

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed
APR 22 2021 02:20 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

TRUDI LEE LYTLE AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF
THE LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND
JOLIN G. ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF
THE GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN
G. ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST;
RAYNALDO G. SANDOVAL AND
JULIE MARIE SANDOVAL GEGEN,
AS TRUSTEES OF THE RAYNALDO
G. AND EVELYN A. SANDOVAL
JOINT LIVING AND DEVOLUTION
TRUST DATED MAY 27, 1992;
DENNIS A. GEGEN AND JULIE S.
GEGEN, HUSBAND AND WIFE, AS
JOINT TENANTS; ROBERT Z.
DISMAN; AND YVONNE A. DISMAN,

Respondents.

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO FILE
ANSWERING BRIEF

Respondents ROBERT Z. DISMAN and YVONNE A. DISMAN (at times collectively referred to herein as, the “Dismans”) hereby respectfully request an

extension of 30 days, through May 28, 2021, to file their answering brief. The Dismans' answering brief was originally due on April 14, 2021, and it is currently due on April 28, 2021, pursuant to a telephonic request for an extension. *See* NRAP 26(b)(1)(B). No requests for extension have been denied or denied in part. The reason for the request is as follows.

The subject of this appeal, *inter alia*, is an Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why the Lytle Trust Should Not Be Held in Contempt for Violation of Court Orders ("Order"). The Order resulted from a Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why the Lytle Trust Should Not Be Held in Contempt for Violation of Court Orders ("Motion") filed by Respondents September Trust, dated March 23, 1972; Gerry R. Zobrist and Jolin G. Zobrist, as Trustees of the Gerry R. Zobrist and Jolin G. Zobrist Family Trust; Raynaldo G. Sandoval and Julie Marie Sandoval Gegen, as Trustees of the Raynaldo G. and Evelyn A. Sandoval Joint Living and Devolution Trust Dated May 27, 1992; and Dennis A. Gegen and Julie S. Gegen (at times collectively referred to herein as, the "September Trust Respondents"). The Dismans had filed a Joinder to the Motion, and by virtue of the Joinder and the subsequent Order, are parties to this appeal.

On April 16, 2021, Appellants and the September Trust Respondents filed a Stipulation for Extension to File Answer to Opening Brief, wherein they stipulated that the September Trust Respondents shall have an extension through May 14, 2021

to file their answering brief. Accordingly, the Dismans' answering brief, currently due on April 28, 2021, is due to be filed prior to the September Trust Respondents' Answering Brief. Because the Dismans' answering brief will likely consist of a joinder to the September Trust Respondents' answering brief, the Dismans respectfully request the Court to grant them an extension of 30 days, through May 28, 2021, to file their answering brief such that they have an opportunity to first review the September Trust Respondents' answering brief.

Undersigned counsel has conferred with Appellants' counsel, Joel D. Henriod, who advised that this motion will not be opposed. Mr. Henriod's professional courtesy is appreciated.

DATED this 27th day of April 2021.

FIDELITY NATIONAL LAW GROUP

/s/ Christina H. Wang
CHRISTINA H. WANG, ESQ. (SBN 9713)
8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89113
(702) 667-3000
Attorneys for Respondents
Robert Z. Disman and Yvonne A. Disman

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 27, 2021, I submitted the foregoing “Unopposed Motion for Extension to File Answering Brief” for filing via the Court’s eFlex electronic filing system. Electronic notification will be sent to the following:

Kevin B. Christensen, Esq.
Wesley J. Smith, Esq.
CHRISTENSEN JAMES & MARTIN
77440 W. Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

*Attorneys for the September Trust
Respondents*

Joel D. Henriod, Esq.
Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq.
Dan R. Waite, Esq.
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER
CHRISTIE LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Appellants

/s/ Lace Engelman

An Employee at Fidelity National Law Group