IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST,

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST.

TODD B. JAKSICK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS COTRUSTEE OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST, AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST; MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-TRUSTEE OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST; KEVIN RILEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS A FORMER TRUSTEE OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST, AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE WENDY A. JAKSICK 2012 BHC FAMILY TRUST; AND STANLEY JAKSICK, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CO-TRUSTEE OF THE SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR. FAMILY TRUST,

Appellants/Cross-Respondents,

VS.

WENDY JAKSICK,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

Electronically Filed Jun 14 2021 04:57 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No.: 81470

Appeal from the Second Judicial District Court, the Honorable David Hardy Presiding

RESPONDENT/CROSS-APPELLANT WENDY JAKSICK'S APPENDIX, VOLUME 16

Marquis Aurbach Coffing

Chad F. Clement, Esq. (SBN 12192) Kathleen A. Wilde, Esq. (SBN 12522) 10001 Park Run Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 cclement@maclaw.com

kwilde@maclaw.com

Spencer & Johnson, PLLC

R. Kevin Spencer (pro hac vice) Zachary E. Johnson (pro hac vice) 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150 Telephone: (214) 965-9999 Facsimile: (214) 965-9500 kevin@dallasprobate.com zach@dallasprobate.com

Attorneys for Respondent/Cross-Appellant, Wendy Jaksick

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
		NO.	
Indemnification and Contribution	1 /1 /2000		*****
Agreement – Trial Exhibit 11	1/1/2008	1	WJ 0001 - 0010
Email - Kevin Riley to Todd Jaksick -			
Tahoe/Incline TSS -Trial Exhibit 441	10/28/2014	1	WJ 0011 - 0013
Agreement and Consent to Proposed			
Action – Stanley Jaksick Buy in to Lake			
Tahoe Property - Trial Exhibit 23	11/13/2015	1	WJ 0014 - 0018
Objection to Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration Matters			
Case No. PR17-00446	11/13/2015	1	WJ 0019 - 0021
Objection to Approval of Accountings			
and Other Trust Administration Matters			
Case No. PR17-00445	10/10/2017	1	WJ 0022 - 0024
Minutes of Court Appearances - Hearing	1/8/2018	1	WJ 0025 - 0026
Minutes from Scheduling Conference	3/12/2018	1	WJ 0026 - 0029
Amended Objection and Counter-Petition			
Re: Family Trust	3/23/2018	1	WJ 0030 - 0048
Petitioners' Status Report	6/1/2018	1	WJ 0049 - 0057
Todd B. Jaksick's, As an Individual,			
Offer of Judgement to Wendy Jaksick	8/29/2018	1	WJ 0058 - 0062
Motion for Summary Judgement -			
Michael Kimmel	10/23/2018	1	WJ 0090 - 0107
Order After Hearing	11/26/2018	1	WJ 0108 - 0110
Notice of Errata Regarding Wendy A.			
Jaksick's first Amended Counter-Petition			
to Surcharge Trustees for Breach of			
Fiduciary Duties, for Removal of			
Trustees and Appointment of Independent			
Trustee(s), and for Declaratory			
Judgement and other Relief	12/26/2018	1	WJ 0111 - 0115

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
		NO.	
L. Robert Legoy, Jr. and Maupin, Cox &			
Legoy Custodian's Fourth Supplement to			
Their Objections and Responses to			
Subpoena Duces Tecum	12/26/2018	1	WJ 0115 - 0118
Order Granting in Part and Denying in			
Part Motion for Summary Judgment	1/15/2019	1	WJ 0119 - 0131
L. Robert Legoy, Jr. and Maupin, Cox &			
Legoy Custodian's Fifth Supplement to			
Their Objections and Responses to			
Subpoena Duces Tecum- Priv Log	1/29/2019	1	WJ 0132 - 0138
Settlement Agreement and Release –			
Exhibit 584	1/31/2019	1	WJ 0194 - 0201
Wendy Jaksick's Emergency Motion to			
Extend Discovery Deadlines and Trial for			
Cause and Alternatively Motion to			
Continue Trial Pursuant to NRS 16.010	2/1/2019	2	WJ 0202 - 0281
Notice of Withdrawal of And Objections			
& Counter-Petitions Re: Family Trust and			
Issue Trust	2/1/2019	2	WJ 0282 - 0284
Todd B. Jaksick's Notice of Withdrawal			
of Petition for Reconveyance of Trust			
Assets - Todd	2/1/2019	2	WJ 0285 - 0288
Transcript of Proceedings - Motion to			
Continue Jury Trial	2/4/2019	2	WJ 0289 - 0393
Minutes from Hearing	2/5/2019	2	WJ 0394 - 0418
Minutes from Hearing-Appearances-			
Hearing Settlement Conference/Oral			
Arguments	2/4/2019	2	WJ 0419 - 0420
MCL Production - 1987-3510		3-9	WJ 0421 - 2031

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
L. Robert Legoy, Jr. and Maupin, Cox &			
Legoy Custodian's Sixth Supplement to			
Their Objections and Responses to			
Subpoena Duces Tecum	2/8/2019	9	WJ 2032 - 2094
L. Robert Legoy, Jr. and Maupin, Cox &			
Legoy Custodian's Seventh Supplement			
to Their Objections and Responses to			
Subpoena Duces Tecum	2/8/2019	9	WJ 2095 - 2102
Supp Declaration of Mark J. Connot in			
Support of Wendy Jaksick's Emergency			
Motion to Extend Discovery Deadlines			
and Trial for Cause and Alternatively			
Motion to Continue Trial Pursuant to			
NRS 16.010	2/8/2019	9	WJ 2103 - 2128
Hearing Transcript- In the Second			
Judicial District Court of the State of			
Nevada in and for the County of Washoe	2/13/2019	10	WJ 2129 - 2239
Minutes from Oral Argument	2/13/2019	10	WJ 2240 - 2246
Appearance-Hearing Settlement			
Conference/ Oral Arguments	2/19/2021	10	WJ 2247 - 2248
Trial Transcript	2/20/2019	10-11	WJ 2249 - 2440
Trial Transcript	2/22/2019	11-12	WJ 2441 - 2645
Trial Transcript	2/25/2019	12-13	WJ 2646 - 2999
Trial Transcript	2/26/2019	13-14	WJ 3000 - 3247
Trial Transcript	2/27/2019	14-15	WJ 3248 - 3572
Trial Transcript	3/1/2019	16	WJ 3573 - 3713
Exhibit List – Jury Trial	3/1/2019	16	WJ 3714 - 3786
Trial Transcript – AM	3/4/2019	17	WJ 3787 - 3873
Trial Transcript – PM	3/4/2019	17-18	WJ 3874 - 4058
Trial Minutes	3/12/2019	18	WJ 4059 - 4161

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
Todd D Jolaick Individually Incline		NO.	
Todd B Jaksick, Individually, Incline			
TSS, LTD., and Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC's Memo of Costs and			
Disbursements Incurred in Case No.	2/11/2010	10	WW 41 60 41 70
PR 17-00445	3/11/2019	18	WJ 4162 - 4178
Todd B Jaksick, Individually, incline			
TSS, LTD., and Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC's Memo of Costs and			
Disbursements Incurred in Case No.			
PR 17-00445	3/11/2019	18	WJ 4179 - 4188
Todd B Jaksick, Individually, incline			
TSS, LTD., and Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC's Memo of Costs and			
Disbursements Incurred in Case No.			
PR 17-00445	3/21/2019	18	WJ 4189 - 4196
Todd B Jaksick, Individually, Incline			
TSS, LTD., and Duck Lake Ranch,			
LLC's Notice of Withdrawal of Memos			
of Costs and Disbursements and			
Supplement	3/25/2019	18	WJ 4197 - 4200
Petitioner Wendy A. Jaksick's Opposition			
to Motion for Attorney's Fees	3/25/2019	18	WJ 4201 - 4216
Order Addressing Evidence at Equitable			
Trial	5/20/2019	19	WJ 4217 - 4288
Order Denying Wendy Jaksick's Costs	4/21/2020	19	WJ 4289 - 4290
Motion to Partially Enforce Settlement			
Agreement	8/13/2020	19	WJ 4291 - 4315
Order to Settlement	9/22/2020	19	WJ 4316 - 4324
Hearing Transcript	11/11/2020	19	WJ 4325 - 4414
Stipulation and Scheduling Order	2/1/2018	19	WJ 4415 - 4420

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGE NO.
		NO.	
Email- Stan Jaksick to Bob Legoy – Trial			
Exhibit 38	5/18/2018	19	WJ 4421 - 4426
Wendy Jaksick's Emergency Motion to			
Extend Discovery, Expert Designation			
Deadlines and Trial	9/21/2018	20-21	WJ 4427 - 4763
Trial Transcript	2/19/2019	21-22	WJ 4764 - 5015
Trial Transcript	2/21/2019	22-23	WJ 5016 - 5283
Trial Transcript	2/28/2019	23-24	WJ 5284 – 5673

Dated this 14th day of June, 2021.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

By /s/ Chad F. Clement

Chad F. Clement, Esq. (SBN 12192) Kathleen A. Wilde, Esq. (SBN 12522) 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

SPENCER & JOHNSON, PLLC

R. Kevin Spencer (pro hac vice)
Zachary E. Johnson (pro hac vice)
500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150
Dallas, Texas 75201
Attorneys for Respondent/Cross-Appellant, Wendy Jaksick

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **RESPONDENT/CROSS-APPELLANT WENDY JAKSICK'S APPENDIX, VOLUME 16** was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the <u>14th</u> day of June, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Kent Robison, Esq.
Therese M. Shanks, Esq.
Robison, Sharp, Sullivan & Brust
71 Washington Street
Reno, NV 89503

Phil Kreitlein, Esq. Kreitlein Law Group 1575 Delucchi Lane, Ste. 101 Reno, NV 89502 Donald A. Lattin, Esq. Carolyn K. Renner, Esq. Kristen D. Matteoni, Esq. Maupin, Cox & LeGoy 4785 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519

Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. McDonald Carano 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Fl. P.O. Box 2670 Reno, NV 89505

/s/ Leah Dell

Leah Dell, an employee of Marquis Aurbach Coffing

1	Code No. 4185				
2	SUNSHINE LITIGATION SERVICES 151 Country Estates Circle				
3	Reno, Nevada 89511				
4					
5	SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE				
7	HONORABLE DAVID A. HARDY, DISTRICT JUDGE				
8					
9	In the Matter of the Case No. PR17-00445 Administration of the:				
10	SSJ's ISSUE TRUST. Department No. 15				
11	/				
12	In the Matter of the Case No. PR17-00446				
13	Administration of the:				
14	SAMUEL S. JAKSICK, JR., FAMILY TRUST. Department No. 15				
15	AND OTHER RELATED MATTERS.				
16	THE CHIEF RESILES THATTERS.				
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
18	CIVIL JURY TRIAL				
19	MARCH 1, 2019				
20	DAY 11				
21	Reno, Nevada				
22					
23	REPORTED BY: DEBORA L. CECERE, NV CCR #324, RPR				
24	JOB # 530649				

1	
2	
3	APPEARANCES
4	
5	FOR TODD JAKSICK, MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, AND KEVIN RILEY, Individually
6	ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST BY: KENT ROBISON, ESQ.
7	- AND - BY: THERESE M. SHANKS, ESQ,
8	71 Washington Street Reno, NV 89503
9	329-3151 krobison@rssblaw.com
10	KIODISONGISSDIAW.COM
11	FOR TODD B. JAKSICK, MICHAEL S. KIMMEL, and KEVIN RILEY AS
12	TRUSTEES AND/OR CO-TRUSTEES
13	MAUPIN COX & LEGOY BY: DONALD A. LATTIN, ESQ.
14	4785 Caughlin Parkway
15	Reno, NV 89519 827-2000
16	dlattin@mcllawfirm.com
17	FOR STANLEY S. JAKSICK
18	MCDONALD CARANO BY: ADAM HOSMER-HENNER
19	100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501
20	788-2000 ahosmerhenner@mcdonaldcarano.com
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	
2	
3	APPEARANCES
4	(CONTINUED)
5	FOR WENDY A. JAKSICK
6	SPENCER & JOHNSON, PLLC BY: R. KEVIN SPENCER, ESQ.
7	- AND - BY: ZACHARY JOHNSON, ESQ. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 2150 Dallas, TX 75201
9	214-965-9999 kevin@dallasprobate.com zach@dallasprobate.com
11	
12	FOR WENDY A. JAKSICK
13	FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP BY: MARK J. CONNOT, ESQ. 1980 Festival Plaza Drive, #700
14	Las Vegas, NV 89135 702 262-6899
15	mconnot@foxrothschild.com
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
∠4	

1			
2			
3	INDEX		
4			
5	WITNESSES	PAGE	
6	TODD JAKSICK		
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBISON	19	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPENCER	34	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBISON	90	
10	ROBERT LEGOY		
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBISON	91	
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SPENCER	107	
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBISON	133	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1				
1				
2				
3		EXHIBITS		
4				
5	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	ADMITTED
6	Exhibit 13B	6/6/13 Texts between Wendy and Todd		31
7	Exhibit 554	Crummey Letters	70	71
9	Exhibit 523	Correspondence Dated August 2018	3,	126
10	Exhibit 524	August 13, 2018 Email		130
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	MARCH 1, 2019, FRIDAY, 8:15 A.M., RENO, NEVADA
2	-000-
3	
4	(The following proceedings were had outside
5	the presence of the jury.)
6	THE COURT: Good morning. Be seated, please.
7	Continuing with arguments.
8	MR. ROBISON: Am I up?
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10	MR. ROBISON: Thank you, sir. I'm here
11	defending Todd Jaksick as an individual. And I listened
12	carefully to the arguments made by the petitioner to defeat
13	the 50A motion.
14	I think there's some real confusion over the
15	implications of constructive knowledge with regard to
16	recordation. It's not for people who are just looking for
17	something to, at a title company. It is constructive
18	notice to all third parties to what the contents of that
19	recorded document are.
20	That's a statute of limitation, and we have
21	briefed it in our motion for summary judgment, and I
22	respectfully incorporate in this argument the arguments we
23	made in our motion for summary judgment.
24	It's about inquiry knowledge, and inquiry notice

and knowledge occurs when something happens that should put a reasonable person on notice that they have a claim.

2.1

A recordation of that deed, the ACPAs in 2013, the interaction between Todd, Stan, and Wendy with respect to what was going on at the Lake Tahoe house, Wendy's emails that she wants to buy in to the Lake Tahoe house, are more than three years prior to the time she filed the petition. She knew about that. She knew about the composition. And she was signing ACPAs the entire time, your Honor.

So I would ask the Court to really seriously consider the implications of the statute of limitation, which is three years statute of limitation on fraud, and from date of inquiry notice, conspiracy in aiding and abetting.

With regard to the proof that's been presented in this case on the elements, on the aiding and abetting charge -- and I believe that there really is two aiding and abetting cases. The petitioners pled it, curiously, under Malcomb vs. Dow Chemical. They combined the conspiracy claim with an aiding and abetting claim. Dow Chemical separates those as separate causes of action, but I don't think that's germane necessarily to the 50A motion.

Aiding and abetting is a claim in which the

petitioner must prove before this matter goes to the jury, that somebody did something wrong, other than Todd as an individual. And that Todd as an individual -- not as a co-trustee; not as a trustee -- aided and abetted in that wrongdoing.

2.1

2.2

And you go through the record, and the 572 exhibits and wonder where it is as an individual that Todd has been proven, or there is evidence of Todd aiding and abetting.

He aided and abetted Stan arguably as a co-trustee at their Monday meetings. We still don't know what was wrong about those Monday meetings.

We know that Stan has testified that everything that he knew about what was going on was imparted to Wendy, so what did Todd as an individual aid and abet somebody else doing something wrong?

He's accused of aiding and abetting Kevin Riley doing something wrong, as an individual. There's no proof of that, your Honor.

He's accused of aiding and abetting Mike Kimmel for doing something wrong. They say well, it must be the, the acknowledgment on the filing to bring this matter before the Court that constitutes aiding and abetting Mike Kimmel in wrong-doing.

That is like punishing a person for seeking access to justice. And that is -- there's no proof that, that there was wrongdoing in which Todd Jaksick as an individual aided and abetted anyone.

2.1

2.2

The conspiracy claim, your Honor, there has to be evidence of a combination. Again, the petitioners argued a combination is the trustees getting together and talking and doing deals and making disclosures and filing compiled financial statements. That is in a different area than what Todd is being accused of as an individual.

And I watched petitioners argue to the Court yesterday, and they said basically this, your Honor. They opened their pleading, and they said we pled it, therefore it is.

We pled it in the counterpetition against Todd, and therefore, because we pled fiduciary duty breach, it therefore caused damage. Because we pled it, therefore something happened.

Your Honor, the element of, the most primary element of a conspiracy claim is the mens rea, the state of claims, the intent.

And there has to be shown in this case in the conspiracy claim that there was an intent by Todd individually to combine his efforts with someone else to do

something improper as an individual that harmed Wendy financially.

2.1

2.2

Then that takes us to the damage claim, which is common to all three. And I say three claims for relief, and I know that there are four that are set forth in the Court's order.

They are breach of fiduciary duty, they are aiding and abetting fiduciary duty, conspiracy, and fraud.

The fraud has an elevated burden of proof, as
I've argued before. But when every one of these claims for
relief that Wendy has put forth in her petition requires
two things to be presented to the jury, or at least fact
from which a reasonable inference can be drawn by the jury,
that there were damages caused by the alleged wrong in the
claim for relief, and that requires some degree of
specificity. Rudimentary law is that the jury cannot
speculate as to damages.

They have not presented any evidence that Todd caused damage to Wendy in his individual capacity.

What they did yesterday, your Honor, they read from their 16.1 computation of damages.

We had that almost a year ago. And it said well, Wendy computes her damages at 6-some million dollars, which is her interest in the Lake Tahoe house.

That can't be a, on a verdict form. That can't go to the jury, because before this Court are claims for declaratory relief which essentially tried to establish who is entitled to the ownership of the Lake Tahoe house, a question that's been presented to the Court in claims and equity.

2.1

2.2

This jury cannot return a verdict that says we set aside the transfer. That's a claim in equity, that's a rescission, that's reformation, that has no business in the jury box, your Honor.

So that \$6 million claim they say, We get \$6 million because TSS owns the house. Well, let's, let's look into that a bit.

Who would have a claim to that? Not Wendy. Her trust is the real property in interest. And her trust, her sub trust has not brought a claim in this case. There is no claim before this jury to set aside a transfer.

They just say we don't like the transfer, and therefore we get \$6 million without an appraisal, without any evidence that Todd as an individual did that. And as this Court pointed out, there is a very bright line of demarcation, and that is April 21st, 2013, because before that date, going back to 2003, 2004, 2006, that is Sam Jaksick; that is Sam doing deals; that is Sam doing

documents, and there are no fiduciary duties by Todd as an individual in this case. There is no claim for fraud by Todd prior to Sam's death.

2.1

That house was transferred on Sam's watch in accordance with Sam's directives, instructions, desire, and intent.

And nobody has refuted that except for Mr. Wallace who says that was a bad deal. That was a bad deal.

But whether it was a bad deal, or whether it was a point of consideration, or whether or not there's rescission in that, is before the Court.

But, again, reel that back in, your Honor, to what Todd did or did not do as an individual. What Todd did as an individual is consent to his father's desire that he be the manager of Incline TSS in 2010, that he consented to his father's desire that his trust be the sole members of Incline TSS while Stan's divorce was going on, and that Sam asked that house be transferred out off his estate into Incline TSS, something that preceded Sam's death.

What did Todd do as an individual that could possibly create a \$6 million damage claim because Incline TSS owns, is record title owner of the Incline house. As an individual. What he did as an individual, he did as a

1 son.

2.1

2.2

But only the time period after April 21st is there any fiduciary duties with respect to the trustee, and that's on Mr. Lattin's watch, and I'll let him argue that.

But with respect to the individual claims, even after the April 21st period of time when Sam passed away, look at what Todd did according to the evidence as an individual.

Did he make a false representation to Wendy as an individual?

Did he say anything as an individual on which Wendy could possibly have relied?

She knew he was a co-trustee of the Issue

Trust -- excuse me -- of the Family Trust. She knew he was
a trustee of the Issue Trust. What in this record shows
that he did something separate and appear from his trustee
position that was wrongful to Wendy as an individual?

All the trustees were meeting with lawyers and accountants to do these ACPAs, to do these various deals, to work the debt down. Where is the aiding and abetting as an individual after Sam died? The conspiracy after Sam died? The false representations as an individual after Sam died?

The other claim for damages, according to the

1 16.1 computation is approximately \$800,000 from Bronco 2 Billy's. There cannot be any inference from the evidence 3 that's before this jury that Bronco Billy's was orchestrated in the individual capacity, that what Todd did 4 5 with respect to the advice by the Colorado gaming lawyer, 6 the advice by Maupin Cox, he did, not as an individual, and 7 he's got that money, as far as everything he received, 8 except for the 6 percent, which he's entitled to, in the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

family trust.

How could he possibly have violated or caused damages as an individual by doing what the attorneys have suggested that he does?

The only other claim, your Honor, is some \$200,000 thrown up against the wall with regard to cattle. No evidence of value of cattle. Not one word on what that whole cattle transaction was about.

But there's an ACPA in here.

And, your Honor, finally, I want to go to the Court. What is very difficult for a trial lawyer to do is to confront witnesses to say I signed it, but I didn't read it.

I, I don't remember signing it. We have 10

ACPAs signed by Wendy as a primary beneficiary. And in

each and every one of those ACPAs she says I release Todd

from any liability with respect to this transaction. And that has not been refuted.

2.1

2.2

Your Honor, I think our damage claim is a solid argument, that there is absolutely no evidence in this case from which the jury could infer that Todd caused any damages as an individual, and all claims should be dismissed under Rule 50A, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lattin?

MR. LATTIN: Thank you, your Honor.

I would adopt Mr. Robison's arguments with regard to damages. But I want to talk about the two individuals who should not be in this case.

First of all, let's talk about Kevin Riley.

Kevin Riley was a trustee for two months, June and July

2013. Since July 31st of 2013, he has not had any

fiduciary responsibility to Wendy or any of the other

beneficiaries.

He has been the accountant for the family trust and the other Jaksick entities. There has been absolutely no mention of any wrongdoing. He has only been mentioned in the capacity of doing financials, preparing the financial information and, and the numbers. The numbers that are provided to him by the Jaksick family entities.

He should not be in this case. There has been

nothing by way of testimony that he conspired with anything, that he aided and abetted in anything. He is nothing more than a professional who was doing his job as a CPA. There are no claims of negligence. There are no claims against him in that regard.

2.1

2.2

Also, he is named individually. A professional who is named individually. Absolutely nothing to indicate that he did anything to anybody outside of his responsibilities as a professional.

He should not be in this case, and I would request that a directed verdict be entered in his favor and he be dismissed from this case.

Even further down the chain, is Mr. Kimmel.

Mr. Kimmel, again, became a trustee in January of 2017

after all of the decisions on the Tahoe house were made,

all of the decisions on Bronco Billy's, all of the

decisions relative to the ACPAs.

He is not, was not involved in any of those decisions. The best evidence that I have that he should not be in the case is the fact that they read from a document to keep him in that is not evidence in this case.

There is a ruling that petitions are not evidence. Mr. Connot stood up here yesterday and said he should be in based upon what the petition says. And he

reads from the petition.

2.1

2.2

That's not evidence. You don't read from a petition and keep a person in a case, just because you read a petition, that is not evidence in a case. There has to be evidence that Mr. Kimmel did something. There is no evidence that he did anything in this case.

They tried to hook him in by saying he signed a petition. And, again, I would adopt Mr. Robison's argument. But all Mr. Kimmel was doing is saying, Okay, there's questions over this stuff. Let's exercise our rights and put it before the Court. And now they want to penalize him and hold him in a case and have him be personally and individually responsible for actions because he signed a petition.

He, too, should not be in this case. And I would request that you direct a verdict in his favor and remove him both for any liability as a trustee and any liability as an individual. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I have always known that this dispute would travel past the jury's involvement and that some day I would be called upon to also make decisions.

Given the volume of trial evidence and the pacing of trial, I am unable to make a thoughtful,

1 analytical, sustainable judgment in the next short while. 2 But T will. 3 And according to law, I can do it even after verdict. I should say generally that I am intrigued by 4 some of what I've heard in the last two hours. 5 6 But I must be thoughtful, analytical, showing my 7 work, for sustainability. 8 And so, Ms. Reporter, I'll have a copy of this 9 transcript. No heroic rush to it, just from the beginning at 8:15 until now. 10 We will return to our jury in 10 minutes. 11 12 We'll stand until then. 13 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 14 (The following proceedings were had outside 15 the presence of the jury.) 16 MR. ROBISON: I've been asked to give the 17 deposition of Mr. Campagna to the clerk. THE COURT: Thank you. All right. 18 19 Deputy? 20 (The following proceedings were had in 2.1 the presence of the jury.) 2.2 THE COURT: Good morning. If you'll be seated, 23 To respondents, you may call your next witness. 24 MR. ROBISON: I'd like to call Todd Jaksick back

1	to the stand, your Honor.		
2	THE COURT: Mr. Jaksick, if you'll return,		
3	please, you remain under oath.		
4	Have a seat.		
5	TODD JAKSICK,		
6			
7	called as a witness in said case,		
8	having been previously duly sworn, was		
9	examined and testified as follows:		
10			
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
12	(Resumed)		
13			
14	BY MR. ROBISON:		
15	Q Good morning.		
16	A Good morning.		
17	Q I want to clear up a few things, sir.		
18	A Okay.		
19	Q There's been testimony in this case, presented		
20	by your sister, about your mother being an alcoholic and		
21	other things.		
22	Tell us about your mother.		
23	A Yes. I thought that was an unfair		
24	characterization.		

1 Q Just tell us about your mother, please.

2.1

2.2

A My mom was a wonderful lady. She was an outdoor person and took care of all of us kids. And I remember even she watched Wendy's kids when they were young. She watched Lexi. She watched Luke. Helped take care of the kids.

And we had a wonderful time with my mom. We traveled with her. She's incredibly smart and wonderful, she taught us about relationships. She became a jet pilot and was able to fly. Just an amazing lady. And I wanted that to come across.

Q How did her estate get distributed, sir?

A One thing I'd like to mention about mom is she did have a 25-year battle with cancer as well. That was very tough on her.

And what was your question, sir?

Q The question was how was the estate distributed.

A When mom passed away she had an estate, and her estate was not distributed outright.

As Wendy had mentioned, she actually put Wendy's portion of her share in trust until Wendy reached the age of about 45.

Q All right. And then was it distributed in a lump sum as Wendy represented?

A No, we were funding Wendy from the time mom passed away up until about the time she was 45. And when she became 45 the remaining balance that was there we actually transferred to Scott Freeman as part of the judgment that he had.

Q In the later years of your father's life do you recall whether or not Wendy was threatening to sue Sam?

A I do.

2.2

Q Tell us about that, please.

A Because dad and Stan were the executors of the,
Thelma's estate, which was dad's mom's estate.

Q That's your grandmother?

A Correct.

Q Go ahead, please.

A Wendy was not pleased with the funds that she had received over a period of time from my grandmother passing away until just before my dad passed away.

And Wendy would continually keep threatening dad about the fact that she wasn't getting funds that she was supposed to be getting. And she was saying that she was going to get an attorney to look into everything that happened on the Thelma Jaksick Estate. So dad was always getting her documents and things like that. That was a contentious discussion for sure.

1 There was testimony about a document or Q 2 documents being signed perhaps the day after your father 3 passed. Do you recall that testimony? 4 5 Α Yes. Several times now. 6 First thing I want to talk about is ACPA Q 7 Exhibit 14. If you could put that on the screen, please? 8 Α Okay. 9 Exhibit 14, would it be fair to say is that the Q first ACPA that was discussed among the trustees and 10 11 beneficiaries? 12 I quess it was. Α 13 That is the handwritten date of June 5th, 2013? 0 14 Α That is correct. 15 Who prepared the document? 0 16 Maupin, Cox & LeGoy's law firm prepared that Α document on June 4th of 2013. 17 How do you know that? 18 0 Because I know from the discussions we had -- I 19 20 had never heard of the word ACPA before, and that was a 2.1 document that their law firm came up with, and saying that 2.2 this signature page was prepared and signed the day after

dad passed away is just completely inaccurate.

Let's walk through the chronology.

23

24

Q

1 Your father died on what day of the week? 2 Sunday, April 21st. Α 3 Not Saturday as Wendy indicated? Q No, it was not a Saturday. 4 Α 5 Q What happened that day -- where were you when 6 you heard about your father's death? 7 Α I was at the Golden Eagle Sports Complex 8 coaching a baseball game. 9 Little League? Q 10 Α Yes. And so did you and your sister and brother get 11 12 together soon after you heard that your father had passed? 13 Yes, I left the game, got in touch with Stan, Α was talking to Janene. Jenene called me. 14 15 0 Who is Janene? 16 Janene is dad's wife, my stepmom. And she called and contacted me at the field. And --17 I'm going to move on. 18 Q. 19 Α Okay. 20 Do you recall that Wendy also accused Janene of 21 killing your father? 2.2 Yes, I do. She did accuse Janene of killing 23 dad. And it went on for about six to nine months that she

accused Janene. It was very hurtful to Janene.

24

1 Q Let me get back to the time within a couple of days after your father passed.

Was there a need to get the insurance policy on, on your father's life pretty much squared away?

A I remembered that we had received a letter that the policy had lapsed.

Q What did you do to revive the policy?

A Well, I contacted our friend that dad had that was working with dad and I, on the life insurance previously, and then Geoff Grenert, was also not only an insurance agent, but he helped with some of our estate planning.

And he -- well, he saw dad on Saturday at the baseball game. And so he was a gentleman that I would talk to frequently. So I called and contacted him. I let him know that dad had passed away, and that the policy -- we had a letter from Pacific Life that said that the policy had lapsed.

- Q Do you know what Crummey letters are?
- A I do.

2.2

- Q What are Crummey letters?
- A Crummey letters are a document that every time a payment was made on life insurance, that we would give to the beneficiaries to sign to say that they weren't going to

withdraw the proceeds of the payment towards the life
insurance, and that they were approving allowing the life
insurance payments to be allowed to go to Pacific Life and
not be withdrawn.

Q Did you and your brother and sister have to sign the Crummey letters?

A We did sign the Crummey letters over a lengthy period of time. But usually early on the payments were made every six months or annually, and then we got to the point that the payments were being paid monthly. So we were behind on the Crummey letters.

Q And were they signed after your father died?

A They were, they were signed sometime in that week after dad passed away.

Q By all three of you?

A Correct.

2.2

Q There's testimony that you insisted that Wendy pay her share of the \$10,000 for the gaming application, and that you insisted she pay that, is that true?

A That is not the case. I did provide her the binder, and I did provide her the application, and I did provide her the tabs for her to put all of her documentation and information in.

And we had told her, as you've seen in some of

the exhibits that you've pulled up previously, that Wendy
had nothing to lose by giving it a shot, and you might as
well just put it in and let the gaming attorneys analyze
it, see if you can get licensed and go for it.

But there was an email that Wendy had sent back

But there was an email that Wendy had sent back to Mr. Riley that said that she did not think that she was going to be able to get licensed, and she never filled out the application.

Q There's testimony that you tried to cut Wendy off from funds.

Did you do your best to get funds to her even though you were not receiving funds?

A I did. Absolutely. I did receive -- I did work with Wendy early on and, and continually through Stan and I --

Q Do you recall me showing yourself and the jury Exhibit 145, a response from Wendy to your generosity?

A I don't think that was the right number.

Q Oh, 495. I'm sorry.

A Okay.

Q Could you put 495 up, please.

What is this, sir?

A This is a letter from Wendy to the trustees -Todd, Sam and Kevin Riley, even though Kevin Riley wasn't

1	still a trustee at the time. She had written it to the	
2	three trustees.	
3	Q	And she is saying what about money received by
4	her?	
5	А	I think it's in that second to the last
6	paragraph.	
7	Q	Blow that up, please.
8	А	Since dad's death Todd has used this note as, as
9	a way to pay me monthly as well as pay my rent, the office,	
10	utilities,	and insurance.
11		I am waiting on an exact accounting,
12		but roughly \$8,000 in medical
13		insurance, 8100 in rent for the
14		office, 2500 in utilities, various
15		distributions of approximately
16		\$10,000 and \$30,000 payout in monthly
17		payments of 3,000.
18	Q	Let me switch topics.
19	А	Okay.
20	Q	There was testimony that you moved hay from the
21	family ranch to your ranch.	
22		Do you recall that testimony?
23	А	I do.
24	Q	Is there any truth to that statement?

- 1 No, it was the opposite. Α
- 2 Tell us about that. 0

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- I was taking cattle feed from Duck Lake Ranch, Α which is an area that I own, and I was taking it to the Eagleville Ranch for cattle. It was the opposite of what Wendy had said.
- Finally, Wendy has told this jury under oath that she did not receive the Second Amendment until like 2015, 2016. Is that true?
 - I recall that. I think she said the first time she saw it was around 2015, or maybe when Dana Dwiggins got it in July or so of 2016. That is not true, no.
- There's testimony that you gave her a binder in June of 2013, correct? 14
 - Yes. I gave Wendy a binder with the trust docs on June 5th of 2013, which is the same day that we signed the insurance ACPA at the office.
- Well, let's take a look at Exhibit 13B. 18 Q
- 19 Α Okay.
- If you'll put that up, please. 20 Q
- 2.1 What is this -- it's very small right now. I'm 22 going to blow it up in a moment, but can you tell us what 23 it is, Todd.
- 24 I think that this lengthy --A

```
1
                 MR. SPENCER: Your Honor, I don't think this has
 2
      been admitted yet. I just want to verify that.
 3
                 THE COURT: This has not yet been admitted.
      BY MR. ROBISON:
 4
 5
           Q
                 Are you familiar with this email?
 6
           Α
               Yes.
 7
                 Did you receive this email in your capacity as,
 8
      as a co-trustee of Sam's family trust?
9
                 It's actually a text from Wendy.
           Α
10
           Q
                 Did you receive that text with respect to her
      talking about trust documents?
11
12
           Α
                 Yes.
13
                 MR. ROBISON: I'd offer 13B.
14
                 THE COURT: Any objection?
15
                 MR. CONNOT: What was the number again?
16
                 MR. ROBISON: 13B.
17
                 MR. CONNOT: Got it.
                 MR. SPENCER: Your Honor, I object to this
18
      document on the basis that I do not believe it to be
19
20
      complete. It is a --
2.1
                 THE COURT: Can I see it, please.
22
                 MR. SPENCER: Yes.
23
                 THE COURT: Just want to follow along.
24
      ///
```

1 BY MR. ROBISON: 2 Mr. Jaksick, did you exchange --Q 3 MR. ROBISON: May I have a little deeper foundation? 4 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 BY MR. ROBISON: 7 Did you exchange texts with your sister about 8 the ongoing matters concerning the administration of your 9 father's family trust? 10 Α Yes. 11 And did you inform her frequently by text what 12 was going on? 13 Α Yes. 14 And would she respond with respect to some of 15 the comments you made about the administration of the 16 trust? 17 Α Yes. And did she also talk to you about her belief 18 19 that Janene killed your father? 20 Α Yes. And did she also admit in these texts that she 2.1 had received the Second Amendment? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 MR. ROBISON: Offer it.

1	MR. SPENCER: I'll just raise my objection as to
2	authenticity, your Honor. It appears that there are some
3	responses, but the majority of this is just Wendy, and it
4	looks like she's just talking to herself, which doesn't
5	make a whole lot of sense.
6	I object on authenticity.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. 13B is admitted.
8	(Exhibit Number 13B was
9	admitted into evidence.)
10	BY MR. ROBISON:
11	Q Would you please pull up the first full
12	paragraph on the second page.
13	This text is June 5th, 2013, sir?
14	A This text is June 6, 2013, which is the day
15	after I gave Wendy the binder.
16	Q All right. And can you read that provision
17	that's in 13B that I just displayed to the jury? Read it
18	into the record, please.
19	A This is a text from Wendy. She's talking about
20	a dream that she had last night. That was the previous
21	pages.
22	It says:
23	This was at the same time dad died,
24	approximately 4:40, just in the a.m.

1	I swear it was so real, that I am
2	starting to believe part of it. I
3	never dreamt like that. Ever. Also,
4	I read dad's will stuff. The reason
5	he took out the 1.5 out and then back
6	in was to do my house. The log one.
7	He decided if I wanted to keep it,
8	which I did, that he would deduct it
9	from his will. Since it was told to
10	help him, he put it back in his will.
11	That's what that was.
12	Q Focusing your attention, Todd, on the statement
13	about your father took out the \$1.5 million
14	A Correct.
15	Q is there any document other than the Second
16	Amendment that takes out the \$1.5 million deduction?
17	A The 2006 document takes it out.
18	Q Puts it in?
19	A The 2006 document takes it out and then the
20	Q Let's get on the same page.
21	Takes what out?
22	A The 2006 document is where dad removes, deducts
23	1.5 million.
24	Q And what does the Second Amendment do?

- 1 A The Second Amendment puts the 1.5 million back 2 in.
- 3 Q A benefit to Wendy?
 - A A benefit to Wendy. So the only way that you would know that was by her reading all dad's will stuff and talking about the 1.5, because that's the only place that it's been mentioned that it's put back in.
 - Q You're confident that she saw the second amendment as early as June of 2013?
- 10 A Right. Yes, absolutely.
- 11 Q And you kept her informed about what you were
 12 doing with the second amendment throughout?
- 13 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Do you know who Mr. Bob LeGoy is?
- 15 A Yes, sir. I do.
- 16 Q What's his role?
- 17 A He is the lead trust accountant for the family trust.
- 19 Q Have you developed a good professional 20 relationship with Mr. LeGoy?
- 21 A Yes, we all have. Yes.
- 22 Q And has he assisted you in the navigating
 23 through the elimination of the debt, the transfer of the
 24 house, the interest to Issue Trust?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And have you followed his advice in that
3	respect, sir?
4	A Yes, sir, I have.
5	MR. ROBISON: Thank you.
6	Your Honor, I pass the witness.
7	MR. HOSMER-HENNER: No questions, your Honor.
8	MR. LATTIN: No questions, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Counsel.
10	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	
13	BY MR. SPENCER:
14	Q Mr. Jaksick, where is the binder?
15	A Which binder are you talking about?
16	Q The binder that you keep bringing up, where is
17	it?
18	A I believe that Wendy said that it was in her law
19	firm, her past attorney's law firm, and that she wasn't
20	able to get it out because she had a retainer.
21	Q No, sir. I'm talking about a copy of the binder
22	that you would have maintained.
23	Where is it?
24	A We made three binders that day, and like I told

1 you before, we produced everything that was in the binder.

2.1

Q All mixed in with all these various pages, not designated as a binder, right?

A I gave the binder to counsel, and my understanding was they produced everything that was in the binder.

Q Can you answer my question, please.

The stuff that you're saying was in the binder was all mixed in with all the other thousands of pages produced, right?

A I don't know exactly how they were produced, but I gave the binder to counsel.

Q And you never produced a, a document that says this is the binder that I produced in June of 2013, have you?

A We had actually asked that you guys produce the binder that Wendy had.

Q We have to produce the binder that you as trustee are supposed to keep, the beneficiary does?

A I gave our binder to our counsel. But we were asking you guys to produce the binder that we actually gave to Wendy.

Q Uh-huh. And where is the copy of the binder that you're supposed to keep as trustee so you can prove

- 1 what it was that you provided? 2 At the law firm office. 3 Okay. Never produced that to Wendy, did you, in this lawsuit? 4 5 Α My binder? 6 Q Yeah. 7 Α No, she had her own binder. 8 Okay. Can you answer my question? That's a no, 9 you never produced it, did you? 10 Α I produced -- I gave the binder to our attorney to produce, and they produced everything that was in the 11 12 binder. 13 Sir, I'm asking about the binder itself. You 14 never produced anything that was designated this is the 15 binder that I produced in June of 2013, did you? 16 I did. I gave it to our counsel. Α Where is it? 17 Q Counsel would have that binder. 18 Α 19 So you don't know whether it was all mixed in, or whether it was a produced as a binder, do you? 20 2.1 MR. LATTIN: Objection, there is a discovery
 - THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly how it was

22

23

24

limiting order on this.

THE COURT: Overruled.

1 produced. BY MR. SPENCER: 2 3 You understand that you're the trustee, and it's your obligation to prove what you gave to the 4 5 beneficiaries, correct? 6 We did. Sure. I guess, yeah. 7 Yeah, you're the one that's supposed to disclose 8 to the beneficiaries, right? 9 Α We did. And that's why we had conversations on 10 that day, and I prepared the binder. And we also had other 11 emails in here that we prepared the binders, gave one to 12 Stan and Wendy and myself, and we were wondering what to do with Lexi. 13 14 Answer my question, please. 15 You're the one that is supposed to disclose to 16 the beneficiaries, correct? 17 Α I believe that's what we were doing when I provided the binder. 18 19 Can you say yes or no, please? Sure. 20 Α You're the trustee. You're the one that's 2.1 Q 22 supposed to provide the disclosure to the beneficiaries, 23 right? 24 Α Yes.

Q You're the one that's supposed to come forward with a binder that says this is what I paid Wendy in June of 2013, right?

A And that's what I believe I did.

2.1

2.2

Q Okay. In the form of a binder, here's the binder, I gave this, a copy of this to Wendy, you think you did that, right?

A Yes, because it had all of the trust documents in it.

Q And so far all we've heard is that this was in there, and that was in there, and I believe some other stuff was in there. You don't even know what was in the binder, do you?

A I do recall what was in the binder, and then I, what Wendy and I were doing, was Wendy would bring her binder to the additional meetings that we had, and then we would continue to put stuff in her binder after our additional meetings. I don't recall all of those, what was put into it later on.

And then there was a point in time where Wendy had lost her binder, and we were trying to duplicate that for her.

Q Well, if you had kept your own binder, and you'd had copies of everything that was in the binder, it would

1 have been easy to duplicate, right?

2.1

A And it was. We actually did make copies of Stan's binder that he had, and we got her another set of copies of everything.

Q So when you intermixed everything that was in the binder, and all of these thousands of pages of production that was produced, did you expect us to try and figure out what it was rather than you disclose it?

A I'm sorry, sir. I thought that you guys were going to get a copy of her binder back from her counsel --

Q Did -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.

A I thought that you guys were going to get a copy of her binder back from her counsel because we had asked you guys to do that.

- Q And we had asked you to produce it, too, right?
- A Yes, I did. I gave it to our attorney.
- Q And that's, that was designed to intermix all of those documents into his other, this other production, that was designed so that you could come in here and be deceptive about that, correct?
 - A No, sir.
- Q You can't say what was in that binder completely, can you?
- A I know that all the trust documents were in that

1 binder.

2.1

2.2

Q Okay. We've seen the 2006 restated version, we've seen five different amendments, plus an additional second amendment.

Which one of those was in the binder?

A The only trust documents that were in the binder were the 2006 document and the December 10th, 2012, document.

Q You said the trust docs were in there, you weren't specific about it, and now you're saying oh, it was just these two, correct?

A Because those were the only controlling documents, as we had heard from Pierre Hascheff and Bob LeGoy's office.

Q The only controlling documents as you decided would be the controlling documents, right?

A That's what my dad told me, that's what dad told me the controlling documents were. I verified that with Mr. Hascheff and Mr. LeGoy, and we provided the documents that they represented were the rule book, so to speak, that we were using.

Q Where's the Second Amendment that was signed on April 27th of 2013; where is that Second Amendment?

A It was in the trust binders that we produced to

1 your office --2 Q. No. 3 -- to you. Α I'm not talking about the December 10th, 2012, 4 5 second amendment. I'm talking about the one that was 6 signed on April 27th of 2012. 7 Yes, I would just say that whole binder that had 8 all of dad's trust documents, including the drafts, 9 everything was provided as production to you guys. Including the drafts? Is that what you said? 10 Q That's my understanding, everything that was in 11 Α 12 the file. 13 Focus on my question, because you haven't 14 answered it yet. 15 All right. 16 The second amendment that was signed on April 27th of 2012 --17 18 Α Okay. 19 -- are you with me? Q Α 20 Yes. 21 Q Where is it? 22 Α I'm not sure. 23 All right. So you said the second amendment was in the binder, didn't you? 24

1 A If we had it, it would have been in the binder.

2.1

2.2

Q There's two versions apparently, because we've seen two signature pages to it.

Which version was in the binder?

A There was a version, I think Pierre has covered that quite well, that he was working on a second amendment earlier in the year with dad. And there was some confusion with Pierre, some of the documents that he had at that time, but by the time that the second amendment came around in December of 2012, Pierre had all of the documents.

And him and dad did the second amendment in December 10th of 2012, and that was what I was told by dad, as well as Pierre, what the controlling document was. And everything else that they had done previously was irrelevant.

Q Thanks for all that. But that didn't answer my question.

I asked you which of the second amendments was in the binder, was it the April 27th of 2012 second amendment, or was it the December 10th of 2012 second amendment?

A What was in the binder that I gave Wendy on June the 5th was the Second Amendment that was completed on the December 10th of 2012.

And how do we know that? 1 Q 2 Because --Α 3 Because you said so? Q THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on. Because? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Because it has the 1.5 million 6 provision in it, giving Wendy back the \$1.5 million 7 provision. 8 BY MR. SPENCER: 9 That doesn't tell us anything about whether the April version of the second amendment was in the binder or 10 the December version was in the binder. 11 12 So how do we know that? Is it because you said 13 so? 14 That's the only document I had to put in there. Α 15 I, I didn't have the April document, April 2012 document. I didn't have a copy of that document to be able to put in 16 17 there. So that would be impossible for me to do that. And none of us, none of us have that either, do 18 0 19 we? I don't know. 20 Α 2.1 But we do know that Jessica Clayton, your 22 secretary, was sending a signature page to the April 23 version to Mr. Hascheff, right? 24 MR. ROBISON: Please note my objection that

1 Ms. Jessica Clayton has never been Todd's personal 2 secretary. That misstates the evidence. 3 THE COURT: It is noted. 4 You may answer the question. 5 BY MR. SPENCER: 6 Does Jessica Clayton work for you, sir? Q 7 Α She works for all our companies, yes. 8 For you, sir? 0 9 She works for our companies. Α 10 Q. Who signs her paychecks? Through the companies, through the entities I 11 Α 12 pay Jessica's paycheck. 13 And she works for you, doesn't she? She works for us as well as other entities and 14 Α 15 other partners that we have. Yeah. And those other entities are controlled 16 17 by you, right, most of them? Not necessarily, no. 18 Α 19 So if, so less than a majority of them are controlled by you, is that what you're saying? 20 2.1 Α I believe that to be the case, yes. 2.2 She works in your office, you sign her 23 paychecks, and you're saying that she doesn't work for you, 24 is that what you're saying?

1 No, I'm saying that she does work for us, 2 myself, she also works for some of the family companies and 3 other companies that we have with the partners. Everything that she gets paid is through entities. 4 5 And she's never done a single thing for you that 6 was a personal business, is that correct? Never? 7 I can't, I'm sure there is. She's been with us 8 for 16 years. 9 Q Of course. 16 years. She's done work for you 10 personally, hasn't she? I don't know if I paid her for personal things 11 12 but she's ran errands for me personally. I don't know what your definition is. 13 14 Your lawyer just said she's not your personal 15 secretary. She's done personal work for you, correct? 16 I don't know necessarily if she's done personal 17 Α work for me. She always gets paid through all of the 18 entities. 19 If you don't write her a check out of your 20

A I'm just telling you where she gets paid from. She gets paid from different companies.

is that the technicality?

personal account then she's not working for you personally;

2.1

2.2

23

24

1 She's been in court the last three days 0 2 supporting you, hasn't she? 3 Yes, she's been here, of course. Α 4 And so she sent signature pages to a second 5 amendment signed April 27th of 2012, the same day the fifth 6 amendment was apparently signed and, to Mr. Hascheff. 7 Do you recall that? 8 Α I do recall seeing that, yes. 9 And no one has seen that Second Amendment from Q 10 April, correct? 11 I'm not sure. Α 12 Okay. And you don't like Wendy, do you? 0 Yes, I, I mean, Wendy, we have to treat 13 Α 14 everything like a business transaction because Wendy has 15 said a lot of nasty things, obviously, but she's done that to all of our family at times over the years. And you have 16 17 to treat everything as a business transaction. You can't put any emotion into it. 18 19 Answer my --THE COURT: Would --20 2.1 MR. SPENCER: Sorry, Judge. 2.2 THE COURT: Would you read the last question 23 back, please, Ms. Reporter. 24 ///

1 (The record was read back by the court reporter.)

THE WITNESS: I do not discuss things with Wendy on a regular basis lately. But I care for Wendy. She's family, but she does say a lot of upsetting things. And like I said, I have to treat this stuff as business transactions.

THE COURT: Does the witness have an answer?

BY MR. SPENCER:

2.1

2.2

Q You'd be upset, too, if you were not getting information from your trustee, wouldn't you?

A Sir, I believe we have given Wendy all the information that was necessary to provide her so she knew where she stands.

Q Where on earth, where are the water rights mentioned in the accountings that you say are such great disclosure?

A The water rights are on each individual piece of property as part of each individual property. Water rights are not separated from the land. The water rights are all represented in the appraisals of the properties that Wendy was provided.

Q I asked you a question about where the water rights are represented in the accountings.

1 And the answer is they're not, correct?

2.1

2.2

A Because you don't segregate the water rights.

The value, if you look at the value of, let's just say

Buckhorn Land & Livestock, which is in the accountings, and

it has the value of Buckhorn Land & Livestock. That value

on the, on the more current -- once I got the debt paid

down, and we start showing the value that was in excess of

the debt, the value shows the land and the water rights.

Q You're saying that the accountings show the value of Buckhorn?

A The earlier ones did because the debt exceeded the value of the land. In the more current Buckhorn Land & Livestock, after we have got the debts paid down, I'd say the 2017 accountings, the 2018 accountings, the values are, shows Buckhorn, and that value includes the land and the water.

Q And where's the \$30 million that you claim was owed in debt in the accountings?

Where is that?

A The \$30 million in debt, it is -- Kevin Riley, from my understanding, has two different ways of accounting for the debt.

He has direct debt obligations, which are direct debts of dad's family trust, and then he carries the other

debt obligations as contingent obligation, and a lot of
that value of the debt that you're talking about are
contingent obligations.

2.1

2.2

For example, the loan that he just talked about that we were talking about at Buckhorn, Buckhorn Land & Livestock, is a personal guarantee that dad had of \$4 million, and it isn't shown as a direct debt, it shows as a contingent obligation through the entity.

Q So you don't bother to tell the beneficiaries about the contingent obligations, is that right?

A No, we did tell the beneficiaries about the contingent obligations.

Q Pull up Exhibit 17, please.

Do you know how much debt is reported in that accounting? This is April 21st, 2013, through March 31st of 2014.

Do you know how much debt is accounted for in that?

A I don't recall off the top of my head, no.

Q The testimony you've given that you claim there's \$30 million of debt outstanding around the time of your father's death, you report seven and a half million dollars of debt in the accounting.

Do you know that?

A That is the direct debt obligations. The contingent obligations fall outside.

Q Answer my question then.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

You don't bother to tell the beneficiaries about the contingent debt, do you?

A We did have discussions about all of the contingent obligations.

Q Convenient that they're just discussions, right?
You don't have anything memorializing you sending something
to the beneficiaries about those discussions, do you?

A I don't recall.

Q Right. So it's just you saying oh, we had discussions about it, and I can tell you that it happened, but nothing that memorializes it, right?

A I'd have to look at the entire accountings.

And, Kevin Riley, I'm not sure exactly where he puts the contingent obligations, but it was well known that those obligations were out there.

Q That's my point, sir.

You keep saying it's well known, we discussed it, we had meetings about it, not a single thing memorializing what was said in any of those meetings, is there?

A I'm not sure.

1 Q You know there's not, sir? You know there's not, right?

2.1

2.2

A All I can tell you is that we had a lot of meetings with Wendy, Kevin Riley would come into town, and he'd go through the financial statements line by line, and the contingent obligations that aren't shown there, for example, Buckhorn Land & Livestock, Buckhorn Land & Livestock, Wendy knew exactly what we were doing with the conservation easement to try to get that debt obligation paid down.

Jackrabbit Properties, 7.8 million dollar note, is shown as the fact that it's a contingent obligation, to pay down that debt.

So all of those discussions relating to those entities, which were very detailed, explained to Wendy what those obligations were.

And I also mentioned that before dad passed away, in February and March, there was meetings with dad and Wendy and Stan and I to directly go over those debt obligations.

Q Back to my question.

You don't have a single thing that memorializes what was said in any of those discussions, do you?

A I can't recall anything right now.

1 Because if you had had something that did you Q 2 would have produced it, correct? 3 Α I would think so. Let me --4 0 5 MR. SPENCER: May I approach, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. SPENCER: Exhibit 72, please. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Which exhibit? 9 MR. SPENCER: 72, sir. I'm sorry. 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 BY MR. SPENCER: 12 Okay. This is your accounting of the period April 21st, 2013, through March 31st of 2014. 13 In that accounting, Exhibit 72, where do you 14 15 tell the beneficiaries there's \$30 million, around \$30 16 million worth of debt outstanding? It's about a 30-to-40-page thick document. I 17 A don't know exactly where that would be in Kevin's 18 19 accounting. Do you want me to look for it? 20 21 Q It's not there, is it? 2.2 Α I'm not sure. 23 Is this your accounting, or is it Kevin's 24 accounting?

- 1 A This is the accounting that was done by Kevin 2 Riley, the accountant that was handling the family trust.
 - Q You like to point the finger, don't you?
 - A What do you mean by that?
 - Q You don't take any responsibility for your fiduciary duties as a trustee, do you?
 - A Yes, we talked, we talked about what the, what was required under state law to be able to prepare the accountings with the accountant as well as the law firm.

 And it was our understanding that these, these met the state law standards that were required in the State of Nevada, and I just don't know exactly where Kevin accounted for the contingent loan obligations.
 - Q You don't want to answer that question, do you?
 - A You can ask it again, please.
 - Q You like to point the finger at other people rather than take responsibility for your duties as a fiduciary, correct?
 - A Sir, I --
- 20 O Yes or no?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

A I'm happy to take responsibility, but I'm not an accountant. We have relied on our accountants and rely on all of those attorneys in order to be able to help us do all this stuff. Stan and I are not capable of preparing a

1 financial statement like this.

2.1

Q You have come into this court, and you have asked this Court to approve that accounting, and you're not taking responsibility for it.

How is that possible?

A Because we rely on the professionals to be able to keep track of the accounting and do the accountings.

Q Kevin Riley has given a disclaimer at the front of that accounting that says all I'm doing is compiling numbers. I'm not vouching for the information, I haven't done an audit, I'm just compiling a bunch of numbers.

And you're saying that it's Kevin Riley's job and his fault if something is not disclosed, correct?

A Kevin Riley is aware of every single transaction and every single thing we do in this trust. We meet

Mondays weekly, and a lot of the time we were meeting with

Kevin daily, let alone weekly, to go through all of this stuff.

Q Is this your accounting, or is this Kevin Riley's?

A This is prepared by Kevin Riley for the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust.

Q And you've sworn that it's accurate and asked this court to approve it?

1	A I swore that Kevin Riley prepared it and that we
2	had the clerk, one of the clerks to review these
3	accountings, that's correct.
4	Q And the trustee specifically did not request the
5	disclosures, correct?
6	A What do you mean by disclosures?
7	Q Let me pull up JSK 1118, Exhibit 72. Second
8	paragraph. I'm sorry. Last paragraph. I'm sorry.
9	First, let me look at the second paragraph. It
10	says:
11	The trustees of the family trust are
12	responsible for the preparation and
13	fair presentation of the financial
14	statements.
15	Do you see that?
16	A I do, yes.
17	Q Your responsibility, not Kevin Riley's, right?
18	A That's what Kevin has on the front page of his
19	report, how he his disclaimer, this is Kevin Riley's
20	disclaimer, that is correct.
21	Q He also says in the paragraph above:
22	We have not audited or reviewed the
23	accompanying financial statements.
24	Do you see that?

1	А	I do.
2	Q	Down at the bottom, the last paragraph:
3		The trustees have elected to omit
4		substantially all of the disclosures
5		required by accounting principles
6		generally accepted in the United
7		States of America.
8		Do you see that?
9	А	I do.
10	Q	(Reading.)
11		If the omitted disclosures were
12		included in the financial statements,
13		they might influence the user's
14		conclusions about the trust's
15		financial position, result of trust
16		activities, and cash flows.
17		Accordingly, the financial statements
18		are not designed for those who are
19		not informed about such matters.
20		Do you see that?
21	А	I do.
22	Q	Okay. And so that means that those that are not
23	informed	about trust matters, this is insufficient, this
24	financial	statement is insufficient to fully disclose them,

doesn't it?

2.1

2.2

A I don't believe that to be the case because what would happen is Kevin Riley would prepare the financials, send it up to the law firm, and Stan and I would review them with counsel, and Kevin would talk to counsel. And they would make sure that everything was up to speed with state law.

Q I know you want to say that, but that wasn't my question.

My question was that this disclaimer that's on every one of the financial statements that Mr. Riley prepared, says that this is not, not designed for those who are not informed about such matters.

You fully, you understand that, right?

A I see where it says it right there. I think when you take the, this document, which is consistent with state law, and then you meet with the beneficiaries, that -- and go through it line by line, that that is a very good way to go through and give them all of the detail.

It was my understanding from Kevin Riley to Stan and I that the magnitude of stuff that would have to be put in here additionally was incredible. That's why we had the in-person meetings.

Q It's in fact the opposite, sir, the trustees,

you, have elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures. So you're saying all of the information is there.

There's a disclaimer here that says you've decided to omit it, right?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

A Based off of what our accountant and the family trust attorney had stated we followed exactly what they recommended, that we didn't specifically go and say let's go ahead and omit that.

We said let's follow exactly what Kevin Riley said is the proper way to account, he's an accountant, and we respected his ability to do that, as well as the Maupin, Cox & LeGoy law firm, to be able to make sure that we were handling the accounting properly.

Q Where does the buck stop, sir?

A All I can tell you is that, you know, Stan and I are not accountants. We're not attorneys. And we rely on their help to be able to help us provide all this information.

- Q The buck stops with you, doesn't it? You're the trustees, you and Stan?
- A Stan and I are the trustees.
- 23 Q The buck stops with you, sir, doesn't it?
- 24 A If you say so, but our understanding is that

what we were doing was the right thing in preparing the financials the way that we did through Kevin Riley as well as having our attorneys and accountants prepare these documents and make sure that they were consistent with state law.

Q And you're not a lawyer, you don't know if they're consistent with state law, right?

A I've heard they're consistent with state law by Kevin Riley, by the Maupin, Cox & LeGoy firm, by our counsel, and as well as your expert that you guys have.

- Q You're not a lawyer, sir, are you?
- 12 A No, I'm not a lawyer.
 - Q Okay. And so the trustees have potentially decided to omit all of the disclosures. Let's look at page 4 JSK 1121, Exhibit 72, and here we have a list of entities that the trust owns, right?

This is as of April 21st, 2013, your dad's date of death.

A Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q Yeah. And then, the list below. Just under half of the list, almost half the list, no information whatsoever about what those entities own, how much they're worth, how much debt there is or anything, is there?
 - A That is, I do see just the hyphen under both

1 columns for those assets.

2.1

Q Intentionally elected to omit substantially all of the disclosures, correct?

A This was Kevin Riley's way of depicting the fact that those properties or each one of those that are entered there, the debt exceeded the value of the property, so he put a hyphen as there was no value.

Q You said that a hundred times. That wasn't my question.

My question was that you intentionally omitted the disclosures regarding those entities, regarding what they own, what debt they have outstanding, and how much value they're worth, correct?

A No, I believe it says what they own, right there. Buckhorn Land & Livestock, the 25 percent interest. For example, Wendy is obviously familiar with Buckhorn Land & Livestock. That's the owner of the ranch. But Kevin -- but Kevin represented it that way because it, it had no value.

Q 25 percent is a statement about what the family trust owns in Buckhorn?

A Yes, the family trust owned 25 percent of Buckhorn.

Q It doesn't say what Buckhorn owns, does it?

1 A It doesn't, but we went over all that with 2 Wendy, and she's familiar with Buckhorn Land & Livestock.

2.2

Q And we can just assume that there's no written confirmation of any of those discussions, because you haven't shown them, right?

A I don't know if there's anything. All I can tell you is that we had the meetings.

Q If this is designed to fully disclose all information that materially affects the beneficiaries' interests, and Buckhorn is listed there with a hyphen, then the beneficiary is supposed to figure out what it owns, what debt it has, and how much it's worth, how?

A I believe that that could be represented in these additional pages in here.

Q Not could be. What do you know? You're the trustee. What does it say?

A I don't recall exactly what else is in the accounting right here. There's an additional 50 pages or so, but I believe there's more detail that talks about each entity as I recall.

Q Find that for me because I haven't seen that anywhere. I want to be educated about that.

A Okay. It talks a little bit about Buckhorn on page 12 about what some of the acquisition values are.

1	Q Page 12 of Exhibit 72.
2	You're saying that that list there that,
3	Buckhorn shows the values, is that what you're saying?
4	A No, I was basically saying, we were looking for
5	things for additional Buckhorn Land & Livestock.
6	Q This is as of March 31st, 2014, just a
7	duplication of the hyphen information that was provided so
8	fully to the beneficiaries, right?
9	A I was on page 13. I think you're still on 12.
10	Q I thought you said 12.
11	A I may have. I'm sorry. This starts talking
12	about Buckhorn Land & Livestock there. Got a lot of
13	detail.
14	Q Let's look at 13. There's a \$49,000 loan. It
15	says:
16	Funds transferred to Buckhorn from
17	the estate of Sam for the benefit of
18	the Sam Jaksick Trust. The amount
19	will need to be repaid to the estate.
20	A Okay. Some more detail about Buckhorn on page
21	27.
22	Q Page 27, please. Receipts of incomes, \$23,000.
23	A Yeah. It looks like he was tracking that there.
24	There's more information about Buckhorn on page 34.

1 Q That's total salary reimbursements.
2 What does that mean?
3 A I'm not, I'm not sure what that one is.

2.2

Q If you don't know, how does the beneficiary know?

A I'm trying to think whose salary that might have been. Like I said, sir, it would never be enough to truthfully be able to get enough detail in here. That's why we had the in-person meetings to go over each one of these individually.

Q All right. So you admit that these accountings do not fully disclose the administration of the trust, correct?

A What I believe is that Stan and I hired the qualified professionals that we relied on, Kevin Riley, as well as Bob LeGoy and Brian McQuaid. Said that this is how you account for an estate, a trust like this, and this is the way that you do it. And so this is what we did.

Q You admit that these accountings do not fully disclose information about the property and the administration of the trust, don't you?

A I don't think you could ever put all that information in a particular document, just an accounting, and I don't think that's what these documents were intended

- 1 to do. 2 That's a yes, right? 3 Yes, I would say that you could never put all of Α these disclosures in this. 4 5 So these accountings do not contain full 6 disclosure, do they? 7 They are consistent with what state law is. 8 this is what we were required to disclose per the state 9 law. Because these entities are so complicated and so much 10 detail going on with each one that's why we had in-person 11 meetings to go over these line by line. 12 And where are the meetings documented? Do you have a calendar that shows all the 13 14 meetings that you had? 15 There's emails, yeah. No, I said do you have a calendar that shows 16 17 where all the meetings were had? When they were at? 18 Α 19 When they occurred. Q
 - I'm asking do you have a calendar that contains something that documents that meetings occurred?

The first one that I recall --

No, I don't need that.

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Α

Q

A Very likely. Probably still on my calendar

those dates. But I have emails to the effect of when those
meetings were.

Q Where are the conservation easements that are in that accounting. Where are those?

\$19,000,000 worth of conservation easements that the beneficiaries were not told about, right?

A No, the beneficiaries were told about the conservation easements in detail. We would sit down with Wendy and show her the maps of where the conservation easements were going.

Some of those were talked about, like I said, before dad passed away. And some of them we did a lot after the fact.

You can't show all of that information in here.

I don't know exactly -- I guess what I'm saying is that we just wouldn't put that type of information on where a conservation is on a specific piece of property.

- Q They're not in the accountings, are they, sir, the conservation easements?
 - A Kevin Riley --

2.2

- Q No, sir. I'm asking you.
- A I'm going to tell you that Kevin Riley, on the conservation easements, when a conservation easement comes in, and Kevin has a debt in there with a hyphen on Buckhorn

Land & Livestock, that it is below the value, the debt
exceeds the value of the property, when the conservation
easement comes in, and pays down the debt of Buckhorn Land
Livestock, which then in turn creates the value of the
property. Then, you see, as those debts get paid down
Buckhorn Land & Livestock start showing up without a hyphen

- Q Do you believe the conservation easements have value?
- 10 A Absolutely.

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- 11 Q Then why didn't you put them in the accountings?
- 12 A I'd have to defer on that question.

and showing that it has value.

- Q Do you have any idea what's gone on with this trust?
- 15 A Absolutely, sir.
- 16 Q Okay.
 - A I've been working on this thing nonstop since dad passed away.
 - Q Well, then why can't you answer these questions?
 - A Because when we have questions like this, we have our weekly meetings. If we have accounting questions, or things like that, we are having discussions with the people who are helping us do this.
- 24 So we're relying on them. If we have a

question, we ask Kevin Riley to us or ask Bob LeGoy to
explain it to us and help us, Stan and I and Mike, to make
sure we're doing this stuff right.

2.1

2.2

Q When you have a value that a trust owns that's not listed in that accounting and you swear that the accountings are true and correct, you're misrepresenting that to everybody in this courtroom, aren't you?

A I don't believe that to be the case because there are professionals who prepared the accountings and have told us what needs to be filed, they're the ones that have provided and prepared all of the documentations for the court filings, and we rely on them to prepare those properly.

Q And you know for a fact that these accountings do not contain all the information regarding the administration.

You've already said that, correct?

A Not every discussion that we've had on Monday, and every decision that we've ever made with respect to the trust, is not in here.

Q Doesn't contain all the debts, doesn't contain all the information regarding the businesses, doesn't contain the value of the conservation easements, does it?

A I'd have to defer to Kevin Riley.

1 Q Yet you've represented that that's an accurate depiction of the trust administration, correct?

2.1

2.2

A I believe this is an accurate depiction of the accounting that was prepared for the trust.

Q I'll take that. That's a representation of the accounting you prepared.

I'm talking about full disclosure of the administration of the trust.

You've never done that, have you?

A We did what we were told to do, which was provide this document per state law, and the only way that we decided to be able to do anything in addition was to have meetings to go over it.

Q You have talked about the Crummey letters earlier, and you said this was an agreement from the beneficiaries to allow the insurance premiums to be paid.

Do you remember that?

A It was -- yes, I do recall the Crummey letters, yes, I do.

Q Crummey letters are designed as a, a tax transfer planning vehicle to allow the donations to be made into a trust, the beneficiaries the opportunity to withdraw that money, but then deny that opportunity so that an annual exclusion gift can be paid.

1 Do you know that? 2 Don't know all that terminology about that. A 3 It didn't have anything to do with the insurance Q premium, per se, it had to do with an estate gift tax 4 5 planning mechanism, didn't it? 6 A Yes, the Crummey letters weren't something that 7 we were sending like life insurance companies, is that what 8 you're saying? 9 Once they get into the trust then you can go pay 10 the life insurance or whatever you need to pay, but the opportunity to withdraw the money is required in order to 11 12 claim it as a gift for gift tax purposes? Yes. It was more -- it is, it's associated as a 13 Α 14 gift tax. The Crummey letters aren't something that go to 15 the life insurance company, my understanding. But it was 16 something that could be required to be seen by either the 17 insurance company possibly, and/or, or internal of accountants, attorneys, etc. 18 19 And you --Q THE COURT: Wait. Ladies and gentlemen, if 20 2.1 you'll stand and stretch for a moment. It's been an hour. Counsel, you may continue. 2.2 23 MR. SPENCER: Thank you.

24

BY MR. SPENCER:

1	Q	As an aside, it's a funny the Crummey letters
2	are called	that because of a case with a person named
3	Crummey, C-	-R-U-M-M-E-Y?
4		Do you know that?
5	А	I'm not sure.
6	Q	Okay. I have just wanted to put that out there.
7		Anyway, the Crummey withdrawal power is required
8	to be able	to claim that gift, and do you know the
9	mechanisms	or the mechanics of doing that?
10	A	I don't.
11	Q	Did you know that the Crummey letters must be
12	signed with	nin 30 days of the date that the gift of the
13	trust was r	nade?
14	A	I do recall that.
15	Q	That's actually in the letters themselves.
16		MR. LATTIN: No objection.
17		MR. SPENCER: May I approach, your Honor?
18		THE COURT: Yes.
19		MR. SPENCER: Madam Clerk, may I mark this
20	exhibit.	
21		THE CLERK: Exhibit 554 for identification.
22		(Exhibit Number 554 was marked for
23		identification.)
24		THE COURT: Without objection it is admitted.

1 (Exhibit Number 554 was 2 admitted into evidence.) 3 BY MR. SPENCER: You testified earlier today the Crummey letters 4 5 were signed a week after your father died, right? 6 Α Not all of them. But there were some of them 7 that I was behind on. 8 And so these date back to 2009, 2010, 2011, do 9 you see all that? I do. 10 Α At the top, 2009, April 2009 and it says: 11 Q 12 You have the right to withdraw said amount at any time within 60 days of 13 14 receipt of this notice. And payment 15 will be made to you in cash or in 16 kind, or both, within 30 days of 17 receipt of your written request for withdrawal. 18 19 I do see that. And then, the procedure typically is the 20 21 beneficiary can take their money; typically the procedure 22 is to decline to take the gift into the trust, which is 23 what y'all did? 24 Yes, every time it was always a decline because we wanted to get, dad want to keep the payments current on the insurance.

Q So not within the 30 days of the gift being made to the trust, but the week after your father's death the Crummey letters were caught up, right?

A Yes.

2.1

Q Okay. And so that would be the fact that you, you state in here that you decline -- let's look at page TJ 0250, Exhibit 554, right there at the top, you tell the other beneficiaries they needed to sign these Crummey letters also the week after your dad died?

A We, I did, yes. We were trying to get them all caught up in case Kevin, the insurance company, whoever would need them, we had them ready.

Q And these purport or at least show that they were signed back in 2009, 2010, '11 and '12, even though they were actually signed the week after your dad died?

A There's -- some of them had been signed earlier on, but then we were catching up on some of them, which were more like -- because they started being more monthly payments, so there was -- I would say from maybe December range, December through April, that they were catching up on.

Q And you told your beneficiaries of the trust

- that it was okay to sign these Crummey letters the week
 after your dad died?
 - A Yeah, we were following up on housekeeping issues and getting them done.
 - Q You've also testified that the family trust was not insolvent, correct?
 - A I believe so.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q Okay. And that was around the time of your father's death, right?
- 10 A Could very well be, yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And the debt that was paid off, whatever
 12 it was, was paid off with either the property selling and
 13 the lien being discharged or the conservation easement
 14 money, is that right?
 - A That's part of it.
 - Q So \$6 million that was outstanding, the Pioneer Group was paid off when that sold, right?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q And the -- we've heard about the Lake Tahoe transaction, and that's \$6.3 million owed to Bank of America was paid off when the SSJ Issue Trust put the money into Incline, right?
- 23 A Yes. SSJ put money into Incline. Incline paid 24 off SSJ, LLC, and then yes, it flowed to the family trust

- and then eventually paid down the debt, that's correct.
- Q That's a little shy of \$11 million that was paid down, is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.

5

6

15

16

18

19

20

- Q Just because of the nature of those transactions, right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And there were debts related to the Fly Geyser
 9 sale that were paid off at the time of sale?
- 10 A Yes, we did pay down debt at the time of the sale, correct.
- 12 Q How much debt was paid down at that time?
- 13 A I'd say close to, close to about a million dollars.
 - Q Okay. So that would be about a little shy of 12 million dollars paid down, right?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q And then you mentioned the improvements that are part of the conservation easement, but then \$15 million in cash came in from the conservation easements.
- 21 A I don't think it was quite that high. It was a
 22 little less than that but total was even higher than that
 23 with the improvements.
- Q With the improvements it was 19 million, but you

said some of that was attributed to the improvements that have been or are going to be made?

A That is correct.

2.1

Q So cash-wise it was around 15 million, wasn't it?

A I think it was less than 12 or 13 million range, 14 range.

Q Well, that was -- okay, well, we'll check that, but the -- are you saying now that there's \$6 million in improvements from the conservation easements?

A Yeah. For example, Smoke Creek loan has in excess of \$4 million.

Q All right. So 12 or \$13 million in cash used to pay down debt?

A Yes. It doesn't really come into the family trust, and then it goes back out to pay the debt.

For example, it happens right at the entity level, Buckhorn does an easement, Buckhorn gets cash, Buckhorn, who pays down its debt, and the family trust doesn't see the proceeds, but the, the obligations on those exterior entities in the contingent obligations get, start getting paid down.

Q Okay. And so that would, that would add up to, if you add that, that would be in the 24-to-25

1 million-dollar range of debt paydown?

2.1

A It's very difficult to do the math that way just because on each one of these sales there's taxes that are due on the sale of the property or the sale of the easements. There's interest carrying, there's expenses, so not all of the income that was generated from some of these sales paid off all the debt. But, yes, it's -- for the most part.

Q Using trust property to pay down trust debt, right?

A Right. It's there, for example, in Buckhorn, the trust had a 25 percent ownership. So the trust has a 25 percent ownership, but it's not a full 100 percent ownership. So we have to get other partners to agree within these entities to be able to agree to be able to put a conservation easement on the properties as well so we can receive the income to pay down debt.

Q And let's -- let's shift over now to, you were asked questions about the Exhibit 14, the ACPA there, do you recall that one?

A Yes, I see it.

Q And you said that there were discussions outside of what's written in this ACPA?

A Yes.

Q Where is the documentation that those discussions occurred?

2.1

A Didn't document the discussions, there's probably texts showing when we were reading and things like that.

Q And at the time this occurred, you were in a big hurry to get it done, right, and I'm talking about the Exhibit 14 ACPA?

A We wanted to get it done to get a decision finalized so we knew what we were doing. If it was going to be approved by Stan and Wendy, then we were going to go one route, and if it wasn't approved, then we would go a different route.

Q And we saw earlier that last week the effort that was made to get the insurance proceeds immediately the day after your father died, right?

A We did we talked about that, and I mentioned the fact that I contacted Geoff Grenert, to let him know that dad passed away. And then we talked about the fact that we had the letter that insurance, insurance had lapsed.

Q Um-hum. And big hurry to get this done, June 5th, 2013, within six weeks of your father's death, right?

A We needed to make this decision so we knew what path that the family trust and the Issue Trust was going to

1 take, that is correct.

2.1

2.2

Q Um-hum. Didn't bother to use the funds, though, until March of 2014, did you?

A Could you say that -- that is confusing. I'm sorry.

Q You paid off the B of A mortgage in March of 2014, right?

A That was when we did the refinance. But are you asking when we started to utilize the \$6 million?

Q \$4.9 million paid to B of A, March of 2014, correct?

A No.

Q Okay.

A What I recall was less than that. The reason why this was important was because the family, the family trust at this time had no money. No cash. As well as the income from Bronco Billy's that normally was coming in, wasn't able to come back to the family trust anymore. The casino operation was holding those funds, so we didn't have any income coming into the family trust, and it didn't have any funds.

So what we started doing with the \$6 million is once we agreed that we could use some or all of it for a purchase into Incline TSS based off the appraised value, we

started flowing money in probably about July. And I think that you said that it was March of 2014. But it was really probably July or August of 2013.

2.1

2.2

So money started flowing in from SSJ Issue

Trust, to Incline TSS, to SSJ, LLC, and then to the family

trust, and those proceeds that were coming in, was what

funded the family trust through that March time frame.

Q You were spending Issue Trust money through Incline TSS back to the family trust, is that right?

A Yes, it would make, it would come from SSJ Issue into Incline TSS, to SSJ, LLC, as I recall, and then it got back into the family trust because there was a differential between what the debt was owed on the property, which was \$6.3 million due to Bank of America, and the differential between the \$6.3 million and the \$7.2 million purchase price, which was approximately a hundred thousand dollars. And those funds needed to go back into the family trust.

Q Where is that disclosed in the ACPA. It's not, is it?

A I don't see that. We didn't have that game plan in there, in the ACPA. It was just that we could use some or all of the funds to acquire interest in Incline TSS.

Q You know as a, a trustee, you're supposed to update the beneficiaries regarding when and how those funds

were supposed to be used, right?

A I did.

2.1

2.2

Q Where?

A Because it was the only place that money was coming from, and everybody knew the game plan where, how the funds were coming in to keep the family trust alive from about that July time frame through March of 2014.

I mean, it was really apparent and obvious where and how Kevin Riley had structured where the funds were going to flow through since the money was able to be generated in the family trust.

Q There's no written disclosure anywhere, or at least around this ACPA, regarding a notice of proposed action or an ACPA relating to pulling the money from the Issue Trust into Incline, back to SSJ, LLC, back to the family trust, how all that is going to be documented in the way of notes or distributions.

There's nothing like that, is there?

A That document you just had on the screen says that, that we're going to use some or all of the funds to buy into Incline TSS.

And that's what was happening, is when they were transferring funds into Incline TSS, that was moving them towards their purchase of the property.

1 My question was is there is a notice of proposed Q 2 action or an agreement and consent to proposed action 3 regarding those issues? Bob LeGoy and Brian McQuaid said we didn't need 4 5 to do an additional ACPA to address that. 6 And you signed that ACPA in your individual Q 7 capacity, didn't you? 8 Α It's possible. 9 Well, look at page 3 of Exhibit 14. Q 10 Α Okay. Right there. Primary beneficiaries. 11 Q 12 Yes, I do see that. Α In fact, you have signed all the ACPAs in your 13 0 14 individual capacity, didn't you? 15 I'm not sure. Brian McQuaid prepared these so I'm not sure how he did each one. I'm not sure. 16 17 Just we won't go through them all, but just turn 0 to Exhibit 15, please, the signature page. 18 19 Todd individually, right? I think we were the primary -- Stan, Wendy, and 20 2.1 I were the primary beneficiaries. And I see where it says Todd B. Jaksick. 2.2

And one more exhibit. Exhibit 16, signature

23

24

page.

You signed that in your individually capacity, didn't you?

2.1

A I see that, primary beneficiaries again, yes.

Q And you never in your individual capacity stopped and said, hey, wait a minute, this is wrong or Wendy, you might not want to sign this because you don't know everything, or we're going to do something else, you never did any of that, did you?

A That was the whole purpose of having an ACPA, was Wendy, this, this is what we're thinking we're doing, take a look at this, do you like the concept, if you do, great, let's sign it, that's why they're called agreements of consent. And if she didn't like it then we wouldn't go forward with the transaction.

Q Answer my question, please.

In your individual capacity when you were signing these documents, you never stopped and said hey, Wendy, you know, you may not want to sign this because, or didn't tell her to think about this, that, or the other, did you?

A I didn't think of things that way.

Q All right. And so you acquiesced in the signing of that document in your individual capacity, too, didn't you?

- A If that's, that's a technical term. I guess

 it's possible. I see my name as Todd B. Jaksick.
 - Q And were you making representations in relation to those ACPAs as trustee, in your individual capacity, both or what?
 - A Everything that we did on those ACPAs was all based off of trust decisions.
 - Q And you, the trust decisions based upon the team meetings, right?
- 10 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

2.1

- 11 Q Um-hum.
- 12 A Um-hum.
- 13 Q And you participated in those, didn't you?
- 14 A I did.
- 15 Q And it's true, isn't it, that you signed your 16 name, your dad's name to documents?
- 17 A I did. When I had his power of attorney.
- 18 Q And you signed some documents before you had his 19 power of attorney, right?
 - A No, the date was inaccurate on those, on those water deeds that we had showed Pierre Hascheff's office.
- 22 | Q So you backdated that, right?
- 23 A No, I did not backdate those. The date was 24 improper when I signed them on December the 28th.

Q And you were the intermediary between your father and Pierre, weren't you?

2.1

2.2

A I wouldn't say I necessarily was intermediary. It depends on what transaction or things you're talking about.

With Pierre we had a whole host of different transactions we were working on with various entities. And dad and I would go to meetings with Pierre. Sometimes I would just go to meetings with Pierre.

You have to be more specific as to what you're talking about. Because there was a lot of time spent with Pierre on dozens and dozens and dozens of transactions.

Q You contacted Pierre on behalf of your dad, didn't you?

A Sometimes, depending on, for example, a

Jackrabbit transaction that we were working on. Dad and I

would go down and talk to Pierre about the transaction and
then there was times where I was working on the Jackrabbit

transactions myself, and when we got down towards the end
of transactions, my dad would always be involved in making
the decision.

Q I know you want to say that. That wasn't my question.

My question was you contacted Pierre on behalf

1 of your dad, didn't you? 2 A And I'm saying on behalf of entities, yes, I did. 3 On behalf of your dad? 4 5 A On behalf of my dad? I never looked at it that 6 way. I just was working with Pierre to get some of our deals done. 7 8 Q And Pierre worked on documents of your dad's 9 with you, didn't he? 10 A For entities that we were working on 11 transactions. Of your dad? 12 0 13 Α Of the entities. 14 MR. SPENCER: I'll show you this, and then I'll 15 be finished. 16 I'll need, Madam Clerk, Volume I of Mr. Jaksick's deposition. 17 THE CLERK: Yes. 18 19 Deposition opened and published. 20 MR. SPENCER: May I approach? 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. 23 BY MR. SPENCER: 24 Q Let me ask you this.

1	There were times that you went to Pierre and
2	your dad was not there, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q All right. let me have you look at page 24 of
5	your Volume I of your deposition taken on August 13th of
6	2018.
7	A Okay. I'm there.
8	Q And I'm referring you to page 24, line 2, and
9	you said about you talked to Pierre on behalf of your
10	dad about entities.
11	My question was, or the question was:
12	So you did contact Pierre on behalf
13	of your dad, though?
14	A Okay.
15	Q And your answer was what?
16	A "Yes."
17	Q (Reading.)
18	So not just the entities, on behalf
19	of your dad, right?
20	A (Reading.)
21	Yeah, dad would have me call Pierre
22	to do, help to do some of the work on
23	the transactions that we were working
24	on.

1	Q	Would you go ahead and read the rest of your
2	answer.	
3	A	(Reading.)
4		Yes, I would say that over the times,
5		there was definitely times that I
6		would be asked to go and work on
7		something.
8	Q	And then the question was:
9		And then Pierre would work with you
10		on that document?
11	A	Read it again.
12	Q	I'm sorry. Line 7.
13	A	I know. Do you have want me to read it again?
14	Q	(Reading.)
15		And then Pierre would work with you
16		on that document?
17		And then you answered?
18	A	(Reading.)
19		Yes. Pierre and I would work on
20		certain things. And then dad would
21		come in and be involved, or there is
22		times obviously that dad goes down,
23		and I'm not there. But, you know, I
24		mean, it was just of a working,

1	following basically dad's directions
2	to get some of the things done and
3	completed.
4	Q (Reading.)
5	There were times that you went to
6	Pierre, though, that your dad wasn't
7	there?
8	And your answer was what?
9	A (Reading.)
10	Yes.
11	MR. SPENCER: Your Honor, I pass the witness.
12	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, during this
13	recess. Please do not discuss this case amongst
14	yourselves.
15	Please do not form or express any opinion about
16	this matter until it is submitted to you.
17	We'll be in recess until 10:30.
18	(Whereupon a recess was taken.)
19	(Whereupon the following proceedings were
20	had outside the presence of the jury.)
21	THE COURT: We're in the courtroom be seated,
22	if you'd like out of the jury's presence.
23	Deputy Koss is present. I learned 30 seconds
24	ago from Deputy Koss that one of our jurors yesterday

1 claims to have fallen in the courthouse, and may be 2 ascribing some liability to the court, and is claiming some 3 form of injury. 4 And so when that was reported to Deputy Koss 5 this morning -- you acquired paperwork to complete an 6 incident report or something of that nature? 7 That's the extent of my knowledge, Counsel. 8 THE BAILIFF: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. I just don't ever want to know anything that counsel does not know. 10 11 MR. ROBISON: Can I give him my card? 12 THE COURT: So I don't know that there's 13 anything else to do or say, but I just want you to know. 14 And I'll keep you informed along the way. 15 MR. LATTIN: The injury that they're saying occurred doesn't impact any ability to sit or anything like 16 17 that? THE COURT: All I know is there were injuries. 18 19 Do you know what part of, his body part that he's making claim to? 20 2.1 THE BAILIFF: He's claiming soreness in his 22 right wrist area and his right torso. Upper right leg 23 area. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Bring in the jury, please.

1	(Whereupon the following proceedings were
2	had in the presence of the jury.)
3	THE COURT: If you'll all be seated, please.
4	Counsel, you may commence your redirect
5	examination.
6	
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	
9	BY MR. ROBISON:
10	Q I have one question for you so we can get this
11	case to the jury.
12	Do you mind if I don't ask you any questions?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's fine by me, sir.
14	MR. ROBISON: No questions.
15	MR. SPENCER: No further questions, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: You may step down.
17	MR. ROBISON: But you still have to be here.
18	I call Bob LeGoy as our next witness.
19	(Whereupon the witness was sworn.)
20	///
21	///
22	///
23	///
24	///

1	BOB LEGOY,
2	called as a witness in said case,
3	having been first duly sworn, was
4	examined and testified as follows:
5	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	
8	BY MR. ROBISON:
9	Q Good morning.
10	A Good morning.
11	Q Please state your full name.
12	A Bob LeGoy, L-E-G-O-Y.
13	Q What's your business or occupation, sir?
14	A I'm an attorney.
15	Q How long have you been an attorney?
16	A 42 and a half years.
17	Q Would you please give the jury a description of
18	your educational background?
19	A Yeah, I graduated from the University of Nevada
20	in accounting in 1973. And that was such a long time ago
21	that Nevada didn't have a law school. So I went to the
22	University of Notre Dame Law School in northwestern
23	Indiana.
24	Q And when did you graduate from law school?

1 1976. Α 2 With your accounting degree have you pursued CPA 3 credentials? I have not. 4 Α 5 Q And so the accounting degree and the law degree, 6 what field of law have you found your practice in? 7 Α I call myself a tax lawyer. 8 With what firm are you affiliated? 9 I'm with the law firm of Maupin, Cox & LeGoy law Α firm, and I've been with that same firm ever since I 10 11 started practicing in 1976. 12 Is one of the major areas of practice concentration at your firm estate planning? 13 14 Α It is. 15 How many lawyers in your firm engage in that 16 kind of practice? 17 We have 10. Α That do estate planning? 18 Q 19 Estate planning and help trustees administer Α trust and help executors administer estates. 20 2.1 Q Does that include drafting trust documents, sir? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And does it include drafting wills and other 24 estate testamentary-type documents?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q While you've been at the firm, can you give us a rough estimate of how many trusts your firm may have prepared for local residents?
- 5 A I have no idea. But I'm, I've done hundreds 6 myself.
- 7 Q All right. Are you also licensed in California?
- 8 A I am licensed in California.
- 9 Q How long have you been licensed to practice law in the State of California?
- 11 A Since 1977.
- 12 Q And do you hold any special, what are those called, special --
- 14 A Yeah, I'm certified as a tax law specialist in
 15 the State of California. I had to practice more than 50
 16 percent of the time for five years, and I had to pass a
 17 special bar exam to get that certification.
- 18 Q That's above and beyond the bar exam that the
 19 rest of us take to be a lawyer?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q You've been nominated for how many years in the 22 Super Lawyers in the Western United States?
- 23 A I don't know when I was first nominated.
- 24 Q Been several years that you've received that

1 designation? 2 Α Yes. 3 And you also then have been designated by your peers to be among the best lawyers in America with respect 4 5 to your practice, correct? 6 A Yes. 7 Q Did you know Sam Jaksick? 8 Α Sam? 9 Yes, sir. Q 10 Α Yes, sir. I was hired to represent him for a period of time. 11 12 Do you know the period of time that you represented Mr. Jaksick? 13 14 Yeah, I represented him from 2006 to probably, 15 sometime in 2010. 16 Would you describe for the jury what kind of individual he was from a business standpoint? 17 He, I call him the Great Sam Jaksick because he 18 19 was an extraordinary businessman. He is the most 20 successful residential real estate developer in the history 21 of Reno. He developed Lakeridge, Caughlin Ranch, Montreux. 2.2 An extraordinary businessman and an extraordinary person. 23 Did you get to know his propensities with

respect to how he did things and documenting his estate?

24

1 A Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q Are you the author of the 2006 Restated Trust Agreement, sir?

A Yes.

Q Are also the author of the SSJ Issue Trust?

A Yes.

Q Tell us about the complexity from your perspective of the SSJ Issue Trust?

A The SSJ Issue Trust is unique in the sense that nothing is ever to be distributed from that trust to its beneficiaries. A trustee manages it, and it's to hold properties that can then be used by family members.

Mr. Sam Jaksick wanted some particular special ranch properties that he had up in northwestern Nevada. He wanted to preserve them, he hoped forever, for his family, and so that's why that trust is designed that way.

Q Now that trust enumerates 28 separate paragraphs that describe the powers given to the trustee.

Describe what the powers clauses are generally for us, please.

A The, Nevada is unique in that Nevada is one of the, is either the first or the best state in the United States for a person to set up their trust because Nevada has statutes that our legislature has enacted, statutes,

actually black-and-white laws, and the Governor has signed
them, to allow people to design their trusts about any way
that they want and have a great deal of freedom.

So those 28 powers, when we draft them, they're very broad.

They give the trustee in charge very broad powers as to how to manage and dispose of the properties that are in the trust.

- Q Now that instance Sam designated whom to be the sole and exclusive trustee?
- A He did.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- 12 Q He designated who?
 - A I'm sorry. I don't hear that well, and I didn't bring my hearing aids. I apologize. He designated his son Todd Jaksick to be the sole trustee of the SSJ Issue Trust.
 - Q Do you know why?
 - A Well, I think Sam had worked closely with all three of his kids -- Wendy, Stan and Todd.

And I think on the ranching properties and on a lot of other business and investments he worked very closely with Todd. And he, he felt like Todd would do the best job of any person, not just among his family members, but of any person or any entity to manage the assets that were in that trust.

And, and we even talked to him about the fact that hey, Todd is going to have a conflict of interest because he's going to be a trustee, and he's going to be a beneficiary. And, and Sam said that's fine. He'll do a great job.

2.1

Q In most trusts that you're familiar with,

Mr. LeGoy, is it common that the successor trustee is also
a beneficiary?

A In our practice in the State of Nevada it's very common that a family member serve as a trustee and that that family member is also a beneficiary of the trust.

It's very common.

Q All right. And so in that instance, if one becomes a successor trustee like Todd did in the family trust, he has to look out for the interests of his beneficiaries of that trust, and he himself might be a beneficiary, yet he's a trustee for other beneficiaries.

How does that work out in terms of conflict of interest?

A Well, it's a conflict of interest, but his first priority has to be to the beneficiaries. And, and so he, he's, he operates the trust with the beneficiaries in mind. And, and but of course he's a beneficiary, so he can conduct business with the trust on his own, but it has to

1 be fair to the beneficiaries.

2.1

Q We have in evidence, and the jury will be able to see Exhibit 10, which is the SSJ Issue Trust, why does Sam give Todd all of the powers enumerated in those 28 paragraphs?

A Because as the term trust means, you turn it over to somebody that you trust. Trustee is somebody you trust. So obviously he had complete trust in Todd to operate that trust.

Q Now as we fast forward in time, are you aware that Sam's death was approximately April 21st, 2013?

A Yes.

Q All right. And then did your firm become involved in providing legal assistance and consultation for the co-trustees of the family trust?

A Yes.

Q And did you also provide legal services and advice with respect to Todd's administration of the SSJ Issue Trust?

A Yes.

Q Are you aware of a decision that was made to take life insurance proceeds of which the Issue Trust was beneficiary and put those into the entity that owned the Lake Tahoe house?

1 A Yes.

2.1

Q Tell us about that, please.

A Yeah, so when people -- when wealthy people buy life insurance, if they just own the life insurance, then when they die, it's taxed in their estate, at an estate tax rate of 40 percent. The Federal government takes 40 percent of that life insurance.

So what we tax lawyers do is we'll set up an irrevocable trust, very carefully designed and drafted trust to own that life insurance. And we name an independent person as the trustee. We don't want the insured to be the trustee.

So Sam set up the SSJ Issue Trust, naming Todd as the trustee. And then Todd as the trustee went and applied for a \$6 million life insurance policy on Sam's life.

Then when Sam passed away, that \$6 million came into the SSJ Issue Trust free of estate taxes. We saved \$2.4 million in taxes for the family by very carefully structuring the SSJ Issue Trust.

So in a life insurance trust, when you do one of these life insurance trusts, most of these wealthy people have purchased the life insurance so that it will pay their estate taxes, or it will pay other creditors of the estate.

And you have to get the money out of the trust back to the family trust or back to the will, so that it can pay those, those expenses. You don't pay the creditors or the tax directly out of the SSJ Issue Trust.

So what a lot of these trusts will do, they'll buy assets from the family trust or from the estate so that the cash ends up where it's needed, to pay the creditors.

So what Todd did was with the consent, the written consent of Stan and Wendy, he bought what had been his father's house at Lake Tahoe. He bought 54 percent of it.

Now that house at Lake Tahoe had a huge --

- Q The Issue Trust bought the 54 percent?
- A Yes. The SSJ Issue Trust.
- Q With the insurance proceeds?

2.1

2.2

A With the insurance proceeds. Bought the house from -- bought the house, and paid down the debt on that house.

Mr. Sam Jaksick had over 6 million in debt on that house. And so the, the life insurance proceeds, 5 million of the life insurance proceeds were used to pay down that debt.

And that brought that Lake Tahoe house back into the family, brought it into the SSJ Issue Trust where it

- could be retained for 365 years for the use and benefit of Sam's family.
- And, and they understand that, how it was owned.
- 4 I mean --

8

13

14

15

16

17

20

2.1

- 5 Q How it was zoned?
- 6 A How it was owned. You can't hear any better
 7 than I can.
 - Q Okay. We're not going to do that again.
- 9 A I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
- 10 Q Were you particularly supportive of the Issue
 11 Trust using the insurance proceeds to buy into the entity
 12 that owned the Lake Tahoe house?
 - A Absolutely. For the type of work I do SSJ Issue Trust has been a home run. Saved the family about \$3 million in taxes, and it's preserved -- Sam's favorite ranching properties and 54 percent of his Lake Tahoe house forever -- for 365 years.
- 18 Q So the Issue Trust has an asset, \$6 million in
 19 life insurance proceeds.
 - So it got 54 percent of an asset with that \$5 million, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q And now there's been testimony the house is
 worth anywhere from \$16 to 18 million, which means that 54

percent interest appreciated by some \$4 million. 1 2 Does that make sense? 3 That's a beautiful thing. Α That's good thing for the Issue Trust, right? 4 Q 5 Α No, that, that trust will never again, what's in 6 that trust will not, for 365 years, be subject to death 7 taxes again as it rolls through the generations. 8 So that appreciation is going to stay in that 9 family without ever being subjected to death taxes. 10 Now with respect to the family trust, you mentioned that you were involved in drafting the 2006 11 12 restated trust for Sam Jaksick? 13 Α Yes. 14 And did that necessitate several meetings 15 between you and he and an analysis of his estate? 16 Α Yes. 17 And what's the purpose of that family trust, 18 sir? 19 Well, the family trust, it's a revocable and amendable trust while the person is alive. And it's, it's 20 2.1 your basic estate planning document. 2.2 It directs how all of your assets that you will 23 own, that you don't put in a trust like this SSJ Issue 24 Trust or give to your kids, all the assets you own, how

1 they're going to be distributed upon your death.

Who are the beneficiaries going to be? How long are they going to stay in trust? How long are the assets going to stay in trust? Or are they going to be distributed right away? And who is the trustee going to be to manage the, the assets that are in that trust?

Q So once you draft and get the signatures on the 2006 restated trust agreement, fast forward.

Were you involved in any efforts to amend it by and through the Second Amendment?

A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Q Do you recall that Sam asked you there at the end of 2012 for assistance with respect to amending his estate plan?

A And, I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question.

Q I'm sorry. Do you recall Sam attempted to utilize your services to amend the 2006 restated trust agreement?

A Yeah. I vaguely remember that.

You're asking me if I was asked --

Q Yes, sir.

A -- about -- I vaguely remember that I was. And I was not able to do that is my vague recollection.

Q Do you recall that he had a sense of urgency

1 about the need to amend that trust?

2.1

2.2

A The Great Sam Jaksick always had a sense of urgency about everything.

And I understand that he was going in for an operation, some sort of medical procedure. And I found that out at a later time. And I don't think I knew that at the time. Because if I had known that he had a medical issue, then I think I probably would have dropped everything to try to help him out.

Q All right. Now after Sam's passing in April of 2013, did your firm help Todd administer the SSJ Issue Trust?

A Yes, we did.

Q And did your firm help Todd and his brother Stan, together with Kevin Riley as co-trustees, administer the family trust?

A We did.

Q And looking back during your involvement, sir, would you describe for the jury how Todd performed as the co-trustee of the family trust?

MR. SPENCER: That's vague and broad.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I think that, that Todd Jaksick and with, you know, help from his brother Stan and also

from their accountant Kevin Riley, have done an astounding job on administering Sam Jaksick's family trust. And the reason I say that is because I think, unbeknownst to everybody, and unbeknownst to us, Sam died with about 30 million or more in liabilities.

2.1

When we first looked at his estate, we thought it was probably insolvent. We didn't, we couldn't see really how they would be able to pay off all the liabilities and have anything left at the end. As a matter of fact, somebody in our firm said look, I think they should just sell everything and pay as many creditors as they can and be done with it.

And so Todd and Stan and Kevin have worked extraordinarily hard to, to pay down these liabilities, to manage and pay down these liabilities. And they've done an astounding job.

There's going to be an estate left at the end of the day. And I think they satisfied a large number of creditors who are, are -- probably feel good that they have got their money.

Q Throughout the administration of family trusts, sir, has your firm always been ready, willing, and able to discuss all aspects of that trust and its administration with Wendy Jaksick?

1 Stan and Todd instructed us early on that if she Α 2 had any questions of us to take her phone calls and talk to 3 her. And how has Kevin Riley done as the accounting 4 5 person for that family trust? 6 A I think Kevin Riley is a genius. He has done an 7 incredible job, and I don't think that Stan and Todd could 8 have accomplished what they accomplished in managing and 9 paying down these liabilities without his help. 10 He seemed like he, he knew every answer about 11 every asset and every debt any time you wanted to ask him a 12 question. 13 Are you aware of any prohibition, restriction or 14 limitation on Wendy Jaksick's ability to call Kevin Riley 15 and ask questions? 16 None. I think he had the same green light that 17 we had to take her phone calls and speak with her any time that she wanted. 18 19 Thank you, sir. 0 20 MR. ROBISON: No further questions. 2.1 THE COURT: Hold on a moment. 2.2 Any questions, Mr. Lattin?

MR. LATTIN: No questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Hosmer-Henner?

23

24

1	MR. HOSMER-HENNER: No questions, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
3	Mr. Spencer, you may cross.
4	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	
7	BY MR. SPENCER:
8	Q Mr. LeGoy, how are you this morning?
9	A I'm fine. Thanks. How are you?
10	Q Good. Do you have any idea why you mentioned
11	that you represented Sam from '06 to 2010, right?
12	A Right. Right.
13	Q Do you have any idea why he stopped going to you
14	for his estate planning work in 2010?
15	A I do not.
16	Q Okay. And you're aware of Mr. Hascheff, aren't
17	you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Pierre Hascheff?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you never had any conversations with Pierre
22	Hascheff prior to Sam's death regarding Sam's estate
23	planning, did you?
24	A No.

1 Pierre Hascheff never contacted you about any of 2 Sam's estate planning work that you had done for him prior 3 to him taking over, did he? 4 Α No. 5 And you were not aware of the estate planning 6 work that Mr. Hascheff had done for Sam prior to Sam's 7 death, were you? 8 Α No. 9 You were aware, though, that some work was done Q to change what you had prepared for Sam, right; you became 10 11 aware of that? 12 Not until after he passed away, I wasn't. Α I'm sorry. I wasn't clear. 13 0 14 At some point you became aware of that, it was 15 after Sam's death? 16 Α Yes. Okay. And when you mentioned earlier that you 17 represented Todd as trustee of the Issue Trust and then the 18 19 co-trustees of the family trust, did you learn about the other amendments to the 2006 restated version of the family 20 2.1 trust? 2.2 And, I'm sorry, I didn't follow the question. 23 apologize.

You mentioned you were representing the trustees

24

1 after Sam's death? 2 Yeah. Α 3 Did you become aware of the various amendments to the 2006 restated trust that you did? 4 5 Α I became aware of one amendment. 6 Q The second amendment? 7 Α Yes. 8 So you did you not know about the amendments in 9 between, did you? 10 Α No. 11 And you were told that the second amendment was 12 the applicable amendment, is that right? Yes. 13 Α 14 And so you operated, when you were representing 15 the co-trustees of the family trust, you operated under the 16 assumption that that was the operative -- the trust you did in that second member of the operative documents? 17 That's right. 18 Α You mentioned that you really liked Sam as a 19 20 person? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 And you came to learn that he loved each of his 23 kids, right? 24 Α Yes.

1 Q And he never wanted to disinherit Wendy in any 2 way, did he?

A He, in the 2006 family trust that I drafted he gave her a reduced amount.

Q My question was do you know of any reason why Sam would want to disinherit Wendy?

A No.

2.1

2.2

Q And there was a reduction, you mentioned the reduction, but as far as excluding her or treating her differently than Todd and Stan, you wouldn't know of any reason for that, would you?

A The only way that he treated her differently is she was not named as a co-trustee, and she had the reduction in the amount that she was to receive from his estate.

Q Okay. When you were representing the trustees of the family trust, the co-trustees, it was up to Todd and Stan to disclose information to the beneficiaries of the family trust, regarding the administrative matters, wasn't it?

A Nevada statute requires that the trustees give the beneficiaries an accounting once a year.

Q That wasn't my question, sir.

A Well, that's the disclosure requirement.

1 The typical procedure for informing the Q 2 beneficiaries of the Jaksick Family Trust about 3 administrative matters coming out of team meetings was on Todd and Stan, wasn't it? 4 5 Α And I'm sorry, would you please repeat the 6 question. I apologize. 7 Yes. The typical procedure for informing the 8 beneficiaries of the Jaksick Family Trust about 9 administrative matters coming out of those team meetings was left to Todd and Stan, wasn't it? 10 And I'd say and Kevin. 11 Α 12 Well, but it wasn't you, it wasn't your 13 obligation, or you didn't take on the role of providing 14 information, did you? 15 Α No. Okay. And possibly Kevin would be the, involved 16 17 with that disclosure, would you say that? You're saying when -- if somebody was going to 18 Α 19 communicate with Wendy about trust activities, you want to know who it is that would do that, is that the question? 20 2.1 I'm unsure of the question. 2.2 0 That's fine. You got it. 23 Who was assigned that role?

Yeah, it would have been -- any of us could have

24

Α

answered any questions. But the people who would have reached out to her would have been Todd or Stan or Kevin, the co-trustees.

Q Do you recall me asking that question and the typical procedure for informing beneficiaries of the Jaksick Family Trust about administrative matters coming out of team meetings, that was left to Todd and Stan?

A Okay. I, yeah, I didn't -- you're saying that that was my testimony in my deposition?

- Q Yes, sir.
- 11 A Yeah. All right.
- 12 Q You don't dispute that?
- 13 A No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q Okay. And in your role as attorneys for those trustees, you believe that you fully informed Todd of his fiduciary duties and his roles as trustee, right?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q And you fully informed Stan of his, and that would be -- let me back up.

Todd's role as trustee of the Issue Trust and the co-trustee of the family trust, you informed him of his duties?

- 23 A Yes.
- 24 | Q And you fully informed Stan of his duties as a

1 co-trustee of the family trust? 2 Α Stan? 3 Yes. Q 4 Α Yes. 5 Q You have fully informed Kevin Riley during his 6 tenure of his fiduciary duties as co-trustee of the family trust? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 And you fully informed Michael Kimmel of his Q fiduciary obligations as co-trustee of the family trust? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 So they knew, they all knew their obligations as 13 fiduciaries, correct? 14 Α Yes. 15 And do you recall the indemnification agreement? Q 16 Α Yes. And do you recall that you were asked at one 17 Q point to determine the scope of that indemnification 18 19 agreement and how it would apply in the administration of 20 the family trust? 2.1 Α Yes. 22 And you refused to do that, didn't you? 0 23 Yes. Α 24 You refused to do that because it created Q

1 conflict between your clients which would have been Todd
2 and Stan as co-trustees.

A They -- ask the question again, please. I'm sorry.

Q That's okay. Yeah, you refused to try and decipher or determine the scope of the indemnification agreement and how it applied in the administration of the family trust because it was a point of contention and created a conflict between Todd and Stan each as co-trustees?

A That's right. When they, when they got into a dispute over the indemnification agreement, you know, we told them we would not give them any opinions with respect to that agreement.

Q And that, that conflict created or creates a situation where you could not determine or opine about the application of the indemnity agreement?

A That was the conclusion we reached.

Q And one or both -- you asked one or both of Todd and Stan to find independent counsel or separate counsel, right?

A Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q And you do not understand or know the scope of that indemnification agreement even today, do you, Todd's

1 indemnification agreement and what it covers? 2 Α No. 3 In relation to, you know the, you know of the 4 ACPAs, right? 5 Α Yes. 6 And in relation to the Exhibit 14 ACPA, do you 7 not know what disclosures or what information was conveyed 8 to the beneficiaries in relation to signing that one, which 9 is the life insurance proceeds transaction? 10 The life insurance proceeds to purchase the Tahoe house? 11 12 0 Yes. Yeah. I don't know exactly what conversations 13 Α 14 surrounded that, the execution of that document. 15 Okay. And would that be true of all the ACPAs, you do not know what the disclosures or information is that 16 was conveyed to the beneficiaries? 17 That would be true. 18 Α 19 I want to ask you about Exhibit 16 in particular. Exhibit 14 -- let me back up. 20 2.1 Exhibit 14 was prepared by your office? 2.2 Α I don't have the exhibits in front of me. 23 I'll pull it up. Sorry. 0 24 This Exhibit 14 was prepared by your office.

1 This is the Lake Tahoe life insurance proceeds. 2 Let me --3 I can't hear. I can't see, and I can't think 4 very far. 5 Q I'm going to get you a hard copy. 6 That's what 42 years of practicing law will do 7 for you. Sorry. 8 MR. SPENCER: May I approach, your Honor? 9 Let me give you a hard copy. THE WITNESS: That would be easier for me. 10 11 Thank you. BY MR. SPENCER: 12 13 Exhibit 14. 0 14 Α Okay. 15 That was one that I believe your office 0 16 prepared. 17 Α I believe that's correct, yes. And then Exhibit 15, I believe that was one that 18 your office prepared? 19 20 Α Yes. Exhibit -- Exhibit 16, you believe that Todd 2.1 Q 22 Jaksick prepared that one, right? 23 No, I think I prepared 16. 24 Q And you believe that, because on the second page

1 it says Maupin, Cox & LeGoy in the paragraph there, the 2 paragraph --3 That's correct. And so because of that you believe you prepared 4 5 it, or I'm sorry, your office prepared it? 6 A Yes. 7 MR. SPENCER: One moment, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 BY MR. SPENCER: 10 While we're getting your deposition, let me ask Q you just a few questions. 11 12 MR. SPENCER: Open and publish, your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 THE CLERK: Deposition opened and published. 15 BY MR. SPENCER: 16 In the original of your deposition, taken January 21st of 2019, do you see that, do you have that? 17 Α Yes. 18 19 And I refer you to page 64. Q 20 Α Okay. 21 Q. Line 10 of page 64. 22 And I asked you in your deposition, flipping 23 over to Exhibit 16: 24 Do you know who prepared the, that

1		ACPA?
2		And you said what?
3	A	I said:
4		I don't know who prepared it.
5	Q	(Reading.)
6		Someone at your law firm; would that
7		be right?
8		And what did you say?
9	A	I said:
10		Well, that's what it says in the last
11		paragraph, but I don't remember. I
12		don't have any recollection of this.
13	Q	All right. And line 17.
14		Okay. I take from that
15		And read very slowly line 18 as your answer.
16	A	(Reading.)
17		I actually thought Todd prepared it.
18	Q	Keep going.
19	A	It says:
20		But it says our firm prepared it, so
21		maybe someone in our firm prepared
22		it.
23	Q	(Reading.)
24		But you did not prepare it?

1	А	(Reading.)
2		Not that I remember.
3	Q	All right. And then flipping over to page 66.
4	Line 20.	
5		Do you have any personal knowledge
6		regarding how Exhibit 16 came to be
7		prepared?
8		And your answer was?
9	A	(Reading.)
10		I don't. Like I say, it's my
11		recollection that Todd prepared it.
12	Q	And I said: "Okay" and you said?
13	A	(Reading.)
14		But I can't remember why I think
15		that.
16	Q	All right. And, and you don't know how the
17	signatures	on that document were obtained, do you?
18	А	No.
19	Q	This signature page so let me ask you this.
20		In January at your deposition, you thought that
21	Exhibit 16	was prepared by Todd, right?
22		Do you see that now?
23	A	Yes, I see that. But it was a vague
24	recollectio	on.

1	Q Sure. And you had received, you had received a
2	an email from Jessica Clayton, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Prior to this.
5	Exhibit 205, please. It's admitted.
6	Do you recall this?
7	A Where is that?
8	Q I'll get you a hard copy.
9	A Sorry to delay, everybody.
10	Q That's perfectly fine.
11	A I can see okay.
12	Q Let me give it to you.
13	A Okay. Thank you. 205?
14	Q Yes, sir. And you can see this is an email from
15	Jessica Clayton to, it looks like Kevin and you?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q Do you kind of recall this email?
18	A Well
19	Q It says:
20	Todd and I
21	A Well, it was six years ago, so I can't say that
22	I recall receiving the thing.
23	Q It says:
24	Todd and I scurried last night to try

1	and write-up a similar Agreement and
2	Consent to Proposed Action with
3	regard to the trust making the loan
4	payments. Bob, sorry for copying
5	your form, but we weren't sure what
6	to do, and we already sent the checks
7	out yesterday.
8	And then you see attached to that is a two-page
9	document that is, appears to be an Agreement and Consent to
10	Proposed Action, and then the second page has some
11	signatures on it.
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q The date was July 24th of 2013.
15	A Yes.
16	Q And then after that you prepared or you sent an
17	email with some forms, is that correct?
18	Exhibit 44. I'll get it for you real quick.
19	A I think I have that one in the first book you
20	gave me. 44, you say?
21	Q It's in this one.
22	A This isn't 44 here? Okay. I won't question
23	you.
24	Q And so you sent this email, attaching a form of

1	an ACPA agreement on August 8th of 2013 with Jessica
2	Clayton, Todd Jaksick, it looks like Stan and Kevin?
3	Do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay.
6	Todd asked me to revise the attached
7	proposed action agreement as I deemed
8	appropriate and to prepare a form
9	agreement and consent you can use
10	before you take any actions.
11	And you attached both.
12	Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q The next page shows that:
15	We scurried last night. Email.
16	Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So that was in response to that. And you
19	provided you provided a form and then that contains
20	your firm's disclaimer.
21	Do you see that on page 2, which is TJ 2503?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And then there's another form that would be,
24	contain a disclaimer where Maupin, Cox, LeGoy was not

- 1 involved with the preparation, right?
- 2 A Yes.

6

7

- Q And then if you look at the form that you sent,

 TJ 2502, that's the form that ended up being Exhibit 16,

 isn't it?
 - A Without doing a side-by-side comparison it appears that's correct.
- 8 Q But, in fact, the pagination on your form is 9 different from Exhibit 16, right?
- 10 A I don't know that.
- 11 Q Well, if you look at the big all caps paragraph,

 12 there's full lines in your form that are not in the

 13 Exhibit 16 form?
- 14 A Yeah, I see what you're saying. Okay.
- Okay. So the form you sent was changed after, presumably it was changed after it was received, right?
- 17 A Well, it looks different.
- Q Yeah. But it contains that -- at the bottom of
 Exhibit 16, it contains that July 24th, 2013, date, doesn't
 it?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so you don't have any information or personal knowledge about how the signatures were obtained on this ACPA, do you?

1 Α No. 2 Or how the pagination was changed, do you? Q 3 No. Α And could not have had -- or your form in 4 5 relation to Exhibit 16 was sent on August 8th of 2013, yet 6 the document was dated July 24th, 2013, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 All right. And so that's clearly a backdated 9 document, isn't it? 10 Α Appears to be. 11 And looking at Exhibit 17, signature page. 0 12 Well, let me ask you before we go there. 13 Exhibit 16 represents to the beneficiaries in 14 that paragraph we saw that Maupin, Cox & LeGoy prepared 15 that ACPA. You would not have authorized Todd and Jessica 16 to make representations that you prepared it if you didn't, would you? 17 18 Α No. 19 Okay. And so if that happened, that would be 20 improper in your mind, wouldn't it? 2.1 Α Yeah. It would be improper --22 Okay. 0 23 -- for them to say we prepared it when we Α

24

didn't.

1 And Exhibit 17, we'll turn to the last signature 2 page. 3 Which one are you talking about? I'm sorry. Α You have it up here. Okay. 4 5 There's two page 2s with signatures, but I'm 6 looking at the last one. 7 Α Okay. 8 This one is signed by the primary beneficiaries 9 in their individual capacities. 10 Do you see that? 11 Yes. Α 12 At the bottom of it there's a footer, correct? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 That's a footer from your firm, isn't it? Q 15 Yes. Α 16 And this is one of the ACPAs that your firm did 0 17 not prepare by the -- on the face of the document, right? Looking at page 2. 18 19 That's correct. Α 20 So the signature page contains a footer from one 21 of your documents put on a document that was prepared by 22 someone other than your firm, right? 23 I think this is the form that we sent them for them to use for that very purpose, because if you look at

24

1 the end of the footer, it says Form. 2 Q Sure. Right. 3 I'm just saying that that's the form, that footer is from -- your, your firm's footer is what I'm 4 5 saying. 6 Α Yes. 7 And looking at Exhibit 18, the signature page 8 again, identical orphan signature page with your footer on 9 it, right? 10 Α Yes. 11 And then let me switch now to Exhibit 523. I'm 12 sorry. I'm sorry. Hold on. 13 MR. SPENCER: Your Honor, I offer Exhibit 523. 14 It's not been admitted, yet. 15 MR. LATTIN: No objection. 16 THE COURT: 523 is admitted, Ms. Clerk. THE CLERK: Thank you. 17 (Exhibit Number 523 was 18 19 admitted into evidence.) 20 BY MR. SPENCER: 2.1 Q And let me, can you see -- let me get you --22 Α I think I can see. 23 Can you? Q 24 Α Yeah. Thank you.

Q And this, do you recall this letter August 3rd, of 2018?

A Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q And this is a letter that you sent for what purpose?

A Well, with, we had, as you said before, we had gotten Stan his own independent counsel in his position as co-trustee, and he already had Adam as his attorney for, in his position as a beneficiary, and then we realized that we had represented various members of the family over the course of time, so we wanted to, you know, we wanted to make sure we had disclosed that to everyone, and we wanted to get -- make sure that all the, you know, Stan and Todd were, were okay with all the different representations that we had. And so we were very fortunate that we, they all had lawyers at that time.

So we sent the letter to their lawyers, which, you know, makes -- we lawyers are much more comfortable when we can deal with a lawyer rather than dealing with an individual. That sounds funny, but it's the way we are.

Q So you were outlining all the various conflicts that existed over the years?

A Well, we don't call them conflicts. We were outlining the various positions that we held there.

1	Q So at the top of this, second line:
2	Jaksick litigations appear to
3	becoming more difficult and
4	complicated. Our firm's role is the
5	most complicated of the attorneys
6	involved in the case.
7	And then down each paragraph, starting with
8	paragraph 1 through 5, since '06 you represented Sam
9	Jaksick and Todd Jaksick regarding their estate plans?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Two. You represented Todd and Stan as
12	co-trustees of the family trust?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Additionally representing Michael Kimmel as the
15	new co-trustee of the family trust
16	A Yes.
17	Q after his appointment in December of 2016,
18	right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q (Reading.)
21	Following Stan's filing of the
22	counterpetitions against Todd on
23	March 23rd, 2018, our firm has
24	represented only Michael Kimmel and

1		Todd Jaksick as two of the three
2		co-trustees as well as Todd as
3		trustee of the Issue Trust.
4		Is that right?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And then in 4, paragraph 4, you continue to
7	represent	Todd with respect to his personal estate planning
8	matters, c	orrect?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And on behalf of certain entities of which Todd
11	has an int	erest that are also related to Sam's trust
12	administra	tion, right? That's in the middle.
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And then 5, and then 5:
15		Previously, your firm previously
16		represented Stan with regard to his
17		personal estate planning matters
18		and this is Stan and previously
19		represented Toiyabe Golf Club, LLC.
20	A	Right.
21	Q	You then state:
22	×	We do not believe we have any
23		nonwaivable conflicts in the roles
24		that we are playing, so I understand

1	that you had at least informally
2	waived all conflicts and consented to
3	our representation in these matters.
4	A We had the informed consent of four lawyers.
5	So, yeah.
6	Q And then:
7	We request that you review this
8	letter with each of your clients and
9	ask each of you to have your clients
10	execute the consents and waivers that
11	are attached to this letter before we
12	proceed further with these
13	litigations.
14	A Right.
15	Q You're asking for a conflict waiver, right?
16	A Asking for what's called an informed consent.
17	We had it from the lawyers. We wanted to make
18	sure that we had a, a full disclosure of all these
19	positions we had.
20	MR. SPENCER: Your Honor, I offer Exhibit 524,
21	stipulated.
22	THE COURT: 524 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.
23	(Exhibit Number 524 was admitted
24	into evidence.)

1	BY MR. SPENCER:
2	Q And this, your letter was August 3rd, 2018.
3	This is an email, we're looking at the bottom, page 2.
4	This is a letter from you to Mr. Hosmer-Henner
5	and Mr. Lattin attaching the letter and consent and waiver.
6	Do you see that on the next page? Consent and
7	waiver form?
8	A Yes.
9	Q That was August 3rd. And then in response to
10	the email, above that, starting on page 1 at the bottom,
11	the response from Adam Hosmer-Henner on August 7th of 2018:
12	Bob and Don.
13	And it goes on to the next page. Second
14	paragraph.
15	I understand and appreciate your
16	complicated and difficult situation.
17	Any conflicts here arose as a result
18	of your firm's decision to
19	participate in the litigation and
20	represent two of the co-trustees in
21	an adverse litigation posture to Stan
22	and Wendy after previously serving as
23	trust counsel.
2.4	Did Stan's attornev inform you that they would

1 not waive conflicts?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A Yeah. I don't think he ever told us he wasn't going to waive the conflict. This was part of the continuing negotiations that went on for some time before finally we did get exactly what we requested from -- his client eventually signed the waiver we're talking about. And even before this we had an informed consent signed by his two lawyers.

We were just trying to, we were just trying to tighten things up a little bit and make sure that everybody understood all the roles we were playing.

- Q Right. You're a partner with Maupin, Cox & LeGoy law firm?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And you said you got the waiver signed at some point. When was that?
- 17 A I think just in the last few weeks.
- 18 Q After Stan and Todd resolved their disputes?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q All right. And Mr. Lattin is also a partner at your law firm at Maupin, Cox & LeGoy?
- 22 A I'm honored to say that Mr. Lattin is one of my 23 partners.
- 24 Q How much has your law firm been paid over the

1	years in r	elation to the estate planning and the trustee
2	representa	tion, do you know?
3	А	I have no idea.
4	Q	You haven't tallied all the fees that have been
5	earned?	
6	A	No.
7	Q	More than a hundred thousand, do you think?
8	А	Probably.
9	Q	More than 500,000?
10	А	I doubt it.
11	Q	Including this litigation?
12	A	I, I don't know.
13	Q	You don't know.
14		MR. SPENCER: I'm going to pass the witness.
15		THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Robison?
16		
17		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18		
19	BY MR. ROB	ISON:
20	Q	You don't expect Mr. Lattin to work for free
21	because We	ndy sued Stan and Todd, do you?
22	A	I'm so sorry. But I didn't hear that. I think
23	I, I want	to hear what you said.
24	Q	You don't expect Mr. Lattin to work for free

1 because Wendy sued Stan and Todd, do you? 2 None of us in this law firm wanted to work for A 3 free in this case. You've got bills to pay? 4 Q 5 Α Pardon me? 6 You've got some bills to pay? Q 7 Α We've got some overhead and some bills to pay. 8 MR. ROBISON: Thank you, sir. Nothing. 9 THE COURT: You're free to step down. 10 Oh, excuse me. MR. SPENCER: Nothing further. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 13 You're free to step down. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much, everyone. 15 Do I leave this here? 16 THE COURT: Yes, thank you. 17 (Whereupon the witness was excused.) MR. ROBISON: Your Honor, subject to a visit 18 19 with some exhibits, we will rest on behalf of Todd Jaksick 20 individually. 2.1 MR. LATTIN: Your Honor, we would rest on the 22 behalf of the trustees, Stan Jaksick, Todd Jaksick and Mike 23 Kimmel. 24 MR. HOSMER-HENNER: I join in that, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Anything else? Any rebuttal?

2 MR. SPENCER: No, your Honor.

2.1

2.2

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, during this weekend recess you are admonished not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. You will not read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, by any person connected with this case or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, internet or radio. That includes any form of social media or electronic research investigations.

You're further admonished not to form or express any opinions on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

All evidence in this case has now been presented. The Court and counsel are going to work at various times through the weekend to settle the instructions of law that will be provided to you.

That way when you arrive Monday morning, I will read the instructions of law, we will have closing arguments, and then you will be able to deliberate.

It is customary for the Court not to give any guidance about the length of deliberations, and at no time will the Court or counsel inquire or provide guidance as to

1 the details of deliberations.

2.1

Except the Court's written instructions.

What that means is that this jury may choose to go past normal working hours, in which case we will provide food at our expense. So be prepared to eat on your own for lunch, and understand that if you stay past closing hours on Monday we'll provide that food.

Now that food is, it's typically bar food.

Pizza and wings and sometimes sandwiches. So if you have any dietary issues, you may just want to attend to your own food.

We will stand for our jury. Please be in the jury deliberation room for entry into the courtroom at 9:00 a.m. Monday morning.

(Whereupon the jury was excused and the following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Be seated, please, for just a moment.

Yesterday at the close of business I indicated that I was familiar with Rule 50 motions, and that at one time in my career I granted one.

I believe I told you that I revisited the jury's verdict. I learned this morning that my decision was

affirmed by the district court yesterday. And so I'm reading it to familiarize myself with what I once did in Rule 50.

2.1

And upon request of the clerk, you can have a copy of what I did and how the Supreme Court analyzed what I did. And I'm just saying that because it came onto my desk 30 minutes ago.

All right. You look like you want to speak, Mr. Hosmer-Henner.

MR. HOSMER-HENNER: Mr. Hardy -- Judge Hardy,
Your Honor, I had a panic about Pierre Hascheff all of a
sudden. My apologies.

For the record, I think I was here at 8:15 on the dot, but the proceedings had already started.

And the reason I was a little bit late was exactly I was on the phone with a friend of mine, a colleague, Doug Brown, who was informing me of that NRCP 50 decision.

THE COURT: You were late in court, by the way, by one minute.

MR. HOSMER-HENNER: So that's the decision that

I did want to bring to your attention --

THE COURT: That you did?

MR. HOSMER-HENNER: That I did, because we were

looking for authority to further analyze NRCP 50. And that's exactly what popped up. And I had called him this morning to discuss that.

2.2

So I just wanted to ask this Court to take that into consideration when considering the motions for directed verdicts.

THE COURT: I am very proud, Counsel, that you have completed your case today. Thank you. Been a long time.

I will be in the courthouse at noon on Sunday hoping to have in my inbox an email with your arguments regarding jury instructions.

And when you arrive Monday morning we'll have the jury instructions completed.

MR. CONNOT: And we have had discussions.

We are going to do our absolute best to keep whatever argument that we have about the respective instructions as brief as possible. Even some just a few sentences.

Just to give the Court an indication, we're striving our best to not put any more burden on you than necessary.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. CONNOT: And I don't think we need a lot of

1 explanation. We'll lay out what we need to.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

THE COURT: I truly don't mind. Thank you for saying that. I don't mind.

As long as the jury is not waiting, and we don't have issues with the staff and the sheriff, I don't mind at all.

So we'll be ready to go Monday morning.

THE CLERK: What time did you want them to come in in the morning?

THE COURT: I'm listening to my inner voice as
to whether I should ever attempt levity.

Mr. Robison has attempted levity in this courtroom.

MR. ROBISON: Yeah, attempt is the right word.

THE COURT: For everyone except

16 Mr. Hosmer-Henner, 8:15. For Mr. Hosmer-Henner 8:13.

I learned in the Army many, many decades ago if you're early, you're on time. If you're not on time, you're late.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SPENCER: Any thoughts on length of closings just to gauge what we need to do.

THE COURT: I would like to hear from you before
I answer that question. We finished, can we -- do we have
to report this? And I'm happy to if you'd like.

1	MR. SPENCER: No.
2	MR. CONNOT: No.
3	THE COURT: Let's go off.
4	
5	(Whereupon the proceedings were
6	concluded.)
7	-000-
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	STATE OF NEVADA)
2) ss. WASHOE COUNTY)
3	
4	I, DEBORA L. CECERE, an Official Reporter of
5	the State of Nevada, in and for Washoe County, DO HEREBY
6	CERTIFY:
7	That I was present at the times, dates, and
8	places herein set forth, and that I reported in shorthand
9	notes the proceedings had upon the matter captioned within,
10	and thereafter transcribed them into typewriting as herein
11	appears;
12	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of
13	pages 1 through 141, is a full, true and correct
14	transcription of my stenotype notes of said proceedings.
15	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 12th day of
16	February, 2021.
17	
18	
19	/s/ Debora Cecere
20	DEBORA L. CECERE, CCR #324
21	
22	
23	
24	

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
1	Todd Jaksick	12/11/17 Email and Agreements Between Stan and Wendy (WJ011480- WJ011482)	2/4/19		EXCLUDE? PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE
2	Todd Jaksick	1/29/18 (1:26 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Stan (WJ011546-WJ011549)	2/4/19		EXCLUDE? PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE
3	Todd Jaksick	2/8/17 Petition for Confirmation of Trustee (445 Issue Trust)	2/4/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	
4	Todd Jaksick	2/8/17 Petition for Confirmation of Trustee (446 Family Trust)	2/4/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	
5	Todd Jaksick	2/23/17 First Amended Counter-Petition to Surcharge Trustees	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
6	Todd Jaksick	4/9/18 Todd B. Jaksick's Answer and Objections to First Amended Counter- Petition	2/4/19		
7	Todd Jaksick	3/23/18 Amended Objection and Counter Petition (Issue Trust)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
8	Todd Jaksick	3/23/18 Amended Objection and Counter Petition (Family Trust)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

1

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
9	Todd Jaksick	6/29/06 Samuel Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust (TJ0007- TJ0059)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
9A	Todd Jaksick	Samuel S. Jaksick Jr Family Trust Agreement (MCL000511- MCL000566)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
10	Todd Jaksick	2/2/07 SSJ's Issue Trust Agreement (TJ0870-TJ0906)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
10A	Todd Jaksick	2/21/07 SSJ's Issue Trust Agreement (MCL000429- MCL00466)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
11	Todd Jaksick	1/1/08 Indemnification Agreement (Todd) (TJ0860- TJ0869)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
11A	Todd Jaksick	Indemnification Agreement (Todd) (TJ1670-TJ1679)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
11B	Todd Jaksick	6/2/10 (12:13 p.m.) Email From Hascheff to Clayton (TJ1696-TJ1706)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
12	Todd Jaksick	1/1/08 Indemnification Agreement (Stan) (TJ1690- TJ1695)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
13	Todd Jaksick	12/10/12 Second Amendment to the Samuel Stan Jr Family Trust Agreement (TJ0001-TJ0006)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
13A	Todd Jaksick	6/4/13 Texts Between Stan and Wendy (TJ1885-TJ1886)	2/4/19		

2

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
13B	Todd Jaksick	6/6/13 (10:55 am) Texts Between Wendy and Todd (TJ1887-TJ1891)	2/4/19	OBJECTION OVERRULED	3/1/19
13C	Todd Jaksick	6/6/13 (10:31 am) Todd Email to Riley, Stan (TJ1892)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
13D	Todd Jaksick	6/12/13 Wendy Text (TJ1893)	2/4/19		
13E	Todd Jaksick	7/15/13 (9:35 p.m.) Todd Email to Wendy (TJ1894)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13F	Todd Jaksick	2/4/14 Wendy, Todd Email String (TJ1900)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13G	Todd Jaksick	6/5/14 (9:32 a.m.) Wendy Email to Todd (TJ1901)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13H	Todd Jaksick	7/30/14-7/31/14 Todd, Alexi, Wendy, Stan Email String (TJ1903)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13I	Todd Jaksick	7/31/14 Texts Between Wendy and Todd (TJ1904)	2/4/19		
13J	Todd Jaksick	11/11/14 Todd, Wendy Email String (TJ1905)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13K	Todd Jaksick	11/10/14 Todd Wendy, Stan, Clayton Email String (TJ1906-TJ1908)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13L	Todd Jaksick	11/14/14 (3:59 p.m.) Clayton Email to Wendy, Stan, Todd (TJ1909)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19

3

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
13M	Todd Jaksick	11/18/14 (8:31 a.m.) Wendy Email to Todd, Stan, Lexi, Riley (TJ1911)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
13N	Todd Jaksick	12/8/14 (4:19 p.m.) Clayton Email to Wendy (TJ1912)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
130	Todd Jaksick	12/15/14 Texts Between Wendy and Todd (TJ1915)	2/4/19		
13P	Todd Jaksick	12/16/14 Texts Between Wendy and Todd (TJ1916)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/26/19
14	Todd Jaksick	6/5/13 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action Todd, Stan, Wendy (TJ0074- 0076)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
15	Todd Jaksick	7/16/13 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action Co-Trustees of Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust (TJ0077-TJ0081)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
15A	Todd Jaksick	7/1/13 Texts Between Todd, Wendy, and Stan (TJ1825)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
15B	Todd Jaksick	7/24/13 (2:23 p.m.) Todd Email to Wendy, Stan (TJ1831)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
15C	Todd Jaksick	8/26/13 Affidavit of Wendy (TJ1832-TJ1833)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
15D	Todd Jaksick	3/11/14 Wendy, Todd Email String (TJ1834-TJ1835)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19

4

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
15E	Todd Jaksick	3/24/14 (1:30 p.m.) Todd Email to Wendy, Stan (TJ1838)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
15F	Todd Jaksick	3/19/14 Texts Between Wendy and Todd (TJ1839)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
15G	Todd Jaksick	5/28/14 (2:13 a.m.) Wendy Email to Riley, Stan, Todd, Lexi (TJ1840-TJ1842)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
16	Todd Jaksick	7/24/13 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0082- TJ0085)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
17	Todd Jaksick	8/14/13 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0086- TJ0089)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
18	Todd Jaksick	8/26/13 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0094- TJ0096)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
18A	Todd Jaksick	1/14/14 Todd, Wendy Email String (TJ1631)	2/4/19		
18B	Todd Jaksick	8/22/13 (1:50 p.m.) Wendy Email to Todd (TJ1615- TJ1616)	2/4/19		

5

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
19	Todd Jaksick	1/31/14 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0097- TJ0099)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
19A	Todd Jaksick	2/6/14 Wendy, McQuaid Email String (TJ1799- TJ1801)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
19B	Todd Jaksick	6/13/12 Note Payable Between Duck Lake Ranch and Sam (TJ2522)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
19C	Todd Jaksick	8/19/13 Aircraft Appraisal Report (TJ1815-TJ1824)	2/4/19		
19D	Todd Jaksick	1/11/14 Todd, Stan Email String (TJ1794-TJ1795)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
19E	Todd Jaksick	4/14/14 Wendy Email to McQuaid, Stan, Todd (TJ1806-TJ1807)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
20	Todd Jaksick	4/15/14 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0100- TJ0102)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
21	Todd Jaksick	8/28/14 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0103- TJ0105)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
22	Todd Jaksick	9/25/14 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0113- TJ0115)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

6

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
23	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Agreement and Consent to Proposed Action by Co-Trustees (TJ0125- TJ0129)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
23.1	Todd Jaksick	2/26/07 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ0994-TJ0997)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.2	Todd Jaksick	5/29/08 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ1005-TJ1007)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.3	Todd Jaksick	3/2/10 Appraisal for 1011 Lakeshore Blvd. (TJ1008- TJ1034)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.4	Todd Jaksick	5/10/10 Letter From Hascheff to Sam (TJ2572)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
23.5	Todd Jaksick	11/10/10 Real Estate Option Agreement (TJ1053-TJ1057)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
23.6	Todd Jaksick	2/15/11 Memorandum of Agreement and Option (#3974236) (TJ1058-TJ1061)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.7	Todd Jaksick	2/17/11 Payment of \$50,000 Option (TJ1062)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.8	Todd Jaksick	12/5/11 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ1063-TJ1066)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
23.9	Todd Jaksick	1/13/12 Extension of Payment for Option Agreement (TJ1067)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.10	Todd Jaksick	1/15/12 Rental Management Agreement (TJ1068-TJ1073)	2/4/19		

7

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
23.11	Todd Jaksick	3/2/12 Payment of \$50,000 Option Payment (TJ1078)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.12	Todd Jaksick	3/29/12 Email From Dietz to Stan (TJ1081-TJ1082)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
23.13	Todd Jaksick	4/25/12 Exclusive Authorization to Sale to Dietz Tahoe Luxury Properties (TJ1083-TJ1087)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
23.14	Todd Jaksick	9/11/12 (3:48 p.m.) Email From Dietz to Stan (TJ1093- TJ1095)	2/4/19		
23.15	Todd Jaksick	12/06/12 (10:00 a.m.) Email From Hascheff to Todd (TJ2575-TJ2578)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.16	Todd Jaksick	12/17/12 Residential Lease (TJ1104-1110)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.17	Todd Jaksick	12/7/12 General Durable Power of Attorney; Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care Decisions (TJ1111-TJ1122)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
23.18	Todd Jaksick	12/21//12 Notice of Exercise of Option (TJ1123-TJ1125)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.19	Todd Jaksick	12/27/12 Letter From Bank of America to Sam (TJ1126- TJ1128)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
23.20	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Unsecured Promissory Note (TJ1130- TJ1133)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19

8

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
23.21	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ1155-TJ1157)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
23.22	Todd Jaksick	1/31/13 Email From Clayton to Todd (TJ1160)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.23	Todd Jaksick	2/15/13 Rental Management Agreement (TJ1161-TJ1164)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/27/19
23.24	Todd Jaksick	6/4/13 Todd, Wendy Text Messages (TJ1173-TJ1174) C/O: NO REDACTION	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
23.25	Todd Jaksick	10/10/13 Stan, Todd Text Message (TJ1266)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.26	Todd Jaksick	12/26/13 (12:53 p.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1267)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.27	Todd Jaksick	1/27/14 (6:05 p.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1274)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.28	Todd Jaksick	3/6/14-3/7/14 Email String Between Todd, Stan (TJ1291-TJ1292)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.29	Todd Jaksick	5/23/08 Consent and Release Form (TJ1293-TJ1294)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.30	Todd Jaksick	3/3/14 (4:48 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan, Wendy, Lexi (TJ1297)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.31	Todd Jaksick	3/13/14-3/17/14 Email String between Todd, Wendy, Stan, Lexi (TJ1299-TJ1300)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.32	Todd Jaksick	5/5/14 (10:17 a.m.) Email From Stan to Riley (TJ1309)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19

9

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No. PR17-00445 Dept. No. 15 Clerk: A. Dick Date: 3/1/19

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
23.33	Todd Jaksick	7/14/14 Text Between Stan, Lexi, Wendy (TJ1330- TJ1331)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/25/19
23.34	Todd Jaksick	10/28/14 (2:52 p.m.) Email From Riley to Todd, Stan (TJ1336-TJ1338)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.35	Todd Jaksick	6/28/15 Email String Between Wendy, Todd (TJ1382-TJ1384)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.36	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 (3:38 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan, Lexi, Wendy, Riley (TJ1428- TJ1430)	2/4/19		
23.37	Todd Jaksick	1/11/16 (1:42 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Todd, Stan (TJ1438)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
23.38	Todd Jaksick	1/20/16 (8:52 p.m.) Email From Todd to Wendy (TJ1444-TJ1445)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
23.39	Todd Jaksick	4/7/16 Wendy Texts to Todd (TJ1457-TJ1458)	2/4/19		
23.40	Todd Jaksick	3/22/17 (7:14 p.m.) Email From Todd to Wendy, Stan, Lexi (TJ1547-TJ1549)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
23.41	Todd Jaksick	5/31/14 Stan, Wendy Texts (TJ2295)	2/4/19	OBJECTION OVERRULED	2/25/19
23.42	Todd Jaksick	Handwritten Note From Sam (TJ1079)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19

10

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
23.43	Todd Jaksick	1/6/14 Wendy, Todd Texts (TJ1268)	2/4/19		
23.44	Todd Jaksick	1/18/14 Todd, Wendy Texts (no date) (TJ1270)	2/4/19		
23.45	Todd Jaksick	12/1/17 (7:39 a.m.) Email From Wendy to Todd, Lexi, Stan (TJ2252-TJ2253)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
23.46	Todd Jaksick	1/5/16 Wendy, Lexi, Stan Texts (TJ1436)	2/4/19	OBJECTION OVERRULED	2/25/19
24	Todd Jaksick	11/18/15 Consent to Incline TSS Amended and Restated Operating Agreement (TJ0625)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
25	Todd Jaksick	5/25/18 Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's Objections for First Set of Interrogatories	2/4/19		
26	Todd Jaksick	4/24/18 Respondent Wendy A. Jaksick's First Supplemental Disclosure of Witnesses and Documents	2/4/19		
27		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
27A	Todd Jaksick	6/26/97 Letter From William Sanford (TJ2416-TJ2419)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE

11

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
27B	Todd Jaksick	Documents Docket for Wendy Case No 427-F99 (TJ2420-TJ2421)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE
27C	Todd Jaksick	4/19/00 Judgment by Default for Lee Bros. Leasing (TJ1585-TJ1587)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE
27D	Todd Jaksick	9/29/00 Abstract of Judgment for National Business Factors (TJ1588-1589)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE
27E	Todd Jaksick	3/13/01 Judgment for Poncia and Martinelli (TJ1592- TJ1596)	2/4/19		WILL BE ADMITTED; IF PROPERLY PRESENTED
27F	Todd Jaksick	12/6/00 Order/Judgment for Dorostkar (TJ1590-TJ1591)	2/4/19	WENDY WITHDREW PRETRIAL MIL	
27G	Todd Jaksick	6/10/04 Default Judgment for Unifund CCR(TJ1602)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED PRETRIAL
27H	Todd Jaksick	6/23/04 Shasta County Court Docket People v. Wendy (TJ2422)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE

12

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
27I	Todd Jaksick	9/22/03 Reno Police Department Crime and Incident Report From Gwen Jaksick (TJ2450-TJ2461)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE
27Ј	Todd Jaksick	9/19/05 Letter of Understanding From Sam to Wendy (TJ2423-TJ2424)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
27K	Todd Jaksick	7/29/04 Letter From Scott Freeman to Todd (TJ2425- TJ2428)	2/4/19		
27L	Todd Jaksick	9/27/05 Default Judgment for Scott Freeman (TJ1609- TJ1611)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED PRETRIAL
27M	Todd Jaksick	1/20/06 Abstract of Judgment for Margaret Henderson (TJ1603-TJ1604)	2/4/19		
27N	Todd Jaksick	4/4/07 Letter From John Fowler (TJ2438-TJ2443)	2/4/19		
270	Todd Jaksick	4/10/07 UCC Filing Acknowledgment (TJ2429- 2432)	2/4/19		
27P	Todd Jaksick	8/4/07 Washoe County Jail Inmate Release Information Form (TJ2433-TJ2437)	2/4/19		NOT ADMITTED; COUNSEL SHALL SEEK LEAVE
27Q	Todd Jaksick	5/22/12 Judgment by Default for Debbie Miller (TJ1605- TJ1607)	2/4/19		

13

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
27R	Todd Jaksick	11/4/14 Clayton Text (TJ2444)	2/4/19		
27S	Todd Jaksick	9/22/16 Docket Printout From Collin County, TX for Divorce (TJ2445-TJ2446)	2/4/19		
28	Todd Jaksick	6/30/16 Dwiggin's Email to Wendy (WJ010788- WJ010799)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
29	Todd Jaksick	7/7/16 Wendy Promissory Note to Stan (WJ010818)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
30	Todd Jaksick	6/20/17 (8:08 a.m.) Stan Email to LeGoy, McQuaid, Lattin, Todd, Kimmel (TJ2237-TJ2241)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
31	Todd Jaksick	6/30/17 Todd, Stan, Kimmel, Riley Email String (TJ2242- TJ2245)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
32	Todd Jaksick	7/28/17 (4:19 p.m.) Stan Email to McQuaid, Kimmel, Todd, Riley, Lattin, LeGoy (TJ1779)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
33	Todd Jaksick	7/29/17 (10:39 a.m.) LeGoy Email to Stan (TJ2645)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
34	Todd Jaksick	8/1/17 (2:27 p.m.) LeGoy Email to Stan, Todd, Kimmel, Riley, McQuaid, Lattin (TJ2643-TJ2644)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

14

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
35	Todd Jaksick	8/2/17-8/14/17 Email String Between Stan, LeGoy, Kimmel, Riley, McQuaid, Lattin (TJ2646-TJ2648)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
36	Todd Jaksick	11/2/17 (4:37 p.m.) Stan Email to Riley, Todd, Kimmel (TJ2516)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
37	Todd Jaksick	11/29/17 Email String Between Todd, Kimmel, Stan, Riley (TJ1666-TJ1668)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
38	Todd Jaksick	12/14/17 Email String Between Kimmel, Stan, LeGoy, Todd, McQuaid, Lattin, Riley	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
39	Todd Jaksick	(TJ1782-TJ1787) 8/11/16 Email String Between Wendy and Dwiggin's (WJ010856-WJ010857)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
40	Todd Jaksick	9/20/16 Email String Between Wendy and Dwiggin (WJ010854-WJ010855)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
41	Todd Jaksick	7/4/16 (6:06 p.m.) Dwiggin's Email to Wendy (WJ010814- WJ010816)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

15

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
42	Todd Jaksick	9/19/17 Email From Whelan to Wendy and Stan (WJ011421)	2/4/19		EXCLUDE? PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE
43	Todd Jaksick	10/22/13 Email From Clayton to McQuaid, LeGoy, Riley, Stan, Todd (TJ1734- TJ1766)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
44	Todd Jaksick	8/8/13 Email From LeGoy to Clayton, Todd, Stan, Riley (TJ2500-TJ2508)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
45	Todd Jaksick	(List To Be Provided By Stan)	2/4/19		PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE
46	Todd Jaksick	2/27/07 Grant, Bargain, and Sale Deed (TJ0998-TJ1001)	2/4/19		PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE Except — TJ 1045 + TJ 1051
47	Todd Jaksick	5/29/08 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ1002-TJ1004)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
48	Todd Jaksick	Stan, Lisa TPO Docket Sheet (TJ1035-TJ1037)	2/4/19		EXCLUDE? PER TODD'S 2/7/19 NOTICE

16

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
49	Todd Jaksick	Stan, Lisa Divorce Docket Sheet (TJ1038-TJ1052)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
50	Todd Jaksick	2/23/12 Notice of Assignment of Option to Purchase Concerning Real Property (TJ1074-TJ1077)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
51	Todd Jaksick	6/7/12 Amended Notice of Assignment of Option to Purchase (TJ1089-TJ1092)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
52	Todd Jaksick	6/1/12 Memo From Hascheff to Todd, Riley (TJ2573- TJ2574)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
53	Todd Jaksick	3/3/14 Email String Between Todd and Stan (TJ1278- TJ1290)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
54	Todd Jaksick	3/3/14 Consent and Release to Bank of America (TJ1295- TJ1296)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
55	Todd Jaksick	7/24/14 Text From Stan (TJ1329)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
56	Todd Jaksick	6/5/14 Email From Wendy to Todd (TJ1327-TJ1328)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
57	Todd Jaksick	5/28/14 Email String Between Riley, Wendy, Stan, Todd, Lexi (TJ1317-TJ1326)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
58	Todd Jaksick	4/6/15 Summary Appraisal (TJ1353-TJ1354)	2/4/19		

17

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
59	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Consent to Incline TSS Operating Agreement (TJ1404)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
60	Todd Jaksick	10/28/14-11/03/14 Email String Between Riley, Todd, Stan (TJ1339-TJ1341)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
61	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Secured Promissory Note (TJ1405-TJ1410)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
62	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Pledge and Security Agreement (TJ1411-TJ1420)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
63	Todd Jaksick	2/25/16 Email String Between Todd, Stan (TJ1453-TJ1454)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
64	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Contribution and Issuance Agreement (TJ1421-TJ1427)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
65	Todd Jaksick	2/14/17 (12:43 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1521- TJ1531)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
66	Todd Jaksick	8/28/16 (8:54 p.m.) Email From Todd to Riley, Stan (TJ1467)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
67	Todd Jaksick	2/28/17 (6:48 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1532)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
68	Todd Jaksick	3/3/17 Email String Between Kreitlein, Stan, Todd (TJ1534-TJ1535)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

18

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
69	Todd Jaksick	5/19/17 Letter From Kreitlin to Palmer (TJ1561-TJ1562)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
70	Todd Jaksick	8/31/17-9/6/17 Email String Between Stan, Todd (TJ1582)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
71	Todd Jaksick	Schedule A Property (WJ00055)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	3/4/19
72	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Family Trust Financial Statement 4/21/13- 3/31/14 (JSK001116- JSK001166)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
73	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Family Trust Financial Statement 4/1/14- 3/31/15 (JSK001167- JSK001226)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
74	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Family Trust Financial Statement 4/1/15- 3/31/16 (JSK001227- JSK001282)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
75	Todd Jaksick	4/12/16 Email String Between Wendy, Riley, Lexi (WJ000297-WJ000299)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
76	Todd Jaksick	1/25/17 (12:17 p.m.) Email From Riley to McQuaid (JSK000276-000283)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

19

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
77	Todd Jaksick	8/11/16 Order Waiving Supplemental Inventory and Accounting for Final Distribution of the Estate (TJ3125-3132)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
78	Todd Jaksick	9/19/05 Montreux Letter of Understanding (TJ2423- TJ2424)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
79	Todd Jaksick	7/6/16 (6:58 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Stan (WJ0101817-WJ010818)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
80	Todd Jaksick	1/11/16 (12:59 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Stan (WJ010656)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
81	Todd Jaksick	2/16/18-2/21/18 Email String Between Kimmel, Stan, Todd (SJ000525-SJ000528)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
82	Todd Jaksick	1/27/17 Letter From Lattin to Dwiggins (WJ000444- WJ000449)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
83	Todd Jaksick	7/24/17 (12:07 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Stan (WJ011212-WJ011215)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
84	Todd Jaksick	7/26/17 (1:07 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Stan (WJ011250-011253)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
85	Todd Jaksick	1/8/16 Email From Wendy to Riley, Lexi, Stan (WJ010626-WJ010627)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

20

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
86	Todd Jaksick	7/20/17 (4:20 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Riley (WJ011190)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
87	Todd Jaksick	12/20/14 (12:36 a.m.) Email From Wendy to Todd, Stan, Lexi (WJ010613-WJ010614)	2/4/19		
88	Todd Jaksick	12/26/12 Various Emails Between Hascheff, Riley (TJ2579-TJ2584)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
89	Todd Jaksick	Incline TSS/SSJ Cash Flow Data and Amortization Schedule (TJ0456-TJ0457)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
90	Todd Jaksick	Jaksick Entities Chart (SJ1060-SJ1067)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
91	Todd Jaksick	5/28/14 (12:03 p.m.) Riley Email to Wendy, Stan, Todd, Lexi (TJ1644-TJ1646)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
92	Todd Jaksick	5/28/14 (2:12 a.m.) Wendy Email to Todd (TJ1651- TJ1656)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
93	Todd Jaksick	7/25/16 (5:48 p.m.) Riley Email to Todd (TJ00495)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
94	Todd Jaksick	6/27/18 Todd's Response to Wendy's First Request for Production of Documents	2/4/19		

21

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
95	Todd Jaksick	Financial Statements for Wendy Jaksick 4/21/13- 12/31/16 (SK1283-SK1293)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
96	Todd Jaksick	11/15/11 SSJ, LLC Articles of Organization and Operating Agreement (JSK798-JSK812)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
97	Todd Jaksick	6/4/18 Todd's Amended Notice of Taking Depositions of Jacksick Family LLC	2/4/19		
97A	Todd Jaksick	7/31/18 Todd's Second Amended Notice of Taking Depositions of Jaksick Family, LLC	2/4/19		
98	Todd Jaksick	8/30/18 Todd's Subpoena Duces Tecum Jaksick Family, LLC	2/4/19		
99	Todd Jaksick	8/23/18 Jaksick Family, LLC Objections to Subpoena Duces Tecum	2/4/19		
100	Todd Jaksick	Montreux Golf Club Ltd.'s Entity Chart (TJ3133)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
101	Todd Jaksick	7/31/18 Todd's Second Amended Notice of Taking Deposition of Toiyabe Investment	2/4/19		

22

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
102	Todd Jaksick	8/30/18 Todd's Subpoena Duces Tecum Toiyabe Investment Co.	2/4/19		
103	Todd Jaksick	8/23/18 Toiyabe Objection to Subpoena Duces Tecum	2/4/19		
104	Todd Jaksick	Toiyabe Working Trial Balance (TJ3134)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
105	Todd Jaksick	Montreux Development Group, LLC Entity Chart (TJ3136)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
106	Todd Jaksick	6/25/90 Articles of Incorporation of Toiyabe Investment Co.	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
107	Todd Jaksick	6/90 By-Laws of Toiyabe Investment Co. (TTJ00250- TTJ00270)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
108	Todd Jaksick	Excerpts From Videotaped Deposition of Wendy Jaksick (Vol 1)	2/4/19		
109	Todd Jaksick	Excerpts From Videotaped Deposition of Wendy Jaksick (Vol III)	2/4/19		
110	Todd Jaksick	Letter From David Jamieson to "Whom It May Concern" (no date) (TJ2267)	2/4/19		
111	Todd Jaksick	2/28/18 Email String Between Stan and Wendy (WJ011975-WJ011976)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

23

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
112	Todd Jaksick	4/21/16 Option and Purchase Agreement – Sky-Out and Bright Holland (TJ3137- 3159)	2/4/19		
113	Todd Jaksick	3/13/09 Quit Claim Deed (#3738685) (TJ3160-3164)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
114	Todd Jaksick	5/11/07 Letter to Clayton From Hascheff (TJ3165)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
115	Todd Jaksick	9/16/10 Operating Agreement of Incline TSS, Ltd. (TJ3012-TJ3042)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/28/19
116	Todd Jaksick	9/14/12 Memorandum to the File From Hascheff (TJ3096)	2/4/19		
117	Todd Jaksick	9/9/12 Letter to U.S. Bank Trust From Hascheff (TJ3097)	2/4/19		
118	Todd Jaksick	12/4/12 Water Rights Deed – Lake Ridge and Jaksick Family, LLC. (TJ3166- TJ3167)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
119	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Water Rights Deed – Lakeridge Golf Course and Jaksick Family LLC (TJ3168-3171)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
120	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Water Rights Deed – Lakeridge, Inc. and Jaksick Family LLC (TJ3172- TJ3175)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

24

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
121	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Water Rights Deed – Sam, Thelma Estate and Jaksick Family LLC (TJ3176-TJ3179)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
122	Todd Jaksick	12/28/12 Water Rights Deed – Samuel Stan, Jr. and Thelma Jaksick (TJ3180- TJ3183)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
123	Todd Jaksick	4/9/13 Correction Water Rights Deed (#4224431) (TJ3184-TJ3187)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
124	Todd Jaksick	4/9/13 Correction Water Rights Deed (#4224433) (TJ3188-TJ3191)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
125	Todd Jaksick	4/9/13 Correction Water Rights Deed (#4224432) (TJ3192-TJ3194)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
126	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Jr. Family Trust Financial Statements 4/1/16-12/31/17 (TJ3195- TJ3258)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
127	Todd Jaksick	7/30/12 Indemnification Agreement (Riley0036- Riley0042)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
128	Todd Jaksick	3/20/12 Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (Riley 0001-Riley 0035)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
129	Todd Jaksick	SSJ's Issue Trust Financial Statement 4/21/13-12/31/13 (TJ3259-TJ3273)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19

25

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
130	Todd Jaksick	SSJ's Issue Trust Financial Statement 1/1/14-12/31/14 (TJ3274-TJ3284)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
131	Todd Jaksick	SSJ's Issue Trust Financial Statement 1/1/15-12/31/15 (TJ3285-TJ3296)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
132	Todd Jaksick	SSJ's Issue Trust Financial Statement 1/1/16-12/31/16 (TJ3297-TJ3309)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
133	Todd Jaksick	SSJ's Issue Trust Financial Statement 1/1/17-12/31/17 (TJ3310-TJ3337)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
134	Todd Jaksick	1/27/17 (11:27 a.m.) Email From Riley to Stan, Todd, Kimmel, LeGoy, McQuaid (SJ000582-SJ000583)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
135	Todd Jaksick	Sam Jaksick Estate Tax Return – 2012 (WJ02045- WJ02130) [CONTAINS SSN]	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
136	Todd Jaksick	Incline TSS Balance Sheets 2014-2016 (TJ3338-TJ3346)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
137	Todd Jaksick	Incline TSS Working Trial Balance 2013-2016 (TJ3347- TJ3354)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
138	Todd Jaksick	Sam Jaksick Gift Tax Return 2012 (WJ02514-WJ02523) [CONTAINS SSN]	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

26

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
139	Todd Jaksick	3/13/14 (4:48 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan, Wendy, Lexi (TJ1297)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
140	Todd Jaksick	12/9/16 Closing Disclosure for Lake House (TJ1484- TJ1488)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
141	Todd Jaksick	12/8/16 Ticor Final Settlement Statement for Lake House (TJ1483)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
142	Todd Jaksick	Declaration of Gift 2011 46% (TJ2669)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
143	Todd Jaksick	Declaration of Gift 20113% (TJ2670)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
144	Todd Jaksick	12/15/11 Duck Lake Ranch Appraisal-46% Interest (TJ2671-TJ2704)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
145	Todd Jaksick	8/1/11 Duck Lake Ranch Appraisal-3% Interest (TJ2705-TJ2738)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
146	Todd Jaksick	Incline TSS 2018 Budget Forecast (TJ2993)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
147	Todd Jaksick	5/3/12 Grand, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ3355-TJ3364)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
148	Todd Jaksick	10/31/18 Washoe County Real Property Assessment Data (TJ3365-TJ3372)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

27

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
149	Todd Jaksick	49 Mountain Trust Agreement (JSK1916- JSK1929)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
150	Todd Jaksick	10/12/18 Todd's Petition for Reconveyance of Trust Assets	2/4/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	
151	Todd Jaksick	7/27/17 Letter From Lattin to Wendy (WJ00264)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
152	Todd Jaksick	3/15/17 Todd Letter to LeGoy, McQuaid (TJ2609- TJ2642)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
153	Todd Jaksick	11/30/05 Third Amendment to and Complete Restatement of Samuel Stan Jr. Family Trust Agreement (JSK1866- JSK1880)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
153A	Todd Jaksick	11/30/05 Third Amendment to and Complete Restatement of Samuel Stan Jr. Family Trust Agreement (MCL001109-001138)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
154	Todd Jaksick	3/14/11 Fourth Amendment to Samuel Stan, Jr. Family Trust Agreement (JSK1831- JSK1833)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
155	Todd Jaksick	4/27/12 Fifth Amendment to the Samuel Stan, Jr Family Trust Agreement (JSK1827- JSK1830)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19

28

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
156	Todd Jaksick	9/24/18 Supplement to Petition for Confirmation of Trustees and Admission of Trust to the Jurisdiction of the Court (Family Trust)	2/4/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	
157	Todd Jaksick	9/20/18 Supplement to Petition for Confirmation of Trustees and Admission of Trust to the Jurisdiction of the Court (Issue Trust)	2/4/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	
158	Todd Jaksick	4/9/13 Correction Water Rights Deed (#4224430 (TJ3373-TJ3377)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
159	Todd Jaksick	4/9/13 Declaration of Value (TJ3378)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
160	Todd Jaksick	4/11/17 Notice of Document Filed in Error (TJ2739- TJ2747)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
160A	Todd Jaksick	4/11/17 Correction Water Rights Deed (#4694577) (TJ2748-TJ2762)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
160B	Todd Jaksick	4/11/17 Correction Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed (TJ2763-TJ2767)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
161	Todd Jaksick	11/18/09 Email From Clayton to Riley, Hascheff (PH495-PH497)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
162	Todd Jaksick	11/23/09 Email From Clayton to Hascheff (PH327)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19

29

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
163	Todd Jaksick	11/24/09 Certificate of LLC Membership Interest Sammy Super Cub LLC (PH337- PH338)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
164	Todd Jaksick	2/19/13 Email From Hascheff to Clayton (WJ000271)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
165	Todd Jaksick	9/3/08 Certificate of Trust Existence and Authority (JSK001838-JSK001843)_	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
166	Todd Jaksick	Term Sheet Eco2 Forest, Inc. (PH501-PH504)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
167	Todd Jaksick	2/17/10 Project Summary (PH540)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
168	Todd Jaksick	7/25/16 Wendy, Riley Email String (TJ1864-TJ1866)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
169	Todd Jaksick	7/25/16 Wendy, Riley Email String (TJ1867-TJ1870)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
170	Todd Jaksick	7/31/13 Riley Affidavit (Riley2012)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
171	Todd Jaksick	7/17/14 Riley Affidavit (Riley2013-Riley2014	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
172	Todd Jaksick	2014 Incline TSS Ltd Tax Return (JSK00126- JSK00150)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
173	Todd Jaksick	1/1/08 Indemnification Agreement (Todd) Riley1745-Riley1754	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19

30

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
174	Todd Jaksick	10/18/18 Todd B. Jaksick's Fifth Supplemental Disclosures	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
175	Todd Jaksick	12/17/18 Campagna Expert Report	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
176	Todd Jaksick	12/07 ALCPA Practice Guide for Fiduciary Accounting	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
177	Todd Jaksick	NRS 165.1207	2/4/19		
178	Todd Jaksick	NRS 165.1214	2/4/19		
179	Todd Jaksick	NRS 165.135	2/4/19		
180	Todd Jaksick	4/1/16-12/13/17 Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust Financial Statements	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
181	Todd Jaksick	Engagement Letter (to be provided)	2/4/19		
182	Todd Jaksick	AR-C Section 80	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
183	Todd Jaksick	12/17/18 Expert Report of Gary Stolbach, Attorney	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
184	Todd Jaksick	1/7/19 Wendy's Biography	2/4/19		
185	Todd Jaksick	9/3/14 Letter from LeGoy to Wendy (MCL000761- MCL000762)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
186	Todd Jaksick	10/15/14 Letter from LeGoy to Brett Buckingham, Investigator, Gaming Division (MCL000670- MCL000671)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

31

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
187	Todd Jaksick	10/27/14 Letter from LeGoy to Stan (MCL001096- MCL001098	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
188	Todd Jaksick	6/1/17 Letter from LeGoy to Wendy, Joshua Hood (MCL000566-MCL000568)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
189	Todd Jaksick	8/23/06 Certification of the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr Family Trust (MCL000870- MCL00879)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
190	Todd Jaksick	9/3/08 Certificate of Trust Existence and Authority (JSK001838-001847)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
191	Todd Jaksick	10/11/13 Letter from Janene Jaksick to Estate of Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. (MCL000307- MCL000308)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
192	Todd Jaksick	6/4/13 Letter from Soraya Aguirre to LeGoy (MCL001059)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
193	Todd Jaksick	Consent to Conveyance of Real Property in Compromise of Secured Claims and Waiver of Notice of Proposed Action (MCL000707-MCL000708)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
194	Todd Jaksick	(8/29/14) Loan Termination Agreement (Draft) (MCL000709-MCL000724)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

32

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
195	Todd Jaksick	1/14/16 Assignment of Shares of Stock Separate from Certificate (MCL000131)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/28/19
196	Todd Jaksick	8/8/13 List of Creditors for Samuel S. Jaksick (MCL001050-MCL001052)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
197	Todd Jaksick	7/20/18 Letter from Zachary Johnson to Clayton	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/27/19
198	Todd Jaksick	3/15-5/16 Journal of Notarial Acts (JC0001-JC0005)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/27/19
199	Todd Jaksick	3/4/15 Email from Todd to Riley, McQuaid and Stan (MCL000211)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
200	Todd Jaksick	12/17/12 Assignment and Declaration of Gift (MCL001003-MCL001004)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/27/19
201	Todd Jaksick	6/8/13 Grant, Bargain & Sale Deed (MCL000697- MCL000699)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/27/19
202	Todd Jaksick	12/18/12 Email from Clayton to Hascheff, Todd (TJ2567- TJ2571)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
203	Todd Jaksick	8/26/13 Affidavit of Wendy Ann Jaksick (JC0542- JC0543)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
204	Todd Jaksick	6/4/13 Email from Clayton to McQuaid, Todd (JC0208- JC0211)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

33

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
205	Todd Jaksick	7/25/13 Email from Clayton to Riley, LeGoy (JC0274- JC0276)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
206	Todd Jaksick	5/29/13 Email String between Clayton, Riley (JC0051-JC0053)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
207	Todd Jaksick	10/14/13 Email from McQuaid to Clayton, Todd, Stan, Riley (JC0054-JC0055)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
208	Todd Jaksick	NRS 240.075, NRS 240.120 Notary Prohibited Acts	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
209	Todd Jaksick	Jessica Clayton's Signature of Sam Jaksick2	2/4/19		
210	Todd Jaksick	12/17/18 Letter from R. Bruce Wallace Jr. to Zachary E. Johnson	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
211	Todd Jaksick	10/15/13 Email from McQuaid to Clayton, Todd, Stan, Riley, LeGoy (JC0056- JC0089)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
212	Todd Jaksick	3/15/17 (10:55 a.m.) email from Jessica to Todd (JC0104-JC0136)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
213	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Jr. Statement of Financial Condition 10/15/10 (RILEY3607- RILEY3623)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

34

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
214	Todd Jaksick	Samuel Jaksick Jr. Statement of Financial Condition (RILEY3624-RILEY3639)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
215	Todd Jaksick	Hydrographic Abstracts	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
216	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources- Mapping & Data re: Buckhorn	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
217	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Jackrabbit	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
218	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources- Mapping & Data re: White Pine Lumber	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
219	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Home Camp	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
220	Todd Jaksick	James Green Report	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
221	Todd Jaksick	James Green File	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
222	Todd Jaksick	James Green Emails	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
223	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Nevada Pronghorn II	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
224	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Bright Holland	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
225	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Duck Lake Ranch	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19

35

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
226	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re; Duck Lake Ranch	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
227	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: SSJ Issue Trust & TBJ Issue Trust & TBJ SC Trust	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
228	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources Mapping & Data re: Barker- Coleman; Sam & Thelma Jaksick	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
229	Todd Jaksick	Division of Water Resources – Mapping & Data re: Spruce Monarch	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
230	Todd Jaksick	6/2/13 Palmer Letter to Eshelman (RILEY2893- RILEY2897)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
231	Todd Jaksick	5/7/13-5/22/13 Palmer, West, Todd Email String (MCL1081-MCL1086)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
232		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
233	Todd Jaksick	2/13/17 Letter from American Ag Credit to Sam, Todd (MCLl001475-MCL001480)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
234	Todd Jaksick	7/7/16 Letter from American Ag Credit to Bright Holland	2/4/19	STIPULATED	

36

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
235	Todd Jaksick	12/5/12 Agreement Between Duck Lake Ranch and White Pine Lumber (MCL0009)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
236	Todd Jaksick	10/18/17 Seller's Settlement Statement	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
237	Todd Jaksick	2017 IRS Substitute Form; 1099-S 9/6/16 Stan Email to Riley (RILEY3217)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
238	Todd Jaksick	9/6/16-9/7/16 Stan, Riley Email String (RILEY3216)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
239	Todd Jaksick	Photograph of Car	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
240	Todd Jaksick	3/1/17 Samuel Jaksick Jr. Family Trust Settlements of Family Trust Obligations (RILEY3667)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
241	Todd Jaksick	8/23/16 Todd, Stan Email String (RILEY3215)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
242	Stanley Jaksick	Email correspondence between Stan Jaksick, Todd Jaksick, Lexi Smrt and Wendy Jaksick re: Christmas and Tahoe dated 12/01/2017	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
243	Stanley Jaksick	Email correspondence between Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, Kevin Riley and Michael Kimmel re: Invoice from Sam Trust dated 09/22/17	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19

37

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
244	Stanley Jaksick	Email correspondence between Stan Jaksick, Todd Jaksick, Michael Kimmel and Kevin Riley re: Meeting notes 11/29/17 dated 12/05/17	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
245	Stanley Jaksick	Email correspondence between Kevin Riley and Stan Jaksick re: Question dated 09/06/16	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
246	Stanley Jaksick	Email correspondence between Stan Jaksick and Todd Jaksick re: Super Cub dated 01/11/14	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
247		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
248		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
249		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
250	Todd Jaksick	3/17/14 Closing Documents, Refinance 2014 (TICOR00261)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	
250A	Todd Jaksick	3/17/14 Balance Statement (TICOR0000273- TICOR0000274)	2/4/19		
250B	Todd Jaksick	3/13/14 Borrower's Loan Escrow Instructions (TICOR0000697- TICOR0000698)	2/4/19		

38

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No. PR17-00445 Dept. No. 15 Clerk: A. Dick Date: 3/1/19

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed	Offered	Admitted
250C	Todd Jaksick	3/14/14 Settlement Statement (TICOR0000692- TICOR0000696)	2/4/19	•		
250D	Todd Jaksick	3/17/14 Deed of Trust (TICOR0000422- TICOR0000439)	2/4/19			
250E	Todd Jaksick	3/14/14 Adjustable Rate Note (TICOR0000704- TICOR0000708)	2/4/19			
250F	Todd Jaksick	3/14/14 Continuing and Unconditional Guaranty (TICOR0000709- TICOR0000722)	2/4/19			
250G	Todd Jaksick	3/14/14 Continuing and Unconditional Guaranty (TICOR0000723- TICOR0000737)	2/4/19			
251	Todd Jaksick	12/5/16 Closing Disclosure (TJ1484-TJ1488)	2/4/19			
251A	Todd Jaksick	12/9/16 Settlement Statement (Estimated) (TJ1489)	2/4/19			
251B	Todd Jaksick	12/16/16 Escrow Instruction (TJ1490-TJ1499)	2/4/19			
251C	Todd Jaksick	Updated Preliminary Title Report (TJ1500-TJ1520)	2/4/19			
252	Todd Jaksick	1/14/13 10:23 a.m. Email From Brenda Webb to Jennifer Tahoe Luxury Properties (TJ1159)	2/4/19			

39

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
253	Todd Jaksick	10/8/13 Stan and Lisa Jaksick Divorce Decree (TJ1166- TJ1169)	2/4/19		
254	Todd Jaksick	4/24/13 12:20 pm Email From Bill Dietz to Stan Jaksick (TJ1171-TJ1172)	2/4/19		
255	Todd Jaksick	5/30/13 Email String Between Clayton, Todd, LeGoy (TJ2462)	2/4/19		
256	Todd Jaksick	6/3/13 Email String Todd, LeGoy, Riley, Clayton (TJ2582-TJ2584)	2/4/19		
257	Todd Jaksick	11/17/16 William Kimmel Letter; Appraisal (TJ0490- TJ0516)	2/4/19		
258	Todd Jaksick	10/3/13 Bank of America Creditor's Claim (TJ1227- TJ1264)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
259	Todd Jaksick	10/3/14 Text From Wendy (TJ1265)	2/4/19		
260	Todd Jaksick	2/15/14 (1:12 p.m.) Email Riley to Todd (TJ1275- TJ1276)	2/4/19		
261	Todd Jaksick	2/27/14 (12:50 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1277)	2/4/19		
262	Todd Jaksick	7/5/13 (10:30 a.m.) Todd email to Riley (cc: Stan) (TJ1183)	2/4/19		

40

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
263	Todd Jaksick	4/18/18 Wendy, Stan Email String (WJ012080- WJ012086)	2/4/19		
264	Todd Jaksick	3/17/14 Amended Operating Agreement Incline TSS (TJ0750-TJ0779)	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/28/19
265	Todd Jaksick	3/15/14 New Partnership Structure (TJ1298)	2/4/19		
266	Todd Jaksick	TSS/SSJ Note Payable Schedule (TJ1134-TJ1154)	2/4/19		
267	Todd Jaksick	11/10/14 Wendy Text & Emails (TJ1188-TJ1190)	2/4/19		
268	Todd Jaksick	11/14/15 Stan text to Todd (TJ1397)	2/4/19		
269	Todd Jaksick	4/17/14 (9:02 a.m.) Text From Wendy to Todd (TJ1308)	2/4/19		
270	Todd Jaksick	5/21/14 (8:17 a.m.) Email From Wendy to Todd & Stan (TJ1315-TJ1316)	2/4/19		
271	Todd Jaksick	9/2/14 (8:20 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1332)	2/4/19		
272	Todd Jaksick	10/13/14 (3:40 p.m.) Email between Todd & Stan (TJ1335)	2/4/19		
273	Todd Jaksick	1/14/15 (1:37 p.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1348- TJ1349)	2/4/19		

41

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	Offered	Admitted
274	Todd Jaksick	1/22/15 (12:45 p.m.) Email From Stan to LeGoy (TJ2586)	2/4/19		
275	Todd Jaksick	1/30/15 Note Payable between Stan & Incline TSS (TJ1350-TJ1352)	2/4/19		
276	Todd Jaksick	7/7/15 (5:45 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Riley (TJ1385)	2/4/19		
277	Todd Jaksick	SSJ Trust Financial Statements 2014 (TJ1386- TJ1396)	2/4/19		
278	Todd Jaksick	11/13/15 Text From Todd to Stan (TJ1398)	2/4/19		
279	Todd Jaksick	Certificate of LLC Membership-Incline TSS Ltd (TJ1431)	2/4/19		
280	Todd Jaksick	1/12/16 (11:37 a.m.) Email From Wendy to Todd& Stan (TJ1441-TJ1443)	2/4/19		
281	Todd Jaksick	1/26/16 (6:06 p.m.) Email from Stan to Todd (TJ1449- TJ1451)	2/4/19		
282	Todd Jaksick	5/31/16 Email String Between Stan, Todd (TJ1464)	2/4/19		
283	Todd Jaksick	9/6/16 (8:41 a.m.) Email From Todd to Stan and Riley (TJ1468-TJ1469)	2/4/19		

42

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	d Offered	Admitted
284	Todd Jaksick	9/29/16 (6:06 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan, Riley (TJ1470)	2/4/19		
285	Todd Jaksick	12/09/16 Guarantee (TJ0678-TJ0689)	2/4/19		
286	Todd Jaksick	4/15/18 11:09 p.m. Email from Danyarop LLC to Acumen Finance (cc: Wendy) (WJ012068- WJ012069)	2/4/19		
287	Todd Jaksick	2/28/17 (7:55 p.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1533)	2/4/19		
288	Todd Jaksick	3/13/17 (11:20 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1537)	2/4/19		
289	Todd Jaksick	3/15/17 (11:23 a.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1545- TJ1548)	2/4/19		
290	Todd Jaksick	3/23/17 (3:06 p.m.) Email From Todd to Stan (TJ1552)	2/4/19		
291	Todd Jaksick	3/24/17 (9:34 a.m.) Wendy Email to Stan and Todd (TJ1554-TJ1555)	2/4/19		
292	Todd Jaksick	3/25/17 (12:13 p.m.) Email From Wendy to Lexi, Todd, Stan (TJ1556-TJ1557)	2/4/19		
293	Todd Jaksick	4/7/17 (1:19 p.m.) Email From Todd to Wendy, Lexi & Stan (TJ1558)	2/4/19		

43

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
294	Todd Jaksick	7/11/17 (12:34 p.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1570- TJ1571)	2/4/19		
295	Todd Jaksick	9/27/17 (11:22 a.m.) Email From Stan to Todd (TJ1583)	2/4/19		
296	Todd Jaksick	8/15/13 Email from Clayton to Riley, Todd, Stan (TJ2509-TJ2515)	2/4/19		
297	Todd Jaksick	8/15/13 (1:28 p.m.) Email From Clayton to Riley (TJ1712-TJ1718)	2/4/19		
298	Todd Jaksick	10/21/13 Todd's Creditor Claim (TJ1719-TJ1733)	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
299	Todd Jaksick	1/20/16 (8:52 p.m.) Email From Todd to Wendy (TJ1767-TJ1768)	2/4/19		
300	Todd Jaksick	6/2017 Capital Call Request (TJ1773-TJ1778)	2/4/19		
301	Todd Jaksick	12/18/12 (12:21 p.m.) Email from Clayton to Hascheff, Todd (TJ2567-TJ2571)	2/4/19		
302	Todd Jaksick	6/5/14 (9:32 a.m.) Wendy Email to Todd (TJ1185- 1186)	2/4/19		
303	Todd Jaksick	6/6/13 Text from Wendy to Todd (TJ1887-TJ1891)	2/4/19		

44

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
304	Todd Jaksick	7/5/13 (10:31 a.m.) Email from Todd to Riley, Stan (TJ1892)	2/4/19		
305	Todd Jaksick	7/30/14 & 7/31/14 Todd emails to Wendy (TJ1187)	2/4/19		
306	Todd Jaksick	11/18/14 (8:13 a.m.) Wendy Email to Todd, Stan, Lexi, Riley (TJ1191)	2/4/19		
307	Todd Jaksick	Photo of Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr.	2/13/19		
308	Todd Jaksick	Sam's Intent diagram	2/13/19		
309	Todd Jaksick	Chart of Entities Owned by Trust in April of 2013/ACPAs	2/13/19	DEMO PURPOSE ONLY	DEMO PURPOSE ONLY
310	Todd Jaksick	Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust 4/21/13	2/13/19		
310A	Todd Jaksick	Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust 2/4/19	2/13/19		
311	Todd Jaksick	SUMMARY OF PERSONAL GUARANTEES	2/13/19		
312	Todd Jaksick	LIST OF ENTITIES IN WHICH TRUST HAS OWNERSHIP INTEREST PRESENTLY]	2/13/19		
313	Todd Jaksick	Tahoe Timeline	2/13/19		
313A	Todd Jaksick	Tahoe Timeline	2/13/19		
313B	Todd Jaksick	Lake Tahoe House	2/13/19		

45

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
314	Todd Jaksick	LIST OF AND CHRONOLOGY OF TRUSTEES	2/13/19		
315	Todd Jaksick	Wendy's Advances	2/13/19		
316	Todd Jaksick	Financial Risk; demonstrative exhibit	2/13/19	NO OBJECTION TO DEMO. PURPOSES ONLY	DEMO. PURPOSE ONLY
317	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317A	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317B	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317C	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317D	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317E	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317F	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317G	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317H	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317I	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317J	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317K	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317L	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317M	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317N	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
3170	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
317P	Todd Jaksick	Diagram	2/13/19		
318	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3382	2/4/19		

46

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	Offered	Admitted
318A	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3383	2/4/19		
318B	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3384	2/4/19		
318C	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3385	2/4/19		
318D	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3386	2/4/19		
318E	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3387	2/4/19		
318F	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3388	2/4/19		
318G	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3389	2/4/19		
318H	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3390	2/4/19		
318I	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3391	2/4/19		
318J	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3392	2/4/19		
318K	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3393	2/4/19		
318L	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3394	2/4/19		
318M	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3395	2/4/19		
318N	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3396	2/4/19		
318O	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3397	2/4/19		
318P	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3398	2/4/19		
318Q	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3399	2/4/19		
318R	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3400	2/4/19		
318S	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3401	2/4/19		
318T	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3402	2/4/19		
318U	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3403	2/4/19		
318V	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3404	2/4/19		
318W	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3405	2/4/19		
318X	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3406	2/4/19		
318Y	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3407	2/4/19		

47

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No. PR17-00445 Dept. No. 15 Clerk: A. Dick Date: 3/1/19

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
318Z	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3408	2/4/19		
318AA	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3409	2/4/19		
318BB	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3410	2/4/19		
318CC	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3411	2/4/19		
318DD	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3412	2/4/19		
318EE	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3413	2/4/19		
318FF	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3414	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/22/19
318GG	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3415	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/22/19
318HH	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3416	2/4/19		
318II	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3417	2/4/19		
318JJ	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3418	2/4/19		
318KK	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3419	2/4/19		
318LL	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3420	2/4/19		
318MM	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3421	2/4/19		
318NN	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3422	2/4/19		
31800	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3379	2/4/19		
318PP	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3380	2/4/19		
318QQ	Todd Jaksick	Photographs TJ3381	2/4/19		
319	Todd Jaksick	12/8/14 (4:19 p.m.) Clayton email to Wendy (cc: Todd, Stan) (TJ1192)	2/4/19		
320	Todd Jaksick	12/11/14 (9:57 a.m.) Clayton email to Wendy (cc: Todd, Stan) (TJ1193)	2/4/19		

48

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
321	Todd Jaksick	3/11/14 (9:45 a.m.) Email from Wendy to Todd (TJ1836-TJ1837)	2/4/19		
322	Todd Jaksick	12/15/14 (5:01 p.m.) Clayton email to Wendy (TJ1194)	2/4/19		
323	Todd Jaksick	5/28/14 (12:03 p.m.) Email from Riley to Wendy, Stan, Todd, Lexi (TJ1843-TJ1846)	2/4/19		
324	Todd Jaksick	7/7/15 (6:49 p.m.) Email from Riley to Todd, Stan (TJ1848-TJ1849)	2/4/19		
325	Todd Jaksick	7/25/16 (1:17 p.m.) Email from Riley to Wendy (TJ1864-TJ1866)	2/4/19		
326	Todd Jaksick	7/25/16 (5:27 p.m.) Email from Riley to Wendy, Lexi (TJ1867-TJ1870)	2/4/19		
327	Todd Jaksick	Demonstrative Chart – Professionals Providing Advice to Todd	2/13/19	NO OBJECTION TO DEMO. PURPOSES ONLY	DEMO. PURPOSE ONLY
328	Todd Jaksick	Indemnification agreement chart	2/14/19		
329	Todd Jaksick	Demonstrative Chart – All Payments to SSJ [NOT PROVIDED AT EXHIBIT MARKING]			

49

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
330	Todd Jaksick	Debts and Obligations Schedule re: Samuel S. Jaksick Jr Family Trust, Estate of Samuel L. Jaksick Jr.	2/4/19		
331	Todd Jaksick	Advances to Wendy	2/13/19	NO OBJECTION	2/27/19
332	Todd Jaksick	The TBJ's Issue Trust Agreement	2/20/19		
333	Todd Jaksick	The Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust Agreement	2/20/19		
334-399		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
400	Wendy Jaksick	Sam Jaksick Entities List	2/4/19		
401	Wendy Jaksick	Sam's 2012 Income Tax Return	2/4/19		
402	Wendy Jaksick	Sam's 2013 Income Tax Return	2/4/19		
403	Wendy Jaksick	SSJ LLC Operating Agreement	2/4/19		
404	Wendy Jaksick	Home Camp Land and Livestock 2013 Tax Return	2/4/19		
405	Wendy Jaksick	Home Camp Land and Livestock 2014 Tax Return	2/4/19		
406	Wendy Jaksick	Security Agreement, Wendy Jaksick as Debtor, Family Trust as Secured Party	2/4/19		
407	Wendy Jaksick	Family Trust Balance Sheet, March 31, 2016	2/4/19		

50

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
408	Wendy Jaksick	Pioneer Group, Inc Estimated Taming and Amount of Cash Distributions	2/4/19		
409	Wendy Jaksick	Incline TSS New Partnership Structure #8 – Admission of Stan	2/4/19		
410	Wendy Jaksick	Note Payable Between TBJ Family Trust and SBJ Family Trust	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/27/19
411	Wendy Jaksick	Capital Call Request – Jackrabbit Properties – June 27, 2017	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
412	Wendy Jaksick	Capital Call - Jackrabbit Properties – September 27, 2017	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
413	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence Dated December 13, 2017 From Kent Robison to Various Parties, Re: Capital Call Jackrabbit Property, LLC	2/4/19		
414	Wendy Jaksick	Unsecured Promissory Note - Todd B. Jaksick Borrower, Samuel S. Jaksick Lender – Agreement to Extend	2/4/19	OBJECTION OVERRULED	2/27/19
415	Wendy Jaksick	Todd Jaksick's House	2/4/19		
416	Wendy Jaksick	Indemnification and Contribution Agreement – BHC Family Trust	2/4/19		

51

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
417	Wendy Jaksick	Pacific Life Insurance Check in the Amount of \$6,032,876.71 and Statement of Claim Payment	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
418	Wendy Jaksick	August 15, 2016, Solomon Dwiggins Freer	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/26/19
419	Wendy Jaksick	Incline TSS LTD – Capital Call – March 13, 2017	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
420	Wendy Jaksick	Livestock Bill of Sale	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
421	Wendy Jaksick	DLR WPR NRCS Closing Funds Distribution – April 17, 2014	2/4/19		
422	Wendy Jaksick	Distribution Breakdown 2015 Todd Subtrust	2/4/19		
423	Wendy Jaksick	2013 Annual Account Statement – Issue Trust	2/4/19		
424	Wendy Jaksick	2015 Annual Account Statement – Issue Trust	2/4/19		
425	Wendy Jaksick	Pioneer Group Ownership and Control	2/4/19		
426	Wendy Jaksick	Closing Statement – Galena Canyon Trial – February 24, 2012	2/4/19		
427	Wendy Jaksick	Lease Agreement – Home Camp Land & Livestock Co., Dated December 12, 2013	2/4/19		

52

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
428	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence from Zachary E. Johnson to Donald A. Lattin and Philip Kreitlein re: Subtrust Accountings	2/4/19		
429	Wendy Jaksick	Indemnification Payments Worksheet	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
430	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated May 14, 2013 From Jessica Clayton to Kevin Riley, Re: from Todd	2/4/19		
431	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated May 28, 2013 From Jessica Clayton to Kevin Riley, Re: from Todd	2/4/19		
432	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 11, 2014 From Stan Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Super Cub	2/4/19		
433	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 13, 2014 From Jessica Clayton to Kevin Riley, Re: WPR to DLR including cattle	2/4/19		
434	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 15, 2014 From Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Easement update	2/4/19		
435	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated February 4, 2014 From Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick	2/4/19		

53

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	ed Offered	Admitted
436	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated February 5, 2014 From Brian McQuaid to Wendy Jaksick, Re: Super Cub and Duck Lake Ranch Note	2/4/19		
437	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated April 16, 2014 From Stan Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: April 16, 2014	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
438	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated May 21, 2014 From Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Notes	2/4/19		
439	Wendy Jaksick	Text Message From Wendy to Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick	2/4/19		
440	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated September 26, 2014 From Stan Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Yesterday's Meeting	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
441	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated October 28, 2014 From Kevin Riley to Todd and Stan Jaksick, Re: Tahoe/Incline TSS	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
442	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated November 7, 2014 From Jessica Clayton to Kevin Riley, Re: ACPA – Need Kev signature	2/4/19		

54

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
443	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated February 11, 2015 From Jessica Clayton to Stan Jaksick and Kevin Riley, Re: Explanation of Funds to Court for Stan	2/4/19		
444	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 12, 2016 From Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Gorman	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
445	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 12, 2016 From Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick, Re: Vegas company buying Bronco Billyas definite agreement	2/4/19		
446	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated May 4, 2016 From Kevin Riley to Wendy Jaksick, Re: Question	2/4/19		
447	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated July 20, 2016 From Kevin Riley to Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick, Re: first draft -estate distribution	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
448	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated August 19, 2016 From Kevin Riley to Stan Jaksick, Re: Todds Indemnification Worksheet [Need to Identify and Attach Attachment]	2/4/19		

55

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
449	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated September 7, 2016 From Stan Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Wendy Misc	2/4/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
450	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated December 1, 2016 From Jessica Clayton to Kevin Riley, Re: Todd Sub Trust to Same Trust Balance Inco	2/4/19		
451	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated December 12, 2016 From Kevin Riley to Todd Jaksick, Bob LeGoy, Don Lattin and Nik Palmer, Re: Fly Geyser & Granchildrens Trust	2/4/19		
452	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 6, 2017 From Kevin Riley to Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, Bob Legoy and Brian McQuaid, Re: draft financials for sj trust	2/4/19		
453	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated January 27, 2017 From Kevin Riley to Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, Bob Legoy and Brian McQuaid, Re: SJ trust distribution planning	2/4/19		

56

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
454	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated February 14, 2017 From Todd Jaksick to Stan Jaksick, Re: Personal Guarantee	2/4/19	NO OBJECTION	2/20/19
455	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated July 21, 2017 From Kevin Riley to Wendy Jaksick, Re: bhc Trust	2/4/19		
456	Wendy Jaksick	Email Dated December 05, 2017 From Stan Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Re: Meeting notes 11/29/17	2/4/19		
457	Wendy Jaksick	Settlement Agreement Between Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick	2/19/19		
458	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated February 24, 2006 from Robert LeGoy and Gustave Rossi to Sam Jaksick and Todd Jaksick, Re: Proposed transfer of Home Camp Land and Livestock Co., Inc	2/14/19		
459	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 11, 2006 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: Clayton's direction to sign family trust	2/14/19		

57

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
460	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence date June 29, 2006 from Robert LeGoy to Samuel Jaksick, Re: Estate planning of Samuel J. Jaksick, Jr.	2/14/19		
461	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated December 6, 2006 from Robert LeGoy to Samuel Jaksick, Re: the SSJ's Issue Trust Agreement	2/14/19		
462	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated December 27, 2006 from Robert LeGoy to Samuel Jaksick, Re: the SSJ's Issue Trust Agreement	2/14/19		
463	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated April 12, 2007 from Robert LeGoy to Samuel Jaksick and Todd Jaksick, Re: Binders re: the SSJ's Issue Trust and TBJ's Issue Trust Agreement	2/14/19		
464	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 14, 2007 from Geoff Grenert to Robert LeGoy, Re: Jaksick	2/14/19		
465	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated June 17, 2010 from Robert LeGoy to Samuel Jaksick and Todd Jaksick, Re: Evaluation of Real Estate Purchase Option for Sam's Incline Residence	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19

58

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
466	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 29, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Brian McQuiad, Re: Questions on List of Creditors	2/14/19		
467	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 24, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: Notice to Creditors	2/14/19		
468	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 29, 2013 from Richard Thomas to Jessica Clayton, Re: Sam's Estate	2/14/19		
469	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 30, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: Declaration of Gift – Bank Account	2/14/19		
470	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 30, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: From Todd	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
471	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 1, 2013 from Brian McQuaid to Jessica Clayton, Re: Incline TSS LTD, a Nevada Limited- Liability Company	2/14/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
472	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 2, 2013 from Todd Jaksick to Brian McQuaid, Re: Tahoe House	2/14/19		

59

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
473	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 3, 2013 from Todd Jaksick to Brian McQuaid, Re: Tahoe House	2/14/19		
474	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 4, 2013 from Jessica Clayton to Brian McQuaid, Todd Jaksick, and Kevin Riley, Re: Incline TSS LTD, a Nevada Limited- Liability Company	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
475	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 4, 2013 from Brian McQuaid to Robert LeGoy, Re: Incline TSS LTD, a Nevada Limited- Liability Company	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
476	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 4, 2013 from Brian McQuaid to Todd Jaksick, Re: Draft Agreement re: Life Insurance	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
477	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated June 12, 2013 from Robert LeGoy and Brian McQuaid to Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, and Kevin Riley, Re: Engagement Letter	2/14/19		
478	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 15, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: Sam's Estate – NSB Update – Message Failure 2 nd attempt	2/14/19		

60

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	Offered	Admitted
479	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 15, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Brian McQuaid and Doris Dotson, RE: List of Creditors	2/14/19		
480	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 18, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Re: Assignment of Gift – Bank Account	2/14/19		
481	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 19, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Nik Palmer, Re: Roger Morris	2/14/19		
482	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 19, 2013 from Robert LeGoy to Brian McQauid, Re: Sam's Estate – NSB Update – Message Failure 2 nd attempt	2/14/19		
483	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 20, 2013 from Kevin Riley to Robert LeGoy and Jessica Clayton, Re: Sam's Estate – NSB Update – Message Failure 2 nd attempt	2/14/19		
484	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 21, 2013 from Brian McQauid to Matthew Gray, Re: Jaksick Trust Documents	2/14/19		

61

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	l Offered	Admitted
485	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated July 5, 2013 from Brian McQauid to Jessica Clayton, Re: Probate time frame for bank accounts	2/14/19		
486	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated July 5, 2013 from Todd Jaksick to Brian McQauid, Re: Executors for Probate	2/14/19		
487	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated July 24, 2013 from Kevin Riley to Robert LeGoy, Re: Indemnification Agreement	2/14/19		
488	Wendy Jaksick	Creditor Claim dated October 11, 2013 submitted by Janene Jaksick to the Estate of Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr.	2/14/19		
489	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated March 31, 2014 from the Samuel S. Jaksick Family Trust to Robert LeGoy, Re: Representation of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Family Trust and all sub trust, the Estate of Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr., Todd B. Jaksick, Stanley S. Jaksick, and related Persons and Ententies	2/14/19		
490	Wendy Jaksick	Assignment of Note Payable dated June 4, 2014	2/14/19		

62

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
491	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 6, 2014 from Jessica Claton to Brian McQuaid and Robert LeGoy, Re: Sam's Family Trust	2/14/19		
492	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated June 11, 2014 from Brian McQauid to Robert LeGoy, Re: Jaksick – Consent	2/14/19		
493	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated June 11, 2014 from Shawn Pearson to Robert LeGoy, Re: Sam Jaksick, Jr.	2/14/19		
494	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated August 11, 2014 from Jessica Clayton to Brian McQauid and Doris Dotson, Re: Monday conference call	2/14/19		
495	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated August 16, 2014 from Wendy Jaksick to Todd Jaksick, Sam Jaksick, and Kevin Riley, Re: Wendy Jaksick 1995 Insurance Note	2/14/19	STIPULATED	2/22/19
496	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated August 18, 2014 from Jessica Clayton to Brian McQauid, Re: Options for Loan to Trust	2/14/19		
497	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated August 19, 2014 from Brian McQauid to Jessica Calyton, Re: Options for Loan to Trust	2/14/19		

63

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	Offered	Admitted
498	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated September 3, 2014 from Robert LeGoy to Wendy Jaksick, Re: Your Letter dated August 16, 2014	2/14/19		
499	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated October 15, 2014 from Robert LeGoy to Brett Buckingham, Investigator, Re: Stanley S. Jaksick and Todd B. Jaksick	2/14/19		
500	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated October 27, 2014 from Robert LeGoy to Jessica Clayton, Stan Jaksick, and Kevin Riley, Re: Montreux Development Group, LLC	2/14/19		
501	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated October 27, 2014 from Robert LeGoy to Stan S. Jaksick, Re: Divorce Payment to Wife	2/14/19		
502	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated October 28, 2014 from Brian McQauid to Stan Jaksick, and Todd Jaksick, Re: Stan's Promissory Notes	2/14/19		

64

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
503	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 18, 2014 from Jessica Clayton to Brian McQuaid, Re: Draft Promissory Notes – Wendy	2/14/19		
504	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated January 20, 2015 from Kevin Riley to Robert LeGoy and Brian McQuaid, Re: Draft Financials	2/14/19		
505	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated January 21, 2015 from Brian McQuaid to Kevin Riley, Re: Revision to Sam's Family Trust Agreement to elect QSST status	2/14/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
506	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated March 12, 2015 from Brian McQuaid to Jessica Clayton, Robert LeGoy, Kevin Riley, Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick, Re: FIB LOC Renewal Docs	2/14/19		
507	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated July 23, 2015 from Todd Jaksick to Brian McQauid, Re: Janene Agreement	2/14/19		
508	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 3, 2015 from Kevin Riley to Robert LeGoy and Brian McQauid, Re: Jackrabbit properties transfer	2/14/19		

65

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	ed Offered	Admitted
509	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 6, 2015 from Jessica Clayton to Brian McQuaid, Re: Security for SSJ's Issue Trust Notes	2/14/19		
510	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 12, 2015 from Brian McQauid to Todd Jaksick and Stan Jaksick, Re: Jackrabbit properties transfer	2/14/19		
511	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 12, 2015 from Brian McQuaid to Jessica Clayton, Re: Security for SSJ's Issue Trust Notes	2/14/19		
512	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated November 21, 2015 from Brian McQuaid to Jessica Clayton, Kevin Riley, and Stan Jaksick, Re: Security for SSJ's Issue Trust Notes	2/14/19		
513	Wendy Jaksick	Memo to File dated November 25, 2015, Re: Conference call with Todd Jaksick and Kevin Riley re: Jackrabbit	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
514	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated December 17, 2015 from Kevin Riley to Brian McQuaid, Re: Jackrabbit properties LLC	2/14/19		

66

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marke	d Offered	Admitted
515	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated December 18, 2015 from Brian McQuaid to Kevin Riley, Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, Jessica Clayton and Robert LeGoy, Re: Jackrabbit Properties LLC	2/14/19		
516	Wendy Jaksick	Assets of Trust Benefiting Benjamin and Amanda Jaksick dated December 23, 2013	2/14/19		
517	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated January 13, 2016 from Brian McQuaid to Kevin Riley, Todd Jaksick, Stan Jaksick, and Robert LeGoy, Re: White Pine Lumber Co	2/14/19		
518	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated January 21, 2016 from Robert Legoy to Todd Jaksick, Re: Land Owner	2/14/19		
519	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated April 28, 2016 from Brian McQuaid to Jessica Clayton and Robert LeGoy, Re: Pioneer Group – Sale Info – Docs – Timeline	2/14/19		
520	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated May 23, 2016 from Kevin Riley to Brian McQuaid and Bob LeGoy, Re: Debts	2/14/19		

67

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marko	d Offered	Admitted
521	Wendy Jaksick	Robert LeGoy's Notes dated July 24, 2017, Re: Stan's issues with Todd's Indemnification Agreement	2/14/19		
522	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated July 28, 2017 from Robert LeGoy to Pierre Hascheff, Re: Indemnification Agreements the Great Sam Jaksick gave Stan and Todd	2/14/19		
523	Wendy Jaksick	Correspondence dated August 3, 2018 from Robert LeGoy to Adam Hosmer- Henner, Phillip Kreitlein, Michael S. Kimmel, and Kent Robison, Re: Jaksick Litigations	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	3/1/19
524	Wendy Jaksick	Email dated August 13, 2018 from Robert LeGoy to Mark Knobel, Re: Informed Consents to Representations and Waivers of Conflicts in Jaksick Litigations	2/14/19	STIPULATED	3/1/19
525	Wendy Jaksick	Family Trust Obligations Ledger, Re: LeGoy questioning Todd's IA Payment Claims	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/27/19

68

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nq: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Marked	Offered	Admitted
526	Wendy Jaksick	Memo dated October 16, 2014 from Procter J. HugIV to Brian McQuaid and Robert LeGoy, Re: Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Trust and Estates Administration	2/14/19		
527	Wendy Jaksick	NRS 165.141 – Demand for Accounting – Effective from October 1, 2015	2/14/19		
528	Wendy Jaksick	NRS 165.141 – Demand for Accounting – Effective through September 31, 2015	2/14/19		
529	Wendy Jaksick	NRS 165.1214 – Timing of Accounting	2/14/19		
530	Wendy Jaksick	Sam Jaksick Entities Chart	2/14/19		
531	Wendy Jaksick	Sam Jaksick List of Entites, Valuations and Notes, dated July 8, 2013	2/14/19		
532	Wendy Jaksick	Objection to Approval of Accountings and Other Trust Administration Matters, dated October 10, 2017 – Family Trust Accounting	2/14/19		
533	Wendy Jaksick	Objection to Approval of Accountings and Other Trust Administration Matters, dated October 10, 2017 – Issue Trust Accounting	2/14/19		

69

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case Nd: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
534	Wendy Jaksick	Amended Objection and Counter-Petition Re: Family Trust, dated March 23, 2018	2/14/19		
535	Wendy Jaksick	Amended Objection and Counter-Petition Re: Issue Trust, dated March 23, 2018	2/14/19		
537	Wendy Jaksick	The TBJ's Issue Trust Agreement	2/14/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
538	Wendy Jaksick	Unsecured Promissory Note	2/19/19		
539	Wendy Jaksick	Amendment Unsecured Promissory Note	2/19/19		
540	Wendy Jaksick	Wendy Jaksick Trust Under the Samuel S. Jaksick Jr. Family Trust Agreement	2/19/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
541	Wendy Jaksick	Declaration of the Samuel S. Jaksick, Jr. Wendy A. Jaksick 2012 BHC Family Trust	2/19/19	STIPULATED	2/21/19
542	Wendy Jaksick	Real Estate Option Agreement	2/19/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
542A	Wendy Jaksick	Original Signature	2/25/19	STIPULATED	2/25/19
543	Wendy Jaksick	Uniform Residential Loan Application	2/19/19	STIPULATED	2/19/19
544	Wendy Jaksick	12/27/19 Email	2/19/19	NO OBJECTION	2/19/19
545	Wendy Jaksick	Kimmel Appraisal	2/20/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
546	Wendy Jaksick	1011 Lakeshore Blvd Zillow	2/20/19	OBJECTION SUSTAINED	

70

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
547	Wendy Jaksick	Amendment to Secured Promissory Note	2/20/19	STIPULATED	2/20/19
548	Wendy Jaksick	Original Indemnification Agreement	2/21/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
549	Wendy Jaksick	Original Signature	2/21/19	NO OBJECTION	2/21/19
550	Todd Jaksick	Consent and Release	2/27/19	OBJECTION OVERRULED	2/27/19
551	Trustees	Second Amendment to the Operating Agreement	2/28/19	NO OBJECTION	2/28/19
552	Wendy Jaksick	Photos	2/28/19		
553	Wendy Jaksick	Original of Exhibit 13	2/25/19	NO OBJECTION	2/25/19
554	Wendy Jaksick	Form of Notice to Holder of Crummey Withdrawal Power	3/1/19	NO OBJECTION	3/1/19
555	Court	Proposed Jury Instructions + Matrix	3/4/19		
556-559		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
560	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
561	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
562	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
563	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
564	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	

71

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No. PR17-00445 Dept. No. 15 Clerk: A. Dick Date: 3/1/19

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	d Offered	Admitted
565	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
566	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
567	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
568	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
569	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
570	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
571	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
572	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
573	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
574	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
575-576		INTENTIONALLY BLANK			
577	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
580	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	
581	Todd Jaksick	Demo Exhibit	3/4/19	DEMO PURPOSES ONLY	

72

TITLE: CONS: TRUST: SSJ'S ISSUE TRUST

PET: Wendy Jaksick ATTYs: Kevin Spencer, Esq. + Zachary Johnson, Esq. + Mark Connot, Esq.

RESP: Todd Jaksick ATTYs: Kent Robison, Esq. + Therese Shanks, Esq.

RESP: Stan Jaksick ATTYs: Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. + Philip Kreitlein, Esq.

TRUSTEES: Michael Kimmel + Kevin Riley ATTY: Donald Lattin, Esq.

Case No: **PR17-00445** Dept. No: **15** Clerk: **A. Dick** Date: **3/1/19**

Exhibit No.	Party	Description	Mark	ed Offered	Admitted
582	Todd Jaksick	Original, unredacted Exhibit 23.41	3/4/19		

73