## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

STEVEN FLOYD VOSS,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 81472

FILED

MAR 0 4 2021

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING MOTION

This court has denied appellant's motions to discharge his appointed counsel and to proceed pro se. NRAP 46A(b)(1) ("A defendant who is appealing from a judgment of conviction may not appear without counsel"); see also Thomas v. State, 115 Nev. 148, 979 P.2d 222 (1999) (appellant is not entitled to reject court-appointed counsel absent a showing of good cause); Thomas v. Wainwright, 767 F.2d 738, 742 (11th Cir. 1985) (appellant's general loss of confidence or trust in counsel is not adequate cause for appointment of new counsel); Blandino v. State, 112 Nev. 352, 914 P.2d 624 (1996) (appellant has no right to proceed without counsel on direct appeal from a judgment of conviction); Martinez v. Court of Appeal of Cal., 538 U.S. 152 (2000).

Appellant has filed a petition for en banc reconsideration of this court's rulings. The petition for en banc reconsideration is procedurally improper, NRAP 40A, and this court construes the petition as a motion for reconsideration. Appellant fails to demonstrate that this court overlooked

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

or misapprehended any material points of law or fact. See, e.g. McConnell v. State, 121 Nev. 25, 26, 107 P.3d 1287, 1288 (2005). The motion is denied. It is so ORDERED.

Parraguirre

Tracie Lindeman cc:

> Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Steven Floyd Voss

SUPREME COURT

NEVADA