# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RALPH EDMOND GOAD,

Electronically Filed
May 08 2020 10:19 a.m.
No. 75 Lizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction in Case CR19-0999
The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
Honorable David Hardy, District Judge

## JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME FOUR

JOHN L. ARRASCADA Washoe County Public Defender

KATHRYN REYNOLDS Deputy Public Defender 350 South Center Street, 5th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501

Attorneys for Appellant

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS Washoe County District Attorney

JENNIFER P. NOBLE Chief Appellate Deputy One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501

Attorneys for Respondent

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Court Minutes: Decision on Motion to Admit Evidence of Motive, held on July 30, 20192 JA 265
Defendant's Offered and Rejected Jury Instructions filed on August 9, 2019
Judgment of Conviction, filed on October 3, 2019
Jury Instructions, filed on August 9, 2019
Indictment, filed on June 12, 2019
Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence, filed on July 8, 2019
Motion to Admit Evidence of Motive, filed on July 3, 2019
Motion to Preclude Or Limit Use of Prejudicial Photographs, filed on July 8, 2019
Notice of Appeal, filed on November 4, 2019
Opposition to Motion in Limine Re: Other Act Evidence, filed on July 18, 2019
Opposition to Motion to Preclude or Limit Use of Prejudicial Photographs, <i>filed on</i> July 18, 20191 JA 84
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Motive, filed on July 11, 2019
Order, <i>filed</i> on July 30, 20192 JA 266
Order, <i>filed</i> on August 9, 20199 JA 1328

Reply in Support of Motion to Admit Evidence of Motive, ————————————————————————————————————
Second Supplement to Exhibit, filed on July 22, 2019
State's Exhibit 15, admitted on August 8, 2019
State's Exhibit 19A, admitted on August 7, 2019
State's Exhibit 20A, admitted on August 8, 2019
State's Exhibit 21A, admitted on August 7, 2019
State's Exhibit 22, admitted on August 22, 2019
Stipulation and Waiver of Jury Penalty Hearing, filed on August 9, 2019
Supplement to Exhibit, filed on July 12, 2019
Transcript of Proceedings: Pretrial Motions,  held on July 23, 20192 JA 178
Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on July 31, 20192 JA 267
Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on August 5, 20193 JA 273
Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on August 6, 20194 JA 511
Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on August 7, 2019
Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on August 8, 2019

-	Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial,  held on August 9, 2019	
	Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing, held on October 2, 2019	9 JA 1330
	Verdict, filed on August 9, 2019	9 JA 1324

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE THE HONORABLE DAVID HARDY, DISTRICT JUDGE

STATE OF NEVADA,

Department No. 15

Plaintiff,

Case CR19-0999

VS.

12 RALPH EDMOND GOAD,

Defendant.

Pages 1 to 209, inclusive.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JURY TRIAL - DAY TWO Tuesday, August 6, 2019

#### APPEARANCES:

AMOS STEGE FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

1 So. Sierra St., So. Tower

Reno, NV 89502

FOR THE DEFENDANT: JENNIFER MAYHEW, DPD

JAY SLOCUM, DPD

350 So. Center Street

Reno, NV 89520

Christina Amundson, CCR #641 REPORTED BY:

Litigation Services 323.3411

1	-		IN	DEX	
2	WITNESS:	DIRECT	CROS	S REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	Madrigal-Pintor	3	14	17	
4	Craig	19	21		
5	Napier	21	32	45	49
6	Millsap	52	63	68	71
7	Nevills	75	125	147	153
8	Idso	157			
9	Billings	159	168		
10	Juarez	174	191	205	
11					
12		E	X H I	BITS	
13		No.		<u>Admitted</u>	
14		44		11	
15		14		13	
16		3 seri	es	28	
17		24A-H		59	
18		26		60	
19		33		80	
20		30A-D		83	
21		12		109	
22		45-50		142	
23		22		182, 187	
24					

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

RENO, NEVADA -- WED. 8/6/19 -- 9:00 A.M.

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. Deputy, have you counted our jurors?

THE BAILIFF: Yes.

THE COURT: Then we're ready to go.

Anything from you before we bring the jury in?

MR. STEGE: No.

(Jury enters courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. The night after jury selection is always restless for the judge as you return this morning. Thank you. We turn now to the state.

Your first witness, please.

MR. STEGE: Marco Madrigal-Pintor.

(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Counsel, you may begin.

MR. STEGE: Thank you.

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Sir, please state and spell your name.
- A. Marco Madrigal-Pintor. Last name M-a-d-r-i-g-a-l, hyphen, P-i-n-t-o-r.

24

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- \_\_\_
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 1920
- 21
- 2.2
- 23
- 24

- Q. How are you employed, sir?
- A. City of Reno Police Department.
- Q. How long have you been a police officer?
- A. Going on three years.
- Q. What is your shift?
- A. Day shift.
- Q. Are you familiar with the address of 33 Park Street?
  - A. I am.
- Q. Is that located within the city of Reno, county of Washoe, State of Nevada?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. On February 13th of this year were you dispatched there around 12:30 p.m.?
  - A. I was.
  - Q. And you're a patrol officer?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. So, you drive a black and white?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And dress in a patrolman's uniform?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. What happened when you got there?
  - A. When I arrived on scene, there was Fire,
- EMS, two of my coworkers, officers on scene already.

They were coming out.

2.0

- Q. Did you proceed to Apartment No. 205?
- A. I did.
- Q. And what, briefly, did you observe within the Apartment 205?
- A. When I walked in, like I said, there was a lot of people inside, so I didn't see right away. As soon as I walked in, I noticed a blanket covering an object and I waited until they came out before I made entrance.
- Q. And did you and only officers make -- at least a brief investigation in terms of what was under the blanket?
  - A. We did.
  - Q. And can you tell us where this blanket was?
- A. This blanket was at the foot of the bed closer to a window, I believe on the south side facing the south side.
- Q. And while that was happening, did you observe Officer Warneke take photographs of the blanket?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. What happened when the blanket was moved?
  - A. They moved -- located the deceased victim.

- Q. And how could you tell the man was deceased?
- A. It was apparent. A lot of blood. He had lividity and REMSA confirmed.
  - Q. Now, let's step away from there.

Did you at least make a brief view or tour of what was going on in the rest of the apartment?

- A. I did.
- Q. Did you notice anything near the man's feet?
- A. There was a pair of scissors, there was his ID, his Social Security Number, and I believe objects that I don't recall.
- Q. Did you go into the bathroom of the apartment?
  - A. I did.
  - O. What did you notice, if anything, in there?
- A. I noticed what appeared to be dry blood on the sink as well as a knife.
  - Q. What kind of knife?
- A. It seemed like a pocket knife with -- wasn't a foldable one but a straight blade.
  - O. Like a fixed-blade knife?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. When you went in there did you notice anything about the temperature of the room?
  - A. It was very cold.
- Q. Did you make investigation or learn why it was very cold?
- A. The AC was on and part of the window was open.
  - Q. How did you know the AC was on?
- A. Because it was pretty loud and it was very cold.
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention here to proposed Exhibit Number 1. It's a two-page exhibit. I'm going to hand this to you and ask you to review it.

(Witness reviewing document.)

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Do you recognize what's depicted in proposed Exhibit 1?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How do you recognize it?
- A. Because that's where the -- I recognize the scissors. Like I said, they were at the bottom of the deceased, towards his feet, and then the other one, like I said, was the blanket was covering him.

4 5

7

6

8

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. And you recognize those to be -THE COURT: Pause for a moment, please.

Counsel, I'd like to meet you at sidebar with the court clerk.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to talk about technology. It has nothing to do with this witness or the case presentation. It will be just a moment. Be at ease, if you would, please.

(Recess taken).

THE COURT: I spoke with counsel about having a second monitor to broadcast to the spectator gallery any evidence that's also published to the jury. With that, you may continue.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Do you recognize those to be the photographs taken by Officer Warneke in your presence?
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: I move to introduce Exhibit 1.

MS. MAYHEW: No objection.

THE COURT: One is admitted.

MR. STEGE: May I have publish these

exhibits.

THE COURT: Yes.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Let's talk about Exhibit 1, page one. What do we see here?
- A. That's where we see the deceased and the blanket that was covering had to be pulled over to confirm what it was.
- Q. Now, before pulling the blanket away, could you see the man's head?
- A. I did not see him until after the fact when the blanket was already off.
  - Q. Okay. Page two, tell us what this is.
- A. That's where, like I said, we located his Social, his ID card, and scissors that appeared to have blood. Officer Warneke and I were talking about it.

THE COURT: Will you either speak up or speak more into that microphone, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. As you sit here today, do you remember the man's name --
  - A. The victim?
  - Q. -- on the ID card?

- A. His first name. Theodore.
- O. Okay. Let's talk about page three.
- A. Like I said, that's where the scissors were located, the pants, so the photograph as well.
- Q. I want to talk to you about a body-worn camera. What is a body-worn camera?
- A. We wear that on our body to record any interactions we have with the public or any investigative we have to do so that we can refer to that later.
- Q. In this case you turned your body-worn camera on before you entered the room?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Prior to court you reviewed -- did you review a clip or a portion of your body-worn camera footage?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. I'll hand to you Exhibit 44.

    Do you recognize that exhibit?
  - A. I do.
  - Q. How do you recognize it?
  - A. It's the one I signed off on for viewing.
  - Q. And you signed off on it because --
  - A. Because I viewed it and it's my body cam

22

23

24

footage.

O. I move to introduce the exhibit.

MS. MAYHEW: No objection.

MR. STEGE: Which is No. 44.

THE COURT: 44 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

MR. STEGE: May I publish this exhibit,

your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Exhibit 44 admitted.)

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Before we start this, let's talk about where it is you're standing within the apartment.
  - A. I was standing by the window.
- Q. And where would -- the body we saw, where would that be from right here?
- A. It was to my left. It would have been on my left-hand side.

(Body-cam footage played.)

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. What's that noise we hear in the background?
  - A. That would be the AC unit that was on.
  - Q. And where is the AC unit?

3

45

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

A. Directly in front of me, right below the window.

(Body-cam footage played.)

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. You mentioned earlier in your testimony that a window was cracked. Do you see the window that was cracked in this video?
  - A. Yes, the left panel of the window.
- Q. I don't know if you're familiar with this, but you can touch and you can circle where the window was cracked.
  - A. (Witness complies).
  - Q. Thank you.

(Body-cam footage played.)

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. So, the voice we heard in the background, who was that?
  - A. That was Officer Warneke.
- Q. Handing you proposed Exhibit 14, would you please review this two-page exhibit.

Do you recognize that?

A. Yes. This is a still from my body-cam footage as I was walking in facing the window and the other one is another still with my body-cam

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

where the deceased was.

MR. STEGE: Move to introduce this exhibit.

THE COURT: So, I'm not tracking your

numbers as you're moving them.

MR. STEGE: This is 14, your Honor.

THE COURT: To the defense.

MS. MAYHEW: No objection.

THE COURT: 14 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

(Exhibit 14 marked.)

MR. STEGE: May I publish these exhibits?

THE COURT: Yes.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

Q. Let's talk about page one here.

What are we seeing here?

- A. That's a still of me looking for any items around the deceased where he was laying, any other clues to find.
  - Q. That flashlight on the left, that's yours?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Page two.
- A. Again, that's a still from my body-cam footage of the window as I was walking in.
  - Q. Also showing it to be cracked.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so I think you mentioned you did a brief investigation or saw what was going on. At the conclusion of that, what do you do?
- A. At the conclusion I went down to review any surveillance in the hallway to see if we can find who was the last person to see him, maybe, walk into his room, the last known time and date the victim was alive.
- Q. And it's true that this became a murder investigation.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Both the scene and the followup on the video was turned over to detectives.
  - A. It was.

MR. STEGE: I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Thank you. To the defense.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MS. MAYHEW:

- Q. Officer, when you walked into Room 205, you testified on direct examination that you saw a blanket at the foot of the bed. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. So, when you walked in, you didn't

immediately see a body?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. No, not immediately.
- Q. In fact, you just saw a blanket at the foot of the bed?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Mr. Stege showed you some photographs with regards to what you saw on the floor.

Is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And on the floor did you see a credit card?
- A. No.
- Q. On the floor did you see papers thrown?
- A. There were papers thrown about, yes.
- O. Did you look at those papers?
- A. I did not.
- Q. Do you know who looked at those papers?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. You also testified that it was cold in the apartment. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And this was in February?
  - A. It was.
    - Q. It was cold outside?
    - A. It was.

5

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

- And you indicated that the air-conditioning was running.
  - Α. Yes.
- How were you able to determine that? Did you put your hand over it?
- When I got in front of it by the window, yes, it was blowing cold air.
- And did you look at the thermostat on the 0. AC?
- No, I didn't look at that. I didn't see what the temperature was set to but it was blowing cold air.
  - And it was cold outside, correct? Q.
  - Α. Correct.
  - And the AC was still running? Ο.
  - Yes. Α.
- You also testified on direct that the 0. window was open.
  - It was. Α.
- And was there any mechanism that prevented it from not opening further?
  - I did not see if there was or not. Α.
- Also, outside the window there was a ledge, correct?

6

8

9

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

Honor.

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. When you looked outside the window of Room 205, did you see any platform outside the window?
- A. I don't recall. I was -- my body cam was pointed out, but I was looking down so I didn't really look outside.
- Q. Now, did you obtain the surveillance video in this case?
  - A. I did not.
  - O. Another officer did so?
  - A. Detectives.

MS. MAYHEW: Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MAYHEW: No further questions.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. As you observed it, was there anything suspicious about the blanket when you get into the room?
- A. From what my Officer Warneke told me, it was completely covering the victim, so from that we thought it was suspicious.

MS. MAYHEW: Objection, hearsay, your

⊥	THE COURT: Overrured.				
2	BY MR. STEGE:				
3	Q. Did you see anything around the blanket				
4	that might make you think there was a body				
5	underneath?				
6	A. All the blood.				
7	MS. MAYHEW: Objection, calls for				
8	speculation.				
9	THE COURT: Overruled.				
10	BY MR. STEGE:				
11	Q. That means you can answer.				
12	A. All the blood.				
13	MR. STEGE: Thank you. Nothing further.				
14	THE COURT: Recross?				
15	MS. MAYHEW: Nothing, your Honor.				
16	THE COURT: You're free to step down.				
17	Thank you.				
18	Next witness, please.				
19	MR. STEGE: Ms. Aliona Craig.				
20	(Witness sworn.)				
21	THE COURT: Good morning. Please remember				
22	to speak into the microphone. Counsel.				
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
2.4					

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Hello, would you please state your name and spell it for us.
  - A. Aliona Craig, A-l-i-o-n-a, C-r-a-i-g.
  - Q. How are you employed, ma'am?
  - A. Yes. I work for REMSA.
  - Q. How long have you worked for REMSA?
  - A. Two and a half years.
  - Q. And what do you do for REMSA?
  - A. I'm a paramedic FTO and supervisor.
- Q. And in this case did you respond to 33 Park Street on February 13th of 2019?  $\cdot$ 
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And what happened when you got there?
- A. When we arrived on scene, I believe the police department was already there when we arrived near the same time that the fire department did.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. Went upstairs to the apartment -- like I said, PD was already there -- and I saw the patient lying on his back on the backside of the floor of the apartment.
- Q. And your role was -- did you assess the patient or the person under the blanket?

- A. I did, but it was briefly because he was obviously deceased.
- Q. And how did you make the determination that he was obviously deceased?
- A. As I entered the room, he wasn't moving, he wasn't breathing, he wasn't tracking me with his eyes, and when I actually went up to the patient, he was cold and had rigor mortis.
- Q. And, in fact, did the blanket over the man have to be moved to assess whether he was alive?
- A. Yes. I removed the top blanket slightly off of his body and pulled it down a little bit so that I could actually touch his body to confirm that he was deceased.
  - Q. And he was cold and he had rigor mortis?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And after that, what did you do?
- A. Since it was obvious, like I said, he was deceased and the police department was there, it also appeared to be a traumatic death and so I assumed that it would be a crime scene, so I didn't do anything more in the apartment. I just had to write a report.
  - Q. So, you left the apartment and left it to

1	the police department.				
2	A. That's correct.				
3	MR. STEGE: Pass the witness.				
4	THE COURT: To the defense.				
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION				
6	BY MS. MAYHEW:				
7	Q. The blanket was completely covering the				
8	body, correct?				
9	A. I don't remember if it was covering his				
10	face but it was completely covering the body.				
11	MS. MAYHEW: No further questions.				
12	THE COURT: Redirect on that question?				
13	MR. STEGE: No thank you.				
14	THE COURT: You're free to step down and				
15	leave.				
16	MR. STEGE: Scott Napier, please.				
17	(Witness sworn.)				
18	THE COURT: Please speak right into the				
19	microphone after you've settled in your seat.				
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.				
21	THE COURT: Counsel.				
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
23	BY MR. STEGE:				
24	Q. Sir, please state and spell your name.				
	II				

Fifteen, twenty years.

And how was it that you met him?

23

24

Α.

Q.

- A. He came to work at Gold and Silver as a dishwasher for a few years and I was friends with him after he left that as well.
- Q. And since you've been retired, what was your relationship with Ted like?
- A. He had no car or anything so I drove him around wherever he had to go, doctor's, cash his check, go to the store. Wherever he had to go, he'd call me and I'd go take him over there. We'd go have breakfast once in a while.
- Q. And leading up to this event, how often would you say that you would take him shopping or take him on errands?
  - A. At least once a month.
- Q. And did you know, was there a certain time of month that you would go or would it vary?
- A. Usually the 1st that he got his check and then that would be when we would go.
- Q. And what sort of stores would you take him shopping to?
- A. I'd take him to WinCo or -- I call it "can food stores." It's a discount food store.
  - Q. So, you'd drive him in your car?
  - A. Wherever he wanted to go.

5

7

8

10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

- Q. And where would you pick him up from?
- A. His apartment, Park Manor.
- O. At 33 Park Street?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So, you'd pick him up, take him shopping and drop him off at the same spot?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how old Mr. Gibson was, Ted was?
  - A. I believe he was 78 or 74 -- 78, I think.
- Q. Okay. How many years do you think it was that you would take Mr. Gibson shopping?
- A. Fifteen, twenty years, as long as I've known him.
- Q. I want to talk to you about something that happened at the end of November involving a payee service. Are you familiar with what a payee service is?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Did you have occasion to assist Mr. Gibson with his payee service?
- A. I went and took him down, got him all set up with it.
  - Q. Was that in November or was that at some

9

12

13

11

14

1516

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

other time that you had him set it up?

- A. That was some other time, but he ended up having two.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I set him up with both.
- Q. And in November isn't it true he switched payees?
  - A. Yeah, I think so.
  - Q. And were you present for that?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you, in fact, help him switch from the old payee to a new payee?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you drive him to that -- those appointments?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you sit with him while that process occurred?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, do you know what the payee service did with the money that Mr. Gibson got?
- A. Yes. He had a social security check that went to him and he had a military check. The social security check, they paid his rent, and the only

bill he had, because the utilities, everything, was included, was the rent. That was the only bill.

And then his military check would go to his shopping and whatever else he needed.

- Q. And that's the money that he would use when you guys went shopping?
  - A. Yes, correct.
- Q. Let's talk about -- move into 2019. Do you recall a time in January where you took Ted shopping at Wal-Mart?
- A. I took him to go get his check -- go get his money.
  - Q. Okay. What do you mean by that?
- A. He would get his military check as a check he was getting at that time --
  - Q. Okay.
- A. -- as a check and he would take that check in and cash it because he was in the computer system so he could cash his check there.
  - Q. And this is at Wal-Mart?
  - A. That was Wal-Mart on Glendale.
- Q. And prior to testifying today, did you have occasion to review a number of still surveillance photographs?

Τ

2

4

5

6

7

8

\_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And after reviewing those, did you recognize any of the people in them, the persons depicted in the still photographs?
  - A. Ralph.
  - Q. I'm talking --
- A. They showed me some at Wal-Mart and Glendale which was just myself and Ted.
  - Q. Okay. And you --
  - A. That's why I was mixed up.
- Q. So, you and Ted shopping at or going to Wal-Mart.
  - A. Correct, right.
  - Q. I'm going to hand you proposed Exhibit No.
- 3. It is an eight-page exhibit. I want you to review this and then I'll ask you some questions about it.
  - A. Okay.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: Okay.

- BY MR. STEGE:
- Q. Do you recognize those photographs and that proposed exhibit?
  - A. Yes, all eight of them.

And is that -- are they a true and accurate 1 depiction of the events? 2 Very true, very accurate. 3 MR. STEGE: Move to introduce the exhibits. 4 MR. SLOCUM: We've already stipulated to 5 Exhibit 3. 6 THE COURT: The three series is admitted, 7 Ms. Clerk. 8 THE CLERK: Thank you. 9 (3-series exhibits admitted.) 10 MR. STEGE: May I publish three, your 11 Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes, counsel. 13 BY MR. STEGE: 14 Exhibit 3, page one, who do we see here? 15 Q . This is Ted Gibson, Theodore Gibson. Α. 16 Page two, who is that? 17 Q . That's Scott Napier, which is me. Α. 18 Three? 19 0. That's both of us there, Ted and myself. 20 Α. And at the cashier --21 Q.. At the cashier. 22 Α. Q. Four, who is here? 23 This is Ted Gibson and Scott Napier,

myself.

- Q. Do you recognize what's appearing at page five of Exhibit 3?
- A. Yes. Ted is getting his ID out so he can cash his check.
- Q. Okay. And at six we have him in the interaction with the cashier?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. And here we have who leaving Wal-Mart?
- A. This is Scott Napier. I'm going to go get the car to pick him up right there.
- Q. Okay. And why would you go get the car to pick him up?
- A. Because he has trouble walking and a lot of times at Wal-Mart you have to park far away and so I usually drop him off and pick him up.
  - Q. And page eight?
  - A. Ted Gibson.
- Q. Let's move into February. Were you trying to call Ted in February?
  - A. Yes, I was trying several times.
  - Q. How long had you been trying to call him?
  - A. For maybe three or four days.
  - O. And how many times do you think you tried

to call him?

- A. One time I had on my phone 38 times.
- Q. And did he ever pick up?
- A. No.
- Q. What did you do when you didn't hear from Ted?
- A. When I didn't hear from him, I couldn't go see if he was there because it's under lock, the lobby's locked and his room. So, I called the manager of the apartment complex, which I had the number and stuff, because I was with him when he got went in there and everything, so I had all the information.
- Q. So, you were with him when he got the apartment.
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. So, you called the management and what happened then?
- A. There was no answer so I left the recording asking if she would go check his room because I explained what we normally do and it's -- he's not answering. And I didn't know whether the phone was out because sometimes he had trouble with it.
  - Q. So, when do you think the last time it was

- A. Probably the 3rd.
- Q. Okay.

- A. Because when he got that -- when he changed to a new payee, he was getting a military check that was going in to pay where he could take the money out of the bank on the 3rd and 17th.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So, I think it was the 3rd the last time I took him to get any money out.
  - Q. The 3rd of January or February?
  - A. February.
- Q. Okay. And after you called management, did you ever hear the result or what happened after you called management?
- A. Police came to my house and picked me up and took me down to the police station. I was heading towards the apartments because the street to the police station, High Street, the apartments are one side and the police are on the other.
- So, I was going to the apartment and I didn't know. The officer said, We're going this way, so I said, Okay.
  - Q. What was it you didn't know?

Correct.

Α.

- Q. Okay. And I just wanted to make sure that the jury was clear that in Ted's case he received money that went directly to the payee, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And that money was used to pay the rent.
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Now, he got more money than was necessary--
  - A. He got two places he got money.

THE COURT: Hold on.

An important rule of court is that only one person speak at the same time so the reporter can make sure that she switches between voices.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: And this first request goes to everybody in the court that will speak. Please await the end of the question before you begin your answer. Please await the end of the answer before you begin your question.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. Because I've never done this before.

THE COURT: That's okay.

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

Q. So, sir, I was asking you about the payee system and your testimony was that Ted received some

money directly to the payee, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that payee's responsibility is to pay the rent at the Park Manor Apartments.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. However, he received more money than was necessary to pay the rent at Park Manor, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, then, it operates like a savings account.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that money would just continually accumulate when he didn't take so much money out.

  Is that right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But, then, separately from the money that was going to the payee, he got a check.
  - A. Correct.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q.}}$  And that check he would actually go and cash.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that's what we see depicted at the Wal-Mart, is he's cashing a check?
  - A. I think that one was changed over to the

- Q. Okay. So, there was a point at which he was receiving a check and then a point at which he was not receiving a check.
- A. Yes and no. The old one that went out of business, he would receive the check. When we went over to the new one, he set it all up so all his checks went to the payee.
- Q. Okay. So, prior to November he received a physical check that he would go and cash.
  - A. Correct.

- Q. But your testimony is that after November he didn't receive a check.
  - A. He did not receive a check.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember that you talked about on February 3<sup>rd</sup> you went and helped him with the check?
- A. It was with his debit card to get the money out from the military check because he had a debit card to get the money out from the savings from the other check or from the -- and on the 3rd and 17th they said there would be the money in there from his military check. So, I went down the 3rd and he used

his debit card to get it out.

- Q. Okay. On February 3<sup>rd</sup> you go with him. There's not a physical check but he will take the debit card and he'll withdraw money.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was on February  $3^{\rm rd}$  that you did that.
  - A. Yes.

Q. Now, Ted was generous to you with his money. Is that correct?

MR. STEGE: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what you mean by that.

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Okay. There was a point at which he loaned you \$3,000.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. He was willing to give you money when you requested it.
- A. That's the only time I ever did but, yes, he did.
- Q. Was there a point at which you were helping people out at the McGregor Inn?

2.2

Yes.

- Q. And could you talk to the jury about the circumstance under which you were helping people at the McGregor Inn.
- A. I would give him a ride to wherever he needed to go. I got him into the same place that Ted lived and that's about it.
- Q. Okay. So, with the individual at the McGregor Inn it was a similar sort of situation --
  - A. Correct.
- Q. -- in which he would -- if I may finish the question before you answer.

It was a similar sort of situation where you were assisting with rides and with getting people -- getting him at least to where he needed to go.

- A. Yes.
- Q. Was there any exchange of money involved in that?
  - A. No.
- Q. So, you're not aware of whether or not Ted was also providing money for that individual.
- A. Ted didn't really know him, so there was no money exchanged that I know of.

- Q. Okay. Well, that's the question. You were unaware of that.
  - A. I'm unaware.

MR. STEGE: Objection to the form of the question. It assumes a fact exists when the man is saying that it's uncertain.

MR. SLOCUM: The answer to the question -THE COURT: Refer to the jury. It does
assume facts in evidence at the home. It is
sustained.

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. I want to talk to you a little bit about your relationship with Ted, okay?
  - A. Okay.
- Q. You said that you knew him for 15 to 20 years.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. During those 15 or 20 years, how much time did you spend with him?
  - A. I don't know what you mean by that.
- Q. During the course of the 15 or 20 years, did you see him every day?
  - A. Yes, pretty much.
  - Q. Up until the middle of February of this

BY MR. SLOCUM:

22

23

24

Do you know when Ted arrived in Reno?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

A. No, I do not.

Q. Do you know where he was before he was in Reno?

MR. STEGE: Objection, hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. What was the question?
- Q. Do you know where he was before he was in Reno?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. You said that based on your personal knowledge that he received a check from the military.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know where the other money that he was receiving came from?
  - A. Social Security.
  - Q. Was it Social Security for retirement?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, he received, as you understand it, a Social Security retirement and a military -- was it a retirement?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what the nature of that check was?
- A. Ted was in the military and the benefit office said he wasn't making enough money to make a living, which I think is \$900. So, they gave him the difference between his Social Security check and what the military thought he should have and that was the second check.
- Q. Did you have personal knowledge of the arrangements of Ted's estate?
  - A. Nothing. Know nothing about that.
- Q. So, that was -- that was outside of anything that you were aware of.
  - A. Never brought up.
- Q. Now, you described the McGregor Inn as having a locked door at the front entrance.

  Is that right.
  - A. Locked door where?
  - O. At the front entrance --
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. -- of the Park Manor Apartments.
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And in order to get in you would use a key

card, correct?

- A. Electronic card, yes.
- Q. So, it's a card that you just hold over the lock and then you could open it?
  - A. And the door would pop open, yes.
  - Q. Did you ever have one of those cards?
  - A. Never.
- Q. So, when you would go to visit Ted, what was your procedure to be able to see him?
- A. I called him or he called me and I told him he'd be there in about 10, 15 minutes and usually he was outside waiting for me.
- Q. Okay. When you say "outside," do you mean literally outside that front door that we just talked about?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And he would wait for you and then you would leave together?
- A. Usually when I showed up he was out there already  $\boldsymbol{--}$ 
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. -- and we'd take off.
- Q. And did that happen every day? Is that the everyday contact that you had with him?

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

- A. Pretty much.
- Q. Were there other sorts of arrangements that you would sometimes make?
- A. If he wasn't there, I would call him at his room and I'd just wait in the car until he came out.
- Q. Okay. So, you had a cell phone you would call him on?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you would just wait in the parking lot and then he would come out?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, you didn't spend time with him in his actual apartment.
  - A. Not very much.
- Q. When you say "not very much," how often would you go up there?
  - A. Maybe a couple times a year.
- Q. And when was the last time that you were actually in his apartment?
  - A. Maybe six months ago.
  - Q. Okay. So, this is now August, right?
  - A. I think so.
  - Q. So, you were in his apartment in February?
  - A. No. Before that.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 2324

- Q. How much before that do you think?
- A. I thought it was six months. I'm not good at -- it might have been three months before that.
  - Q. Okay. And did you provide Ted a calendar?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And why did you do that?
  - A. Because he asked for it.
- Q. And what did he ask for specifically, if you recall?
- A. I have no idea. He just said he liked the pictures, so I don't know -- I got the big ones.
- Q. But you don't know what he did with the calendar?
  - A. Absolutely not.
  - Q. Or if he did anything with the calendar.
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. So, on those times that you saw Ted with Ralph, how was the relationship between them?
  - A. Seemed to be good.
- Q. They seemed to be friendly with one another?
  - A. Yep.
- Q. And you would sometimes all three go out to eat, right?

- 11	
1	A. Yes, we would.
2	Q. Were there ever any disagreements that you
3	were aware of between them?
4	MR. STEGE: Objection, hearsay.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	THE WITNESS: Not that I know of.
7	BY MR. SLOCUM:
8	Q. Did you ever see them fighting or any
9	problems?
LO	A. Didn't have any problems that I know of.
L1	Q. When you would see Ted on a daily basis,
L2	did you ever have any concerns for his safety?
13	A. No, not at all.
14	Q. Did he seem always to be in good spirits?
15	A. What was that?
16	Q. Did he seem to be in good spirits?
17	A. Yes.
18	MR. SLOCUM: I don't have any further
19	questions.
20	THE COURT: Redirect.
21	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. STEGE:
23	Q. You also know Ralph Goad, right?
24	A. Who?

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24

- Ralph Goad. Ο.
- Oh, I know Ralph. I don't know his last Α. name too well.
  - Is Ralph in the courtroom today? Ο.
  - Yes, he is. Α.
- Will you point to him and tell the jury what he's wearing today.
  - He's wearing a dark blue shirt.
- MR. STEGE: Ask the record to reflect ID of the defendant.
  - THE COURT: Yes.
- BY MR. STEGE:
- Q. You were asked about Mr. Gibson's demeanor or mood, that he was kind of a happy guy.
- What was Ralph Goad's -- Ralph's demeanor like?
  - He liked to complain a lot.
- During your cross-examination you said 0. you're not good with dates.
  - Correct. Α.
- Would you agree that you could be mistaken about the dates that you last saw Ted?
  - Α. Could be.
  - Would you admit that it could have been

January 18th is the last time you took him shopping?

MR. SLOCUM: Objection, leading, your

Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: It could be. I don't write down things, so I have no idea when you ask me later on. I'm not really sure.

# BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. At the time you took him shopping it didn't stick out that it was -- the date. It just stuck out that you're taking him shopping.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you said that you saw Ted every day. Is it true you saw -- like in 2019, so, let's say two months before they found his body, were you seeing Ted every day?

MR. SLOCUM: Asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Not at that time.

- Q. Okay. At what time frame was it that you were seeing Ted every day?
  - A. On a regular basis we were going to Denny's

. \_

on Friday, Saturday for breakfast, and at that time we had stopped doing that. We would just do it on occasion --

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- when he wanted to.
- Q. When you were working with Ted, is that when you'd see him every day?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, leading up to this killing, his death, besides the shopping, how often would you think you'd see him?

MR. SLOCUM: Asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Usually I just saw him when I took him to breakfast, when he went and got his money out. Sometimes he didn't go shopping that day and go the next day, but that was the only times that I saw.

- Q. This loan from Ted, what was the amount of that loan?
  - A. It was \$3,000.
  - Q. And what was the purpose of it?

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

A. I was short on money to pay my bills and that's what I needed to square things up.

- O. And when was this loan?
- A. Dates --
- Q. Was it a long time before his murder?
- A. Way long time. Way long time.
- Q. And did you pay him back?
- A. Huh? Yeah.

MR. STEGE: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Any recross.

MR. SLOCUM: Thank you, your Honor.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Sir, I just want to make sure we're clear with respect to these dates. Mr. Gibson received checks, or later deposits, we could say, on the 3rd and the 17th of the month, correct?
  - A. From his military, yes.
- Q. So, on February 3<sup>rd</sup> when you indicated that you helped him to withdraw the money, that was a normal activity at that time, right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But then when you were expecting that you would hear something from him after the 3rd,

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then you hadn't heard anything for a period of time and so then you decided you would try to call him and then ultimately you tried to call the apartment manager, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because you were in pretty regular contact with Ted before the middle of February, right?
  - A. Pretty much.
- Q. So, if any period of a week or more had gone by where you hadn't heard from him, you would start getting concerned, right?
  - A. What was that again?
- Q. If a period of time had gone by, say a week, where you hadn't heard from him, you would be concerned, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because you were having such regular contact with him.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, after February 3<sup>rd</sup> when you hadn't heard from him for a period of a week or more, that's when you started to get concerned.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

23

24

MR. STEGE: Objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: Overruled.

## BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Answer the question.
- A. I forgot. What was it?
- Q. Sure. So, February 3<sup>rd</sup>, we talked about, is when he would have gotten the money out from the ATM and you would have taken him to do that.

Then, if a week or more had gone by after the 3rd, that's the point at which you would have gotten concerned and that's when you would have tried to call him.

- A. Correct.
- Q. And that's when you would have -- I have to finish the question.
- -- and that's when you would have then subsequently contacted the apartment manager.
  - A. Correct.

MR. SLOCUM: I don't have any further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You're free to step down and leave the courtroom.

Let's all stand, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. STEGE: David Millsap.

# (Witness sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE: Q. Good morning. Please stat

- Q. Good morning. Please state and spell your name.
  - A. David Millsap.

THE COURT: All right. Hold on. You'll have to speak right into the microphone or amplify your voice.

THE WITNESS: Sorry, sir.

THE COURT: That's okay.

THE WITNESS: David Millsap.

M-i-l-l-s-a-p.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How are you employed?
- A. I'm employed by the City of Reno with the Reno Police Department.
  - Q. In what capacity?
- A. Currently assigned to Detectives in the Robbery Homicide Unit.
- Q. How long have you been a Robbery Homicide detective?
  - A. Approximately nine years.
  - Q. On February 13th of this year, did you

A. I did.

- Q. And what did you do when you got there?
- A. Originally entered into the scene as a death investigation. We were told by patrol officers that it appeared suspicious, the death. Shortly after that, I was assigned to collect video of the complex.
- Q. And within an investigation is it true that there's different assignments made in each particular case?
  - A. Yes, depending on the case.
- Q. And your assignment in this case was to collect video surveillance.
  - A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. How did you go about doing that?
- A. I met with the apartment manager of that complex inside of her office where there was a DVR system.
- Q. Have you ever collected video surveillance before?
  - A. I have.
  - Q. Do you have any -- well, do you have

experience doing it?

1.5

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have any training in doing that?
- A. I do. I'm a certified video forensic technician.
- Q. And so take us to the office to the manager. There's a DVR there.

How do you begin the process?

A. Well, I note the system itself. It was a standalone system, which means it was actually housed inside of the office complex or in the office area.

I note the current date and time as usually my first step to make sure that it appears to be an accurate date and time stamp so when I go back to review I know what the offset might be.

- Q. And in this case what was the offset?
- A. The date was accurate, however the system appeared to be eight minutes and 21 seconds fast.
  - Q. What do you do next?
- A. In this case I looked for the video of interest making sure that it was still there, still saved on the system. A lot of systems tend to recycle video just for storage capacity. This had

- Q. And gather data how or what did you do with the data that you gathered?
- A. So, it's placed onto a thumb drive. The system has USB ports so I select the date and time range that I'm looking for and then I archive that onto the thumb drive.
- Q. And what was the date range that you selected in this case?
- A. It changed but originally it was January 1, 2019, up until February 13th, 2019.
- Q. And while you were doing that, something changed?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What changed?
- A. I started with January 1st because we were originally told that the victim in this case was potentially last seen around the 1st of January and so I began that process starting on January 1.

I will note that it takes several days to pull that amount of data so I let that go over my weekend. Came back, and then by the time I came

- Q. And same thing using the archiving system to export it to a thumb drive?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- Q. Did you observe the layout of the cameras at the apartment complex?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. And what did you note about it?
- A. So, the system itself has 16 cameras covering various angles, both interior and exterior of the property. The cameras the ones interior to the hallways were mounted above on the ceiling facing the hallways going both east and west on the first and second floor.
- Q. And it's true you became particularly interested in Camera 10.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And where was Camera 10 in relation to Apartment 205?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q. And was this camera motion-activated or a continuous feed camera?
  - A. All cameras were set to motion.
- Q. Meaning they record when there's motion within the range of the camera.
- A. Correct. Usually settings -- or DVR systems have the settings of either continuous or motion. Motion settings would only activate when the camera itself detects motion within that frame.
- Q. And let's jump back to your export of all the video from -- well, so, you'd start with the 1st and then learn about the 18th, so you collect the 18th through the February 13th.
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And those are all exported to what?
  - A. Multiple thumb drives.

A. I originally focused on Camera 10 so that Detective Nevills could review that first. So, I did complete an export of Camera 10 first and then went back and collected all cameras from that same time frame.

MR. STEGE: Could I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

# BY MR. STEGE:

Q. Sir, handing you proposed Exhibit 25, which contains -- it's 25-A through G, I'd like you to please review this proposed exhibit.

THE COURT: Would you recite it in -- Exhibit 25-A through?

MR. STEGE: G.

THE WITNESS: I recognize them, however, there is an  ${\rm H.}$ 

MR. STEGE: A through H.

- Q. And how do you recognize them?
- A. These are the thumb drives that I downloaded.
  - Q. And containing the surveillance of the

dates we just mentioned? 1 2 Correct. Α. MR. STEGE: Move to introduce 25-A through 3 4 Η. MS. MAYHEW: No objection. 5 THE COURT: The exhibit is admitted 6 Ms. Clerk, 25-A through H. 7 (Exhibits 24-A through H admitted.) 8 THE CLERK: Thank you. 9 10 BY MR. STEGE: Would you put them back in there. So, this 11 contains hundreds of hours of video surveillance. 12 13 That's correct. Α. From there did you also make a thumb drive 14 Q. consisting of just Camera 10? 15 16 I did. Α. MR. STEGE: Approach the witness? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 BY MR. STEGE: 19 Do you recognize exhibit -- proposed 2.0 21 Exhibit 26? Yes, I do. It's a thumb drive bearing my 22 Α. initials and badge number. 23 Why does it bear your initials and badge 24

1 | number?

A. Because I had opportunity to review it and verify it's Camera 10.

MR. STEGE: Move to introduce 26.

MS. MAYHEW: No objection.

THE COURT: 26 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

(Exhibit 26 admitted.)

- Q. So, that also contains hundreds of hours of video related to Camera 10.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Many hours --
  - A. Many hours, yes.
- Q. So, once you've collected this amount of video surveillance, is there anything else you'd do with respect to the video surveillance?
- A. On this case specifically, to preserve any additional video that may be needed, I actually took the DVR from the office. From there I took the DVR apart, grabbed that hard drive. It was a one terabyte hard drive within that DVR and completed an image of that DVR hard drive.
- Q. And is that completed in a forensically sound manner?

2.0

- A. It is a one-for-one copy, so starting with zero all the way up to a thousand gigabytes or one terabyte it makes that copy.
- Q. And what sort of equipment is necessary to complete that process?
- A. So, I used -- it's called a Tableau, is the brand name, but it's basically a small computer unit. You hook up the hard drive there, remove it from the DVR. It's write-block, which means I can't write to that or add data to that drive, but the machine copies it to another drive.
- Q. And upon completing that is there an additional verification process that you undertook in this case?
- A. There is. There's special software you utilize with the Secret Service. It's called "DVR Examiner," is the brand name. From there I'm able to plug that into a computer, open up the software, and it's able to verify that the data is there and readable by that system.
- Q. And that gets you every bit of data that's on the DVR.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You did mention --

1 | 2 | please.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STEGE: Can I approach the witness,

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STEGE: Proposed 18, will you please review that.

THE WITNESS: This is a photo that I took of the monitor of the DVR system.

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. It's a true and accurate copy of that photo, isn't it?
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: Move to introduce 18.

MS. MAYHEW: No objection.

THE COURT: 18 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

MR. STEGE: May I publish it?

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. So, what is 18?
- A. So, 18 is, again, a photograph that I took that shows all camera angles of the DVR system with an addition of a date and time stamp right here.
- Q. The video after you collected it, who was it turned over to or who was tasked with reviewing it the surveillance?

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20 21

2.2

2324

A. The lead investigator, Detective David Nevills.

MR. STEGE: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross-examination?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MS. MAYHEW:

Q. Detective, your involvement in this case is -- was limited to obtaining the surveillance video.

Is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And you indicated that the surveillance video is motion-detected.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And with motion detection, the motion is activated when there's movement, correct?
  - A. Yes. The system recognizes movement.
  - Q. So, it only records when there's movement.
- A. Yes and no. This system had a -- where it was set to prerecord five seconds and then after the movement stopped, it would record for five seconds, so it doesn't just capture movement. It goes back and captures five seconds prior to that movement.
- Q. What if the movement is continuous? Does it capture that?

- A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. And is there -- once the motion stops, then it stops recording, right?
  - A. Five seconds later.
- Q. So, it's not an actual continuous recording. There are moments where it stops recording.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So, it's not an accurate depiction of everything that occurred at Park Manor.
- A. It is an accurate depiction of movement during those times.
  - O. But there's --
- A. But it's not 24 hours, seven days a week recording, no.
- Q. But there are times where the camera has to reset.
  - A. I'm not sure what you --
- Q. So, you testified that there's movement, right, and then the camera's activated?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then when the movement stops, the camera is not activated.
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. So, at that point there's no recording.
- A. When there's no movement, yes, five seconds after the movement has stopped.
- Q. And what triggers the movement? Is there sort of a temperature that the camera is activated or how does that work?
- A. I'm not too familiar with the inner workings of the camera. I know that the settings on each camera were set to sensitivity nine. Again, that kinda depends on manufacturer settings but that is not the highest, according to this model number. Ten is the highest sensitivity. These were set to nine.
- Q. And you were trained as a certified video forensic technician?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you receive training in motion detection?
- A. Not because the -- again, because camera manufacturers vary so much, you know, we talked about it and, I guess, my theory of how they work but not specific to that model.
- Q. And what was the model of this specific camera?

- A. I do not know the model of the camera. The system itself was Versiton Video Technologies, I believe.
- Q. And do you know the resetting time for when -- after there's movement when it's not recording?
- A. I don't know if there is a time, and if there is, I don't know that time.
- Q. Okay. But you agree with me that there's a period where the cameras are not recording after the movement.
  - A. Yes, I do. I guess I do.
  - Q. Because it's not continuous, right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. It's motion-activated.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you attain surveillance video outside the apartment building?
- A. From that same system, yes. There were, I believe, two cameras that captured the parking lot and entryway -- actually, probably three cameras. I apologize.
- Q. Did you obtain surveillance video of the -- looking at the apartment building?

A. The cameras that I captured from that system showed portions of that exterior, if that's what you mean.

- Q. Does it show depictions or video of the windows on the outside?
  - A. Portions of the windows, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you review that, that surveillance video?
  - A. Not for content.
- Q. Did you obtain the surveillance video of outside of the apartment building?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And is it your understanding that the window in Apartment 205 was open?
- A. Based on what I know about the investigation, I was told that it was partially opened.
- Q. And did you observe the outside of the apartment building?
- A. I made several trips to that apartment building so, yes, I viewed that apartment.
- Q. Do you recall seeing almost like a CMU block ledge on the outside of the windows?
  - A. I don't recall that specifically.

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24 MS. MAYHEW: Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MAYHEW: No further questions, your

Honor.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- Collecting surveillance is pretty common in homicide cases, right?
  - Yes, it is. Α.
- And you probably do it -- on a monthly 0. basis it's something you do.
- I would say on every homicide there's video to be collected.
- And the idea of a 24/7 constant recording surveillance, how often do you run into that?
- If I had to give it a number, most of the Α. time it is large casinos that have the capability and the money generally to have a continuous system.
- And more common is the motion-detected system.
  - A. Correct.
- In this -- you were asked about, Well, if 0. there's no movement, it stops, right?

2.0

- Q. In your limited review of the surveillance, did you see evidence that, perhaps, someone had been there but the camera did not catch the movement?
- A. In my review I did not see that. I viewed it to make sure that the data was there and each time there was movement within that time frame.
- Q. And the Apartment 205, the one with Mr. Gibson's body in it, is that in close proximity to the camera?
- A. From Camera 10, yes, I believe it's two doors away from the camera.
- Q. You talked about sensitivity levels. This was set to nine of ten.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And is ten being the highest, the most sensitive or least sensitive?
  - A. The most sensitive.
- Q. Meaning it takes less movement to trigger it?
  - A. Minimal movement to trigger that camera.
- Q. You were asked about -- well, about other surveillance besides the 16 channels that you recorded that you recovered.

A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. And this other surveillance that was -- all these cameras that's contained in Exhibit 25, that was given to the DA to give to the defense.
  - A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. Is that true?
    So everyone may review it.
  - A. It is on those thumb drives.
- Q. The term I had never heard is "resetting time." What is resetting time?
- A. I'm not sure what defense's -- what their definition of it is. I guess the best analogy I can give is mostly what I see on internet-based systems like at the Ring or Arlo or Blink systems, where once it's done recording, based on your settings, it won't start recording again for a certain amount of time.
- So, if I record 60 seconds' worth of video, the system says, I'm not going to start recording for 30 seconds because it just -- it's more for storage reasons, so I can't comment on how this system worked.
- Q. But in your experience, you see that -- did I hear you say you see that more in sort of

24

construction defect in my day, so a CMU block wall

is just a big block wall that typically -- I mean,

it's just a wall.

2.1

So, you don't recall seeing anything outside of that?

- A. I know there was a -- I don't know if the camera kinda captured it. There's an awning, if you will, right outside the front door and then there's a wall that has mailboxes in it. I believe if you look at Camera 4 you can kinda see the start of that awning.
- Q. And that's what I was referring to. So, in Camera 4, that wall is right outside the window, correct?
- A. If you're talking this wall there, is that the wall you're talking about?
  - Q. Yes.
- A. That would not be right outside of the victim's window. His window would have been, if my memory serves me, more in that area. Sorry to --
- Q. So, but the wall extends throughout the entire below half or middle of the outside of the apartment building.
  - A. I can't be for certain if it does or not.
- Q. You were asked about -- I know that we talked about resetting, and I apologize for not

- A. I took it as being what I had discussed about the more streaming cameras, where based on user settings, it will not record for an additional usually 30 to 60 seconds until there's -- if there's movement within that time, it won't record until that time is up, if that makes sense.
- Q. Okay. It does. And, then, if there is continuous movement, does it capture that continuous movement?
  - A. From my understanding the system does.
  - Q. And where did you get that understanding?
- A. Just based on my limited review of the camera, seeing people walk from the beginning of the hallway all the way down to past the camera.
- Q. But you don't know as you sit here today that the camera captured all movement.
- A. I believe it captures up until that, you know, that setting of 9, again, depending on what the manufacturer states that sensitivity is. I would disagree that it does capture movement or at least all movement within those settings.
  - Q. And talk to me a little bit about the

settings. You said the system was a level nine.

Can you describe what that is?

- A. I don't know the specifics of this manufacturer so I can't comment on the sensitivity settings.
- Q. Okay. So, then, how can you sit here today and say it captures all movement if you don't have an understanding of the settings?
- A. I'm saying within that settings, you know, it captured movement.
- Q. But you don't have an understanding of the settings.
- A. I don't know what level of sensitivity other than the system saying that it's set as a nine.
- Q. Okay. But you can't sit here today and say that it captures --

MR. STEGE: Objection, argumentative?

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Again, I guess my response would be that when I was able to review it, it captured motion. Can I say it captured, you know, every motion, I guess I cannot, because there is some gaps in time.

MS. MAYHEW: No further questions. 1 THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step 2 down and leave the courtroom. 3 We will take our morning recess. 4 (Whereupon, jury was admonished 5 and excused.) 6 THE COURT: Please be seated. The entire 7 8 jury is present. To the state, you may call your next 9 10 witness. MR. STEGE: David Nevills. 11 12 (Witness sworn.) THE COURT: Counsel, you may begin. 13 MR. STEGE: Thank you. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. STEGE: Sir, please state and spell your name. 17 Ο. Dave Nevills. 18 Α. We have had this morning an issue with 19 0. people's voices needing to be projected, so please 20 be mindful of that during your testimony. 21 22 Α. Okay. 23 Are you employed? Q. 24 I work for Reno Police Department. Α.

- Q. As -- in what capacity?
- A. Robbery homicide detective.
- Q. How long have you been a robbery and homicide detective?
  - A. About four years.
- Q. What was your role in this case, the case involving Mr. Goad?
  - A. I was assigned as the lead detective.
- Q. And we've heard some about assignment within Detectives. What is a lead detective?
- A. Lead detective is in control of the overall investigation, assigns other detectives' specific assignments in regards to -- in this case the scene was assigned to a Detective Kazmar. Detective Nick Smith was assigned to assist me with interviews and financial information.
- Q. And Detective Millsap was tasked with the surveillance?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. How did this investigation begin for you?
- A. I received a call from Detective Sergeant Myers asking me to respond to 33 Park Street, Apartment 205 regarding a suspicious death.
  - Q. And were patrol officers or detectives in

charge or controlling of the scene?

A. Yes.

2.3

- Q. And what did you do when you got there?
- A. I went inside. I met with Sergeant Conklin and went inside and observed the scene.
- Q. And we will later hear from Detective Kazmar, but can you give us an overview of the scene.
- A. It's a small apartment, similar to a studio apartment. It's very similar to like a motel room with kitchenette. As you walk in the front door, you immediately arrive in the kitchen area. The stove and refrigerator is on the right side, which would be the west wall.

As you continue down the hallway, which is relatively short, maybe 10, 12 feet, you enter into the bedroom combination living and dining room area. You make a right-hand turn towards the west wall and there's a small bathroom off to the right.

- Q. Tell us about your observations within the bathroom.
- A. Inside the bathroom there were several items on the vanity which consisted of a pair of scissors, a smaller pair of scissors, a

black-handled fixed-blade knife. And then also we noticed some red staining inside the sink that was consistent with blood.

- Q. Take us back to the living area.
- A. The living area is a small bed. I believe it was a twin-sized bed. The headboard was along the west wall. There's a small nightstand on either side. The foot of the bed actually faces the east wall. There's a small dresser at the foot of the bed. Above that's a television. Calendar was posted on that wall.
- Q. And what did you notice about the general condition of the main living area?
- A. General condition was somewhat disheveled. There appeared to be a disturbance inside the room.
  - Q. And what makes you say that?
- A. Mr. Gibson was laying somewhat on his right side and back at the foot of the bed. His head was against the south wall below the large window which is also next to a heating and air-conditioning unit. There was some red staining on the wall that was consistent with blood.

On the south side of the bed there was pillows, some blankets, and paperwork. There was a

- Q. And the ashes on the ground, the ashtray, was that close to the body of Mr. Gibson?
  - A. Yes. It was near his feet.

- Q. And in your review, you know, your initial review or getting to that room, what if anything stuck out in terms of trying to assess either who had been the perpetrator or when it had occurred?
- A. Well, there was -- Mr. Gibson had defects on him that were consistent with a sharp-force object. There was, obviously, a struggle inside the room. We knew there was surveillance cameras in the hallway.
- Q. And you mentioned the calendar. Tell us about the calendar.
- A. The calendar was open to January 2019. It had a scribble or X mark on every day beginning on

the first to the  $22^{\rm nd}$ . After the  $22^{\rm nd}$  there was no more marks. Led me to believe that he was killed sometime after the  $22^{\rm nd}$  when he made that mark or sometime on the  $23^{\rm rd}$  prior to making the mark.

Q. Okay.

MR. STEGE: Can I approach the witness? THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Do you recognize proposed Exhibit 33?
- A. Yes, the 2019 calendar I just described from the --
- Q. And it's packaging indicating it's been in the Reno Police Department evidence vault.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to testifying today, we met with the clerk along with defense counsel and opened or broke the seal on that evidence envelope.
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: I move to introduce this exhibit.

MR. SLOCUM: We stipulate.

THE COURT: Thirty-three is admitted.

(Exhibit 33 admitted.)

MR. STEGE: May the detective publish the

calendar?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. You may need to stand up, Detective.
- A. This is the calendar I described and it was open to this page, January 2019. As you can see, there's a mark checking off each day until the 23<sup>rd</sup>.
  - Q. And this was in February.

    Is there anything marked in February?
- A. There's bleed-through, it looks like to me, that from the previous days it's been marked off.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. In fact, going back to -- this was hung January.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Did you observe the December portion? I guess it's the last portion of '18.
- A. No, I did not particularly see that portion on that particular day.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I only saw the January, when it was still posted on the wall.
  - Q. Okay. Let's continue from there.

    So, you have an idea that this might have

- A. After that we -- Detective Millsap and I responded to the main office area and we observed a short portion of video.
- Q. And in that short portion -- well, what short portion?
- A. It was specifically cued up to January 22<sup>nd</sup> -- yeah, January 22<sup>nd</sup> -- and it was approximately 15 to 30 hours indicating -- showing Mr. Goad in the hallway, Ms. Juarez, the manager there, was also on scene and she positively identified Mr. Goad for me on video.
  - Q. Was that in your presence?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Did you later show to Ms. Juarez still photographs from the surveillance?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And seeking to identify a particular suspect?
  - A. Yes.
  - O. And was she able to do that?
  - A. Yes.
    - MR. STEGE: Approach the witness?
    - THE COURT: Yes.

## 1 BY MR. STEGE: Can you please review Exhibit 30. 2 These are the photos that I showed to Yes. 3 Α. Victoria Juarez. 4 Move to introduce 30. MR. STEGE: 5 MR. SLOCUM: No objection. 6 30 is admitted, Ms. Clerk. THE COURT: 7 MR. STEGE: May we publish? 8 Tell me about what 30 is. THE COURT: Yes. 9 Is it a sealed document or contain a series? 10 It contains a series of MR. STEGE: 11 documents, which I will count for the clerk. 12 Multiple pages? THE COURT: 13 MR. STEGE: Four pages. They're, in fact, 14 individually marked 30A through D. 15 30A through D are admitted, THE COURT: 16 Ms. Clerk. 17 (Exhibit 30A through D admitted.) 18 Thank you. THE CLERK: 19 And I may publish, your Honor? STEGE: MR. 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 BY MR. STEGE: 22 30A, what are we seeing here? 23 That particular photo is from Camera 10 of

the hallway. That one is dated 1/29/2019 at 10:38 hours in the morning. That is one of the photos that she identified as Mr. Goad.

- Q. As well as B showing a different date.
- A. Different date and time, which includes one 1/21/19 at 0412 hours, and she also identified that as Mr. Goad.
  - Q. 30C.

- A. That specific one is 1/21/19 at 7:21 hours and she also identified that as Mr. Goad.
  - Q. Finally, 30D, David.
- A. And that one is dated 1/18/19 at 0659 hours and she also identified that as Mr. Gibson.
- Q. And let's talk about there were a number of different photographs you used of Mr. Goad. Why was -- why use the different --
- A. I wanted to show it in different periods of time.
  - Q. Can you give us an example.
- A. Well, I think one was dated 1/21. One was dated -- I don't recall.
- Q. So, for example, let's slow this down a little bit. So, Exhibit A is from January 29th.
  - A. Correct.

- \_ \_

- Q. And you have C being from the 21<sup>st</sup>.
- A. Correct.
- Q. And it appears from this -- these stills there's different clothing.
- A. Yes. He dresses similar every day but he does wear similar clothing to every day.
- Q. Okay. I think we left off you and Detective Millsap were reviewing video from the  $22^{\rm nd}$ . What did you do after that?
- A. After that I met with witness and interviewed her at the station while Detective Millsap began obtaining video surveillance.
  - Q. And what about interviewing the witness?
  - A. I'm sorry?
  - Q. After the witness, what did you do?
- A. I came back to the scene for a brief moment and then ultimately contacted another witness and reinterviewed him.
- Q. At some point did you become interested in finding the last known whereabouts of Mr. Gibson or last time --
  - A. Yes.
  - O. -- he was known alive?
  - A. Yes, when he was last known alive.

- Q. And how did you do that?
- A. I received information from Detective Smith that there was a transaction at the Wal-Mart on East 2nd Street on the 18th of January about 0722 hours that Mr. Gibson had made.
- Q. What did you do to follow up with that piece of information?
- A. Based on that I responded to the Wal-Mart and the security officer there obtained video of Mr. Gibson making his purchase on the 22nd -- correction, on the 18th.
  - Q. Did you review that video?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. What did you notice?
- A. It depicted Mr. Gibson with Mr. Scott Napier, a friend of his. They made a -- Mr. Napier assisted Mr. Gibson in making a transaction there for around \$253.
- Q. Were you able to observe the nature of the transaction in terms of -- let me ask you, You said it was \$253.
  - A. Yes. And I think it was 74 cents.
- Q. And during this transaction do you see what bills or denominations?

- Q. And are you able to tell -- or based on the position of the drawers, did you have a theory of what bill was changed for the five smaller bills?
- A. Yes. Based on the position of the bills, it appears that the bill that he gave back to the clerk was a \$100 bill and then he got five \$20s in exchange for that.
- Q. So, he initially had two hundred-dollar bills and exchanged one of them.
  - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Okay. And from that were you then able to locate Mr. Gibson, his last time known to be alive on the video surveillance of Park Manor?
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. I sort of jumped into this, but tell us about your review of the video surveillance from Park Manor.
- A. I reviewed video surveillance beginning January 17th all the way until February 13th.

- Q. And of which camera or cameras?
- A. Specifically Camera 10.
- Q. And what, if anything, did you notice about Apartment 205 in your review?
- A. Apartment 205 is relatively close to where the camera is. It's on the right-hand side or on the south wall.
- Q. And what were you looking for in your review of the surveillance?
- A. Anyone entering and exiting Mr. Gibson's room.
  - Q. Did you ever see Mr. Gibson enter his room?
  - A. Yes, on the 18th.
- Q. Did you ever see Mr. Gibson exit the room after the 18th of January?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you see anyone else on the surveillance enter Apartment 205?
  - A. Yes, Mr. Goad.
- Q. Did you ever see anyone besides Mr. Goad enter the Apartment 205 in that time period?
  - A. No.
- Q. Based on -- so, you have from the 18th onward and you have the calendar.

- A. I began watching it for the entire time.
- Q. And what did you notice, if anything, on the  $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$  of January?
- A. On the 22<sup>nd</sup> I noticed that -- I think it was around 1531 hours -- actually, prior to that Mr. Goad was in Mr. Gibson's apartment. At about 1531 hours it appears Mr. Goad peeks out slightly, goes back inside the apartment, and then about two minutes later it's a recurrence of the same thing. About 1700, which is like 5:00 p.m., I want to say 1747, Mr. Goad exits the apartment.
- Q. Let's talk about the lead-up between the 18th up until the  $22^{\text{nd}}$ .

What do you observe about Mr. Goad as it relates to 205?

- A. Mr. Goad is constantly in and out of 205, Mr. Gibson's apartment. He's sometimes seen as early as 5:30 in the morning going there. He makes numerous trips on specific days and is usually gone back to his apartment by around 12:30 or so.
- Q. Detective Millsap has previously -- we previously admitted the Camera 10 surveillance.

That is the surveillance that you reviewed, right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, subsequent to that there was a compilation made from Camera 10 depicting Mr. Goad's involvement with 205.
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review that compilation of Camera 10?
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: May I approach the witness with proposed 27?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Do you recognize 27?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. How do you recognize it?
- A. It has my initials with my badge number and date that I reviewed it.
- Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of the surveillance as it relates to Mr. Goad's involvement in 205?
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: Move to introduce 27.

MR. SLOCUM: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: 27 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. So, let's move 27 -- go ahead. So, 27 we just admitted, but let's begin with 26, which is the one that's not the compilation, just Camera 10.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. So, let's start with the 17th of January. If you could just orient us to where we are here.
- A. This is the hallway that Mr. Gibson and Mr. Goad's room is on and this is the view of Camera 10 and it's facing eastbound.
- Q. And can you tell where Apartment 205 is in this?
- A. So, you have the partial door on the far right, which is the first one, and then you have a full door. Then if you go down one more, it's that door right there. That's Mr. Gibson's room.
- Q. I don't think we can -- we're seeing your marks.
  - A. Can I touch it?

    Right there. That's Mr. Gibson's room.
- Q. So, this entryway here, and put a mark here. Is it similar to this one in the foreground

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2.2

23

24

where there's a door on the right and door on the left?

- Yes. A .
- And this entryway, which of the doors is 205? Right or left?
  - The right. Α.
- Okay. So, to be clear, this is just a day 0. at the apartment complex.
  - Α. Yes.
- It collects the coming and going of the Ο. residents.
  - The hallway, yes. Α.
- We heard testimony or questioning about the issue of the sensitivity of the camera.

What were your observations in viewing these multiple days of video about the sensitivity level of the camera?

I found that the sensitivity of the camera was very high. On one occasion it captured a cat. It's the only thing that was in the hall, was a cat. On another occasion it captured a small dog and that was the only thing in the hallway.

There's also one other circumstance where Mr. Goad is actually exiting his apartment, which is

213, which is way farther down the hallway and he exits the east door. Even though it's that far away, it still activates and captures it.

- Q. Looking at the 17th of January, it looks like 14 minutes after midnight we see people coming out from the far end of the hallway, which does trigger the camera. In fact, I'll go back briefly to that.
- A. Correct. So, it's very sensitive.

  In that particular incident there it captures a gentleman, two people exiting the room.
- Q. The area where those people exit, is that near the apartment of Ralph Goad?
  - A. It's near the apartment, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what apartment is Mr. Goad's?
  - A. 213.
- Q. Let's switch from the full days of Camera 10 to the compilation, please, switching now of Exhibit 27. Let's begin with the 17th.
- A. So, it's the 17th at 0514 and that's Mr. Goad coming out of his apartment. He's approaching Mr. Gibson's apartment and then continues on. Mr. Goad dressing similar almost every day. He has on a dark jacket and dark pants on that particular time.

He also has shoes that have somewhat of a neon or white sole. It's hard to tell in black and white video, but they're relatively consistent. That's Mr. Goad returning and he wears a ball cap. You can see the white soles in this video. It's easier to see it from the back. There's Mr. Goad going to Mr. Gibson's apartment and he knocks. The ball cap has a letter "B" on it. In this incident he's waiting to be let inside. He receives no answer and continues back to his room.

2.0

- Q. Were you -- let's pause this. As you're watching this, you're reviewing it, did you notice anything about or are you looking for whether Goad knocks or just enters the door?
- A. Yes. I'm looking to see if he knocks or if he goes inside without knocking without hesitation. I'm also watching his body movements so I can identify him each time I see him as early as possible. He has kind of a specific gate. His hat is often tilted to one side and he swings his arms in a specific motion, kind of behind him and to the side.

I also recognize that he seems to go back to the same apartment. Obviously, it's 213. Mr.

Goad, again, is exiting his apartment at 0524.

- Q. So, this down here is the time of day.
- A. Yes. And the time on the -- the date is correct, however, the time is approximately ten minutes fast. Mr. Goad is arriving at Mr. Gibson's apartment and there he knocks. He's ultimately let inside.

And about 7:18 on the same day he's exiting with the bags. That's common. He often carries bags out. You can see the letter "B" on his hat.

This is 0728 hours, a short time later he returns carrying a white bag. He returns to Mr. Gibson's apartment and there you see he goes right inside. He doesn't hesitate or doesn't knock.

- Q. In the days before the 22<sup>nd</sup>, do you see a pattern with the knocking versus not knocking?
- A. It's -- it varies. Sometimes he knocks, sometimes he doesn't. Mr. Goad, again, no jacket, still wearing the letter "B," you can see the way he swings his arms.
- Q. I'd like to pause here for a moment.

  You're using the term -- you're saying this is Mr.

  Goad in the video.
  - A. Yes.

O. Based on what?

- A. I can tell by his clothing, the way he walks, his gait and the fact that Victoria Juarez had positively identified him on video prior to me watching this, which made it very easy for me to identify him. And I can see facial structures.
- Q. And sort of jumping ahead to the end of your investigation, you ultimately saw Ralph Goad face to face, and is that part of how you're able to identify him on this video?
  - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. And do you see Ralph Goad in the courtroom today?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Would you identify him for the record, please.
- A. Mr. Goad is wearing blue. He has a beard and sitting at defense table.
- MR. STEGE: Ask the record reflect the ID of the defendant.

THE COURT: Yes.

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Let's continue.
- A. Mr. Goad is returning. It's 9:06 a.m. He

goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and let's himself in. 9:59 Mr. Goad is exiting carrying a white bag and returning to his apartment. He hesitates at his apartment, so that makes me believe he locks his door when he leaves. 10:02 hours he's exiting his apartment now.

This particular incident he passes Mr.

Gibson's apartment. Still dressed the same as he was before. Same day at 11:40 Mr. Goad returned.

On this particular occasion he knocks on the door of Mr. Gibson's apartment. He's allowed inside.

Still 1/17 at 1300, which is 1 p.m., Mr. Goad exits with a dark-colored bag in his right hand. Returns to his apartment and goes inside. Approximately 1:41, or 1341 hours, on the 17th, Mr. Goad is exiting.

This particular occasion he passes Mr. Gibson's apartment and now he's wearing his jacket. He still has the hat with the letter "B" tilted off to the side.

- Q. So, that's the end of the 17th.
- A. January 18th, 06:00 a.m. It appears that Mr. Gibson's looking out. Mr. Gibson steps out and appears he locks his door, because he takes some

time while he's there.

2.0

Q. Where does January 18th fit in the timeline?

A. This is the last time I'm able to positively identify him as being alive on this particular day.

Mr. Gibson makes his way down to the building entrance where. This is the same day at 9:30 a.m. Mr. Gibson is returning carrying what appears to be some groceries, or something of that nature, back to his room. He pauses at the door. Appears that he's getting his keys out so he can unlock the door and go inside.

A few minute later he comes back out. Appears to lock the door again. Now the bag is empty.

Now it's about 0940 hours on the same day, the 18th, and he returns with a second bag. He retrieves his keys, unlocks the door, and goes inside.

Same day, about 10:16 a.m. Mr. Goad is walking down the hallway dressed as he was before. Stops at Mr. Gibson's apartment and knocks. He ultimately goes inside.

Still the 18th about 11:48 hours, Mr. Goad exits Mr. Gibson's apartment and walks back towards his. He goes back inside his own apartment.

Still the 18th at 11:58 hours it appears
Mr. Gibson is looking out down the hallway and then
goes back inside.

1513 hours, still the same day, Mr. Goad exits his apartment -- I'm sorry. This is another individual, I believe. Yeah, this individual meets with Mr. Gibson and they have a brief conversation, shaking hands. Ultimately the individual goes back to their apartment.

- Q. Do you know who that person is?
- A. I don't know who exactly that person is, no.
  - Q. Go to the 19th.

2.2

A. Now, the 19th about 06:00 a.m. Mr. Goad is exiting his apartment carrying a bag. Stops at Mr. Gibson's apartment and goes inside without hesitation on this occasion.

Mr. Goad then is -- it's 0720 and exits Mr. Gibson's apartment and is carrying a white bag, still wearing the hat he usually wears with the letter "B." 0734 hours he's returning to -- Mr.

Goad is returning. He goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and he goes inside without hesitation.

Now 11:49 hours and Mr. Goad is seen exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment and returning to his own.

It's now 11:54 hours and Mr. Goad is exiting his apartment. Mr. Goad goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and knocks. After a brief wait, he goes inside. 11:56 hours Mr. Goad is exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment carrying a white bag.

Now about 1331 hours, or 1:31 p.m., Mr. Goad is returning. He goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment, doesn't knock and pauses or anything, and then returns to his apartment.

- Q. Move now to the 20th.
- A. About 0533 hours in the morning and Mr. Goad is exiting his apartment.
- Q. This is part of that loose pattern of early morning going to Mr. Gibson's apartment.
- A. Correct. Brief pause at the door and then continues on. So, now it's 534 hours and Mr. Goad is returning. Goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and knocks. After a short wait, he goes inside. 0718 hours same day, Mr. Goad coming out of Mr. Gibson's

apartment carrying a bag.

0726 hours he returns. Goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and goes directly inside.

- Q. We've seen a lot of white bags, sort of shopping bags?
- A. Yes. Those are shopping bags consistent with something you get at Raley's or any supermarket.

11:19 hours Mr. Goad exits Mr. Gibson's apartment.

- Q. Do you recall if there were such white bags in Mr. Gibson's apartment?
  - A. Yes, there were several.
- Q. Jumping ahead, any in Goad's apartment, those white shopping bags?
- A. Yes. There were shopping bags in both apartments, yes.

exiting his room. On this particular occasion he doesn't stop at Mr. Gibson's and continues out the hallway. 11:57 he returns carrying a bag. On this particular occasion he does not stop at Mr. Gibson's apartment and continues on to his own.

Q. Let's go to the 21<sup>st</sup>. I want to ask you

something.

2.2

Getting the impression from the compilation that it's not a very busy hallway. In looking at the raw footage of Camera 10, is this a busy hallway?

A. It's very busy. Even though the camera's motion-activated, it's almost constant.

This is the 21<sup>st</sup> now at 0411 hours and this is Mr. Goad exiting his apartment. On this particular day he stops by but does not knock and ultimately leaves down the hallway.

0413 hours he returns and knocks on Mr. Gibson's door.

- O. This is four in the morning?
- A. 4:00 in the morning, yes.
- Q. And ultimately he does not receive any answer so he continues back to his apartment.
- A. Still the 21<sup>st</sup>, it's 0428. Mr. Goad exiting his apartment. Walks to Mr. Gibson's apartment, tries the door. After a brief wait, he's allowed inside.

It's now 0721. Mr. Goad exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment. About 0734 he returns carrying a white bag. He returns to Mr. Gibson's apartment

and in this case he does not knock. He just goes right inside.

Now about 9:10 a.m. Mr. Goad exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment carrying a white bag heading back towards his apartment.

THE COURT: Counsel, will you please hit pause and let's all stand, ladies and gentlemen.

(Recess taken.)

## BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Let's pick back up at 9:19 hours on the  $21^{\rm st}$  of January.
- A. Mr. Goad exiting his apartment and he's carrying -- I think he's -- yes, carrying a white bag in his right hand. Passes by Mr. Gibson's apartment.

11:21, still the 21<sup>st</sup>, Mr. Goad is returning. He's carrying a bag, goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and knocks. After a short wait, he's allowed inside.

Still the 21<sup>st</sup> about 12:48 hours, Mr. Goad is exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment, walks towards his apartment and he goes inside.

Q. So, these are days, for example, we've just watched the  $21^{\rm st}$  where he enters the apartment and

we don't see him until the next day.

A. Yes.

1.6

- Q. Right. So, let's move to the  $22^{\text{nd}}$ .
- A. The 22<sup>nd</sup> at 0504 hours Mr. Gibson looks like he's peeking out and Mr. Goad is exiting his apartment. Mr. Goad walks down to Mr. Gibson's apartment and goes inside.

5:45 hours Mr. Goad exits Mr. Gibson's apartment and walks back towards his own and he goes inside. Approximately 5:53 Mr. Goad exits his apartment. Mr. Goad on this particular occasion is carrying that dark bag that's similar to the one I saw earlier. He goes to Mr. Gibson's apartment and goes inside with out hesitation and does not knock.

- Q. The black bag you just talked about, did you ever see that, a similar bag later?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Where?
  - A. Inside Mr. Goad's apartment.
  - Q. And what does it look like?
  - A. It's like a black leather duffle bag.

07:28 hours the same day Mr. Goad exits Mr. Gibson's apartment. On this particular occasion he does not wear any jacket. The shoes and ball cap

are still the same.

Q. Can you tell from the video what is it that he's wearing?

A. Appears to be like a sweatshirt dark in color, light gray, maybe. About 7:28 hours he returns still wearing the sweatshirt, goes inside Mr. Gibson's apartment without hesitation.

0730 hours he exits Mr. Gibson's apartment carrying a bag and now he's wearing a jacket. 0742 hours Mr. Goad returns carrying a bag still wearing the jacket. Returns to Mr. Gibson's apartment where he allows himself inside.

It's now same day 0811. Mr. Goad is exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment and now it looks like the jacket he's no longer wearing, just the sweatshirt. He goes back to his apartment. He goes inside. 0813 hours Mr. Goad exits. Mr. Goad returns to Mr. Gibson's apartment and allows himself inside.

It's now 12:01 hours, same day. Mr. Goad is exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment carrying the white bag and it looks like the black duffle bag again going back to his apartment.

About 1206 hours Mr. Goad is exiting his

apartment, he's carrying a white bag. On this particular occasion he passes Mr. Gibson's apartment wearing a sweatshirt, no jacket.

About 1419 hours Mr. Goad returns wearing the sweatshirt, no jacket, carrying a white bag and he returns to Mr. Gibson's apartment where he knocks.

- Q. And this time frame, 1400 hours, how is that significant?
- A. It's odd in the fact that this is one of the latest times he's ever been to Mr. Gibson's apartment. After a brief wait, he's allowed inside. About 1422, 2:22 p.m., same day, Mr. Goad is seen exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment and he's carrying what appears to be a bowl light in color, still wearing the sweatshirt, no jacket.

1423 hours he returns and he goes back into Mr. Gibson's apartment without hesitation. So, that's that 1423.

- Q. So, now we're an hour --
- A. Yeah. Same day 1531 hours it appears Mr. Goad is looking out, goes back inside. At 1533 hours he appears again. He goes back inside.
  - Q. Let's pause here at the 1534 mark. Based

on the behavior you saw leading up to this, what was your working assumption or your belief?

1.7

A. Well, the behavior's odd. That's the first time I've seen that, Mr. Gibson peeked out earlier, but the first time I've seen Mr. Goad peek out earlier. It's also consistent with the day we suspect it occurred, the 22<sup>nd</sup> -- sometime between the 22nd and the 23<sup>rd</sup> after Mr. Gibson marks the calendar or sometime on the 23rd before he marks the calendar again. So, somewhat odd behavior in the fact that Mr. Goad is also in there later than normal. He's not usually in there this late.

It's now 1734 hours still on the 22<sup>nd</sup> and Mr. Gibson -- or correction. Sorry -- Mr. Goad is seen exiting Mr. Gibson's apartment and now he's wearing his jacket.

- Q. Let's go now to the 23<sup>rd</sup>.
- A. So, it's now the  $23^{\rm rd}$ , the following day, at about 1443, or 2:43 p.m.
- Q. And I'm just touching on this briefly. We'll come back to it.

Between the  $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$  when he leaves in the evening and until now, did you make investigation into his whereabouts?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you discover where that was?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, you believe he's gone for the night?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Or he's gone the whole time until he returns?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, this is the exception, right, where we put in one clip as an illustration of the doors, the right and left doors.
- A. Correct. This individual here, his first name is Cody. He lives in 207, which I believe is next to Mr. Gibson. And as you can see when he approaches the door there, because the doorknob is on the left, you can see his pull back as he opens the door and he goes in.

You can see his back and he -- the way he has to slide into the room because of the way of the knob orientation on the door.

- Q. How does that differ from entering into 205?
- A. Mr. Gibson's room the doorknob is on the right. Subsequently, in order to enter the room,

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

you have to almost fully face the door. So, when anybody stands at the door waiting to be allowed in or goes in, you can actually see the right side of their body and they go directly into the room.

- Q. Their body has to face the camera.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Approach with 12.

  Do you recognize Proposed 12?
- A. Yes. It's a photo I took.
- Q. Move to introduce 12.

MR. SLOCUM: No objection.

THE COURT: Twelve is admitted, Ms. Clerk.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

(Exhibit 12 admitted.)

MR. STEGE: May I publish this?

THE COURT: Yes.

### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. What's 12?
- A. That's a photograph of the doors of 207 and 205, 205 being Mr. Gibson's room.
  - Q. So, where would the camera be from here?
- A. The camera would be off to the right down the hallway.
  - Q. So, the door we just saw that gentleman

walk in would have been this 207?

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Go back to the video.
- A. So, we're on January 23<sup>rd</sup> at 2:47 p.m.

  It's Mr. Goad returning. He goes right into Mr.

  Gibson's room. There's no hesitation. There's no knocking.
- Q. After the 22<sup>nd</sup>, do you ever see hesitation or knocking?
- A. No. A few moments later he comes back out and heads towards his room. For an unknown reason, he stops and returns to Mr. Gibson's room. Again, he enters without hesitation.

Short time later he returns, exits the room carrying a white bag, heads back towards his room.

Still on the 23<sup>rd</sup> it's now 2341, which is 11:41 p.m., Mr. Goad exits his room. This is the latest I've seen him in Mr. Gibson's room. Doesn't hesitate. Goes right inside.

About 2347 he comes back out, and it appears he may be carrying something in his right arm. He normally swings his arm but you can't see his right arm swinging there. He returns to his room.

Q. Let's go to the 24<sup>th</sup>.

A. The 24<sup>th</sup> at 0736 Mr. Goad is seen exiting his room and this particular time he's later than normal. He doesn't stop at Mr. Gibson's room. He just walks right on by. He's now wearing his coat.

It should be noted I also did not mention that on the video the day before at 2347 he's no longer wearing his sweatshirt. He's back to a short-sleeve shirt.

- Q. So, now --
- A. 0744 a.m.
- Q. Can you repeat what you said about the sweatshirt?
- A. On the 23<sup>rd</sup>, the day before, at about 2347, Mr. Goad returns that late night to Mr. Gibson's room. He's no longer wearing the sweatshirt he was wearing earlier. He's back to a short-sleeved polo low shirt.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. On this particular day 7444 a.m. on the  $24^{\rm th}$ , he doesn't hesitate at Mr. Gibson's room. He walks right on by.
- Q. Back to the 24<sup>th</sup>, you don't see him in Gibson's room?

Q. Let's watch the 25th.

A. 25th at 07:08 a.m. Mr. Goad exiting his room. On this particular occasion he does not stop at Mr. Gibson's room. He's wearing his jacket, a ball cap with the letter "B."

It's now 0717, Mr. Goad is returning. He does not stop at Mr. Gibson's room and goes directly to his.

Now 1804 hours, 6:04 p.m., the same day on the 25th, Mr. Goad exiting his room in the short-sleeve shirt. Goes to Mr. Gibson's room. No hesitation. Goes inside. About 1818 hours Mr. Goad exits Mr. Gibson's room carrying something.

- O. About 13 minutes later.
- A. Yes. Heads back to his room.
- Q. Is that the last time you see Goad?
- A. That's the last time Mr. Goad is in Mr. Gibson's room, yes.
  - O. Let's go to the 26th.
- A. It's the 26th about 0802 hours. Mr. Goad comes out of his room. Mr. Goad passes Mr. Gibson's room wearing a ball cap, jacket as he normally

would.

0813 hours Mr. Goad returns carrying a bag. He does not go to Mr. Gibson's room. Passes by and goes back to his apartment.

So, the 26th about 10:39 hours Mr. Goad exits his room.

THE COURT: We'll take a recess, ladies and gentlemen.

(Whereupon, jury was admonished and excused for lunch at 12:10 p.m.)

#### AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. STEGE: My pretrial or mid-trial memo probably applies this to witness. Also, I am planning to release this witness subject to re-call and call him at a -- later in the trial for some -- there's some foundational matters that I need to tie up first.

THE COURT: You've read the pretrial memo, I presume.

MR. SLOCUM: I have read it.

THE COURT: I don't expect that there is a written response.

MR. SLOCUM: Well, I got it this morning and over the lunch hour, no, I didn't.

THE COURT: So, I don't expect -- let me just reflect out loud for a moment.

I agree with the state's principles of law that the defense cannot elicit the defendant's hearsay statements through specific hearsay statements through cross-examination and a witness that the defendant spoke to. As a general concept, I agree with his principles. It's always finding where that tension point is. Because if the defense were to ask specifically, What did my client say

then, what did my client say then, well, clearly, I'm on one wrong side of it.

2.2

There's probably a very early general form of testimony I would allow, for example, Did you speak to the defendant and is it true that he denied, you know, being involved, something like that. I'd probably let it go just a little bit but we're not going to get the defendant's words in.

But so far with this -- and I read Glover as well this morning -- I would make that decision based upon what happened on direct examination. It's not fair and I'm not -- I'm not suggesting you do nefarious work, but it's not fair to the state to pound one side of it and then disallow an equal response.

So, that's my general feeling, that I'm with the state mostly, but depending on trial flow, I can see unlocking it just a little bit. However, this witness specifically, there's been nothing so far about this witness's interaction with Mr. Goad. I would disallow any form of testimony from this witness about interactions with Mr. Goad, what Mr. Goad may have said during interactions with the officer. It just hasn't been addressed on direct.

MR. STEGE: And so the question of the propriety of asking a question, Well, you spoke to him and he denied it, can we -- will the Court make that decision prior -- require that they do that prior, bring it up to the Court before they ask it of the witness?

Because, you know, Glover's -- the catch 22 that it puts the state in is I can't argue a negative inference. Or I can't say, In opening they said his statements to the police was this. I get close to it, a line when I say, Well, that wasn't the evidence that was presented.

THE COURT: Right. So, so far this trial is entirely different than the Glover fact pattern and trial itself.

MR. STEGE: Right.

2.4

THE COURT: It's cautious. If you think you're going to on cross-examination begin eliciting out-of-court declarations by your client, I think it's cautious to let the court know and I could make that duty binding upon you as the state requests, because I just don't like these pre-evidentiary decisions. I'm always bound in civil cases to these motions in limine but the jurisprudence is clear. I

get to make the decision as it happens.

As the direct has happened so far with this witness, there's nothing the defense could do to introduce Mr. Goad's statements. The jury, please.

(Jury enters courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Everyone be seated, please.

Shall we continue with the same witness?

MR. STEGE: Yes, please.

THE COURT: Deputy, if you will assist.

Continuation of Officer Nevill's testimony.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued)

BY MR. STEGE:

2.2

- Q. All right. Let's pick up on January 26th, 10:39.
- A. Mr. Goad walking down the hallway with a bag, passes Mr. Gibson's door.

Mr. Goad returning about 10:51 hours.

Passes Mr. Gibson's room and continues on to his room.

Same day about 10:56 Mr. Goad exiting his room and in this case Mr. Goad passes Mr. Gibson's room again.

Same day about 12:40 Mr. Goad returns,

continues past Mr. Gibson's room.

- Q. And are we going to see Goad in the days from the 26th forward ever go back in the apartment?
  - A. To Mr. Gibson's room?
  - Q. Right.

A. No. And here he goes back into his own apartment. Also note that I noticed on several occasions where the video we're watching just now, the only person in the video is Mr. Gibson -- I'm sorry -- Mr. Goad, who just stepped out prior to this lady below stepping out.

Even though he's at the far end of the hallway, the camera is activated. This is a particular incident where Mr. Goad actually goes out the east door there, which is the first time I saw him do that and the only time I saw him do that.

Same day about 2149 hours Mr. Goad is coming back, passes Mr. Gibson's room, and he goes into his apartment.

- Q. Let's turn -- anything on the 27th?
- A. No.
- Q. Go to the 28th.
- A. That's 01 a.m. on the 28th. Mr. Goad is coming back passing by Mr. Gibson's room and goes

into his apartment.

Same day about 11:22 a.m. Mr. Gibson comes out of his apartment. He passes Mr. Gibson's room.

11:41 hours on the same day Mr. Goad is returning. Got a plastic bag. He goes by Mr. Gibson's room and goes to his apartment.

- Q. Let's go to the 29th.
- A. The 29th about 10:38 a.m. Mr. Goad exits his room, passes by Mr. Gibson's room.

About 10:53 the same day Mr. Goad returns with a plastic bag and passes Mr. Gibson's room and he goes inside his apartment.

- Q. Let's go to the 30th. What's the significance of the 30th?
- A. This is the date that Mr. Goad is -- it's about 9:44 hours and he's exiting his room now. Mr Goad passes Mr. Gibson's room.

Now about 11:07 hours. That's a Washoe
County Deputy Sheriff and his partner and that is
Mr. Patrick Billings. He's the maintenance man and
they are responding to Mr. Goad's room. They're
knocking on the door, go inside, make entry. Post a
notice of eviction. Then Mr. Billings actually
removed the locking mechanism of the dead bolt so no

one could get inside. Mr. Goad returning about 11:26, passes Mr. Gibson's apartment. Tries to get in his apartment and discovers the lock.

Here he's leaving his apartment passing Mr. Gibson's. It appeared that he had the eviction notice in his hand and now he's switching to his left and going back downstairs.

About 11:46 hours the same day he's returning to his home. Still has the eviction notice in his left hand. Still can't get in his apartment and comes back. Again, he passes Mr. Gibson's room.

Same day about 11:50 Mr. Goad returning to his room. He's carrying a white bag and it looks like he still has the eviction notice in his left hand. Mr. Billings with Maintenance ultimately allows Mr. Goad inside.

- Q. Did you observe or pay attention to anything in Mr. Goad's hands during this time?
- A. Yeah. When he first got there, he had a bag in his right hand and it appeared to be the eviction notice in his left hand. When he exits he appears to have the exact same thing. He doesn't appear to take anything else. And Mr. --

clerk?

MR. STEGE: I'd pause here, your Honor, and seek admission of proposed Exhibit 24, a certified copy of the proceedings in the Reno Justice Court relative to the eviction. I'll renew that motion at a later date, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STEGE: May I briefly speak with the

THE COURT: Yes.

(Sotto voce discussion between Counsel and the clerk.)

THE WITNESS: About 1154 hours Mr. Goad is leaving, still has the same -- appears to be the same bag he had before with Mr. Billings.

MR. STEGE: Let's pause here before we get to the next section.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. After the 30th is Mr. Goad ever seen on the premises?
  - A. No.
- Q. Let's go from after the eviction, 12:01 p.m.
- A. That gentleman is Bernard Robinson. He approaches Mr. Gibson's room and knocks. After a

brief wait, he leaves. He does not go inside.

THE COURT: I can't hear you, sir. Will you please speak in the microphone and amplify your voice. I missed the name.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Bernard Robinson.

THE COURT: Thank you.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. We are to -- anything on February 1st?
- A. I believe that his door's approached by -- I'm not sure who it is, so I'll wait for the video.
  - Q. Let's go to February 2nd.
- A. Ms. -- approaches his door. I should have mentioned this earlier. She's not technically unknown. I don't know her name. I can't tell you her name right now.

But during these investigations we do what's called a canvass. Detective Smith and Guider were assigned to canvass of this building. We go to each and every door and knock on every door.

If they develop any information from anyone who lives and identify the people that are there, then they develop — they need to follow up on that information with another interview or they tell me about it and I assign someone to do the interview or

I do the other interview. We also obtain the roll, or tenant list, of everyone in the building.

So, from my purposes here today, I can't tell you what her name is but we have everyone's -- the names, the list of everyone who lives in this building.

- Q. Can you tell us if this woman enters the apartment?
  - A. She does not.

- Q. Let's continue picking up at 1:26.
- A. After a brief moment, she moves on.
- Q. So, from there is there any action or anyone approaching the door of Mr. Gibson's apartment?
- A. The same lady approaches the door on the second occasion. Same thing. She approaches the door but she doesn't go in and moves on to the -- to her own apartment.
  - Q. Let's go to February 3<sup>rd</sup>.
- A. Approaches the door, is there for a brief moment, and then moves on to her own apartment.
- Q. So, from the 3rd to the 12<sup>th</sup> of February, is there any people -- persons approaching Apartment 205?

- A. Victoria Juarez, she's the manager of the complex. She's posted notices on doors and she posted a notice on Mr. Gibson's door as well.
- Q. But the time frame from the previous video, which was February  $3^{\rm rd}$  to the  $12^{\rm th}$ , there's nothing in between there of anyone approaching the door.
  - A. No.
  - Q. Let's go to the 12<sup>th</sup>.
  - A. This is Victoria here.
  - Q. Let's now go to the 13th.
- A. 12:30, that's Mr. Billings and Victoria Juarez approaching Mr. Gibson's door and doing a welfare check. He knocks on the door first. He goes inside.

Discovering Mr. Gibson and Mr. Billings locks the door and he returns to the office and calls 911.

- 12:41 hours, the police officers, Fire respond and arrive on the scene along with REMSA, I believe.
- Q. From here it just takes us to the police contact with the room.
  - A. Yes.

- 1 2

- Q. All right. Who was the assigned scene detective for the subsequent search of Room 213?
  - A. Detective Kasmar.
- MR. STEGE: Subject to re-call, your Honor, I'll pass the witness.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

- O. Good afternoon.
- A. Good afternoon. How are you?
- Q. So, how much time did you devote to actually watching all of these videos?
- A. I began watching video around February  $14^{\mbox{th}}$  and concluded around March  $5^{\mbox{th}}$ .
- Q. And you were ultimately able to conclude that January  $22^{\rm nd}$  was the date of particular interest. Is that right?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the reason that it was of particular interest is it was your belief that you see Mr. Gibson in the morning, but that's the last time that you see him. Is that right?
- A. Coupled with -- yes, coupled with the calendar, coupled with the changes in Mr. Goad's

activity, yes.

- Q. Okay. I'm speaking now specifically about the video on the  $22^{\mbox{nd}}$ .
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And my question was initially how much of the video did you watch, how much time did you spend.
  - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And then you narrowed it down to the  $22^{\rm nd}$  as being a date of particular interest.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you had watched a whole bunch of videos so you were able to identify Mr. Goad, for example?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And throughout all of the videos we just watched, Mr. Goad is always wearing dark pants.

  Is that right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. He always has a baseball cap?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, you could pretty easily identify him. He's the guy with the dark pants and the guy with the "B" baseball cap.

A. Yes.

2.3

Q. So, let me take you back here to our video. This is Exhibit 27. These are all the videos that we've been watching today, so I want to go now to January  $22^{\rm nd}$ .

Who is the individual here at the back?

- A. That's Mr. Goad.
- Q. Who is this that was just -- maybe we need to back it up to see, because it looks like there's somebody in this room right here.
  - A. Yes. I believe that's Mr. Gibson.
- Q. Okay. How are you able to identify that as Mr. Gibson?
- A. I cannot positively identify him as Mr. Gibson.
- Q. So, you don't know as you sit here today whether that's Mr. Gibson or not?
- A. I can -- I reasonably believe it is him because no one else came outside or went into that room other than Mr. Gibson and Mr. Goad.

Subsequently to that on February 13th we discovered Mr. Gibson, whoever that was would still have to be in there.

Q. Okay. So, do you make any conclusion about

whether or not this individual is wearing a baseball cap?

- A. It doesn't look like he's wearing a baseball cap.
  - O. Does it look like he's bald?
  - A. Or balding, yes.
- Q. So, you've watched this a number of times, right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. So, you've come to a conclusion that you believe that that's actually Mr. Gibson --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- right?
- We've got the fact that it's 213, which is his apartment, correct?
  - A. 205.
  - Q. 205 is his apartment?
  - A. Mr. Gibson's apartment is 205, yes.
- Q. We know that Mr. Goad is down the hall at 213.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, we make the conclusion that this is  $\operatorname{Mr}$ . Gibson here.
  - A. Yes.

Q. Now it's your belief this is the last time that we see Mr. Gibson. Is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you've had lots of opportunities to review this video?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm asking this question again because I want to make sure we're on the same page.

That's what you put in your report --

- A. Yes.
- $\mathbb{Q}.$  -- this is the last time that we see Mr. Gibson.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's at about 5:04 in the morning that we were just looking at, right?
- A. Yes. And, actually, I have to correct myself. In my report it documents the last time I see Mr. Gibson is on the 18th and that's because on that video I can positively identify him as Mr. Gibson based on the video clarity.

This as I testified earlier today, said that I believe this is Mr. Gibson. So, my report actually reflects the positive identification on the 18th rather than this specific date.

6 7

8

9

11

1213

14

1516

17

18 19

21

2.0

2223

- Q. Okay. But we've just talked about the fact that your report, you believe, that it's Mr. Gibson at 5:04, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Now I want to take you to 3:31:43.

    Do you see a gentleman standing in the --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- doorway there at 205?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Who do you believe that to be?
  - A. I believe that's Mr. Goad.
- Q. Okay. Can you identify what color pants this gentleman has?
  - A. No, I cannot.
- Q. Can you identify whether or not he's wearing a ball cap?
  - A. It doesn't appear he is, no.
  - Q. Does it appear that he's bald?
- A. He could be but it's also glaring light. Sometimes it could be a reflection.
- Q. Okay. Well, how would you compare this -what we're looking at right now to what I just
  showed you at 5:00 morning? How would you compare
  as to those two images?

- A. I would say they're both -- I can't positively identify either one of them.
- Q. To you as an experienced professional, do they not look like the same person?
- A. I can't tell. They look very similar but I believe Mr. Gibson and Mr. Goad in this light and in these circumstances could look very similar.
- Q. Did you have the opportunity to make an assessment of how large an individual Mr. Gibson was?
- A. He's larger than Mr. Goad but I can't tell exactly by how much.
  - Q. Were you present for the autopsy?
  - A. I did not attend the autopsy, no.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to review the autopsy report?
- A. I did not review the report. I got the information from Detective Kazmar.
- Q. Okay. So, as you sit here today, when you're telling us that Mr. Gibson was bigger or larger than Mr. Goad, that's based on information you got from Detective Kazmar?
- A. No. It's based on I've seen Mr. Goad and I've seen Mr. Gibson.

- Q. Oh, okay. So, you have seen Mr. Gibson.
  A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. When did you do that?
  - A. The day that he was discovered.
  - Q. Okay. So, you're talking about the body of Mr. Gibson --
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. -- when you say that. Is that right?
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And you just saw his body in 205.
- A. Correct.
- Q. But your recollection is that he appeared to be bigger than Mr. Goad.
  - A. Yes. More heavyset, yes.
- Q. But you don't know as you sit here how much larger he was.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Have you had an opportunity to review the surveillance from Wal-Mart?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is it accurate that Mr. Gibson is depicted in the video at Wal-Mart?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. So, you've got a sense of what Mr. Gibson

does look like on video.

A. Yes.

- Q. And you've got a sense of how much larger he is, even if you say as you sit here today you're not sure exactly how large.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. But if I'm understanding your testimony correctly, as you're looking at this individual standing in the doorway, you believe that that could be Mr. Goad.
- A. Yes, based on the calendar, based on the time of events.
- Q. Sir, can you please answer the question I'm asking.

MR. STEGE: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes. The answer's yes.

## BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. The question is, Just looking at this image of this gentleman standing in the doorway, you believe that that could be Mr. Goad?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, not only do you believe it could be, you believe that it is.
  - A. Yes.

- Q. So, it's actually stronger than could be.

  Isn't that right?
- A. It could be.
- Q. Okay. So, is your testimony now that it is or that it could be Mr. Goad?
- A. I said that in my original testimony with Mr. Stege that it appears to be him. I'm sticking with the same answer. It appears to be Mr. Goad. And I came up with that conclusion because of those other reasons as well.
- Q. Okay. You wrote a report, as you indicated, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, would it be accurate, then, that you wrote in your report on page 12 of 27 of Supplement No. 9 15:31:50 hours "Goad is captured peeking out of Gibson's apartment."
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That's what you wrote.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't write "it could be Mr. Goad," correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Then you went on to say, "Goad stepped

partially out of the door and looked toward the surveillance camera as if he is checking to see if anyone heard anything." Is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You didn't write "what could be Mr. Goad stepped out of the doorway." Is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Then you wrote, "Goad went back inside after a short time." You didn't write "it could be Mr. Goad," right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And then you went on to write, "In all the video I watched, this is the first time I saw Goad act in this manner." Isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't write "in all this video I watched this is the first time the man I think is Mr. Goad or could be Mr. Goad act in this manner."

  Isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now I want to take you to the surveillance on the 26th. So, this is at 2150 hours. This is Mr. Goad going into his apartment. Is that right?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So, we know that on the 26th at the end of the evening he went into his apartment, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And then Mr. Stege asked and you said there's no surveillance on the 27th, correct?
  - A. There's surveillance, yes.
  - O. No surveillance of Mr. Goad.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You watched all the surveillance and there's no Mr. Goad and the 27th. Is that correct?
- A. I don't recall any surveillance on the 27th of Mr. Goad.
- Q. I want to take you to the 28th, because that's the next day there's surveillance of Mr. Goad. And this is 01:11 a.m., correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Who is walking down the hall?
  - A. Mr. Goad.
- Q. So, how do you explain the fact he went into his apartment on the 26th, we see him do that. And now we know there's no surveillance on the 27th and now on the 28th he's returning to his apartment?
  - A. Well, I would have to refer to my report

- Q. I thought we just said there's no surveillance on the 27th.
- A. There's surveillance on the 27th. I don't recall, as I testified here today, Mr. Goad appearing on the 27th but I could be incorrect.
- Q. Well, didn't you tell Mr. Stege that Mr. Goad's nowhere on the 27th?
- A. That's what I recall but I could be wrong based on this video.
- Q. Well, you are wrong based on this video, aren't you?
  - A. It appears that way but I'd have to --
- Q. What do you mean when you say "it appears that way"?

MR. STEGE: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. I'm going to allow it. It's cross-examination.

THE WITNESS: I would have to check my report, sir.

22 BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Sir, I want to direct you to Exhibit No.
- 33. This has been previously marked and admitted.

- A. Yes. The calendar.
- Q. Can you take that out of the bag.
- A. Yes.

- Q. So, Mr. Stege asked you about the calendar and you indicated that, as you observed it, it was hanging on the wall with January being the month that was depicted. Is that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Stege asked you about dates in December but you said you hadn't seen those.
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. If I could just direct your attention to something. You indicated, if I understood your testimony, that there's some -- what you described as bleed-through.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you describe for the jury what you meant by that?
- A. Sometimes when you mark on a calendar or certain pieces of paper and there's something underneath it, then it makes a mark on the paper behind it.
- Q. Did you compare the marks that were made in January to the bleed-over in February?

- A. The specific scribbles, no, I did not.
- Q. So, as you sit here today, you don't know whether or not they correspond or not.

Is that accurate?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Well, then what I would like you to do, sir, is take a look at January and then February and make the comparison. And then if you could tell the jury whether or not you believe that the marks that are made on January directly correspond to those on February.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: The 15th -- I'm sorry. The 18th of January or the 15th of February seems odd and so does the 19th of January and the 16th of February. However, that could be explained if the pen did not leave ink on those specific days at a certain point in time.

MR. SLOCUM: Your Honor, I would seek permission of the Court to publish this directly to the jury.

THE COURT: I understand.

Any objection, Mr. Stege?

MR. STEGE: On the overhead.

THE COURT: Pass it to the jury.

MR. SLOCUM: Thank you, your Honor.

#### BY MR. SLOCUM:

Q. While the jury is looking at that, you don't know who made the mark on that calendar.

Is that accurate?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You just know where the calendar was found.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you know where the calendar originated from?
  - A. No.
- Q. Sir, I'm going to approach you with Exhibit 45. I just want you to take a look at this.
  - A. Sure. This is a photograph.
- Q. Do you recognize the items in the photograph?
- A. Yes. This U.S. Bank receipt, and it looks like some pin numbers for a credit card, piece of paper with a date of birth, Social Security, some numbers and a second -- another piece of paper with the same. It says "Pin NR."
- Q. Sir, I'm asking you right now just if you recognize what's depicted --

- C

- A. Yes.
- Q. -- in that photograph?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize where those items came from?
  - A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Would you all stand, ladies and gentlemen, for a couple minutes. We'll take our mid afternoon break somewhere after 3:00, not long after 3:00.

#### BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. I'm going to hand you the rest of this series going from 46 to 50 and ask you if you recognize the items that are in the photograph.
  - MR. STEGE: I'd stipulate.
    - (Witness reviewing document.)
  - THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- MR. SLOCUM: My understanding, your Honor, is these exhibits have now been admitted but I want to make sure this witness was in a position to recognize the items.
- THE COURT: Right. They have not been admitted, although Mr. Stege said a moment ago he would move for their admission.

3

4

5

67

8

9

11

10

12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

MR. SLOCUM: Then I would move for their admission, your Honor.

MR. STEGE: Stipulate to their admission.

THE COURT: 46 through 50 are admitted

THE CLERK: 45 through 50?

MR. SLOCUM: So, I just handed 46 through 50, but 45 was the first one, so I would go 45 through 50.

THE COURT: All right.

(Exhibits 45 through 50 admitted.)

BY MR. SLOCUM:

Ms. Clerk.

- Q. So, you recognize the items depicted in there?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What do you recognize them to be? I'll get them back from you and I'll have to play with the monitor.

So, this is the first exhibit that we talked about. This is Exhibit 45. You indicated there appeared to be some different paperwork.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that right?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And you had identified a U.S. Bank receipt.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you recognize the date on that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. It's 12/18/18. And I asked you if you recognize where these items came from, but I don't think that I asked you directly.

Where did they come from?

- A. The foot of Mr. Gibson's bed near his body.
- Q. Objection. So, earlier when we heard about items that were strewn about from the wallet, this is among those items that you meant.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you indicated that there's a piece of paper that reflects "PIN NR" and then a four-digit number.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then information that indicates 070342 birthday. Do you know whose birthday that is?
- A. I don't recall if that's Mr. Gibson's or not, so, no, I do not.
- Q. As you sit here today, you don't know whether that's Mr. Gibson's birth date or not?
  - A. No, I do not.

- Q. I'll show you Exhibit 47.

  Do you recognize that?
- A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And what is that?
- A. It's a debit card.
- O. It's a debit card?
  - A. Yes.
    - O. Does it have a name on it.
    - A. Yes. "Theodore Gibson."
- Q. And this was actually taken in place, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- 1 2
- 3

- 5
- 6
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 1718
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 24

- Q. And do you recognize where that was?
- A. It's at the foot of the bed.
- Q. So, what we see on the right-hand side, that's the foot of the bed?
  - A. That's the caster of the bed, yes.
  - Q. I'll show you Exhibit 48.

    And what is that?
  - A. It's the same card.
- Q. So, that's just once it was removed from the scene?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 50?
- A. Yes. It's a key card to access -- like a hotel card to access the building.
  - Q. The building?
  - A. The Park building.
- Q. Okay. Do you know which building we're talking about?
- A. Yes, 33 Park Street, where Mr. Gibson resides.
- Q. So, this is what you have to use in order to get into the building.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you use this card once you're in the

building at all? 1 2 No. Α. 3 This was on the floor as well? 0. 4 Α. Yes. So, I'm going to show you Exhibit 49. 5 0. That's kinda how things looked originally before 6 7 anything was moved. 8 A. Yes, sir. That's your definition of "things were 9 0. strewn about, " just so we're clear. 10 11 Α. Yes. Sir, we talked about the fact that you were 12 Ο. 13 present to see Mr. Gibson's body. Were you involved at all in any search of 14 15 what was on his person? 16 Α. No. So, somebody else was responsible for doing 17 0. that? 18 19 Yes, sir. Α. MR. SLOCUM: I don't have any further 20 questions at this time, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Redirect?

MR. STEGE:

Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

23

24

- Q. You think it would be reliable to -- have you ever made an ID simply on the paused video of a surveillance video?
- A. If I made identification off a paused video?
- Q. I'm referring to the moment or the very still image we saw of the person standing in the doorway in the afternoon of January  $22^{\rm nd}$ .
  - A. No, not just from that paused video, no.
  - Q. And why not?
- A. Because you can't recognize his face. So there's other circumstances that involve and make me believe that that specific person is Mr. Goad or Mr. Gibson.
  - Q. Such as what circumstance?
- A. Circumstances based on the calendar, the odd behavior and the fact that Mr. Goad's pattern of visiting Mr. Gibson totally changes after that time.
- Q. Right. It breaks the pattern on the  $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$ .
  - A. That's correct.
- MR. STEGE: Your Honor, may I get the exhibit back from the jury?

THE COURT: Yes. 1 2 BY MR. STEGE: Q. Do you know what was hanging on this 3 calendar before it was used? 4 5 Α. No. Do you know if there was a 2018 calendar 6 0. sitting over here as this one sat behind it? 7 I do not. 8 Α. Do you know why these bleed marks are on 9 0. 10 February 2019? 11 Α. No. Is there, to your knowledge, a science of 12 matching up bleed marks? 13 Not that I know of. 14 Α. Did you submit it to lab for such an 15 0. 16 analysis? 17 I did not. Α. Is there any doubt in your mind that after 18 0. the 22<sup>nd</sup> of January this calendar is not marked? 19 No doubt. 20 Α. Did you observe whether the December of the 21 0. 2018 has bled through either to January or February? 22

And the moment this was shown to you, the

I did not.

Α.

0.

23

24

match-ups, the theory of matching up, did that cause you to doubt that the -- between  $22^{\rm nd}$  and  $23^{\rm rd}$  was the date that you were particularly interested in?

- A. No, it does not.
- Q. Did you just focus on the  $22^{\rm nd}$ ? Did you just take that the calendar says " $22^{\rm nd}$ ," you're running with it?
  - A. No.
- Q. Well, what else did you do to see if it wasn't the  $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$ ?
- A. Well, originally, because we didn't know exactly when it was, we just suspected or, you know, we had developed that theory, so we obtained the first video surveillance from the 19th to the 24<sup>th</sup>. We really didn't know exactly when it occurred but we had to start somewhere, so that's what we chose.
- Q. And do you also look after the  $22^{nd}$  to see, Hey, did anyone else go in this apartment?
- A. Yes. I watched the video all the way from the  $22^{\mathrm{nd}}$  to 13th of February when we discovered Mr. Gibson.
  - Q. And that was the exercise we went through

this morning?

2.4

- A. Yes.
- Q. May I see 26. Let me ask you about the issue of the 27th.

During cross-examination you did state that it would help your recollection to see a copy of a report to know what happened on the 27th.

- A. Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, in your report did you take detailed notes of every date that we've talked about so far?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you said your review of the evidence took you a number of weeks.
  - A. Yes. A considerable amount of time, yes.
- Q. Would it refresh your recollection as to whether, in fact, there is a surveillance of Mr. Goad on the 27th?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. At page 14. If you'll review this. And if it refreshes your recollection, let me know and then I'll take your answer.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.

2.3

- O. And what is the answer?
- A. Mr. Goad leaves his apartment, returns and then leaves again around 2100 hours on the 27th and not seen again until the following day, which would be the 28th.
- Q. And referring to Exhibit 27, that is a compilation, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that is made from a disk containing all of the surveillance of Camera 10, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to publish now for you the 26th -- Exhibit 26 for the question of the 27th of January. So, this is an illustration uncut of -- huh, nothing at the moment because my ...
  - A. So, this is January 27th, 0033 hours.
- Q. Let's go to -- let's pick up here at 1321 hours. We're calling it the 26th we had him going inside in the afternoon or evening. Let's pick up on the 27th.
  - A. That's Mr. Goad exiting his apartment.
  - Q. On the 27th 1323 hours?
  - A. Yes, dressed in the same manner, wearing

his ball cap.

- Q. So, let's review the surveillance as it relates to Goad of the 27th. Try to get to 1333.
- A. Mr. Goad returning to his apartment, passing Mr. Gibson's apartment, carrying a white bag.
  - Q. Which leads us to?
- A. 2117 hours, same day on the 27th. That's Mr. Goad walking towards the stairway exiting the apartment.
- Q. This explains why on the compilation one we see him return on the 28th, because he's gone, he leaves on the 27th, returns on the 28th.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And the compilation of this date is absent from Exhibit 27.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. The date on that receipt shown to you by Mr. -- my colleague, Mr. Slocum, is that consistent with the Wal-Mart dates?
  - A. December 18th?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. No.
  - Q. Now, I understand you were not assigned to

45

6

7

9

10

1112

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

2324

the scene but at some point you stood near the body of Mr. Gibson.

- A. Yes.
- Q. As you were standing there, were you able to observe the debit card near the foot of the bed or the caster of the bed?
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Nothing further. Recross?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Just so we're clear, Mr. Stege just went through the 27th with you. Are there any other dates of surveillance with Mr. Goad or with Mr. Gibson, for that matter, that we did not look at?
- A. Well, we interviewed -- we watched the compilation, a shortened version of all the dates that we watched -- of all the videotape.

No, to my knowledge, no. We watched from the 17th all the way to the February 13th when he was found.

- Q. Did you understand the question I was asking you?
  - A. Apparently, not. Sorry.

Q. Well, I just want to make sure we're on the same page. So, the question I asked you, We initially saw a compilation --

A. Right.

- Q. -- and the idea, as I understood it, with the compilation was we would have all of those instances where Mr. Goad was caught, to use your word, on surveillance.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I pointed out to you that the 27th we seem to be missing something because we see Mr. Goad go into his apartment and then on the 28th we see him coming back from somewhere, so we knew there had to be something missing.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So, Mr. Stege directed you to what was not a compilation but was, frankly, all of the surveillance from the 27th. And he pulled out some pieces from the 27th to indicate that Mr. Goad was actually, to use your word, caught on surveillance.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, my question for you is, Have we now seen all of the surveillance from the time period that you looked at that regards Mr. Goad?

- A. I believe so. But you're asking me to remember an incredible amount of surveillance. But to my knowledge we have seen everything, yes, and to compare all the contemplation that we've gone through today to everything I've watched in the past.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Stege asked you about making an identification just based on a still or a pausing of a video, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. But that isn't what I actually asked you to do, right? I played the video for you and asked you several times how often and how much you've watched this video. Isn't that correct?
  - A. Yes, you did.
- Q. So, when we were talking about what's on the video, I did pause it so that the jury could see it, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. But I did not ask you just based on that still photo to make an identification.

Isn't that correct?

A. I don't believe so, but I can't exactly recall the verbatim the question you asked me.

Q. Well, let me ask you this: Now having
watched the video many, many times, you're
familiarity with it after having watched it many,
many times, would watching it again help you any
better to identify who is depicted on the 22 <sup>nd</sup> in
the afternoon?

- A. The  $22^{nd}$  of the --
- O. On the  $22^{\text{nd}}$  in the afternoon --
- A. Correct. I understand.
- Q. -- the focus --
- A. I understand.

  No, it would not.
- Q. Okay. And no matter how much more I would play it rather than pause it, it wouldn't help you.

  Is that fair to say?
  - A. Correct, yes.

MR. SLOCUM: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. The witness may step down subject to re-call.

(Whereupon, jury was admonished and excused.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. The entire jury is present. The state, your next witness.

That would be me.

24

Α.

8

7

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

20

19

2122

- Q. And what was the circumstances of being on crutches?
  - A. I had a forklift-related accident at work.
- Q. And did that cause you to be at home on crutches?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know the approximate time of -- when that was you went on crutches?
  - A. I was worker's comp for two months.
  - Q. Do you know when you got off worker's comp?
- A. Like a date of release, not really. April, at some point in there.
  - Q. Did you know who your neighbor was in 205?
- A. On a personal level, no. Did I know of him? I did.
  - Q. But you knew what he looked like?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. During the time you were -- well, were you present or made aware that police came to your neighbor's apartment February 13th?
- A. I did, because they knocked on my door as well.
- Q. And at the time you learned that the man had been killed?

24

- A. No, no.
- Q. When did you learn he had been killed?
- A. Not for several months later, having a discussion with the lady who runs the office.
- Q. I want you to cast your mind back to the time of around the time the police came to your door.

Had you heard or seen anything to make you believe maybe someone had been murdered next door?

A. No, sir, not at all.

MR. STEGE: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: To the defense.

MS. MAYHEW: No questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down and leave the courtroom.

Next witness to the state.

MR. STEGE: Patrick Billings.

(Witness sworn.)

THE COURT: Have a seat and please remember to speak into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 23 BY MR. STEGE:

Q. Would you please state and spell your name.

6

9

1011

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

- A. Patrick Billings. Patrick, P-a-t-r-i-c-k, Billings, B-i-l-l-i-n-g-s.
  - Q. How are you employed, sir?
- A. Northern Nevada Community Housing as a maintenance technician.
- Q. How long have you been doing that particular job?
  - A. Roughly a year and two months.
- Q. And so you were doing -- employed in that capacity in January of this year?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. We have seen video surveillance specifically referring to February 13th of a man in a plaid jacket approaching Apartment 205.

Do you know who that person is?

- A. That would probably be myself.
- Q. And on the 13th do you know -- why did you approach Apartment 205?
  - A. Received a wellness check call.
  - Q. What is a wellness check call?
- A. When you go and check on the tenant to see if they're okay and well.
  - Q. And who did you do that with?
  - A. Victoria, the leasing agent.

- Q. Now, in your job are you assigned just to 33 Park Street?
- A. No, sir. I believe we have 13 properties in town.
  - Q. So, you handle a number of them.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Not all of them yourself.
  - A. Me and several other maintenance men.
- Q. So, on the 13th walk us through what you did.
- A. So, I received the call from my boss asking if I can do the wellness check with Vicky because no one was available, and so I went to the property and met with Vicky and we proceeded to the unit.

And I announced myself when we got to the door and knocked and didn't receive an answer, so I put the key in the door and opened the door and announced myself again and walked in and checked the room.

- Q. Before we get there, how was it that you opened the door?
- A. I have a key to the unit and put my key in and unlocked the door and opened it.
  - Q. Now, when you put the key in, did you check

- A. I did not. As far as I understand, our protocol is we treat every door as if it was locked, so I always put my key in and turn it after I announce "Maintenance."
- Q. So, as you sit here today, do you know if the bolt was locked when you keyed the door?
- A. I don't recall if it was or wasn't locked, because I always put my key in and turn.
- Q. Can you tell us about the lock on this door and the other doors in the complex?
- A. These locks have a handle on the bottom with the dead bolt lock on the top, only one key for the top lock and no key for the handle. It locks from the inside, and then if you open it, it'll unlock it from the inside.

MR. STEGE: We've lost a part of the microphone, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: I did.

THE COURT: Thank goodness we have a maintenance man on the stand.

# BY MR. STEGE:

- O. So, the handle itself does not lock?
- A. The handle does not lock.

inside?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I keyed the door and opened the door. I Α. announced myself, Maintenance, wellness check." Didn't receive an answer so I proceeded into the unit and I walked back and then to the side, looked in the bathroom, looked around, I didn't see anybody on the bed or in the room.

And then I looked on the ground at the base of the bed at the end of the room and I noticed a person under a blanket and some dried blood on the wall. And I turned to Vicky and said, "I don't think he's with us, we gotta go call 911," and I exited the room and locked it.

- Did you ever touch anything in the room?
- Well, I recall only touching the door handle and maybe the dead bolt, but just the door.
- And when you came out, did you see where 0. Vicky was?
- I believe she was at the doorway and then she was right outside the doorway by the wall when I walked out.
  - And from there --Q.
  - We proceeded back to the office and called Α.

- Q. Did you ever go back in?
- A. Just to let the people in. I don't think I went in, necessarily. I just opened the door for them, the first responders.
- Q. When you left the room and decided to call the police, did you lock the door?
- A. Yes. I locked it behind me and then opened it when I let the first responders in.
  - Q. Are you familiar with the eviction process?
  - A. Yes. I would say mostly familiar with it.
- Q. Well, are you familiar with your role in that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And what is your role in that?
- A. I accompany the police and they post a notice and I give them access to the unit if a tenant does not answer the door. And then I get a copy, which I take to my boss.

- Q. And do you do anything to ensure that the evicted person can't come back in and enter?
- A. Yes. Once they serve the paper to the person or post it on the door, we check the unit and make sure all the windows are locked and nobody's in the unit.
  - Q. And then what do you do about the door?
- A. We lock the door and pull the core, if it has one, which removes from the dead bolt with a special key.
- Q. And the apartments or the doors at 33 Park Street, do they have the movable core?
  - A. Yes, these units have removable cores.
- Q. And when the core is removed, how would someone get back into the apartment?
- A. I don't believe they could unless they had a core and a key.
- Q. And is that how you get back into the apartment once that eviction process is complete and maybe someone comes?
- A. Yes. We would go back to the main office and get the core and the key out of the box and then take it back to the unit and reinsert it.
  - Q. In this case do you recall if you did an

- A. It's definitely a possibility being that far back. I don't remember the exact dates of lockouts, but it's definitely possible.
- Q. Have you reviewed video surveillance from the 30th showing a man in a black and white plaid jacket going down to 213?
  - A. I believe I have, yes.
  - Q. Is that person you?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. As you sit here today you don't have a memory?
- A. No. We do so many lockouts and accesses with people and it's just hard to remember all of them.
  - O. So, it's kind of a routine matter for you.
  - A. It really is, yes.
  - Q. So, it doesn't stick out in your mind.
  - A. No.
- Q. I want to direct your attention to the monitor, Exhibit 12. If you might describe or point out what the core of the door is.
- A. So, the core would be the little part of the lock in the dead bolt above the handle. It

MR. SLOCUM: Yes, your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

23

24

#### BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Good afternoon.
- A. Hello.
- Q. So, as I understood it, you were asked to respond to Room 213 -- is it 205 or 213 that you go to first on the 13th?
- A. I'm not really familiar with the dates. I do believe I was responding to both of those units, 205 and 213.
- Q. Okay. So, on February 13th, the first time you were talking about with Mr. Stege, what room did you respond to on February 13th?
  - A. I believe that was 205.
- Q. Okay. And so 205 you were just told by the manager that you should do a welfare check?
- A. Yes. My boss told me that I had to go do a welfare check. He didn't mention who called for it, via friend or who -- I'm not sure who called in for it, but I met Vicky there to do it.
- Q. Okay. So, when you show up at the Park Manor Apartments, you meet with Vicky.
  - A. Yes. In the leasing office.
  - Q. I'm sorry?
  - A. Inside the leasing office.

- Q. So, that's as you're first going in on the left-hand side?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you met with her, your understanding was that you were going to have to unlock the door to get into 205?
- A. Protocol would say that I would if he didn't answer, yeah.
- Q. So, you came equipped with a key of some sort.
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Now, do you use a control key for that purpose?
- A. I have a master key for that unit or for that property.
- Q. Okay. So, you've got a master key and that means you can open all of the doors at that property.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then we've got a control key, and that means you can actually pull out the core, you said?
  - A. Yes, the lock.
  - Q. So, those are two different keys.
  - A. Yes.

7

9

1011

12

1314

15

1617

18 19

20

2122

23

- Q. But you have both of them?
- A. No. I only have the master. The core key, the key-pulling key, stays in the office.
- Q. Well, you get it out at some point when you're going to remove a core, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. But you would have gotten instructions if you were to do that.
  - A. Yeah.
  - Q. The idea is you know which key to bring.
  - A. Right, yeah.
- Q. And you are told in this instance on the 13th you just needed to bring your master key.
- A. Well, there was no specific -- anybody telling me to do such a thing. I have my property keys on me whenever I'm at work, so it just happens to be on me at all times and I use my key.
- Q. Okay. The master key is with you at all times.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, if anyone were to take your keys, they would be able to get into any of the apartments.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You have your master key with you and your

A. Yes.

- Q. And then if you don't get a response, the protocol is you go into the room.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And because of the protocol, as you sit here today, you don't know whether the door was locked or unlocked on the 13th.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. When you go into the room, on the right-hand side is where the kitchen is, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if I understand your testimony correctly, you don't immediately recognize that there's something covered in a blanket in the apartment. Is that correct?
- A. Not immediately. I walked in and I looked on the bed. No one on the bed and I glanced in the bathroom. Nobody in there.

Then I'm just kinda just scanning the room and I saw at the foot of the bed the outline of a body under a blanket.

Q. Okay. And that was only because you were

- A. Yeah, just making sure to see if anyone's there.
- Q. And you don't actually see a body but you see blankets that are covering something that maybe has the general form of a body. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Did you touch anything as far as that blanket was concerned?
- A. No. Because once I saw the blanket over the body and the outline of the body, I looked in the general direction upwards and saw dried blood on the wall behind him and on the AC. And at that point I knew, well, there's dried blood. He's probably gone so ...
- Q. And just so that we've got the sequence of events, you notice that there's something that looks like a body with a blanket on it. It's completely covered with a blanket?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. There was no part of the body that you could physically see --
  - A. No. Possibly a shoe --

- Q. -- everything's covered?
- A. -- sticking out, but as far as I can remember, I just remember seeing pure blanket.
  - Q. Your recollection is only of a blanket.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. But after you see this outline of the body completely covered and the blanket, then you're looking further and at that point you notice that there's some blood on the wall.
- A. Right. As I was working my way up from his -- the body upwards towards the wall, I just kinda scanned and I noticed the blood, yeah.
- Q. But that was the exact sequence of events. It's not like you walked in and saw the blood or anything like that. The sequence of events is exactly where you're looking around, looking around, looking around, see the blanket covering what looks like a body, and then you're looking more and then you see blood on the wall.
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. That's exactly how it happened.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. From there you exit the room, lock it up, and go and call 911?

Yes. I turned around and said, I don't 1 2 think he's with us, to Vicky, exited, locked it, and 3 called 911. MR. SLOCUM: No further questions. 4 THE COURT: Any redirect? 5 MR. STEGE: No. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down and leave the courtroom. 8 Let's stand as we await the next witness. 9 10 (Witness sworn.) THE COURT: Counsel. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. STEGE: 14 Ma'am, please state and spell your name. 0. 15 Victoria Juarez, J-u-a-r-e-z. Α. How are you currently employed? 16 Q. I'm a manager for Park Manor Apartments. 17 Α. How long have you been the manager at Park 18 0. 19 Manor? 20 Α. Four years.

21

22

23

24

Ο.

Α.

Ο.

No.

Manor?

Is this your only stint working at Park

When did you work there before?

- A. 2005.
- Q. Just for that year or for --
- A. Until 2007.
- Q. Okay. So, you have a number of years being the --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- manager --
- A. It's a leasing consultant, but I do manager's work.
- Q. Okay. Are you sort of the management person on property?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you have a relationship with the other -- the ownership who is in a separate office?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know Ralph Goad?
  - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. How do you know him?
- A. He's -- was a tenant at Park Manor back in 2005 also.
- Q. And in your tenure at Park Manor, how long has Mr. Goad been a tenant?
- A. I believe that he left -- I don't know how long ago. He left in between my time in 2005 and

2007 and then they let him back in.

- Q. So, in the 2005 section when you worked there was Mr. Goad --
  - A. The whole time.
  - O. He was there?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And then you come back, and is he there when you get back?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you know what his last apartment number was?
  - A. 213.
    - Q. Do you know how long he lived there in 213?
    - A. I do not.
- Q. During your tenure there, do you know if he was in other apartments?
- A. I believe so. I'm not sure. I don't remember. That was very long ago.
- Q. Okay. In the recent portion he's been in 213.
- A. Yes, the whole four years that I've been there.
  - Q. And what about Ted, or Theodore Gibson?
    - A. 205.

- Q. How long have you known him?
- A. Four years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. In the time you've been there, has he always lived in Apartment 205?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's talk about February 13th. Let's start earlier.

Are you aware or involved in the eviction process?

- A. Normally I do the five-day notice for a non-payment or if they're a nuisance. It just depends on what it is.
- Q. Are you familiar with the eviction involving Mr. Goad?
  - A. I believe I was out on medical leave.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. I believe I was on medical leave when that took place.
- Q. When the five-day notice occurred or when the actual eviction --
  - A. Both.
  - Q. When was it that you were on medical leave?
  - A. I had surgery in January on the 19th.
  - Q. Okay. Prior to going on your medical

- A. His rent had not been paid and I approached him, asked him to come into my office. He was slightly intoxicated and I asked him about rent because he was gonna be soon to be evicted, and he said, That's okay. I'm going to go back to Sacramento, and I was like, Okay.
- Q. And did you discuss with him or he with you the reason that he had not paid his rent?
- A. He hadn't gotten his payee. The payee service closed their doors and he didn't get a new payee.
  - Q. Is that something you learned from him?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Are you aware that Mr. -- or we've seen evidence that Mr. Goad was evicted or locked out on the 30th of January.
  - A. I believe so.
- Q. When was it that you spoke to Mr. Goad about --
- A. It was prior, before my surgery. I don't know the exact date. It was probably around the 10th, because rent's due by the 10th, so it was

- Q. How do you recognize them?
- A. These are eviction papers, five-day notices, lease. We used to do recertifications because it's a tax credit property and we had to verify all income and assets.
- Q. Do you recognize that to be the leasing file of Mr. Goad from the Park Manor Apartments?
  - A. Yes.
- MR. STEGE: We'd like to introduce Exhibit 22.
- MR. SLOCUM: If I could briefly ask on voir dire.
  - THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- BY MR. SLOCUM:
- Q. Thank you. Ma'am, are you the employee who handles this leasing file?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, there's a separate management office. Is that right?
  - A. It's the main office.
- Q. Okay. And you don't work at the main office, correct?
  - A. I do not.
  - Q. And those records are really the property

of the management office, right? You're just an officer that operates under that management office.

Isn't that correct?

- A. This file -- these files stay at Park Manor.
- Q. But are you the custodian, so to speak, of those records, or is that not the management office?
- A. The management has access to the files but they stay at Park Manor. The main office, we have a system that's called "Rent Manager" that has this information.
- Q. Is that a print-off from a computer program?
- A. The Rent Manager has the person's information as far as how the rent's paid, if there's a payee, if there's RHA. These files stay in my office.
- Q. Okay. Just so we're clear, what do those files consist of?
- A. These are leases, rules and regulations, addendums to the lease, recertifications, because we're a tax credit property. So, we had to do recertifications to verify that they qualify to live under the tax credit guidelines.

Q. If I could just approach and take a look at the document.

MR. SLOCUM: Your Honor, if I could ask to lodge the objection but we might want to handle that privately.

THE COURT: So, 22 has been proffered as admissible evidence and I presume your next step is to make inquiry with this witness.

MR. STEGE: Yes.

THE COURT: And so I can't conditionally enter it if the state's attorney is going to examine the witness. How much time? Did you just want to review it?

MR. SLOCUM: No. I want to lodge an objection but I didn't want to do that --

THE COURT: Oh, I misunderstood. All right.

Subject to our conversation out of the jury's presence, the objection is noted.

The Exhibit 22 is admitted.

(Exhibit 22 admitted.)

MR. STEGE: Thank you.

MR. SLOCUM: But, your Honor, I haven't made the objection.

THE COURT: I thought you were just doing a placeholder based upon foundation.

Have I misunderstood that?

MR. SLOCUM: I think so, your Honor. I wanted to make the objection but I was concerned to not have a speaking objection, which I've been cautioned against.

THE COURT: Is there any way Mr. Stege can continue with this evidence in the way you see it at the moment or must I -- shall I take a break with the jury and hear more fully from you?

MR. SLOCUM: Well, I was hoping, your Honor, that we could do what we've done in the past, which is --

THE COURT: You wish a sidebar. So ordered. Will you stand at ease, please.

(Sidebar held among all counsel and judge.)

THE COURT: Be seated, please. That conclusions the defense voir dire. I'm going to invite the state to return to the document before seeking its admission again, but before you do, Counsel, I just want -- go ahead.

BY MR. STEGE:

Q. These records here, do you know where they

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. My office.
- Q. And how did they get from your office into my possession?
  - A. We gave them to you.
  - O. And --
  - A. I gave them to you.
  - Q. You gave them to the police department?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. After they came on February 13th?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And so you went into -- where was it that you went to get these documents?
  - A. My file cabinet.
- Q. And you keep your -- what goes in the files of your tenants that -- and speaking of the files in your filing cabinet?
- A. Their leases, the rules and regulations addendums, work orders, complaints, the recertifications that we no longer do.
- Q. So, if there's paperwork related to a tenant --
  - A. Everything goes in their file.
  - Q. And their tenancy and you made a copy of

that file and provided it to the police department--1 2 Α. Yes. -- right? And this Exhibit 22 is a copy of 3 what you gave them. 4 5 Α. Yes. And, in fact, you have access to, not only 6 Mr. Goad's file, but who else's file within the --7 All 83 units. 8 Α. All of the tenants? 9 0. All the tenants. 10 Α. You testified that within 2004 Mr. Goad was 11 0.

A. 2005.

a tenant --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I'm sorry. 2005.

  Does the file go back to 2005?
- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. When you provided these records was this the -- everything in your file in your filing cabinet?
- A. Except for work orders and if there was any complaints.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Everything else.
  - Q. And this record does, in fact, include,

because in the course of business you and other people are able to add tenant-related paperwork to the file.

- A. Only me.
- Q. Okay. And is one of the documents related to the eviction? I think you testified --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And did you put that eviction paperwork into the file?
  - A. I did not.
- Q. Then why is it on why isn't it in this packet you said came from your file?
- A. It came from the file but somebody else -- like I said, I was on medical leave.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So, I'm sure it was our HR lady who is on that paperwork.
- Q. So, someone in your absence minds the files.
  - A. Yes.

MR. STEGE: Renew the motion, Judge.

THE COURT: So, I want to be very careful that I don't chill your advocacy. You're invited to advocate in front of the jury. I understand the

point. Do you wish to reference any authority or -MR. SLOCUM: Now that we've had a further
opportunity, I think I was under the impression
initially that this was eviction paperwork, which

But now I'm objecting on relevance grounds that now we're talking about a history with regard to these records and so I'm going to object on relevance grounds.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The objection's overruled. Twenty-two is admitted.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

(Exhibit 22 reaffirmed.)

# BY MR. STEGE:

we've talked about.

- Q. So, by looking in this file, would you be able to tell how much rent a person was paying?
  - A. As of right now?
  - Q. No. At the time you pulled the file.
  - A. Rents are \$490.
- Q. Okay. And this leasing -- or this exhibit contains that information.
- A. There should be a -- when the tenant gets a rent increase, they get a letter from the main office that goes into the file as well as the rent

Q. And you did mention the eviction paperwork.

MR. STEGE: Your Honor, may I publish a

brief portion of this?

THE COURT: Yes.

#### BY MR. STEGE:

- Q. Starting with the fourth page in with the flag on it, does this indicate information about the lease?
- A. This is just showing that this is an eviction notice. It's the affidavit, I believe.
- Q. And the next page shows or demonstrates at line four, paragraph eight, the length of the delinquency.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's move forward now to you return from medical leave and let's talk about February 13th.

Did you receive a phone call that day that caused you to go up to Apartment 205?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And who was the phone call from?
- A. The gentleman, Scott. I don't know his last name.
  - Q. Had you seen Scott before?

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

A. Yes.

- Q. Did you know who he was?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And after receiving that call, what did you do?
- A. I called Maintenance and asked them to come and do a well-check.
- Q. And you and Mr. Billings did that well-check?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And during the course of that well-check, did you ever enter the apartment?
- A. I did not. My foot was at the door holding it open.
- Q. And from that day -- later that day you did not go into the apartment.
  - A. Nope.
  - O. Do you know who paid the rent of Mr. Goad?
  - A. It was a payee service.
- Q. Subsequent to the police coming, were you asked to review some video surveillance?
  - A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.
- Q. Did the police ask you to review some video surveillance?

Good afternoon.

Hi, how are you?

23

24

0.

Α.

- Q. And we saw earlier Mr. Stege showed you where there was a delinquency in January, correct?
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Is there a delinquency paperwork for December?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. When you say you don't think so, do you want to take a look at the records?

MR. SLOCUM: If I may approach, your Honor, and give her Exhibit 22.

THE COURT: Yes.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: So, the five-day notice was only for January.

BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. Okay. So, there's no delinquency, then, for December, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know if the rent was paid in December?

- 8 '

- A. I believe so.
- Q. You believe the rent was paid in December? Would looking at these records assist you?
- A. Well, there's no -- I can look at it again.

  MR. SLOCUM: Okay. If I may approach again
  with Exhibit 22.

(Witness reviewing document.)

THE WITNESS: So, there's no other five-day notice in here so December's was paid. If there was a -- there would be a five-day notice if rent was not paid.

# BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. So, your understanding is rent was paid in December.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And that would have been paid by a payee service.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, we know the payee service was operational in December, right?
  - A. I'm assuming so.
- Q. Well, I don't want to make any assumptions here so I want to be very clear.
  - Mr. Stege asked you how was the rent paid

and you said the rent was paid by a payee, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. And you just told us that the rent was paid in December, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. So, if the rent was paid in December, it was paid by a payee, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. That's not an assumption, right? That's what happened.
- A. I don't know when the payee services closed their doors so I can't say if a payee actually paid it --
  - Q. Okay. So --
  - A. -- in December.
- Q. -- let me back up, then, because maybe we're not on the same page about this.
- Mr. Stege asked you about how Mr. Goad's rent was paid, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you said his rent was paid by a payee, correct?
  - A. Correct, yep.
  - Q. And you said that the rent was paid in

December, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. So, therefore, the rent had to be paid by the payee in December.
- A. Okay. So, I just have to -- I didn't get their check -- his check. It went to the main office. So I'm assuming that it was paid by the payee because I don't -- their checks have never went to -- Ted and Ralph had the same payee and it went to the main office, so it was put in by the main office.
- Q. You're not sure whether their rent was paid by a payee or not.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because you're not entirely sure how the rents got paid at all.
  - A. I know there was a payee.
  - Q. Oh, you do know there was a payee.
- A. Yes. Because on the Rent Manager, the program that's in the system, if you type, you know -- put in his apartment number, it would show under "Memo," it would be the payee services.
- Q. Okay. Is that part of the records that you have here on Exhibit 22 that have been admitted?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. So --
- A. There's no -- there's no ledger part.
- Q. Excuse me. When we spoke earlier about these records and you talked about the rent manager program, this is not a printout from that, right?
  - A. No.
- Q. Is that part of the records, though, that are kept?
- A. No. That's in a program when we enter rents.
- Q. Okay. So, you enter rents in the program but you don't consider that a record?
- A. Well, I'm assuming it's a record, but I don't have access. I mean, we have the access to it and --
  - O. I'm sorry. You do have access to it?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did you provide --
  - A. But what it is is the ledger part.
  - Q. Okay. So, you have access to it.

    Did you provide it to the police?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Why not?

- A. They didn't ask for it.
- Q. Because if they had asked for it, you would have given it to them, right?
  - A. Of course.
- Q. So, as a result of the fact you didn't give it to them, we now don't know whether or not the payee paid the rent in December, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You're operating with the idea that the rent must have been paid in December because there's no five-day notice. Is that correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Because the process is, if rent doesn't get paid in a month, a five-day notice goes into the records that you keep.
  - A. If it doesn't get paid by the 10th.
- Q. So, if it had not been paid by December  $10^{\rm th}$ , there would be a record in what you provided us to show that it wasn't paid.
- A. Correct. There would have been a five-day notice.
- Q. So, we're clear, because there's no five-day notice that you operate, it's been paid but we can't access specifically how it was paid.

- Q. Now I want to bring us to January. You said there was a discussion because the rent hadn't been paid by the 10th of the month in January.
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And so sometime between the 10th of January and the 19th of January when you go out on medical leave, you talk to Mr. Goad and you ask him about the rent.
- A. It was before the 10th, around -- it was around the 10th. Because rent hadn't been paid and I asked him about his rent. He said -- I asked him about his payee. They closed their doors and he said that -- I said, What's going to happen? You're going to get a five-day notice. And he said, I'm just going to go back to Sacramento, and I was like, Okay.
- Q. Okay. That was actually before the 10th, you were already aware that it hadn't been paid?
- A. So, when we look at the computer, when we do our five-day notices, we go to the main office and fill the five-day notices out there. They give us a printout of who hasn't paid.
  - So, if the 10th landed on a weekend, we'd

do it that following Monday and so I'm not sure what the 10th landed on, but I was out on medical leave. But your five-day notice has to lapse and, of course, it probably lapsed into the time that I was out on medical leave.

2.2

Because you have to file the unlawful detainer, the affidavit, and then they have the sheriff come and lock them out if nobody can -- they can go to court and say, I have the rent right here.

- Q. Okay. So, to make sure we're clear, you said you think it was before even the 10th of January that you had the discussion with Mr. Goad.
- A. It was more closer to the 10th because I was getting ready -- we were getting ready to do the five days, I'm sure. They probably -- I don't know what the actual date is on that five-day notice, but if it lapses over into the time I was on medical leave, I can't answer those questions.
- Q. Sure. But my question is with regard to the conversation you had with Mr. Goad and trying to pinpoint when that would have happened.
- A. I was trying to help him, trying to get his payee, because him and Ted had the same payee that closed their doors. So, I was trying to help him to

- Q. You said as early as around the 10th he was already telling you, It's okay, I'm planning to go to Sacramento.
  - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, did I understand you correctly that you reviewed surveillance in the case?
  - A. I didn't review it.
- Q. Okay. So, you just provided it to the police.
- A. Correct. And then they would ask me if I knew who the person was on the surveillance.
- Q. Okay. They were entrusting you to recognize people because you saw them on a day-to-day basis?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. And so you did that for the police?
  - A. I did.
- Q. And so if I were to show you some surveillance, you believe you could identify people who are at Park Manor?
- A. I could surely try. I've been there for four years.

- Q. And you have contact with the residents there?
  - A. Every day.
  - Q. Okay.

- A. I'm there eight hours-plus.
- Q. So, I'm showing you exhibit 27. We're on January 22<sup>nd</sup> at 5:04 a.m.

Do you see that there seems to be two different people in the frame here? Are you able at this vantage point to recognize either of them?

- A. So, the one -- the first one is -- it appears that it's 205, Ted Gibson.
- Q. And then further back are you able to identify that individual?
- A. What I'm doing is counting the doors because I know how to count the doors to see who it is.
  - Q. Absolutely. Sure.
  - A. And that looks like Ralph's unit.
- Q. Okay. Now, what I'm doing is going to 15:31:43 on the  $22^{\text{nd}}$  of January.

Do you see any individuals depicted in this frame?

MR. STEGE: I object to lack of context.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. I can play it for you more, but I want to make sure that we're on the same page as far as what we're talking about. But if you want me to play some more, I'd be happy to do that.
  - A. Okay.
  - Q. Would you like me to do that?
  - A. Yes, please.

    This appears to be Ted.
- Q. And can you give the jury an idea of why you think it's Ted?
  - A. So --
- Q. And I can play it for you again, if you want. Would you like that?
- A. Yes. So, I have my landmarks of Park Manor's hallways, and if you look at the baseboard, that's 207. And Ted is a heavyset guy and that one came out at 205.
- Q. When you say "heavyset," the individual that seems to come out of 205 is a heavyset individual.
- A. Yes. And he had his jacket on. He always wears that jacket.

5

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

- Okay. So, this is actually a jacket that you recognize to be Ted's jacket?
  - I believe so. Α.
- And what about a cap? Does he have a cap on?
- Ted never wore a cap. He was bald on the Α. top and then feather on the sides.
- So, having looked at the video surveillance, would there sometimes be a reflection because he was bald? Would you see a reflection sometimes or did you not ever observe that?
  - Α. I never.
- Okay. To the pants, are you able to see 0. whether or not it appears he's wearing light pants or dark pants?
- It looks like dark -- light pants because he always wore Khaki-color pants.
- So, you said it looks like light pants to you. Is that what you said?
  - Α. Yeah.
- And you said he always wore Khaki pants, so 0. that was completely normal for him.
  - Α. Yes.
  - You said that you were pretty familiar with 0.

5

8

7

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

the residents at Park Manor. Is that right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And you said you actually recognize Ralph Goad --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- the man sitting in court.

Did you make any observations about his day-to-day behaviors? On a day-to-day basis would you see him?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in what context would you see him?
- A. He would be coming down -- I get to work fairly early. He would be coming down with a bag of trash and he'd walk to the store and come back with a bag that appeared to be beer and cigarettes.
  - Q. Do you know where he would go?
- A. He would go to Ted Gibson's house, Theodore Gibson's. They were drinking buddies.
- Q. And how long would he spend there on a day-to-day basis?

MR. STEGE: Objection, lack of foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled. Please don't guess.

THE WITNESS: I don't know it.

#### BY MR. SLOCUM:

- Q. So, is it fair to say you saw Ralph every day go down and leave and go to the store, come back with beer and cigarettes?
  - A. I can't say every day.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. I'm only there four days a week.
- Q. So, the four days that you were there, how often would it happen during the four days?
  - A. I'd say three days.
  - Q. So, three out of four days was his pattern?
- A. But then I really didn't pay attention to midday. Morning would be the main I would see him.
  - Q. And how late do you work?
  - A. I work 6:30 to 3:30.
- Q. Okay. So, some days before you left at 3:30 you would see Ralph again. Is that right?
  - A. In the midday? Not often.
  - Q. So, you didn't observe him leave again?
- A. No, because I'm busy doing paperwork or other things, so no. I would see him in the morning because he'd be sitting on the couch when I'd get there.
  - Q. And what about Ted? Would you see him

every day?

2.3

A. No. Ted was a homebody. Once in a great while I would see him come down and dump his garbage. I always asked him if he needed help dumping it. And he would say, "I may be old but I can still walk to the garbage can," and that was not often.

- Q. And so what was your understanding of the relationship, if you know, between Ralph and Ted?
  - A. They were drinking buddies.
  - Q. And to you what does that mean?
- A. They would hang out and drink and smoke their cigarettes.
- Q. During the time you observed that, did you ever observe any problems?
  - A. No.

MR. SLOCUM: I don't have any further questions.

THE COURT: Is there any redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. STEGE:

Q. Ma'am, you mentioned during the brief portions of the video you saw that you were making the identification based in part that it's outside

A. Yes.

- Q. Based on the landmark.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Based on a black and white video surveillance.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And what's your level of confidence?

  Mr. Slocum artfully got you to say that
  that was Mr. Gibson in the doorway of 205. What is
  your level of confidence that that's Mr. Gibson as
  opposed to Mr. Goad as opposed to anyone else?
- A. I think it's him. I think it's Theodore. I don't think it's Ralph. And nobody ever went to his unit.
- Q. Well, you just said that Ralph Goad went to the unit.
- A. Ralph is the only one that has ever gone to his unit that I'm aware of.
  - MR. STEGE: Nothing further.
  - THE COURT: Recross, if any?
  - MR. SLOCUM: No thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You're free to step down and leave the courtroom.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Whereupon, jury was admonished and released at 4:32 p.m.)

THE COURT: We might have a later start time in the morning and just go through the day, which means there won't be a hour-and-a-half lunch. So, if we start at 10:30 or 11:00, I'll have you arrive at the courthouse well fed and bring a brown bag or something to put in the microwave, because we'll limit our lunch to 30 minutes.

That's what I'm thinking. I'll send Deputy Coss in with a final instruction on what time to be here tomorrow morning. We'll stand for our jury.

(Jury exits courtroom.)

THE COURT: So, I looked at the calendar for tomorrow. First, we're starting at 8:30 and not 9:00. I think 10:30 is probably a good start time. I'll tell the jury they just have to be patient if we go past that.

Would you be ready to go at 10:30?

MR. STEGE: Yeah.

MR. SLOCUM: I could be ready, certainly, at 10:30. The question is they should eat something beforehand and we're going straight through.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SLOCUM: Okay. Because that's why I thought maybe 11:00 would be better in the sense that may be early for them to go the whole day.

THE COURT: I don't mind sending them away from lunch. I just don't want to take your time away from you.

MR. STEGE: Tomorrow's Wednesday and I think we're well on track for -- if pushing, I rest my case tomorrow. If not, early on Thursday. So, if given the choice, I think I'd rather finish it on Thursday and maybe argue it Thursday afternoon. It's hard to predict.

THE COURT: 11:00. There will be a break for them, not enough to go offsite, and we'll see them at 10:50 for entering the courtroom at 11:00. Thanks, Deputy.

MR. STEGE: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. SLOCUM: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Goodnight. Thank you for a job well done. See you in the morning.

(Whereupon, proceedings were

adjourned at 4:35 p.m.)

-000-

STATE OF NEVADA )
COUNTY OF WASHOE )

2.1

2.4

I, CHRISTINA MARIE AMUNDSON, official reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:

That as such reporter, I was present in Department No. 15 of the above court on August, 6, 2019, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. of said day, and I then and there took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had and testimony given therein in the case of the State of Nevada vs. Ralph Edmond Goad, Case No. CR19-0999.

That the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of my said stenotype notes so taken as aforesaid, and is a true and correct statement of the proceedings had and testimony given in the above-entitled action to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

DATED: At Reno, Nevada, on 16th day of November 2019.

/S/ Christina Marie Amundson, CCR #641

Christina Marie Amundson, CCR #641