

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

SEPTEMBER TRUST, DATED MARCH
23, 1972; GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND
JOLIN G. ZOBRIST, AS TRUSTEES OF
THE GERRY R. ZOBRIST AND JOLIN
G. ZOBRIST FAMILY TRUST;
RAYNALDO G. SANDOVAL AND
JULIE MARIE SANDOVAL GEGEN, AS
TRUSTEES OF THE RAYNALDO G.
AND EVELYN A. SANDOVAL JOINT
LIVING AND DEVOLUTION TRUST
DATED MAY 27, 1992; DENNIS A.
GEGEN AND JULIE S. GEGEN,
HUSBAND AND WIFE, AS JOINT
TENANTS; ROBERT Z. DISMAN; AND
YVONNE A. DISMAN,

Respondents.

No. 81390

FILED

AUG 06 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellants' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellants shall have until August 27, 2021, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528

P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Handwritten signature, C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Fidelity National Law Group/Las Vegas
Christensen James & Martin