IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST

TODD B. JAKSICK, Individually, as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the SSJ's Issue Trust; MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, Individually and as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust; KEVIN RILEY, Individually, as Former Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust; and STANLEY JAKSICK, Individually and as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust,

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

vs. WENDY JAKSICK,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

Electronically Filed Apr 13 2021 04:05 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

CASE NO.: 81470

District Court Case No.: PR17-00445/PR17-00446

APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

Volume 15 (Part 1) of 22

Pages TJA002451-TJA002552

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
	FILED or	NO.	
	ADMITTED		
Petition for Confirmation of Trustee	8.2.17	1	TJA000001-000203
and Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and other			
Trust Administration Matters (SSJ's			
Issue Trust)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	2	TJA000204-000401
Trustees and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
For Approval of Accountings and			
Other Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust) (Separated)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	3	TJA00402-00585
Trustees and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
For Approval of Accountings and			
Other Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust) (Separated)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000586-000594
Opposition and Objection to Petition			

for Confirmation of Trustees and			
Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and Other			
Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust)	10 10 17	4	TV 1 000 TO 7 000 CO 1
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000595-000601
Answer to Petition for Approval of			
Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Family			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000602-000606
Answer to Petition for Approval of			
Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Issue Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000607-000614
Opposition and Objection to Petition			
for Confirmation of Trustees and			
Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and Other			
Trust Administration Matters (Issue			
Trust)			
Commissioner's Recommendation	10.12.17	4	TJA000615-000617
Referring Cases to Probate Judge			
Order Accepting Transfer	10.17.17	4	TJA000618-000620

Notice of Appearance (Todd B.	11.3.17	4	TJA000621-000623
Jaksick, individually)			
Association of Counsel	1.2.18	4	TJA000624-000625
Demand for Jury	1.3.18	4	TJA000626-000628
Order Granting Consolidation	1.5.18	4	TJA000629-000631
Counter-Petition to Surcharge	1.19.18	4	TJA000632-000671
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, for Removal of Trustees and			
Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and other Relief			
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000672-000692
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000693-000712
First Amended Counter-Petition to	2.23.18	4	TJA000713-000752
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000753-000754
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000755-000756
Notice of Entry of Order	3.13.18	4	TJA000757-000761
Notice of Entry of Order	3.13.18	4	TJA000762-000766
Todd B. Jaksick's Answer and	4.9.18	4	TJA000767-000779
Objections to First Amended			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			

		T
4.13.18	4	TJA000780-000795
4.17.18	4	TJA000796-000799
4.17.18	5	TJA000800-000815
4.19.18	5	TJA000816-000819
	4.17.18 4.17.18	4.17.18 4 4.17.18 5

Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Errata to Kevin Riley's Answer to	4.19.18	5	TJA000820-000823
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Notice of Appearance	6.4.18	5	TJA000824-000827
Notice of Appearance	6.4.18	5	TJA000828-000831
Stanley S. Jaksick's Answer to First	8.2.18	5	TJA000832-000844
Amended Counter-petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustee(s), and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Joinder to Stanley S. Jaksick's	8.7.18	5	TJA000845-000847
Answer to First Amended Counter-			
petition to Surcharge Trustees for			
Breach of Fiduciary Duties, For			

11.15.18	5	TJA000848-000855
12.6.18	5	TJA000856-000872
12.6.18	5	TJA000873-000876
12.6.18	5	TJA000877-000898
12.17.18	5	TJA000899-000933
12.18.18	5	TJA000934-000936
1.16.19	5	TJA000937-000948
1.22.19	5	TJA000949-000953
	12.6.18 12.6.18 12.17.18 12.18.18	12.6.18 5 12.6.18 5 12.6.18 5 12.17.18 5 12.18.18 5 1.16.19 5

Scheduled			
Verdicts	3.4.19	5	TJA000954-000957
Motion for Order Awarding Costs	3.13.19	6	TJA000958-001157
and Attorneys' Fees for Todd			
Jaksick, Individually, Duck Lake			
Ranch, LLC, and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Petitioner Wendy A. Jaksick's	3.25.19	6	TJA001158-001175
Opposition to Motion for Attorney			
Fees			
Reply in Support of Motion for	4.1.19	7	TJA001176-001185
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Request for Submission of Motion	4.1.19	7	TJA001186-001189
for Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees			
Trial Transcript	5.13.19	7	TJA001190-001202
Order Addressing Evidence at	5.20.19	7	TJA001203-001274
Equitable Trial			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001275-001281
Arguments			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001282-001362
Argument Brief			
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Opening	7.1.19	8	TJA001363-001470
Arguments in the Equitable Claims			

Trial			
Petitioner's Trial Brief on Equitable	7.1.19	8	TJA001471-001535
Claims			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.31.19	9	TJA001536-001623
Argument Brief			
Petitioner's Reply to Wendy	7.31.19	9	TJA001624-001661
Jaksick's Trial Brief on Equitable			
Claims			
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Closing	7.31.19	10	TJA001662-001757
Arguments in the Equitable Claims			
Trial			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.31.19	11	TJA001758-001977
Reply Brief			
Order for Supplemental Briefing	2.6.20	12	TJA001978-001979
Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief	2.18.20	12	TJA001980-002043
in Response to the Court's February			
6, 2020 Order for Supplemental			
Briefing			
Trustees' Supplemental Brief	2.18.20	12	TJA002044-002077
Supplemental Brief by Stanley	2.18.20	12	TJA002078-002085
Jaksick, Co-Trustee of the Samuel			
S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust			
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental	2.25.20	12	TJA002086-002093
Brief in the Equitable Claims Trial			
Order After Equitable Trial	3.12.20	12	TJA002094-002118
Notice of Entry of Order	3.17.20	12	TJA002119-002146

		1
3.17.20	12	TJA002147-002164
3.23.20	13	TJA002165-002189
3.25.20	13	TJA002190-002194
3.26.20	13	TJA002195-002215
3.26.20	13	TJA002216-002219
4.1.20	13	TJA002220-002254
4.1.20	13	TJA002255-002292
4.2.20	14	TJA002293-002409
4.2.20	14	TJA002410-002430
4.2.20	14	TJA002431-002442
4.6.20	14	TJA002443-002445
4.8.20	14	TJA002446-002450
4.9.20	15	TJA002451-002615
	3.23.20 3.25.20 3.26.20 4.1.20 4.2.20 4.2.20 4.2.20 4.8.20	3.23.20 13 3.25.20 13 3.26.20 13 4.1.20 13 4.2.20 14 4.2.20 14 4.2.20 14 4.8.20 14

4.9.20	16	TJA002616-002769
4.9.20	16	TJA002770-002776
4.10.20	16	TJA002777-002833
4.13.20	17	TJA002834-002841
4.13.20	17	TJA002842-002845
4.21.20	17	TJA002846-002847
4.21.20	17	TJA002848-002857
4.22.20	17	TJA002858-002910
4.22.20	17	TJA002911-002913
4.23.20	17	TJA002914-002930
4.23.20	17	TJA002931-002946
	4.9.20 4.10.20 4.13.20 4.21.20 4.22.20 4.22.20 4.23.20	4.9.20 16 4.10.20 16 4.13.20 17 4.21.20 17 4.22.20 17 4.23.20 17

Ludinidually and a Co Tuesta of			
Individually and as Co-Trustee of			
the Family Trust and as Trustee of			
the BHC Family Trust			
Opposition to Motion for Order	4.24.20	17	TJA002947-002985
Awarding Costs and Attorney's			
Fees for Todd Jaksick, Individually			
on Equitable Claims			
Opposition and Motion to Strike	4.27.20	17	TJA002986-002992
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees by			
Stanley Jaksick as Co-Trustee of the			
Family Trust			
Motion to Alter or Amend the	4.28.20	17	TJA002993-003000
Judgment			
Trial Transcript	5.13.19	17	TJA001190-001202
Order Regarding Costs	4.30.20	18	TJA003044-003045
Motion to Alter or Amend	4.30.20	18	TJA003046-003113
Judgment, or Alternatively, Motion			
for New Trial			
Reply in Support of Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003114-003126
Attorney's Fees and Costs			
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003127-003130
Reply to Opposition to Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003131-003147
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorney's Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, For Trial on Equitable			
Claims			

Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003148-003151
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.8.20	18	TJA003152-003189
Wendy Jaksick's Motion to Alter or	5.0.2 0		1011005152 005109
Amend Judgment, or, Alternatively,			
Motion for a New Trial			
Limited Joinder to Todd B.	5.12.20	18	TJA003190-003196
Jaksick's Opposition to Wendy	3.12.20	10	13/1003170 003170
Jaksick's Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or, Alternatively, Motion			
for a New Trial			
	5.12.20	18	TIA 002107 002205
Opposition to Alter or Amend the	3.12.20	10	TJA003197-003205
Judgment Award of Attorney's Fees			
to Wendy	7 12 20	10	TT 1 00000 1 00000 1
Supplemental Motion in Support of	5.12.20	19	TJA003206-003324
Award of Attorney's Fees to Wendy			
Jaksick's Attorneys			
Opposition to Todd B. Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003325-003339
Motion to Amend the Judgment			
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003340-003344
Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or in the Alternative,			
Motion for New Trial			
Reply to Wendy Jaksick's Amended	5.13.20	19	TJA003345-003348
Opposition and Motion to Strike			
Stanley Jaksick's Verified			
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees as			

5.15.20	19	TJA003349-003357
5.18.20	19	TJA003358-003365
5.19.20	19	TJA003366-003372
5.19.20	19	TJA003373-003376
5.19.20	19	TJA003377-003381
5.19.20	20	TJA003382-003452
5.19.20	20	TJA003453-003456
5.19.20	20	TJA003457
5.20.20	20	TJA003458-003461
5.21.20	21	TJA003462-003608
5.21.20	21	TJA003609-003617
6.1.20	21	TJA003618-003621
	5.18.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.20.20 5.21.20	5.18.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 20 5.19.20 20 5.20.20 20 5.21.20 21

Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's			
Supplemental Motion			
Opposition to Motion to Strike	6.1.20	21	TJA003622-003627
Wendy's Supplemental Motion in			
Support of Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy Jaksick's Attorneys			
Reply in Support of Motion to	6.8.20	21	TJA003628-003634
Strike Wendy's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees to Wendy Jaksick's			
Attorneys			
Request for Submission	6.8.20	21	TJA003635-003638
Order Resolving Submitted Matters	6.10.20	22	TJA003639-003646
Notice of Appeal	7.10.20	22	TJA003647-003650
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003651-003657
Notice of Appeal	7.10.20	22	TJA003658-003661
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003662-003669
Notice of Appeal	7.13.20	22	TJA003670-003677
Case Appeal Statement	7.13.20	22	TJA003678-003680
Notice of Cross Appeal	7.21.20	22	TJA003681-003777
Case Appeal Statement	7.21.20	22	TJA003778-003790
Amended Judgment	7.6.20	22	TJA003791-003811

ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

DOCUMENT	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
	or ADMITTED	NO.	
Amended Judgment	7.6.20	22	TJA003791-003811
Association of Counsel	1.2.18	4	TJA000624-000625
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000672-000692
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000693-000712
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003651-003657
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003662-003669
Case Appeal Statement	7.13.20	22	TJA003678-003680
Case Appeal Statement	7.21.20	22	TJA003778-003790
Commissioner's Recommendation	10.12.17	4	TJA000615-000617
Referring Cases to Probate Judge			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge	1.19.18	4	TJA000632-000671
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, for Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and other Relief			
Demand for Jury	1.3.18	4	TJA000626-000628
Errata to Kevin Riley's Answer to	4.19.18	5	TJA000820-000823
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			

Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Errata to Todd B. Jaksick's and	4.19.18	5	TJA000816-000819
Michael S. Kimmel's Answer to			
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
First Amended Counter-Petition to	2.23.18	4	TJA000713-000752
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Joinder to Memorandum of Costs	4.6.20	14	TJA002443-002445
Joinder to Stanley S. Jaksick's	8.7.18	5	TJA000845-000847
Answer to First Amended			
Counter-petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			

6.1.20	21	TJA003618-003621
4.1.20	13	TJA002220-002254
4.17.18	5	TJA000800-000815
5.12.20	18	TJA003190-003196
4.22.20	17	TJA002858-002910
3.17.20	12	TJA002147-002164
4.2.20	14	TJA002410-002430
	4.1.20 4.17.18 5.12.20 4.22.20	4.1.20 13 4.17.18 5 5.12.20 18 4.22.20 17 3.17.20 12

Memorandum of Costs and	4.2.20	14	TJA002431-002442
Disbursements			
Motion for Attorney Fees and	4.10.20	16	TJA002777-002833
Costs for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, for Trial on			
Equitable Claims			
Motion for Attorney's Fees and	4.9.20	16	TJA002616-002769
Costs – Michael Kimmel			
Motion for Attorneys' Fees and	4.9.20	15	TJA002451-002615
Costs – Kevin Riley			
Motion for Order Awarding Costs	3.13.19	6	TJA000958-001157
and Attorneys' Fees for Todd			
Jaksick, Individually, Duck Lake			
Ranch, LLC, and Incline TSS,			
Ltd.			
Motion to Alter or Amend	4.30.20	18	TJA003046-003113
Judgment, or Alternatively,			
Motion for New Trial			
Motion to Alter or Amend the	4.28.20	17	TJA002993-003000
Judgment			
Motion to Retax Costs and Joinder	3.26.20	13	TJA002216-002219
to Motions to Strike			
Motion to Strike Verified	3.26.20	13	TJA002195-002215
Memorandum of Costs			
Motion to Strike Wendy's	5.19.20	19	TJA003377-003381
Supplemental Motion in Support			

7.10.20	22	TJA003647-003650
7.10.20	22	TJA003658-003661
7.13.20	22	TJA003670-003677
6.4.18	5	TJA000824-000827
6.4.18	5	TJA000828-000831
4.17.18	4	TJA000796-000799
11.3.17	4	TJA000621-000623
7.21.20	22	TJA003681-003777
4.1.20	13	TJA002255-002292
3.13.18	4	TJA000757-000761
3.13.18	4	TJA000762-000766
3.17.20	12	TJA002119-002146
4.21.20	17	TJA002848-002857
5.20.20	20	TJA003458-003461
4.9.20	16	TJA002770-002776
4.27.20	17	TJA002986-002992
5.12.20	18	TJA003197-003205
	7.10.20 7.13.20 6.4.18 6.4.18 4.17.18 11.3.17 7.21.20 4.1.20 3.13.18 3.17.20 4.21.20 5.20.20 4.9.20	7.10.20 22 7.13.20 22 6.4.18 5 6.4.18 4 11.3.17 4 7.21.20 22 4.1.20 13 3.13.18 4 3.17.20 12 4.21.20 17 5.20.20 20 4.9.20 16

Judgment Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy			
Opposition to Motion for	4.23.20	17	TJA002931-002946
Attorney's Fees and Costs of			
Kevin Riley, Individually and as			
Co-Trustee of the Family Trust			
and as Trustee of the BHC Family			
Trust			
Opposition to Motion for	4.23.20	17	TJA002914-002930
Attorney's Fees and Costs of			
Michael Kimmel, Individually and			
as Co-Trustee			
Opposition to Motion for Order	4.24.20	17	TJA002947-002985
Awarding Costs and Attorney's			
Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually on Equitable Claims			
Opposition to Motion to Strike	6.1.20	21	TJA003622-003627
Wendy's Supplemental Motion in			
Support of Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy Jaksick's			
Attorneys			
Opposition to Todd B. Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003325-003339
Motion to Amend the Judgment			
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	12.6.18	5	TJA000873-000876
Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			

Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003340-003344
Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or in the Alternative,			
Motion for New Trial			
Order Accepting Transfer	10.17.17	4	TJA000618-000620
Order Addressing Evidence at	5.20.19	7	TJA001203-001274
Equitable Trial			
Order After Equitable Trial	3.12.20	12	TJA002094-002118
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000753-000754
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000755-000756
Order Awarding Costs	5.19.20	20	TJA003457
Order Denying Wendy Jaksick's	4.21.20	17	TJA002846-002847
Costs			
Order for Supplemental Briefing	2.6.20	12	TJA001978-001979
Order Granting Consolidation	1.5.18	4	TJA000629-000631
Order Granting in Part and	1.16.19	5	TJA000937-000948
Denying in Part Motion for Leave			
to Join Indispensable Parties			
Order Regarding Costs	4.30.20	18	TJA003044-003045
Order Resolving Submitted	6.10.20	22	TJA003639-003646
Matters			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	1	TJA000001-000203
Trustee and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
for Approval of Accountings and			
other Trust Administration			

		1	<u> </u>
Matters (SSJ's Issue Trust)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	2	TJA000204-000401
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and For Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
(Separated)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	3	TJA00402-00585
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and For Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
(Separated)			
Petitioner Wendy A. Jaksick's	3.25.19	6	TJA001158-001175
Opposition to Motion for Attorney			
Fees			
Petitioner's Opposition to Wendy	12.6.18	5	TJA000877-000898
Jaksick's Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			
Petitioner's Reply to Wendy	7.31.19	9	TJA001624-001661
Jaksick's Trial Brief on Equitable			
Claims			
Petitioner's Trial Brief on	7.1.19	8	TJA001471-001535
Equitable Claims			

Petitioner's Verified	5.21.20	21	TJA003462-003608
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees			
Petitioners' Verified	4.2.20	14	TJA002293-002409
Memorandum of Costs and			
Disbursements			
Pre-Trial Order Regarding Trial	1.22.19	5	TJA000949-000953
Scheduled			
Reply in Support of Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003114-003126
Attorney's Fees and Costs			
Reply in Support of Motion for	4.1.19	7	TJA001176-001185
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Reply in Support of Motion to	5.19.20	19	TJA003366-003372
Alter or Amend Judgment			
Reply in Support of Motion to	4.13.20	17	TJA002834-002841
Strike Verified Memorandum of			
Costs			
Reply in Support of Motion to	6.8.20	21	TJA003628-003634
Strike Wendy's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees to Wendy			
Jaksick's Attorneys			
Reply in Support of Todd B.	5.19.20	20	TJA003382-003452
Jaksick's, Individually, Motion to			

Amend the Judgment			
Reply to Opposition to Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003131-003147
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorney's Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, For Trial on			
Equitable Claims			
Reply to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003345-003348
Amended Opposition and Motion			
to Strike Stanley Jaksick's			
Verified Memorandum of			
Attorney's Fees as Co-Trustee of			
the Family Trust			
Request for Submission	4.13.20	17	TJA002842-002845
Request for Submission	4.22.20	17	TJA002911-002913
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003127-003130
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003148-003151
Request for Submission	5.18.20	19	TJA003358-003365
Request for Submission	5.19.20	19	TJA003373-003376
Request for Submission	5.19.20	20	TJA003453-003456
Request for Submission	6.8.20	21	TJA003635-003638
Request for Submission of Motion	4.1.19	7	TJA001186-001189
for Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees			
Request for Submission of Wendy	12.18.18	5	TJA000934-000936
A. Jaksick's Motion for Leave to			
Join Indispensable Parties			

Description A. Jelevich	10 10 17	4	TI A 000505 000601
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000595-000601
Answer to Petition for Approval			
of Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Family			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000602-000606
Answer to Petition for Approval			
of Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Issue			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000586-000594
Opposition and Objection to			
Petition for Confirmation of			
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and for Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000607-000614
Opposition and Objection to			
Petition for Confirmation of			
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and for Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Issue Trust)			

Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001275-001281
Arguments			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.31.19	11	TJA001758-001977
Reply Brief			
Stanley S. Jaksick's Answer to	8.2.18	5	TJA000832-000844
First Amended Counter-petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustee(s), and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Supplemental Brief by Stanley	2.18.20	12	TJA002078-002085
Jaksick, Co-Trustee of the Samuel			
S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust			
Supplemental Motion in Support	5.12.20	19	TJA003206-003324
of Award of Attorney's Fees to			
Wendy Jaksick's Attorneys			
Todd B. Jaksick's and Michael S.	4.13.18	4	TJA000780-000795
Kimmel's Answer to First			
Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			

Relief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Answer and	4.9.18	4	TJA000767-000779
Objections to First Amended			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s) and For Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001282-001362
Argument Brief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.31.19	9	TJA001536-001623
Argument Brief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.8.20	18	TJA003152-003189
Wendy Jaksick's Motion to Alter			
or Amend Judgment, or,			
Alternatively, Motion for a New			
Trial			
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.21.20	21	TJA003609-003617
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees			
Todd B. Jaksick's, Individually,	12.6.18	5	TJA000856-000872
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's			
Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			

Todd Jaksick's Motion to Strike Wendy Jaksick's Verified Memorandum of Costs or, in the Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA002190-002194 TJA002190-002194 TJA002190-002194 TJA003001-003043 TJA003001-003043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001190-001202 Trustees' Supplemental Brief 2.18.20 12 TJA002044-002077
Memorandum of Costs or, in the Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA001190-001202
Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 4.29.20 18 TJA003001-003043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001990-001202
Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA001190-001202
Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 2.18.20 12 TJA001980-002043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001190-001202
Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Supplemental Briefing7TJA001190-001202Trial Transcript5.13.197TJA001190-001202
Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Trustees' Supplemental Brief 2 18 20 12 TIA002044-002077
11 13A002044-002077
Verdicts 3.4.19 5 TJA000954-000957
Verified Memorandum of Costs3.23.2013TJA002165-002189
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Closing 7.31.19 10 TJA001662-001757
Arguments in the Equitable
Claims Trial
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Opening 7.1.19 8 TJA001363-001470
Arguments in the Equitable
Claims Trial
Wendy Jaksick's Motion for 11.15.18 5 TJA000848-000855
Leave to Join Indispensable
Parties
Wendy Jaksick's Omnibus Reply 12.17.18 5 TJA000899-000933
in Support of Motion for Leave to

Join Indispensable Parties			
Wendy Jaksick's Reply in Support	5.15.20	19	TJA003349-003357
of her Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or, Alternatively,			
Motion for New Trial			
Wendy Jaksick's Response to	4.8.20	14	TJA002446-002450
Todd Jaksick's Motion to Strike			
Wendy Jaksick's Verified			
Memorandum of Costs, or in the			
Alternative, Motion to Retax			
Costs			
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental	2.25.20	12	TJA002086-002093
Brief in the Equitable Claims Trial			

Dated this 13th day of April, 2021.

ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST A Professional Corporation 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503

/s/ Therese M. Shanks, Esq.

KENT R. ROBISON (SBN #1167) THERESE M. SHANKS (SBN #12890) Attorneys for Appellant/Cross-Respondent Todd B. Jaksick, in his individual capacity

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 13th day of April, 2021, I served a copy of <u>APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX</u> TO OPENING BRIEF- VOL. 15 (Part 1), upon all counsel of record:

☐ BY MAIL: I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted a copy of the foregoing document this date via telecopier to the facsimile number shown below:

<u>X</u> BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by electronically filing and serving the foregoing document with the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system:

Donald A. Lattin, Esq. Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway P. O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89519

Email: dlattin@mcllawfirm.com / crenner@mcllawfirm.com

Attorneys for Appellants/Cross Respondents/Trustees Todd B. Jaksick, Michael S. Kimmel, Kevin Riley

Phil Kreitlein, Esq. Kreitlein Law Group 1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 101 Reno, Nevada 89502

Email: philip@kreitleinlaw.com

Attorneys for Appellant/Cross Respondent Stanley S. Jaksick

Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. McDonald Carano 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor P.O. Box 2670 Reno, NV 89505

Email: ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Appellant/Cross Respondent Stanley S. Jaksick

Mark J. Connot, Esq. Fox Rothschild LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Email: mconnot@foxrothschild.com

Attorney for Respondent/Cross Appellant Wendy A. Jaksick

R. Kevin Spencer, Esq. / Zachary E. Johnson, Esq. Spencer & Johnson PLLC 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, Texas 75201

Email: <u>kevin@dallasprobate.com</u> / <u>zach@dallasprobate.com</u>

Attorneys for Respondent/Cross Appellant Wendy A. Jaksick

DATED this 13th day of April, 2021.

Christine O'Brien

Employee of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966

CODE: 2490 1 DONALD A. LATTIN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 693 2 CAROLYN K. RENNER, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 9164 MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY 4 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519 5 Tel.: (775) 827-2000 Fax: (775) 827-2185 6 Attorneys for Petitioners 7 8 10 11 12 13 14

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

In the Matter of the:	Case No.: PR17-0445 Dept. No.: 15 Consolidated	
SSJ's ISSUE TRUST.		
In the Matter of the Administration of	Case No.: PR17-0446 Dept. No.: 15	
THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST.	2011101111	
/		

MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

KEVIN RILEY, individually and as Co-Trustee of The Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust ("Riley"), by and through his undersigned counsel of record, hereby files his Motion for Attorneys' Fees pursuant to NRCP 68, NRS 18.010, NRS 18.020, NRS 18.005, and NRS 7.085.

This Motion is made based on the pleadings and documents on file and the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and all of the records, documents, pleadings, and papers



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

on file or to be filed in the above-entitled matter, arguments of counsel and any other matters that may properly come before the Court for its consideration of this Motion.

Dated this 9 day of April 2020.

MAUPIN, COX & LEGOY

Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. NSB #9164

4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519

Attorneys for Petitioners

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. PREFATORY STATEMENT

Riley understands his role as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust and his fiduciary duties to Wendy Jaksick ("Wendy") as a beneficiary under the that Trust.

Wendy sued Riley in his capacity as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, in his individual capacity, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust thereby creating an adversarial relationship.

II. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

These consolidated cases were initiated on August 2, 2017 with the filing of the "Petition for Confirmation of Trustee and Admission of Trust to the Jurisdiction of the Court and for Approval of Accountings and other Trust Administration Matters" in each respective case. Nearly one year later, on April 30, 2018, Riley served an Offer of Judgment pursuant to NRCP

68 on Wendy in an attempt to settle this matter as to him. Wendy rejected the offer. See Offer of Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit "1."

The cases went to jury trial for eleven (11) days commencing on Thursday, February 14, 2019. Wendy claimed damages in excess of Eighty Million Dollars (\$80,000,000.00). On March 4, 2019, the jury returned their verdict. *See* Verdict, attached hereto as Exhibit "2." The jury found in favor of Riley on all claims made against him in all capacities.

On May 13, 2019, this Court began a bench trial to address the equitable claims in this litigation. The parties stipulated to submit closing trial statements. After supplemental briefing ordered by the Court, this Court entered its Order After Equitable Trial on March 12, 2020. See Order, attached hereto as Exhibit "3." The Court did not assign liability to Riley on any claims asserted by Wendy against him in any capacity.

Riley is entitled to recover his fees and costs under both statute and rule. Pursuant to NRS 18.010 (2)(b), Riley is entitled to recover all of his fees. Pursuant to NRS 18.020(3), Riley is entitled to recover all of his costs. Additionally, pursuant to NRCP 68, Riley is entitled to recover reasonable fees and costs incurred after the date of his offer.

As set forth in the Lattin Affidavit attached hereto as Exhibit "4," since service of the Offer of Judgment on April 30, 2018, the Family Trust has incurred the total sum of \$498,646.25 in attorneys' fees that have been paid to the law firm of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy (the "Law Firm"). The amount of those fees allocated for the defense of Riley in his individual capacity and as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust is twenty-five percent (25%), based on his being one of four Co-Trustees of the Family Trust sued in this matter. There was no allocation from Wendy with respect to damages attributable to each respective Co-Trustee, and as such, the Family Trust had to be defended as a whole and one way to split the cost of defense is to divide the total amount

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

by the number of Co-Trustees sued. Accordingly, Riley's share would be 25% of the post-offer fees. Twenty-five percent of the total fees billed to the Family Trust after service of the Offer of Judgment is $$498,646.25 \times .25 = $124,616.56$.

Additionally, after service of the Offer of Judgment fees in the amount of \$98,717.00 have been incurred in the defense of Riley and allocated to a file separate from the Family Trust for matters related to the BHC Trust.

Since serving the April 30, 2018 Offer of Judgment, the Family Trust has incurred \$498,646.25 in fees. Riley's 25% share of those fees is \$124,616.56. This amount_is based on the attorney time as follows:

Family Trust

17454.008

Attorney	Hours Post 4/30/18	Fees Post 4/30/18	
DAL	723.25	\$ 325,462.50	
LRL	53.50	\$ 22,768.75	
BCM	24.25	\$ 8,487.50	
CKR	293.25	\$ 95,943.75	
KDM	219.45	\$ 45,983.75	
Total	1,313.70	\$ 498,646.25	

Post-offer Fees in the amount of \$98,717.00 have been incurred in the defense of Riley for matters related to the BHC Trust, and are based on attorney time as follows:

BHC Trust 17454.010

Attorney	Hours Post 4/30/18	Fees Post 4/30/18	
DAL	178.11	\$ 80,149.50	
RRH	.25	\$ 87.50	
CKR	22.00	\$ 7,150.00	
KDM	56.65	\$ 11,330.00	
Total	257.01	\$ 98,717.00	

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEY AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

4

Attached hereto as Exhibit "5," is the Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed in this matter. Riley's share of these costs is $$104,279.93 \times 0.25 = $26,069.98$, based on his 25% allocation.

For the reasons set forth below, Riley is entitled to recover his fees and costs under both statute and rule. Pursuant to NRS 18.010 (2)(b), Riley is entitled to recover all of his fees. Pursuant to NRS 18.020(3), Riley is entitled to recover all of his costs. Additionally, pursuant to NRCP 68, Riley is entitled to recover reasonable fees and costs incurred after the date of his offer.

III.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. Riley is entitled to recover costs under NRS 18.020

Nevada Revised Statute 18.020 provides that "[c]osts <u>must</u> be allowed of course to the prevailing party against any adverse party against whom judgement is rendered . . .[i]n an action for the recovery of money or damages, where the plaintiff seeks to recover more than \$2,500." NRS 18.020(2) (emphasis added). The Nevada Supreme Court has enforced the plain language of this statute. *Schwartz v. Estate of Greenspan*, 110 Nev. 1042, 1050, 818 P.2d 638, 643 (1994) ("An award of costs to the prevailing party is mandated where, as here, damages were sought in an amount in excess of \$2,500."); *Campbell v. Campbell*, 101 Nev. 380, 383, 705 P.2d 154, 156 (1985) ("Costs are awarded as a matter of course to the prevailing party in actions listed in NRS 18.020."). Here, Riley is the prevailing party as the jury returned a verdict in favor of Riley and against Wendy on all claims asserted against Riley both individually, as a former Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, and as Trustee of the BHC Trust. Wendy sought to recover more than Eighty

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevnda 89520

Million Dollars (\$80,000,000.00) in damages. She recovered nothing from Riley. As prevailing party, under NRS 18.020(2), Riley <u>must</u> be allowed to recover his costs against Wendy.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "5," is the Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed in this matter, which reflects the costs incurred as a result of defending this case against Wendy. Riley's share of those costs is \$26,069.98. Riley respectfully requests that his Court award his costs in this matter.

B. Riley is entitled to recover fees under NRS 18.010(2)(b).

Nevada Revised Statute 18.010(2)(b) allows a prevailing party to recover all attorneys' fees when the Court finds that the plaintiff's claims were "brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party." Additionally, NRS 18.010(2)(b) provides that the "court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations." (Emphasis added).

Wendy's claims against Riley as an individual and as a former Co-Trustee of the Family Trust were brought without reasonable grounds and were intended to harass. She did not pursue her claims against Riley in good faith. Wendy's intensions are illustrated by the following observations:

- 1. Wendy did not even call Riley as a witness at trial.
- 2. Wendy did not call her accounting expert, Frank Campagna, at trial after he testified during deposition that Riley's accountings complied with Nevada law.
- 3. Riley acted as Co-Trustee for the Family Trust from approximately May 2013 through July 2013 a total of approximately three (3) months.
- 4. None of Wendy's claims of breach of fiduciary duty involve actions which occurred during Riley's short tenure as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust.



a.	The Second Amendment to the Family Trust, which Wendy claimed wa
	forged was executed in December of 2012, before Riley became Co
	Trustee

- b. The Tahoe Property was transferred from the Family Trust to SSJ, LLC in
 December 2011 (before Riley became a Co-Trustee) and transferred to
 Incline TSS, Ltd. in December 2012 (before Riley became a Co-Trustee).
- c. The insurance proceeds were used to pay down the mortgage on the Tahoe House in June 2013. This issue concerns the Issue Trust, of which Riley was never a Trustee.
- d. The indemnification agreements were executed in 2008, before Riley became a Co-Trustee.
- e. The sale of Fly Ranch occurred in May 2016, several years after Riley resigned as Co-Trustee.
- f. The sale of Bronco Billy's occurred in 2015, more than a year after Riley became a Co-Trustee.
- g. Riley's duties related to the BHC trusts had nothing to do with the sale of Fly Geyser. Fly Geyser was owned and sold by BHC Corporation. Each BHC trust had an 13% interest in BHC Corporation. Riley as the Trustee of the BHC trusts does not control monies within BHC Corporation. His duty lies in managing the trusts, and any monies in the trusts. He cannot compel monies to be placed in the trusts.
- 5. In spite of the clear evidence of Riley's lack of involvement in the actions of which Wendy complained, she proceeded against him in this litigation through to

the end of the trial, never dismissing him despite the lack of evidence of any wrongdoing.

- 6. At trial, Wendy's lawyers simply lumped all of the actions of all Co-Trustees together as if Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, Michael Kimmel and Kevin Riley were involved in every bad act that Wendy complained of regardless of when each respective Co-Trustees' term began and/or ended.
- 7. Wendy never made specific allegations that would implicate Riley individually, yet she proceeded to trial against him individually.
- 8. Wendy never identified damages specifically resulting from any breach of Riley's fiduciary duty as a former Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, Trustee of the BHC trust, or from any action by him individually.
- When Wendy filed her Counter-Petition it was not verified by Wendy, but by her counsel.

The foregoing are clear examples of Wendy's bad faith litigation against Riley in these actions and as such NRS 18.010(2)(b) applies and should be liberally construed against Wendy and in favor of awarding attorney's fees. The Lattin affidavit attached hereto as Exhibit 4 sets forth the fees incurred in defending this action against Wendy under the Family Trust, of which Riley's share of those fees is \$124,616.56 based on his 25% share of the total cost to defend the Family Trust, as well as fees incurred under the BHC trust which total \$98,717.00. Riley respectfully requests that this court award his attorney's fees in the total combined amount of \$223,333.56 pursuant to NRS 18.010(2)(b).

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno. Nevada 89520

C. Alternatively, Riley is entitled to fees and costs pursuant to NRCP 68.

As a separate and distinct basis to recover fees and costs, Riley is entitled to recover the same under NRCP 68. Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 68 governs offers of judgment and provides that the district court may award attorney's fees and costs to a party who makes an offer of judgment when the offeree rejects the offer and the judgment ultimately obtained is less favorable than the offer. *See Chavez v. Sievers*, 118 Nev. 288, 296, 43 P.3d 1022 (2002). As stated by the Nevada Supreme Court in *Dillard Dep't Stores, Inc. v. Beckwith*, 115 Nev. 372, 989 P.2d 882 (1999):

The purpose of NRS 17.115 and NRCP 68 is to save time and money for the court system, the parties and the taxpayers. They reward a party who makes a reasonable offer and punish the party who refuses to accept such an offer.

Dillard, 115 Nev. at 382.

Here, Riley obtained a more favorable judgment than the offer, *i.e.*, Riley offered to settle this matter for more than what Wendy ultimately received in this case at trial, as related to Riley, which was nothing. Riley made an Offer of Judgment on April 30, 2018 for \$500. See Offer of Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit "1." The jury found in favor of Riley at trial and awarded Wendy nothing for her claims against him individually, as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, or as Trustee of the BHC trust. See Verdict, attached hereto as Exhibit "2." Likewise, in this Court's Order after the equity trial, Wendy did not prevail on her claims against Riley. See Ex. 3 Whether to award costs and attorney fees pursuant to NRCP 68 lies within the discretion of the district court. Chavez, 118 Nev. at 296. When exercising this discretion, the district court is required to evaluate the following factors from Beattie v. Thomas:

(1) whether the plaintiff's claim was brought in good faith; (2) whether the defendant's offer of judgment was reasonable and in

good faith in both its timing and amount; (3) whether the plaintiff's decision to reject the offer and proceed to trial was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith; and (4) whether the fees sought by the offeror are reasonable and justified in amount.

Id. (citing Beattie v. Thomas, 99 Nev. 579, 588, 668 P.2d 268, 274 (1983)). No one Beattie factor is determinative. Yamaha Motor Co., U.S.A. v. Arnoult, 114 Nev. 233, 252, n.16, 955 P.2d 661 (1998). In this case, the Beattie factors weigh heavily in support of granting an award of attorneys' fees for Riley.

1. Wendy's claims against Riley were not brought in good faith.

As set forth in detail above, Wendy's claims against Riley in any capacity were not brought in good faith. All of the actions of which Wendy complained occurred when Riley was not even acting as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust. Wendy knew the dates of Riley's involvement as Co-Trustee and she knew that he had no involvement in the actions for which she claimed a breach of fiduciary duties, and she knew all of this well before she initiated the instant litigation.

Furthermore, under Article IV, paragraph C, the Family Trust expressly provides that no successor Trustee is to be liable for any act, omission, or default of a predecessor Trustee, nor is a successor Trustee required to investigate or review any action of a predecessor Trustee. Given all of this evidence, Wendy had no basis to name Riley in any capacity. She did so in bad faith, and she persisted in the litigation against him despite efforts by Riley's counsel to have him dismissed from the case.

2. Riley's Offer of Judgment was reasonable and in good faith in both timing and amount.

Riley served as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust for a very short three-month period from May to July 2013. These cases initiated in August 2017. Riley served his Offer of Judgment on April 30, 2018, offering to take judgement against him in the amount of \$500. As set forth above,



Wendy's involvement with the Co-Trustees prior to the litigation gave her full knowledge of when Riley became Co-Trustee as compared to when the instances of alleged breach of fiduciary duties occurred. She knew that Riley was not involved in any of the instances of which she complained. Further, she never articulated how Riley breached his duties as Trustee of the BHC trust, or how he, as an individual, harmed her.

At the time Riley served his Offer of Judgment, Wendy knew he wasn't involved in any breach and that she had no evidence against him, yet she rejected his Offer of Judgment. The Offer was reasonable in both timing and amount. Wendy had full knowledge at that time of the lack of evidence against Riley, yet she proceeded to litigate against him to the end of trial.

3. Wendy's decision to reject the Offer of Judgment and proceed to trial was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith.

Given the clear state of the evidence as set forth above, Wendy's decision to reject the Offer of Judgment was grossly unreasonable. As indicated above, the Nevada Supreme Court in Dillard set forth the purpose of NRCP 68 as saving time and money for the court system, the parties and the taxpayers. Wendy had no regard for Riley's time or the taxpayers' dollars, as evidenced by her utter refusal to even seriously consider any attempt to settle this matter. Accordingly, Riley should be rewarded for making a reasonable offer, and Wendy should bear the burden of his post-Offer attorneys' fees for refusing to even consider the Offer of Judgment or make any serious effort to settle.

4. The fees sought by Riley are reasonable and justified in amount.

Regarding the fourth *Beattie* factor, in determining whether the fees sought by Riley are reasonable and justified, the proper factors the Court should consider in determining the amount of an attorney's fee award include: (1) the qualities of the advocate - his ability, training,



education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be doneits difficulty, intricacy, importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and
the prominence and character of the parties when they affect the importance of litigation; (3) the
work actually performed by the lawyer - the skill, time, and attention given to the work; and (4)
the result - whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. Brunzell v.
Golden Gate Nat'l Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31 (1969). Good judgment would dictate
that each of these factors be given consideration and that no one element should predominate or
be given undue weight. Id. at 349-50.

Regarding the qualifications of counsel, the undersigned's law firm as a whole has received an "AV" peer rating from Martindale Hubbell. *See* Lattin Aff. Undersigned counsel, Donald A. Lattin, has legal practice experience exceeding 35 years (admitted in Nevada 1981) including extensive experience in complex civil litigation. He has received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating, is a member of the Nevada Bar, and is admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court and various federal District Courts. *Id.* Mr. Lattin's billing rate on this case of \$450 per hour which is commensurate with attorneys with his skill and expertise. The rate is more than reasonable. Mr. Lattin served as lead counsel on this case and was involved in all matters from discovery through trial.

Mr. LeGoy has more than 40 years of legal experience and expertise in the area of estate planning. He has received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating and is a member of the Nevada and California State Bars. Mr. LeGoy's billing rate on this case of \$400 per hour is commensurate with attorneys with his skill and expertise. Mr. LeGoy was involved in the litigation as a resource given that he drafted the trust at issue and given his years of legal work in the areas of trust and estate planning.



Mr. McQuaid has 20 years of legal experience and expertise in the area of estate planning. He has received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating and is a member of the Nevada and California State Bars. Mr. McQuaid's billing rate on this case of \$350 per hour is commensurate with attorneys with his skill and expertise. Mr. McQuaid was involved in the litigation as a resource given that he was involved in some of the trust administration events at issue and given his years of legal work in the areas of trust and estate planning.

Carolyn K. Renner has fourteen (14) years of experience with the litigation section of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy. *See* Lattin Aff. Her billing rate of \$325 per hour on this case is more than reasonable and is commensurate with her experience. *Id.* Ms. Renner's time included handling discovery, motion, and pretrial work.

Kristen Matteoni is a first-year associate at Maupin, Cox & LeGoy. Ms. Matteoni graduated from her law school in the top 5% of her class and began her law career as a clerk for Justice Gibbons, Chief Justice at the Nevada Supreme Court. See Lattin Aff. Her billing rate of \$200 per hour on this case is more than reasonable and is commensurate with her experience. Id. Ms. Matteoni handled various aspects of the case including discovery, motion, and pretrial work.

Finally, the result obtained by counsel for Riley, *i.e.* a jury verdict in Riley's favor, speaks for itself. This verdict was a culmination of hard work and effort on the part of the lawyers involved. The amount of fees sought by Riley is reasonable in all respects.

D. This Court may alternatively require Wendy's attorneys to pay Riley's costs and attorney's fees.

Nevada Revised Statute NRS 7.085 provides that if the court finds that an attorney has "filed maintained or defended a civil action" and "such action or defense is not well-grounded in



fact," or the attorney "unreasonably and vexatiously extended a civil action," the court "shall require the attorney personally to pay the additional costs, expenses and attorney's fees reasonably incurred because of such conduct." Here, Wendy's attorneys sued Riley individually, as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust and as Trustee of the BHC trust without a reasonable basis to do so. As set forth above, the information regarding Riley's involvement, based merely on the timing of his commencement as Co-Trustee, was readily known by counsel at the initiation of the litigation. There was no evidence of any wrongdoing on Riley's part from the very start, and as the litigation progressed, no evidence was discovered to warrant maintaining the litigation against Riley. Notably, Wendy did not verify her allegations against Riley by signing the Counter-Petition, it was signed by her attorney. Wendy did not dismiss Riley from the case even when her accounting expert, Frank Campagna admitted that Riley's compiled financial reports complied with Nevada law. Accordingly, the Court should award Riley's costs and fees under this provision of the Nevada statutes.

IV.

CONCLUSION

For reasons set forth above, based on the *Beattie* factors, Riley respectfully requests that the Court grant an award of costs and attorney's fees to him in the amount of \$223,333.56 in fees, \$26,069.98 in costs for a total amount of \$249,403.54 pursuant to NRCP 68, NRS 18.010, NRS18.020, and in the alternative, NRS 7.085.

///

///

///

; ||



NRS 239B.030 Affirmation

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned hereby affirms that this document does not contain the Social Security Number of any person.

Dated this **All** day of April 2020.

MAUPIN, COX & LEGOY

Donald A. Lattin, NSB # 693

Carolyn K. Renner, Esq., NSB #9164

4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519

dlattin@mcllawfirm.com

crenner@mcllawfirm.com

Tel: (775) 827-2000 Fax: (775) 827-2185 Attorneys for Petitioners

25
26
AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. BOX 3000
Reno, Nevada 89520

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY, Attorneys at Law, and in such capacity and on the date indicated below I served the foregoing document(s) as follows:

Via E-Flex Electronic filing System:

Philip L. Kreitlein, Esq. Stephen C. Moss, Esq. Kreitlein Leeder Moss, Ltd. 1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 101 Reno, Nevada 89502 philip@klmlawfirm.com Attorneys for Stan Jaksick as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust Mark Connot, Esq. Fox Rothschild LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, #700 Las Vegas, NV 89135 MConnot@foxrothschild.com And R. Kevin Spencer, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) Zachary E. Johnson, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice) Spencer & Johnson PLLC 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, TX 75201 kevin@dallasprobate.com zach@dallasprobate.com Attorneys for Wendy A. Jaksick	Kent R. Robison, Esq. Therese M. Shanks, Esq. Robison, Sharpe, Sullivan & Brust 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503 krobison@rssblaw.com tshanks@rssblaw.com Attorneys for Todd B. Jaksick, Individually, and as beneficiary, SSJ's Issue Trust and Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr., Family Trust Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. Sarah A. Ferguson, Esq. McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501 ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com sferguson@mcdonaldcarano.com Attorneys for Stan Jaksick, individually, and as beneficiary of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust and SSJ's Issue Trust
--	--

Via placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope with sufficient postage affixed thereto, in the United States mail at Reno Nevada, addressed to:

Alexi Smrt 3713 Wrexham St. Frisco, TX 75034	Luke Jaksick Northern Arizona University 324 E. Pine Knoll Drive #12319 Flagstaff, AZ 86011
--	---



AUPIN COX LEGOY
AYFORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevnda 89520

	Benjamin Jaksick Amanda Jaksick c/o Dawn E. Jaksick 6220 Rouge Drive Reno, Nevada 89511	Regan Jaksick Sydney Jaksick Sawyer Jaksick c/o Lisa Jaksick 5235 Bellazza Ct. Reno, Nevada 89519
ı		1

Dated this 4th day of April, 2020.

Kotte Allen

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	<u>PAGES</u>
1.	Offer of Judgment	3
2.	Jury Verdict	4
3.	Order after Equitable Trial	25
4.	Affidavit of Donald A. Lattin, Esq.	96
5.	Memorandum of Costs without Exhibits	6

AUPINICA LEGOY
ATTORNEYA AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

1.3

1.4

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



1 CODE: 2635 Donald A. Lattin, Esq., Bar No. 693 2 Carolyn K. Renner, Esq., Bar No. 9164 Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 3 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519 Phone: 775-827-2000 Fax: 775-827-2185 Email: crenner@mcllawfirm.com 6 Attorneys for Petitioners 7 8 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 9 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE 11 12 CASE NO. PR17-00445 In the Matter of the Administration of the 13 SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST. DEPT. NO. 15 14 CASE NO. PR17-00446 15 In the Matter of the Administration of the 16 DEPT. NO. 15 SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST 17 18 19 OFFER OF JUDGMENT Respondent, WENDY A. JAKSICK. ("Respondent"), and her attorney of record, 20 TO: Mark Cannot, Esq., Fox Rothschild LLP, 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, #700, Las 21 Vegas, NV 89135. 22 Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 68 and subsection (c)(2) thereof, Individual 23 KEVIN RILEY ("Individual") hereby offers to have judgment taken against him and in favor of 24 Respondent in this action in the total amount of FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$500.00), inclusive 25 of costs and attorneys' fees accrued to date and any costs which may accrue from this date through VIAUPIN, COX & LeGOY ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520 1 775) 827-2000

4

5 6

7

8 9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

2425

26

NIAUPIN, COX & LeGOY ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520 (775) 827-2000 the date of acceptance of this Offer by Respondent.

This Offer of Judgment is made for the purposes specified in Rule 68 and if accepted by Respondent, is not to be construed either as an admission that Individual is liable in this action, or that Respondent has suffered any damage.

This Offer shall remain in effect for ten (10) days after service of this Offer upon Respondent. If within ten (10) days after service of the Offer, Respondent does not, pursuant to NRCP 68(d), serve written notice that this Offer is accepted, then pursuant to NRCP 68(e), it shall be considered rejected by Respondent and withdrawn by Individual. If it is rejected, and if Respondent fails to obtain a judgment more favorable than this Offer, then the Respondent shall be subject to the penalties set forth in NRCP 68(f), including, but not limited to, liability for Individual's post-offer costs and reasonable attorney's fees that are actually incurred by Individual from the time of this Offer.

DATED this 3 h day of April ,2018

MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY

Donald A. Lattin, Esq., NV Bar #693 Carolyn K. Renner, Esq., NV Bar #9164

4785 Caughlin Parkway
Reno, NV 89519

Telephone: (775) 827-2000 Facsimile: (775) 827-2185 Attorneys for Individual

CÉRTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy, Attorneys at Law, and in that capacity and on the date indicated below, I deposited for mailing from a point within the State of Nevada a sealed envelope which had enclosed within a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, which envelope had postage fully prepaid thereon, addressed as follows:

Dated this 30th day of April , 2018

Hattlin Augel EMPLOYEE

MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY A'ITORNEYS AT LAW P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevnda 89520 (775) 827-2000

FILED Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966

EXHIBIT 2



EXHIBIT 2

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2019-03-04 11:08:45 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7147281

1 ORIGINAL 2 3 5 6 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE 8 WENDY JAKSICK, 9 PR17-00445 CASE NO.: Petitioner, 10 DEPT. NO.: 11 TODD B. JAKSICK, Individually, as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. 12 PR17-00446 CASE NO.: Family Trust, and as Trustee of the 13 SSJ's Issue Trust; MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, 15 DEPT. NO.: Individually and as Co-Trustee of the 14 Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust; STANLEY S. JAKSICK, Individually and as 15 Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. 16 Family Trust; KEVIN RILEY, VERDICT Individually, as Former Trustee of the 17 Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 18 BHC Family Trust, INCLINE TSS, LTD.; DUCK LAKE RANCH, LLC; SAMMY SUPERCUB 19 LLC, SERIES A, 20 Respondents. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Page 1 of 4

Page 2 of 4

1 We, the jury, duly impaneled in the above-entitled action, 2 find that Petitioner, Wendy Jaksick, has proven her aiding and 3 abetting breach of fiduciary duty claim, by a preponderance of 4 evidence, against: 5 (Please circle only one for each line item) 6 KEVIN RILEY (as Co-Trustee of Family Trust) YE\$ 7 YES KEVIN RILEY (individually) 8 YES KEVIN RILEY (as Trustee of BHC Trust) 9 YES STAN JAKSICK (as Co-Trustee of Family Trust) 10 TODD JAKSICK (as Co-Trustee of Family Trust) YES YES 11 TODD JAKSICK (individually) TODD JAKSICK (as Trustee of Issue Trust) YES 12 MICHAEL KIMMEL (as Co-Trustee of Family Trust) YES 13 YES 14 MICHAEL KIMMEL (individually) We, the jury, duly impaneled in the above-entitled action, 15 find that Petitioner, Wendy Jaksick, has proven her fraud claim 16 by clear and convincing evidence, against: 17 (Please circle only one for each line item) 18 TODD JAKSICK (as Co-Trustee of Family Trust) YES 19 YES TODD JAKSICK (individually) 20 YES TODD JAKSICK (as Trustee of Issue Trust) 21 22 (If you circled "yes" to ANY of the above claim(s) correlating 23 to ANY respondent then proceed to and answer Questions 1 AND 2. 24 If you answered "no" to ALL of the above then skip Questions 1 25 AND 2 and sign and date verdict form.) 26 / / / 27 / / / 28 / / /

Page 3 of 4

1. We, the jury, duly impaneled in the above-entitled
action, having found in favor of Petitioner, Wendy Jaksick, on
one or more of her claims against one or more of the
Respondents, find that she has proven by a preponderance of
evidence the amount of her damages, assess her damages to be
\$ 15,000.°°

2. Has Wendy Jaksick established by clear and convincing evidence that any of the Respondents acted with fraud, oppression, or malice?

(Please circle only one for each line item)

KEVIN RILEY YES NO
STAN JAKSICK YES NO
TODD JAKSICK YES NO
MICHAEL KIMMEL YES NO

DATED this 4 day of March, 2019.

Quen Secler

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966

EXHIBIT 3

1.

EXHIBIT 3



FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-03-12 11:02:40 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7789265

1 2

 THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

In the Matter of the Administration of the SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST.

Case No. PR17-00445

CONSOLIDATED

No. PR17-00446

In the Matter of the Administration of the Case

SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST.

Dept. No. 15

ORDER AFTER EQUITABLE TRIAL

On August 2, 2017, the trustees of the SSJ's Issue Trust ("Issue Trust") and the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust ("Family Trust") filed Petitions for Confirmation of Trustee and Admission of Trust to the Jurisdiction of the Court, and for Approval of Accountings and Other Trust Administration Matters. October 10, 2017, Wendy Jaksick filed an Opposition and Objection to the Petition. On January 19, 2018, Wendy filed a Counterpetition to Surcharge Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of Trustees and Appointment of Independent Trustee(s), and for Declaratory Judgment and Other Relief, which was subsequently amended on February 23, 2018. Family Trust cotrustee Stan Jaksick filed an Objection to Approval of Accountings and Other Trust Administration Matters on October 10, 2017. Todd Jaksick, as trustee of the Issue Trust

¹ Family Trust co-trustee Stan Jaksick did not join in the petitions.

and co-trustee of the Family Trust, and Michael Kimmel as co-trustee of the Family Trust, are represented by Donald Lattin and Carolyn Renner. Todd is represented in his individual capacity by Kent Robison. Mr. Robison also represents Duck Lake Ranch, LLC, Incline TSS, Ltd., and Sammy Supercub, LLC. Stanley Jaksick, as co-trustee of the Family Trust, is represented by Adam Hosmer-Henner and Philip Kreitlein. Wendy is represented by Mark Connot and Kevin Spencer.

- 1. This Court presided over a jury trial on legal claims between February 14, 2019, and March 4, 2019. The jury concluded Todd breached his fiduciary duty as trustee and awarded damages of \$15,000. The jury found no other trustee breached any fiduciary duty. In addition, the jury found Wendy had not proven her claims for 1) civil conspiracy and aiding and abetting, 2) aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, or 3) fraud against any counter-respondent whether individually or as trustee. The jury did not find any counter-respondent acted with fraud, oppression, or malice.
- 2. On May 13, 2019, this Court began a bench trial to resolve the remaining equitable claims. By stipulation, the parties submitted written closing trial statements and replies. This Court authorized supplemental briefing on a narrow issue related to Exhibit 561. This Court has considered all briefs and evidence admitted during the equitable trial (including many exhibits previously admitted at jury trial).² This Court is aware that disagreements continue and Wendy alleges ongoing breaches of fiduciary duties, as illustrated by the moving papers relating to post-trial costs, the 2018 annual accountings, and distribution guidance. It now finds and orders as follows:

General Findings

1. As a factfinder, this Court is authorized to consider its everyday common sense and judgment, and determine what inferences may be properly drawn from direct and circumstantial evidence. See Lewis v. Sea Ray Boats, Inc., 119 Nev. 100, 105, 65 P.3d

² On May 13, 2019, the parties stipulated into evidence many exhibits previously admitted during the jury trial. Wendy also offered new evidence during the equitable phase of trial. A list of all documentary evidence admitted on equitable issues is contained in this Court's Order Addressing Evidence at Equitable Trial, dated May 20, 2019. This Court has not considered unadmitted documentary evidence. However, this Court has considered deposition testimony properly part of the trial record pursuant to NRCP 32.

- 2. The facts presented in support of the equitable claims inextricably overlap with the legal claims presented to the jury. Despite how the claims are pled, Wendy is attempting to retry her case to obtain a second review of similar facts and an outcome different from the jury verdict.³ This Court may or may not have reached the same decision as the jury. Regardless, it has no authority to dilute or otherwise modify the jury's verdict.
- 3. The file materials compose more than 17,000 pages. There were more than 300 separate pleadings, motions, oppositions, replies, joinders, and other substantive papers filed in this proceeding. The parties produced tens of thousands of documents before trial and marked 677 exhibits for the two trials, of which 227 were admitted. The substantive papers (with exhibits and transcripts) filed since the jury's verdict compose more than 4,000 pages. This Court has read and re-read the pending moving papers, to include exhibits and transcripts. It has analyzed every argument presented and carefully studied the cited authorities. It cannot synthesize the competing moving papers, exhibits, and arguments into a single coherent order. It cannot resolve the arguments in minutia. Therefore, this Court elects to make general findings, which are substantially supported by the evidence of record.
- 4. This Court regrets some of its more direct findings, which it must disclose to support its discretionary resolution of equitable claims.
- 5. Sam Jaksick created substantial wealth during his life but his leveraged estate was compromised by the "great recession" during the last season of his life. Sam's estate is exceedingly complex because he used tens of different corporate entities as holding companies for his wealth. Sam also partnered with non-family business entities.
 - 6. Sam had three children: Stan, Wendy, and Todd. Sam loved each of his

³ On January 3, 2018, Wendy demanded a jury trial on all legal claims. Wendy demanded a jury—at least in part—because she likely suspected a judge's comprehensive, studious examination of all evidence would not result in the \$80 million compensatory damages and additional punitive damages she asked the jury to award. This Court honors Wendy's unfettered constitutional right to a jury trial but it will not re-visit the identical facts to arrive at a different outcome for Wendy,

children, despite their different strengths, weaknesses, and personalities. Wendy did not transition well into adulthood and Sam was aware of her inability to provide for herself. Wendy does not understand financial complexities. Sam was more confident in Stan and Todd as he worked with them during his life and designated them to continue participating in his estate and business affairs after his death. Stan's trial participation was not lengthy but he appears to enjoy some financial fluency and business sophistication. Stan also presented as a credible witness and thoughtful sibling. While Todd is most familiar with Sam's business and trust affairs, he is only marginally sophisticated as a trustee. He regularly deferred to the knowledge and expertise of others. Todd also presented as conflicted by his own interests, influenced by his animus towards Wendy, and confused about his duties as a neutral trustee.

- 7. Sam's estate plan evolved over the years, and its last iteration was influenced by debt, tax avoidance, asset protection, and planning around Stan's divorce. Both Sam and Todd were exposed to personal liabilities on substantial debts Sam had incurred. Some of the estate documents were created in haste because of Sam's heart illness and surgery in December, 2012. (Sam survived his heart illness and tragically died in a water accident in 2013). Some of the 2012-13 estate planning documents are disorganized, internally inconsistent, and complicated by notarial mischief or neglect. This Court was particularly troubled by the notary's abdication of statutory responsibilities, which was an influencing fact in the litigation Wendy pursued. Notaries are given great authority and their actions induce reliance. The notary at issue fell below the statutory standards. This finding alone warrants a substantial financial consequence upon the trust, which this Court includes in its analysis of the no-contest penalty and attorneys' fees requests.
- 8. Todd's participation in Sam's estate beginning in 2012 can be viewed through two opposing lenses: he was either a disconnected participant who yielded to his

⁴ This Court understands jury instruction no. 11, which does not alter the fact that Todd struggled under the shadow of his father's business acumen. The dynamic of Todd relying on professionals regarding the accountings, while the professionals provided accountings with disclaimers and hyphens, created uncertainty (or at least the appearance of uncertainty) about transactions, values, and who was ultimately responsible for acts and accountings of trust administration.

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

21

22 23

24 25

> 26 27

father's wishes, or he was a subtly strategic participant who enriched himself to the detriment of his siblings. These opposing possibilities are relevant only to understand how this dispute became so bitter. This Court is inclined to find Todd was the former rather than the latter, but regardless, Stan and Wendy had cause to seek answers to questions created by document anomalies, inadequate disclosures, and transactions inuring to Todd's benefit.

- This action began when Stan, Wendy, and Todd were opposed to each other. 9. The dispute was exacerbated by inadequate information and self-interested perspectives. Some of the more personal allegations among siblings reveal a family influenced by misperceptions and individual interests. Wendy was particularly personal in her allegations, the worst of which were harassing, vexatious, and without factual basis. There were at least seven lawyers zealously advocating for their clients, which further entrenched the siblings against each other. The children chose litigation over compromise to work through the complexities of Sam's estate and their disparate financial circumstances. With more effortful disclosures, neutral access to information, and a little sibling patience, they might have worked through the messiness of Sam's estate to reach a non-litigation resolution. Instead, the children sued each other, with Todd and Stan settling their dispute just days before the jury trial began. Despite the settlement, this Court is aware of the allegations Stan made against Todd in his deposition and trial testimony. The settlement does not extinguish Stan's pleading allegations and testimony – it merely reflects Todd and Stan's strategic and well-advised decision to compromise their claims before trial. The settlement worked to Wendy's trial detriment, yet she chose trial over settlement and must now accept the consequences of her choice. Stan's allegations and testimony are relevant to contextualize the legal and equitable claims, particularly the request to impose a no-contest penalty and for attorneys' fees under NRS Chapter 18 and NRCP 68.
- 10. Todd and Stan contend they made every effort to avoid litigation but could not persuade Wendy or her attorneys to choose compromise over conflict. This is mostly

- observed his children spending millions of dollars litigating his estate. The parties repeatedly invited this Court to consider Sam's testamentary intentions. Responding to that invitation, this Court has wondered how Sam would react to see his estate disproportionally allocated among his children. There is no way to know how or if Sam would have enlarged Wendy's beneficial interests if he survived the economic recovery. Sam loved Wendy despite her issues, and this Court suspects Sam would have continued his pattern of lifetime largesse in favor of his troubled daughter. But suspicion and speculation are beyond this Court's authority. Death arrives at its own inconvenient time and none can alter its consequences. Wendy is simply without her paternal benefactor and is susceptible to the trustees' actions as governed by documents and transactions Sam approved during his life.
- 12. The trustees' initial petitions were predicated upon accountings that provided inadequate information. The accountings were untimely, and even if technically compliant with the statutes, they failed to provide full and fair notice to Wendy as a beneficiary. This Court acknowledges the trustees attempted to answer Wendy's questions by making their CPA and lawyers available to Wendy, but there is only marginal evidence in the record the trustees invested their own personal efforts to satisfy Wendy's concerns. At some point the trustees' responses became form over function. Todd particularly grew weary of Wendy, which affected his neutral trusteeship, as illustrated by his hope to satisfy Wendy's beneficial interests at a discount that inured to his benefit. In response, Wendy initiated scorched-earth litigation grounded in entitlement and limited self-awareness. This Court cannot now alter the consequences of

the trust administration and litigation choices that precede this order.

- 13. Wendy's legal and equitable claims are grounded in the same common facts and are exceedingly difficult to segregate. As this Court reviewed the hundreds of pages of written arguments relating to the equitable claims, it was taken back to the evidence and arguments presented to the jury. Through the misty fog of painfully voluminous allegations and varied claims, the core of Wendy's complaint is that Todd breached his fiduciary duties by self-dealing and failing to disclose information relevant to Wendy as a beneficiary. No matter how Wendy frames or argues her equitable claims, she asks this Court to remedy the identical facts and transactions she placed before the jury. This Court must look to the substance of the claims, not just the labels used in the pleading document. Nev. Power Co. v. District Court, 120 Nev. 948, 960, 102 P.3d 578, 586 (2004).
- 14. The complexity of Sam's estate warranted extraordinary disclosures, explanations, and compliance with discovery rules. There were significant discovery disputes, such that this Court created a schedule for recurring access to the Discovery Commissioner. This Court also ordered the production of disputed discovery. Discovery continued to the very eve of trial and Wendy was still attempting to discern her beneficial interests when trial began.
- Consistent with that theme, Wendy "swung for the fences" when she asked the jury to award \$80 million to her (plus punitive damages), an amount that exceeds the evidentiary value of this estate and would deprive Todd and Stan of any beneficial interests. She now seeks a "mulligan" by re-arguing to this Court what was over-argued to the jury. The jury found that Todd breached his fiduciary duties but only awarded \$15,000 to Wendy. It found against Wendy on all other claims and against all other counter-respondents. This Court may have been authorized to award additional equitable relief upon the same facts

⁵ To illustrate, Wendy argued in her omnibus opposition to the cost memoranda filed before the equitable claims trial that "damages may still be awarded, transactions may be set-aside, further breaches of fiduciary duty may be found, and the ACPAs and other documents may be found fraudulent or invalid, ab initio." These were all claims and requests rejected by the jury.

16. Todd asks this Court to contextualize the \$15,000 as a *de minimis* award. This Court will not infuse qualitative meaning into the jury's verdict. To do so would be impermissible speculation. Todd breached his fiduciary duties to Wendy. And Wendy was not awarded the damages she sought. These two facts are integral to this Court's resolution of equitable claims and fees requests.

General Legal References

- 1. This Court cannot supplant or alter a jury's verdict by relying upon common facts to reach a different outcome. See generally Lehrer McGovern Bovis, Inc. v. Bullock Insulation, Inc., 124 Nev. 1102, 197 P.3d 1032, 1038 (2008) (discussing special interrogatory verdicts). In Acosta v. City of Costa Mesa, 718 F.3d 800 (9th Cir. 2013), the plaintiff submitted his equitable claim for declaratory relief to the bench after the jury rejected his legal claims. The court held "it would be a violation of the Seventh Amendment right to jury trial for the court to disregard a jury's findings of fact. Thus, in a case where legal claims are tried by a jury and equitable claims are tried by a judge, and the claims are based on the same facts, in deciding the equitable claims, the Seventh Amendment requires the trial judge to follow the jury's implicit or explicit factual determinations." Id. at 828-29 (citations omitted).
- 2. In Sturgis Motorcycle Rally, Inc. v. Rushmore Photo & Gifts, Inc., 908 F.3d 313, 343 (8th Cir. 2018), the jury found for the plaintiff on legal intellectual property claims, but the bench subsequently applied the equitable defenses of laches and acquiescence. The appellate court reversed, holding "[t]o bind the district court's equitable powers, a jury's findings must be on an issue 'common' to the action's legal and equitable claims; otherwise, the court is free to treat the jury's findings as 'merely advisory'" Id. Further, "[i]f the jury's findings were on a common issue, the court, in fashioning equitable relief, may take into account facts that were not determined by the jury, but it may not

- 3. Among prescribed form and content, an accounting must provide a beneficiary with the ability to evaluate his or her interests. NRS 165.135(3). See also NRS 153.041. The cost of preparing an accounting is presumptively borne by the trust. NRS 165.1214(5). Unless acting in good faith, a trustee can be personally liable for failing to provide an accounting. NRS 165.148. A beneficiary may petition the court to order a trustee to perform his or her accounting duties. NRS 165.190. This Court may order a trustee's compensation be reduced or forfeited, or enter other civil penalty, when a trustee fails to perform his duties. NRS 165.200.
- the trust instruments and borne by the trust. However, this Court has authority to review and settle the trustees' expenses and compensation. NRS 153.070. This Court may also reduce a trustee's compensation or order a trustee to pay a beneficiary's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs when the beneficiary compels redress for a breach of trust or compliance with trust terms. NRS 153.031(3). See also In re Estate of Anderson, No. 58227, 2012 WL4846488 (Oct. 9, 2012). This Court may order the trust expenses defending against a beneficiary's successful claims be borne by a trustee individually. NRS 18.090. See also Estate of Bowlds, 120 Nev. 990, 1,000, 102 P.3d 593, 600 (2004) (concluding payment of attorney's fees from trust assets only when litigation generally benefits the trust); NRS 153.031(3)(b) (stating if court grants relief to petitioner, it may order trustee to pay fees and costs); RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF TRUSTS § 100 (2012) (examining denial of compensation to breaching trustee).

- 5. NRS 163.00195 governs no-contest provisions. It begins by emphasizing this Court's duty to enforce no-contest clauses to effectuate a settlor's intent. NRS 163.00195(1). However, the statute then creates a wide exception when it provides a no-contest clause must not be enforced when a beneficiary acts to enforce her legal rights, obtain court instruction regarding proper administration, seeks to enforce the trustee's fiduciary duties, or institutes and maintains a legal action in good faith and based on probable cause. NRS 163.00195(4). See also Matter of ATS 1998 Tr., No. 68748, 2017 WL3222533, at *4 ("[T]he purpose of a no-contest clause is to enforce the settlor(s)' wishes, not to discourage a beneficiary from seeking his or her rights."). A legal action is based on probable cause when the facts and circumstances available to the beneficiary, or a properly informed and advised reasonable person, "would conclude that the trust, the transfer of property into the trust, any document referenced in or affected by the trust or any other trust-related instrument is invalid." NRS 163.00195(4)(e) (emphasis added).
- 6. A trustee has a duty to act impartially, based on what is fair and reasonable to all beneficiaries. Specifically, "the trustee shall act impartially in investing and managing the trust property, taking into account any differing interests of the beneficiaries." NRS 164.720(1). "[I]t is the trustee's duty, reasonably and without personal bias, to seek to ascertain and to give effect to the rights and priorities of the various beneficiaries or purposes as expressed or implied by the terms of the trust." RESTATEMENT (THIRD) OF TRUSTS § 79 (2007).
- 7. "In all matters connected with [the] trust, a trustee is bound to act in the highest good faith toward all beneficiaries and may not obtain any advantage over the latter by the slightest misrepresentation, concealment, threat, or adverse pressure of any kind." Charleson v. Hardesty, 108 Nev, 878, 882, 839 P.2d 1303, 1306 (1992) (quoting Morales v. Field, 160 Cal.Rptr. 239, 244 (1980)).
- 8. This Court may remove a trustee for good cause, including breach of fiduciary duties. NRS 156.070; NRS 163.115; NRS 163.190; NRS 163.180; NRS 164.040(2); see also Diotallevi v. Sierra Dev. Co., 95 Nev. 164, 591 P.2d 270 (1979) (explaining court has

"full equitable powers" to redress breach of trust). Removal may be appropriate when there is significant animosity between the trustee and a beneficiary, such that it has the potential to materially interfere with the proper administration of the trust. Acorn v. Monecchi, 386 P.3d 739, 760 (Wyo. 2016) (explaining the relevant question is whether "hostility, in combination with existing circumstances, materially interferes with the administration of the trust or is likely to cause that result"); In re Estate of Stuchlik, 857 N.W.2d 57, 70 (Neb. 2014) (stating a trustee cannot act impartially when "influenced by . . . animosity toward individual beneficiaries"); BOGERT, LAW OF TRUSTS AND TRUSTEES § 129 (3d rev. ed. 2019) (explaining where there is potential for a conflict of interest to arise from the dual status of a trustee who is also a beneficiary, removal of the trustee may be appropriate); see also Dennis v. R.I. Hosp. Trust Nat. Bank, 571 F. Supp. 623, 639 (D.R.I. 1983) (discussing removal may be appropriate when the court could expect "that future Trust transactions will be scrutinized by the beneficiaries" as a result of lengthy and antagonistic litigation). Additionally, conflict between the trustee and beneficiary may form a basis for removal when personal contact or collaboration is required for the administration of the trust. Blumenstiel v. Morris, 180 S.W.2d 107, 109 (Ark. 1944). "The purpose of removing a trustee is not to inflict a penalty for past action, but to preserve trust assets." Getty v. Getty, 205 Cal. App.3d 134, 140 (1988).

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

9. Attorney's fees are not allowed to a prevailing party absent a contract, statute, or rule to the contrary. See Smith v. Crown Fin. Servs., 111 Nev. 277, 890 P.2d 769 (1995) (analyzing the American and English rules regarding attorney's fees and their intersection with Nevada Law). NRS 18.010(2)(b) provides that this Court may award attorney's fees when it finds a claim was brought or maintained without reasonable ground, or to harass the prevailing party. Pursuant to NRCP 68(a), "[a]t any time more than 21 days before trial, any party may serve an offer in writing to allow judgment to be taken in accordance with its terms and conditions." If an offer is not accepted within the prescribed time period, it will be considered rejected by the offeree. NRCP 68(e). If an offeree rejects an offer and fails to obtain a more favorable judgment, "the offeree must

- 10. "[T]he purpose of NRCP 68 is to encourage settlement . . . not to force plaintiffs unfairly to forego legitimate claims." <u>Beattie v. Thomas</u>, 99 Nev. 579, 588, 668 P.2d 268, 274 (1983). To determine whether an award of fees is appropriate, a court must consider and weigh the following factors: (1) whether the claim was brought in good faith; (2) whether the offer of judgment was reasonable and in good faith in both its timing and amount; (3) whether the decision to reject the offer and proceed to trial was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith; and (4) whether the fees sought by the offeror are reasonable and justified in amount. Beattie, 99 Nev. at 588–89, 668 P.2d at 274. No one Beattie factor is outcome determinative, and each should be given appropriate consideration. Yamaha Motor Co., USA v. Arnoult, 114 Nev. 233, 252 n.16, 955 P.2d 661, 673 n.16 (1998).
- 11. A proceeding concerning a trust "does not result in continuing supervisory proceedings, and the administration of the trust must proceed expeditiously in a manner consistent with the terms of the trust, without judicial intervention or the order, approval or other action of any court, unless the jurisdiction of the court is [properly] invoked . . . as provided by other law." NRS 164.015(7).

Equitable Issues

The following equitable issues and arguments are before this Court:

1. Approval of accountings

The trustees ask this Court to settle, allow, and approve the Issue and Family Trust accountings without further examination, to include approval of trustees' fees, attorneys'

⁶ When considering the fourth <u>Beattie</u> factor, the court must consider the <u>Brunzell</u> factors. <u>See Shuette v. Beazer Homes Holdings Corp.</u>, 121 Nev. 837, 864-65, 124 P.3d 530, 548-49 (2005). These factors include the following: "(1) the qualities of the advocate: his or her ability, training, education, experience, professional standing, and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, intricacy, importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time, and attention given to the work; and (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived." <u>Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank</u>, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31, 33 (1969).

fees, and payment of other professional fees and administrative expenses. Wendy opposes and asks this Court to order the trustees to prepare statutory compliant accountings that disclose assets, values, transactions, and other acts of trust administration. Wendy further argues that if the amended accountings are untimely or noncompliant, this Court should find and remedy the trustees' breach of fiduciary duties.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

The timing and form of accountings are prescribed by statute. But an accounting is more than a formulaic compilation of data. An accounting is given to provide notice. Just as facts in controversy vary from case to case, an accounting must be adjusted as the trust estate requires. The trusts before this Court are complex because of the multiple layers of entity and fractional ownership. They are further complicated by fluid and often unknown values. This Court generally agrees with Wendy that the accountings fail to provide adequate notice because they reveal only a portion of Sam's complex affairs - they are mere pieces in a much larger puzzle and are ineffective when only reviewed in isolation.8 Instead, the accountings created confusion and engendered suspicion. The trustees attempted to answer Wendy's questions informally and made their professionals available to answer Wendy's questions. But the accountings should have included more explanatory details. The best example of how the accountings failed to provide actual and adequate notice occurred when Todd testified Wendy could expect to receive \$4 million from a variety of sources. While the trustees may have provided explanations through accountants and settlement offers, Wendy's beneficial expectancy is not apparent from the accountings or evidence of the trustees' pre-trial explanations.

However, this Court also notes that Wendy's complaints about the content and general timing of the accountings were presented to the jury in the legal phase of trial and are therefore facts common to the equitable claims. The jury presumably considered all evidence when deliberating its verdict. The verdict is an express or implicit rejection of

⁷ The relevant accountings are for the Issue and Family Trusts (April, 2013 through December, 2017) and Wendy's subtrust (2013 – 2016).

⁸ Wendy argues: "While in some circumstances, preparing and delivering accountings in the format provided by NRS 165.135 may fully satisfy a fiduciary's requirement to account and fully disclose, that is not and cannot be the case for these very complex trusts."

Wendy's complaints about the accountings. Accordingly, this Court will not provide equitable relief regarding the accountings, which were constructively approved and confirmed by the jury's verdict. In so doing, this Court does not countenance the trustees' arguments that all accountings and disclosures complied with Nevada law, to include NRS 165.135(4)(a), which allows for a statement prepared by a CPA containing summaries of the information required by NRS 165.135(1). This Court simply orders that all litigation regarding the accountings in existence at the time of the jury trial must end.⁹ The nature of the accountings influence this Court's decision regarding attorneys' fees and the nocontest provisions of the trust.

 2. Validity of the Agreements and Consents to Proposed Actions (ACPAs) and Indemnification Agreements

Todd as trustee of the Issue Trust, and Todd and Michael Kimmel as co-trustees of the Family Trust, ask this Court to ratify and approve the ACPAs, thus relieving them of liability for actions reasonably taken in reliance upon them. They (and Todd individually) also ask this Court to affirm the indemnification agreements. Wendy opposes and asks this Court to invalidate the ACPAs and rescind any transactions accomplished through them. She also contests Stan and Todd's indemnification agreements and asks that any transactions accomplished through them be invalidated and set aside. Each party presents substantial arguments supporting their respective positions. This Court again returns to the scope and content of the jury trial and the facts common to legal and equitable claims. While the attorneys argued to the jury that this Court would decide the validity of the ACPAs and indemnification agreements, each of the challenged documents and related transactions were thoroughly presented and argued to the jury — including document preparation, execution, and other formation irregularities. Thus, at least, the jury verdict is an implicit rejection of Wendy's arguments.

Having considered all arguments, this Court concludes it will neither affirm nor

⁹ The trustees may wish to modify the form of future accountings to provide better notice and explanations to the beneficiaries. Otherwise, they risk objections this Court may be inclined to grant, including an award of attorney's fees.

reject the ACPAs and indemnification agreements. They cannot be segregated from the legal claims presented to the jury and now subsequently argued in support of equitable relief. The jury constructively approved and affirmed the ACPAs and indemnification agreements when it reached its verdict. The verdict prevents additional litigation and precludes liability exposure for actions taken in reliance upon these documents. All claims involving the disputed ACPAs and indemnification agreements shall end with the jury's verdict. Nonetheless, the ACPAs and indemnification agreements also influence this Court's decision regarding attorneys' fees and the no-contest provisions.

3. Violation of the no-contest provisions of the trusts

All trustees except Stan ask this Court to declare that Wendy violated the no-contest provisions of the trusts when she initiated and maintained this litigation. Wendy opposes and asks this Court to declare that Todd violated the no-contest provisions when he filed the initial petition and later moved to dismiss her litigation. The trustees' request deserves analysis, whereas Wendy's request is retaliatory and made with little legal basis or support from the trust instruments.

Wendy sought to enforce her rights, obtain instructions, and remedy a breach of fiduciary duties. The jury agreed that Todd breached his fiduciary duties. Further, based upon the information she possessed, she had probable cause to seek invalidation of transfers and other acts of trust administration. This Court must distinguish between the *existence* of probable cause for initiating and maintaining this action with the manner in which the probable cause was *litigated*. As noted elsewhere, Wendy and Stan had probable cause to seek answers to questions raised by the accountings and other events of trust administration. Thus, while Wendy's litigation zeal and overreaching jury demand may implicate Sam's intention to disincentivize litigation, Wendy's legal actions were authorized and do not create a bar to her beneficial rights.

Unjust enrichment and constructive trust

Wendy asks this Court to impress a constructive trust to cure unjust enrichment caused by fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and self-dealing. Todd, Stan, and the trustees

make several arguments in opposition to Wendy's request. This Court disagrees with Wendy's position. Wendy's allegations of misconduct, document impropriety, and self-dealing underlying her request for equitable relief are inseparable from the legal claims she presented to the jury. Wendy has been awarded damages for Todd's breach of fiduciary duties. Any other equitable relief would constitute double recovery and alter the

5. Removal of trustees
Disgorgement of trustee fees
Use of trust funds to initiate petition and defend against Wendy's counterpetition
Award of attorneys' fees

Wendy relies upon her same arguments when asking this Court to remove the trustees, order the trustees to disgorge trustee fees, and deny the use of trust funds to present their petitions and defend against her counterpetition. The parties present substantial authorities and arguments (and other moving papers) relating to attorneys' fees.

jury's verdict in violation of the Seventh Amendment and its interpretative decisions.

There is no basis to consider the removal of any trustee except Todd. The two bases to remove Todd are 1) the jury's verdict that Todd breached his fiduciary duties, and 2) this Court's observation that Todd's neutrality is conflicted by his own interests and animus towards Wendy. This Court concludes removal would be unjust and incommensurate for several reasons: 1) Todd is Sam's designated and preferred trustee, 2) other trustees will diffuse Todd's conflicts and reduce the personal contact between Todd and Wendy, 3) the remedy against Todd's breaches and conflicts are made through other orders regarding attorneys' fees, disgorgement of trustee's fees, and inapplicability of the no-contest provisions, 4) Todd's own affairs are inseparable from trust administration and his removal as trustee will not sever him from trust business; he will remain involved in Jaksick family affairs through his ongoing management and ownership of several other related entities, 5) the expenses of removing Todd and educating a successor trustee would be expensive and inefficient, and 6) Wendy's suggestion that a commercial trustee serve as successor trustee for all trustees is neither warranted nor workable.

However, based upon the jury's verdict that Todd breached his fiduciary duties

(and secondarily, this Court's findings about the timing and content of the accountings), this Court grants Wendy's request that Todd disgorge or disclaim all trustee's fees from the inception of his trusteeship through the date when final judgment is entered. The amount disgorged or otherwise forfeited may serve as an offset against the 25% of trustees' attorneys' fees Todd is ordered to pay, as set forth below. This Court confirms trustee fees to all other trustees.

There are several requests regarding attorney's fees as a trust expense. This Court's discretionary resolution of the fees requests is bound by all facts of record and influenced by the entirety of the pre-trial, legal, and equitable proceedings (including the settlement agreement between Todd and Stan) and uncertainties created by notarial malfeasance.

This Court first orders that Stan Jaksick and Michael Kimmel's attorneys' fees be chargeable to the trust and paid from trust corpus. This Court's decision regarding Wendy and Todd's fees (both as trustee and individually) are more complicated. There are competing facts and legal principles, which this Court analyzes in the aggregate and not in isolation. In particular, the NRCP 68 request cannot be considered narrowly, but instead, must be viewed by a totality of the case proceedings and statutory authorities governing trustees. There are several options before this Court:

- Order the trust to pay all, some, or none of Wendy's fees because she successfully obtained a verdict that Todd breached his fiduciary duties as trustee.
- Order the trust to pay all, some, or none of the fees Todd incurred as trustee because, even though he breached his fiduciary duties, he qualitatively and quantitively prevailed against other claims asserted by Wendy.
- Order Wendy to pay fees Todd incurred because she brought or maintained her action without reasonable grounds or to harass.
- Order Wendy to pay fees Todd incurred as trustee of the Issue
 Trust because she rejected his \$25,000 offer of judgment.
- Order Wendy to pay fees Todd incurred individually because

she rejected his \$25,000 offer of judgment.

Discretionarily decline to order Wendy to pay fees pursuant to the offers of judgment.

On August 29, 2018, Todd offered Wendy to have judgment entered against him individually in the amount of \$25,000. He also offered Wendy to have judgment entered against him as trustee of the Issue Trust in the amount of \$25,000. The jury did not make any adverse findings against Todd individually, but it concluded Todd breached his fiduciary duties as trustee and awarded \$15,000 to Wendy. With adjustments for interest, the amount Wendy will receive is almost indistinguishable from the \$25,000 Todd offered as trustee. To the extent there is a *de minimis* distinction, the difference is not enough in a dispute that incurred several million dollars of fees and involved tens of millions in controversy.

An offer of judgment must be an authentic attempt to settle a dispute. The offer of judgment benefit is not automatically conferred. Instead, this Court must carefully analyze the offer and discretionarily apply it to the unique facts of each case. This Court and counsel are familiar with the American Rule of attorneys' fees and discretionary application of NRCP 68. This Court's discretion exists to encourage parties to convey legitimate offers to resolve their disputes. Of course, judicial discretion is controversial to those who are aggrieved, and it is unpredictable to all.

On one side, offers that are appropriate in time and amount will cause the nonoffering party to become realistic and engage in genuine risk/benefit analyses. These
offers shift a calculated risk as trial approaches. To be an effective mechanism to resolve
disputes before trial, they should be in an amount the non-offering party cannot decline in
good faith. Defendants who perceive no liability exposure chafe against making time- and
amount-appropriate offers because they resent the payment of any money to a party they
perceive will not prevail at trial. On the other side, offering parties sometimes make timeand amount-inappropriate offers they expect to be rejected. These offers do not facilitate
settlement--they are strategic devices to shift the risk of fees by offering illusory

consideration to end litigation.

 This Court's discretion is guided by the unique facts and procedural history of this case. This Court analyzes the <u>Beattie</u> factors as follows:

Whether Wendy's claims were brought in good faith? Wendy believed in good faith that she suffered damages from Todd's individual and fiduciary misconduct. She trusted the court system and exercised her constitutional right to jury trial. This Court concludes that Wendy's claims against Todd as trustee of the Issue Trust were brought in good faith. Wendy's concerns are countenanced, in large part, by the questions raised by the accountings, Stan's separate allegations against Todd, document anomalies, and the optics of Todd's disproportionate benefit from Sam's business and trust affairs. The good-faith nature of Wendy's claims against Todd individually are more difficult to discern. In the final analysis, Wendy had some cause to initiate the claims against Todd individually, but as discovery progressed, Wendy's cause to pursue Todd individually diminished. This factor weighs slightly in Wendy's favor regarding the Issue Trust offer of judgment and is neutral regarding Todd's individual offer of judgment.¹⁰

Whether Todd's offers were reasonable and in good faith in both timing and amount? This Court has wrestled with the question of whether the offers of judgment were brought in good faith in both timing and amount. These offers of judgment were made six months after Wendy filed her amended counter-petition, when discovery was still in its infancy. This Court concludes the amounts offered were neither good faith/reasonable nor strategic bad faith/unreasonable. They fall within the continuum between those two categories. Todd knew, or should have known, the fees incurred through continuing litigation alone would substantially overshadow the offered amounts. Todd knew, or should have known, that Wendy would never accept \$25,000 to resolve her claims against him as trustee of the Issue Trust.

However, Todd also had cause to believe he would prevail at trial, a fact now

¹⁰ Because this Court finds Wendy brought her claims in good faith, this Court concludes fees under NRS 18.010(2)(b) are not warranted.

proven with respect to the claims against him individually. Todd's subjective belief about the strength of his position is legally relevant. "[W]here the offeror has a reasonable basis to believe that exposure to liability is minimal, a nominal offer is appropriate." Arrowood Indem. Co. v. Acosta, Inc., 58 So. 3d 286, 289 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2011) (discussing the good faith prong of an offer of judgment from a Florida statute analogous to NRCP 68). At the time Todd made his individual offer, Wendy had been unable to present coherent facts underlying her claims against him personally. He therefore had reason to believe Wendy's claims against him individually were weak or lacked merit. See Beach, 958 F. Supp. at 1171 (holding defendant's offer was reasonable even though plaintiff's alleged damages exceeded the offer's amount "given the weaknesses defendant perceived in plaintiff's case."); see also Scott-Hop v. Bassek, Nos. 60501, 61943, 2014 WL 859181 at *6 (Feb. 28, 2014) (holding reasonable an offer of \$25,000 even though plaintiff's alleged medical expenses were over \$150,000 because of the uncertainty of plaintiff's case and defendant's summary judgment motion); Max Bear Productions, Ltd. v. Riverwood Partners, LLC, No. 3:09-CV-00512-RCJ-RAM, 2012 WL 5944767 (D. Nev. Nov. 26, 2012) ("The token \$1,000 offer may appear to have been made simply for the procedural purpose of preserving rights to fees . . . should Defendant win a judgment. However, the weaknesses of Plaintiff's case made this token offer reasonable."); Arrowood, 58 So. 3d at 289-90 (holding a court is required to consider an offeror's subjective belief that an offer is reasonable and not just objective factors).

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

This Court concludes the second factor to consider is neutral regarding the Issue Trust and does not inure to any party's favor or disfavor. Todd hoped he would prevail at trial, but given the financial and documentary complexity, discovery delays and disputes (including Todd's continued depositions long after the offers of judgment were made), the untimely accountings, incomplete discovery, and the amounts in controversy, the offer does not appear to be made with the good-faith intention of settling Wendy's claims. In contrast, Todd's offer to settle Wendy's claims against him individually for the payment of \$25,000 appears more reflective of the circumstances and was made with a good-faith

intention to settle the claims. Thus, this factor favors Todd individually.

Whether Wendy's decision to reject the offer and proceed to trial was grossly unreasonable or in bad faith? Wendy's decision to reject Todd's offer as trustee of the Issue Trust was not grossly unreasonable or in bad faith. The offer arrived early in discovery. Wendy had incurred substantially more in fees than the offered amount and she was entitled to examine her legal position after discovery was received. In contrast, her decision to reject Todd's individual offer is less reasonable, yet this Court cannot conclude her rejection was grossly unreasonable or made in bad faith. Her decision was simply unwise in retrospect and she cannot now be relieved of its consequences. This third factor weighs in favor of Wendy regarding the Issue Trust and is neutral regarding Todd's personal liability.

Whether the fees sought are reasonable and justified in amount? Todd's individual and trustee attorneys are experienced in law and trial. They have exemplary records of service in our legal community and they obtained a positive outcome for their clients. After considering each of the Brunzell factors, this Court finds the fees sought by Todd individually from the date of the offer are reasonable in light of his experienced and effective attorneys, duration and scope of litigation, and the result obtained. However, the aggregate fees this Court expects Todd to seek as trustee of the Issue Trust are not justified when the offered \$25,000 is compared to the jury verdict. Shifting substantial attorneys' fees to Wendy is unjustified in this instance. Regarding Todd's individual fees, the amounts are reasonable and justified when charged against Wendy. This factor is neutral with respect to the Issue Trustee offer and favors Todd with respect to his individual offer of judgment.

For these reasons, this Court orders as follows:

a. The trusts shall pay 100% of the fees incurred by their attorneys in representation of the trustees. However, Todd shall reimburse the trusts from his personal resources for 25% of the amount paid because the jury determined he breached his fiduciary duties. Provided, however, Todd is entitled to reduce this 25% personal obligation by

the amount of trustee's fees he is ordered to disgorge.

- b. Wendy is *not* required to pay fees Todd incurred as trustee because she rejected the \$25,000 offer of judgment.
- c. Wendy shall pay 100% of fees Todd incurred individually from the date the offer of judgment was made. Provided, however, Todd shall be Wendy's judgment creditor and have no greater access to payment than any other judgment creditor. Todd may attach or anticipate Wendy's distributive share only if there are no spendthrift provisions within the trust instruments that prohibit such creditor collection efforts. If such spendthrift provisions exist, distributions shall be made to Wendy and Todd may seek collection efforts against Wendy personally, subsequent to the distribution. The trustees (including Todd) shall carefully measure Todd's rights as an individual judgment creditor with their fiduciary duties owed to Wendy as a beneficiary.
- d. The Trusts shall pay a combined attorneys' fee of \$300,000 to Wendy's attorneys for prevailing in the claim against Todd for breach of fiduciary duties. This payment shall be made directly to Wendy's attorneys without Wendy's signatory participation as a client or trust beneficiary.
- e. All fees ordered shall be treated as general trust administration expenses and not allocated to any beneficiary's distributive share.
- f. Todd is not required to indemnify the trust for the \$300,000 payable to Wendy's attorneys because he is already ordered to pay 25% of the aggregate fees incurred in representation of the trustees.
- g. The request for oral arguments is denied.

Other Issues

1. Second supplement to first amended counterpetition

On May 9, 2019 (after the legal phase of trial but before the equitable trial), Wendy filed a Second Supplement to her First Amended Counterpetition in which she continued her theme about untimely accountings. Wendy asks this Court to consider the new fact allegation the Family Trust co-trustees failed to prepare and deliver accountings for the Family Trust and Wendy Subtrust for the period from January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2018. She requests the production and delivery of these accountings and asks that the trustees be sanctioned. The trustees (including Todd and Stan individually) moved to strike Wendy's supplement because it was filed after the August 2, 2018, deadline to file motions to amend pleadings and violated NRCP 15(d).¹¹ The 2018 accountings were provided to Wendy in early July, 2019, thus rendering Wendy's request to compel moot.

It appears the accountings were untimely and this Court agrees Wendy could not have filed the supplement until after the deadline for providing the 2018 accountings had passed. However, the 2018 accountings are not part of the underlying litigation. This Court declines Wendy's invitation to enlarge this litigation to satisfy judicial economy. This litigation is bounded by the pleadings and cannot remain an open receptacle to receive real-time allegations of inappropriate trust administration. The supplement is stricken as beyond the scope of claims before this Court. Wendy may file a separate action challenging the timing and content of the 2018 accountings if she is so inclined. This Court neither encourages nor discourages such litigation.

2. The Lake Tahoe property

 Though not placed within a certain claim for relief within her pleadings, Wendy asks this Court to rescind all transactions involving the Lake Tahoe home and restore title to the SSJ LLC, which was 100% owned by the Family Trust. Wendy continues to overwhelm this Court with repetitive and lengthy arguments about the option agreements, forgery, fraud, fiduciary duties, unjust enrichment, trustor intentions, consideration, etc. All of Wendy's arguments were presented to the jury and rejected in

¹¹ Stan filed an additional Motion to Dismiss or Motion to Strike, arguing Wendy's supplement alleged a new claim for breach of fiduciary duty that has not been discovered. Todd joined in Stan's motion.

 the jury's verdict. This Court will not enter any order granting relief to Wendy regarding the Lake Tahoe home.

3. Future distributions

On July 23, 2019, Wendy filed an Emergency Motion to Compel Distribution from the Family Trust. She alleged she was being evicted from her home in Texas and needed money to relocate to either Arizona or Reno. Wendy asked this Court to order the trustees of the Family Trust to distribute \$6,000 for a deposit on a new apartment and \$5,000 per month for living expenses. Wendy further asks this Court to advise the trustees regarding the schedule of other distributions for living expenses. Wendy's motion is denied. This Court will not supervise trust administration on an ongoing basis. It will not provide advisory guidance or otherwise order the trustees regarding administration and distributions. Instead, it will adjudicate disputes through normal judicial processes. Wendy may initiate separate litigation if she is so inclined.

4. Costs.

Todd Jaksick as an individual, Duck Lake Ranch, LLC, and Incline TSS, are the prevailing parties entitled to statutory and reasonable costs. All other parties may file cost memoranda as authorized by law.

Conclusions

- 1. This Court does not confirm the accountings. However, the substance of the accountings were presented to the jury and fall within the jury's verdict. Thus, this Court will not allow additional litigation as to any accounting that formed the basis for Wendy's legal claims. All future accountings shall be timely and formulated to provide the beneficiaries with adequate notice of values, transactions, and other acts of trust administration. The trustees are authorized to pay, at Wendy's request, a portion of Wendy's distributive shares to Wendy's designated financial professional who will assist her to understand the accountings and interact with the trustees.
- This Court does not confirm the ACPAs or indemnification agreements.
 However, the substance of the ACPAs and indemnification agreements were presented to

the jury and fall within the jury's verdict. This Court will not allow additional litigation as to any of the ACPAs and indemnification agreements that formed the basis for Wendy's legal claims.

- 3. The trustees' request to impose no-contest penalties against Wendy is denied.
 - 4. Wendy's claims for unjust enrichment and constructive trust are denied.
- 5. Todd is confirmed as trustee of Issue Trust and co-trustee of Family Trust.
 All other trustees are also confirmed.
- 6. Todd shall disgorge all trustee fees he received or otherwise earned, subject to the fees award provisions.
- 7. This Court anticipates the parties will seek clarification and other relief through additional motion work. The attorneys' fees provisions in this order reflect the entirety of this Court's intentions regarding fees. This order also reflects the entirety of this Court's intentions regarding all other pending matters.
- 8. Todd and the trustees may submit a proposed judgment consistent with the jury's verdict and this order on equitable claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2020.

David A. Hardy
District Court Judge

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966



EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4

1	CODE: 1075 DONALD A. LATTIN, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 693	
3	CAROLYN K. RENNER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9164	
4	MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY 4785 Caughlin Parkway	
5	Reno, Nevada 89519 Telephone: (775) 827-2000	
. 6	Facsimile: (775) 827-2185	
7	Attorneys for Petitioners	
8		
9	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUR	T OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY	OF WASHOE
11		
12		
13	In the Matter of the:	Case No.: PR17-0445 Dept. No.: 15
14	SSJ's ISSUE TRUST.	Consolidated
15		Case No.: PR17-0446
16	In the Matter of the Administration of	Dept. No.: 15
17	THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST.	
18	/	
19		
20	AFFIDAVIT OF DONALD A	LATTIN, ESQ,
21		
22	STATE OF NEVADA) ss:	
23	COUNTY OF WASHOE)	
24		to a to a calcolate the
25	I, Donald A. Lattin, do hereby affirm under per	
26	Affidavit are true and based upon personal knowledge o	f the facts stated herein:
AUPIN COX LEGOY ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520		

- 1. I am a shareholder attorney in the law firm of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy.
- 2. I am the attorney principally responsible for representing Kevin Riley in the aboveentitled action, and have represented Mr. Riley since the onset of this matter.
- 3. On April 30, 2018, we served a \$500 Offer of Judgment upon Wendy Jaksick ("Wendy") through her counsel of record. Wendy rejected the Offer of Judgment by virtue of its nonacceptance. No counter-offer was made.
- 4. Since service of the Offer of Judgment, the Family Trust has incurred the total sum of \$498,646.25 in attorneys' fees that have been paid to the law firm of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy (the "Law Firm"). The amount of those fees allocated for the defense of Mr. Riley in his individual capacity, as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust is twenty-five percent (25%), based on him being one of four Co-Trustees of the Family Trust sued in this matter. There was no allocation from Wendy with respect to damages attributable to each respective Co-Trustee, and as such, the Family Trust had to be defended as a whole and one way to split the cost of defense is to divide the total amount by the number of Co-Trustees sued. Accordingly, Mr. Riley's share would be 25% of the total cost. Twenty-five percent of the total amount billed to the Family Trust after service of the Offer of Judgment is \$498,646.25 x .25 = which is \$124,616.56. See Exhibit A, attached hereto.
- 5. Additionally, post-offer fees in the amount of \$98,717.00 have been incurred in the defense of Mr. Riley and allocated to a file separate from the Family Trust for matters related to the BHC trust. *See* Exhibit B, attached hereto.
- 6. Regarding the qualifications of counsel, the undersigned's law firm as a whole has received an "AV" peer rating from Martindale Hubbell.



- 7. I have legal practice experience exceeding 35 years (admitted in Nevada 1981) including extensive experience in complex civil litigation. I have received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating, I am a member of the Nevada Bar, and I am admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court and various federal District Courts. I served as lead counsel on this case and was involved in all matters from discovery through trial.
- 8. Mr. LeGoy has more than 40 years of legal experience and expertise in the area of estate planning. He has received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating and is a member of the Nevada and California State Bars. Mr. LeGoy was involved in the litigation as a resource given that he drafted the trust at issue and given his years of legal work in the areas of trust and estate planning.
- 9. Mr. McQuaid has 20 years of legal experience and expertise in the area of estate planning. He has received a personal Martindale Hubbell "AV" rating and is a member of the Nevada and California State Bars. Mr. McQuaid was involved in the litigation as a resource given that he was involved in some of the trust administration events at issue and given his years of legal work in the areas of trust and estate planning.
- 10. Carolyn K. Renner has fourteen (14) years of experience with the litigation section of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy. She is a member of the Nevada Bar and is admitted to practice before all Nevada State and Federal courts. Ms. Renner's time included handling discovery, motion, and pretrial work.
- 11. Kristen Matteoni is a first-year associate at Maupin, Cox & LeGoy. Ms. Matteoni graduated from her law school in the top 5% of her class and began her law career as a

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

clerk for Justice Gibbons, Chief Justice at the Nevada Supreme Court. Ms. Matteoni handled various aspects of the case including discovery, motion, and pretrial work.

12. The Law Firm as a whole has received an "AV" peer rating from Martindale Hubbell.

DATED this 4th day of April, 2020.

Signed and Affirmed before me on this _q^h day of April, 2020, by Donald A. Lattin.

Notary Public

K. ALLEN

Notary Public - State of Nevada

Appointment Recorded in Washoe County
No: 18-1270-2 - Expiree December 18, 2023

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	<u>PAGES</u>
A.	Cost backup for matters related to the Family Trust	69
B.	Cost backup for matters related to the BHC Trust	16

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

AUPIN COX LEGOY ATTORNEY AT LAW FO. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520

1.1.

1.8

Fees for Donald A. Lattin, Esq.

Fees for Donald A. Lattin, Esq.

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

04/02/202	:0 FE	EES FOR F	EES FO	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	/	Page 1
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
729141	н	05/01/18	308	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS DISCLOSED BY WENDY IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE AND TODD RE:	3.25	1462.50
729286	H	05/02/18	308	CONTINUED REVIEW OF WENDY DOCUMENTS.	1.00	450.00
729321	H	05/03/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS TO DETERMINE; RESPONSE TO DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER ON UPCOMING HEARING; CONTINUED REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS FOR DEPOSITIONS.	3,25	1462.50
729537	Н	05/04/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM HOSMER-HENNER RE: STAN JAKSICK DEPOSITION; CORRESPONDENCE	1.75	787.50
729772	H	05/07/18	308	TO KENT. REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY WENDY; REVIEW AND REVISE POSITION LETTER TO DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER ON ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE; REVIEW OF WENDY'S POSITION STATEMENT ON ATTORNEY	2.25	1012.50
729859	H	05/08/18	308	PRIVILEGE ISSUES. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL AND ADAM RE: REPRESENTATION OF STAN BY PHIL KREITLEIN; ATTEND HEARING WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER; MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS	3.00	1350.00
730434	н	05/09/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM RE: REPRESENTATION OF STAN AS TRUSTEE BY PHIL KREITLEIN; REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS	2.75	1237.50
730453	H	05/15/18	308	REVEALED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM WENDY'S COUNSEL RE: REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME.	0.50	225.00
730492	H	05/16/18	308	REVIEW OF MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION RE: PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: DISCOVERY MASTERS DECISION.	0.25	112.50
730607	Н	05/17/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ON REVIEW	3.25	1462.50

ATTEND DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.

ATTEND DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.

DICTATE CORRESPONDENCE TO WENDY'S

١

COUNSEL RE: DISMISSAL OF MIKE

06/05/18 308

06/06/18 308

06/07/18 308

H

H

H

732439

732442

732645

1800.00

1800.00

787.50

4.00

4.00

1.75

REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MIKE

06/22/18 308

733809

337.50

0.75

04/02/20	20 F	EES FOR I	ees f	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 4
#	Тур	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
735001	Н	06/26/18	308	KIMMEL ON TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSE TO WENDY'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION; MEETING WITH KENT	1.25	562.50
735031	Н	07/05/18	308	AND TODD. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ROBISON ON OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS; MEETING WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO DISCUSS	4.25	1912.50
735060	Н	07/06/18	308	ATTEND AND DEFEND DEPOSITION OF MIKE KIMMEL; REVIEW OF EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: 30(b)(6) DEPOSITIONS; MEETING WITH	6.00	2700.00
735177	н	07/09/18	308	KENT ROBISON RE: REVIEW OF SUBPOENAS TO VARIOUS ENTITIES TO FORMULATE OBJECTIONS; REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER TO KREITLEIN AND CORRESPONDENCE TO	1.25	562.50
735253	н	07/10/18	308	TODD RE: REVIEW AND REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TRUST ADMINISTRATION ITEMS; CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	1.00	450.00
735348	H	07/11/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND TODD RE: MEETING WITH TODD RE:	1.75	787.50
735483	Н	07/12/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE TO JUDGE HASCHEFF RE: DEPOSITION; PREPARE DEPOSITION POINTS FOR TODD.	1,00	450.00
735560	Н	07/13/18	308	REVIEW OF TODD JAKSICK FAMILY TRUST OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.	0.75	337.50
735862	H	07/16/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD TO	1.00	450.00
736472	H	07/23/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: MEET AND CONFER ISSUES.	0.25	112.50
736511	H	07/24/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH ADAM TO REVIEW OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS.	0.75	337.50
736882	Н	07/27/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO DISCUSS	1.50	675.00
				REVIEW AND REVISE		
737065	H	07/30/18	308	OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM WENDY'S COUNSEL RE: REQUEST FOR	0.50	225.00
737268	I-I	07/31/18	308	PRODUCTION: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: CONFERENCE WITH KENT ROBISON RE:	1.25	562.50

	,					,
04/02/20	20 F	EES FOR I	FEES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 5
#	Tvn	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
"	-71	·	,	FINALIZE OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS.		
737713	H	08/03/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD TO REVIEW	2,50	1125.00
737717 738156	H	08/06/18 08/07/18		ATTEND DEPOSITION OF STAN JAKSICK. CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: DEPOSITION; ATTEND 2ND DAY OF STAN JAKSICK DEPOSITION; REVIEW OF VARIOUS SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM FILED BY MARK CONNOT.	5.00 6.00	2250.00 2700.00
738160	H	08/08/18		ATTEND STAN JAKSICK DEPOSITION.	6.00	2700.00
738163	\mathbf{H}	08/09/18	308	ATTEND STAN JAKSICK DEPOSITION.	6.00	2700.00
738582	H	08/10/18	308	COMPLETE DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.	3.25	1462.50
738586	H	08/13/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD JAKSICK; DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	5.50	2475.00
738589	H	08/14/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	5.00	2250.00
738591	\hat{H}	08/15/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	3.50	1575.00
		08/15/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	4.00	1800.00
738722 738731	H	08/17/18		TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM HOSMER-HENNER RE: DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY; CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: DEPOSITION DATES; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TRUST ACCOUNTING; MEETING WITH TODD TO REVIEW DEPOSITION ISSUES; REVIEW MOTION TO STOP DEPOSITION.	2.75	1237.50
738950	H	08/20/18	308	REVIEW OF SUBPOENA; REVIEW AND REVISE OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.75	787.50
739166	H	08/21/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	0.75	337.50
739338	Н	08/23/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS TO PRODUCE FOR FAMILY TRUST.	1.75	787.50
739413	Н	08/24/18	308	REVIEW OF STAN'S OBJECTION TO MONTREUX AND TOIYABE SUBPOENAS; MEETING WITH KENT AND PIERRE HASCHEFF.	2.25	1012.50
739535	H	08/27/18	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM MARK CONNOT RE: DISMISSAL OF MIKE KIMMEL; MEETING WITH TODD, JESSICA AND JIM CORICA; MEETING WITH TODD AND JESSICA TO	.2,25	1012.50
739620	H	08/28/18	308	MEETING WITH ADAM TO DISCUSS LITIGATION ISSUES; TELEPHONE	1.75	787.50

04/02/202	20 F)	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 6
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL PARTIES RE: PROPOSED STIPULATION TO DISMISS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE:		,
739749	н	08/29/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
739949	Н	08/30/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: DEPOSITIONS.	0.75	337.50
740078	Н	08/31/18	308	MEETING WITH TRUSTEES RE:	0.75	337.50
740309	H	09/04/18	308	ATTEND 30(b)(6) DEPOSITIONS OF JAKSICK FAMILY, LLC AND TOTYABE; CONFERENCE WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: SETTLEMENT STRATEGIES.	3.00	1350.00
740477	H	09/05/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF VARIOUS EMAIL OBJECTIONS TO PIERRE	1.00	450.00
740620	Н	09/06/18	308	HASCHEFF'S DEPOSITION. CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND KENT RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	0.75	337.50
740687	H	09/07/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND KENT RE:	0.75	337.50
740759	Н	09/10/18	308	ATTEND MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT ATTEND DEPOSITION OF DAVE JAMISON; MEETING WITH KENT AND ADAM TO DISCUSS SETTLEMENT ISSUES; REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO TERMINATE OR LIMIT DEPOSITION.	3.50	1 1575.00
740833	Н	09/11/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN SPENCER AND ZACH JOHNSON RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES; CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND KENT RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND KENT RE:	2.00	900.00
740899	H ·	09/12/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM DALLAS COUNCIL RE: A "MEET AND CONFER" TO BE PRODUCED; REVIEW OF	2.00	900.00

04/02/202	20 FI	EES FOR I	FEES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	. .	Page 7
#	Туре	Date:	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
741114	H	09/13/18	308	VARIOUS DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY JESSICA. CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT TO	1.00	450.00
				DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH STAN.		
741123	H	09/14/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF; MEETING WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	3.00	1350.00
741721	Н	09/17/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.75	787.50
				CORRESPONDENCE TO TO DD AND MIKE RE:		
741729	H	09/18/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DAVE REID AT SHAKEYS AND CORRESPONDENCE TO DAVE RE: SALE	2.00	900.00
741738	H	09/19/18	308	DOCUMENTS. REVIEW OF EMAILS RE: REVISED DISCOVERY STIPULATION; REVIEW AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR FAMILY TRUST ACCOUNTING; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR PIERRE HASCHEFF RE: CONTINUED	1.75	787.50
741740	H	09/20/18	308	DEPOSITION OF PIERRE. MEETING WITH TODD TO CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KEVIN TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	2.25	1012.50
741750	Н	09/21/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM WENDY'S COUNSEL RE: MOTION TO TERMINATE AND CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF.	0.75	337.50
742024	H	09/24/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: FEVIEW OF BUCKHORN	0.75	337.50
742087	H	09/25/18	308	DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED. REVIEW OF PETITION TO RECOVER MONEY PREPARED BY KENT ROBISON; FINAL REVIEW OF BUCKHORN DISCLOSURES.	0.75	337.50
742157	H	09/26/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT TO PETITION FOR RETURN OF MONEY AND DISCOVERY ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN.	1.00	450.00

04/02/202	20 FE	EES FOR I	ees f	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	,	Page 8
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
742243	Н	09/27/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO	0.25	112.50
742315	Н	09/28/18	308	DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RILEY RE	1.00	450.00
742648	H	10/02/18	308	REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM TODD ALEXANDER RE: CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TRUST ADMINISTRATION ISSUES; REVIEW OF PETITION FOR RECONVEYANCE OF FUNDS AND CORRESPONDENCE TO	2.00	900.00
				TRUSTEES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: DEPOSITION OF HASCHEFF.	4	
742896	H	10/03/18	308	REVIEW OF INTERROGATORIES FROM WENDY TO STAN AND CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD AND MIKE REFERENCE FROM KENT ROBISON		450.00
742945	Н	10/04/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD RE:	0.50	225.00
743003	H	10/05/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KRIETLIEN RE: CHECKS; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.75	337.50
743053	Н	10/08/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KRIETLIEN RE: FAMILY TRUST PAYMENTS; REVIEW AND REVISE COVENANT ISSUES; MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND ZAC JOHNSON TO "MEET AND CONFERENCE ON SUPPOSINA ISSUES	2.75	1237.50
743069	H	10/09/18	308	CONFER" ON SUBPOENA ISSUES. CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLIEN	1.50	675.00
743130	H	10/10/18	308	RE: AG CREDIT LOAN. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: PAYMENT TO AG CREDIT;	1.25	562.50

04/02/202	20 FI	EES FOR F	ees fo	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454,008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 9
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD TO DISCUSS REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM COUNSEL FOR WENDY ON HASCHEFF DOCUMENTS.		
743236	Н	10/11/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD AND MIKE RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM HOSMER-HENNER RE: DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF; REVIEW OF PIERRE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO ADAM FROM PIERRE'S FILES.	1.75	787.50 ·
743282	Н	10/12/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE:	1.00	450.00
743642	H	10/15/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM AND PHIL RE: TRUST ADMINISTRATION ISSUES.	1.00	450.00
743765	H	10/16/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH ALL COUNSEL WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER RE: JUDGE HARDY ORDER ON DEPOSITIONS.	3.00	1350.00
743842	H	10/17/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	1,50	675.00
743942	Н	10/18/18	308	REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED; CONFERENCE CALL WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER AND ALL COUNSEL.	3.00	1350.00
744137	H	10/22/18	308	REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RELATIVE TO MIKE KIMMEL; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND JESSICA	2.00	900.00
744248	H	10/23/18	308	REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON BEHALF OF MIKE KIMMEL FOR FILING.	1.75	787.50
744282	Н	10/24/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: REVIEW OF ORDER FROM THE COURT DENYING MOTION FOR	2.50	1125.00

04/02/20	20 F	EES FOR I	EES P	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 10
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
744452	- H	10/25/18	308	CONTINUANCE AND FOR SCHEDULING CONFERENCE; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TRUST CHECKS. REVIEW OF OFFER FROM LITTLE BIRD, ENTERPRISES AND CORRESPONDENCE TO KREITLEIN RE: OFFER TO PURCHASE FERRARI: CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	2.00	900.00
744543	Н	10/26/18	308	MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD RE:	0.61	274.50
744548	1-1	10/29/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY AND MEETING WITH KEVIN TO DISCUSS	3.34	1503.00
744641	H	10/30/18	308	DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY AND TODD	3.00	1350.00
744770	H	10/31/18	308	JAKSICK. DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	3.00	1350.00
744739 744874	H	11/01/18		REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO	2.00	900.00
/440/4	n		200	WENDY'S MOTION TO COMPEL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RILEY RE:		
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:		
745135	H	11/02/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH LITTLE BIRD (ELI ZELTZER) RE: PURCHASE OF FERRARI; REVIEW OF LIMITED OPPOSITION TO TODO'S PETITION FOR	0.50	225.00
745226	H	11/05/18	308	RETURN OF FUNDS. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	0.50	225.00
745479	H	11/06/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY TO	0.25	112.50
745679	H	11/08/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE RE:	0.50	225.00
745887	Н	11/09/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS	2.00	900.00
746020	H	11/13/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH KEVIN RILEY AND BOB LEGOY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE AND TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE:	1.75	787.50
746329	H	11/15/18	308	REVIEW OF MOTION TO COMPEL FILED BY WENDY AGAINST JESSICA CLAYTON; REVIEW AND REVISE STATUS REPORT TO	2.00	900.00

04/02/20	20 F	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 11
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				THE COURT; PREPARE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE WITH ALL COUNSEL INCLUDING MOTION TO COMPEL AND OPPOSITION.		
746331	H	11/16/18	308	ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE WITH JUDGE HARDY; MEETING WITH TODD AND CAROLYN RENNER TO DISCUSS CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL COUNSEL RE: KEVIN RILEY DEPOSITION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN TO DISCUSS	4.00	1800.00
746334	Н	11/17/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF.	2,90	1305.00
746574	H	11/19/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND THERESE TO DISCUSS	0.75	337.50
746583	H.	11/20/18	308	REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTIONS FROM WENDY FOR 81 ENTITIES; CORRESPONDENCE TO COMMISSIONER AYERS RE; APPROVED SETTLEMENT	1.00	450.00
746996	H	11/26/18	308	JUDGES. REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (FOR KIMMEL); REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO LITTLE BIRD ENTERPRISE RE: FERRARI SALE.	1.75	787,50
747068	H	11/27/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD; CONFERENCE CALL WITH KEVIN AND TODD RE: REVIEW OF DISCOVERY RESPONSES TO WENDY'S REQUESTS.	2.25	1012.50
747223	H	11/28/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO STANS REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS AND INTERROGATORIES; CONFERENCE CALL WITH CAROLYN RENNER AND TODD TO DISCUSS	2.75	1237.50
747349	Н	11/29/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MARK CONNOT ON TRIAL PROTOCOL; BEGIN REVIEW OF DEPOSITION EXHIBITS; MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS	2.75 N	1237.50
747495	H	11/30/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ZACH JOHNSON RE: REQUEST FOR ACCOUNTING ON SUBTRUSTS; REVIEW OF CORRECTED	2.25	. 1012.50

04/02/20	20 FE	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 12
#	Туре	Date	Aity	Description	Hours	Amount
				ORDER FROM JUDGE HARDY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM HOSMER-HENNER RE: SUBTRUST ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH BILL PETERSON RE: JACKRABBIT CAPITAL CALL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT REFINAL REVIEW OF DISCOVERY RESPONSES TO STAN'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSION.		
747732	Н	12/03/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KIMMEL RE: STATUS REPORT TO KIMMEL ON REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ROBISON RE	1.00	450.00
747968	Н	12/04/18	308	CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE:	3.00	1350.00
748035	H	12/05/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT TO DISCUSS	2.00	900.00
748135	H	12/06/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM LITTLE BYRD ENTERPRISES RE: STATUS OF TITLE; REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY MOTIONS; REVIEW OF 706 ESTATE TAX RETURN; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT; FINAL REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY MOTION.	2.25	1012.50
748191	Н	12/07/18	308	REVIEW OF STATUS REPORT FILED BY STAN JAKSICK; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE REVIEW OF WENDY JAKSICK'S STATUS REPORT ON	1.25	562.50
748421	Н	12/10/18	308	DISCOVERY. REVIEW OF SUBPOENAS TO SAM'S, DOCTORS; CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:	2.25	1012.50
748474	Н	12/11/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL PARTIES RE: SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE;	4.00	1800.00

04/02/2020 \cdot FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454,008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE, TODD AND KEVIN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD, MIKE AND KEVIN RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS CONFERENCE WITH ALL COUNSEL BEFORE		, .
748559	H	12/12/18	308	DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER. CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN IN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN AND TODD TO DISCUSS BEGIN REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY DOCUMENTS FOR DISCLOSURES.	3.00	1350.00
748745	Н	12/13/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: REVIEW OF PROPOSED JOINT DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT; CALL WITH TODD AND	3.00	1350.00
748811	H	12/14/18	308	KEVIN TO DISCUSS REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL KREITLEIN; CONTINUED REVIEW OF RILEY DOCUMENTS FOR DISCLOSURE; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: 706 ISSUES.	2.00	900.00
748922	H	12/17/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND TODD RE: REVIEW AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR SSJ ISSUE TRUST AND KEVIN RILEY; REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS TO BE DISCLOSED.	1.75	787.50
749197	H	12/18/18	308	REVIEW OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND FILED BY WENDY JAKSICK; REVIEW OF WENDY JAKSICK'S INITIAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES; ARGUMENTS BEFORE DISCOVERY	1.75	787,50
749247	Н	12/19/18	308	COMMISSIONER ON DISCOVERY ISSUES. REVIEW OF EXPERT DISCLOSURES FROM STAN JAKSICK; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENTON JACK RABBIT CAPITAL CALL; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE: SJ RANCH, LLC; REVIEW OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT SCENARIOS; MEETING WITH TODD.	2.00	900.00
749413	H	12/20/18	308	REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATION FOR ORDER RE: KEVIN RILEY DEPOSITION; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	3.75	1687.50

04/02/202	:0 FE	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 14
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO DISCUSS CONTINUED REVIEW OF TRIAL EXHIBITS FOR TRIAL PREPARATION.	n 70	1105.00
749458	Н	12/21/18	308	REVIEW OF BRIAN MCQUAID DOCUMENTS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW AND REPLY OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S MOTION TO COMPEL FROM BOB LEGOY AND MCL.	2.50	1125.00
749490	Н	12/24/18	308	REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES MADE BY STAN; REVIEW OF PIERRE HASCHEFF ISSUES WITH TODD.	1.00	450.00
749587	Н	12/26/18	308	REVIEW OF ERRATA FILED BY WENDY SUBSTITUTING WENDY'S VERIFICATION FOR ZACH JOHNSON'S VERIFICATION; CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS STRATEGY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO DISCUSS	2.50	1125.00
749664	Н	12/27/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ZACH JOHNSON ON DISCOVERY REQUESTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TAHOE APPRAISAL; REVIEW OF KENT RE: REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION IN LIMINE ON LEGAL EXPERTS.	1.75	787.50 ·
749902	I-I	12/28/18	308	DICTATE PORTION OF MEDIATION STATEMENT PERTAINING TO SETTLEMENT CONCEPTS; REVIEW AND REVISE MEDIATION STATEMENT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY BALANCE SHEETS; REVIEW AND REVISE REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES.	2.50	1125.00
749906	H	12/29/18	308	REVIEW OF MEDIATION STATEMENT; REVIEW OF LETTER FROM KENT ON	0.50	225.00
750,035	Н	12/31/18	308	REVIEW OF WENDY'S PROTOCOL STATEMENT; REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY SETTLEMENT HYPOTHETICALS; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE: JACKRABBIT CAPITAL CASE; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE KIMMEL RE:	1.75	787.50
750296 750299	H H	01/01/19 01/02/19		MEETING AT KENT ROBISION'S OFFICE. MEDIATION WITH BOB ENZENBERGER AND	0.65 3.00	292,50 1350.00

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				FINAL REVIEW OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR EXECUTION BY ALL PARTIES; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW AND REVISE INFORMED CONSENT WAIVER DOCUMENT.		
752998	Н	02/01/19	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK AND NICOLAS PALMER; MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD.	4.00	1800.00
753001	H	02/02/19	308	TRIAL PREPARATION- PREPARE OPENING STATEMENT; BEGIN PREPARATION FOR TRIAL STATEMENT; REVIEW OF MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL; PREPARE JURY QUESTIONS.	2.00	900.00
753004 753334	H H	02/03/19 ⁻ 02/04/19		TRIAL PREPARATION. ATTEND PRETRIAL WITH JUDGE HARDY AND ALL COUNSEL; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	1.75 3.00	787.50 1350.00
753337	H	02/05/19	308	ATTEND PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH BOB ENZENBERGER.	3.00	1350.00
753447	Н	02/06/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE:	1.75	787.50
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE		
753479	H	02/07/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY'S ORDER ON ACCOUNTING; CONFERENCE WITH ADAM TO DISCUSS	3.00	1350.00
753598	н	02/08/19	308	SETTLEMENT; CONFERENCE WITH KENT. CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND KENT RE: HEARING BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER; REVIEW OF SUBTRUST ACCOUNTING AND CORRESPONDENCE FROM	2.25	1012.50
753623 753626 753691	H H H	02/09/19 02/10/19 02/11/19	308	KEVIN. TRIAL PREPARATION. TRIAL PREPARATION. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL RE:	2.00 1.00 5.00	900.00 450.00 2250.00

				04/01/20		
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				STATUS OF TRIAL; PREPARE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE FOR DISCLOSURE OF WENDY'S SUBTRUST FINANCIALS; CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD, KEVIN, AND MIKE RE: TRIAL ISSUES; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	,	
753858	H	02/12/19	308	CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT TO REVIEW CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN TO REVIEW	5.00	2250.00
754248	H _.	02/13/19	308	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE WITH ALL PARTIES AND CONTINUED ARGUMENTS OVER MOTION IN LIMINE; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	5.00	2250.00
754251	H	02/14/19	308	PICK JURY BEFORE JUDGE HARDY.	5.00	2250.00
754254	H	02/15/19		PREPARE OPENING ARGUMENT; OPENING ARGUMENTS BEFORE JUDGE.	5.00	2250.00
754259	H	02/16/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	2.00	900.00
754261	H	02/18/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT TO REVIEW REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM ADAM RE: CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	2.75	1237.50
754670	H	02/19/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
754674	H	02/20/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
754682	H	02/21/19		ATTEND TRIAL. MEETING WITH TODD, KENT AND PIERRE.	4.00	1800.00
754686	H	02/22/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
755244	H	02/23/19		TRIAL PREPARATION.	2.00	900.00
754695	H	02/24/19		PREPARE DIRECT EXAM FOR KEVIN RILEY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND PIERRE.	3.00	1350.00
755247	H	02/24/19	308	TRIAL PREPARATION. MEETING WITH PIERRE HASCHEFF.	2.00	900.00
755253	H	02/25/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	5,00	2250.00
755256	Ĥ	02/26/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	5.00	2250.00
755259	H	02/27/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	5.00	2250.00
755262	H	02/28/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	5.00	2250.00
755266	Ĥ	03/01/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	3.00	1350.00
755628	Ĥ	03/02/19		PREPARE FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT.	1.00	450.00
755631	H	03/03/19		PREPARE FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT.	1.00	450.00
755634	Ĥ	03/04/19		ATTEND TRIAL; RETURN OF JURY VERDICT.	5.00	2250.00
755956	H	03/06/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	2.00	900.00
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE: TELEPHONE		· .
,				CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO	•	

04/02/20:	20 FI	ees for i	FEES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 19
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
•				PHIL KREITLEIN RE:		
755965	Н	03/07/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH	0.75	337.50
755973	H	03/07/19	308	KENT RE: REVIEW AND REVISE MEMORANDUM OF COSTS: CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	1.00	450.00
756253	H	03/11/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: FINAL REVIEW OF COST MEMORANDUM FOR FILING; MEETING WITH MIKE KIMMEL AND TODD JAKSICK TO DISCUSS	1,00	450.00
756261	Н	03/12/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE:	1.00	450.00
756378	H	03/13/19	308	WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE:	0.75	337.50
756510	Н	03/15/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF MOTION TO RETAX COSTS; MEETING WITH STAN AND TODD TO	1.75	787.50
756838	н	03/18/19	308	DISCUSS RÉVIEW OF EMAIL FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE LETTER TO TODD RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS	2.00	900.00
756985	Н	03/19/19		TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL AND ADAM RE: DICTATE RESPONSE TO ZACH JOHNSON LETTER REQUESTING TODD RESIGN AS TRUSTEE; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEES RE:	1.75 E	787.50
757142	H	03/20/19	308	FINALIZE LETTER TO ZACH JOHNSON; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN	0.75	337.50
757375	Н	03/21/19	308	RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM	1.00	450.00

04/02/202	.0 FE	EES FOR H	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	v	Page 20
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				ON CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL		
				RE:	ĺ	
757379	Н	03/22/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM RE:	1.00	450.00
				REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL RE:		
				CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL RE:	N.	
757546	Н	03/25/19	308	CONFERENCE RE: REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE:	1,00	450.00
				REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FORM KEVIN RE:		
757695	Н	03/27/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.50	225.00
757760	Н	03/28/19	308	REVIEW OF STAN'S EMAIL TO KEVIN RE:	0.75	337.50
				REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MIKE KIMMEL TO CO-TRUSTEES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT TO COUNSELFOR PIERRE HASCHEFF.		
757855	Н	03/29/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FORM MIKE KIMMEL TO CO-TRUSTEES.	0.50	225.00
758213	Н	04/01/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.75	337.50
758340	Н	04/02/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM MIKE KIMMEL TO ALL TRUSTEES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE RE:	0.50	225.00
758515	Н	04/04/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE:	1.00	450.00
				CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RECORRESPONDENCE		
758549	H	04/05/19	308	RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL RE:	0.25	112.50

04/02/202	20 F	EES FOR I	FEES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 21
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
758729	Н	04/08/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM PHIL KREITLEIN	0.75	337.50
758828	H	04/09/19	308	RETELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	2.00	900.00
758925	Н	04/10/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL RE: MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS UPCOMING TRIAL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO DISCUSS TRIAL ISSUES. REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD RE:	1.50	675.00
759060	H	04/11/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD	1.00	450.00
759161	Н	04/12/19	308	RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND	1.00	450.00
759340	H	04/15/19	308	PHIL RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	0.75	337.50
759564	H	04/16/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL RE:	0.25	112.50
759730	Н	04/17/19	308	REVIEW OF JOINT MOTION FOR STATUS CONFERENCE AND CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RÉ: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF COURT ORDER ON CLAIMS TO BE HEARD BY COURT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE:	2.75	1237.50
_, 759789	н	04/18/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL KREITLEIN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: PREPARED BY KEVIN RILEY; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM STAN TO CO	2.50	1125.00
759866	H	04/19/19	308	TRUSTEES RE: REVIEW OF MOTION IN LIMINE/ SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY KENT ROBISON; PREPARE JOINDER TO MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL RE:	0.75	337.50

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 22 04/01/20										
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount				
760148	Н	04/22/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL RE:	0.75	337.50				
•				REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM TODD RE:						
760230	н	04/23/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM HOSMER-HENNER RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEES RE:	2.00	900.00				
760369	Н	04/24/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW	0.75	337.50				
760422	Н	04/25/19	308	OF MIKE KIMMEL EMIAL TO STAN. CONFERENCE WITH BOB RE:	0.75	337.50				
	ı	,	•	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:						
760653	Н	04/29/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE	1.00	450.00				
				CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	-0.0£	1010.50				
761161	Н	05/01/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE AND TODD RE: REVIEW OF OPPOSITION TO JOINDER FILED BY WENDY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	2.25	1012.50				
761281	Н	05/02/19	308	ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE WITH JUDGE HARDY AND ALL PARTIES: MEETING WITH KENT TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE	3.25	1462.50				
761357	Н	05/03/19	308	KIMMEL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE AND TODD RE: REVIEW OF COURT PRETRIAL ORDER ON EQUITABLE CLAIMS; REVIEW OF EXHIBIT LIST TO DETERMINE RELEVANT EXHIBITS FOR	2.50	1125.00				
761466	Н	05/06/19	308	TRIAL. BEGIN PREPARATION FOR TRIAL ON EQUITABLE ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE:	2.75	1237.50				
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND						
761500	H	05/07/19	308	JESSICA RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	4.00	1800.00				

				. 01/01/20		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				REVIEW AND REVISE TRIAL STATEMENTS; DRAFT OFFER TO WENDY FOR TODD'S		
				REVIEW; REVIEW AND REVISE TRIAL		
				STATEMENT; MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT.		
761503	H	05/08/19	308	PREPARE OFFER TO WENDY AND	4.25	1912.50
				CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:		
· ·				CORRESPONDENCE		
				TO MARK CONNOT RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE		
		*		WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:		
				CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.		
761536	H	05/09/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE TRIAL STATEMENT;	5.00	2250.00
				REVIEW OF NEW EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED FOR TRIAL; CONTINUED TRIAL		
				PREPARATION; REVIEW OF	1	
				CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM ON CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL	ı	
				TRUSTEES RE:		
761673	н	05/10/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT TO	5.00	2250.00
701075	**	05/10/12		DISCUSS REVIEW OF	1	
				SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FILED BY WENDY; ONGOING TRIAL PREPARATION.	•	
761678	H	05/13/19	308	FIRST DAY OF TRIAL WITH JUDGE HARDY	5.00	2250.00
				ON EQUITABLE ISSUES; MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS		4
				REVIEW OF OFFER		
762033	Н	05/14/19	308	FROM CONNOT AND RESPOND TO OFFER. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE	3.00	1350.00
, 0,400				KIMMEL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD RE:		
	,			CORRESPONDENCE TO		
				TRUSTEES RE: REVIEW OF RILEY CHANGES TO		
				STIPULATION; REVIEW OF EXHIBIT LIST		
7/0000	H	05/15/19	306	TO ENSURE ALL DOCUMENTS ADMITTED. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
762302	п	03113117	200	TELEPHONE		
				CONFERENCE WITH JOEL AT DMV; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE RE:		
762426	H	05/16/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE OBJECTIONS TO	1.75	787.50
				OFFER OF PROOF; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:		
٠		,		REVIEW OF		•
			,	CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT TO JUDGE HARDY.		
762466	H	05/17/19	308	REVIEW OF STAN'S OBJECTIONS TO	3.00	1350.00

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 24 04/01/20											
#	Туре	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount					
762739	Н	05/20/19	308	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; MEETING WITH TODD AND MIKE RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: REVIEW OF ORDER ON EVIDENCE; MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS CONFERENCE TO PHIL RE:	3.00	1350.00					
762804	Н	05/21/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00					
				REVIEW OF PROPOSED LANGUAGE FOR FINANCIALS; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF TEXT MESSAGES		,					
762880	Н	05/22/19	308	FROM WENDY. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL; MEETING WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	2.00	900.00					
762977	H	05/22/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH PHIL AND ADAM RE:	3.00	1350.00					
763152	Н	05/24/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE:	2,50	1125.00					
				REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MIKE KIMMEL TO KEVIN RILEY RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN TO MIKE RE:							
763278	Н	05/28/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ZACH JOHNSON ON BRIEFING DATE; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM TODD ON TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00					
763346 _.	H	05/29/19 :	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL RE:	1.00	450.00					
763465	H	05/30/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: MEETING WITH PHIL AND STAN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO MARK CONNOT AND	2.25	1012.50					

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 25 04/01/20											
# ,	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount					
763773	н	06/03/19	308	ZACH JOHNSON RE: STAND-DOWN AGREEMENT. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM BOB ENZENBERGER RE: MEDIATION; CORRESPONDENCE TO BOB RE: DETAILS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND	1.00	450.00					
763868	Н	06/04/19	308	TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MARK CONNOT RE: MEDIATION ISSUES; CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD AND MIKE RE: REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY	1.75	787.50					
764008	H	06/05/19	308	TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE. MEETING WITH CO-TRUSTEES RE:	2.75	1237.50					
				CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL RE:							
764016	Н	06/06/19	308	REVIEW OF DEMANDS FOR MDG AND TOIYABE PREPARED BY TRUSTEES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL	1.00	450.00					
764066	Н	06/07/19	308	RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH NIC PALMER RE: INCLINE TSS ISSUES; CORRESPONDENCE TO OPPOSING COUNSEL	0.75	337.50					
764823	Н	06/17/19	308	RE: STAND DOWN AND 10K CHECK. REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM CONNOT RE: STANDDOWN AGREEMENT; REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF ON EQUITABLE CLAIMS; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE.	1.50	675,00					
765041	H	06/18/19	308	REVIEW OF PROPOSED CHANGES AND ADDITIONS TO BRIEF; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	0.75	337.50					
765218	H	06/19/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD JAKSICK AND JESSICA CLAYTON; REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF; DICTATE OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:	4.25	1912.50					
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN							
765913	н	06/21/19	308	RILEY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE	0.50	225.00					
766014	H	06/27/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	3.25	1462.50					
				CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:							
766067	H	06/28/19	308	REVIE OF ORDER ON BRIEFING	1.00	450.00					

				O II O EF MICO		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	· Hours	~ Amount
766310	H	07/01/19	308	SCHEDULE; FINAL REVIEW OF BRIEF ON EQUITABLE ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM HOSMER TO MIKE KIMMEL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:	í 3.25	1462.50
766437	Н	07/02/19	308	REVIEW AND FINAIZE TRIAL BRIEF. CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	3,50	1575.00
766466	Н	07/03/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN REGARDING REVIEW OF BRIEFS FILED BY ALL PARTIES. CONFERENCE CALL WITH ADAM AND PHIL AND BOB RE: REVIEW OF ORDER FROM COURT RE: ABEYANCE OF MOTIONS TO	1.75	787.50
766899	Н	07/09/19	308	STRIKE. MEETING WITH TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS	1.75	787.50
766901	н	07/10/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.50	225.00
767478	Н	07/15/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD REGARDING CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE	1.50	675.00
767655	Н	07/16/19	308	RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RILEY RE: CONTINUED CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD RE:	2,00	900.00
767664	н	07/17/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RILEY; REVIEW OF PROPOSED EMAIL FROM MIKE KIMMEL TO LUKE, LEXI AND WENDY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE RE: WENDY 'S POST TRIAL BRIEF AND CONFERENCE WITH KRISTEN REGARDING	3.75	1687,50
768177	Н	07/22/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND BOB RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES RE:	1.00	450.00

04/02/202	20 F	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 27
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
768182	H	07/23/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.50	225.00
768361	H	07/25/19	308	REVIEW OF ORDER ISSUED BY THE COURT ON REDACTION AND ORDER SHORTENING TIME; REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF; CONFERENCE WITH KRISTEN AND	3.00	1350.00
768664	Н	07/29/19	308	CAROLYN TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: COURT CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD TO DISCUSS	3,00	1350.00
768681	Н	Ó7/30/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM INSURANCE COMPANY RE: PAYMENT FOR REPLACEMENT COST; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND JESS RE:	1.00	450.00
768688	Н	07/30/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM OPPOSING COUNSEL RE: DEPOSITION. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
768704	Н	07/31/19	308	REVIEW OF PROPOSED AGENDA FOR MEETING AND CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE KIMMEL. FIND REVIEW OF BRIEF; REVIEW AND REVISE SAR AND CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	3.25	1462.50
768922	H	Ó8/01/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN	0.25	112.50
768927	H	08/02/19	308	RILEY RE: REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO COMPEL DISTRIBUTION; FINAL REVISED FOR FINAL WITH COURT.	1.00	450.00
769492	Н	08/07/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM KIMMEL ON	0.75	337.50
769861	Н	08/09/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	1.00	450.00
769875	H	08/12/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	0.75	337.50
770010	Н	08/13/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: CONFERENCE WITH MIKE AND TODD RE:	2.25	1012.50

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 28 04/01/20										
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount				
770636	Н	08/20/19	308	MEETING WITH TODD TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:	0.75	337.50				
770682	H	08/21/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO BOB ENZENBERGER RE: MEDIATION; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD AND MIKE RE:	1.75	787.50				
771014	H	08/22/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.75	337.50				
771202	Н	08/26/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	0.50	225.00				
77,1637	Н	08/28/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE MEDIATION STATEMENT; CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN RE	1.75	787.50				
771639	Н	08/29/19	308	MEDIATION WITH ENZENBERGER AND STAN RE:	11.00	4950.00				
771641	H	08/30/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY REGARDING CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT.	1.00	450.00				
772012	H	09/04/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: FAMILY TRUST ACCOUNTINGS.	0.50	225.00				
772098	Н	09/05/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING TITLE TO FERRARI. CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD RE:	3.00	1350.00				
772366	H	09/06/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD AND MIKE RE:	0.50	225.00				
772371	H	09/09/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE:	0.75	337.50				
772601	Н	09/10/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE:	0.25	. 112.50				
772715	H	09/11/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	0.50	225.00				
772721	H	09/13/19	308	REVIEW OF ORDER FROM JUDGE HARDY ON EMERGENCY MOTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES ON	0.75 D	337.50				
773036	Н	09/16/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL REGARDING REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM STAN AND MIKE ON	0.75	337.50				
773046	Н	09/17/19	308	EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE:	0.75	337.50				
773089	H	09/18/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM KEVIN RILEY	0.50	225.00				

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 29							
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount	
				AND CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES			
773207	Н	09/19/19	308	RE: CONFERENCE WITH STAN RE:	0.25	112.50	
773425	Н	09/20/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE-	0.50	225.00	
			,	OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN			
773430	H	09/23/19	308	REGARDING CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES	1.00	450.00	
		•		REGARDING TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE			
				KIMMEL RE:	1.75	787,50	
773462	H	09/24/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: CONFERENCE	1./3	767,50	
				WITH STAN RE:			
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE			
				TO TRUSTEES RE:	1.05	560 50	
774222	H	09/25/19	308	MEETING WITH TODD, MIKE, AND BOB LEGOY TO DISCUSS	1.25	562.50	
773825	H	09/26/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES	0.75	337.50	
	1			REGARDING REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM KEVIN RILEY			
774393	H	10/01/19	308	REGARDING REVIEW OF PROPOSED EMAIL ON	0.50	225.00	
			•	PREPARED BY KIMMEL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL TO			
	1			DISCUSS	1.05	562.50	
774397	H	10/02/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH BOB LEGOY AND KEVIN RILEY REGARDING	1.25	302.30	
				CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES REGARDING TELEPHONE			
	,	•		CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL REGARDING			
774597	н	10/03/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD	0.50	225.00	
114331	11	10/03/12	500	REGARDING			
774691	H	10/04/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ZACH JOHNSON RE: ACCOUNTING.	0,50	225.00	
774700	H	10/08/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES REGARDING	1.00	450.00	
				CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES REGARDING			
<i>774000</i>	Y.Y	10/10/19	200	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD	0.75	337.50	
774808	H	10/10/13	מעכי	IDDELITORE COLUDIDATION WITH TOOM	-110	20,100	

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 30 04/01/20							
# -	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount	
775176	Н	10/14/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES	2.00	900.00	
773170	rı	10/14/19	500	REGARDING CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEES TO DISCUSS CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM REGARDING			
775576	н	10/18/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE CORRESPONDENCE TO ZACH JOHNSON REGARDING ACCOUNTING ISSUES.	0.75	337.50	
775578	H	10/21/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KIMMEL RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM STAN JAKSICK.	0.75	337.50	
776008	H	10/22/19	308	PREPARE EMAIL RESPONSE TO STAN ON CONFERENCE CALL WITH BOB LEGOY RE:	1.00	450.00	
776430	H	10/28/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAILS FROM STAN RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEES ON	2.00	900.00	
776434	H	10/30/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM STAN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ELI REGARDING TITLE TO FERRARI.	1.00	450.00	
776634	H	11/01/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM TODD	0.25	112.50	
776957	Н	11/04/19	308	REVIEW OF COURT ORDER ON TRANSCRIPTS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.75	337.50	
777019	H	11/05/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ELI AT LITTLE BIRD ENTERPRISES; CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES.	0.75	337,50	
777130	H	11/06/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO STAN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: MEETING WITH,	3.25	1462.50	
				TODD AND KENT TO DISCUSS	0,50	225.00	
777209	H	11/07/19		REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	1,00	450.00	
777406	H	11/08/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL TRUSTEES RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	1,00	150.00	
777655	Н	11/13/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	0.50	225.00	
778182	H	11/20/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE RE:	0.75	337.50	

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 31 04/01/20							
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount .	
778308	н	11/21/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM TODD	0.25	112,50	
778313	H	11/22/19	308	AND MIKE RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD TO DISCUSS	1.25	562.50	
778802	H	12/02/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM STAN	0.50	225.00	
779071	H	12/03/19	308	RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE RE:	0.25	112.50	
779365	Н	12/06/19	308	REVIEW OF FERRARI TITLE ISSUE AND TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DNN RE:	0.75	337.50	
779946	Н	12/10/19	308	ODOMETER INSPECTION. CONFERENCE WITH TRUSTEES TO DISCUSS	2.00	900.00	
				CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO DISCUSS			
779963	H	12/11/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH	0.75	337.50	
780422	H	12/17/19	308	TODD RE: REVIEW OF JACK RABBIT CAPITAL CALL	0.75	337.50	
780440	н	12/18/19	308	INFORMATION. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE	1.00	450.00	
780605	н	12/19/19	308	TO ZACH JOHNSON RE: PAYMENT OF STORAGE UNIT. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE:	0.50	225.00	
780784	Н	12/20/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE RE:	0.50	225.00	
781151	H	12/23/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE:	0.75	337.50	
781216	Н	12/26/19	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND TODD RE:	1.50	675.00	
				REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM BILL PETERSON RE: JACK RABBIT CAPITAL CALL; CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE:		202.50	
781251	Н	12/27/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE"	1.75	787.50	
781627!	H	01/02/20	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	1.75	787.50	

ON FERRARI PURCHASE; REVIEW OF PROPOSED PURCHASE AGREEMENT;

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20

#	Type	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
"			•	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND EMAIL TO ALL TRUSTEES RE:		
783280!	Н	01/26/20	308	MEETING WITH TODD ON FERRARI TITLE; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ELI OF LITTLE BYRD ENTERPRISES.	1.00	450.00
783320!	Н	01/28/20	308	MEETING WITH ELI RE:	0.50	225.00
7837491	Н	Ó1/30/20	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH LEE SMITH RE: APPRAISAL OF FARM AND MONTREUX PROPERTY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH	0.75	337.50
784818!	H	02/07/20	308	KEVIN RILEY. REVIEW OF EMERGENCY ORDER; CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL AND	1.75	787.50
784825!	Н	02/10/20	308	MEETING WITH TODD. CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT, TODD AND KRISTEN RE: REVIEW OF TRIAL EXHIBITS TO FIND	2.00	900.00
7848521	Н	02/11/20	308	TESTIMONY FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF. CONFERENCE CALL WITH KRISTEN AND TODD TO DISCUSS REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF.	2.00	900.00
7849321	Н	02/12/20	308	REVIEW OF ACCOUNTING FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF.	1.00	450.00
785155!	Н	02/13/20	308	REVIEW AND REVISE BRIEF.	1.00	450.00
785257!	H	02/18/20		FINAL REVIEW AND REVISIONS TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE:	1.25	562.50
785313!	H	02/19/20	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF EMERGENCY MOTION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH	1.25	562.50
785507!	Н	02/21/20	308	KENT. CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE AND TODD TO	1.00	450.00
7857701	н	02/24/20	308	REVIEW OF PROPOSED EMAILS FROM KIMMEL TO KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE RE:	1.00	450.00
786027!	H	02/26/20	308	REVIEW OF SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FILED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL AND CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH LEE SMITH RE: APPRAISAL ISSUES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KIMMEL TO KEVIN RE:	1.75 	787.50 ·

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 34
# Type Date Atty Description	Hours	Amount
788049! H 03/13/20 308 REVIEW OF ORDER FROM THE COURT. 788355! H 03/16/20 308 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE KIMMEL RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	1.00 0.75	450.00 337.50
788386! H 03/18/20 308 CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND TODD TO REVIEW AND REVISE	3.50	1575.00
788884! H 03/19/20 308 REVIEW AND REVISE PROPOSED JUDGMENT; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND MIKE RE:	2.25	1012.50
788889! H 03/19/20 308 CONFERENCE CALL WITH MIKE, TODD AND KEVIN RE: PREPARE LANGUAGE FOR SSJ ISSUE TRUST FINANCIALS.	1.00	450.00
788892! H 03/23/20 308 REVIEW OF MEMO OF COSTS FILED BY WENDY.	0.75	337.50
789143! H 03/24/20 308 CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEE ON	0.50	225.00
789180! H 03/25/20 308 CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND TODD RE: CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER TO DISCUSS REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM LEE	2.50	1125.00
789285! H 03/26/20 308 REVIEW OF REDLINE VERSION OF JUDGMENT TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE COURT; REVIEW AND REVIEW MOTION TO	1.75	787.50
789402! H 03/27/20 308 STRIKE AND RETAX COSTS. REVIEW OF ORDER FROM JUDGE HARDY ON EXECUTION OF ORDER AND CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE AND TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
789527! H 03/30/20 308 CORRESPONDENCE TO LEE SMITH RE: DUCK FLAT PROPERTY.	0.50	225.00
GRAND TOTAL	723.25	325462.50

^{! -} Transaction Not Yet Billed H - Billable Time

Fees for L. Robert LeGoy, Jr., Esq.

Fees for L. Robert LeGoy, Jr., Esq.



#	Туре	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
730618 730628	H H	05/01/18 05/03/18	107 107	EMAILS REGARDING CONFERENCES AND REVIEW DOCUMENTS	0.25 0.50	106.25 212.50
730640 730643	H H	05/07/18 05/08/18	107 107	REGARDING CONFERENCE AND CORRESPONDENCE. CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25 0.25	106.25 106.25
730652 730656	H H	05/09/18 05/10/18	107 107	CONFERENCES REGARDING CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50 0.25	212.50 106.25
732179	Н	05/16/18	107	CONFERENCES AND REVIEW ORDER	1.00	425.00
732190	H	05/21/18	107	REGARDING REVIEW THE SAMUEL JAKSICK FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT AND PREPARE LETTER REGARDING SAME.	1.00	425.00
732200 732202	H H	05/22/18 05/23/18	107 107	REVIEW AND REVISE LETTER. EMAILS, LET <u>TER AND CONFERENCES</u>	0.50 0.75	212.50 318.75
733420	H	06/07/18	107	REGARDING CONFERENCES	0.25	106.25
733448	Н	06/12/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
734903	Ĥ	06/16/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND CORRESPONDENCE.	0.25	106.25
734906	H	06/18/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
734917	H	06/19/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
737339	H	07/19/18	107	EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
736642	H	07/25/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
737357	H	07/30/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	212.50
737366	H	07/31/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	212.50
738855	H	08/02/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAIL REGARDING	0.50	212.50
738858	H	08/03/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAIL REGARDING	0.75	318.75
753209	H	08/06/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
738866	H	08/07/18	107	CONFERENCES AND REVIEW PLEADINGS REGARDING	0,25	106.25
753211	H	08/20/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753214	H	08/29/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	212.50
753215	Ħ	08/30/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753218	H	08/31/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753220	Ĥ	09/04/18		CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753221	Н	09/05/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106:25
752000	Н	10/09/18	107	CONFERENCES.	0.25	106.25
753223 753225	H	10/10/18		CONFERENCES AND REVISE DOCUMENT:	0.75	318.75
753227	H	10/10/18		CONFERENCES AND REVISE DOCUMENT.	0.25	106.25
753227	H	10/11/18		CONFERENCES AND REVISE DOCUMENT.	0.50	212.50
753231	H	10/15/18		CONFERENCES, EMAILS AND REVISE DOCUMENT.	0.50	212.50

#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
753233	Н	10/17/18	107	EMAILS AND REVISE DOCUMENT.	0.75	318.75
753234	Ĥ	10/19/18	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS.	0.25	106.25
753235	H	10/29/18	107	CONFERENCES.	0.25	106.25
753233 753237	H	11/01/18		EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
133231	n	11/01/16	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING		
753241	Н	11/13/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.75	318.75
753243	H	11/14/18	107	CONFERENCES, EMAILS AND REVIEW DOCUMENTS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753244	Н	11/15/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753246	Н	11/19/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
		11/00/110	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS.	0.25	106,25
753247	H	11/23/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS. REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND CONFERENCES	0.50	212.50
753249	H	11/26/18	107	REGARDING	0.00	
252250	**	11/29/18	107	CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753250	H		•		•	106.25
753252	H	12/04/18	107	CONFERENCE REGARDING	0,25	
753254	H	12/05/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753256	Н	12/06/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND EMAIL AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753258	H	12/12/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	. 0.25	106.25
753260	Н	12/17/18	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING LITIGATION	0.50	212.50
753262	н	12/18/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	0,50	212.50
753264	н	12/20/18	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND CONFERENCES	0.25	106.25
		10/01/10	100	REGARDING CONFERENCES REGARDING	0,25	106.25
753265	H	12/21/18			0.25	106.25
753267	H	01/06/19		REVIEW FILES.	0.25	106.25
753268	H	01/08/19	107	EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
753270	H	01/09/19	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	l	
753272	H	01/16/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	212.50
753274	H	01/17/19	107	CONFERENCES AND REVIEW DOCUMENTS REGARDING	1.25	531,25
753276	H	01/20/19	107	RESEARCH AND REVIEW DOCUMENTS REGARDING	1.00	425.00
752270	H	01/21/19	107	TESTIFY AT DEPOSITION.	3.00	1275.00
753278	H	01/21/19		CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
753282	17	V1144112	101	VVI 14 MANDET VALUE AND MAINTAINS SEE ST. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO. CO		
753288	Н	01/23/19	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	106.25

				O'O WARMA		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description F	Iours	Amount
753293	Н,	01/24/19	107	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS	0.50	212.50
753297	H	01/25/19	107 -	CONFERENCES, DOCUMENTS AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
752203	7.7	01/28/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
753302 753308	H H	01/29/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
754274	H	02/05/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
					0.25	106.25
754278	H	02/06/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
754287	H	02/07/19	107	CONFERENCES AND CORRESPONDENCE.	0.25	106.25
754294	H	02/11/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	106.25
754305	H	02/13/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING		106.25
754317	H	02/15/19	107	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	
755444	H	02/17/19	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS.	0.75	318.75
755447	H	02/18/19	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	1.25	531.25
755451	H	02/19/19	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS.	1.25	531.25
755456	H	02/20/19	107	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND EMAILS.	0.25	106.25
	H	02/27/19	107	PREPARE FOR TESTIMONY.	0.50	212.50
755493			107	PREPARE FOR TESTIMONY AND APPEAR AT	3.75	1593.75
755495	H	02/28/19	107	COURT TO TESTIFY.		
755500	H	03/01/19	107	APPEAR IN COURT TO TESTIFY AS PERCIPIENT EXPERT WITNESS.	1.50	637.50
			4.4.44	PERCIPIENT EXPERT WITNESS.	0.50	212.50
756719	H	03/05/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	2,2,30
				LITIGATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIONS.	0.25	106.25
758248	H	03/22/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING		
759651	H	04/17/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	1.25	531.25
761831	H	05/01/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS AND REVIEW DOCUMENTS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
761843	H	05/03/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
763935	H	05/14/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT	0.25	106.25
		05/14/19	107	CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.75	318,75
764843	H	00/03/19	107	CONTENENTED REGISTROSTIVE		
764854	H	06/06/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
	**	06/10/10	107	WORK ON CASES.	0.25	106.25
766096	H	06/19/19		WORK ON CASES.	0.25	106.25
766119	H	06/27/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	100.23
766564	н	07/01/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
,0050.					l	
766569	H	07/02/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	1.25	531.25
766574	H	07/03/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
768735	H	07/19/19	107	COMMUNICATION REGARDING	0.25	106.25
768742	н	07/22/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	106.25

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 107 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20						Page 4
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
770530	H	08/12/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.50	212.50
770555	H	08/19/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
774406	H	09/25/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	1.50	637.50
775172	H	10/14/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	1.25	531.25
777228	Н	10/22/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
777260	H	10/30/19	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	106.25
780695 785183!	H	12/09/19 02/04/20	107 107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING COMMUNICATION REGARDING	0.25 0.25	106.25 112.50
785201! 786086!	H H	02/07/20 02/24/20		COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25 0.25	112.50 112.50
788911!	Н	03/09/20	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	112.50
788949!	H	03/19/20	107	COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING	0.25	112.50
GRAND	TOT	ral ·			53.50	22768.75

^{! -} Transaction Not Yet Billed H - Billable Time

Fees for Carolyn K. Renner, Esq.

Fees for Carolyn K. Renner, Esq.

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

1.6

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST

TODD B. JAKSICK, Individually, as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the SSJ's Issue Trust; MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, Individually and as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust; KEVIN RILEY, Individually, as Former Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust, and as Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust; and STANLEY JAKSICK, Individually and as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust,

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

vs. WENDY JAKSICK,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

CASE NO.: 81470

District Court Case No.: PR17-00445/PR17-00446

APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

Volume 15 (Part 2) of 22

Pages TJA002553-TJA002615

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
	FILED or	NO.	
	ADMITTED		
Petition for Confirmation of Trustee	8.2.17	1	TJA000001-000203
and Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and other			
Trust Administration Matters (SSJ's			
Issue Trust)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	2	TJA000204-000401
Trustees and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
For Approval of Accountings and			
Other Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust) (Separated)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	3	TJA00402-00585
Trustees and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
For Approval of Accountings and			
Other Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust) (Separated)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000586-000594
Opposition and Objection to Petition			

for Confirmation of Trustees and			
Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and Other			
Trust Administration Matters			
(Family Trust)	10 10 17	4	TV 1 000 TO 7 000 CO 1
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000595-000601
Answer to Petition for Approval of			
Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Family			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000602-000606
Answer to Petition for Approval of			
Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Issue Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000607-000614
Opposition and Objection to Petition			
for Confirmation of Trustees and			
Admission of Trust to the			
Jurisdiction of the Court, and for			
Approval of Accountings and Other			
Trust Administration Matters (Issue			
Trust)			
Commissioner's Recommendation	10.12.17	4	TJA000615-000617
Referring Cases to Probate Judge			
Order Accepting Transfer	10.17.17	4	TJA000618-000620

Notice of Appearance (Todd B.	11.3.17	4	TJA000621-000623
Jaksick, individually)			
Association of Counsel	1.2.18	4	TJA000624-000625
Demand for Jury	1.3.18	4	TJA000626-000628
Order Granting Consolidation	1.5.18	4	TJA000629-000631
Counter-Petition to Surcharge	1.19.18	4	TJA000632-000671
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, for Removal of Trustees and			
Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and other Relief			
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000672-000692
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000693-000712
First Amended Counter-Petition to	2.23.18	4	TJA000713-000752
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000753-000754
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000755-000756
Notice of Entry of Order	3.13.18	4	TJA000757-000761
Notice of Entry of Order	3.13.18	4	TJA000762-000766
Todd B. Jaksick's Answer and	4.9.18	4	TJA000767-000779
Objections to First Amended			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			

			<u> </u>
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s) and For Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Todd B. Jaksick's and Michael S.	4.13.18	4	TJA000780-000795
Kimmel's Answer to First Amended			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustees, and for Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Notice of Appearance	4.17.18	4	TJA000796-000799
Kevin Riley's Answer to First	4.17.18	5	TJA000800-000815
Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustee(s), and For			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Errata to Todd B. Jaksick's and	4.19.18	5	TJA000816-000819
Michael S. Kimmel's Answer to			
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			

Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Errata to Kevin Riley's Answer to	4.19.18	5	TJA000820-000823
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Notice of Appearance	6.4.18	5	TJA000824-000827
Notice of Appearance	6.4.18	5	TJA000828-000831
Stanley S. Jaksick's Answer to First	8.2.18	5	TJA000832-000844
Amended Counter-petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustee(s), and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Joinder to Stanley S. Jaksick's	8.7.18	5	TJA000845-000847
Answer to First Amended Counter-			
petition to Surcharge Trustees for			
Breach of Fiduciary Duties, For			

11.15.18	5	TJA000848-000855
12.6.18	5	TJA000856-000872
12.6.18	5	TJA000873-000876
12.6.18	5	TJA000877-000898
12.17.18	5	TJA000899-000933
12.18.18	5	TJA000934-000936
1.16.19	5	TJA000937-000948
1.22.19	5	TJA000949-000953
	12.6.18 12.6.18 12.17.18 12.18.18	12.6.18 5 12.6.18 5 12.6.18 5 12.17.18 5 12.18.18 5 1.16.19 5

Scheduled			
Verdicts	3.4.19	5	TJA000954-000957
Motion for Order Awarding Costs	3.13.19	6	TJA000958-001157
and Attorneys' Fees for Todd			
Jaksick, Individually, Duck Lake			
Ranch, LLC, and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Petitioner Wendy A. Jaksick's	3.25.19	6	TJA001158-001175
Opposition to Motion for Attorney			
Fees			
Reply in Support of Motion for	4.1.19	7	TJA001176-001185
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Request for Submission of Motion	4.1.19	7	TJA001186-001189
for Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees			
Trial Transcript	5.13.19	7	TJA001190-001202
Order Addressing Evidence at	5.20.19	7	TJA001203-001274
Equitable Trial			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001275-001281
Arguments			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001282-001362
Argument Brief			
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Opening	7.1.19	8	TJA001363-001470
Arguments in the Equitable Claims			

Trial			
Petitioner's Trial Brief on Equitable	7.1.19	8	TJA001471-001535
Claims			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.31.19	9	TJA001536-001623
Argument Brief			
Petitioner's Reply to Wendy	7.31.19	9	TJA001624-001661
Jaksick's Trial Brief on Equitable			
Claims			
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Closing	7.31.19	10	TJA001662-001757
Arguments in the Equitable Claims			
Trial			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.31.19	11	TJA001758-001977
Reply Brief			
Order for Supplemental Briefing	2.6.20	12	TJA001978-001979
Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief	2.18.20	12	TJA001980-002043
in Response to the Court's February			
6, 2020 Order for Supplemental			
Briefing			
Trustees' Supplemental Brief	2.18.20	12	TJA002044-002077
Supplemental Brief by Stanley	2.18.20	12	TJA002078-002085
Jaksick, Co-Trustee of the Samuel			
S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust			
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental	2.25.20	12	TJA002086-002093
Brief in the Equitable Claims Trial			
Order After Equitable Trial	3.12.20	12	TJA002094-002118
Notice of Entry of Order	3.17.20	12	TJA002119-002146

		1
3.17.20	12	TJA002147-002164
3.23.20	13	TJA002165-002189
3.25.20	13	TJA002190-002194
3.26.20	13	TJA002195-002215
3.26.20	13	TJA002216-002219
4.1.20	13	TJA002220-002254
4.1.20	13	TJA002255-002292
4.2.20	14	TJA002293-002409
4.2.20	14	TJA002410-002430
4.2.20	14	TJA002431-002442
4.6.20	14	TJA002443-002445
4.8.20	14	TJA002446-002450
4.9.20	15	TJA002451-002615
	3.23.20 3.25.20 3.26.20 4.1.20 4.2.20 4.2.20 4.2.20 4.8.20	3.23.20 13 3.25.20 13 3.26.20 13 4.1.20 13 4.2.20 14 4.2.20 14 4.2.20 14 4.8.20 14

4.9.20	16	TJA002616-002769
4.9.20	16	TJA002770-002776
4.10.20	16	TJA002777-002833
4.13.20	17	TJA002834-002841
4.13.20	17	TJA002842-002845
4.21.20	17	TJA002846-002847
4.21.20	17	TJA002848-002857
4.22.20	17	TJA002858-002910
4.22.20	17	TJA002911-002913
4.23.20	17	TJA002914-002930
4.23.20	17	TJA002931-002946
	4.9.20 4.10.20 4.13.20 4.21.20 4.22.20 4.22.20 4.23.20	4.9.20 16 4.10.20 16 4.13.20 17 4.21.20 17 4.22.20 17 4.23.20 17

Ludinidually and a Co Tuesta of			
Individually and as Co-Trustee of			
the Family Trust and as Trustee of			
the BHC Family Trust			
Opposition to Motion for Order	4.24.20	17	TJA002947-002985
Awarding Costs and Attorney's			
Fees for Todd Jaksick, Individually			
on Equitable Claims			
Opposition and Motion to Strike	4.27.20	17	TJA002986-002992
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees by			
Stanley Jaksick as Co-Trustee of the			
Family Trust			
Motion to Alter or Amend the	4.28.20	17	TJA002993-003000
Judgment			
Trial Transcript	5.13.19	17	TJA001190-001202
Order Regarding Costs	4.30.20	18	TJA003044-003045
Motion to Alter or Amend	4.30.20	18	TJA003046-003113
Judgment, or Alternatively, Motion			
for New Trial			
Reply in Support of Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003114-003126
Attorney's Fees and Costs			
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003127-003130
Reply to Opposition to Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003131-003147
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorney's Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, For Trial on Equitable			
Claims			

Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003148-003151
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.8.20	18	TJA003152-003189
Wendy Jaksick's Motion to Alter or	5.0.2 0		1011005152 005109
Amend Judgment, or, Alternatively,			
Motion for a New Trial			
Limited Joinder to Todd B.	5.12.20	18	TJA003190-003196
Jaksick's Opposition to Wendy	3.12.20	10	13/1003170 003170
Jaksick's Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or, Alternatively, Motion			
for a New Trial			
	5.12.20	18	TIA 002107 002205
Opposition to Alter or Amend the	3.12.20	10	TJA003197-003205
Judgment Award of Attorney's Fees			
to Wendy	7 12 20	10	TT 1 00000 1 00000 1
Supplemental Motion in Support of	5.12.20	19	TJA003206-003324
Award of Attorney's Fees to Wendy			
Jaksick's Attorneys			
Opposition to Todd B. Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003325-003339
Motion to Amend the Judgment			
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003340-003344
Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or in the Alternative,			
Motion for New Trial			
Reply to Wendy Jaksick's Amended	5.13.20	19	TJA003345-003348
Opposition and Motion to Strike			
Stanley Jaksick's Verified			
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees as			

5.15.20	19	TJA003349-003357
5.18.20	19	TJA003358-003365
5.19.20	19	TJA003366-003372
5.19.20	19	TJA003373-003376
5.19.20	19	TJA003377-003381
5.19.20	20	TJA003382-003452
5.19.20	20	TJA003453-003456
5.19.20	20	TJA003457
5.20.20	20	TJA003458-003461
5.21.20	21	TJA003462-003608
5.21.20	21	TJA003609-003617
6.1.20	21	TJA003618-003621
	5.18.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.19.20 5.20.20 5.21.20	5.18.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 19 5.19.20 20 5.19.20 20 5.20.20 20 5.21.20 21

Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's			
Supplemental Motion			
Opposition to Motion to Strike	6.1.20	21	TJA003622-003627
Wendy's Supplemental Motion in			
Support of Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy Jaksick's Attorneys			
Reply in Support of Motion to	6.8.20	21	TJA003628-003634
Strike Wendy's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees to Wendy Jaksick's			
Attorneys			
Request for Submission	6.8.20	21	TJA003635-003638
Order Resolving Submitted Matters	6.10.20	22	TJA003639-003646
Notice of Appeal	7.10.20	22	TJA003647-003650
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003651-003657
Notice of Appeal	7.10.20	22	TJA003658-003661
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003662-003669
Notice of Appeal	7.13.20	22	TJA003670-003677
Case Appeal Statement	7.13.20	22	TJA003678-003680
Notice of Cross Appeal	7.21.20	22	TJA003681-003777
Case Appeal Statement	7.21.20	22	TJA003778-003790
Amended Judgment	7.6.20	22	TJA003791-003811

ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF

DOCUMENT	DATE FILED	VOL.	PAGE NO.
	or ADMITTED	NO.	
Amended Judgment	7.6.20	22	TJA003791-003811
Association of Counsel	1.2.18	4	TJA000624-000625
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000672-000692
Association of Counsel	2.23.18	4	TJA000693-000712
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003651-003657
Case Appeal Statement	7.10.20	22	TJA003662-003669
Case Appeal Statement	7.13.20	22	TJA003678-003680
Case Appeal Statement	7.21.20	22	TJA003778-003790
Commissioner's Recommendation	10.12.17	4	TJA000615-000617
Referring Cases to Probate Judge			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge	1.19.18	4	TJA000632-000671
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, for Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and other Relief			
Demand for Jury	1.3.18	4	TJA000626-000628
Errata to Kevin Riley's Answer to	4.19.18	5	TJA000820-000823
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			

Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Errata to Todd B. Jaksick's and	4.19.18	5	TJA000816-000819
Michael S. Kimmel's Answer to			
First Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
First Amended Counter-Petition to	2.23.18	4	TJA000713-000752
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Joinder to Memorandum of Costs	4.6.20	14	TJA002443-002445
Joinder to Stanley S. Jaksick's	8.7.18	5	TJA000845-000847
Answer to First Amended			
Counter-petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			

6.1.20	21	TJA003618-003621
4.1.20	13	TJA002220-002254
4.17.18	5	TJA000800-000815
5.12.20	18	TJA003190-003196
4.22.20	17	TJA002858-002910
3.17.20	12	TJA002147-002164
4.2.20	14	TJA002410-002430
	4.1.20 4.17.18 5.12.20 4.22.20	4.1.20 13 4.17.18 5 5.12.20 18 4.22.20 17 3.17.20 12

Memorandum of Costs and	4.2.20	14	TJA002431-002442
Disbursements			
Motion for Attorney Fees and	4.10.20	16	TJA002777-002833
Costs for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, for Trial on			
Equitable Claims			
Motion for Attorney's Fees and	4.9.20	16	TJA002616-002769
Costs – Michael Kimmel			
Motion for Attorneys' Fees and	4.9.20	15	TJA002451-002615
Costs – Kevin Riley			
Motion for Order Awarding Costs	3.13.19	6	TJA000958-001157
and Attorneys' Fees for Todd			
Jaksick, Individually, Duck Lake			
Ranch, LLC, and Incline TSS,			
Ltd.			
Motion to Alter or Amend	4.30.20	18	TJA003046-003113
Judgment, or Alternatively,			
Motion for New Trial			
Motion to Alter or Amend the	4.28.20	17	TJA002993-003000
Judgment			
Motion to Retax Costs and Joinder	3.26.20	13	TJA002216-002219
to Motions to Strike			
Motion to Strike Verified	3.26.20	13	TJA002195-002215
Memorandum of Costs			
Motion to Strike Wendy's	5.19.20	19	TJA003377-003381
Supplemental Motion in Support			

7.10.20	22	TJA003647-003650
7.10.20	22	TJA003658-003661
7.13.20	22	TJA003670-003677
6.4.18	5	TJA000824-000827
6.4.18	5	TJA000828-000831
4.17.18	4	TJA000796-000799
11.3.17	4	TJA000621-000623
7.21.20	22	TJA003681-003777
4.1.20	13	TJA002255-002292
3.13.18	4	TJA000757-000761
3.13.18	4	TJA000762-000766
3.17.20	12	TJA002119-002146
4.21.20	17	TJA002848-002857
5.20.20	20	TJA003458-003461
4.9.20	16	TJA002770-002776
4.27.20	17	TJA002986-002992
5.12.20	18	TJA003197-003205
	7.10.20 7.13.20 6.4.18 6.4.18 4.17.18 11.3.17 7.21.20 4.1.20 3.13.18 3.17.20 4.21.20 5.20.20 4.9.20	7.10.20 22 7.13.20 22 6.4.18 5 6.4.18 4 11.3.17 4 7.21.20 22 4.1.20 13 3.13.18 4 3.17.20 12 4.21.20 17 5.20.20 20 4.9.20 16

Judgment Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy			
Opposition to Motion for	4.23.20	17	TJA002931-002946
Attorney's Fees and Costs of			
Kevin Riley, Individually and as			
Co-Trustee of the Family Trust			
and as Trustee of the BHC Family			
Trust			
Opposition to Motion for	4.23.20	17	TJA002914-002930
Attorney's Fees and Costs of			
Michael Kimmel, Individually and			
as Co-Trustee			
Opposition to Motion for Order	4.24.20	17	TJA002947-002985
Awarding Costs and Attorney's			
Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually on Equitable Claims			
Opposition to Motion to Strike	6.1.20	21	TJA003622-003627
Wendy's Supplemental Motion in			
Support of Award of Attorney's			
Fees to Wendy Jaksick's			
Attorneys			
Opposition to Todd B. Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003325-003339
Motion to Amend the Judgment			
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	12.6.18	5	TJA000873-000876
Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			

Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003340-003344
Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or in the Alternative,			
Motion for New Trial			
Order Accepting Transfer	10.17.17	4	TJA000618-000620
Order Addressing Evidence at	5.20.19	7	TJA001203-001274
Equitable Trial			
Order After Equitable Trial	3.12.20	12	TJA002094-002118
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000753-000754
Order Associating Counsel	3.13.18	4	TJA000755-000756
Order Awarding Costs	5.19.20	20	TJA003457
Order Denying Wendy Jaksick's	4.21.20	17	TJA002846-002847
Costs			
Order for Supplemental Briefing	2.6.20	12	TJA001978-001979
Order Granting Consolidation	1.5.18	4	TJA000629-000631
Order Granting in Part and	1.16.19	5	TJA000937-000948
Denying in Part Motion for Leave			
to Join Indispensable Parties			
Order Regarding Costs	4.30.20	18	TJA003044-003045
Order Resolving Submitted	6.10.20	22	TJA003639-003646
Matters			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	1	TJA000001-000203
Trustee and Admission of Trust to			
the Jurisdiction of the Court, and			
for Approval of Accountings and			
other Trust Administration			

		1	<u> </u>
Matters (SSJ's Issue Trust)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	2	TJA000204-000401
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and For Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
(Separated)			
Petition for Confirmation of	8.2.17	3	TJA00402-00585
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and For Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
(Separated)			
Petitioner Wendy A. Jaksick's	3.25.19	6	TJA001158-001175
Opposition to Motion for Attorney			
Fees			
Petitioner's Opposition to Wendy	12.6.18	5	TJA000877-000898
Jaksick's Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			
Petitioner's Reply to Wendy	7.31.19	9	TJA001624-001661
Jaksick's Trial Brief on Equitable			
Claims			
Petitioner's Trial Brief on	7.1.19	8	TJA001471-001535
Equitable Claims			

Petitioner's Verified	5.21.20	21	TJA003462-003608
Memorandum of Attorney's Fees			
Petitioners' Verified	4.2.20	14	TJA002293-002409
Memorandum of Costs and			
Disbursements			
Pre-Trial Order Regarding Trial	1.22.19	5	TJA000949-000953
Scheduled			
Reply in Support of Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003114-003126
Attorney's Fees and Costs			
Reply in Support of Motion for	4.1.19	7	TJA001176-001185
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC and Incline TSS, Ltd.			
Reply in Support of Motion to	5.19.20	19	TJA003366-003372
Alter or Amend Judgment			
Reply in Support of Motion to	4.13.20	17	TJA002834-002841
Strike Verified Memorandum of			
Costs			
Reply in Support of Motion to	6.8.20	21	TJA003628-003634
Strike Wendy's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees to Wendy			
Jaksick's Attorneys			
Reply in Support of Todd B.	5.19.20	20	TJA003382-003452
Jaksick's, Individually, Motion to			

Amend the Judgment			
Reply to Opposition to Motion for	5.1.20	18	TJA003131-003147
Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorney's Fees for Todd Jaksick,			
Individually, For Trial on			
Equitable Claims			
Reply to Wendy Jaksick's	5.13.20	19	TJA003345-003348
Amended Opposition and Motion			
to Strike Stanley Jaksick's			
Verified Memorandum of			
Attorney's Fees as Co-Trustee of			
the Family Trust			
Request for Submission	4.13.20	17	TJA002842-002845
Request for Submission	4.22.20	17	TJA002911-002913
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003127-003130
Request for Submission	5.1.20	18	TJA003148-003151
Request for Submission	5.18.20	19	TJA003358-003365
Request for Submission	5.19.20	19	TJA003373-003376
Request for Submission	5.19.20	20	TJA003453-003456
Request for Submission	6.8.20	21	TJA003635-003638
Request for Submission of Motion	4.1.19	7	TJA001186-001189
for Order Awarding Costs and			
Attorneys' Fees			
Request for Submission of Wendy	12.18.18	5	TJA000934-000936
A. Jaksick's Motion for Leave to			
Join Indispensable Parties			

Description A. Jelevisle	10 10 17	4	TILA 000505 000601
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000595-000601
Answer to Petition for Approval			
of Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Family			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000602-000606
Answer to Petition for Approval			
of Accounting and Other Trust			
Administration Matters (Issue			
Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000586-000594
Opposition and Objection to			
Petition for Confirmation of			
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and for Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Family Trust)			
Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's	10.10.17	4	TJA000607-000614
Opposition and Objection to			
Petition for Confirmation of			
Trustees and Admission of Trust			
to the Jurisdiction of the Court,			
and for Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration			
Matters (Issue Trust)			

Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001275-001281
Arguments			
Stanley Jaksick's Written Closing	7.31.19	11	TJA001758-001977
Reply Brief			
Stanley S. Jaksick's Answer to	8.2.18	5	TJA000832-000844
First Amended Counter-petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustee(s), and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			
Relief			
Supplemental Brief by Stanley	2.18.20	12	TJA002078-002085
Jaksick, Co-Trustee of the Samuel			
S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust			
Supplemental Motion in Support	5.12.20	19	TJA003206-003324
of Award of Attorney's Fees to			
Wendy Jaksick's Attorneys			
Todd B. Jaksick's and Michael S.	4.13.18	4	TJA000780-000795
Kimmel's Answer to First			
Amended Counter-Petition to			
Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, For Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of			
Independent Trustees, and for			
Declaratory Judgment and Other			

Relief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Answer and	4.9.18	4	TJA000767-000779
Objections to First Amended			
Counter-Petition to Surcharge			
Trustees for Breach of Fiduciary			
Duties, For Removal of Trustees			
and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s) and For Declaratory			
Judgment and Other Relief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.1.19	7	TJA001282-001362
Argument Brief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Closing	7.31.19	9	TJA001536-001623
Argument Brief			
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.8.20	18	TJA003152-003189
Wendy Jaksick's Motion to Alter			
or Amend Judgment, or,			
Alternatively, Motion for a New			
Trial			
Todd B. Jaksick's Opposition to	5.21.20	21	TJA003609-003617
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental			
Motion in Support of Award of			
Attorney's Fees			
Todd B. Jaksick's, Individually,	12.6.18	5	TJA000856-000872
Opposition to Wendy Jaksick's			
Motion for Leave to Join			
Indispensable Parties			

Todd Jaksick's Motion to Strike Wendy Jaksick's Verified Memorandum of Costs or, in the Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA002190-002194 TJA002190-002194 TJA002190-002194 TJA003001-003043 TJA003001-003043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001190-001202 Trustees' Supplemental Brief 2.18.20 12 TJA002044-002077
Memorandum of Costs or, in the Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Alternative, Motion to Retax Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Costs Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA001190-001202
Todd B. Jaksick's Motion to Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 4.29.20 18 TJA003001-003043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001990-001202
Amend Judgment Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 TJA001190-001202
Todd Jaksick's Supplemental Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 2.18.20 12 TJA001980-002043 TJA001980-002043 TJA001190-001202
Brief in Response to the Court's February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
February 6, 2020 Order for Supplemental Briefing Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Supplemental Briefing7TJA001190-001202Trial Transcript5.13.197TJA001190-001202
Trial Transcript 5.13.19 7 TJA001190-001202
Trustees' Supplemental Brief 2 18 20 12 TIA 002044-002077
174 1374002077
Verdicts 3.4.19 5 TJA000954-000957
Verified Memorandum of Costs3.23.2013TJA002165-002189
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Closing 7.31.19 10 TJA001662-001757
Arguments in the Equitable
Claims Trial
Wendy Jaksick's Brief of Opening 7.1.19 8 TJA001363-001470
Arguments in the Equitable
Claims Trial
Wendy Jaksick's Motion for 11.15.18 5 TJA000848-000855
Leave to Join Indispensable
Parties
Wendy Jaksick's Omnibus Reply 12.17.18 5 TJA000899-000933
in Support of Motion for Leave to

Join Indispensable Parties			
Wendy Jaksick's Reply in Support	5.15.20	19	TJA003349-003357
of her Motion to Alter or Amend			
Judgment, or, Alternatively,			
Motion for New Trial			
Wendy Jaksick's Response to	4.8.20	14	TJA002446-002450
Todd Jaksick's Motion to Strike			
Wendy Jaksick's Verified			
Memorandum of Costs, or in the			
Alternative, Motion to Retax			
Costs			
Wendy Jaksick's Supplemental	2.25.20	12	TJA002086-002093
Brief in the Equitable Claims Trial			

Dated this 13th day of April, 2021.

ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST A Professional Corporation 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89503

/s/ Therese M. Shanks, Esq.

KENT R. ROBISON (SBN #1167) THERESE M. SHANKS (SBN #12890) Attorneys for Appellant/Cross-Respondent Todd B. Jaksick, in his individual capacity

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 13th day of April, 2021, I served a copy of **APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENT TODD B. JAKSICK'S APPENDIX TO OPENING BRIEF- VOL. 15 (Part 2),** upon all counsel of record:

☐ BY MAIL: I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

BY FACSIMILE: I transmitted a copy of the foregoing document this date via telecopier to the facsimile number shown below:

<u>X</u> BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: by electronically filing and serving the foregoing document with the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system:

Donald A. Lattin, Esq. Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway P. O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89519

Email: dlattin@mcllawfirm.com / crenner@mcllawfirm.com

Attorneys for Appellants/Cross Respondents/Trustees Todd B. Jaksick, Michael S. Kimmel, Kevin Riley

Phil Kreitlein, Esq. Kreitlein Law Group 1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 101 Reno, Nevada 89502

Email: philip@kreitleinlaw.com

Attorneys for Appellant/Cross Respondent Stanley S. Jaksick

Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. McDonald Carano 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor P.O. Box 2670 Reno, NV 89505

Email: ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Appellant/Cross Respondent Stanley S. Jaksick

Mark J. Connot, Esq. Fox Rothschild LLP 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 700 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

Email: mconnot@foxrothschild.com

Attorney for Respondent/Cross Appellant Wendy A. Jaksick

R. Kevin Spencer, Esq. / Zachary E. Johnson, Esq. Spencer & Johnson PLLC 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, Texas 75201

Email: <u>kevin@dallasprobate.com</u> / <u>zach@dallasprobate.com</u>

Attorneys for Respondent/Cross Appellant Wendy A. Jaksick

DATED this 13th day of April, 2021.

Christine O'Brien

Employee of Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust

#	Trees	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
#	тÀЪ	e Date	Auy	REVIEW TODD JAKSICK'S		•
732133	Н	06/01/18	324	STATUS CONFERENCE STATEMENT. DRAFT STATUS REPORT; VARIOUS EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS TO AND FROM THERESE	1.00	325.00
733974	H	06/08/18	324	ATTEND CONFERENCE CALL ON WITH ALL COUNSEL; FOLLOW UP	0.75	243.75
733980	Н	06/11/18	324	CALL WITH KENT ROBISON. REVIEW EMAIL FROM KENT ROBISON REGARDING REVIEW FOLLOW UP EMAIL FROM COUNSEL FOR STAN JAKSICK	0.25	81.25
733986	H	06/12/18	324	REVIEW MEMO FROM KENT ROBISON CONCERNING REVIEW STAN JAKSICK'S RESPONSES TO TODD'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS; REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S RESPONSES TO TODD'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS; REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO TODD, FIRST SET.	1.75	568.75
733992	H	06/13/18	324	ATTEND MEET AND CONFER ON THE ISSUE OF WENDY'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; REVIEW STATUS REPORTS FOR UPCOMING HEARING BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER.	0.75	243.75
733997	H	06/14/18	324	ATTEND DISCOVERY HEARING BEFORE COMMISSIONER AYERS VIA TELEPHONE.	0.75	243.75
734013	н	06/20/18	324	REVIEW EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ROBISON REGARDING REVIEW AND DISCUSS	0.75	243.75
734017	Н	06/21/18	324	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN, K. ROBISON AND TODD JAKSICK.	1.25	406.25
734021	H	06/22/18	324	BEGIN DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY JAKSICK.	2.25	731.25
734029	H	06/23/18	324	REVIEW ORDER DISMISSING CLAIMS FOR CONSUMER FRAUD; CONTINUE DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY JAKSICK.	4.50	1462.50
734031	Н	06/24/18	324	CONTINUE DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY JAKSICK.	6.00	1950.00
734450	H	06/25/18	324	DRAFT AND RESPOND TO VARIOUS EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS FROM CO-COUNSEL REGARDING CONTINUE DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY; OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D.	4.75 I	1543.75

04/02/202	20 F	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 324 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 3
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
734465	H	06/26/18	324	LATTIN REGARDING FINISH DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY.	7.50	2437.50
735544	Н	07/10/18	324	REVIEW SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM AND SUBPOENAS OF PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE ISSUED BY COUNSEL FOR STANLEY JAKSICK; DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA AND SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM SERVED ON HOME CAMP LAND AND LIVESTOCK CO.	1.50	487.50
736703	H	07/17/18	324	DRAFT OFFER OF JUDGMENT TO STANLEY JAKSICK.	, 0.50	162.50
736704	H	07/17/18	324	REVIEW EMAIL FROM ADAM HOSMER HENNER REGARDING REVIEW	0.50	162.50
				NECESSARY INFORMATION IN ORDER TO RESPOND TO EMAIL; DRAFT EMAIL IN RESPONSE REGARDING		
736709	Н	07/18/18	324	REVIEW RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS FROM WENDY JAKSICK PRODUCED BY TODD IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY.	0.50	162.50
737585	H	07/27/18	324	REVIEW SUBPOENAS SERVED BY STANLEY JAKSICK AND BEGIN TO PREPARE RESPONSES.	2.00	650.00
737587	H	07/28/18	324	FINISH PREPARING RESPONSES TO SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM SERVED BY STANLEY JAKSICK.	1.50	487.50
737594	H	07/31/18	324	DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS FOR PMK DEPOSITIONS SERVED BY STANLEY JAKSICK; REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM SERVED BY STANLEY JAKSICK.	1.50	487.50
738552	H	08/05/18	324	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT SUPPLEMENT TO VARIOUS ENTITY RESPONSES TO SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM.	0.75	243.75
738566	Н	08/09/18	324	DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT DISCLOSURE FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM SERVED ON BASECAMP; DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL DOCUMENT DISCLOSURE FOR SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM SERVED ON BRIGHT HOLLAND.	0,50	162.50
739978	H	08/18/18	324	REVIEW DOCUMENTS TO BE DISCLOSED AS SUPPLEMENT TO RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA TO DUCK LAKE RANCH AND WSR; DRAFT EMAIL COMMUNICATION TO CO-COUNSEL REGARDING	0.25	81.25
739980	н	08/19/18	324		3.75	1218.75

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				REVIEW WENDY'S OMNIBUS RESPONSE TO THE OPPOSITION TO THE EMERGENCY MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY.		
743986	Н	10/18/18	324	DRAFT RESPONSES TO WENDY'S FIFTH REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	1.00	325.00
744428	н	10/24/18	324	TO TODD JAKSICK. REVIEW ORDER ENTERED BY THE COURT CONCERNING MOTION TO EXTEND	0.25	81.25
744434	Н	10/25/18	324	DISCOVERY DEADLINES. REVIEW DOCUMENTS; PREPARE FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE.	0.50	162.50
744779	H	10/29/18	324	BEGIN DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL.	1.50	487.50
744783	H	10/30/18	324	CONTINUE DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL; CONDUCT RELEVANT RESEARCH NECESSARY TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO COMPEL; REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO COMPEL.	3.50	1137.50
744785	Н	10/31/18	324	CONTINUE RESEARCHING, REVIEWING DOCUMENTS TO PREPARE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL; CONTINUE DRAFTING	3.00	975.00
745146	H ,	11/01/18	324	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL. FINISH DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL.	1.50	487.50
746034	Н	11/06/18	324	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND BEGIN DRAFTING PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS.	2.00	650.00
746039	H	11/07/18	324	CONTINUE DRAFTING PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS.	1.75	568.75
746045	H	11/08/18	324	FINISH DRAFTING PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS.	1.00	325.00
746411	Н	11/12/18	324	DRAFT STATUS REPORT IN PREPARATION FOR STATUS HEARING ON NOVEMBER 16.	1.00	325.00
746415	H	11/13/18	324	DRAFT RESPONSES TO STANLEY JAKSICK'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO TODD JAKSICK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-TRUSTEE OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK JR. FAMILY TRUST.	5.50	1787.50
746421	Н .	11/14/18	324	REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE DISCOVERY RESPONSES TO STANLEY JAKSICK'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO TODD JAKSICK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST.	1.00	325.00
746428	H	11/15/18	324	REVIEW OMNIBUS REPLY TO MOTION TO COMPEL FILED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK; REVIEW AND REVISE PETITIONER'S STATUS REPORT TO THE	1.75	568.75
746432	H	11/16/18	324	COURT. ATTEND STATUS HEARING BEFORE JUDGE	2.75	893.75

				04/01/20		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				HARDY ON OUTSTANDING DISCOVERY		
				ISSUES; OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D.		
				LATTIN AND TODD JAKSICK; DRAFT EMAIL TO D. <u>LATTIN AND STAFF</u>		
				REGARDING		
				REGARDING		
746691	H	11/20/18	324	DRAFT RESPONSES TO WENDY'S SEVENTH	4.50	1462.50
740071	11	11/20/10		REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		
				TO THE FAMILY TRUST.		
747424	H	11/26/18	324	REVIEW OF INFORMATION TO BE	0.25	81.25
, ,, ,,		,		INCLUDED IN THE TRIAL PROTOCOL		
				REPORT, TO BE PREPARED FOR THE		
				JUDGE,	0.50	015.50
747427	H	11/27/18	324	REVIEW MEMO ON STATUTE OF	2.50	812.50
				LIMITATIONS ISSUE; REVIEW AND		
				REVISE RESPONSES TO WENDY'S 7TH		
				REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS; BEGIN PREPARING		
				RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES		
				PROPOUNDED BY STAN TO TODD AS		
				CO-TRUSTEE OF THE FAMILY TRUST.		
747431	H	11/28/18	324	BEGIN PREPARATION OF RESPONSES TO	1.00	325.00
171701	11	11/20/40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WRITTEN DISCOVERY REQUESTS SERVED		
				BY STANLEY JAKSICK TO TODD JAKSICK		
				AS CO-TRUSTEE OF THE FAMILY TRUST.	4.00	1000 00
747435	H	11/29/18	324	DRAFT OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO	4.00	1300.00
				STAN'S REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS AND		
				INTERROGATORIES TO TODD AS		
		14/00/40	004	CO-TRUSTEE OF THE FAMILY TRUST.	2.50	812.50
747437	H	11/30/18	324	REVIEW AND REVISE WRITTEN RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY REQUESTS PROPOUNDED BY		012,50
				STANLEY JAKSICK; REVIEW		
				COUNTERPETITION FILED BY WENDY IN		
				PREPARATION TO RESPOND TO PETITION		
				AND EMERGENCY MOTION; BEGIN REVIEW		
				OF PETITION AND EMERGENCY MOTION		
748199	H.	12/02/18	324	REVIEW TODD'S RESPONSE AND	0.25	81.25
	,			OBJECTION TO STAN'S AMENDED		
				OBJECTION AND COUNTERPETITION		
				REGARDING FAMILY TRUST AND ISSUE		
				TRUST (AS AN INDIVIDUAL AND		
	~ ~		40.4	BENEFICIARY).	2.50	812.50
748209	H	12/03/18	324	REVIEW 102 PAGE PETITION AND MOTION	2.50	012.00
				IN ORDER TO PREPARE RESPONSIVE		
7/0010	IJ	12/04/18	304	BRIEF. REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND BEGIN	3.50	1137,50
748212	Н	14/4/10	344	PREPARING RESPONSE TO 102 PAGE		
				PETITION AND MOTION FILED BY		
				COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK.		
748219	Н	12/05/18	324	CONTINUE PREPARING RESPONSE TO 102	3.00	975.00
110447				PAGE PETITION AND MOTION FILED BY	,	

				04/01/20		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
748222	Н	12/06/18	324	COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK. REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSE TO 102 PAGE PETITION AND MOTION FILED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK; ATTEND PHONE CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN, K. ROBISON AND TODD JAKSICK; DRAFT SUBSTANTIVE JOINDER TO KENT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON WENDY'S CLAIMS PERTAINING TO THE LAKE HOUSE; REVIEW SECOND MOTION	3.00	975.00
748224	Н	12/07/18	324	FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY KENT. REVIEW ORDER APPOINTING MEDIATOR; REVIEW TODD JAKSICK'S OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOIN INDESPENSABLE PARTIES.	0.25	81.25
749470	H	12/10/18	324	REVIEW SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM TO THIRD PARTIES IN CALIFORNIA SENT BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK; REVIEW PROPER PROCEDURE FOR OUT OF STATE	0.50	162.50
749475	Н	12/11/18	324	DISCOVERY. REVIEW TRIAL PROTOCOL STATEMENT; DRAFT EXPERT DISCLOSURE; ATTEND CONFERENCE CALL WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER.	1.25	406.25
749478	H	12/12/18	324	REVIEW LEGOY FILES FOR RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.	2.75	893.75
749480	H	12/13/18	324	CONTINUE REVIEW OF LEGOY FILES FOR RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM; TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH ZACHERY JOHNSON REGARDING DISCOVERY ISSUES; BEGIN DRAFTING EXPERT DISCLOSURES.	3.00	975.00
749486	H	12/14/18	324	CONTINUE REVIEW OF LEGOY FILES FOR RESPONSE TO SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM.	3.25	1056.25
749499	Н	12/17/18	324	CONTINUE DRAFTING EXPERT DISCLOSURES; REVIEW AND REVISE EXPERT DISCLOSURES.	1.00	325.00
749503	Н	12/18/18	324	REVIEW HOME CAMP DOCUMENTS FOR ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION; REVIEW STATUS REPORTS FILED BY THE PARTIES IN PREPARATION FOR THE STATUS CONFERENCE TO BE HELD THIS AFTERNOON WITH THE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER; TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH WILLIAM KIMMEL, LEE SMITH AND BRUCE THEE REGARDING REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HER PETIITION AND EMERGENCY MOTION AND LEAVE TO JOIN	3.75	1218.75

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				INDISPENSIBLE PARTIES; PARTICIPATE IN STATUS CONFERENCE CALL WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER.		
749507	Н	12/19/18	324	TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH KURT HARDUNG REGARDING DRAFT SUPPLEMENT TO	0.75	243.75
749512	Н	12/20/18	324	EXPERT PRODUCTION. REVIEW OPPOSITION TO TODD'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING STAN JAKSICK INDEMNIFICATION AND LAKE HOUSE; REVIEW TRUST AGREEMENTS FOR	2.50	812.50
				ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE PRESERVATION; REVIEW RELEVANT PROVISIONS OF NEVADA REVISED STATUTES; DRAFT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL LEGOY FILES.		`,
749514	Н	12/21/18	324	REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL LEGOY SUBPOENA PRODUCTION; DRAFT SUPPLEMENT TO EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURES.	1.50	487.50
749945	H	12/27/18	324	REVIEW DOCUMENTS; BEGIN DRAFTING MEDIATION STATEMENT.	3.00	975.00
749947	H	12/28/18	324	REVIEW AND REVISE MEDIATION STATEMENT; REVIEW SETTLEMENT CONCEPTS; DRAFT REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES; DRAFT SUPPLEMENT TO	2.00	650.00
750006	Н	12/31/18	324	EXPERT DISCLOSURES. REVIEW MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY OF WALLACE; REVIEW WENDY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT FILED BY TODD INDIVIDUALLY; REVIEW RECOMMENDATION FOR ORDER FILED BY DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER AYERS REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION FROM JESSICA CLAYTON; REVIEW SUBPOENA FOR DEPOSITION OF BRIAN MCQUAID; REVIEW STANLEY'S TRIAL PROTOCOL STATEMENT; REVIEW WENDY'S TRIAL PROTOCOL STATEMENT.	0,75	243.75
750341	Н	01/02/19	324	DRAFT PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES AS	0.75	243.75
750347	Н	01/04/19	324	REQUIRED BY RULES. REVIEW, REVISE AND FINALIZE PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES AND PREPARE FOR FILING AS REQUIRED BY COURT RULES; REVIEW TODD'S (AS AN INDIVIDUAL) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINS' WENDY'S CLAIMS REGARDING THE TAHOE HOUSE; PREPARE JOINDER TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	2.50 T	812.50
				FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT.		

04/02/202	o Fi	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 324 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 9
#	Турс	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
751026	Н	01/07/19	324	REVIEW INFORMATION CONCERNING MEDIATION, SCHEDULED DEPOSITIONS, AND DISCOVERY DISPUTES; REVIEW LETTER FROM ZAC JOHNSON REGARDING ACCOUNTING DEMANDS; REVIEW RECOMMENDATION FOR ORDER REGARDING KEVIN RILEY DISCLOSURES; REVIEW VARIOUS CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ROBISON REGARDING	1.50	487.50
751029	H	01/08/19	324	REVIEW REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO COMPEL DOCUMENTS FROM L. ROBERT LEGOY AND LAW FIRM; REVIEW DISCOVERY STATUS REPORTS FILED BY THE PARTIES IN ANTICIPATION OF THE DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE; ATTEND DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE WITH	1.75	568.75
751038	H	01/09/19	324	DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL WITH ROBISON'S OFFICE AND TODD JAKSICK REGARDING REVIEW AND REVISE SUMMARY OF PRIOR DISCLOSURES AS REQUESTED BY STAN AND WENDY'S COUNSEL DURING DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE; REVIEW VARIOUS EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN COUNSEL	1.00	325.00 ~
751040	H	01/10/19	324	REGARDING REVIEW MEMO ON PAYMENT OF EXPERT WITNESS TRAVEL EXPENSES; REVIEW MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ON ISSUE OF DESIGNATION OF 30(B)(6) FOR TRUST.	0.50	162.50
751902	H	01/14/19	324	PREPARE DOCÚMENTS FOR DEPOSITION OF WENDY'S ACCOUNTING EXPERT; REVIEW DISCOVERY REPORT FILED BY STAN JAKSICK; REVIEW WENDY'S OPPOSITION TO TODD'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ON THE 30(B)(6) NOTICES; REVIEW TODD'S FOURTH DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT; REVIEW WENDY'S DISCOVERY DISPUTE CONFERENCE STATEMENT; REVIEW RILEY V. ROCKWELL NEVADA CASE ON TRUST DISPUTE.	1.00	325.00
751911	Н	01/15/19	324	BEGIN DRAFTING RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY COMMISSIONERS' ORDER TO PRODUCE REGARDING RILEY DOCUMENTS; ATTEND DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE.	1.50	487.50
751915	H	01/16/19	324	REVIEW ORDER ON KIMMEL SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION; REVIEW VARIOUS EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS TO AND FROM KEVIN RILEY REGARDING	1.50	487.50

				V 11 V 21 22 V		
#	Type	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
751923	Н	01/1 7/1 9	324	REVIEW WENDY'S SUPPLEMENTAL PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS. REVIEW PRELIMINARY RESPONSES RECEIVED FROM KEVIN RILEY FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PRODUCTION; BEGIN	2.00	650.00
751925	Н	0İ/18/19	324	DRAFTING SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS FROM WENDY TO KEVIN RILEY. FINISH, REVIEW AND FINALIZE SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF KEVIN RILEY; REVIEW ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND	4.00	1300.00
752201	н	01/22/19	324	DENYING IN PART MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES; REVIEW MINUTES OF DISCOVERY CONFERENCE. REVIEW MINUTES FOR INFORMATION REGARDING DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK; REVIEW TRANSCRIPT FOR SAME; ATTEND DEPOSITION OF JESSICA	3.75	1218.75
752205	H	01/23/19	324	CLAYTON. REVIEW EXPERT REPORT AND ATTEND DEPOSITION OF BRUCE WALLACE; REVIEW ORDERS OF THE COURT ON DISCOVERY	2.75	893.75
752209	Н	01/24/19	324	ISSUES. DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN STAN AND TODD JAKSICK; REVIEW ORDER OF THE COURT ON DISCOVERY ISSUES RELATED TO ADDED PARTIES; REVIEW VARIOUS EMAIL COMMUNICATION BETWEEN	2.00 N	650.00
752216	Н	01/25/19	324	COUNSEL ON PREPARE FOR AND ATTEND TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE WITH KURT HARDUNG IN PREPARATION FOR HIS UPCOMING DEPOSITION; DRAFT EMAIL TO ZACH JOHNSON REGARDING NOTICE OF CHANGE IN DATE AND TIME OF DEPOSITION; TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH PHILIP KREITLEIN REGARDING SETTLEMENT.	1.50	487.50
752902	H	01/28/19	324	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF JAMES GREEN;	2.50	812.50
752908	Н	01/29/19	324	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF KURT HARDUNG. REVIEW AND REVISE PRIVILEGE LOG FOR LEGOY PRODUCTION; REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE EXPERT CAMPAGNA; ATTEND DISCOVERY STATUS	2.00	650.00
752913	H	01/30/19	324	CONFERENCE. REVIEW COURT'S MINUTES FROM DISCOVERY CONFERENCE HELD ON JANUARY 8; REVIEW MOTION IN LIMINE FILED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL TO PRECLUDE CERTAIN PREJUDICIAL	2.50	812.50

				5 11 4 2 1 2 2		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				MATTERS; REVIEW MINUTES OF THE COURT FOR DISCOVERY CONFERENCE HELD ON JANUARY 22; REVIEW ADDITIONAL MOTIONS IN LIMINE FILED BY WENDY AND STAN; REVIEW WENDY'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL ACCOUNTINGS FOR SUBTRUST ACCOUNTS; BEGIN DRAFTING		
752919	Н	01/31/19	324	OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION. REVIEW ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE; REVIEW WENDY'S REPORT ON STATUS OF DISCOVERY; DRAFT INFORMED CONSENT AND WAIVER.	1.00	325.00
752923	Н	02/01/19	324	FINISH DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL SUBTRUST ACCOUNTINGS; ATTEND DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	4.00	1300.00
753601	H	02/02/19	324	REVIEW AND SUMMARIZE DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK FOR KEY ISSUES.	2.50	812.50
753603	Н	02/03/19	324	CONTINUE REVIEW AND SUMMARY OF DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK FOR KEY ISSUES.	1.00	325.00
753605	H	02/04/19	324	CONTINUE REVIEW AND SUMMARY OF DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK FOR KEY ISSUES; MARK TRIAL EXHIBITS; ATTEND HEARING ON MOTION TO CONTINUE	4.00	1300.00
753607	Н	02/05/19	324	TRIAL. REVIEW PRIVILEGE LOG TO DETERMINE WHETHER DOCUMENTS NEED TO BE DISCLOSED; REVIEW RECENT FILINGS WITH THE COURT.	2.00	650.00
753612	H	02/06/19	324	REVIEW RELEVANT DEPOSITION TESTIMONY.	1.25	406.25
753614	Н	02/07/19	324	CONDUCT RELEVANT RESEARCH AND DRAFT RESPONSE TO WENDY'S POSITION ON ATTORNEY/CLIENT PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS; MEET AND CONFER WITH ZACH JOHNSON ON PRIVILEGE ISSUE.	Γ 2.50	812.50
753618	Н	02/08/19	324	REVIEW ARGUMENT CONCERNING PRIVILEGE; ATTEND HEARING BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER ON PRIVILEGE ISSUE; ADDRESS ISSUES RELATED TO DISCLOSURE OF DOCUMENTS ON PRIVILEGE LOG; RESEARCH ISSUE OF VIOLATION OF THE NON-COMPETE	2.00	650.00
754319	Н	02/11/19	324	CLAUSE; DRAFT NOTICE TO THE COURT REGARDING PRETRIAL ORDER. DRAFT PLEADING FOR DISCLOSURE OF WENDY'S SUBTRUST ACCOUNTING; FOLLOWING UP WITH KURT HARDUNG FOR INVOICE FOLLOWING DEPOSITION.	1.00	325.00
754322	H	02/12/19	324	REVIEW DECLARATION OF MARK CONNOT;	1.50	487.50

				VICVAIMV		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
		٠		REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT RESPONSE; DRAFT RESPONSE TO DECLARATION OF MARK CONNOT; DRAFT JOINDER TO RESPONSE FILED BY TODD		
754326	H	02/13/19	324	INDIVIDUALLY. ATTEND PRETRIAL HEARING ON CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL DATE AND MOTIONS IN LIMINE; FOLLOW UP COMMUNICATION WITH ROBERT LEGOY REGARDING	2.00	650.00
754342	Н	02/17/19	324	REVIEW EXHIBIT LIST TO LOCATE SPECIFIC TRIAL EXHIBIT FOR TRIAL COUNSEL; REVIEW LEGOY DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; REVIEW NEWLY MARKED EXHIBITS BY WENDY'S COUNSEL AND DISCUSS THE SAME WITH ROBERT LEGOY.	2.00	650.00
754788	H	02/20/19	324	REVIEW JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND VERDICT FORMS PROPOSED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK.	2.50	812.50
754792	H	02/21/19	324	DRAFT MEMO REGARDING OBJECTIONS TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK.	1.00	325.00
755068	H	02/26/19	324	SEND AND RECEIVE VARIOUS EMAILS CONCERNING	0.25	, 81.25
755072	H	02/27/19	324	REVIEW MOTIONS FOR DIRECTED VERDICT ON ISSUE OF DAMAGES AND ON THE RELEASE LANGUAGE OF THE ACPAs.	0.50	162.50
755979	H	03/08/19	324	PARTICIPATION IN REVIEW AND REVISION OF MEMORANDUM OF COSTS.	0.75	243.75
757445	Н	03/19/19	324	REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS, TRANSCRIPTS, ETC. IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS; BEGIN DRAFTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS ON BEHALF OF MICHAEL KIMMEL INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-TRUSTEE OF THE FAMILY TRUST.	5.00	1625.00
757448	H	03/20/19	324	CONTINUE WORK ON MOTION FOR FEES; RESEARCH AND ANALYZE FEES EXPENDED.	3.00	975.00
757452	H	03/21/19	324	REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS FOR KIMMEL.	3.00	975.00
757457	H	03/22/19	324	DRAFT AFFIDAVIT OF DONALD A. LATTIN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO FEES FOR KIMMEL.	1.00	325.00
757461	Н	03/23/19	324	REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS AND AFFIDAVIT OF D. LATTIN IN SUPPORT OF FEES AND COSTS FOR MICHAEL KIMMEL.	1.00	325.00
757650	H	03/26/19	324	DRAFT WITHDRAWAL OF MEMORANDUM OF	0.50	162.50

				04/01/20		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
761683	Н	05/02/19	324	FEES AND COSTS; DRAFT RESPONSE TO MOTION TO RETAX. PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH COURT ON ISSUES RELATED TO BENCH	0.25 .	81.25
761685	H	05/03/19	324	TRIAL. MEETING WITH D. LATTIN AND K. MATTEONI TO DISCUSS	1.00	325.00
761693	H	05/06/19	324	REVIEW TRIAL STATEMENT FOR EQUITABLE CLAIMS.	0.50	162.50
761698	H	05/07/19	324	BEGIN REVIEW OF SEVENTH AMENDMENT ARGUMENT IN PREPARATION FOR BENCH	0.50	162.50
761703	Н	05/08/19	324	TRIAL REVIEW CLOSING ARGUMENTS OF KEVIN SPENCER; REVIEW WENDY'S OBJECTIONS TO APPROVAL OF ACCOUNTINGS; REVIEW WENDY'S AMENDED COUNTERPETITION;	1.50	487.50
761706	Н	05/09/19	324	REVIEW RELEVANT CASE LAW RE: SEVENTH AMENDMENT ARGUMENT. DRAFT ADDITIONS TO TRIAL STATEMENT ADDRESSING SEVENTH AMENDMENT ARGUMENT AND WENDY'S REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT TODD	1.25	406,25
761753	н	05/10/19	324	JAKSICK AND MICHAEL KIMMEL VIOLATED THE NO-CONTEST PROVISION OF THE FAMILY AND ISSUE TRUSTS. REVIEW TRIAL STATEMENT AND SUPPLEMENT TO AMENDED PETITION FILED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL; DISCUSS ISSUES RE: BENCH TRIAL WITH D.	0.50	162.50
763037	Н	05/13/19	324	LATTIN. ADDRESS ISSUES CONCERNING TRIAL EXHIBITS; TRANSPORT EXHIBITS TO COURT AND ATTEND MORNING ARGUMENTS; REVIEW PROPOSED STIPULATION BETWEEN COUNSEL REGARDING BRIEFING	1.25	406.25
763043	Н	05/14/19	324	SCHEDULE; FOLLOW UP DISCUSSION WITH D. LATTIN. VARIOUS DISCUSSIONS	3.00	975.00
763049	н	05/15/19	324	REVIEW OF VARIOUS TRIAL EXHIBITS IN PREPARATION FOR EQUITABLE BRIEFING. REVIEW OF WENDY JAKSICK'S OFFER OF PROOF FOR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE FOR	0.25	81.25
763059	Н	05/20/19	324	TRIAL OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS. DRAFT MOTION TO STRIKE "SECOND SUPPLEMENT TO FIRST AMENDED COUNTER	1.25	406.25

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				PETITION TO-SURCHARGE TRUSTEES FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES, FOR REMOVAL OF TRUSTEES AND APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT TRUSTEE(S), AND FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND OTHER RELIEF."		
763541	H	05/31/19	324	REVIEW MOTION TO REDACT FILED BY COUNSEL FOR STANLEY JAKSICK; REVIEW MOTION TO STRIKE FILED BY COUNSEL FOR STANLEY JAKSICK; REVIEW OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS TO STRIKE FILED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSCIK.	0.50	162.50
76 ₄ 078	H	06/03/19	324	REVIEW OF TRIAL TRANSCRIPT AND PRIOR PLEADINGS IN PREPARATION TO BEGIN DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE; BEGIN DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE.	1.50	487.50
764082	H	06/04/19	324	FINISH DRAFTING REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE.	2.50	812.50
764091	H	06/07/19	324	REVIEW DRAFT OF PETITIONER'S BRIEF ON EQUITABLE ISSUES.	1.50	487.50
766150	H	06/25/19	324	REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S EMERGENCYMOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE BRIEF OF OPENING ARGUMENTS IN THE EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL AND OPPOSITIONS FILED ON BEHALF OF TODD JAKSICK.	0.50	162.50
766160	H	06/27/19	324	TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD JAKSICK CONCERNING REVISIONS TO TRIAL BRIEF ON EQUITABLE ISSUES.	0.50	162.50
768519	Н	07/09/19	324	BEGIN REVIEW OF TRIAL STATEMENTS SUBMITTED BY COUNSEL FOR STAN JAKSICK AND COUNSEL FOR TODD JAKSICK INDIVIDUALLY.	1.00	325.00
768525	Н	07/11/19	324	CONTINUE REVIEW OF TRIAL STATEMENTS SUBMITTED BY COUNSEL FOR STAN JAKSICK AND COUNSEL FOR TODD JAKSICK INDIVIDUALLY.	0.50	162.50
768534	H	07/15/19	324	CONTINUE READING OPENING BRIEF FILED BY WENDY JAKSICK ON ISSUES TO BE DECIDED BY THE JUDGE.	0.50	162.50
768537	H	07/17/19	324	DETAILED DISCUSSION WITH D. LATTIN AND K. MATTEONI CONCERNING	0.75	243.75
768553	H	07/24/19	324	FINISH REVIEW OF BRIEF FILED BY WENDY JAKSICK ON BENCH TRIAL ISSUES.	0.50	162.50
768558	Н	07/25/19	324	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN	0.75	243.75

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 324 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 04/01/20								
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount		
•				AND K. MATTEONI CONCERNING	,			
768564	Н	07/29/19	324	REVIEW FINAL BRIEF ON EQUITABLE ISSUES TO BE TRIED BY THE COURT; REVIEW WENDY'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL DISTRIBUTIONS FROM THE FAMILY TRUST.	1.50	487.50		
768684	H	07/31/19	324	REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS AND BEGIN DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL DISTRIBUTION.	3.00	975.00		
769026	H	08/01/19	324	FINISH DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR DISTRIBUTION.	. 4.50	1462.50		
770017	Н	08/05/19	324	REVIEW OBJECTION FILED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK; OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH K. MATTEONI RE:	0.50	162.50		
770320	H	08/13/19	324	REVIEW WENDY'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL DISTRIBUTION FROM FAMILY TRUST.	0.50	, 162.50		
770324	H	08/15/19	324	REVIEW OUR RESPONSE TO WENDY'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO STRIKE.	0.25	81.25		
771580	H	08/23/19	324	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN TO DISCUSS	0.25	81.25		
771591	Н	08/28/19	324	DRAFT MEDIATION STATEMENT FOR MEDIATION BETWEEN TODD AND STAN JAKSICK.	0.50	162.50		
776710	Н	10/15/19	324	REVIEW LETTER FROM ZACH JOHNSON; CONFERENCE WITH D.LATTIN; DRAFT PROPOSED RESPONSE LETTER TO JOHNSON RE: ACCOUNTING FOR FAMILY TRUST.	1.00	325,00		
785660!	Н .	02/07/20	324	REVIEW ORDER FROM THE COURT REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING; OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN AND K. MATTEONI RE: CONFERENCE CALL WITH K. ROBINSON RE: DRAFT EMAIL TO K. MATTEONI DISCUSSING	1.50	525.00		
785671!	H	02/20/20	324	REVIEW EMERGENCY MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING AND MOTION TO STRIKE EVIDENCE OUTSIDE TRIAL RECORD FILED BY COUNSEL FOR WENDY JAKSICK AND APPLICATION FOR ORDER	0.75	262.50		

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 324 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	:	Page 16
# Type Date Atty Description	Hours	Amount
SHORTENING TIME; OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH K. MATTEONI AND D. LATTIN REGARDING		- -
786312! H 02/26/20 324 REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN THE EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL.	0.50	175.00
788587! H 03/12/20 324 BRIEF REVIEW/SCAN OF ORDER ON EQUITABLE ISSUES; CONFERENCE WITH K. MATTEONI; TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD JAKSICK; TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH MICHAEL KIMMEL; DRAFT UPDATE TO D. LATTIN.	2.00	700.00
788588! H 03/13/20 324 REVIEW ORDER AFTER EQUITABLE TRIAL; CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN AND K. MATTEONI RE:	2.75	962.50
788608! H 03/18/20 324 OFFICE CONFERENCE REGARDING	1.00	350.00
788610! H 03/19/20 324 CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN CONCERNING OBTAIN UPDATED INFORMATION FOR MEMO OF COSTS.	1.00	350.00
789798! H 03/24/20 324 REVIEW MEMORANDUM OF COSTS FILED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL; REVIEW COURT'S ORDER ON EQUITABLE CLAIMS; DISCUSSION WITH D. LATTIN REPLIED BY STAN JAKSICK; CONDUCT RELEVANT RESEARCH ON SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS TO BE CONTAINED IN A MEMORANDUM OF COSTS.		1925.00
7898001 H 03/25/20 324 BEGIN DRAFTING MOTION TO RETAX; CONTINUE NECESSARY RESEARCH.	4.50	1575.00
789802! H 03/26/20 324 FINISH DRAFTING MOTION TO RETAX; CONTINUE NECESSARY RESEARCH.	5.50	1925.00
789804! H 03/27/20 324 REVIEW MOTION TO RETAX FILED BY COUNSEL FOR STAN JAKSICK.	0.50	175.00
GRAND TOTAL	293.25	95943.75

^{! -} Transaction Not Yet Billed H - Billable Time

Fees for Brian C. McQuaid, Esq.

Fees for Brian C. McQuaid, Esq.

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 321 FOR 17454,008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 1 04/01/20								
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount		
729730	Н	05/01/18	321	EMAILS AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
730307	H	05/03/18	321	REVIEW DOCUMENTS DISCLOSED BY WENDY AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	7 1.50 	525.00		
730272	H	05/07/18	321	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
730280	Н	05/08/18	321	EMAILS AND CONFERENCE REGARDING REVIEW NEW DEPOSITION NOTICES FILED BY KENT	0.50	175.00		
730475	H	05/15/18	321	ROBISON FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES. REVIEW RECOMMENDATION FOR ORDER ISSUED BY DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER.	0.25	87,50		
731564	Н	05/16/18	321	CONFERENCES AND EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	87.50		
731561	Н	05/25/18	321	REVIEW WENDY'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS.	0.25	87.50		
731792	H	05/31/18	321	CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN	0.75	262.50		
733101	н	06/07/18	321	TELEPHONE CALL WITH TODD JAKSICK AND DON LATTIN. CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
733126	н	06/12/18	321	CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
733135	Н	06/14/18	321	REVIEW VARIOUS DISCOVERY DISCLOSURES AND DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT.	1.50	525.00		
734295	н	06/19/18	321	REVIEW DISCOVERY MATERIALS.	0.50	175.00		
734316	H	06/21/18	321	CONFERENCE REGARDING REVIEW ORDER DISMISSING CONSUMER FRAUD CLAIM ISSUED BY THE COURT. REVIEW AND ANALYZE DISCOVERY	2.50	875.00		
735224	H	07/09/18	321	MATERIALS. EMAILS AND CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.25	87.50		
738483	H	08/07/18	321	CONFERENCE REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
738509	H	08/10/18	32 1	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	175.00		
740987.	H	09/05/18	321	RESEARCH ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE ISSUES AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	1.00	350.00		
743628	H	10/15/18	321	SAME. CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN REGARDING REVIEW ORDER REGARDING TODD JAKSICK'S	0.50	175.00		
744571	Н	10/19/18	321	DEPOSITION. EMAILS REGARDING	0.25	87.50		

04/02/202	20 FI	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 321 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	,	Page 2
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
744587	H	10/23/18	321	REVIEW VARIOUS ISSUES REGARDING INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT AND	0.25	87.50
				LITIGATION STRATEGY AND CONFERENCES REGARDING		27.50
747317	H	11/26/18	321	TELEPHONE CALL WITH TODD JAKSICK REGARDING	0.25	87.50
751247	Н	01/15/19	321	DEPOSITION PREPARATION.	0.50	175.00
751627	H	01/17/19	321	DEPOSITION PREPARATION.	1.50	525.00
752748	H	01/22/19	321	DEPOSITION PREPARATION.	2.00	700.00
752750	Ĥ	01/23/19	321	DEPOSITION PREPARATION.	0.50	175.00
752755	Ĥ	01/24/19	321	ATTEND DEPOSITION AT MCDONALD CARANO.	4.00	1400.00
755133	H	02/19/19	321	EMAIL FROM CAROLYN RENNER REGARDING		175.00
756048	H	03/05/19	321	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	175.00
756054	H	03/06/19	321	EMAILS AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	175.00
756059	Н	03/07/19	321	EMAILS AND CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.25	87.50
762323	Н	05/06/19	321	CONFERENCES REGARDING	0.50	175.00
GRAND	TOT	AL			24.25	8487.50

H - Billable Time

Fees for Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq.

Fees for Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq.

AUPIN COX LEGOY ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. BOX 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520

1.2

04/02/202	20 FI	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454,008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 1
#	Турс	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
743017	H	10/03/18	332	REVIEW CASE FILE IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY	2.15	430.00
743316	H	10/08/18	332	JUDGMENT (MIKE KIMMEL). LEGAL RESEARCH ON	4.75	950.00
743323	H	10/09/18	332	LEGAL RESEARCH ON CONTINUE DRAFTING MOTION FOR	6.00	1200.00
				SUMMARY JUDGMENT TO REVIEWED DEPOSITION TESTIMONY		
743329 ,	H	10/10/18	332	REVIEW DEPOSITION TESTIMONY BEGAN	5.00	1000.00
				DRAFTING CONTINUED DRAFTING		i
743332	Н	10/11/18	332	CONTINUED DRAFTING	2.00	400.00
743334	Н	10/12/18	337	EDIT AND COMPILE RECORD CITATIONS	1.00	200.00
	Н	11/16/18		REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S OPPOSITION	5.75	1150.00
746355	Lī	11/10/18	3 3 4	MEATER MARION AVISION OF ORTHOR		1150,00

04/02/202	20 FE	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 2
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF; BEGIN DRAFTING REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING MR. KIMMEL; TRAVEL TO AND PARTICIPATE IN PRETRIAL HEARING.		
746883	Н	11/19/18		DRAFT AND EDIT REPLY BRIEF TO WENDY'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE; MICHAEL KIMMEL; CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	4.65	930.00
746890	H	11/20/18	332	COMPILE AND ATTACH EXHIBITS FOR REPLY TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ADDITIONAL RESEARCH CONCERNING	2.25	450.00
746896	H	11/21/18	,	REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO NOTICE; DRAFT MEMORANDUM PERTAINING TO REVIEW WENDY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND PETITION TO REDRESS BREACH & EMERGENCY MOTION TO REMOVE TODD IN PREPARATION OF RESEARCH PERTAINING TO	2.50	500.00
747498	H	11/26/18	332	EDIT MEMORANDUM PERTAINING TO REVIEW EMAILS FROM CO-COUNSEL. REGARDING MEET WITH DON LATTIN TO DISCUSS	3.00	600.00

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20									
# .	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount			
				DRAFT AND EDIT RESEARCH					
				RESEARCH STANDARD FOR ; REVIEW CASE DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO	Ĭ				
747502	H	11/27/18	332	FINISH DRAFTING AND EDIT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES; RESEARCH	1.00	200.00			
747512	н	11/29/18	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING AND EDIT RESEARCH MEMORANDUM CONCERNING	0.50	100.00			
749918	H	12/26/18	332	REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S INITIAL DISCLOSURES OF EXPERT WITNESSES: LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	1.75	350.00			
749921	Н	12/27/18	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING AND EDIT MOTION IN LIMINE TO	1.75	350,00			
750338	H	12/31/18	332	INCORPORATE EDITS FROM CAROLYN RENNER INTO MOTION IN LIMINE TO	0.25	50.00			
750353	H	01/03/19	332	CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO COMPILE BUSINESS	0.75	150.00			
750361	H	01/04/19	332	. JUDGE RULE JURY INSTRUCTION. RESEARCH RELATED TO	0.50	100.00			
751077	Н	01/07/19	332	OFFICER CONFERENCE AND EMAIL COMMUNICATION WITH DON LATTIN AND CAROLYN RENNER	1.00	200,00			
751080	Н	01/08/19	332	BEGIN DRAFTING DEMAND LETTER REGARDING SAME. LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	2.50	500.00			

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20									
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount			
_				LEGAL RESEARCH AND DRAFTING OF REVIEW EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE MARK CONNOT AND KENT ROBISON					
751084	Н	01/09/19	332	CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AND DRAFT A MEMORANDUM RELATED TO	1.25	250.00			
751091	H	01/10/19	332	REVIEW	1.25	250.00			
					1.00	200.00			
751932	H	01/14/19		RESEARCH FOR	1.00	200.00 300.00			
752563	Н	01/22/19	332	REVIEW 222 PAGES OF DISPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF FRANK CAMPAGNA FOR					
752566	H	01/23/19	332	REVIEW FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	2.00	400.00			
752575	H	01/24/19	332	EDIT MOTION IN LIMINE TO STRIKE OR EXCLUDE FRANK CAMPAGNA; COMPILE EXHIBITS FOR SAME.	0.75	150.00			
753721	Н	02/04/19	332	REVIEW MOTION TO CONTINUE; REVIEW CASE STATUS/UPDATE WITH CAROLYN RENNER AND KATIE ALLEN.	0.50	100.00			

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 04/01/20									
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount			
753741	H	02/07/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER AS TO RESEARCH RELATED TO	0.90	180.00			
753748	H	02/08/19	332	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN AS TO	0.50	100.00			
754770	H	02/11/19	332	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN AS TO	0.25	50.00			
754783	Н	02/15/19	332	ATTEND JAKSICK TRIAL OPENING STATEMENTS; POST-TRIAL BREAKDOWN	6.00	1200.00			
754804	Н	02/19/19	332	WITH DON LATTIN. JAKSICK TRIAL DEBRIEFING WITH DON	0.25	50.00			
754809	N	02/20/19	332	LATTIN AND CAROLYN RENNER. ATTEND JAKSICK TRIAL - TODD JAKSICK	1.50	300.00X			
754812	H	02/20/19	332	TRIAL TESTIMONY. ATTEND TRIAL AND TAKE NOTES FOR	2.00	400.00			
754817	H	02/21/19	332	ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN TRIAL; TAKE NOTES RELATED	3.50	700.00			
754823	Н	02/22/19	332	ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN TRIAL (PIERRE DIRECT AND CROSS); TAKE	1.50	300.00			
755593	H	02/25/19	332 ⁻	NOTES RELATED TO SAME. ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL FOR	2.25	450.00			
755597	Н	02/26/19	332	PARTICIPATE IN COURT MANDATED CONFERENCE AS TO PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS: ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL FOR EDIT MASTER JURY INSTRUCTIONS CONSISTENT WITH COURT ORDERED MEETING WITH OPPOSING	3.00	600.00			
755601	н	02/27/19	332	COUNSEL. GENERATE DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS –	2.50	500.00			

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
755606	н	02/28/19	332	PREPARE FOR AND MEET WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL AS TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS; CREATE NEW LIST FOR ARGUMENT AND RESEARCH INSTRUCTIONS AND LAW THAT COULD NOT BE AGREED UPON; WITNESS PREPARATION WITH BOB LEGOY FOR	2.50	500.00
755613	H	03/01/19	332	TESTIMONY; ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL; CONFERENCE WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL TO DISCUSS MEETING TO DETERMINE JURY INSTRUCTION ARGUMENTS. DRAFT JOINDER TO TODD B. JAKSICK'S MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT: DAMAGES; MEETING WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL AND THERESE SHANKS. ESO. TO DISCUSS	1.25	250.00
755617	Н	03/03/19	332	DRAFT JURY INSTRUCTIONS BRIEF AS INSTRUCTIONS RELATE TO	1.50	300.00
				CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	c me	1150.00
755625	H	03/04/19	332	FINISH DRAFTING AND EDIT JURY INSTRUCTIONS BRIEF; ATTEND TRIAL;	5.75	1150,00
756384	н	03/05/19	332	RETURN OF JURY VERDICT. CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. RELATED TO	1.00	200.00
						,
756388	H	03/06/19	332	COMPILE INFORMATION RELATED TO COSTS; CONTINUE DRAFTING MEMORANDUM	1.50	300.00
756397	Н	03/07/19	332	OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS. CONTINUE DRAFTING MEMORANDUM OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS; COMPARE TO KENT ROBINSON VERSION TO AVOID	1.25	250.00
756402	H	03/08/19	332	DUPLICATION OF COSTS. COMPARISON OF OUR VERIFIED MEMORANDUM OF COSTS WITH THAT OF KENT ROBISON'S TO AVOID DUPLICATION BOIL AND GATHER	1.00	200.00
761428	H _.	04/29/19	332	DUPLICATION; EDIT AND GATHER EXHIBITS FOR SAME. CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	1.00	200.00

04/02/202	0 FI	ees for i	EES FO	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454,008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 7
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
761452	Н	05/02/19	332	CONTINUE LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	1.50	300.00
761458	Н	05/03/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER, ESO. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. AS TO DRAFT LIST OF WITNESSES FOR TRIAL STATEMENT; DRAFT LIST OF EXHIBITS (ADMITTED AND NEW) FOR TRIAL STATEMENT; BEGIN	3.00	600.00
761463	H	05/04/19	332	DRAFTING TRIAL STATEMENT. CONTINUE DRAFTING TRIAL STATEMENT; CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	2.75	550.00
762040	H	05/06/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN, ESQ. AND CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ. AS TO	2.25	450.00
		0.5 105 14 0	222	FINISH DRAFTING TRIAL STATEMENT; UPDATE EXHIBIT LIST TO TRIAL STATEMENT; LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO COMMUNICATION WITH COURT CLERK AS TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS, CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN AS TO	0.75	150.00
762047	Н	05/07/19	332	TRIAL STATEMENT TO REFLECT SAME; CREATE MASTER EXHIBIT LIST.	0(15	100.00
762052	H	05/08/19	332	FINAL REVIEW AND UPDATE OF RELEVANT	1,50	300.00
762063	H	05/09/19	332	FINALIZE AND FILE TRIAL STATEMENT; TRIAL PREPARATION AS TO REVIEW AND DENOTE KEY POINTS OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF BRUCE WALLACE FOR USE IN TRIAL; REVIEW MOTIONS FILED BY WENDY'S COUNSEL INCLUDING PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES AND SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION.	1.50	300.00
762500	H	05/13/19	332	MARK EXHIBITS; ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL; DEBRIEFING OF SAME.	3.25	650.00
762507	H	05/14/19	332	REVIEW AND FINALIZE MASTER EXHIBIT LIST FOR EQUITABLE JURY TRIAL; REVIEW OF NEW EXHIBITS PROPOSED BY WENDY; BEGIN DRAFTING BRIEF ON	2.75	550,00

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
1	**			CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER, ESO. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. AS TO REVIEW MATERIALS REQUIRED TO CONFERENCE WITH BRIAN		
762514	Н	05/15/19	332	MCQUAID RELATED TO REVIEW EVIDENTIARY EXHIBITS BRIEF FROM WENDY'S COUNSEL; CONTINUE DRAFTING TRUSTEE'S BRIEF ON EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS; EDIT AND REVISE SAME FOR FILING; DRAFT HEGGSTAP PETITION CONCERNING	5.50	1100.00
762519	Н	05/16/19	332	BEGIN DRAFTING FINAL TRIAL BRIEF AS TO ACCOUNTINGS; REVIEW OF OPENING STATEMENTS AND TRIAL AND DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS AS NEEDED; EDIT AND REVISE	2.50 [·]	500.00
762524	H	05/17/19	332	DRAFT, EDIT AND REVISE MOTION TO STRIKE WENDY JAKSICK'S REPLY TO OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSION OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE IN REGARD TO EQUITABLE CLAIMS; FILE	0.75	150,00
763282	H	05/20/19	332	SAME. REVIEW CLOSING ARGUMENT TRIAL	1.50	300.00
763288	Н	05/21/19	332	TRANSCRIPTS FOR USE IN FINAL BRIEF. LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	2.50	500.00
763299	Н	05/23/19	332	DRAFT EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF AS RELATED TO EDIT AND REVISE	1.50	300.00
763304	Н	05/24/19	332	DRAFT DECLARATIONS OF K. ALLEN AND T. JAKSICK IN CONJUNCTION WITH PETITION RE: COMPILE EXHIBITS FOR FERRARI PETITION; DRAFT NO-CONTEST CLAUSE PROVISIONS	1.50	300.00
763778	H	05/27/19	332	OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL BRIEF. DRAFT INTRODUCTION TO EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF; DRAFT ROUGH SECTION ON VALIDITY OF ACPAS.	1.50	300.00

04/02/202		Page 9				
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours'	Amount
763784	N	05/28/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN AND C. RENNER AS TO	2.00	400.00X
763787	Н	05/29/19	332	CREATE BEGIN DRAFTING	1.00	200.00
763801	Н	06/01/19	332	FINISH DRAFTING ROUGH DRAFT OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF.	1.50	300.00
763803	н	06/02/19	332	EDIT AND REVISE ROUGH DRAFT OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF FOR EDITING BY C. RENNER, ESQ.	1.25	250.00
764978	H	06/11/19	332	INCORPORATE EDITS FROM CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ; REVIEW OF JURY TRIAL DAYS 1-3 AS NEEDED FOR SUPPORT IN FINAL EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF.	2.50	500.00
765001	H	06/14/19	332	REVIEW JURY TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS AND ADMITTED TRIAL EXHIBITS TO SUPPORT CLAIMS IN FINAL EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL BRIEF; MAKE REVISIONS TO BRIEF AS NECESSARY.	1.00	200.00
765009	H	06/15/19	332	REVIEW JURY TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS AND ADMITTED TRIAL EXHIBITS TO SUPPORT CLAIMS IN FINAL EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL BRIEF; MAKE REVISIONS TO BRIEF AS NECESSARY.	1.25	250.00
765476	H	06/17/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN RELATED TO	3.00	600.00
765495	H	06/18/19	332	T/C WITH CLIENT TODD AND DON LATTIN ESQ. RELATED TO	2.75	550.00
765509	H	06/19/19	332	FINALIZE CITATIONS AND EXHIBITS IN EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF; T/C WITH JESSICA CLAYTON AND TODD JAKSICK AS TO MAKE FINAL	1.50	300.00

- 04/02/20	020 FI	EBS FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 10
#	Турс	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
766505	H	07/01/19	332	REVISIONS AS NECESSARY AND FINAL READ THROUGH FOR FILING. REVIEW OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF	0.25	50.00
				PRIOR TO FILING.	1.00	200.00
766533	Н	07/07/19	332	DRAFT STIPULATION REGARDING BEGIN REVIEW OF WENDY'S EQUITABLE CLAIMS TRIAL BRIEF RELATED TO	1,00	200.00
767521	Н	07/09/19	332	EDIT AND REVISE STIPULATION RELATED TO TRUSTEES.	0.50	100.00
768244	Н	07/15/19	332	FINISH REVIEW OF WENDY'S EQUITABLE CLAIMS BRIEF I PREPARATION OF DRAFTING RESPONSE.	2.00	400.00
768273	H	07/17/19	332	T/C WITH K, RILEY; T/C WITH TODD JAKSICK; CONFERENCE WITH C, RENNER AND D. LATTIN RELATED TO	2.00	400.00
768285	Н	07/19/19	332	DRAFT REPLY BRIEF RELATED TO	3.00	600.00
768891	H	07/22/19	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF RELATED TO	3.25	650.00
768898	н	07/23/19	332	REVIEW EMERGENCY MOTION FOR DISTRIBUTION FILED BY W. JAKSICK.	0.25	50.00
768903	H	07/24/19	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF RELATED TO REMAINING CLAIMS.	3.25 ×	650.00
768910	Н	07/25/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH D. LATTIN AND C. RENNER TO	0.50	100.00
768913	Н	07/25/19	332	FINISH DRAFTING REPLY BRIEF.	2.50	500.00
768920		07/26/19	332	EDIT AND REVISE REPLY BRIEF; INSERT EXHIBIT INFORMATION AND GATHER EXHIBITS FOR SAME.	2.00	400.00
'76937 <i>5</i>	Н	07/29/19	332	T/C WITH MICHAEL KIMMEL RELATED TO	0.50	100.00
769379	Н	07/30/19	332	EDIT REPLY BRIEF CONSISTENT WITH EDITS FROM D. LATTIN AND C. RENNER; COMPILE AND REDACT EXHIBITS FOR	1.00	200.00
769390	Н	07/31/19	332	SAME. FINALIZE REPLY BRIEF FOR FILING LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	1.00	200.00
769395	н	08/01/19	332	REVIEW REPLY BRIEFS FILED BY STANLY	1.00	200.00

04/02/202	0 FE	EES FOR F	EES FO	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 11
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
769962	H	08/05/19	332	JAKSICK AND TODD JAKSICK CONFERENCE WITH C. RENNER RELATED TO	1.00	200.00
				LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO		
769976	H	08/07/19	332	REVIEW WENDY'S REPLY TO MOTION FOR EMERGENCY DISTRIBUTION.	0.25	50.00
769985	Н	08/08/19	332	BEGIN LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	0.25	50.00
770580	Н	08/13/19	332	CONTINUE LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	2.50	500.00
770582	Н	08/14/19	332	DRAFT OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO STRIKE. EDIT AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO WENDY'S OBJECTION AND MOTION TO	0.25	50.00
770594	H	08/15/19	332	STRIKE. INCORPORATE EDITS FROM CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ.	0.25	50.00
772191	н	09/04/19	332	DRAFT EDIT AND REVISE PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PETITION TO CONFIRM TRUST OWNERSHIP OF VEHICLE.	0.50	100.00
774249	H	09/23/19	332	REVIEW NEVADA SUPREME COURT DECISION	0.25	50.00
774264	Н	09/25/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH ATTORNEY DON LATTIN RELATED TO	0.50	100.00
784803!	н	02/06/20	332	REVIEW ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL	0.25	68.75
784806!	Н	02/07/20	332	BRIEFING. INTRAOFFICE CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. RELATED TO	1.00	275.00
7852691	Н	02/10/20	332	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN, KENT ROBISON, AND TODD JAKSICK REGARDING	5.25	1443.75

04/02/202	0 FE	ES FOR F	EES FO	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 12
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
785275!	H	02/11/20	332	TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD REGARDING	8.00	2200.00
785286!	H	02/12/20	332	TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD JAKSICK REGARDING CONTINUE REVIEW OF TRIAL EXHIBITS FOR USE IN	4.00	1100.00
785287.	Н	02/13/20	332	LOCATE, REVIEW AND UTILIZE ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT ACCOUNTINGS AND DISCLOSURES; EMAIL COMMUNICATION WITH KENT ROBISON, TODD JAKSICK, AND JESSICA CLAYTON REGARDING FINISH DRAFTING, EDIT AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF.	4.50	1237.50
7852961	H	02/14/20	332	TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD REGARDING REVIEW SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF BY TODD'S INDIVIDUAL COUNSEL; EDIT AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AS NECESSARY.	1.00	275.00
785932!	H ·	02/19/20	332	REVIEW TODD'S INDIVIDUAL BRIEF AND STAN'S BRIEF.	0.50	137.50
7859401	Н	02/20/20	332	REVIEW EMERGENCY MOTION FILED BY WENDY JAKSICK AND REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME; CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER, ESQ. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. RELATED TO	3.50	962.50
7876951	н	02/26/20	332	REVIEW WENDY'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING FILED FEB 25, 2019.	0.50	137.50
788170!	Н	03/12/20	332	CAREFULLY REVIEW LENGTHY ORDER FORM THE COURT ON EQUITABLE CLAIMS; INTRAOFFICE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN AND CAROLYN RENNER TELEPHONE COMMUNICATION WITH TODD RELATED TO	1.75	481.25
789559!	H	03/24/20	332	BRIEFLY CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING	1.00	275.00

04/02/202	20 FE	EES FOR F	EES FO	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.008 FROM 05/01/18 T 04/01/20	O	Page 13
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				COMPILATION OF REQUIRED EXHIBITS		•
789570!	Н	03/25/20	332	FOR SAME. CONTINUE COMPILATION OF REQUIRED EXHIBITS FOR MEMORANDUM OF COSTS.	2.00	550.00
789577!	H	03/27/20	332	FINALIZE COMPILATION OF RECEIPTS AND EVIDENCE FOR USE IN MEMO OF	4.00	1100.00
				COSTS.		
GRAND	TOTA	219.45	45983.75			

X - No Charge Item
! - Transaction Not Yet Billed
H - Billable Time
N - Nonbillable Time

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B



Fees for Donald A. Lattin, Esq.

Fees for Donald A. Lattin, Esq.

P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520

04/02/202	0 FE	EES FOR F	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 1
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
729143	H	05/01/18	308	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS DISCLOSED BY WENDY IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE AND TODD RE:	0.75	337.50
729323 729534	H H	05/03/18 05/04/18	308 308	REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS. REVIEW OF WENDY'S DOCUMENTS	1.00 1.00	450.00 450.00
730443	Н	05/10/18	308	RELATIVE TO FLY GEYSER. REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED	1.25	562.50
730446	Н	05/11/18	308	BY BHC. REVIEW AND FINALIZE BHC	1.00	450.00
731669	Н	05/29/18	308	DISCLOSURES. REVIEW OF ALLEGATIONS MADE BY WENDY AGAINST BHC TO PREPARE DEPOSITION	1.00	450.00
731769	H	05/31/18	308	OUTLINE. CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: KEVIN RILEY PREPARE OUTLINE FOR WENDY'S DEPOSITION RELATIVE TO CLAIMS	0.75	337.50
732438	Н	06/04/18	308	AGAINST BHC. HEARING WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER ON DISCOVERY ISSUES; BEGIN DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.	1.00	459.00
732441	H	06/05/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.	2.00	900.00
732444	H	06/05/18		ATTEND DEPOSITION OF WENDY JAKSICK.	2.00	900.00
733213	H	06/14/18		APPEARANCE BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER AYRES: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE:	0.75	337.50
733266	H .	06/18/18	308	REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION FROM STAN TO KEVIN RILEY; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: STAN'S REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS.	0.75	337.50
733582	H	06/19/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT ROBISON RE:	1.25	562.50
733846	Н	06/25/18	308	REVIEW OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM AS IT RELATES TO BHC CORPORATION HOME CAMP LAND & LIVESTOCK AND WHITE	0.75	337.50
733850	H	06/25/18	308	PINE LUMBER. MEETING WITH TODD TO TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE TO DISCUSS	3.00	1350.00
736510	Н	07/24/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM RE: SUBPOENA OBJECTIONS; CORRESPONDENCE	0.75	337.50

04/02/20	20 F	EES FOR I	ees f	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 2
#	Тур	e Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
736798	Н	,07/26/18	308	TO TODD RE: REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE:	0.50	225.00
736806	Н	07/26/18	308	REVIEW OF SUBPOENAS FOR DUCK FLAT AND WSR TO DETERMINE IF WE HAVE	1.00	450.00
738584	H	08/10/18	308	RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN AND TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE:	2.00	900.00
738593	Н	08/15/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	3.00	1350.00
738724	H	08/15/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	3.50	1575.00
738729	Ĥ	08/17/18		TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN	1.00	450.00
739165	н	08/21/18	308	RILEY RE: REVIEW OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION TO	0.75	337.50
740080	Н	08/31/18	308	KEVIN RILEY. PREPARE DISCLOSURES FOR KEVIN RILEY AS TRUSTEE OF BHC TRUST.	1.00	450.00
741113	H	09/13/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH ALL COUNSEL RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES.	0.75	337.50
741125	H	09/14/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
741723	H	09/17/18	308	REVIEW OF PROPOSED STIPULATION RE: DISCOVERY.	0.75	337.50
741742	H	09/20/18	308	MEETING WITH TODD TO DISCUSS	0.75	337.50
741752	H	09/21/18	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM KEVIN RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE:	0.75	337.50
742159	H	09/26/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT TO REVIEW PETITION FOR RETURN OF MONEY AND DISCOVERY ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD TO TELEPHONE	0.50	225.00
742647	H	10/02/18	308	CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN. CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE:	0.25	112.50
743767	н	10/16/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM RE: DISCOVERY ISSUES AND SETTLEMENT ISSUES; CONFERENCE CALL WITH KENT AND TODD RE:	0.75	337.50
743941	Н	10/18/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT ROBISON RE: CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
744139	H	10/22/18	308	CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE:	1.25	562.50

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20

#	Туре	: Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
744247	н	10/23/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH KEVIN TO DISCUSS REVIEW OF CONSENT DOCUMENTS	1.50	675.00
				PREPARED BY KEVIN; CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT AND ADAM RE:	4	475.00
744284	H	10/24/18	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH KEVIN TO	1.50	675.00
744545	H	10/26/18	308	MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD RE:	0.78	351.00
744546	Н	10/29/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY AND MEETING WITH KEVIN TO DISCUSS	3.33	1498.50
744643	H	10/30/18	308	DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY AND TODD JAKSICK.	3.00	1350.00
744741	H	10/31/18	308	DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK.	3.00 0.75	1350.00 337.50
744876	H	11/01/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE:	0.75	00.100
746333	Н	11/16/18	308	ATTEND STATUS CONFERENCE WITH JUDGE HARDY; MEETING WITH TODD AND CAROLYN RENNER TO CORRESPONDENCE TO	1.00	450.00
,				ALL COUNSEL RE: KEVIN RILEY DEPOSITION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN TO DISCUSS		1
746336	H	11/17/18	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF PIERRE HASCHEFF.	2.80	1260.00
747967	H	12/04/18	308	REVIEW OF NOTICE OF DEPOSITION TO KEVIN RILEY; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE:	0.75	337.50
748037	Н	12/05/18	308	REVIEW OF EXHIBITS FOR TRIAL PRESENTATION.	1.25	562.50
748137	H	12/06/18	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM LITTLE BYRD ENTERPRISES RE: STATUS OF TITLE; REVIEW AND REVISE RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY MOTIONS; REVIEW OF 706 ESTATE TAX RETURN; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND, KENT; FINAL REVIEW OF RESPONSE TO EMERGENCY MOTION.	1.00	450.00
748193	H	12/07/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE: REVIEW OF STATUS REPORT FILED BY STAN JAKSICK; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW OF WENDY JAKSICK'S STATUS REPORT ON	1.00	450.00

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 308 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20								
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount		
748423	н	12/10/18	308	DISCOVERY. REVIEW OF SUBPOENAS TO SAM'S DOCTORS: CORRESPONDENCE TO TRUSTEES RE: REVIEW OF PROPOSED TRIAL PROTOCOL; CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	0.75	337.50		
748476	Н	12/11/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND MIKE RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ALL PARTIES RE: SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE; CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE, TODD AND KEVIN RE: EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD, MIKE AND KEVIN RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND	1.00	450.00		
748558 748747	н	12/12/18		CONFERENCE WITH ALL COUNSEL BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER. CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN IN RE: FELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN AND TODD TO DISCUSS BEGIN REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY DOCUMENTS FOR DISCLOSURES. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN RE:	0.75	1237.50 337.50		
748813	Н	. 12/14/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: REVIEW OF PROPOSED JOINT DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT; CALL WITH TODD AND KEVIN TO REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM PHIL KREITLEIN; CONTINUED REVIEW OF RILEY DOCUMENTS FOR DISCLOSURE; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE:	0.75	337.50		
748924	Н	12/17/18	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND TODD RE: REVIEW AND REVISE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR SSJ ISSUE TRUST AND KEVIN RILEY; REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS TO BE DISCLOSED.	1.00	450.00		
749199	Н	12/18/18	308	REVIEW OF REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND FILED BY WENDY JAKSICK; REVIEW OF WENDY JAKSICK'S INITIAL EXPERT DISCLOSURES; ARGUMENTS BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER ON DISCOVERY ISSUES.	0.75	337.50		
749248	H	12/19/18	308	REVIEW OF EXPERT DISCLOSURES FROM STAN JAKSICK; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENTON JACK	1.00	450.00		

CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL KREITLEIN

RE: JACKRABBIT CAPITAL CASE;

#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
				CORRESPONDENCE TO MIKE KIMMEL RE:		
750298	Н	01/01/19	308	MEETING AT KENT ROBISION'S OFFICE.	0.70	315.00
750301	H	01/02/19	308	MEDIATION WITH BOB ENZENBERGER AND	3.00	1350.00
750304	H	01/03/19	308	ALL PARTIES. MEDIATION WITH BOB ENZENBERGER AND ALL PARTIES.	3.00	1350.00
750307	H	01/04/19	308	DEPOSITION OF KEVIN RILEY.	3.00	1350.00
750310	H	01/05/19	308	FINAL DAY OF DEPOSITION OF KEVIN	2.00	900.00
750585	Н	01/07/19	308	RILEY. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KEVIN	1.00	450.00
730303	r1	01/0//19	500	RE:		
				REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATION AND ORDER; REVIEW OF		i
				NICK PALMER OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA;		
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:		
750700	τ.τ	01/09/19	308	REVIEW OF LIST FROM KENT ON THINGS	1.00	450.00
750780	H	01/09/19	300	TO DO AS A RESULT OF DISCOVERY	2100	,,
				CONFERENCE; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE		
				WITH TODD AND KEVIN RE: CONTINUES REVIEW OF EXHIBITS		
				FOR TRIAL PREPARATION.		,
750788	H	01/10/19	308	ATTEND MEETING WITH TODD, ADAM AND	1.00	450.00
				STAN TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN TO		
				DISCUSS TAX REPLICATION OF	•	
				SETTLEMENT.	4.00	450.00
750901	H	01/11/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND KENT RE:	1.00	450.00
				ISSUES: MEETING WITH TODD AND STAN		
				RE: CONFERENCE WITH		
ac. 0.5.)	77	01/14/10	200	KEVIN TO DISCUSS TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH BOB	1.00	450.00
751054	H	01/14/19	308	ENZENBERGER RE: SETTLEMENT; ATTEND	1.00	100,00
				DEPOSITION OF NANETTE CHILDER;		
				TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE:		
				REVIEW OF EXPERT REPORT TO PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION.		
751338	H	01/15/19	308	ATTEND AND TAKE DEPOSITION OF	1.00	450.00
,			v.	ACCOUNTING EXPERT; TELEPHONE		
				CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RILEY TO DISCUSS CONFERENCE CALL		
				WITH ADAM, PHIL, STAN, KEVIN AND		
				TODD: ATTEND DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER		
				HEARING; REVIEW OF ORDER ISSUED BY THE COURT ON MIKE KIMMEL.		•
751511	н	01/16/19	308	THE COORT ON MIKE KIMMEL. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE	0.75	337.50
101011	**	44,40,12	-30	KIMMEL RE:		

				·	**	4
#	Тур	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
751518 751887	H H	01/17/19 01/18/19		TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT TO DISCUSS ATTEND DEPOSITION OF GARY STOLBACH. REVIEW OF DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT; REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY KEVIN RILEY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: REVIEW OF	1.00 2.00	450.00 900.00
751896	H	01/21/19	308	WITH TODD. ATTEND DEPOSITION OF BOB LEGOY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE:	1.00	450.00
752523	H	01/22/19	308	ATTEND DISOVERY CONFERENCE WITH ALL PARTIES AND COMMISSIONER AYERS.	1.00	450.00
752526	H	01/23/19	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF BRUCE WALLACE.	1.00	450.00
752530	Ĥ	01/24/19		ATTEND DEPOSITION OF BRIAN MCQUAID.	1.50	675.00
752537	H	01/25/19		TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN HARDUNG RE: DEPOSITION ISSUES; CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS CONFERENCE CALL WITH ALL PARTIES AND JUDGE HARDY RE: DOCUMENTS; PREPARE	2.00	900.00
752540	H	01/26/19	308	SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES FOR KEVIN. TRIAL PREPARATION AND CONTINUED REVIEW OF TRIAL EXHIBITS.	1.00	450.00
752544	Н	01/28/19	308	ATTEND DEPOSITION OF HANDWRITING EXPERT; MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE:	1.75	787.50
752548	H	01/29/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: TRIAL ISSUES; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT AND TODD RE: ATTEND SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE WITH TODD, BOB ENZENBERGER AND STAN; CORRESPONDENCE TO MILE KIMMEL RE: ATTEND HEARING WITH DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER.	3.00	1350.00
752626	H	01/30/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT SETTLEMENT; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADAM RE: SETTLEMENT TERMS; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: CONTINUED TRIAL PREP; REVIEW OF ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE.	2.00	900.00
752994	Н	01/31/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CONFERENCE CALL	1.50	675.00

#	Type	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
·			•	WITH STAN, ADAM, PHIL AND TODD TO FINAL REVIEW OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR EXECUTION BY ALL PARTIES; CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE:		
		,		REVIEW AND REVISE INFORMED CONSENT WAIVER		
753000	Н	02/01/19	308	DOCUMENT. ATTEND DEPOSITION OF TODD JAKSICK AND NICOLAS PALMER; MEETING WITH	1.00	450.00
· 753003	Н	02/02/19	308	KENT AND TODD. TRIAL PREPARATION- PREPARE OPENING STATEMENT; BEGIN PREPARATION FOR TRIAL STATEMENT; REVIEW OF MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL; PREPARE JURY	2.00	900.00
753006	н	02/03/19	308	QUESTIONS. TRIAL PREPARATION- PREPARE OPENING STATEMENT; BEGIN PREPARATION FOR TRIAL STATEMENT; REVIEW OF MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL; PREPARE JURY OUESTIONS.	0.75	337.50
753336	Н	02/04/19	308	ATTEND PRETRIAL WITH JUDGE HARDY AND ALL COUNSEL; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	2.00	900.00
753339	H	02/05/19	308	ATTEND PRETRIAL CONFERENCE AND SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH BOB ENZENBERGER.	2.50	1125.00
753449	Н	02/06/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL KREITLEIN RE: CONTINUED TRIAL	0.75	337.50
753481	Н	02/07/19	308	PREPARATION. TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM RE: REVIEW OF KEVIN RILEY'S ORDER ON ACCOUNTING; CONFERENCE WITH ADAM TO DISCUSS	1.50	675.00
753600	н	02/08/19	308	CONFERENCE WITH KENT. CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD AND KENT RE: HEARING BEFORE DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER; REVIEW OF SUBTRUST ACCOUNTING AND CORRESPONDENCE FROM	1.00	450.00
753625	Н	02/09/19	308	KEVIN. TRIAL PREPARATION.	0.75	337.50

				V 11 V 51 A V		
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
753628 753693	H´ H	02/10/19 02/11/19		TRIAL PREPARATION. REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM KENT RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PHIL RE: PREPARE SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE FOR	0.50 2.00	225.00 900.00
753860	Н	02/12/19	308	DISCLOSURE OF WENDY'S SUBTRUST FINANCIALS; CORRESPONDENCE TO TODD, KEVIN, AND MIKE RE: CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION. CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT TO	2.00	900.00
				CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN TO REVIEW		
754250	H	02/13/19	308	PRETRIAL CONFERENCE WITH ALL PARTIES AND CONTINUED ARGUMENTS OVER MOTION IN LIMINE; CONTINUED	1.00	450.00
				TRIAL PREPARATION.	1.00	450.00
754256	H	02/15/19	308	PREPARE OPENING ARGUMENT; OPENING ARGUMENTS BEFORE JUDGE.	1.00	450.00
754260	Н	02/16/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD; CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	1.00	450.00
754263	H	02/18/19	308	CONFERENCE CALL WITH TODD AND KENT TO REVIEW	1.00	450.00
				OF EMAIL FROM ADAM RE:		
				CONTINUED TRIAL PREPARATION.	0.00	000.00
754673	H	02/19/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	2.00	900.00
754677	\mathbf{H}	02/20/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	2.00	900,00
754685	H	02/21/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL. MEETING WITH TODD, KENT AND PIERRE.	4.00	1800,00
## (Z D D	**	00/00/10	200	ATTEND TRIAL.	3.00	1350.00
754689	Ħ	02/22/19		TRIAL PREPARATION.	2.00	900.00
755246	H	02/23/19 02/24/19		PREPARE DIRECT EXAM FOR KEVIN	1.00	450.00
754698	п	02/24/19	200	RILEY; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH KEVIN RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND PIERRE.		
755249	H	02/24/19	308	TRIAL PREPARATION. MEETING WITH PIERRE HASCHEFF.	1.00	450.00
755255	H	02/25/19	308	ATTEND TRIAL.	4.50	2025.00
755258	Ĥ	02/26/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
755250 755261	Ĥ	02/27/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
755264	Ĥ	02/28/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	4.00	1800.00
755268	Ĥ	03/01/19		ATTEND TRIAL.	2.50	1125.00
755630	H	03/02/19		PREPARE FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT.	1.00	450.00
755633	Ĥ	03/03/19		PREPARE FOR CLOSING ARGUMENT.	1.00	450.00
755636	Ħ	03/04/19		ATTEND TRIAL; RETURN OF JURY VERDICT.	5.00	2250.00
755975	H	03/07/19	308	REVIEW AND REVISE MEMORANDUM OF COSTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO KENT RE:	0.25	112.50

04/02/202	20 FI	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 308 FOR 17454,010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 10
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
756840 -	H	03/18/19	308	REVIEW OF EMAIL FROM KEVIN RILEY RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH MIKE	1.00	450.00
				KIMMEL RE: LETTER TO TODD RE: MEETING WITH KENT AND TODD TO DISCUSS		
756987	H	03/19/19	308	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TODD RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO KEVIN RILEY RE: CORRESPONDENCE TO PHIL AND ADAM RE:	0.50 EE	225.00
			,	DICTATE RESPONSE TO ZACH JOHNSON LETTER REQUESTING TODD RESIGN AS TRUSTEE; CONFERENCE CALL WITH TRUSTEES RE:		
757377	H	03/21/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM ON CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM AND PHIL TRANSMITTING CORRESPONDENCE TO ADAM		225.00
757548	Н	03/25/19	308	RE: CONFERENCE RE: REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES; REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FROM ADAM RE:	0.25	112.50
758514	Н	04/04/19	308	REVIEW OF CORRESPONDENCE FORM KEVIN RE: TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH NICK PALMER RE: BHC TRUST ISSUES AND	0.75	337.50
GRAND	тот	AL		IMPACT OF JURY DECISION.	178.11	80149.50

H - Billable Time

Fees for Carolyn K. Renner, Esq.

Fees for Carolyn K. Renner, Esq.

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevada 89520

04/02/202	:0 F	EES FOR I	EES F	OR STAFF 324 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20	Ö	Page 1
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
739977	Н	08/18/18	324	REVIEW DISCLOSURES TO BE MADE ON BEHALF OF KEVIN RILEY.	0.50	162.50
739984	Н	08/28/18	324	BEGIN WORKING ON RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PROPOUNDED	4,50 D	1462.50
739986	Н	08/29/18	324	BY WENDY JAKSICK TO KEVIN RILEY. FINISH WORKING ON RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION PROPOUNDED	7.00 D	2275.00
739994	н	08/31/18	324	BY WENDY JAKSICK TO KEVIN RILEY. REVIEW RESPONSES TO WENDY'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO KEVIN RILEY.	0.50	162.50
757446	Н	03/19/19	324	REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS, TRANSCRIPTS, ETC. IN PREPARATION TO DRAFT MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS; BEGIN DRAFTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS ON BEHALF OF KEVIN RILEY INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS VARIOUS CAPACITIES AS TRUSTEE.	5.00	1625.00
757453	н	03/21/19	324	REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS FOR RILEY.	2.50	812.50
757458	H	03/22/19	324	DRAFT AFFIDAVIT OF DONALD A. LATTIN IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO FEES FOR	1.00	325.00
757462	H	03/23/19	324	RILEY. REVIEW AND REVISE MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS AND AFFIDAVIT OF D. LATTIN IN SUPPORT OF FEES AND COSTS FOR KEVIN RILEY.	1.00	325.00
GRAND	TOT	A L			22.00	7150.00

H - Billable Time

Fees for Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq.

Fees for Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq.



04/02/202	20 FI	ees for i	FEES FO	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 1
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
746886	Ή	11/19/18	332	CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	0.50	100.00
746893	Н	11/20/18	332	CONTINUE LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	0.50	100.00
746898	Н	11/21/18	332	REVIEW RELEVANT DOCUMENTS PERTAINING TO NOTICE; DRAFT MEMORANDUM PERTAINING TO REVIEW WENDY'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES AND PETITION TO REDRESS BREACH & EMERGENCY MOTION TO REMOVE TODD IN PREPARATION OF DRAFTING OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO JOIN; LEGAL RESEARCH PERTAINING TO BEGIN DRAFTING	2.75	550.00
747500	H	11/26/18	332	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES. EDIT MEMORANDUM PERTAINING TO REVIEW EMAILS FROM CO-COUNSEL REGARDING MEET WITH DON LATTIN TO DISCUSS RESEARCH BASIS FOR DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND; DRAFT AND EDIT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES: RESEARCH	3.00 ,.	600.00
747504	Н	11/27/18	332	REVIEW CASE DOCUMENTS RELEVANT TO LATE MOTION TO AMEND. FINISH DRAFTING AND EDIT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO JOIN INDISPENSABLE PARTIES; RESEARCH	1.00	200.00
747514	H	11/29/18	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING AND EDIT RESEARCH MEMORANDUM CONCERNING	0.50	100.00

04/02/20	20 Fl	EES FOR I	FEES F	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 2
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
749920	Н	12/26/18	332	REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S INITIAL	1.75	350.00
	,			DISCLOSURES OF EXPERT WITNESSES: LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO BEGIN DRAFTING MOTION.		
749923	Н	12/27/18	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING AND EDIT MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT OR STRIKE EXPERT WALLACE.	1.75	350.00
750355	H	01/03/19	332	CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AS TO	0.75	150.00
750363	Н	01/04/19	332	CONTINUE LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	1.00	200.00
751079	H	01/07/19	332	OFFICER CONFERENCE AND EMAIL COMMUNICATION WITH DON LATTIN AND CAROLYN RENNER REGARDING BEGIN DRAFTING DEMAND LETTER	1.00	200.00
751082	H	01/08/19	332	REGARDING SAME. LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO FINALIZE AND EDIT LETTER TO OPPOSING COUNSEL REGARDING IMPROPER NRCP 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION NOTICES; LEGAL RESEARCH AND DRAFTING OF REVIEW EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE MARK CONNOT AND KENT ROBISON REGARDING 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION NOTICES; UPDATE	2.50	500,00
751086	H	01/09/19	332	OBJECTION LETTER IN ACCORDANCE. CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH AND DRAFT A MEMORANDUM RELATED TO	1.25	250.00
751093	H	01/10/19	332	REVIEW FIDUCIARY DUTY MEMORANDUM B THERESE SHANKS: CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER	Y 1.25	250.00
751934	Н	01/14/19	332	REGARDING CONTINUED	1.00	200.00

04/02/20	&∪ FI	, MOTOLICIE	ין פינונגי	OR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20		Page 3
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
751937	Н	01/15/19	332	RESEARCH FOR USE OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN	0.25	50.0
7 525 65	H	01/22/19	332	RENNER CONCERNING REVIEW 222 PAGES OF DISPOSITION TRANSCRIPT OF FRANK CAMPAGNA FOR USE IN	1.50	300.0
,				OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN REGARDING RESEARCH RELATED TO BEGIN DRAFTING MOTION AS		
752569	H	01/23/19	332	RELATED TO LIMITING TESTIMONY TO MATTERS WITHIN SCOPE OF KNOWLEDGE. REVIEW FOR USE IN	2.00	400.0
				LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO CONTINUE DRAFTING MOTION IN LIMINE AS TO LEGAL CONCLUSIONS; DRAFT FACTUAL BACKGROUND, CONCLUSION, AND PRELIMINARY PARTS OF MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE OR		
752577	Н	01/24/19	332	LIMIT CAMPAGNA. EDIT MOTION IN LIMINE TO STRIKE OR EXCLUDE FRANK CAMPAGNA; COMPILE EXHIBITS FOR SAME.	0.75	150.
753743	H	02/07/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER AS TO LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING	0.90	180.
753747	Н	02/08/19	332	DRAFT RESEARCH MEMORANDUM RELATED TO	0.25	50.
754772	Н	02/11/19	332	OFFICE CONFERENCE WITH DON LATTIN AS TO GATHER RELEVANT CASELAW FOR	0.25	50.
754806	н	02/19/19	332	WITH DON	0.25	50.
754814	Н	02/20/19		LATTIN AND CAROLYN RENNER. ATTEND TRIAL AND TAKE NOTES FOR	1.50	300.
754819	H	02/21/19	332	DEBRIEFING OF PIERRE HASSCHEFF. ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN TRIAL; TAKE NOTES RELATED DEBRIEFING AT KENT ROBINSON'S OFFICE WITH PIERRE.	3.50	700.

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO Page 4 04/01/20						
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
754825	H	02/22/19	332	ATTEND AND PARTICIPATE IN TRIAL (PIERRE DIRECT AND CROSS): TAKE	1.50	300.00
755595	H	02/25/19	332	NOTES RELATED TO ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS/OTHER TRUSTEE TESTIMONY; REVIEW WENDY JAKSICK'S PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS AND IN PREPARATION OF	2.25	450.00
755599	H	02/26/19	332	PARTICIPATE IN COURT MANDATED CONFERENCE AS TO PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS; ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS/OTHER TRUSTEE TESTIMONY; EDIT MASTER JURY INSTRUCTIONS CONSISTENT WITH COURT ORDERED MEETING WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL.	3.00	600.00
755603	Н	02/27/19	332	GENERATE DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS; ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL FOR CLOSING ARGUMENTS AND OTHER WITNESS USE; CREATE NEW JURY INSTRUCTION BOOKLET MINUS INSTRUCTIONS AGREED UPON TO DISCARD FOR MEETING TOMORROW WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL.	2.50	500.00
755608	H	02/28/19	332	PREPARE FOR AND MEET WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL AS TO JURY INSTRUCTIONS; CREATE NEW LIST FOR ARGUMENT AND RESEARCH INSTRUCTIONS AND LAW THAT COULD NOT BE AGREED UPON; WITNESS PREPARATION WITH BOB LEGOY ATTEND AND DENOTE TRIAL; CONFERENCE WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL TO DISCUSS MEETING TO DETERMINE JURY INSTRUCTION ARGUMENTS.	2.50	500.00
755615	H	03/01/19	332	DRAFT JOINDER TO TODD B. JAKSICK'S MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT: DAMAGES; MEETING WITH OPPOSING COUNSEL AND THERESE SHANKS, ESQ. TO DISCUSS JURY INSTRUCTIONS; REVIEW MASTER LIST OF UPDATED JURY	1.25	250.00
755619	Н	03/03/19	332	INSTRUCTIONS. DRAFT JURY INSTRUCTIONS BRIEF AS INSTRUCTIONS RELATE TO CONDUCT LEGAL RESEARCH RELATED TO	1.50	300.00
755626	Н	03/04/19	332	FINISH DRAFTING AND EDIT JURY INSTRUCTIONS BRIEF; ATTEND TRIAL; RETURN OF JURY VERDICT	5.75	1150.00
.756386	H	03/05/19	332	CONFERENCE WITH CAROLYN RENNER,	1.00	200.00

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 332 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20					Page 5	
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
,				ESQ. AND DON LATTIN, ESQ. RELATED TO BEGIN GATHERING INFORMATION RELATED TO		
756391	H	03/06/19	332	COMPILE INFORMATION RELATED TO CONTINUE DRAFTING MEMORANDUM OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS.	1.50 [300.00
756399	Н	03/07/19	332	CONTINUE DRAFTING MEMORANDUM OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS; COMPARE TO KENT ROBINSON VERSION TO AVOID DUPLICATION OF COSTS.	1.25	250.00
756404	H	03/08/19	332	COMPARISON OF OUR VERIFIED MEMORANDUM OF COSTS WITH THAT OF KENT ROBISON'S TO AVOID DUPLICATION; EDIT AND GATHER EXHIBITS FOR SAME.	1.00	200.00

H - Billable Time

GRAND TOTAL

11330.00

56.65

Fees for Rick R. Hsu, Esq.

Fees for Rick R. Hsu, Esq.

04/02/2020 FEES FOR FEES FOR STAFF 318 FOR 17454.010 FROM 05/01/18 TO 04/01/20					Page 1	
#	Туре	Date	Atty	Description	Hours	Amount
731179	H	05/09/18	318	CONFERENCE WITH ATTORNEY LATTIN DISCUSSING	0.25	87.50
GRAND TOTAL					0.25	87.50

H - Billable Time

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-09 03:09:53 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7828966

EXHIBIT 5

EXHIBIT 5

AUPINICOXI LEGOY

FILED
Electronically
PR17-00445
2020-04-02 01:52:16 PM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 7819874

CODE: 1952 1 DONALD A. LATTIN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 693 2 CAROLYN K. RENNER, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 9164 KRISTEN D. MATTEONI 4 Nevada Bar No. 14581 MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY 5 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, Nevada 89519 6 Telephone: (775) 827-2000 7 Facsimile: (775) 827-2185 Attorneys for Petitioners 8 9

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

In the Matter of the:

SSJ's ISSUE TRUST.

Consolidated

In the Matter of the Administration of

THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST.

PETITIONERS' VERIFIED MEMORANDUM OF COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

TODD B. JAKSICK, as sole Trustee of the SSJ Issue Trust and as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust (hereafter the "Family Trust"), MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, individually and as Co-Trustee of the Family Trust, and KEVIN RILEY, individually, as former Trustee of the Family Trust, and Trustee of the Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust (hereafter "Petitioners"), by and through their undersigned counsel, pursuant to NRS 18.005, et seq., hereby submit their Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements incurred in this action. A firm expense report and back-up documentation is attached hereto as Exhibits 1-6.



10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Summary of Costs and Disbursements 1 2 3 Petitioners' Expert Witness Fees \$33,938.47 4 5 б 8 TOTAL \$104,279.93 9 10 NRS 239B.030 Affirmation 11 Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned hereby affirms that this document does 12 not contain the Social Security Number of any person. 13 Dated this 2020. 14 15 MAUPIN, COX & LEGOY 16 17 18 Donald A. Lattin, N\$B # 693 Carolyn K. Renner, Esq., NSB #9164 19 Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq., NSB #14581 4785 Caughlin Parkway 20 Reno, NV 89519 21 Attorneys for Petitioners 22 23 24 25

26

P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Nevada 89520

¹Todd B. Jaksick, individually, by and through his counsel Robison, Sharp, Sullivan and Brust, claims a 1/8th interest in all expert witness costs recovered, as well as the expert deposition costs of Mr. Bruce Wallace. For the sake of efficiency, Maupin, Cox & LeGoy paid these invoices in full and thus notes the entire balance in its costs.

<u>AFFIDAVIT OF DONALD A. LATTIN</u>

1		
2	STATE OF N	IEVADA
3	COUNTY O	F WASH
4	DON	ALD A. I
5	1.	That aff
6		
. 7	before the Co	ourt, that
8	counsel for Pl	ETITONI
9	Verified Men	norandum
10	2.	That at
11	expended in t	his matte
12	3.	That the
13		
14	Billed Costs	Report of
15	knowledge ar	nd belief
16	4.	Affiant
17	necessarily in	ncurred ar
18	FURT	THER YO
19		
20		
21		

F NEVADA) ss:
OF WASHOE)

DONALD A. LATTIN, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. That affiant is an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and before the Court, that affiant is a shareholder with the law firm of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy, and counsel for PETITONERS in this action. That affiant makes this Affidavit in support of Petitioners Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements.
- 2. That affiant has personal knowledge of the above costs and disbursements expended in this matter by Maupin, Cox & LeGoy.
- 3. That the items contained in the above memorandum, which are supported by the Billed Costs Report of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy, are true and correct to the best of this affiant's knowledge and belief of the costs incurred in this litigation; and
- 4. Affiant believes that the costs and disbursements are reasonable and have been necessarily incurred and paid in this action.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this <u>A</u> day of <u>April</u>, 2020.

Notary Public in and for said County and State

K. ALLEN

Notary Public - State of Nevada
Appointment Recorded in Washise County
No: 18-1270-2 - Expine December 18, 2023

AUPINI COX LEGOY ATTORNEYS AT LAW P.O. Box 30000 Reno, Navada 89520

22

23

24

25

1.6

AUPIN COX LEGOY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno. Nevada 89520

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY, Attorneys at Law, and in such capacity and on the date indicated below I served the foregoing document(s) as follows: Via E-Flex Electronic filing System:

Philip L. Kreitlein, Esq.
Stephen C. Moss, Esq.
Kreitlein Leeder Moss, Ltd.
1575 Delucchi Lane, Suite 101
Reno, Nevada 89502
philip@kreitleinlaw.com
Attorneys for Stan Jaksick as Co-Trustee of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust

Mark Connot, Esq.
Fox Rothschild LLP
1980 Festival Plaza Drive, #700
Las Vegas, NV 89135
MConnot@foxrothschild.com

And

R. Kevin Spencer, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)
Zachary E. Johnson, Esq. (Pro Hac Vice)
Spencer & Johnson PLLC
500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150
Dallas, TX 75201
kevin@dallasprobate.com
zach@dallasprobate.com

Attorneys for Wendy A. Jaksick

Kent R. Robison, Esq.
Therese M. Shanks, Esq.
Robison, Sharpe, Sullivan & Brust
71 Washington Street
Reno, Nevada 89503
krobison@rssblaw.com
tshanks@rssblaw.com
Attorneys for Todd B. Jaksick, Individually,
and as beneficiary, SSJ's Issue Trust and
Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr., Family Trust

Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq.
Sarah A. Ferguson, Esq.
McDonald Carano Wilson LLP
100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor
Reno, NV 89501
ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com
sferguson@mcdonaldcarano.com
Attorneys for Stan Jaksick, individually, and as beneficiary of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr.
Family Trust and SSJ's Issue Trust

Via placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope with sufficient postage affixed thereto, in the United States mail at Reno Nevada, addressed to:

Alexi Smrt

3713 Wrexham
St Frisco, TX 75034

Luke Jaksick
Northern Arizona University
324 E. Pine Knoll Drive #12319
Flagstaff, AZ 86011

Regan Jaksick		
Sydney Jaksick		
Sawyer Jaksick	Benjamin Jaksick	
c/o Lisa Jaksick	Amanda Jaksick	
5235 Bellazza Ct.	c/o Dawn E. Jaksick	•
Reno, Nevada 89519	6220 Rouge Drive	
	Reno, Nevada 89511	

Dated this 2 day of April , 2020.

H. Helen

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	PAGES
1.	Court Filing Fees	4
2.	Reporter Fees For Depositions/Transcripts	27
3.	Petitioners' Expert Witness Fees	8
4.	Official Court Reporter Fees (hearing transcript)	35
5.	Legal Research Fees	18
6.	Other	10

AUPINICOX LEGOY
ATYORNEYS AT LAW
P.O. Box 30000
Reno, Nevnda 89520

Jayne Ferretto

From:

eflex@washoecourts.us

Sent:

Thursday, April 09, 2020 3:14 PM

To: Cc: Kent Robison Jayne Ferretto

Subject:

NÉF: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST: Mtn for Attorney's Fee: PR17-00445

****** IMPORTANT NOTICE - READ THIS INFORMATION *****

PROOF OF SERVICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

A filing has been submitted to the court RE: PR17-00445

Judge:

HONORABLE DAVID A. HARDY

Official File Stamp:

04-09-2020:15:09:53

Clerk Accepted:

04-09-2020:15:10:57

Court:

Second Judicial District Court - State of Nevada

Civil

Case Title:

CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

Document(s) Submitted:

Mtn for Attorney's Fee
- **Continuation
- **Continuation
- **Continuation
- **Continuation

- **Continuation

Filed By:

Donald A Lattin

You may review this filing by clicking on the following link to take you to your cases.

This notice was automatically generated by the courts auto-notification system.

If service is not required for this document (e.g., Minutes), please disregard the below language.

The following people were served electronically:

STEPHEN C. MOSS, ESQ. for STANLEY JAKSICK, SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY

TRUST

CAROLYN K. RENNER, ESQ. for KEVIN RILEY, TODD B. JAKSICK, MICHAEL S. KIMMEL DONALD ALBERT LATTIN, ESQ. for KEVIN RILEY, TODD B. JAKSICK, MICHAEL S.

KIMMEL

PHILIP L. KREITLEIN, ESQ. for STANLEY JAKSICK, SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY

TRUST

KENT RICHARD ROBISON, ESQ. for DUCK LAKE RANCH LLC, SAMMY SUPERCUB, LLC,

SERIES A, TODD B. JAKSICK, INCLINE TSS, LTD.

SARAH FERGUSON, ESQ. for STANLEY JAKSICK, SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST, SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST
MARK J. CONNOT, ESQ. for WENDY A. JAKSICK
THERESE M. SHANKS, ESQ. for DUCK LAKE RANCH LLC, SAMMY SUPERCUB, LLC, SERIES A, TODD B. JAKSICK, INCLINE TSS, LTD.

The following people have not been served electronically and must be served by traditional means (see Nevada Electronic Filing Rules.):

R. KEVIN SPENCER, ESQ. for WENDY A. JAKSICK ZACHARY JOHNSON, ESQ. for WENDY A. JAKSICK

ADAM HOSMER-HENNER, ESQ. for STANLEY JAKSICK