1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2 3 4 5	IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH OF THE RESIDENCE AND PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1731 SUNSET COURT GARDNERVILLE, NEVADA 89410	Supreme Court No.: 81509 Electronically Filed District Court Que 30 20201 9 19 1909 945 Elizabeth A. Brown	
6	JAMES KOSTA, Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Cour		
7	Appellant,		
8	VS.		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Respondent.		
11			
12		COSTA, REAL PARTY IN INTEREST L STATEMENT	
13	CASE ALLEA		
14	Movant/Appellant James Kosta,	Real Party in Interest, by and through the	
15 16	law firm of Clark Hill PLC, hereby subr	nits his Case Appeal Statement as follows:	
17	1. The District Court case n	umber and caption showing the names of	
18	all parties to the proceedi	ngs below:	
19	District Court Case No. 202	9-SW-00045	
20			
21	<u>Plaintiffs</u> : James Kosta		
22	Defendants: State of Neva	la	
23	2. Identify the Judge issu	ing the decision, judgment or order	
24		g	
25	appealed from:		
26	The Honorable Thomas W.	Gregory.	
27			
28			

1	3.	The name of each appellant and the name and address of counsel
2		for each appellant:
3 4		Appellant:
4 5		Plaintiffs, James Kosta
6		
7		<u>Counsel:</u>
8		Dominic P. Gentile, Michael V. Cristalli. Vincent Savarese III
9	4.	The name of each respondent and the name and address of
10		appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent, but if the name
11		
12		of a respondent's appellate counsel is not known, then the name
13		and address of that respondent's trial counsel:
14		Respondent:
15		State of Nevada
16		
17		<u>Counsel:</u>
18 19		Erik A. Levin
19 20	5.	Whether an attorney identified in response to subparagraph (4) is
21		not licensed to practice law in Nevada, and if so, whether the
22		
23		District Court granted that attorney permission to appear under
24		SCR 42, including a copy of any District Court Order granting
25		that permission:
26		Not applicable.
27		
28		

1	6.	Whether the appellant was represented by appointed counsel in
2		the District Court, and whether the appellant is represented by
3		appointed counsel on appeal:
4		
5		Not applicable
6 7	7.	Whether the District Court granted the appellant leave to proceed
7 8		in forma pauperis, and if so, the date of the District Court's Order
9		granting that leave.
10		Not applicable.
11		
12	8.	The date that the proceedings commenced in District Court: (e.g.,
13		date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed)
14		
15	On r	March 16, 2020 Appellant filed a motion of real party interest James
16 17	Kosta for return of property; to unseal search warrant application and supporting	
17	affidavit; and to quash search warrant, or in the alternative, for protective order.	
10	9.	A brief description of the nature of the action and result in the
20		
21		District Court, including the type of judgment or order being
22		appealed and the relief granted by the District Court:
23		Appellant seeks review of the District Courts denial of the above
24		referenced petition which sought the following relief;
25		
26	A.	Unsealing the Application and Affidavit of United States Drug
27	Enforceme	ent Agency (DEA) Agent Evan Miyamoto ("Application and Supporting
28		
	1	

Affidavit") submitted in support of the Search Warrant issued on July 29, 2019 in 1 2 the matter of "The residence and property located at 1731 Sunset Court, 3 Gardnerville, Nevada 89410" by this Court, the Honorable Thomas W. Gregory, 4 5 District Judge ("the instant Search Warrant"), authorizing a forthwith search by 6 law enforcement officers of the residence and property located at 1731 Sunset 7 Court, Gardnerville, Nevada 89410, the person of James Kosta and further 8 9 authorizing a forthwith seizure of certain property belonging to Mr. Kosta, which 10 Search Warrant Application and Supporting Affidavit was sealed by Judge 11 Gregory pending further order of this Court. 12

13 B. Providing Appellant's counsel with an opportunity to review and evaluate 14 the representations contained in the instant Application and Supporting Affidavit 15 and to submit a Supplemental Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support 16 17 of this Motion with the benefit of such review, regarding Appellant's contention, 18 presently based upon information and belief, that the representations contained 19 therein fail to establish probable cause to justify the seizure of Appellant's 20 21 property, pursuant to the instant Search Warrant;

22 C. Quashing the instant Search Warrant should the Court find, in view of supplemental briefing, that probable cause to seize Appellant's property was in fact 24 lacking; and

23

25

26

D. Thereupon requiring the return of Appellant's property, and before any 27 presentation of any of its content to any judicial officer, grand jury, or other entity 28

1	or person whomsoever for any purpose whatsoever.		
2	In the alternative, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court enter a		
3			
4	Protective Order:		
5	A. Textually requiring the execution of any such warrant only by an		
6	independent "filtering team" consisting of non-DEA law enforcement		
7	personnel;		
8			
9	B. Textually establishing, approving and imposing co-extensive		
10 11	minimization protocols upon executing personnel with respect to the execution of		
11	any such warrant consistent with the foregoing temporal and subject-based		
13	limitations;		
14	C Textually meabuding employed of the "mlain view" destring by		
15	C. Textually precluding application of the "plain view" doctrine by		
16	executing personnel with respect to any such warrant; and		
17	D. Textually requiring executing personnel to foreswear reliance thereon.		
18	10. Whether the case has previously been the subject of an appear to		
19			
20	or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the		
21	caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior		
22	proceeding:		
23 24	Not applicable.		
25			
26	11. Whether the appeal involves child custody or visitation;		
27	Not applicable.		
28			

1	12. In civil cases, whether the appeal involves the possibility of
2	settlement.
3	
4	Portions of the relief sought could be settled others are unlikely to
5	settle.
6	DATED this 30 th day of July, 2020.
7	CLARK HILL PLC
8	
9	/s/ Michael V. Cristalli DOMINIC P. GENTILE
10	Nevada Bar No. 1923 MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI
11	Nevada Bar No. 6266 VINCENT SAVARESE III
12	Nevada Bar No. 2467
13	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 862-8300
15	Attorneys´ for Movant/Appellant James Kosta, Real Party in Interest
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned, an employee of Clark Hill PLC, hereby certifies that on
3	the 30 th day of July, 2020, I served a copy of the MOVANT/APPELLANT
4	
5	JAMES KOSTA, REAL PARTY IN INTEREST CASE APPEAL
6	STATEMENT , by placing said copy in an envelope, postage fully prepaid, in the
7	U.S. Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, said envelope addressed to:
8	Develop Country District Attenness Consist Assert Even Missensets
9	Douglas County District AttorneySpecial Agent Evan MiyamotoCriminal DivisionDrug Enforcement Agency
10	1038 Buckeye Road8790 Double Diamond Parkway
11	P.O. Box 218 Reno, Nevada 89521 Mindon Novada 80423
12	Minden, Nevada 89423 Facsimile: (775) 782-9807
13	
14	/s/ Stacey Concepcion An employee of CLARK HILL PLC
15	All employee of CLARK HILL I Le
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	