020	1991	009
EXHIBITS	02-14-2020	2385	010
EXHIBITS	02-18-2020	2814	012
EXHIBITS	02-19-2020	3096	013
EXHIBITS	02-20-2020	3124	014
EXPERTS-STATE 2ND SUPP	01-13-2020	1073	005
EXPERTS-STATE 3RD SUPP	02-06-2020	1107	005
EXPERTS-STATE NOTICE	12-13-2018	0752	004
EXPERTS-STATE SUPP. NOTICE	10-11-2019	0891	004
GRAND JURY-EXHIBITS	11-29-2017	0340	002
GRAND JURY-INSTRUCTIONS	11-29-2017	0326	002
GRAND JURY-RT	11-29-2017	0167	001
GRAND JURY-RT	12-13-2017	0378	002
HABEAS PETITION-ORDER	08-08-2018	0733	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	02-27-2018	0422	002
HABEAS PETITION-RT	03-20-2018	0502	003

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
HABEAS PETITION-RT	03-22-2018	0506	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	05-31-2018	0628	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-06-2018	0632	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-14-2018	0661	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-14-2018	0672	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	08-02-2018	0721	003
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	03-08-2018	0427	002
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	03-02-2018	0464	002
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	05-29-2018	0598	003
HABEAS PETITION-STATE SUPP TO RETURN	06-28-2018	0688	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER PETITION	02-08-2018	0409	002
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER PETITION	05-17-2018	0587	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER REPLY	06-08-2018	0639	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER REPLY TO SUPP	07-05-2018	0713	003
INDICTMENT	12-14-2017	0385	002
INFORMATION (ROBINSON)	10-04-2018	2590	011
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	06-17-2020	3318	014
JURY INSTRUCTIONS	02-19-2020	1358	0.06
JURY INSTRUCTIONS-WHEELER PROPOSED	02-19-2020	3099	013
JURY LIST	02-12-2020	1354	006
JURY LIST	02-12-2020	1724	007
JURY LIST (2ND AMENDED)	02-19-2020	1357	006
JURY LIST (AMENDED)	02-14-2020	1356	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 1)-RT	02-11-2020	1114	005
JURY TRIAL (DAY 2)-RT	02-12-2020	1400	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 3)-RT	02-13-2020	1727	007
JURY TRIAL (DAY 4)-RT	02-14-2020	2121	009
JURY TRIAL (DAY 5)-RT	02-18-2020	2611	011
JURY TRIAL (DAY 6)-RT	02-19-2020	2881	012
JURY TRIAL (DAY 7)-RT	02-20-2020	3119	014
JURY TRIAL (DAY 8)-RT	02-24-2020	3266	014
METRO-ARREST REPORT	08-09-2017	0817-19	004
METRO-FIREARMS REPORT	01-22-2018	0438	002
METRO-FIREARMS REPORT-RT	04-05-2018	0520	003
METRO-TEMPORARY CUSTODY RECORD	12-14-2017	0391	002
NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-18-2020	3321	014
ORDER	03-04-2020	3288	014
PLEA AGREEMENT (ROBINSON)	10-22-2018	2583	011

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
POLYGRAPH-ORDER	07-02-2018	0711	003
PSI REPORT	03-27-2020	3276	014
SENTENCING MEMORANDUM (WHEELER)	06-04-2020	3294	014
SENTENCING-RT	06-11-2020	3303	014
SENTENCING-STIPULATION	02-11-2020	1352	006
SEVER COUNTS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
SEVER COUNTS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SEVER COUNTS-STATE RESPONSE	12-26-2019	0991	004
SEVER COUNTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0918	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-ORDER	03-15-2019	0877	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-RT	01-02-2019	0818	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-RT	01-16-2019	0856	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-STATE OPPOSITION	01-15-2019	0829	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-14-2018	0817-01	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-WHEELER SUPPLEMENT	01-04-2019	0825	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	12-05-2018	0748	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	04-17-2019	0880	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	05-15-2019	0885	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	12-18-2019	0914	004
STAY PROCEEDINGS-RT	03-05-2019	0873	004
STAY PROCEEDINGS-WHEELER MOTION	01-19-2019	0865	004
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT	04-19-2018	0553	003
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT (AMENDED)	02-11-2020	1348	006
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT-RT	04-18-2018	0528	003
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	0996	005
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0985	004
SUPPRESS SIMT-RI	12-31-2019	1068	005
SUPPRESS STMT-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SUPPRESS STMT-STATE OPPOSITION	12-30-2019	1048	005
SUPPRESS STMT-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0948	004
SUPPRESS STMTRT	02-11-2020	1290	006
TRIAL CONTINUE-RT	08-21-2019	0735	003
TRIAL SETTING-RT	01-09-2018	0400	002
VERDICT	02-24-2020	1398	006
VIDEO WITNESS (CHARLTON) -STATE MOTION	01-28-2020	1092	005
VOLUNTARY STMT-SOLOMON	09-07-2017	0149	001

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
VOLUNTARY STMT-SPAHN	08-09-2017	0325	002
VOLUNTARY STMT-WHEELER	08-15-2017	0001	001
WITNESSES-STATE 2ND SUPP	01-13-2020	1073	005
WITNESSES-STATE 3RD SUPP	02-06-2020	1107	005
WITNESSES-STATE NOTICE	12-13-2018	0752	004
WITNESSES-STATE SUPP. NOTICE	10-11-2019	0891	004



NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
VOLUNTARY STMT-SPAHN	08-09-2017	0325	002
METRO-ARREST REPORT	08-09-2017	0817-19	004
VOLUNTARY STMT-WHEELER	08-15-2017	0001	001
VOLUNTARY STMT-SOLOMON	09-07-2017	0149	001
GRAND JURY-RT	11-29-2017	0167	001
GRAND JURY-INSTRUCTIONS	11-29-2017	0326	002
GRAND JURY-EXHIBITS	11-29-2017	0340	002
GRAND JURY-RT	12-13-2017	0378	002
INDICTMENT	12-14-2017	0385	002
METRO-TEMPORARY CUSTODY RECORD	12-14-2017	0391	002
ARRAIGNMENT-RT	12-19-2017	0393	002
TRIAL SETTING-RT	01-09-2018	0400	002
METRO-FIREARMS REPORT	01-22-2018	0438	002
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER PETITION	02-08-2018	0409	002
HABEAS PETITION-RT	02-27-2018	0422	002
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	03-02-2018	0464	002
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	03-08-2018	0427	002
BAIL-WHEELER MOTION	03-13-2018	0440	002
BAIL-STATE OPPOSITION	03-19-2018	0449	002
HABEAS PETITION-RT	03-20-2018	0502	003
BAIL-RT	03-20-2018	0502	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	03-22-2018	0506	003
BAIL-RT	03-22-2018	0506	003
METRO-FIREARMS REPORT-RT	04-05-2018	0520	003
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT-RT	04-18-2018	0528	003
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT	04-19-2018	0553	003
ARRAIGNMENT-SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT-RT	05-03-2018	0567	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER PETITION	05-17-2018	0587	003
HABEAS PETITION-STATE RETURN	05-29-2018	0598	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	05-31-2018	0628	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-06-2018	0632	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER REPLY	06-08-2018	0639	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-14-2018	0661	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	06-14-2018	0672	003
HABEAS PETITION-STATE SUPP TO RETURN	06-28-2018	0688	003
POLYGRAPH-ORDER	07-02-2018	0711	003
HABEAS PETITION-WHEELER REPLY TO SUPP	07-05-2018	0713	003
HABEAS PETITION-RT	08-02-2018	0721	003

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
HABEAS PETITION-ORDER	08-08-2018	0733	003
BAIL-RT	09-05-2018	0741	003
INFORMATION (ROBINSON)	10-04-2018	2590	011
AGREEMENT TO TESTIFY (ROBINSON)	10-21-2018	2592	011
PLEA AGREEMENT (ROBINSON)	10-22-2018	2583	011
ARRAIGNMENT (ROBINSON)-RT	10-22-2018	2595	011
STATUS CHECK-RT	12-05-2018	0748	004
EXPERTS-STATE NOTICE	12-13-2018	0752	004
WITNESSES-STATE NOTICE	12-13-2018	0752	004
CONTINUE TRIAL-WHEELER MOTION	12-14-2018	0814	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-14-2018	0817-01	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-RT	01-02-2019	0818	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-WHEELER SUPPLEMENT	01-04-2019	0825	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-STATE OPPOSITION	01-15-2019	0829	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-RT	01-16-2019	0856	004
STAY PROCEEDINGS-WHEELER MOTION	01-19-2019	0865	004
STAY PROCEEDINGS-RT	03-05-2019	0873	004
SEVER DEFENDANTS-ORDER	03-15-2019	0877	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	04-17-2019	0880	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	05-15-2019	0885	004
TRIAL CONTINUE-RT	08-21-2019	0735	003
WITNESSES-STATE SUPP. NOTICE	10-11-2019	0891	004
EXPERTS-STATE SUPP. NOTICE	10-11-2019	0891	004
CONTINUE TRIAL-RT	11-05-2019	0904	004
STATUS CHECK-RT	12-18-2019	0914	004
SEVER COUNTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0918	004
BRADY-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0929	004
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0942	004
SUPPRESS STMT-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0948	004
BRADY-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0956	004
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-WHEELER MOTION	12-20-2019	0985	004
SEVER COUNTS-STATE RESPONSE	12-26-2019	0991	004
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	0996	005
BRADY-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	1002	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-STATE OPPOSITION	12-26-2019	1008	005
BRADY-STATE OPPOSITION	12-27-2019	1015	005
SUPPRESS STMT-STATE OPPOSITION	12-30-2019	1048	005
BRADY-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
SEVER COUNTS-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
SUPPRESS STMT-RT	12-31-2019	1068	005
WITNESSES-STATE 2ND SUPP	01-13-2020	1073	005
EXPERTS-STATE 2ND SUPP	01-13-2020	1073	005
BRADY-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SUPPRESS JAIL CALLS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
DISCLOSE INFORMANTS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SEVER COUNTS-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
SUPPRESS STMT-RT	01-15-2020	1085	005
VIDEO WITNESS (CHARLTON) -STATE MOTION	01-28-2020	1092	005
WITNESSES-STATE 3RD SUPP	02-06-2020	1107	005
EXPERTS-STATE 3RD SUPP	02-06-2020	1107	005
JURY TRIAL (DAY 1)-RT	02-11-2020	1114	005
SUPPRESS STMTRT	02-11-2020	1290	006
SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT (AMENDED)	02-11-2020	1348	006
SENTENCING-STIPULATION	02-11-2020	1352	006
JURY LIST	02-12-2020	1354	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 2)-RT	02-12-2020	1400	006
JURY LIST	02-12-2020	1724	007
JURY TRIAL (DAY 3)-RT	02-13-2020	1727	007
EXHIBITS	02-13-2020	1991	009
JURY LIST (AMENDED)	02-14-2020	1356	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 4)-RT	02-14-2020	2121	009
EXHIBITS	02-14-2020	2385	010
JURY TRIAL (DAY 5)-RT	02-18-2020	2611	011
EXHIBITS	02-18-2020	2814	012
JURY LIST (2ND AMENDED)	02-19-2020	1357	006
JURY INSTRUCTIONS	02-19-2020	1358	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 6)-RT	02-19-2020	2881	012
EXHIBITS	02-19-2020	3096	013
JURY INSTRUCTIONS-WHEELER PROPOSED	02-19-2020	3099	013
EXHIBIT LIST	02-19-2020	3103	013
JURY TRIAL (DAY 7)-RT	02-20-2020	3119	014
EXHIBITS	02-20-2020	3124	014
VERDICT	02-24-2020	1398	006
JURY TRIAL (DAY 8)-RT	02-24-2020	3266	014

NAME OF DOCUMENT	DATE	PAGE	VOLUME
ORDER	03-04-2020	3288	014
PSI REPORT	03-27-2020	3276	014
SENTENCING MEMORANDUM (WHEELER)	06-04-2020	3294	014
SENTENCING-RT	06-11-2020	3303	014
JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	06-17-2020	3318	014
NOTICE OF APPEAL	06-18-2020	3321	014

Jurror #7

Which Faurus handgun?



The 45 millinium PT22 .22



1991













































































































































Fukumimi Rame 4860 S EASTERN AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89 (702) 631-2933	STE 2 119
Dine In	
Server: Fukumimi R.	Aug 8, 2017
Receipt: 2BY3-86	8:59 PM
Ticket #: 86	Table: T1
ltem(s)	
Position 3:	
Fukumimi	\$9.50
Coke	\$1.00
Subtotal	\$10.50
Sales Tax (Incl. 8.25%)	\$0.87
Total	\$11.37
Amount Charged	\$11.37
ip	

jU!



















































































































































••••• AT&T LTE A @ 44%

Wednesday, August 9

MANGA ROCK

12:50 AM

MangaFox just added Chapter 3 The Secret Wish for Nagareboshi Ni Negau Hodo Bokura Wa Sunao Ja Nai

PHONE

12:30 AM

Tita Missed Call

PHONE

12:10 AM

JP Missed Call

Press home to unlock

....



























BE DEBT FREE IN 8

PAID S POSTAGE S PAID National Debt Relief

Pay NO FEES until yc













































































































































































PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY

This case is based on an Amended Superseding Indictment which has been 3 read to you by the Clerk. You should distinctly understand that the Indictment is 4 5 simply a charge and it is not, in any sense, evidence of the allegations it contains. The 6 Defendants entered pleas of not guilty to the Indictment. The State therefore has the 7 burden of proving each of the material elements as alleged in the Indictment by proof 8 beyond a reasonable doubt. As the Defendants sit there now, they are presumed 0 innocent. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State of Nevada will 10 11 meet their burden of proof. It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and 12 determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge 13 of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other 14 evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the 15 inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence. The parties may 16 17 sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. It is the 18 duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he/she believes may not properly be 19 offered, and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes 20 objections on behalf of the party he/she represents. At times the Court may sustain 21 22 objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not 23 consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which the Court 24 has instructed you to disregard. Anything you may have seen or heard outside the 25 courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded. Remember, statements, 26



DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT TWELVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

27

28

1

2

2113

arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate as to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

5 You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question 6 asked the witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it 7 supplies meaning to the answer. You must not be influenced in any degree by any 8 personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against the State or the Defendants. 9 Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration. In considering 10 11 the weight and value of the testimony of any witness, you may take into 12 consideration the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness, the interest of the 13 witness in the outcome of the case, if any, the relation of the witness to the 14 Defendants or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, and 15 16 the probability or improbability of the witnesses' statements, and all of the facts and 17 circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just 18 such weight and value as you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled to 19 receive. 20

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if proven; you may infer the existence of a second fact.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this

2

DISTRICT JUDGE

HELLE LEAVITT

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Opening statements and closing arguments of the attorneys are intended to 4 5 help you in understanding the evidence and applying the law, but they are not 6 evidence.

No statement, ruling, remark or comment which the Court may make during 8 the course of the trial is intended to indicate the Court's opinion as to how you 9 should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the 1011 facts. At times, the Court may even ask questions of witnesses. If the Court does so, 12 it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which the Court feels should be brought 13 out and not in any way to indicate the Court's opinion about the facts or to indicate 14 the weight the Court feels you should give to the testimony of the witness. The Court 15 may also find it necessary to admonish the lawyers and if the Court does so, you 16 17 should not show prejudice against a lawyer or his/her client because the Court has 18 found it necessary to admonish him/her.

Until this case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even 20 with your fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the 22 jury room with your fellow jurors. It is important you keep an open mind and not 23 decide any issue in the case until the entire case has been submitted to you under 24 instructions from the Court. 25

The Court may, during the trial, take notes of the witness's testimony. You

3

28 HELLE LEAVITT DISTRICT.JUDGE DEPARTMENT TWELVE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

1

2

3

7

19

21

26

27

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

notes.

are not to make any inference from that action. The Court is required to prepare for legal arguments of counsel during this trial and, for that reason, the Court may take notes. The jury will not have a transcript to consult at the close of the case. However, the jury will be furnished note paper and pens and will be allowed to take

7 The trial will proceed in the following manner. The Deputy District Attorney 8 will make an opening statement, which is an outline to help you understand what the 9 State expects to prove. Next, the defense attorneys may, but do not have to, make an 10 11 opening statement. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence, 12 which the party making the statement intends to prove.

The State will the present its evidence and counsel for the Defendants may 14 cross examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the Defendants may present 15 16 evidence and the Deputy District Attorney may cross examine the witnesses. 17 However, as the Court has said, the Defendants are not obligated to present any 18 evidence.

After all of the evidence has been presented, the Court will instruct you on the 20 law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the 21 22 opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not 23 evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. 24 Since the State has the burden of proving the Defendants guilty by proof beyond a 25 reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. 26

27 28 HELLE LEAVITT DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT TWELVE AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155
2 verdict. 3 Again, let me remind you that until this case is submitted to you: 4 5 1. Do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do 6 with it, until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your 7 verdict. 8 2. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has 9 anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as 10 11 jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell 12 them you are a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until 13 after you are discharged by the Court. 14 3. Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has 15 anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to the 16 17 Court immediately by contacting the Court Marshal. 18 4. Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television 19 reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. 20 21 22 MICHELLE LEAVITT 23 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XII 24 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 25 26 27 5 28 HELLE LEAVITT

After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your

1

DISTRICT JUDGE

DEPARTMENT TWELVE AS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

JUROR QUESTIONS Jurors are permitted to ask written questions during trial. You are not encouraged to ask large numbers of questions, because that is the primary responsibility of counsel. Questions may be asked only in the following manner. After both lawyers have finished questioning the witness and only at this time, if there are additional questions you would like to ask the witness, you may then seek permission to ask that witness a written question. Write your question down with your juror number on a full sheet of clean paper and raise your hand. The Marshal will pick up your question and present it to the Judge. All questions from the jurors must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. All questions must be directed to the witness and not to the lawyers or to the Judge. After consulting with counsel, the Judge will then determine if your question is legally proper. If the Judge determines your question may be properly asked, the Judge will ask it. No adverse inference should be drawn by you if the Judge does not allow a particular question. In addition, jurors must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions.



DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ADMONISHMENT

During this recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial; or read, watch or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet or radio; or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

You are further admonished you may not communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors, about this case on your cell phone, Blackberry, Iphone, text messaging, e-mail, Twitter, through any blog, website, internet chat room, or by way of any other social networking website, including, but not limited to, Facebook, MySpace, LinkedIn, Instagram, Tumblr, Twitter, and YouTube.

> MICHELLE LEAVITT DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XII



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\mathbf{20}$

21

22

23

24

25

C-17-328587-3

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor		COURT MINUTES	February 14, 2020	
C-17-328587-3	State of Nevada vs Davontae Whee			
February 14, 202	0 08:30 AM	Jury Trial		
HEARD BY:	Leavitt, Michelle	COURTROOM: RJC Courtro	pom 14D	
COURT CLERK:	Pannullo, Haly			
RECORDER:	Richardson, Sara			
REPORTER:				
PARTIES PRESI	ENT:			
Davontae Amarri	Wheeler	Defendant		
Giancarlo Pesci		Attorney for Plaintiff		
James J. Ruggeroli		Attorney for Defendant	-	
Parker Brooks		Attorney for Plaintiff		
State of Nevada		Plaintiff		
Michael Sanft, Es	sq., present on behalf c	JOURNAL ENTRIES of Co-Defendant.		
OUTSIDE THE P	RESENCE OF THE JU	JRY:		
CANVASSED De	efendant Robertson and	ing the redacted Guilty Plea Agreen d Defendant Wheeler as to waiving Robinson. Defendants so agreed.	nent, COURT the redaction of the	
JURY PRESENT	:			
Testimony and ex	xhibits presented. (See	e worksheets)		
Mr. Ruggeroli and	d Mr. Sanft INVOKED t	he exclusionary rule. COURT SO C	RDERED.	
Testimony and ex	whibits presented. (See	e worksheets)		
COURT ORDER	ED, trial CONTINUED.			



Electronically Filed 8/4/2020 8:43 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *) THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-17-328587-2) CASE NO. C-17-328587-3) Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO. XII) v. RAEKWON SETREY ROBERTSON, a/k/a RAEKWON ROBERTSON,) and DAVONTAE AMARRI WHEELER, Defendants. BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2020 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 4 **APPEARANCES:** FOR THE STATE: GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney PARKER P. BROOKS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney FOR DEFENDANT ROBERTSON: MICHAEL W. SANFT, ESQ. FOR DEFENDANT WHEELER: JAMES J. RUGGEROLI, ESQ. RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC Page 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2020 1 2 (Case called at 8:46 A.M.) 3 (Outside the presence of the jurors) THE COURT: Where's Mr. Wheeler? 4 5 THE CLERK: I think in the back. So, Mr. Ruggeroli, 6 there's your proposed exhibit you needed. 7 THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the 8 hearing is take -- okay, are we getting Mr. Wheeler in here? 9 MR. RUGGEROLI: He's just finishing up. THE COURT: Okay. 10 (Pause in the proceedings) 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Both defendants are present, and the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury 13 14 panel. Officer Hawkes let me know that Juror number 10 15 approached him this morning and has some concerns about his 16 ability to remain fair and impartial. So I'm going to bring 17 Juror number 10 in and canvass Juror number 10. It is Caesar 18 19 Castro. (Within the presence of Juror No. 10) 20 21 THE MARSHAL: Just straight ahead of you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that Mr. Castro, Juror number 10, is present in the courtroom -- you 23 can go right there at the podium -- and that this hearing is 24 taking place outside the presence of the other jurors. 25

1 Mr. Castro, it's my understanding that after some 2 evidence came in yesterday, that you now have some concerns 3 about --4 JUROR NO. 10: Yes. THE COURT: -- whether you can serve. Go ahead. 5 JUROR NO. 10: So during the jury selection, I 6 7 believe Mr. --THE COURT: One of the DAs? Okay. 8 9 JUROR NO. 10: Yeah, asked if -- if we would get so mad if we see footage of the victim. And at that time, I -- I 10 11 thought I would be fine, but after watching the footage 12 yesterday of the victim, that's exactly what happened to me, 13 and I don't think I can be fair and impartial anymore. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Who is it you think you're not 15 going to be able to be fair to? JUROR NO. 10: Both. 16 17 THE COURT: Both sides? JUROR NO. 10: Yes. Well --18 THE COURT: Okay, based on the evidence --19 JUROR NO. 10: The defendant --20 21 THE COURT: -- that you saw? JUROR NO. 10: The defendants' side. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay, based on the evidence that you 24 saw? JUROR NO. 10: Just --25

THE COURT: Okay, because you understand they're 1 2 entitled to the presumption of innocence unless and until the 3 State of Nevada can prove --4 JUROR NO. 10: Yes, I --THE COURT: -- these allegations by proof beyond a 5 6 reasonable doubt? Do you understand that? 7 JUROR NO. 10: I understand that. THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 8 9 JUROR NO. 10: I agree with that. THE COURT: Okay. 10 JUROR NO. 10: But after watching the footage, I 11 already formed an opinion. 12 THE COURT: What is that opinion? 13 14 JUROR NO. 10: Or judgment. THE COURT: What is that judgment? 15 JUROR NO. 10: Guilty. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Just after seeing footage, you've determined that these defendants are guilty? 18 JUROR NO. 10: Yes. I got so -- while watching the 19 20 footage, I was actually seething. THE COURT: Well, it's okay to get mad. 21 JUROR NO. 10: I know. 22 THE COURT: It's just not okay to, you know, form an 23 24 opinion already. 25 JUROR NO. 10: But I did.

THE COURT: Okay, all right. 1 2 JUROR NO. 10: I'm sorry. This is the first time 3 I'm doing this, and --4 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you know what, I appreciate your -- I appreciate you approaching Officer Hawkes. 5 I appreciate you letting me know that. I don't know if either 6 7 side has any further follow up. 8 MR. PESCI: Not from the State. 9 MR. SANFT: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. RUGGEROLI: Based on what he said, no. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right, Mr. Castro, if you 12 just don't mind going outside. Before you do, I'm going to 13 instruct you not to discuss with your fellow jurors anything 14 15 that we have discussed in here. And if anyone insists on speaking to you about what we discussed in here, I ask that 16 17 you make that fact known to Officer Hawkes. Have you discussed any of your opinions with any of the other jurors? 18 19 JUROR NO. 10: No, I have not. THE COURT: Okay. And I would just ask you 20 obviously not to discuss any of those opinions with any of the 21 22 other jurors, and you will agree to not do that? 23 JUROR NO. 10: I agree. THE COURT: Okay. If you just don't mind waiting 24 25 outside, Mr. Castro. And again, I appreciate your --

JUROR NO. 10: I'm sorry. 1 THE COURT: -- honesty. No, I appreciate it. 2 (Outside the presence of Juror No. 10) 3 4 THE COURT: Okay, the record will reflect that Mr. 5 Castro has left the courtroom. Based on his representations 6 to the Court, I'm going to excuse Mr. Castro. I'm assuming 7 nobody has any objection? 8 MR. PESCI: Not from the State. 9 MR. RUGGEROLI: No, Your Honor. No, Your Honor. 10 MR. SANFT: THE COURT: Okay. So, Officer Hawkes, you can go 11 12 out and let Mr. Castro know that he is excused, he can leave 13 the courthouse, and then Roberta Bell will become Juror number 14 10. THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: It's my understanding you may have some 16 other stuff outside the presence? 17 MR. PESCI: Yes, Judge. In speaking with defense 18 19 counsel this morning, specifically Mr. Ruggeroli was asking about the Guilty Plea Agreement and the Agreement to Testify. 20 21 We marked that this morning. 22 We have done numerous efforts to doctor this thing 23 -- and I'm going to say this on the record -- to lie to the 24 jury about what's actually in this thing, and we have cut it out pursuant to the case law. It's my understanding now that 25

1 defense counsel wants it in, which would have been nice to 2 know, because we spent a lot of time cutting that out, and 3 it's really not easy to do.

That being said, if he wants it in -- because the case law says that, pursuant to <u>Sessions</u>, it has to come out; but if during cross-examination there is the allegation of lying, then it can come back in.

8 The problem with that, and since I didn't know this 9 was what was wanted, a completely clean, unredacted version of 10 the Agreement implicates Mr. Robertson in the other three 11 counts that we have severed because -- by implication, 12 arguably, right? Because those counts are not in front of 13 this jury. But when we originally did the deal, it 14 encompassed the case as it was originally charged.

15

22

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PESCI: And so, bringing it back completely unredacted is a problem for Mr. Robertson. So I wanted to bring that to Mr. Robertson's attention, to the Court's attention, and figure out how we want to deal with this, because you can't really just go with the whole unredacted version.

THE COURT: Mr. Ruggeroli?

23 MR. RUGGEROLI: Thank you, Judge. Your Honor, the 24 -- the issue is -- and I believe the case law supports that, 25 essentially, if we open the door, if we get into notions of

having to testify to a certain version based on the
 Agreement --

THE COURT: Right.

3

MR. RUGGEROLI: -- that we're getting into the language that implicates the notion of what is truth -truthfulness. And the Plea itself has that language, but my understanding is the case law says that that's not originally included. And I think that's to kind of protect defendants --THE COURT: Uh-huh.

10 MR. RUGGEROLI: -- because it gives an overall 11 general idea of, well, this is true, and the State would then 12 have their kind of credibility of their witness bolstered 13 because there's a general notion of truthfulness, and that's 14 all that's being applied.

We do intend to attack that, and because of that, I 15 16 just -- I don't see any way that the State would not be 17 reinvested with the ability to have the actual language saying -- because I think what they're going to argue once we do that 18 is, oh, no, wait a minute, here's what the Plea says, and this 19 is what you're only to do: you're only to testify to what is 20 true; it's not the State's version, it's just what is true. 21 So I -- I just don't see any other way to do it. 22

The problem is that there is that unredacted portion. I know that it's, you know, the day after I found out that they were going to provide a redacted version of that section that is

1 initially required to be taken out.

2 THE COURT: So you don't want it taken out? 3 MR. RUGGEROLI: Well, because I -- I don't want to 4 be limited in our ability to cross-examine Mr. Robinson about 5 THE COURT: You won't be. 6 7 Well --MR. RUGGEROLI: 8 THE COURT: I mean, I'm not going to limit your 9 ability to cross-examine a witness that has agreed to testify. MR. RUGGEROLI: Well, so let's say we say, Mr. 10 Robinson, you took this deal because you have to testify 11 12 against the defendants; you have to say that Mr. Wheeler was 13 one of the people with you at the shooting, or you don't get the benefit of this agreement. I suspect that the State's 14 15 going to want to say, oh, wait a minute, all you have to 16 testify is to the truthfulness. We don't require --17 THE COURT: Right. I mean, he's under oath like 18 every other witness. 19 MR. RUGGEROLI: Okay. Well, as long as -- as long 20 as the State then doesn't need it, then we can just use the 21 redacted one. 22 MR. PESCI: No, no, no, no, no, no. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, I mean --24 MR. PESCI: No. 25 THE COURT: -- I don't understand that.

MR. PESCI: You want to attack it --1 2 THE COURT: Yeah. 3 MR. PESCI: -- that triggers our chance to go back. 4 We're not going to, in advance, take it away from us to be 5 able to do that because he wants it. THE COURT: Right. 6 7 MR. PESCI: This is his choice. Like you're saying, 8 you're not impeding him at all. THE COURT: 9 No. MR. PESCI: All I'm saying is, if you do that, we 10 have the added wrinkle and complication that the unredacted 11 12 version implicates Mr. Robertson on the three or four charges that are not currently before this jury. So I assume --13 MR. SANFT: I -- we -- and we -- I'm sorry. 14 15 MR. PESCI: Yeah, let's turn it to you. 16 MR. SANFT: On behalf of Mr. Robertson, with regards 17 to that issue, those factual issues, that would be an issue that we would want to have redacted. Everything else I think 18 is unrelated and should be, you know, fair comment, according 19 20 to what Mr. Ruggeroli wants. But with regards to the other 21 parts that have been taken out and we're not trying him on these -- on those issues, I think we've got a big issue there 22 23 with regards to prior bad acts and everything else that's going to come in on this particular --24 25 THE COURT: Right. I mean --

1 MR. SANFT: Okay. 2 THE COURT: And they relate to the counts that have been severed, correct? 3 4 MR. PESCI: Correct, yes. 5 We've taken the pains to make sure that MR. SANFT: I understand Mr. Ruggeroli's point though. 6 Ι that happens. 7 mean, he wants to be able to have fair comment on anything to 8 do with this event on August 8th, 2017 --9 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SANFT: -- not about anything --10 11 THE COURT: So you don't want that language taken 12 out that <u>Sessions</u> says we should take out? 13 MR. RUGGEROLI: Correct, because I know what the second step will be, is either the State's going to object and 14 15 say the defense can't ask this line of questions because we 16 can't comment on it --17 MR. PESCI: No, no, we're not going to say you 18 Not at all. You absolutely can. We're just -can't. 19 THE COURT: Right. I think --20 MR. PESCI: -- given opportunities and options after 21 you do it. 22 MR. RUGGEROLI: Which would --23 THE COURT: Exactly. Which would --24 MR. RUGGEROLI: THE COURT: I mean, the language has to be redacted, 25

1 okay? 2 MR. RUGGEROLI: Right. THE COURT: I guess if you're waiving that right to 3 4 have the language redacted --5 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. THE COURT: However, if you during cross-examination 6 7 suggest to this jury that this witness has to testify to a 8 certain set of facts in order to get the deal, instead of the 9 truth --10 MR. RUGGEROLI: Right. THE COURT: -- which sounds like that's what you are 11 12 going to do --13 MR. RUGGEROLI: We want that ability, yes. 14 THE COURT: Right. Then the State has the right to 15 bring that back in --16 MR. RUGGEROLI: Exactly. 17 THE COURT: -- that, no, he has to testify as to the 18 truth. 19 MR. RUGGEROLI: And that's why it would need to not So I'm getting -- I'm getting ahead because I 20 be redacted. 21 know where this would ultimately lead. Were it not for the 22 inadmissible information about Mr. Robertson, then I think we 23 wouldn't have a problem. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. RUGGEROLI: I -- I --

THE COURT: So you don't want the information 1 2 redacted that he has -- he's under the obligation to tell the truth? 3 MR. RUGGEROLI: Correct. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sanft, do you agree with 6 that? 7 MR. SANFT: We will have no objection to that 8 language being included. 9 THE COURT: Included? 10 MR. SANFT: Included. Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Now, how do we get rid of --11 MR. PESCI: Okay. 12 13 THE COURT: So we could bring it back in, but we 14 can't --15 MR. PESCI: Can I interrupt just one second? I 16 apologize. 17 THE COURT: Sure. MR. PESCI: Can you canvass the defendants on that? 18 Because that, sure as anything, is going to be argued by 19 20 different attorneys that this was a mistake. THE COURT: I'm assuming -- have -- Mr. Ruggeroli, 21 22 have you spoken to Mr. Wheeler about that? 23 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yeah, I just spoke to him in the 24 back, Judge. 25 THE COURT: And Mr. Sanft, you've spoken to your

1 client about that?

2	MR. SANFT: I have not spoken to my client about it,			
3	but I have spoken with Mr. Ruggeroli about this issue. I			
4	agree with the strategy as to why it should happen that way.			
5	So if I need to canvass my client, then I'll canvass my			
6	client, but this was a strategic reason as to why we would			
7	allow that language to come in with regards to our ability to			
8	cross-examine the			
9	MR. PESCI: Perfect, that's exactly what I was			
10	looking for. Thank you.			
11	THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Wheeler, you understand			
12	that your attorney is making this decision?			
13	DEFENDANT WHEELER: We about to			
14	MR. RUGGEROLI: Stand up, stand up.			
15	DEFENDANT WHEELER: We about to			
16	THE COURT: He's asking that this language that			
17	you're entitled to have redacted from the Agreement to			
18	Testify, he's asking that it be put back in.			
19	DEFENDANT WHEELER: Are we going to talk about if			
20	we can talk a little bit more about the situation.			
21	THE COURT: All right.			
22	MR. RUGGEROLI: If you could just give me one			
23	3 second, Judge.			
24	THE COURT: I'll let you talk to him about it.			
25	DEFENDANT WHEELER: All right, thank you.			
	Page 14			

(Pause in the proceedings) 1 2 THE COURT: So we'd still have to redact some of 3 this? 4 MR. PESCI: Yes, that's --5 THE COURT: And you've got it highlighted. That's why I MR. PESCI: That's the concern. 6 7 brought this up --THE COURT: 8 Um-hum. MR. PESCI: -- when I found this out this morning. 9 It's that portion. Now, there are some different options. I 10 can tell you this: I cannot magically have -- make this happen 11 12 ------THE COURT: I understand. 13 MR. PESCI: -- because I've had someone working on 14 15 this for days. Because it's like really trickery, right? We take a portion --16 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 -- we cut it out, and we have push MR. PESCI: 19 pieces together using some sort of --To make it look like we didn't do that. 20 THE COURT: MR. PESCI: Right, exactly. So now our only option 21 22 really is to Wite-Out and copy another page so no one can see, but there's going to be big holes. 23 MR. RUGGEROLI: And just so that we're clear, 24 because I need to reference this for Mr. Wheeler, the Guilty 25

1 Plea Agreement itself, if you could direct me to the portion 2 that -- because I thought it was for the actual Agreement to 3 Testify portion. 4 THE COURT: It's in the Agreement to Testify. MR. PESCI: It's in the Agreement to Testify. 5 MR. RUGGEROLI: Okay, and so --6 7 I only have the first few pages. THE COURT: MR. RUGGEROLI: So --8 THE COURT: Or the first couple of pages. 9 MR. PESCI: So the original Agreement to Testify, 10 11 because --MR. RUGGEROLI: Right. 12 MR. PESCI: -- at the time, they were facing all of 13 the charges, Mr. Robinson's other charges, it references that 14 because he's making an Agreement to Testify about all of the 15 16 information. So this highlights his charges that are severed 17 and not before this jury, which is why I brought it to Your 18 Honor, so you could see --19 THE COURT: Right. -- which portion. 20 MR. PESCI: So that part would have to be redacted. THE COURT: 21 MR. RUGGEROLI: Of course. Right. 22 (Pause in the proceedings) 23 (Off the record at 9:04 A.M. until 9:17 A.M.) 24 (Outside the presence of the jurors) 25

THE MARSHAL: Please come to order. 1 2 MR. SANFT: Your Honor, we're missing Mr. Ruggeroli. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. SANFT: Okay. 5 I'm assuming he's done though; we're THE COURT: 6 ready? 7 THE CLERK: Yeah. MR. PESCI: We have some more things outside the 8 9 presence --10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PESCI: -- based on this, and so --11 THE MARSHAL: Have a seat. 12 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 13 Thank you, Your Honor. So I'm not sure 14 MR. PESCI: how the defense is intending on doing this. I want to state 15 16 the State's position on the record. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I am going to comply with 175.282 and 18 MR. PESCI: Sessions v. State. I have marked a redacted version based on 19 20 the law that exists. If the defense chooses to do something, 21 they can, and then there are different things that are 22 triggered based on that. The last thing I would ask, Your Honor, is if the 23 defendants personally are in agreement with their clients' --24 25 their attorneys' strategic decision to do this.

THE COURT: Okay. And so you're just going to leave 1 2 it the way it is? 3 MR. PESCI: I'm not doing anything because I can't 4 until they cross. 5 THE COURT: Well, you're right. You can't, you 6 can't. MR. PESCI: Right. Per the -- per the case law, I'm 7 -- as I read the case law, I'm handcuffed until they attack 8 9 it. THE COURT: Okay, but it sounds like --10 MR. PESCI: And I would be happy to be wrong some 11 day down the road if the Supreme Court tells me. 12 MR. RUGGEROLI: Judge, I --13 THE COURT: And it sounds like you're going to 14 15 attack it? MR. RUGGEROLI: I am, and I'm --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. RUGGEROLI: -- dealing with this because of 18 19 Sessions and my prior experience in front of Judge Herndon, which we have a lot of respect for Mr. Pesci, because Judge 20 Herndon referenced Mr. Pesci regarding this exact issue. So I 21 have looked at this. That's my reading. And I'm getting in 22 23 advance in the sense that -- would have preferred yesterday, but today, we're not doing it during cross-examination. 24 25 I would like the ability to fully cross-examine --

one other aspect which is particularly important is that this wound up being an Alford plea. So what we have is, during the canvass, no actual admission; no actual affirmative statement of, "Yes, I did this." So we're relying on the State's recitation of facts to the Court. We're relying on other things that did not actually come from Mr. Robinson.

> THE COURT: Mr. Robinson's plea was Alford? MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes.

9 MR. PESCI: Yes. That's the second portion, 10 whenever he's done, that I wanted to address.

THE COURT: Okay.

7

8

11

MR. RUGGEROLI: So the notion of truth is very 12 13 important. Now, I believe that I have fully explained this, 14 that in the initial instance, the defendant is protected; the State is required to have that portion of the Agreement 15 But in getting ahead, in order for the defense to 16 removed. 17 really kind of have more access to challenge motive, things 18 like that, we will necessarily be implicating the provision 19 that provides for the truthfulness. So I agree with Mr. 20 Pesci.

The only other issue is what to do with the language that was unfortunately included, and then redacted, regarding Mr. Robertson's other case. Everybody's in agreement that that shouldn't come in. I mean, honestly, the State -- you put this in Adobe, you take out a couple lines, and print. I

1 don't think this is difficult to redact those lines. Т 2 don't --Then we invite you to do it. 3 MR. PESCI: 4 MR. RUGGEROLI: I could download it. I don't have 5 my printer, but --MR. PESCI: A caveat -- I apologize, I'm jumping in. 6 7 That was flippant, I apologize. 8 The other thing we can do, Your Honor, is before we 9 get to the jury, have that done. It doesn't actually have to 10 be done today if you're not going to literally put it down on the door to show it to him, because we can work on it between 11 now -- I'm not going to work on it, but someone can work on it 12 13 between now and when it actually goes back as an exhibit for 14 the jury. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. SANFT: I quess --17 So it sounds like the parties -- you THE COURT: have the right to have this language out, okay? The defense 18 19 is saying, we don't want it out; we want that language in because we're going to fully cross-examine this witness, and 20 we're going to be implicating that clause in the Agreement 21 Is that --22 anyways. MR. RUGGEROLI: And Mr. Wheeler --23 24 THE COURT: Is -- you're shaking your head yes, but 25 I just want you to say yes.

MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes, Your Honor, and he's prepared 1 2 to --3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. RUGGEROLI: -- put the waiver on the record. 5 THE COURT: And Mr. Sanft, yes? 6 MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. And since the time 7 that we last spoke, I have spoken with Mr. Robertson about it. 8 He also agrees with that strategy. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Wheeler, I gave you an 10 opportunity to speak to Mr. Ruggeroli about that strategy as 11 well; is that correct? 12 DEFENDANT WHEELER: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: And you're in agreement with that? 13 14 DEFENDANT WHEELER: Yes, ma'am. 15 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. And Mr. Robinson (sic), you're in agreement with that as well, correct? 16 17 DEFENDANT ROBERTSON: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And you had a chance to speak to Mr. 19 Sanft about it, correct? 20 DEFENDANT ROBERTSON: Yeah, I've spoken to him. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 Thank you very much, Your Honor. And I MR. PESCI: 23 totally understand defense counsel's position. It makes all 24 the sense in the world to me. I think this is the unintended consequences of that decision that we've been stuck with, so 25

1 we're just living with those consequences.

However, defense counsel made a comment about Alford and penalties, and that's what I want to talk to you before we brought this jury in.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MR. PESCI: So in the canvass itself, because it's 7 an Alford plea, there's a rendition of facts put forth by the 8 State. So in some senses, I'm asking for an offer of proof of 9 what they want to get into, which is why we were not 10 introducing this in our case-in-chief, because I'm afraid of 11 the argument of witness vouching, being me, because I'm the 12 one making a rendition of facts.

13 Additionally, there is not time at an Alford plea to put everything in. So I don't put everything in that is in 14 15 evidence because Your Honor and every other judge can't sit 16 there for the State to create a trial record for a plea 17 canvass. So I don't want to be accused or an argument's being made that, look at this, this is the real facts that the State 18 19 believed, because that was just a bare bones or a somewhat 20 fleshed out rendition of facts as opposed to an entire trial's 21 worth of evidence. And so I'm cautious and concerned about 22 that, so I would ask for an offer of proof.

Additionally, Mr. Sanft brought up a good point, right? We all signed a waiver. The waiver says, we don't talk about penalties for murder. It is completely appropriate

1 for this witness to be canvassed about the penalties 2 associated with the specific charges that he entered a plea 3 to, and it's completely appropriate for the defense to say, 4 you had a murder charge and it's not here anymore.

5 It is absolutely inappropriate, pursuant to the 6 Agreement we all signed, to say, because you were facing life 7 without -- which he wasn't because he was a juvenile -- but 8 you were facing life, 20, or 50 to 20. Like, can't go into 9 specifics. I think it's appropriate to say, you were facing 10 more time, and maybe even like much more time for a murder 11 charge, but not the specifics.

12 THE COURT: Right. Since, I mean, everybody's 13 waived penalty, I would agree with that.

MR. RUGGEROLI: Well, okay. So the penalty --THE COURT: I mean, I think you could say, you were facing a lot more time --

17 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: -- because you were facing a murder 19 charge as well.

20 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. I --

21 THE COURT: But even what he was facing wouldn't be 22 the same as these gentlemen because --

23 MR. RUGGEROLI: Right.

24 THE COURT: -- he's a minor.

25 MR. RUGGEROLI: So this is a good time to bring up

1 Defense Proposed Exhibit A. I've had it marked. There is not 2 an agreement. That is the canvass of the entry of this plea. 3 Here's why that's important and I think that it should be 4 admissible. The context -- and you've got to remember what --5 THE COURT: What is marked as Defense A? 6 THE CLERK: It's A1, just to clarify for the record. 7 MR. RUGGEROLI: Okay. It's right up top there. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. RUGGEROLI: The State didn't have this, so I've had it marked. 10 11 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. RUGGEROLI: The likely cross-examination is 12 going to be that DeShawn made statements the next day from the 13 14 shooting to the officers when he was arrested. Time went on, 15 he entered an agreement, and now it's an Alford plea. The 16 only way he got the benefit of that plea was to agree to 17 testify, which is a huge portion of our argument that he has a 18 motive to say what he's saying. 19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 MR. RUGGEROLI: Because I fully expect that he's 21 going to say, "I was with three other individuals, and we drove to Dewey, and my -- Mr. Wheeler was one of those people, 22 23 and so-and-so shot so-and-so." That's what I expect the 24 evidence is going to show. So in getting to -- this is not a normal situation 25

where it would be very clean, "You pled guilty on this date 1 2 and time, and at that time, you admitted that this was your 3 conspiracy; you admitted that you were a part of this 4 attempted robbery." 5 THE COURT: Well, he admitted the State could prove 6 it. 7 MR. RUGGEROLI: That's what he admitted, but he never admitted that -- well, it's an Alford plea. So what we 8 have is, I agree with Mr. Pesci to the extent that I would not 9 need to go into specifically, "You were looking at a life 10 penalty," because there is overlap. 11 THE COURT: Right. 12 MR. RUGGEROLI: That would educate the jury about 13 what the defendants had waived, and it might not even apply to 14 15 a juvenile. But I do think -- and this is my argument for the 16 admission of 1A -- Defense Proposed 1A -- or is it A1? 17 THE CLERK: A1. MR. RUGGEROLI: Yeah. The context of this plea is 18 very important, and what he said is absolutely relevant; what 19 he did not admit to. It's part of his -- now he's going to 20 21 stand up, and we're going to object --THE COURT: Let me just say -- did he proffer to 22 23 you? 24 MR. PESCI: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. That, to me, is more important 25

than what he said during an Alford plea. 1 2 MR. RUGGEROLI: Okay. 3 THE COURT: I mean, because in a proffer, they proffer to the district attorney --4 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. 5 THE COURT: -- basically everything they know and 6 7 everything that they will testify to. MR. RUGGEROLI: We don't have any -- anything about 8 9 -- I know it happened, but I did request, and there's nothing 10 in writing. MR. PESCI: Right. It wasn't recorded. 11 MR. RUGGEROLI: Right. 12 MR. PESCI: It wasn't written down, it wasn't 13 reduced --14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. RUGGEROLI: So that's why it's even more 17 important that -- he's going to be asked, and he can't be led through this testimony, "Well, what happened?" 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. RUGGEROLI: I went -- okay, and then on cross, 20 "Okay, but you were -- you pled Alford; you did not 21 specifically -- did not admit that you did that." 22 MR. PESCI: I agree with the ability of defense 23 counsel to do that. I'm just saying, admitting the transcript 24 is dangerous, and it has information that is superfluous to 25

the actual proceedings. It has language where I am saying
 what the case evidence would be.

And so I think it's completely appropriate for them 3 to cross-examine him, and talking about Alford, and you didn't 4 5 admit this or you didn't admit that. But I think admitting the transcript is a time bomb, because, one, it says what I 6 7 think the case is at a bare minimum's version. And you know, someone could argue that that's witness vouching, because you 8 then accepted -- I'm sorry, you, Your Honor, accepted that 9 10 proffer, right?

And so I think it's appropriate to just go into it. And you can even utilize this, right? Just not admit it as a piece of evidence. That's why we didn't do this, because there are all these issues inside of it. It's --

15 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Ruggeroli? MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. If it's relevant, it's 16 generally admissible -- and it is relevant -- unless there's 17 some portion specifically that would make it inadmissible. 18 These are general principals being applied to, but there's 19 nothing specific. There's no authority to support, well, 20 here's why it's inadmissible. This -- the document speaks for 21 itself, so I don't think it's inadmissible. The question of a 22 time bomb, that remains to be seen. And I would not --23 THE COURT: Why is it relevant? Why is it relevant 24

Page 27

what the State said in an Alford plea? Why is that relevant?

25

1 MR. RUGGEROLI: Well, the most -- the most -- it
2 makes --

3 THE COURT: Why is the transcript relevant if you're 4 going to be able to cross-examine him, like, "You didn't admit 5 that in front of the Court, you didn't" -- I mean, yeah, you 6 can cross-examine him about that, but I mean, this is a 7 formality and it's an Alford plea. I don't understand why a 8 transcript would be relevant.

9 MR. RUGGEROLI: The relevance is that it makes the 10 truthfulness of his statement about there is a conspiracy 11 today less likely because he did not admit to it at the time 12 of the canvass. That provides the actual question and answer. 13 So it is relevant; it's just the State is saying it's not 14 admissible, but -- but why? It doesn't sound good, we think 15 it might --

16 THE COURT: No, because I think it would be very 17 confusing to a jury.

What I'm saying is I'm not --18 MR. PESCI: I mean, it would be very confusing. 19 THE COURT: I'm not saying it's a per se 20 MR. PESCI: inadmissible thing, which is why I asked for the offer of 21 proof, is I'm like, what is this going to be used for? 22 Because if there are going to be arguments -- right? Because 23 that's really what we do. We put a piece of evidence in --24 THE COURT: 25 Um-hum.

MR. PESCI: -- then we argue later on. If there's going to be arguments that somehow this was the four corners of the facts --

THE COURT: That's my concern.

4

5 MR. RUGGEROLI: And I would agree to an instruction 6 that clears -- it just simply explains that the State did not 7 put on the entire trial evidence at the entry of this plea. 8 I'm not going to argue, hey, that's all they -- because my --9 the importance of what I want to argue is not what the State 10 said at the plea. That's what they're concerned about.

I have no intent to say, "Well, they should be limited, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, to what they said at the time." I'm not going to argue that at all. And I would have no problem explaining to the jury in an instruction, or you could admonish the jury, "The State was not required to put their trial on at the time of the entry of plea."

The importance for us is not what the State said, other than they had to say it because he wouldn't admit it. That's why it's important, and that's why it's relevant, is because when it came to the time of entering this agreement, he did not admit that he was part of a conspiracy, and that's hugely important.

I actually very respectfully disagree with Your Honor. I don't think it will confuse the jury; I think it

1 will help them understand it more, because it lays out line by 2 line, question, answer, this is an Alford plea, you understand 3 you're not admitting quilt, and because of that, there has to be a factual basis. And that's why I think it's admissible, 4 5 and we would agree to either an admonishment and/or an 6 instruction that says of course the State was not required to 7 put on the whole trial evidence at that time. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So then, again, I'm going to ask 9 you, why is it relevant here? 10 MR. RUGGEROLI: Because --THE COURT: Because this isn't the four corners of 11 12 his agreement. He proffered to the State of Nevada. 13 MR. RUGGEROLI: Because even in its most simplistic form, he did not agree that he was a part of the conspiracy. 14 15 He did not admit that. He did not admit that there was an 16 attempt robbery that he was a part of; he did not admit that he was a part of the murder. The fact that he didn't admit 17 it, that's why it's so important. 18 19 MR. PESCI: And I think it's --20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. PESCI: -- completely appropriate to be asking 22 him about how he never admitted it, right? If he wants to 23 introduce it, I just think there are a lot of land mines in 24 there, a lot of things that could go sideways, and there are 25 concerns.

And it seems as if he's saying he's not going to argue one thing or another, but we're going to have to do an instruction about what an Alford plea is, right? Because I say here that the defendant could have been convicted of the murder, because the concept of an Alford is, you're saying -you're acknowledging the jury could convict you --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. PESCI: -- so I'm taking this lesser without 8 necessarily admitting to this. We've done this before, Your 9 We've had a co-defendant testify via an Alford plea, 10 Honor. right? And everyone got to cross-examine that witness, and 11 got to flesh out that she didn't say she actually admitted to 12 it, right? That's a completely appropriate line of 13 questioning. I'm just saying I'm a little concerned about 14 15 that.

16 At least he's told me right now that he's not going 17 to argue some sort of quantitative analysis of what the offer of proof was being the entire amount of the case that the 18 State had. And so, with that, we'll deal with it. I'm just 19 20 saying that there could be issues that come up later, and 21 especially in closing arguments, depending on how it's argued. 22 THE COURT: Okay. I want to be able to read the 23 transcript in its entirety. When is this witness coming to 24 testify?

25

7

MR. PESCI: Well, he's supposed to be at 10:00, but

we've got other ones in front of him. 1 2 THE COURT: Pardon? MR. PESCI: We have other ones that are in the hall 3 4 waiting in front of him. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Can we bring the panel in now? 6 Where is he? I mean, is --7 MR. PESCI: He's in --THE COURT: He's still in custody, right? 8 MR. PESCI: He's in custody, and so he's going to be 9 transported here. My investigator has spoken with your 10 Marshal to talk about that process of getting him here. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And he's in custody, correct? 12 MR. PESCI: Yes, he's in custody. He's in a 13 juvenile detention facility. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. Are you going to have him 16 appear in custody? 17 MR. PESCI: We have to. 18 THE COURT: Well, you have the right to have him 19 dressed in normal clothes. MR. PESCI: No, we don't, because that's me making 20 21 him appear that he's out of custody. I wish you were right. I'm sorry. I apologize. I believe that the case law says 22 23 that I don't get to dress out my witnesses that are in 24 custody; I have show them as they are. 25 THE MARSHAL: I spoke to his investigator this
morning. A juvenile P and P officer, his caseworker, his 1 2 attorney, and his investigator will be sitting in the jury 3 room, waiting for him to be brought to the stand. 4 THE COURT: Okay. THE MARSHAL: So --5 THE COURT: So his -- I think his attorney -- Mr. 6 7 Evans, he's going to be --8 THE MARSHAL: Yes. 9 MR. PESCI: Yes. THE COURT: He's been notified? 10 MR. PESCI: We've spoken to him; we've notified him 11 of the time. He told me he was going to be here, he asked the 12 specific room, so our expectation is he's going to be here. 13 THE COURT: Okay. All right, we can bring them in. 14 15 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jurors, 16 please. 17 (Within the presence of the jurors at 9:35 a.m.) THE MARSHAL: Go ahead and have a seat when you get 18 to your chairs. Everybody's standing for you guys, so. 19 Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Does the State stipulate to the 21 22 presence of the panel as now impaneled? 23 MR. PESCI: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Sanft? 25 MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Ruggeroli? 1 2 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bell, I have excused Juror 3 4 number 10, so you will now become Juror number 10. You can 5 sit where you are, or you can sit in that seat; it's wherever 6 you're comfortable. I'm okay with wherever you want to sit. 7 I just wanted to make sure you understand you're now Juror 8 number 10. 9 JUROR NO. 10: Okay. 10 THE COURT: Okay? JUROR NO. 10: Will I still be able to not come in 11 12 on next Friday? THE COURT: I got you covered for next Friday. 13 JUROR NO. 10: Okay, just wanted to make sure that 14 15 was covered. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, State can call their next 17 witness. MR. PESCI: State calls Detective Lora Cody. May I 18 19 approach? 20 THE COURT: Uh-huh. THE MARSHAL: If you'll please remain standing, 21 raise your right hand, and face the Clerk. 22 DETECTIVE LORA CODY, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 23 THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and 24 25 spell your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: It's Lora Cody. L-o-r-a, C-o-d-y. 1 2 THE COURT: You may --3 MR. PESCI: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. PESCI: 7 Q Ma'am, what do you do for a living? I'm a homicide detective with the Las Vegas 8 Α Metropolitan Police Department. 9 How long have you been with Homicide? 10 Q About four years. 11 Α Before Homicide, where were you within Metro? 12 0 I worked what's called Internet Crimes Against А 13 Children, sexual assault, sexual abuse, as a detective. 14 150 And then prior to that? I was a patrol officer with Metro. 16 А How long -- I cut you off, I'm sorry. How long have 17 Q you been with Metro in total? 18 19 Α 18 years. Okay. You said, I think it was four years you've 20 Q 21 been with Homicide? 22 That's correct. А Okay. So back in August of 2017, were you assigned 23 0 with a squad of other detectives to investigate a homicide 24 that had occurred on Dewey Avenue here in Las Vegas? 25

A Yes, I was.

2	Q When you get to a murder scene, as a homicide		
3	detective, how does that work? Just so the ladies and		
4	gentlemen can understand, are you there right away when the		
5	first patrol officers get there, or how does that work?		
6	A No. Usually, the patrol officers will get the		
7	initial call. They'll respond to the scene and kind of make		
8	an assessment as to what resources they need, then they'll		
9	usually call in patrol detectives. Patrol detectives then,		
10	upon realizing that whatever crime it is requires kind of like		
11	a higher level response in this case, a homicide had		
12	occurred they then call Homicide out. So we usually may		
13	respond to the scene, it could be anywhere from 15 minutes, 20		
14	minutes, to several hours later.		
15	Q Can that be sometimes too based on the fact that, if		
16	a victim is still alive originally at the scene, then		
17	Homicide's not called out?		
18	A That is correct.		
19	Q So if a victim who's wounded is transported to the		
20	hospital, is it until after the hospital notifies of someone		
21	actually being deceased that the mechanism then triggers		
22	Homicide being involved?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q Okay. When you were in fact called on this specific		
25	situation, where did you go first?		
	Page 36		

We went to the Dewey scene. 1 Α 2 All right. I want to show you what's been 0 3 previously marked as State's Exhibit 11. Do you recognize 4 that? Yes, I do. 5 А 6 Q Okay. Now, I mentioned earlier a squad. Is that a team of detectives? 7 А 8 Yes. Who was the group or the team of detectives that you 9 0 responded with? 10 I responded there with Detective Mitch Dosch, 11 Α Detective Ryan Jaeger, myself, eventually Detective Maureen 12 Bogatay, and Detective Fred Merrick. 13 And does she sometimes, Detective Bogatay, go by the 140 15 name Sonny? Yes, Sonny. 16 А 17 Okay. Now, when you get to a scene, is there a Q determination as far as who's going to be doing what pieces of 18 19 the investigation? Yes, we respond as a squad. It could be as large as 20 Α from four to six people, just depending upon the amount of 21 resources that we need. Usually, there's a primary detective. 22 In this case, the primary detective is Detective Mitch Dosch. 23 His partner, Detective Ryan Jaeger, is considered the second. 24 And then we have what's called the third wheel, and that third 25

2157

wheel was myself, and then it goes third, fourth, fifth, six
 kind of a wheel.

The primary detective usually will take the scene. The secondary detective will take kind of like the -- what we call, like, maybe like the more important witnesses or subjects to be interviewed. The third detective kind of picks up the pieces, if you will.

8 Q All right. So if I'm understanding correctly, this
9 is kind of the partnership of Jaeger and Dosch, correct?
10 A Correct.

11 Q All right. And now, was Jaeger assigned to the 12 scene?

13 A I believe he was, yes.

14 Q Okay, so what does that mean?

15AThat means that he will respond out. He will16document the scene, along with the crime scene analysts.

Q Okay. And then were you, and Detective Dosch, andthe others you mentioned assigned to witnesses?

19 A Yes, we were.

20 Q How does that work?

A Again, it's kind of up to -- how the primary detective wants to dole out the assignments, if you will. Detective Dosch was assigned some witnesses. I was also assigned a witness, and I was also assigned extra duties as well.

Q Okay. So when you're out at this scene, are you getting information from patrol or other officers that were there before you?

A Yes. Depending upon who's there, depending upon kind of the level of the response, we all get together, and we'll receive a brief from either patrol or patrol detectives; and in this case, it was patrol detectives.

8 Q So the ladies and gentlemen of the jury saw some 9 body-worn camera of the first responders. Are those the 10 sources of some of that information in that first briefing? 11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. And while you're at this scene and Detective 13 Jaeger's working the scene, did information come in about a 14 convenience store of interest?

15 A Yes. Prior to the shooting, and I forget if it was 16 an hour or two hours prior to the shooting, there was an 17 incident that had occurred at the Short Line Express, and I 18 believe it was 7325 South Jones.

19 The convenience Clerk was obviously inside the 20 convenience store when four black male adults entered the 21 store and were acting kind of suspicious. One of those black 22 male adults had a gun on his hip in like an open carry 23 fashion, and so that convenience Clerk had called our dispatch 24 to say that he kind of felt maybe he might have -- might be 25 robbed, and he was just kind of, you know, just unsecured. So

1 police officers responded and talked with the convenience 2 store.

Q Okay. And then, based on that information that the police officers obtained from talking to that Clerk in the convenience store, did you respond to the convenience store? A Yes, I did.

7 Q I want to show you State's Exhibit 71. Do you
8 recognize that?

9 A Yes, that's the Short Line Express market.
10 Q Okay. And then, based on the information that had
11 been sent from the convenience store, to the other patrol
12 officers, to you, what were you trying to find or locate
13 there?

A Some of the information that initial patrol officers received when they respond to the convenience store, that the four black male adults had entered the store, and they were -had actually driven into the -- or driven into the parking lot in the store in like a white Grand Marquis, or like a white kind of a vehicle, and this had matched some information that we had received at the briefing at the homicide scene.

21 Q Speaking of that briefing and the matching of the 22 information, did you have information that there was a white 23 Crown Vic-type car with a specific license plate?

A Yes, I did.

Q

25

-,

All right. So when you went to this location, were

Page 40

2160

1 you trying to see if you could see from the surveillance a car 2 that would fit that description? 3 Α Yes. 4 0 Okay. MR. PESCI: Your Honor, with your permission, can I 5 publish what's been previously admitted as 328? 6 7 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. PESCI: 8 Detective, were you there when this video 9 0 surveillance was obtained? 10 Yes, I was. 11 А Okay. And was your responsibility, in essence, to 12 0 try to look at that and retrieve that video surveillance? 13 А 14 Yes. Okay. I want to show you a clip, and ask you if you 15 0 16 recognize this clip. 17 А Yes, I do. Okay. And then, with this clip -- or did you see 18 0 19 this clip when you went to that location? Yes, I did. 20 А And what did you do -- what was there that piqued 21 0 22 your interest? I noticed, as the vehicle pulled in, you can make 23 А out on the bottom lefthand side, the front license plate, the 24 numbers 473. 25

1 Okay, and there's actually a mouse right there. Q And 2 if you move that mouse, it will correspond to the screen, or 3 at least it should. Let me do this. There we go. Thank you. 4 And did you view that to try to find out about that particular 5 license plate? А Yes, I did. 6 7 Did that match the information that you had received 0 8 from the briefing? 9 А Yes. Okay. And then, also, as far as there being four 10 Ο individuals, was that information that you had? 11 12 Α Yes. Did you watch this video surveillance, among other 13 0 camera angles? 14 15 А Yes, I did. 16 0 And were you able to see four individuals? 17 А That's correct. 18 You mentioned you had some information about the Q 19 possibility of someone open carrying? 20 Α Yes. 21 All right. And then, in reviewing that Ο 22 surveillance, did you see things of interest to you? Yes, there's an individual that is open carrying of 23 А 24 a firearm. Now, based on that license plate, what did you do, 25 Q

1 getting that information? 2 I provided that information, as well as the video А 3 surveillance, back to Detective Dosch and Detective Jaeger. 4 0 Okay. And then, was there an effort to, in essence, 5 look up that particular license plate? 6 А Yes. 7 Okay. I want to show you what's been marked as Q State's 332. 8 9 MR. PESCI: May I approach, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. MR. PESCI: Which has been previously shown to 11 defense counsel, and I believe there's no objection. 12 MR. SANFT: No objection, Your Honor. 13 MR. RUGGEROLI: No, Your Honor. 14 MR. PESCI: Move for the admission of 332, Your 15 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: It's admitted. 18 (State's Exhibit 332 is admitted) 19 BY MR. PESCI: 20 Do you recognize what that is? Q 21 Yes. А 22 What is that? 0 23 А This is the vehicle registration data for that 24 specific license plate. Okay. What does that information tell you? 25 Q

It tells me that this plate was surrendered and 1 А 2 actually had belonged to a James Newman (phonetic) at 1327 H 3 Street, Apartment 431. 4 0 Okay, so showing you State's Proposed Exhibit 150. MR. PESCI: Any objection to that one? 5 MR. SANFT: No objection. 6 7 MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: 150 is admitted. 8 (State's 150 is admitted) 9 BY MR. PESCI: 10 Do you recognize State's 150? 11 0 THE CLERK: You got to hit the button. 12 MR. PESCI: Oh, goodness. Thanks. 13 14 BY MR. PESCI: 15 Do you recognize State's 150? We got to zoom-out. 0 16 Yes, I do. Α 17 Okay. Now, before we get to where you actually saw 0 that, you just talked to us about H Street, the letter H? 18 19 Α Yes. Okay. So who is the listed individual as the 20 Q registered owner of this particular vehicle? 21 22 James Newman. А Okay. And then, James Newman had an address of the 23 Q 24 H Street? 25 А Yes.

1 Okay. And what did you, as a squad or a group, do Q 2 with that information? Did you go to that location? 3 А Yes, we did. 4 0 Okay. And then, did you make contact with a Mr. 5 Newman? 6 I did not, but I believe Detective Jaeger and Dosch А 7 did. 8 Q Okay. 9 MR. PESCI: Court's indulgence. BY MR. PESCI: 10 So did you get information in the course of your 11 0 investigation that Mr. Newman had sold that vehicle? 12 13 А Yes. Okay. So that car had actually been sold to a 14 0 15 DeMario Lofton-Robinson; that's the information that you had 16 received? 17 А There was a -- yes. Eventually, it had been sold to 18 DeMario Lofton-Robinson. Right. The information you received is there was 19 0 20 kind of a middle person in between that? 21 А Yes. Okay. But eventually, that car was sold and 22 Q 23 purchased by DeMario Lofton-Robinson? 24 А Yes. Okay. And then, with that information, did you try 25 Q

to go to an address associated with DeMario Lofton-Robinson? 1 2 Yes, we did. Α 3 Q All right. Showing you State's Exhibit 215, do you 4 recognize that? 5 Yes, I do. Α Okay. What is that? 6 Q 7 А That is a residence at 919 Bagpipe in North Las 8 Vegas. 9 And did you in your investigation have information 0 associating DeMario Lofton-Robinson with this particular 10 address? 11 Yes, we did. 12 Α All right. Now, when you respond to a homicide 13 0 scene, when you start working it, do you remember roughly 14 15 about what time you got to the scene? 16 Α I believe it was about midnight --17 Q All right. -- on the 9th. 18 Α Okay, so the early morning hours of the 9th? 19 0 20 Α Yes. You're not exactly sure exactly what time? 21 0 22 Α Correct. Okay. And here's the point I was trying to get to. 23 Q 24 Do you -- do you go home at that point? 25 Α No, we work the scene until all leads are exhausted.

1 Okay. Do you stay at a scene or stay talking to Q 2 witnesses for many hours? 3 Α Yes, we do. You, as we've heard from your testimony today, went 4 Q 5 to the Short Line Express? Α 6 Yes. 7 You actually search for and get information about Q this car, and then go to that H Street location? 8 9 Yes, we did. Α Interviews are done with different individuals to 10 0 11 find out about this sale? 12 Α Yes. Okay. And then, after that, there's still more work 13 Ο 14 to be done? 15 А Yes. Sometimes, there's more follow up to be done. 16 Q All right. Was there a decision at some point to 17 say, okay, we've been at this for a while, let's go home? Yes, in the evening hours of the 9th. 18 А About -- do you know -- do you remember about how 19 Q 20 many hours you'd been working at that point? Well, almost 24, 30 hours. 21 А 22 Okay. So was there a decision to go home and get 0 23 some sleep? 24 А Yes. But instead, what did you do? 25 Q

Instead, on my way home, I drove by the Bagpipe 1 А 2 address. 3 All right. So when you went by that address, was Q 4 there anything of interest that you saw? Yes. I saw the Grand Marquis parked in the -- in 5 Α front of the Baqpipe address, with the license plate 473YZ 6 7 Baker. And so, at that point, you didn't actually have that 8 Q 9 car at that address, did you? No, we did not. 10 А All right. You had information, but you hadn't 11 Ο physically put eyes on it? 12 Α No. 13 Was that something important in the process of your 14 Q investigation? 15 А 16 Yes. 17 0 What did you do? I basically started to survey the -- surveil the 18 Α call -- or the car, and I made phone calls into my squad to 19 say that I have located the suspect vehicle. 20 So you called them all back out? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. So did you do anything in reference to that Q 24 vehicle? Did anybody get in; did anybody move? What 25 happened?

While I was conducting surveillance of the 1 А Yes. 2 vehicle, two black males adults entered the vehicle, and they 3 resembled the same black male adults that I had seen in the 4 video from the Short Line Express. 5 Q And I wanted to point that out. Like, you had 6 visually watched the video surveillance? 7 Α Many times, yes. Okay. And so, when you were outside of State's 215, 8 0 9 is that where the two individuals that fit the description from the surveillance video that you watched exited from? 10 11 А Yes. Okay. And now, when we look at State's 150, is that 12 0 at the house? 13 14 No, it's not. А Okay. Walk us through what happened. 15 0 So, as I'm surveilling the vehicle, the two black 16 0 17 male adults get in the vehicle, and the vehicle becomes I then made the decision to follow the vehicle. At 18 mobile. 19 this time, I'm also calling out to my squadmates and to other 20 patrol officers that I was following the vehicle at some 21 distance, because we wanted to see where the vehicle was heading to, in hopes of eventually stopping the vehicle. 22 23 Okay. And then, was it stopped? Ο 24 Α Yes, it was stopped at -- I believe it was 1366 West 25 Cheyenne. It's the Reef Dispensaries.

1 Okay. Is there a Dotty's nearby as well? Q 2 Α There is. 3 Okay. So when the car is stopped there, the two Ο 4 people that are in the vehicle, what happens with them? 5 The two people exit the vehicle, and they were А 6 stopped and taken into custody. 7 Q Okay. And then, what was done with the car? The car was then -- we had actually called out crime 8 Α scene analysts, and we sealed the car, and the car was 9 eventually towed to our crime lab. 10 All right. When you say you called out crime scene 11 0 analysts, does that mean they responded to this particular 12 location? 13 14 А Yes, they do. 15 Okay, which we see in the photograph right now? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 0 Okay. Now, as you're working this car, it's away from the Bagpipe address, correct? 18 Correct. 19 A 20 What is done in relation to the Bagpipe address as Ο you and the crime scene analysts are here working this car? 21 When the vehicle became mobile, some of our criminal 22 Α intel detectives were actually en route to the Bagpipe address 23 to continue surveillance. They continued surveillance, and at 24 25 that point, Detective Jaeger obtained a search warrant for

Page 50

2170

1 that residence.

2 Okay. And so, when you peeled off to follow the Q 3 car, others went back to the house to watch it? 4 А Yes. 5 Q Okay. And then, did someone come out of that house 6 later on that fit the description of someone from the video surveillance? 7 Yes, eventually. 8 А 9 And do you remember who that was? Q I do not. 10 Α Okay, but was that person also taken into custody? 11 0 Yes. 12 А All right. And then, did you go with the car to the 13 Q 14 crime lab? No, I did not. 15 А 16 Q Okay. MR. PESCI: Pass the witness. 17 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 18 19 MR. SANFT: No cross, Your Honor. 20 MR. RUGGEROLI: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. RUGGEROLI: 22 23 Morning, Detective. Q 24 А Good morning. You met with the Clerk at the Speedy -- or the Short 25 Q

1 Line Express?

2

5

A Yes.

3 Q And that's the early morning hours of August 9th, 4 2017?

A That's correct, yes.

6 Q You indicated -- and I think your statement was 7 robbery -- might be a robbery?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Now, you're just remembering this; you didn't put 10 that information in a report or anything like that, correct?

11 A No, I didn't.

12 Q You may be in error about what his actual statements 13 were? I want to be clear. When you spoke to him, that phrase 14 was not used?

15 I did not speak to the Clerk about the robbery, no. А Okay, thank you. And there wasn't an actual 16 0 17 criminal incident; it was that you had information about the four suspects that may have been at the Short Line Express? 18 No, the Clerk was unsure. The Clerk felt that he 19 А was going to be robbed, so he notified patrol officers. 20 21 But you didn't receive that information? 0

22 A No, I did not.

23 Q And so what you're saying -- and this is why I'm 24 asking you. That word, "robbed," that was never spoken to 25 you?

To -- to me directly by --1 А 2 From the Clerk. 0 3 Α From the Clerk? No. 4 And also, the Clerk did not call 911? You went out Ο 5 to the Short Line Express because Sergeant Tromboni had 6 actually gone there, correct? 7 А Yes. Thank you. 8 Q MR. RUGGEROLI: Court's indulgence. 9 (Pause in the proceedings) 10 BY MR. RUGGEROLI: 11 Detective, I'm going to show you a portion of 12 0 State's 328, which you were shown a portion of on direct 13 14 examination. 15 MR. PESCI: Sorry, it's going to take a second to 16 load. 17 MR. RUGGEROLI: No problem. 18 BY MR. RUGGEROLI: While they're loading that up, you had indicated 19 0 that you watched the surveillance video several times? 20 21 Α Yes. You've been trained in firearms? 22 Q 23 Α Yes. And I just want to see if you're able to notice 24 Q 25 something from the video.

MR. PESCI: Which one do you want? 1 2 MR. RUGGEROLI: The fourth one down. Thank you. 3 Just while they walk in. 4 BY MR. RUGGEROLI: 5 0 Do you see the individual that you said had the 6 firearm, and he's adjusting? 7 А Yes. Okay. Are you able to tell from that video -- thank 8 0 9 you -- if that individual took the magazine out of the 10 firearm? No, I can't tell. 11 А Okay. But that is what you were shown on direct 12 Q 13 examination, that portion of them walking in? 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Thank you. 16 MR. RUGGEROLI: That's all I had for that. Thank 17 you. 18 MR. PESCI: Okay. 19 BY MR. RUGGEROLI: 20 You had actually also interviewed Mr. Relato, the 0 21 decedent's cousin; is that correct? 22 А Yes. 23 Do you recall that he had given you some information 0 24 about an iPhone? 25 А I do not recall that, no.

1 What about the mail that Mr. Valenzuela had? Q Do you 2 recall him giving you any information about that? 3 No, I do not. Α 4 Do you recall him giving you any information or did Q 5 you learn any information about Mr. Valenzuela's vehicle prior 6 to August 8th, August 9th of 2017 being broke into? 7 А No. And did you personally write a report regarding this 8 Q incident? 9 Did I personally write a report? 10 А 11 0 Yes. Not that I recall, no. Α 12 MR. RUGGEROLI: Thank you, Detective. 13 I have nothing further. 14 15 THE COURT: Any redirect? MR. PESCI: 16 No. 17 THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here today. You may step down --18 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: -- and you are excused from your 20 21 subpoena. You may call your next witness. MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, the State calls Shawn 22 23 Fletcher. THE MARSHAL: And if you'll please remain standing, 24 25 raise your right hand, and face the Clerk.

2175

SHAWN FLETCHER, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 1 2 THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and 3 spell your first and last name for the record. 4 THE WITNESS: Shawn Fletcher. It's S-h-a-w-n, 5 F-l-e-t-c-h-e-r. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BROOKS: Ms. Fletcher, how are you employed? 8 0 9 I work for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Α as a senior crime scene analyst. 10 And how long have you been so employed? 11 0 А 23-and-a-half years. 12 Do you recall an incident that brings us here to 13 0 court today? 14 А 15 Yes. So I want to turn your attention to that August 9th, 16 0 2017 incident. How did you first become involved in it? 17 I got requested by detectives to go out to the scene 18 Α 19 and seal a vehicle. And when you say "scene," do you recall which scene 20 0 21 this was? 22 А We were on East Cheyenne at a Dotty -- in a Dotty's 23 parking lot. 24 Q And was this nighttime or morning? 25 It was nighttime, about 8:30. А

1 Now, was this the primary scene of the Q 2 investigation, or was this like a secondary scene? 3 Α This was a secondary follow up scene. 4 0 Okay. So when you got there, what did you see? When I got there, there was a vehicle there, and it 5 Α was a white four-door Grand Marquis, I believe, and it was in 6 7 the parking lot in the westbound direction. 8 MR. BROOKS: And Your Honor, I've shown defense some 9 of these exhibits, so going to admit the car photos by stipulation. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to just state for the 11 12 record? 13 MR. BROOKS: Yes. Exhibits 150 through 154. THE COURT: Any objection? 14 15 MR. SANFT: No, Your Honor. 16 MR. RUGGEROLI: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: They're admitted. (State's Exhibits 150 through 154 are admitted) 18 MR. BROOKS: Exhibits 155 through 159. 19 MR. SANFT: No objection, Your Honor. 20 21 No objection. MR. RUGGEROLI: 22 THE COURT: They're admitted. (State's Exhibits 155 through 159 are admitted) 23 MR. BROOKS: Exhibits 160 through 175. 24 MR. SANFT: No objection. 25

MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection. 1 2 THE COURT: They're admitted. 3 (State's Exhibits 160 through 175 are admitted) 4 MR. BROOKS: Exhibits 176 through 180. 5 MR. SANFT: No objection, Your Honor. MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection. 6 7 THE COURT: They're admitted. 8 (State's Exhibits 176 through 180 are admitted) 9 MR. BROOKS: And then, 181 through 214. 10 MR. SANFT: No objection, Your Honor. 11 MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection. THE COURT: They're admitted. 12 (State's Exhibits 181 through 214 are admitted) 13 MR. BROOKS: And Your Honor, may I publish? 14 15 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. BROOKS: 16 So, Ms. Fletcher, is this the vehicle? 17 0 18 Α Yes, it is. Was there something unusual about the vehicle when 19 Ο you arrived that caused you to have to do something? 20 21 Yes, the driver's window was down. Α 22 And so what did you do? 0 Sometimes when -- in this particular case, the 23 А battery was dead, so we tried to jump the car so we could get 24 the window up, and that didn't work. So I placed plastic wrap 25

1 over it after I fingerprint processed it to seal up the window 2 so that we could tow the vehicle without losing anything. 3 I'm showing you -- that last one, for the record, Q 4 was Exhibit 151. Showing you Exhibit 152. 5 Α This is -- is this a touch screen, or am I using the 6 mouse? 7 The mouse in front of you. Q 8 The mouse? Okay. Α 9 Q Yes. This -- the black stuff that you see around this 10 Α window here is my fingerprint powder, and then this is a 11 12 picture of my actual fingerprint lifts. It's kind of hard to see, but you can see like a number 3 here. These are my 13 fingerprint tape. This is before I put that Saran Wrap, so to 14 15 speak, over the window. 16 So you print it before you put the Saran Wrap? 0 17 А Yes. 18 And how would -- you mentioned the battery had died 0 How would you have transported it after you were 19 on this. 20 done? We call the tow company that's on-call, and they 21 А 22 towed it to our lab. 23 0 And did that happen? 24 А It did. 25 Q Did it happen that night?

A It did.

1

Q I want to show you specifically Exhibit 154, and I want to turn your attention to the 4 and 5 pieces of tape. What are those?

5 A These are pieces of my fingerprint tape. You can 6 see the numbers that I gave each one; a 3, a 6, a 7. I'm not 7 sure what that one says, maybe 1. And that's my fingerprint 8 tape that's in place over a developed fingerprint.

9 So what are you doing? What does the tape do? Q The tape -- basically, when you leave your 10А fingerprint behind, you leave behind residue on something. 11 Our powder will adhere to that residue. And then, this is 12 basically -- it's forensic tape, but it's really just Scotch 13 tape. You put that over the print, and then you can pull it 14 off of there, and that black residue that's adhering to the 15 16 print is on the tape, and it's placed on a white fingerprint 17 card. So this is just a photo to show the location of those 18 latent prints that I recovered.

19 Q And what's the word "Q card" mean?

20 A I'm sorry?

21 Q What does "Q card" mean?

22 A I don't know.

Q Okay. So when you put this tape on something, what do you do with it then?

25

A When it gets put on the latent print card, it gets

-- there's a label that's already printed on the card, and 1 2 it's got the case number, my name and P number, the location, and the area that the fingerprint was pulled off. So these 3 4 would all say, "Exterior driver's door," and it's got the 5 vehicle information. And then that gets placed into a latent 6 print packet, so basically, it's an evidence envelope that 7 gets sealed, and then that gets sent over to our Latent 8 Fingerprint Detail. So, Latent Prints 4 and 5, would they have been 9 0 impounded into evidence with -- by you and had your P number 10 11 put on it? 12 А Yes. And then that could have been sent to the lab if 13 0 14 someone ever wanted to test it? Yes. I would secure them and send them over to the 15 Α 16 Latent Print Detail, and then they hold them from then on. 17 Do you test it? Q 18 I do not. А Okay. So after you're done at this Dotty's parking 19 0 lot, do you go with the car back to the CSI lab? 20 21 Yes, I followed the car back there. Α Now, I want to show you Exhibit 159. Do you 22 Q 23 recognize what we're looking at? 24 А I do. 25 And I want to turn your attention to that window. Q

What -- what are we seeing there? 1 2 Α The driver's window? 3 Q Yes. This is just the plastic seal that I put over the 4 Α 5 window after I processed it, just so that it would secure it up for towing. 6 7 0 So, now that it's back at the lab, what happens 8 next? 9 Now that it's back at the lab, you can see the --Α the seals that we placed on the vehicle, where I talked 10 earlier about sealing the vehicle. And now we're ready to 11 completely process the vehicle and do everything else that we 12 need to do with the vehicle through documenting it with 13 photography and latent print processing. 14 15 0 Do you do that that night? No, we did that on the 11th, so two days later. 16 Α Do you wait for a search warrant? 17 0 Yes. 18 А Until you're able to break those seals? 19 0 20 Α Correct. Were those seals intact at the time you broke them? 21 Q 22 Α They were. So once you guys execute the search warrant and 23 0 start documenting what's inside the car, take me through what 24 happens. How does that process work? 25

A It starts out with a photograph like this. So I would have photographed the vehicle, showing it in this state with the seals in place and everything. And then we would photograph -- break the seals, and photograph the entire inside of it.

Generally, the order that we work in is we'll do 6 7 that -- we'll do all the photography first. We'll pull out any evidence, or in this case, I believe we pulled everything 8 out of the car and laid it down on paper on the floor, and 9 photo the contents of the vehicle. And then we'll collect any 10 evidence, or anything that we're going to collect as evidence 11 gets pulled out, and then we usually do the fingerprint 12 processing last. 13

14 Q You said "we." Who's we?

15 A I worked with another crime scene analyst, Claire16 Browning.

Q And you said you did the fingerprint processing last. Would your fingerprints have been on it? How would you keep your fingerprints from being on it?

20 A No, we are of course wearing gloves and protective21 gear so that we don't leave any evidence behind.

Q So now I want to turn your attention to kind of like inside the car at this point in time; specifically, the glove box. Was there something in the glove box of evidentiary interest to you?

Yes. We had a box of ammunition -- firearms 1 Α 2 ammunition in the glove box. Showing you Exhibit 180. Do you recognize that? 3 Q I do. This is the contents of the ammunition box. 4 А 5 And what kind of ammunition is that? 0 It's .45 Auto. It's FC, which is Federal, .45 Auto. 6 Α 7 And was there something else related to firearms in Q 8 the glove box? I believe there was a firearms box. 9 А Okay, and is that -- showing you State's Exhibit 10 Q 177, do you recognize that? 11 Α Yes, that is the box. 12 Okay, and what kind of -- what kind of box is that? 13 0 It's an Interarms .45 semiautomatic gun box. 14 А Now, inside the vehicle, what was -- what was inside 15 0 16 the vehicle? Well, evidentiary-wise, we had a lot of receipts. 17 А We recovered a lot of receipts. We did what we call trace 18 acetate lifts or trace evidence lifts on the seats. 19 What's that? 20 0 A trace evidence lift, sorry, is -- it's a large 21 А adhesive -- it's a big piece of Scotch tape that's like 22 eight-and-a-half by 11, and we just use them to -- we tap it. 23 It's kind of like a lint roller effect. We tap it over all of 24 the seats to recover any trace evidence; any hairs or fibers 25

1 that might be on the seats. So we had that.

2 We did -- also did DNA swabs on all of the door 3 handles, interior and exterior, and then also the steering 4 wheel, the rear-view mirror, and the gear shift, and those are just for any transfer DNA that might be on those items. And 5 So it was then Claire actually did the evidence impound. 6 7 mostly receipts, the ammunition that you just showed, and clothing; we had shoes that were in the trunk of the vehicle. 8 Now, the DNA swabs, where would you do that to and 9 Q 10 why? The DNA swabs on a vehicle, we typically will do, 11 Α like I said, the steering wheel, the rear-view mirror where 12 you would grab the rear-view mirror to adjust the mirror, 13 we'll do the gearshift, and then we do all of the door 14 handles, so every interior door handle and every exterior door 15 16 handle. 17 0 I want to show you State's Exhibit 191. What is 18 this a photo of and why did you take it? This is a photo of the right rear of the vehicle, 19 Α and it's basically just showing the vehicle as -- with the 20 contents as we opened -- as it was when we opened the door. 21 And then you guys start taking things out and 22 0 23 documenting it? 24 А Correct. So, do you see that sweatshirt there --25 Q

2185

1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	above the trash bag?	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	So tell me, if I see show you Exhibit 187, what	
5	happens in between when you see it on the seat to this? What		
6	is that?		
7	А	Normally, the way that we'll we'll do evidence is	
8	we photo it in place, and then we will pull it out. This is		
9	butcher paper that's lying down on the floor of our garage.		
10	So we get fresh butcher paper out, we'll lay the item out, and		
11	re-photo it for identification purposes.		
12	Q	Okay. I want to show you some photos in the trunk.	
13	193.		
14	А	We have several obviously, several Nike boxes.	
15	We've got	a red Air Jordan shoe, and then there's a there's	
16	a lot of	other things in there.	
17	Q	And Exhibit 194, is that just kind of another type	
18	view?		
19	А	Yes, that's a little bit over to the left of the	
20	center.		
21	Q	All right. Did you have some information that those	
22	shoes might be of interest?		
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	And so, showing you Exhibit 181.	
25	А	That's going to be a close-up of one of the pairs of	

Page 66

Т

shoes, and it's on the butcher paper now, as you can see in 1 2 the background. And then, why this in 183? What are you doing here? 3 Q 4 Α This is just showing the size. So that's the -- a sticker that's on the -- the insole, showing the size of the 5 6 shoe. 7 And was there more than one type of this shoe? Q We had a size 11, and we also had a pair that were 8 Α size 9. 9 So, in Exhibit 184, is that kind of the same shoe, 10 0 but with --11 А Yes. 12 -- a different size? 13 0 А Correct. 14 And how do you know it's a different size? 15 Q The 9 and the -- right in here. 16 А 17 I want to show you Exhibit 189. At the point in Q 18 time when you were taking this, did you know why you were taking this, or why was this of interest? 19 20 I don't know. Α Okay. And then, showing you Exhibit 195, what are 21 0 22 we looking at? This little spot that is back here, we thought might 23 А be a spot of blood, and it -- and it did turn out to be a spot 24 25 of blood once we did a presumptive test on it.

1 What's a presumptive test? Q 2 We use a presumptive test called phenolphthalein, Α 3 and it basically will tell you -- we'll do a small little 4 sample -- take a small little sample with a dampened swab, and then we'll test the swab, and the phenolphthalein will 5 indicate whether it's blood or not. 6 7 Okay. And so then, showing you Exhibit 196, is that 0 a zoomed-in version? 8 9 It is. Α Is that your P number? 10 Q It is, yes, 5221. 11 А Okay. So your P number in the photo, does that mean 12 0 that, when you swabbed that, it would have been impounded 13 under your number, or was it impounded under someone else's? 14 15 It was impounded under Claire's -- Claire Browning's А 16 P number. She did all the evidence. 17 Q And were there any other areas that you tried to do 18 presumptive blood tests on? We had some stains on the shoes, both of 19 Α We did. the pairs of the red shoes that we tested. Those did not come 20 back positive; they were negative. And then we had -- this is 21 on the back of the -- it's on the top of the right front seat. 22 23 On the interior right rear door, we had a couple of stains, 24 but they were very small, so we just collected those; we did 25 not test them.
Okay. Would they have been preserved though if 1 Q 2 someone wanted to test them later? 3 And I'm sorry, we also had a red shirt that we Α Yes. 4 tested that was negative as well. And Exhibit 201. So now, at this step of the 5 0 6 process, what are you doing? 7 This is kind of the same process I described Α We've taken the things out of the trunk and laid 8 earlier. 9 them on the butcher paper, and now I'm just kind of photographing them more spread out so that you can see 10 11 everything. All right, I'm going to walk you through kind of a 12 0 progression of that. 202? 13 This is another picture kind of going down the line. 14 А 15 So you just end up going down the line, in like 203, 0 and documenting the various things you took out? 16 17 Ά Correct. Exhibit 204? 18 0 19 Α Again, same thing. Exhibit 205? 20 Q And more of the same. 21 А And Exhibit 206? 22 Q And that's probably the end of it. 23 Α So, after documenting all this, are you present when 24 Q Ms. Browning begins to process the car for fingerprints, or 25

1 what are you doing while she's doing that?

A Yes, I was present.

3 Q Okay. And does she use a similar type style that 4 you would have used?

A She did, yes.

2

5

Q Once you guys are done documenting everything inside
of this, what happens with the car, and then what happens with
the evidence that you guys gathered?

9 A The evidence that was gathered, Claire would have 10 processed some of the items. I think -- I can't remember 11 everything that she processed, but she would have processed 12 some of the items for fingerprints, the things that could have 13 been processed. She would have swabbed some of the drink 14 containers for DNA, just like we swabbed the door handles.

And then, when we -- when we impound or we recover evidence, it's kind of similar to the fingerprints. We will put it in an interior package that we seal up or staple up with the event number. Claire will put her initials, and P number, and the item number on it.

And then, the exterior bag is going to have a label on it that's got a detailed description of exactly what's inside of the bag. That's also going to have the case number, the location. It's going to have Claire's initials and P number; she's going to sign the label. So it's going to have all of the identifiers of the case on that label.

And then she's going to seal -- we do what we call 1 seal the evidence, and that's a red piece of tape that's got 2 3 the Metro stuff on it, and she'll seal it around the -- where 4 she folded over the bag, and then she also initials and writes 5 the date on that, and that shows that the evidence hasn't been 6 tampered with. 7 If the package is opened by somebody after, like a forensics or a latent print examiner, they don't break that 8 They cut the package open, and then they will reseal 9 seal. where they cut the package open so it maintains the integrity 10 of the evidence. And then it will go to the evidence vault at 11 12 that point. MR. BROOKS: Thank you. Nothing further. 13 Pass the witness. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Sanft? 15 No cross, Your Honor. 16 MR. SANFT: 17 THE COURT: Mr. Ruggeroli? 18 MR. RUGGEROLI: Just one brief question. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RUGGEROLI: 20 21 I wanted you to just clarify. You did find some 0 evidence of what looked to be potential bloodstains on shoes, 22

23 but that turned out to be negative; is that correct?

A It did.

25

MR. RUGGEROLI: Okay, nothing further. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else? 1 2 MR. BROOKS: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your 4 testimony here today. You may step down, and you are excused from your subpoena. You may call your next witness. 5 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, the State calls Claire 6 7 Browning. 8 THE MARSHAL: If you'll please step up into the witness stand. Remain standing, raise your right hand, and 9 face the Clerk. 10 CLAIRE BROWNING, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 11 THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and 12 spell your first and last name for the record. 13 THE WITNESS: My name is Claire Browning. First 14 15 name is C-l-a-i-r-e. Last name, B-r-o-w-n-i-n-g. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. BROOKS: 18 Q Ms. Browning, how are you employed? I'm employed as a crime scene analyst with Las Vegas 19 А 20 Metropolitan Police Department. 21 How long have you been so employed? 0 A little over four-and-a-half years. 22 A Did you know the lady who just walked out of the 23 0 24 courtroom? 25 А Yes, I do.

Do you recall an incident that brings us here to 1 0 2 court today that you worked with that lady? 3 Α Yes. So I want to turn your attention to that August 2017 4 Ο 5 time period. How did you first become involved in this 6 investigation? 7 I was requested to assist on a vehicle that was in А 8 the CSI garage that was related to a homicide event. 9 So, by the time you get brought on, the vehicle's 0 already in -- at your CSI lab? 10 That's correct. 11 А All right. And so, what do you -- what's your first 12 Q step? How do you guys divide up the labor? 13 So it was decided that Shawn Fletcher, the last 14 Α person that testified, she was going to do the photos and the 15 report for this particular incident, and then I was going to 16 collect any latent prints and evidence. 17 18 So what's the first step that you would do then? 0 19 А So Shawn originally took some photos of the vehicle to show it in the original condition, to include the seals 20 that were affixed on the exterior of the vehicle. We then cut 21 the seals open, and she can photograph all of the interior of 22 the vehicle to show where all of the items of evidence and all 23 24 the contents, where they were for their original location. 25 And then, what is kind of the first step that you 0

1 would be involved in?

-	would be involved in.	
2	A So the first step that I would be involved in, I	
3	believe that we latent print processed the exterior of the	
4	vehicle, and we pulled all of the items out of the vehicle to	
5	see what was pertinent to the case that we were working.	
6	Q What's an acetate trace lift?	
7	A So an acetate trace lift, it's actually think of	
8	it as like a really big, sticky sheet of paper. It's clear	
9	plastic. We can use it to pick up any trace evidence that	
10	might be on the surface. So in this case, we used trace lifts	
11	on the seats of the vehicle. We then adhere it to just a	
12	piece of clear vinyl or, sorry, not clear vinyl white	
13	vinyl, and submit it for evidence.	
14	Q And when you guys swabbed the car for DNA, would	
15	that have been impounded under your event number?	
16	A It would have been	
17	Q Or, sorry, P number?	
18	A Yes. It would have been impounded under my P	
19	number.	
20	Q And were there three specific things that appeared	
21	to be, like, blood?	
22	A There were some areas that did appear to be blood,	
23	and one area actually tested positive for blood.	
24	Q And when you say tested positive, what what test?	
25	A We did a phenolphthalein presumptive test. It's	

just a test that could point towards the stain that we found 1 2 being blood. It's not 100 percent clear-cut, but more than 3 likely, it would be blood. 0 And do you recall where that was? 4 The area that we tested was on the right side of the 5 Α 6 right front seat back. Showing you Exhibit 195, do you recognize that? 7 Q Yes, this is the stain that I was talking about. 8 Α And would that have -- what's your P number? 9 0 My P number is 15291. 10 А Would you have impounded that with your P number? 11 0 Yes. 12 Α Was that in Package 3, Item 15? 13 Q I believe so, yes. 14 А 15 Were there two other areas of interest that looked 0 16 similar to that? 17 Ά Yes. The areas were too small to actually presumptively test, but we did collect them, and they did 18 appear to be blood. They were located on the interior side of 19 the right rear door. I believe it was on the armrest, and 20 21 then on the interior panel near the handle. So showing you Exhibit 199, do you kind of see that 22 0 from here, or do you want me to give you a closer view? 23 24 Α Yes, I can see it. Okay. And so would those have been impounded under 25 Q

1 your P number in that Package 3, Item 16 and 17?

A I believe so, yes.

2

Q Now, let's move to the print processing. Were you
the person who did the print processing?

5 Yeah, I did the majority of the processing on the Α The only area that I personally did not process 6 vehicle. 7 myself was the, sorry, exterior side of the left front door. I believe that CSA Fletcher had already done that when she had 8 towed the vehicle. But we processed -- or, sorry. I 9 processed the interior and exterior sides of all doors, 10 windows, the hood, the trunk, and several items that were 11 12 located inside the vehicle.

Q All right, I want to walk you through some of those.
Forgive me, you guys took a lot of photos here. So I'm going
to turn your attention to Latent Print 6 first, so Exhibit
16 164. Where is Latent Print 6, and how would that have been
17 impounded?

A So Latent Print 6 looks like it's on the exterior right real quarter panel, or what's kind of the, I guess, C frame of the vehicle. When we impound a latent print lift, we actually -- if you see the tape here, we peel that piece of tape off of the surface and apply it to a piece of white cardstock so that we can visualize the print.

We then label it with the event number, it would be my name and P number, the date that we collected it, what

surface we collected it from, and then that designator, so 1 2 LP6, or Latent Print 6. We then -- for all of the prints that 3 we end up getting, we submit them in a latent print envelope, 4 which details the same information that the card has, and seal it, impound it, and give it to the Latent Print Detail. 5 And just so we don't have to go through that step on 6 0 7 each one, all the prints that I'm about to talk about, do you do the same thing individually with those prints? 8 Yes, we do. 9 Α Are some of these fingerprints and some of these 10 0 11 palm prints? I believe so, yeah. 12 А How do you decide, you know, what to pull? 13 0 So there are certain specifications to what we take. 14 А 15 Typically, there's got to be a certain number of points in ridge detail that we can identify. There can be a delta --16 17 I'm not a latent print examiner, and I'm not an expert by any means, but we look for certain details that are within the 18 19 print. So, Latent Print 13 in Exhibit 165, let me see if I 20 0 can zoom-in to help you with the number. Do you see it? 21 22 Wait. Sorry, repeat the -- the number. 23 Α Latent Print 13, do you see it there? 24 Q Oh, 13. Yes, it's on the exterior side of that 25 Α

1 window. 2 And is that the back passenger? 0 Yes, it is. 3 А Showing you Exhibit 166, Latent Print 16. Do you 4 Ο 5 see it there? 6 А 16 appears to be at the top edge of the right front 7 window. Now, is there also a Latent Print 19? Do you want 8 0 me to back out of there? 9 It looks like it's actually on that -- that bottom 10 Α edge of the window. 11 So that's the one on the bottom edge here? 12 0 Yes, that's correct. 13 Α Latent Print 20 on Exhibit 167. Do you see it 14 0 15 there? А It looks like it's going to be on the frame of 16 Yes. the right front door. 17 And where is Latent Print 23 now in Exhibit 169? 18 0 19 Α It looks like this is the front hood of the vehicle. 20 0 So we've kind of been going up in numbers, and working our way how on the car? How did you do it? 21 It's hard to say, but based off of the direction 22 А that the prints appear to be going, we would have numbered it 23 24 from the right rear side of the vehicle, around towards the 25 front, towards the left side of the vehicle.

I'm going to show you kind of a group of different 1 Q 2 photos -- or I'm going to ask on a group of different prints 3 now. Showing you Exhibit 170. Can you use that mouse in 4 front of you and help identify for us Latent Print 27? 5 This appears to be Latent Print 27. Α Let me see. Okay, and Latent Print 28? Q 6 7 Α Is right next to it. Latent Print 29? 8 Q I believe it's this one. 9 Α And 30? 10 0 Latent Print 30 is this one. 11 А 12 31? 0 If I can read it correctly, I believe it's this one 13 Α right here. 14 15 0 Okay, and let me show you Exhibit 171. Can you kind 16 of see some of the areas that we were just looking at when we 17 were talking about 31, 29, and 30? А 18 Yes. Now, I want to ask you where 32, 33, and 34 are. 19 0 These are these three prints right here. 20 А Right. And showing you Exhibit 172. Do you see 21 0 22 where 34 and 35 are? I believe this is 35, and then -- I feel like my 23 Α eyesight is failing me. I can't see where 34 is. Oh, 34 is 24 25 this one right here.

1 37? Do you want me to back -- back out? Q 2 Α You're good. 37 is right here. I just --3 Q All right. I think this is still the front hood. 4 Α 5 And were we all -- we're still on the hood for all 0 6 those, right? 7 Α Yes. And was the car this dirty, or did you make it that 8 Q dirty? 9 I made it that dirty. That is the latent print 10 Α powder that we were using. 11 And I promise, just a last couple. Latent Print 43? 12 0 А 43 appears to be on the top frame of -- this looks 13 to be the left rear door. 14 15 0 And do we see 44 in this photo? I believe this one is 44 on the door -- or, sorry, 16 Α 17 not the door; on the window. Now, were there things that you processed for prints 18 0 that didn't involve this type of processing that returned 19 negative results? 20 We actually did powder processing on all the items 21 Α that we did process. Several of those items were located 22 inside the vehicle, so we processed water bottles, there was a 23 qun box, cigarillo wrappers, things of that nature. 24 25 MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Your Honor. Pass the

1 witness.

-	witheress.
2	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
3	MR. SANFT: No cross, Your Honor.
4	MR. RUGGEROLI: I have no questions. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your
6	testimony here today. You may step down. You are excused
7	from your subpoena.
8	MR. PESCI: Can I approach?
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	MR. PESCI: Can we approach?
11	(Bench conference)
12	THE COURT: Is this where you want to call DeShawn?
13	MR. PESCI: Yeah. Yeah, so I don't know if you
14	wanted to take a break.
15	THE COURT: Okay, yeah, we'll take a break.
16	(End of bench conference)
17	THE COURT: Okay. At this time, ladies and
18	gentlemen, we're going to take a short recess.
19	During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or
20	converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
21	connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any
22	report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected
23	with this trial, by any medium of information, including,
24	without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet, or
25	radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected

1 with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 2 We'll be in recess for at least the next 15 minutes. 3 Thank you. 4 THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting 5 jury, please. Jurors. (Outside the presence of the jurors at 10:29 a.m.) 6 7 THE COURT: Okay, the record will reflect that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury 8 9 panel. 10 MR. PESCI: If I can just make a quick record, Your 11 Honor. THE COURT: 12 Sure. 13 MR. PESCI: During the testimony of Detective Lora Cody, there was evidence admitted regarding the registration 14 15 of the vehicle, and specifically, it's under the name of James Newman. I was concerned and didn't want there to be the 16 appearance that somehow this was a stolen vehicle because it 17 comes back as the registered owner being James Newman. 18 19 So I briefly conferred with defense counsel. They agreed with the concept of me utilizing some hearsay evidence 20 21 to establish that it actually had been sold to DeMario 22 Lofton-Robinson so there was no appearance of some sort of other bad act because of the car being in someone else's name. 23 MR. SANFT: That is correct, Your Honor. 24 25 MR. RUGGEROLI: Correct.

Page 82

2201

THE COURT: Okay. And we're bringing in DeShawn 1 2 Robinson, correct? He's here? 3 MR. PESCI: Correct. Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. PESCI: That's what I'm told. MR. SANFT: Yeah. 6 7 THE COURT: Do we have to settle these issues, or did we agree that these exhibits, we would be able to resolve 8 9 that later? The actual exhibits. That's my concern, because I don't know, Mr. Ruggeroli, if you're going to want to 10 11 actually use the exhibit. 12 MR. RUGGEROLI: We have multiple exhibits. The only one that I -- I don't know if I would use any right now. 13 THE COURT: The Agreement to Testify. 14 15 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yeah, I'm not going to use that right now because I know --16 17 THE COURT: Okay. MR. RUGGEROLI: -- that it needs to be worked on. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. RUGGEROLI: I think you were going to let us 20 know after you had a chance to read the canvass of the entry 21 of plea. And so, at this time, I can't display it, obviously, 22 until you make a ruling on that. 23 THE COURT: And you want to actually admit this? 24 MR. RUGGEROLI: I do. So, it's Defense Proposed A1. 25

THE COURT: Okay. And you've read it in its 1 2 entirety? Okay, because I'm concerned about page 12, some of 3 the things that I say. MR. SANFT: Yeah. 4 5 THE COURT: I just want to make sure that -- I mean, page 12 when I say, "And you understand that you'll be 6 testifying in front of this Court, and this Court would also 7 be the one that would probably most likely sentence you, and 8 9 that I'll have an opportunity to hear how you testify?" MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. 10 THE COURT: I mean --11 MR. RUGGEROLI: I know. I did read it, and there's 12 also some other portions on page 12. I --13 14 THE COURT: Yeah. MR. RUGGEROLI: I've made my argument. 15 THE COURT: "And you understand the importance of 16 agreeing to testify truthfully, and if the State believes you 17 didn't testify truthfully, it could be used against you and 18 19 they could argue for a more harsh penalty?" I mean, those are 20 all coming from me. MR. RUGGEROLI: That's true, Judge. I just think 21 that it helps -- it's all true, and because of that, I think 22 23 that it did play a role in his decision-making, which then should be subject to cross-examination so that we can do our 24 25 job and attack his credibility and motive.

2203

The motive that we're going to be suggesting is that 1 2 he has received a tremendous benefit, despite the fact that 3 he's denied and did not admit to being involved in any 4 conspiracy; but that he is obligated, in order to receive the benefits of that agreement, to testify. And so, I've asked 5 that that exhibit be admitted. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. And on page 8, line 20, it says that on -- that the State would prove that on August 19th -- I 8 think Mr. Pesci meant August 9th. 9 MR. PESCI: 10 Yes. THE COURT: So, again, I just want to make sure you 11 want this in, I mean, because they would be able to read the 12 things that I said to Mr. Robinson as well. 13 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes, I don't have the desire to 14 15 redact it. I think it would pretty much be impossible, and it 16 would destroy the context of his answers. MR. PESCI: And Judge, the State's only request is, 17 as you pointed out, I'm not sure if it's misspeaking or the 18 transcription, but obviously, it's 9th. 19 20 THE COURT: Right. MR. PESCI: So I would ask just that the "1" be 21 22 redacted. Oh, yeah, we could just do that, right? 23 THE COURT: MR. PESCI: We could do Wite-Out. 24 THE COURT: It's -- do I have the actual exhibit? 25

2204

THE CLERK: Um-hum. 1 2 THE COURT: Oh, I have the actual one? Oh, I'm so 3 glad I didn't write on it. Oh. Okay, so you just want me to 4 White-Out the "1"? MR. PESCI: Yes, please. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. RUGGEROLI: And Judge, just another matter of clarification, if I may. 8 THE COURT: It's line 20 on page 8. It says, 9 "19th." It's supposed to be the 9th. 10 MR. RUGGEROLI: As to Mr. Pesci's point about they 11 did not present all the evidence that they even had at their 12 13 disposal --THE COURT: Uh-huh. 14 15 MR. RUGGEROLI: -- at the time, I expressed my -- I do not intend in any way to say, oh, hey, this is all the 16 17 State had. But also, on page 8, Mr. Pesci did reference the fact that they were incorporating the grand jury transcripts. 18 THE COURT: Grand jury. Um-hum. 19 MR. RUGGEROLI: I don't want the grand jury 20 transcripts to come in, but I think that that would -- and I'm 21 not going to do it, but even if I unintentionally said 22 something, the State could then point out, hey, look, we 23 incorporated this voluminous document, which is the grand jury 24 transcripts, by reference to this plea, and that contained, 25

But I don't want the grand jury transcripts
introduced at all. Just in order to address the State's
concern that I would somehow suggest that the only evidence

you know, a lot more specificity and information.

5 that they had was what Mr. Pesci relayed, they also had the 6 grand jury, even based on the information that was presented 7 in the canvass.

8 THE COURT: And he actually incorporated it --9 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes.

10 THE COURT: -- into the transcript.

11 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. And I did want to clarify that 12 I don't want to incorporate it into the proposed exhibit.

13THE COURT: Of course you don't. Mr. Sanft, I just14want to make sure you don't have any objection.

MR. SANFT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Okay, and the State has stated all of

17 theirs?

15

1

MR. PESCI: Yes, Judge. I mean, it depends on what he argues that I might do something from that. So I don't know how he's eventually going to argue that, but my intent is not to say, "I now move to admit the entire grand jury transcript," because it has the other crimes that had been excised out.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to allow it, so I can admit it right now. Al.

(Defense Exhibit A1 is admitted) 1 2 THE COURT: Is there anything else before -- because 3 Officer Hawkes is going to have to get that witness in here 4 and get him ready. 5 MR. PESCI: I don't think so. 6 MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And I saw his attorney, so Mr. Evans is 8 here, right? THE MARSHAL: He's back in the back with him. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. PESCI: Yes. 11 THE MARSHAL: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: So we'll just take about ten minutes --13 14 MR. RUGGEROLI: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: -- and then we can get the witness 15 16 ready. 17 MR. PESCI: Thank you, Your Honor. (Court recessed at 10:36 A.M. until 10:51 A.M.) 18 19 (Outside the presence of the jurors) THE MARSHAL: Please come to order. Court is now in 20 21 session. THE COURT: Okay, can we bring the panel in? Bring 22 23 them in. THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury, 24 25 please. Oh.

(Pause in the proceedings) 1 2 MR. RUGGEROLI: Your Honor, as we're waiting, I 3 would like to invoke the exclusionary rule. I don't expect anybody's a witness, but in case --4 5 THE COURT: Okay, just a minute. THE MARSHAL: All rise for entering jury. 6 7 THE COURT: Just will you hold Hawkes just for a moment? Okay. You're invoking the exclusionary rule? 8 MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Are there any witnesses in here? 10 MR. RUGGEROLI: I don't think so; I'm just being 11 12 cautious. THE COURT: 13 Okay. MR. PESCI: No. The State's invoking the same 14 thing, and we have been since the beginning. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. MR. PESCI: So I don't know -- everybody that's over 17 here, they are not going to testify because they've been in 18 19 here the whole trial. 20 MR. RUGGEROLI: Correct. THE COURT: And -- because I don't know everybody in 21 the courtroom, so I just -- I'll rely on the attorneys, okay? 22 23 Sorry about that. 24 THE MARSHAL: No problem. THE COURT: And I also want the record to reflect 25

1 that Mr. Robinson's attorney, Mr. Evans, is present in the 2 courtroom.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Your Honor. That's what I
was just going to say, and we also have some other individuals
from the juvenile detention facility. So I'm not sure maybe
if that was the concern, but they are associated with the -they have to have someone here with him -THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. PESCI: -- because he's in custody.

THE COURT: That's fine. Okay, anything else before
we bring them in?

MR. RUGGEROLI: No, Judge.

13 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Mr. Evans, you're okay 14 with where you're sitting?

MR. EVANS: Yeah, I'm fine. I'm fine here, Judge.
If you'd prefer, I'll move somewhere else, but --

THE COURT: No, it's your pleasure.

18 MR. EVANS: -- I'm comfortable here and it's a

19 little crowded up there.

12

17

25

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. EVANS: All right.

22 THE COURT: You can bring them in.

THE MARSHAL: Okay, we're ready to roll. All rise for the entering jury.

(Within the presence of the jurors at 10:55 a.m.)

THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence 1 2 of the panel? 3 THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. Please be 4 seated. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Pesci, do you stipulate to the 6 presence? 7 MR. PESCI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Sanft? 9 MR. SANFT: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Ruggeroli? MR. RUGGEROLI: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. The State of Nevada may call 12 their next witness. 13 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, the State calls DeShawn 14 15 Robinson. THE COURT: Mr. Robinson, if you don't mind 16 17 standing, facing the Clerk, raising your right hand so you can be sworn in. She's over here, Mr. Robinson. 18 DESHAWN ROBINSON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 19 THE CLERK: You may be seated. Please state and 20 21 spell your first and last name for the record. 22 THE WITNESS: DeShawn Robinson. D-e-s-h-a-w-n. 23 Robinson, R-o-b-i-n-s-o-n. 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS: 25

1		Q	Mr. Robinson, can I call you DeShawn?	
2		A	Yes, sir.	
3		Q	DeShawn, how old are you?	
4		A	17.	
5		Q	And I see you have a handcuff on. Where did you	
6	come	from	today?	
7		A	Summit View Center.	
8		Q	Where is that?	
9		A	I don't know where it's located.	
10		Q	Is it here in Las Vegas though?	
11		A	Yes, sir.	
12		Q	Okay. And I want to turn your attention back to	
13	like	that	2017 time frame. Can we talk about that time frame?	
14		A	Yes, sir.	
15		Q	How old were you then?	
16		A	14.	
17		Q	And were you in high school or were you going into	
18	high	high school?		
19		A	Going into high school.	
20		Q	Which high school?	
21		А	I was out when summertime was so I didn't get to	
22	sign	up fo	or school or anything.	
23		Q	Oh, I guess I should have worded it better. Which	
24	one v	vere y	you zoned for? Where would you have gone?	
25		А	I would have gone to Desert Pines High School.	

1 Q Desert Pines? And where were you living back then? 2 А I was living with my brother and his girlfriend. And what's your brother's name? 3 Q DeMario Lofton-Robinson. 4 А 5 And do you sometimes refer to him by something else? 0 Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 What do you call him? Q 8 DJ. А DJ? What's DJ short for? 9 Q DeMario Lofton -- it's short for DeMario, Jr. 10 Α 11 because --Oh, he's a Junior? 12 Q Yes, sir. 13 Α Okay. And where was your -- did you guys have the 14 0 same mom or same dad? Which one? 15 Same mom. 16 Α Same mom? And where did she live? 17 0 She lived in Texas. 18 А Did you ever get the chance to kind of go visit her? 19 0 Yeah. 20 А Back before this time frame that we're talking 21 0 22 about, had you recently visited her? 23 А Yeah. What part of Texas; do you know? 24 Q Arlington, Texas. 25 А

1	Q	And in this 2017 time frame, who were you living
2	with the	n? Whose house?
3	А	I was living with my brother's girlfriend.
4	Q	Brother's girlfriend?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Who where was your dad living?
7	А	I don't know.
8	Q	Okay. Do you know if he's in Nevada?
9	А	Yeah.
10	Q	He's in Nevada. And did you have any other family
11	here in	Las Vegas?
12	А	My grandma.
13	Q	What was her name?
14	А	Barbara Barnett (phonetic).
15	Q	Where was she what was her address?
16	А	919 Bagpipe Court.
17	Q	Is that North Las Vegas area?
18	А	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Did you sometimes stay there?
20	A	Yeah.
21	Q	Did DJ sometimes stay there?
22	А	Yeah.
23	Q	Who else lived there?
24	A	My grandma, my other brother Adrian, and my sister
25	Nicky (p	phonetic).

Does Nicky have another name? 1 Q 2 Her first name, DeAndra (phonetic). Α 3 DeAndra? And is she -- is there something special Q between her and DeMario? 4 Twins. 5 А 6 Identical or fraternal? Q 7 Α Identical. 8 And so who's the oldest in the family? Q 9 Α My brother, Anthony. 10 0 Anthony? And then who? Then, Adrian. 11 Α 12 And then who? Q My brother, DeMario. 13 Α And then you? 14 0 15 Yes, I'm the youngest. Α You're the youngest? Okay. And what was DeMario or 16 0 DJ -- I might switch back and forth, sorry. What was 17 DeMario's girlfriend's name? 18 Katara (phonetic) 19 Α 20 Q Katara? Um-hum. 21 Α 22 Q And did you have a girlfriend at the time? 23 Α Yes, sir. What was her name? 24 0 25 А Aja (phonetic).

Aja. Primarily, did you guys keep your clothing and 1 Q sleep at your grandmother's house? 2 3 No, she actually kicked us out, so we were living А 4 out of his car. 5 Q So if you didn't come out -- come home at night, or 6 if you were, you know, mouthing off, would she tell you --7 А Yeah. 8 Now, I want to kind of specifically turn your Q 9 attention to like August 9th, 2017. Do you recall an incident 10 that brings us here to court today? Yes, sir. 11 Α 12 About a week before that, did your brother buy 0 something new? 13 14 А Yes, sir. What did he -- what did he get? 15 0 Clothes, shoes. 16 А Oh, sorry. Did he get a vehicle, I meant? 17 Q 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 What kind? 0 20 A Grand Marquis. Α What color? 21 0 22 Α White. 23 Q Would you recognize it if I showed you a photo? 24 А Yes, sir. 25 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, permission to publish

exhibits that have been admitted? 1 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 BY MR. BROOKS: Exhibit 150. Do you recognize that, DeShawn? 4 0 5 А Yes, sir. And is that the car that your brother had just 6 Q 7 bought a week before? 8 А Yes, sir. 9 And when I say week before, I mean that August 9th 0 10 time frame. Yes, sir. 11 А Had you been inside it? 12 Q Yeah. 13 Α Did your brother take you places? 14 Q 15 А Yeah. I want to show you kind of like a view in the back. 16 0 Exhibit 191. Do you recognize the back seat there? 17 Yes, sir. 18 Α What is -- what kind of stuff's back there? Do you 19 0 20 know? 21 A black bag, a sweater. А 22 Q Had you seen that sweater before? 23 А Yeah. Do you know what kind of brand it is? 24 0 25 А No, sir.

0 I want to show you Exhibit 215. DeShawn, do you 1 2 recognize what's in this photo? 3 Yes, sir. Α What is it? 4 0 5 My grandmother's house. Α 6 So this is that 919 Bagpipe? Q 7 А Yes, sir. All right, I'm going to take you through a couple 8 Q Tell me what we're looking at with regard to this 9 photos. 216. What do we see? 10 house. Α The front door. 11 217? 12 0 The upstairs. 13 А And then, tell me what's down this hallway in 218. 14 0 It's a room -- it's two rooms and a bathroom. 15 А 16 Okay. DeShawn, with your right hand, you see that 0 17 mouse right there? Α Yes, sir. 18 If you could just -- I'll give you a zoomed-in 19 0 version of that photo, so 219. Tell me whose room's who. 20 This is my brother Anthony's room right here. 21 А 22 Q Okay. 23 And this is my sister's room. Α Did you sometimes -- did you get along with Anthony? 24 Q 25 Yes, sir. Α

1	Q	And did you get along with your sister?		
2	А	Yes, sir.		
3	Q	Who were you closest with though?		
4	А	My sister.		
5	Q	And between Anthony and DeMario, who were you		
6	closest with?			
7	А	DeMario.		
8	Q	I want to show you a photo.		
9		MR. BROOKS: Permission to approach, Your Honor?		
10		THE COURT: You may.		
11	BY MR. BR	OOKS:		
12	Q	DeShawn, I want you to look at State's Proposed		
13	Exhibits	144, 145, 146, 147, and 148.		
14	A	Yes, sir.		
15	Q	Do you recognize who's pictured in these photos?		
16	А	Yes, sir.		
17	Q	Who is it?		
18	A	My brother.		
19	Q	And would these have been taken a couple years back		
20	around August 2017?			
21	А	Yes, sir.		
22	Q	And when you say your brother, who what who		
23	specifica	specifically?		
24	А	My brother, DeMario.		
25	Q	DeMario Lofton-Robinson?		

А Yes, sir. 1 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, State moves for admission 2 3 of these particular exhibits. MR. SANFT: No objection, Your Honor. 4 MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection. 5 6 THE COURT: They're admitted. 7 (State's Exhibits 144 through 148 are admitted) BY MR. BROOKS: 8 DeShawn, I want to show you Exhibit 144. Is that 9 Q 10 DJ? Yes, sir. 11 Α And in 145, is that also DJ? 12 0 Yes, sir. Α 13 How tall was he? 14 0 At least 5'9. 15 Α Okay. And do you recall back then whether he was 16 0 17 taller than you, or? About the same height. 18 А About the same height? And now who's taller? 19 0 20 А I do not know. I don't know. 21 Q I want to show you --MR. BROOKS: Permission to approach, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: You may. 23 BY MR. BROOKS: 24 DeShawn, I want to show you State's Proposed 25 Q

Exhibits 130 through 134. Do you recognize who's pictured in 1 2 these photographs? 3 А Yes, sir. Who is it? 4 Q 5 Α Me. 6 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, State moves for admission 7 of those particular exhibits. 8 MR. SANFT: No objection. 9 MR. RUGGEROLI: No objection. THE COURT: They're admitted. 10 (State's Exhibits 130 through 134 are admitted) 11 12 MR. BROOKS: DeShawn -- permission to publish, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 BY MR. BROOKS: DeShawn, showing you Exhibit 130, do you recognize 16 Q 17 yourself there? Yes, sir. 18 А How old were you? 19 0 20 А 14. 21 And was this taken August 2017? 0 22 Α Yes, sir. Was it taken at a police station? 23 Q Yes, sir. 24 Α 25 Have you since grown? Q

Yes, sir. 1 А 2 DeShawn, when this was taken, did officers also take Q 3 your cell phone from you? 4 Α Yes, sir. Now, I want to go back to kind of when we were 5 Q 6 talking about the car. Do you remember when we were talking 7 about the vehicle? 8 А Yes, sir. 9 0 And I showed you a photo? Yes, sir. 10 Ά I want to show you State's Exhibit 325. Do you see 11 0 the vehicle that you pointed out in this photograph? 12 Yes, sir. 13 А Could you take that mouse for us and point which 14 Q one? Do you remember which night this was? 15 Yes, sir. 16 Α And do you remember where you were seated inside 17 0 that car? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Α 20 Q Where? Right behind the passenger side. 21 Α 22 Q Behind the passenger side? 23 Α Yes, sir. So, I want to show you Exhibit 195. Do you see kind 24 0 25 of a marking on that seat?

Yes, sir. А 1 2 Q Do you remember that? 3 No, sir. А No? Okay. Would you have been sitting behind that 4 0 5 seat though? 6 Yes, sir. А 7 Now, DeShawn, I want to show you a couple photos Q 8 from the trunk of that car, okay? 9 А Yes, sir. Exhibit 194. Do you recognize that? 10 Q Yes, sir. 11 Α And were some of those items in the back of the car 12 Q 13 yours? Yes, sir. 14 Α So remember how, earlier, I asked that bad question? 15Q I said, you know, did your brother buy some stuff a week 16 17 earlier, and you said, yes, some clothes? 18 Α Yes. Okay, and I meant the vehicle, but did he buy some 19 Q 20 shoes? 21 Yes, sir. Α 22 Q Did you get some shoes? Yes, sir. 23 Α Did you guys get the same shoes? 24 Q Um-hum. 25 А

0 Do you recall what kind? 1 2 THE COURT: Is that a yes? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Thank you. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 6 BY MR. BROOKS: 7 0 Do you recall what kind? I can't remember the name. 8 А 9 Were they Reeboks, Adidas, Nikes? Q No, they were Jordans. 10 Α Jordans? Okay. So, showing you Exhibit 181, do you 11 Q 12 recognize those? Yes, sir. 13 А And I'm going to show you Exhibit 182. Is that just 14 0 kind of like a side shot? 15 16 Yes, sir. Α So I'm going to show you two different ones, because 170 there are two different pairs in that trunk, and I want you to 18 19 explain something to me. 20 MR. BROOKS: So showing you two different photos, 21 Your Honor, at the same time, 186 and 183. BY MR. BROOKS: 22 DeShawn, there's a size 9 and a size 11. Whose was 23 Q whose? 24 The size 9 was my brother's, and the size 11 was 25 А

1 mine. 2 So you were the bigger one? Q 3 А Yes, sir. Okay. And do you remember wearing those shoes on 4 Q 5 the night that we're talking about? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 Q DeShawn, I want to ask about a convenience store. 8 Do you remember a convenience store? 9 А Yes, sir. All right. Do you recall going into it? 10 Q Yes, sir. А 11 Do you remember what you were wearing? 12 0 A black shirt, believe black Levis, and the shoes in 13 А the picture. 14 Who were you with? 15 0 16 My brother. А And do you remember what your brother was wearing? 17 0 18 А No, sir. Okay. Would you be able to recognize him if I 19 0 20 showed you a photo? 21 А Yes, sir. I want to show you Exhibit 320. Do you recognize 22 0 23 that? Yes, sir. 24 Α 25 Do you see anyone in there that we just talked Q