1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 **Electronically Filed** 3 Case No.: 81428 Feb 04 2021 03:03 p.m. ZURICH AMERICAN INS. CO., et al. Elizabeth A. Brown 4 Appellants, Clerk of Supreme Court 5 VS. 6 IRONSHORE SPECIALTY INS. CO., 7 Respondent. 8 MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF 9 10 FIRST REQUEST Appellants Zurich American Insurance Company and American Guarantee & Liability Ins. 11 Company (collectively "Appellants"), pursuant to NRAP 31(b)(3) hereby move for an extension to 12 file the Reply Brief. The requested extension is based on the following:¹ 13 14 (1) It had been Appellant's understanding that the Reply Brief was due on February 11, 2021 pursuant to NRAP 31(a), which generally affords Appellants thirty (30) days to file the Reply 15 Brief following the filing of the Answering Brief (which was filed with this Court on January 12, 16 2021). Upon inquiring on February 4, 2021 as to whether counsel for Respondent would stipulate 17 to a thirty (30) day extension of time of until March 15, 2021 to file the Reply brief given the 18 19 complexity of the issues and arguments raised in the Answering Brief, Appellants were provided a copy of this Court's September 11, 2021 Order in which it is stated that the Reply Brief shall be 20 filed within 21 days. Assuming the latter Order is controlling (which it appears to), the deadline to 21 22 file the Reply Brief was February 2, 2021. 23 (2) No prior extensions have been requested. (3) No prior extensions have been denied. 24 (4) The extension is needed based on the various considerations, including the following: 25 26 While Respondent's position as to the instant requested extension is not known, it is expected that 27 Respondent will not oppose the request given that prior extensions have been routinely afforded by each side upon request and as a courtesy. Given circumstances, Appellants elected to proceed with 28 the instant motion rather than waiting for a position from Respondent.

MOTION Case No.: 81428

(a) The Answering Brief cites to and relies upon decisional law from over twenty
five (25) separate jurisdictions in support of the position that a majority rule exists nationwide that
should apply to the claims in this case. Time is needed to analyze the decisional law from these
jurisdiction and evaluate the impact, if any, it has on the claims at issue.

- (b) Counsel for the Appellants has been extremely busy with deadlines in other matters that have limited the ability to perform the necessary research and work needed to fully and completely analyze and evaluate the issues and arguments raised in the Answering Brief. While counsel for Appellants has started with the preparation of the Reply Brief, additional time is needed to complete it given the focus needed on other matters coupled with the impact that the COVID-19 pandemic has had on work.
- (c) Once a draft of Reply Brief is completed, multiple individuals associated with Appellants will be reviewing the brief in order to analyze and evaluate the positions taken. Time is needed, therefore, to permit for this vetting process to occur and be completed.
- (5) Appellants request an extension of until March 15, 2021 to file the Reply Brief based on thirty (30) days from February 11, 2021. Appellants. however, defer to this Court if a shorter extension is preferred.

To the extent needed, Appellants can expound on and/or amplify on the circumstances warranting the requested extension. Otherwise, for the reasons set forth herein, it is respectfully submitted that good cause exists to grant the motion and extend the deadline to file the Reply Brief.

By:

Dated: February 4, 2021

MORALES FIERRO & REEVES

MORALES FIERRO & REEVES

600 S Tonopah Drive, Suite 300

/s/ William C. Reeves

Las Vegas, NV 89106

Attorneys for Appellants

William C. Reeves

27

28

MOTION Case No.: 81428

Supporting Declaration

I, William Reeves, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Morales Fierro & Reeves, counsel for Appellants.
- 2. I prepared the instant motion and declare under penalty of perjury that the information contained herein is true and correct based on my own personal knowledge.

Executed in Concord, California on the date specified below.

Dated: February 4, 2021



MOTION Case No.: 81428

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I, William Reeves, declare that:
3	I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within cause.
4	On the date specified below, I served the following document:
5	MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF FIRST REQUEST
6	
7	Service was effectuated in the following manner:
8	BY FACSIMILE:
9	XXXX BY ELECTRONIC MAIL pursuant to the Court's electronic filing protocol
10	BY MAIL: By placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed
11	as follows:
12	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
13	Dated: February 4, 2021
14	
15	William Reeves
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION Case No.: 81428