IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Jeffrey Reed,	Supreme Court Number: 81581 Electronically Filed District Court Case No. 04 2020 04:15 p.m.								
Petitioner,)	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court								
vs.									
The Eighth Judicial District Court of the) State of Nevada, in and for the County of) Clark, and the Department "H" District) Court Judge T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.,									
Respondents,									
Alecia Reed nka Draper, and Alecia) Draper, as Conservator of Emily Reed,)									
Real Parties in Interest.									
PETITIONER'S APPENDIX TO WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR, IN THE									

ALTERNATIVE, WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR, IN THE

VOLUME II OF V

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY
LAW GROUP

Nevada Bar No. 9294
4411 S. Pecos Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89121
(702) 474-7007
(702) 474-7477 (facsimile)
Counsel for Petitioner

INDEX OF APPENDIX

Date	Description of Document	Vol.	Page Nos.
	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to		
	Reset Child Support Based on Emancipation of		
	a Child and Countermotion for Child Support		
7/21/2017	for Disabled Child Et Al	II	0143 - 0377
	Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion to		
	Reset Child Support Based Upon Emancipation		
	of a Child and for Attorney s Fees and Costs		
	and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion for		
8/24/2017	Child Support for Disabled Child Et Al	II	0378 - 0392





Prepared For: JEFFREY A. REED

05/31/2017

Today's Savings

* By participating in a qualified retirement plan through your employer this year and making your contributions with pretax dollars, you reduced your taxes by: \$712.00

In simple terms, the Marginal Tax Rate is the tax rate that you pay on your last dollar of taxable income. It is the highest federal tax bracket that affects your tax calculation. The Effective Tax Rate is the percentage of your total income that you paid in taxes. For 2016, your Marginal Tax Rate is 25% and your Effective Tax Rate is 18%.

Filing, Refund and Balance Due Information

Tax Return	efile	Refund / (Balance Due)	Summary		Message		
Federal	Yes	\$1,890.00	Refund	\$1,890.00	See the Filing Checklist for instructions.		

This H&R Block Advantage document provides information that could help you improve your tax and financial situation. Its contents should be considered in conjunction with information you receive from other sources that are familiar with your specific circumstances. Tax services offered through subsidiaries of HRB Tax Group, Inc.



2016 Tax Return Summary

Federal Year over Year Comparison	Year 2016	Year 2015	Change(\$)
Wages, salaries, tips Total income	\$95,256 \$95,256	\$0 \$0	\$95,256 \$95,256
ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME			
Total income less total adjustments	\$95,256	\$0	\$95,256
TAXABLE INCOME			
Standard deductions	\$6,300	\$0	\$6,300
Exemptions	\$4,050	\$0	\$4,050
Taxable income	\$84,906	\$0	\$84,906
TAX COMPUTATION		···	
Income tax	\$17,003	\$0	\$17,003
Tax before credits	\$17,003	\$0	\$17,003
OTHER TAXES			
Total tax	\$17,003	\$0	\$17,003
PAYMENTS			
Federal withholding	\$18,893	\$0	\$18,893
Total payments	\$18,893	\$0	\$18,893
REFUND			
Overpayment	\$1,890	\$0	\$1,890
Refund due	\$1,890	\$0	\$1,890
OTHER COMPUTATIONS			
Alternative minimum taxable income	\$95,256	\$0	\$95,256
Marginal tax bracket	25%		
Effective tax bracket	18%		
Filing status	Single		

Form

1040EZ

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Income Tax Return for Single and
Joint Filers With No Dependents (99)

2016

OMB No. 1545-0074

			***************************************		- op on a on a					OIN	D 140. 13	- 3-001	7	
JEFFREY A REED 9024 TONY RIDGE AVE							Your social security number - 3590 Spouse's social security number							
LAS VEGAS, NV 89148									Make sure the SSN(s) above are correct.					
									Procid		l Electic		noien	
									Check he	re if y	ou, or you	spouse	if filing	
Foreign country name Foreign p					oreign province/state/ county	Foreign postal	code	jointly, wa a box belo refund.						
		1	Wages, salaries, a	nd tips. Th	is should be show	n in box 1 of your Fo	m(s) W- 2.				You	Spe	ouse	
Income			Attach your Form	-			(5)			1		95,	256.	
Attach Form(s) W-2 here.		2 Taxable interest. If the total is over \$1,500, you cannot use Form 1040EZ.								2				
Enclose, but do not attach, any payment.		Unemployment compensation and Alaska Permanent Fund dividends (see instructions).												
		4	Add lines 1, 2, and	3. This is y	our adjusted gro	ss income.				4		95,	256.	
		5 If someone can claim you (or your spouse if a joint return) as a dependent, check the applicable box(es) below and enter the amount from the worksheet on page 2.												
		You Spouse												
			If no one can claim	you (or yo	ur spouse if a joint	retum), enter \$10,3	50 if single ;			_		10	350	
			\$20,700 if married Subtract line 5 from							5		10,	350.	
		٠	This is your taxable		ie o is iaigei tilair	mie 4, enter - 0			•	6		84.	906.	
Payments, Credits,		_7	Federal income ta	x withheld	from Form(s) W-	2 and 1099.				7			893.	
and Tax		8a	Earned income ci	edit (EIC)	(see instructions)	,				8a				
		_	Nontaxable comba		•	8b	-			ou				
		9	Add lines 7 and 8a				In the			9		18,	893.	
		10	instructions. Then,		•	our tax in the tax table on this line.	in the		4	0		17.	003.	
		11	Health care: indivi				r coverage	X		1				
		12	Add lines 10 and 1	1. This is yo	our total tax.					2		17,	003.	
Refund		13a	If line 9 is larger tha			n line 9. This is your i	refund.							
Have it directly deposited! See instructions and			If Form 8888 is att	ached, che	eck here▶			-		3a		1,	<u>890.</u>	
fill in 13b, 13c, and 13d, or Form 8888.		▶ b Routing number ▶ c Type:												
Amount		14	If line 12 is larger th	an line 9 si	ubtract line 9 from	line 12 This is								
You Owe			the amount you o	•					▶ 1	4				
Third Party	, 1	Оо уо	u want to allow anoti	ner person	to discuss this ret	urn with the IRS (see	instructions)?		Yes. Comp	lete t	oelow.		X No	
Designee	ı	Designee's name Phone no. ▶									Person (PIN) ▶		umber	
Sign		Und	er penalties of perjur	y, I declare	that I have exami	ned this return and, t	o the best of m	y kno	wledge and	belief	. it is true	correc	t, and	
Here		base	rately lists all amounded on all information of	is and sour of which the	ces of income I re e preparer has an	ceived during the ta: y knowledge.	cyear. Declara	ition o	f preparer (c	thert	han the t	axpaye	r) is	
Joint return? See					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		I Va	4!		Ln.	41			
instructions.	ructions.							ation		Day	time pho	one nur	прег	
Keep a copy fo your records.	' 	SALES Spouse's signature. If a joint return, both must sign. Date Spouse's occupation						tion	ion If the IRS sent you an ID Protection PIN, enter it here (see inst.					
Paid	Prin	Print/Type preparer's name Preparer's signature Date						Checkself-emple	if	PTIN				
Preparer	Firn								irm's EIN►					
Use Only									Phone no.					
KBA For Disc			rivacy Act, and Pap	erwork Re	duction Act Noti	ce, see instruction:	š.				Form	1040F	Z (2016)	

1040EZ (2016) FD1040EZ-1WV 1.2 Form Software Copyright 1996 - 2017 HRB Tax Group, Inc.

EXHIBIT "G"

EXHIBIT "G"

EXHIBIT "G"

Alecia Draper 20762 Crestview Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92646

Elizabeth

I am requesting that Adam Reed continue the current therapy treatment with his therapist Kyle Keffer. Adam began seeing Kyle in April of 2017 on a weekly basis for a 1 hour session.

Adam was placed in a 30 day treatment facility in 2014 for depression and anger issues. He has seen over 5 different therapists for continued depression and excessive weight gain from 2010-2015. Adam experience physical, mental and emotional abuse by Allen Gorry and Carla Newlan from 2005-2014. Allen and Carla were Jeff's care takers for the children. In 2015 Adam did not want to continue therapy treatment and it was decided by his therapist that it would be best for Adam to take a break from therapy until he was willing to engage on his own in treatment.

Adams grades and attendance began suffering in 2017 and he became more depressed after witnessing a panic attack/PTSD episode that required Emily to be removed from our home in an ambulance and rushed to emergency. A few months later Emily had another episode that was extremely scary for Adam and the entire family. Emily took the car and drove off after her therapy session and was missing for 7 hours. She did not take her cell phone and did not have a plan of returning for a few days. She did not know where she was going to go. Thankfully she showed up in Las Vegas at her father's work place and he had Emily call home. My husband and I drove to Vegas the following day to pick her up.

It was after this that Adam began missing class at school and I received a phone call from the school office. They recommended that Adam see a counselor because he was very depressed and struggling in school. Adam sent me a text message that I forwarded to Jeff on April 24th 2017. It read, "Because we watched the drunk driving video and I started to cry because of the whole death thing because I thought of Emily so I just went home with Anthony everyone was asking me why I was crying and I didn't want to talk about it

I cried during the assembly and then I was a little depressed about the whole I'm going to Utah thing When am I going to meet a counselor person Because I've been really stressed and I can't focus and I need someone to talk to." Kyle was recommended by Emily's therapist and has a very good reputation for working with young men. Adam was also watching a lot of pornography as a child and was introduced to this by Allen Gorry during his father's visitation time. I believe this has also contributed to Adams low self esteem and over eating.

Since Adam has been seeing Kyle he began attending all his classes. He was able to improve his grades from D's to C's. He has asked to continue seeing Kyle on a weekly basis because it's helping him and he likes having someone to talk to.

The therapy Adam is receiving is critical to his mental and physical well being. I am seeing a more positive change in Adams attitude and anger issues. I am requesting that Jeff Reed pay for 50% of the cost for therapy.

Thank you,

Alecia Draper

Gmail - (no subject) Page 1 of 1



Alecia Draper <aleciadraper@gmail.com>

(no subject)

1 message

7149161524@mms.att.net <7149161524@mms.att.net> To: aleciadraper@gmail.com, 1968jareed@gmail.com

Mon, Apr 24, 2017 at 9:03 AM

Because we watched the drunk driving video and I started to cry because of the whole death thing because I thought of emily so I just went home with Anthony everyone was asking me why I was crying and I didn't want to talk about it I cried during the assembly and then I was still a little depressed about the whole I'm going to Utah thing When am I going to meet a counselor person Because I've been really stressed and I can't focus and I need someone to talk to The last text messages were from Adam. His primary doctor also recommends he go to counseling because he is very depressed. I got a referral from Elise, Emily's therapist. I will be sending you copies of the bills along with Anthony's recent medical bills to your email. I will also keep a history for the Nevada child support devision. Medical bills will need to follow the 30/30 rule. If your email has changed let me know. Adam has been missing classes and gone to the school counselor 2 times over the last month.

Geoffrey Draper

From: Alecia Draper [aleciadraper@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 10:04 PM

To: Geoffrey Draper

Subject: Fwd:

-------- Forwarded message -----------From: <7149161524@mms.att.net> Date: Tue, Jun 6, 2017 at 9:08 AM

Subject:

To: aleciadraper@gmail.com

Yes I do, I'm sorry he's in so much pain Chris Williams <u>+1 (949) 975-1864</u> Kyle Keffer <u>949 7422665</u>

Electronically Filed 8/24/2017 5:01 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 RPLY Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. State of Nevada Bar No. 9294 3 ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP 4411 South Pecos Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89121 PH: (702) 474-7007 FAX: (702) 474-7477 EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com Attorneys for Defendant, Jeffrey Allen Reed 7 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 ALECIA ANN DRAPER, Case No: 05D338668 11 Dept No: S 12 Plaintiff, REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION v. 13 TO RESET CHILD SUPPORT JEFFREY ALLEN REED, 14 **BASED UPON EMANCIPATION** OF A CHILD AND FOR 15 Defendant. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS; AND OPPOSITION TO 16 PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION 17 FOR CHILD SUPPORT FOR DISABLED CHILD ET AL. 18 19 Date of Hearing: August 28, 2017 Time of Hearing: 3:00 p.m. 20 21 COMES NOW the Defendant, Jeffrey Reed, by and through his attorney of 22 record, Amanda M. Roberts Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and hereby 23 24 files this Reply in support of his Motion to Reset Child Support Based Upon 25 111 26 /// 27 Page 1 of 15 28

Case Number: 05D338668

Emancipation of a Child and for Attorney Fees and Costs; and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion for Child Support for Disabled Child.

DATED this 24th day of August, 2017.

ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP

By: <u>Amanda M. Robes</u>

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq. State of Nevada Bar No. 9294 4411 S. Pecos Road

Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

PH: (702) 474-7007 FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: efile@lvfamilylaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant, Jeffrey Allen Reed

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. Supplemental Statement of Facts

The Parties, Alecia Reed ("Alecia") and Jeffrey Reed ("Jeff") were divorced pursuant to a Decree of Divorce filed on August 5, 2005. At the time of their divorce, the Parties had three (3) minor children, to wit: Emily Reed ("Emily"), born on November 16, 1996; Anthony Reed ("Anthony"), born on May 25, 1999; and Adam Reed ("Adam"), born on January 23, 2001. Two (2) of the children have since emancipated. As such, the Parties have one remaining minor child, Adam.

Emily does not have, and never has had, a guardian/conservator, to the best of Jeff's knowledge.

Page 2 of 15

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

Emily's Alleged Disability

In her Opposition and Countermotion, Alecia alleges that the Court should calculate child support at twenty-five percent (25%) of Jeff's gross monthly income based upon child support for Adam, who is the last remaining minor child, and also the Parties' adult daughter whom she alleges is disabled. As the Court may recall, Alecia previously made a request for child support for Emily, beyond the age of majority. The Court set the matter for an Evidentiary Hearing on the issue. However, prior to the Evidentiary Hearing, on March 9, 2015, Alecia withdrew her request for child support for Emily beyond the age of majority. Specifically, Alecia filed a "Notice of Withdrawal of Request to Continue Child Support for Emily After High School Graduation Due to Child's Disability & Request to Vacate Evidentiary Hearing." As a result of that filing, the Evidentiary Hearing was vacated and no additional hearings were held to address this issue. As such, the issue of Emily's disability and need for support remain unresolved. The issue of whether Emily was disabled is a legal issue that requires the Court to assess the matter and make a legal determination.

In her Opposition and Countermotion, Alecia provides a letter from Dr. Jennifer Love Farrell ("Dr. Farrell"), purporting to provide a legal opinion regarding Emily's alleged disability and the age when she alleged became disabled. **Dr. Farrell is not qualified to provide a legal opinion. {EMPHASIS ADDED}** Dr. Farrell did not treat Emily until last year, March of 2016, and should not be rendering any opinion regarding

her prior treatment(s). The proper person to render the medical opinion regarding prior treatment is that treating physician. Moreover, based upon the information herein it appears that Dr. Farrell is not apprised of all of the facts and information related to Emily's current care.

Alecia alleges that Emily has been disabled since prior to her eighteenth (18th) birthday and Alecia had to quit her job to care for Emily full time. Moreover, Alecia alleges that Adam requires weekly therapy because he was mentally, emotionally and physical abused. Alecia's statements are not consistent with the facts in this matter. Alecia has painted a picture, that Emily is not able to function. This is simply not the case.

Since turning eighteen (18) years old, Emily has enjoyed a full life. Immediately after graduating from high school, Emily and her friends participated in a celebratory vacation to Mexico. Upon information and belief, Alecia did not accompany Emily on the vacation to Mexico, Jeff believes another adult went to watch over the entire group. During this time, Emily was able to function without "full time" care of her Mother.

Since reaching the age of emancipation, Emily has continued to be able to do the following activities:

• Emily graduated from high school with good grades;

 Emily has attended college classes;¹

- Emily has a driver's license;
- Emily drives a car that she has access to at Alecia's house;
- Emily was employed outside of the home;
- Emily is able to work in her church's coffeeshop; and
- Emily is left alone for long periods of time without supervision.

Most recently, Alecia recently purchased a business.² Alecia has been actively participating in the transition and management of the business. Jeff has repeatedly spoken with Emily and she has informed Jeff that she is home alone because Alecia is working. Emily has also informed Jeff that she has been working in the business.

At this point, Jeff disputes the claim that Emily is unable "to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment[.]" Jeff does not dispute that Emily receives Social Security Disability ("SSD"), but receipt of SSD does not mean that the recipient is unable to work. The Social Security Administration allows recipients to be employed and still receive benefits through SSD. In fact, the Social Security Administration has books that assist individuals receiving SSD with this very issue. As such, receipt of the SSD is not tantamount to a determination that Emily is handicap child beyond the age of majority.

¹ Although Jeff does not know for certain that Emily has attended college, Alecia indicates on a GoFundMe fundraising post, that "Emily desires to live independently, <u>return to college</u>, secure a job and eventually start a family of her own." ²² It is unknown when the purchase of the business was, but Alecia's filing with Secretary of State was in the first part of July 2017.

FDF filed by Alecia-

Alecia's Financial Disclosure Form ("FDF") was filed on July 21, 2017. In her FDF, Alecia claims she had to take FMLA with her prior employment and subsequently had to leave that employment as she was "unable to return because I need to care for my daughter due to her disability." While Alecia may have taken FMLA, it was not for the sole purpose of caring for Emily because Alecia was not spending time with Emily 24/7 as she makes it appear and Emily was able to care for herself and even work.

Furthermore, Alecia lied on her FDF by claiming she was unemployed. Prior to filing her FDF, Alecia purchased an existing coffee shop and desert catering business and has been running this business. The California Secretary of State provides

Moonwood Coffee Company, LLC, was registered to Alecia since July 10, 2017, and Alecia is the Manager/Member, Agent for Service of Process, and Chief Executive Officer. As such, Alecia should have indicated this on her FDF as being self-employed, but she failed to do so.

Alecia initialed the box wherein it states "I understand that, by my signature, I guarantee the truthfulness of the information on this Form. I also understand that if I knowingly make false statements I may be subject to punishment, including contempt of court." Alecia signed the Financial Disclosure Form and dated it July 15, 2017.

Alecia's actions are just a deceptive tactic to gain sympathy and deceive this Court, but should not be tolerated.

Medical Bills for Emily-

In her Opposition and Countermotion, Alecia alleges that Jeff owes unreimbursed medical bills for Emily. Alecia's chart of medical expenses (Exhibit "B") shows that the medical expenses she is requesting reimbursement for are from the period of January 15, 2015 through May 20, 2015. The chart shows that after Alecia filed to withdraw her support for Emily past the age of eighteen (18), Jeff stopped contributing to the expenses because Emily had emancipated. During this period, Emily had emancipated and no Order was in place that required Jeff to pay any portion of Emily's medical expenses. Moreover, if the Court were to determine liability on Jeff's part, the Parties entered into an agreement that any request to the Court regarding unpaid medical bills are "limited to a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of the appointment, to file a Motion, regarding non-reimbursement of medical bills, upon following the 30/30 Rule described above. If not returned to Court, within a twenty-four month period, the paid medical bill receipts will be considered, WAIVED, by this Court."3 The Stipulation and Order post-dates some of the medical bills that Alecia alleges are outstanding for Emily.

Page 7 of 15

³ See Stipulation and Order filed on March 18, 2015, at page 4, lines 14 through 17.

Medical Bills for Adam-

Despite the Court Order regarding the waiver of medical bills if not brought within twenty-four (24) months and Alecia not filing a Motion regarding same, Jeff has sent a check to Alecia for alleged balance due of \$253.71 for Anthony's medical expenses.

As to the therapy bills for Adam, Jeff has not tendered payment. Specifically, the Parties agreed that Alecia was allowed to make all medical decisions up to the amount of \$1,500.00 annually. If the treatments or medical bills were going to be above \$1,500.00 annually then the "medical treatment, counseling, or other necessary treatment which is above the limit must be agreed to by Dad, and if not agreed to, shall be returned to Court for decision." In this matter, Alecia did not consult with Jeff regarding therapy for Adam which is over the threshold of \$1,500.00 annually. To start, Alecia has unilaterally decided to take Jeff to a therapist not covered by insurance (i.e., out of network). This out of network treatment requires a cash pay. Jeff is agreeable with Adam attending therapy, but believes that all methods of therapy should be through insurance and that method should be exhausted prior to Adam being treated out of network.

||\\\

⁴ See Stipulation and Order filed on March 18, 2015, at page 3, lines 19 through 23.

Additionally, it should be noted that Adam's therapy did not begin until Jeff's Counsel sent her correspondence regarding modifying child support. This is presumed to be an attempt by Alecia to claim additional and continued therapy would be necessary past his majority.

Contempt Request-

Alecia's request for Jeff to be held in contempt of Court is without merit and must not be considered by the Court. *Awad v. Wright*, 106 Nev. 407, 794 P.2d 713 (1990).

II. REPLY

A. <u>Jeffrey's request to reset child support should be granted.</u>

Child support is governed by Chapter 125B of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

Pursuant to NRS § 125B.200 (a) and (b) defines child as under the age of eighteen or under the age of nineteen (19) and still enrolled in high school. Alecia does not dispute that there is only one (1) remaining minor child, Adam. As such, Alecia should have stipulated to reduce Jeff's child support based upon that one (1) remaining minor child. Rather than agree, Alecia forced Jeff to file a Motion regarding that issue. Jeff's income changes based upon his bonus structure and this year, he is not earning at the same level as previous years. As such, Jeff's child support should be reduced to eighteen percent (18%) of his gross monthly income based upon his three (3) most recent paycheck stubs. Additionally, Jeff should be awarded attorney fees and costs for having to file this Motion.

5

III. OPPOSITION TO COUNTERMOTION

A. <u>Alecia's request for child support for disabled child is without merit and</u> must be denied.

NRS § 125B.110 provides that parents may be required to support their children, above the age of majority if the child is handicap. Handicap is defined as "inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment[.]" The obligation for support ends when the child is no longer handicap or is self-supporting which is defined as "if the child receives public assistance beyond the age of majority and that assistance is sufficient to meet the child's needs."

In this matter, it is believed that Emily receives SSD. However, receipt of SSD in and of itself does not meet the qualifications of handicap. The Court is required to determine the issue of whether Emily is able to "engage in any substantial gainful activity[.]." Jeff would assert that this is a factual issue and the Court should open discovery and set the matter for an Evidentiary Hearing to make this determination. Moreover, the Court must determine when the alleged disability occurred. This issue has never been fully addressed because Alecia previously withdrew her request for support past Emily's age of majority.

///

Page 10 of 15

In this matter, it is Jeff's belief that Emily is currently receiving SSD. As such, it is presumed until otherwise proven that Emily's SSD is sufficient to cover her needs.

Alecia has not established the amount of Emily's SSD. Alecia has also not established that the amount Emily receives from SSD is not sufficient to provide for her needs.

Those needs are that of the Emily's and not Alecia's needs.

Thus, Jeff requests that until the Court hears the facts by way of an Evidentiary Hearing, which was already previously set by the Court, that any request regarding Emily be deferred. Jeff requests that the Court set an Evidentiary Hearing and open discovery.

B. <u>Alecia's request to split cost of Adam's therapy should be denied.</u>

The Stipulation and Order filed on March 3, 2015, governs the issue of unreimbursed medical expenses. That Order requires medical bills to be split equally under the 30/30 Rule. However, if unreimbursed medical expenses are going to be in excess of \$1,500.00 each year then the "medical treatment, counseling, or other necessary treatment which is above the limit must be agreed to by Dad, and if not agreed to, shall be returned to Court for decision." In this matter, Alecia is requesting the Court Ordered that Jeff pay the fees above \$1,500.00 annually without first discussing the issue with Jeff. Alecia has failed to explain to the Court the reason that a therapy provider covered by insurance is not sufficient for Adam. Candidly, Alecia did not obtain the therapist for Adam until Jeff's Counsel sent the correspondence attempting to settle this matter. This

reaction is extremely suspect. Jeff would argue that pursuant to $EDCR \S 7.60$, this issue is not ripe for the Court's consideration.

C. <u>Alecia's request for an Order to Show Cause Against Jeffrey should be denied.</u>

Pursuant to NRS § 22.030 (2), requests for contempt must be accompanied by an affidavit, which provides the "facts constituting contempt." The Court does not gain jurisdiction over the issue of contempt unless an affidavit with "all essential material facts" are presented to the Court. Id at 410. The failure to provide the affidavit cannot be cured by proof at a hearing because until the affidavit is provided, the Court does not have jurisdiction to hear the issue of contempt. *Id* at 409. Additionally, all contempt proceedings are also governed by the holding in Awad v. Wright, 106 Nev. 407, 794 P.2d 713 (1990). In Awad, supra, the Nevada Supreme Court concluded that a substantive affidavit, identifying the specific alleged violations of a Court Order, is required in order to vest the District Court with the jurisdiction required to even consider contempt of court. A non-specific affidavit (or, in this instance, a verification disguised as an affidavit) is wholly insufficient to vest the court with jurisdiction to consider contempt of court against Won. Furthermore, the Awad holding goes on to say any affidavit defect cannot be subsequently cured.

Page 12 of 15

In this matter, Alecia's Opposition and Countermotion is not supported by an Affidavit. As such, Alecia's Counsel is precluded from arguing for contempt and the Court is precluded from even setting this matter for an Evidentiary Hearing on the issue of contempt.

D. <u>Alecia's request to compel Jeffrey to pay all overdue medical bills should</u> be denied.

This is a non-issue, Jeff paid the amount that Alecia alleges needs to be paid for Adam. The issue is the treatment she unilaterally sought for Adam, over \$1,500.00. annually Jeff would argue that because she chose to incur the expenses over \$1,500.00 annually there is no obligation for Jeff to reimburse one-half of those costs because Alecia failed to comply with their agreement and the Court Order.

E. <u>Alecia's request for Jeffrey to pay Alecia's attorney's fees and costs should be denied.</u>

Jeff requests that the Court deny Alecia's request for attorney fees and costs because she did not adequately attempt to resolve these issues prior to filing the Countermotion. Furthermore, Alecia fraudulently completed her FDF. Alecia has a business that she is currently working with the Parties' children [adult and minor]. Alecia knew or should of know she was under an obligation to present this information to the Court. As such, Alecia's request should be denied pursuant to *EDCR* § 7.60.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and 2017, I served by and through Wiz-Net electronic service, pursuant Clark County District Court Administrative Order 14-2 for service of documents identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R., the foregoing Reply in Support of Defendant's Motion to Reset Child Support Based Upon Emancipation of a Child and for Attorney Fees and Costs; and in Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion: Elizabeth Brennan Esq. Elizabeth@brennanlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Alecia Draper Page 15 of 15