IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
LYTLE TRUST,

        Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND
JOLIN G. ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF
THE GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN
G. ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST;
RAYNALDO G. SANDOVAL AND
JULIE MARIE SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS
TRUSTEES OF THE RAYNALDO G.
AND EVELYN A. SANDOVAL JOINT
LIVING AND DEVOLUTION TRUST
DATED MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A.
GEGEN AND JULIE S. GEGEN,
HUSBAND AND WIFE, AS JOINT
TENANTS; ROBERT Z. DISMAN; AND
YVONNE A. DISMAN,

        Respondents.

No. 81390

FILED

JAN 08 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY _S. Young_
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

This is an appeal from an Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why the Lytle Trust Should Not be Held in Contempt for Violation of Court Orders.

Respondents have filed a motion to dismiss the appeal. However, the determination of the jurisdictional issue appears to be intertwined with the merits of this appeal. Accordingly, the motion is

21-00620

denied. The parties may raise the jurisdictional issue in their briefs, if deemed warranted.

Appellants' motions requesting second and third extensions of time to file the opening brief are granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellants shall have until February 3, 2021, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of this appeal. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

_____, C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
        Fidelity National Law Group/Las Vegas
        Christensen James & Martin