OCT 2 1 2020 JOHN L. MARSHALL SBN 6733 570 Marsh Avenue Reno, Nevada 89509 Telephone: (775) 303-4882 **Electronically Filed** johnladuemarshall@gmail.com Oct 27 2020 02:30 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown LUKE A. BUSBY ISBN 10319 Clerk of Supreme Court 316 California Ave. Reno, NV 89509 775-453-0112 luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com Attorneys for the Defendant 9 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 10 IN AND FOR STOREY COUNTY 11 12 LANCE GILMAN, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. Case No. 18-trt-00001-1e 15 SAM TOLL, Dept. No. II 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL 20 21 Notice is hereby given that Defendant Sam Toll above named, by and through the 22 undersigned counsel, hereby cross-appeals the September 24, 2020 Order on Motion for 23 Attorney's Fees and Costs in the above captioned matter. The Plaintiff also tenders herewith the required \$500 appeal bond. 25 26 ///

24

27

28

///

NRS 239B.030(4) AFFIRMATION

I certify that the attached filing includes no social security numbers or other personal information.

Respectfully submitted this Wednesday, October 21, 2020

By:

JOHN L. MARSHALL

SBN 6733

570 Marsh Avenue

Reno, Nevada 89509

Telephone: (775) 303-4882

johnladuemarshall@gmail.com

LUKE A. BUSBY.

SBN 10319

316 California Ave.

Reno, NV 89509

775-453-0112

luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com

Attorneys for the Defendant

Е

•	CERTIFICATE OF STRANGE
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that on the date shown below, I caused service
4	to be completed of a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by:
5	personally delivering;
6 7	
8	delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service;
9	sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service);
10	depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed thereto; or,
11	delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to:
12	
13	GUS W. FLANGAS JESSICA K. PETERSON
14	Flangas Dalacas Law Group
15	3275 South Jones Blvd. Suite 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146
16	702-307-9500 F - 702-382-9452
18	
19	By: Dated: Dated:
20	Eure Busby
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	



JOHN L. MARSHALL
SBN 6733
570 Marsh Avenue
Reno, Nevada 89509
Telephone: (775) 303-4882
johnladuemarshall@gmail.com

LUKE A. BUSBY
SBN 10319
316 California Ave.
Reno, NV 89509
775-453-0112
luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com

Attorneys for the Defendant

IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR STOREY COUNTY

* * *

LANCE GILMAN,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

VS.

Case No. 18-trt-00001-1e

SAM TOLL,

Dept. No. II

. _ . _ . _ . ,

17

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

Defendant Sam Toll, above named, hereby files the following Case Statement for the cross-appeal of the September 24, 2020 Order on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs in the above captioned matter.

(A) The District Court case number is 18-trt-00001-1e. The Parties are LANCE GILMAN, an individual, Plaintiff, and SAM TOLL, an individual, Defendant;

1	(B) The name of the judge who entered the order or judgment being appealed: The
2	Honorable James Wilson;
3	(C) The name of each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each
4	appellant are:
5	The appellant is flow Tall
6	The appellant is Sam Toll.
7	Counsel for the Appellant is:
8	JOHN L. MARSHALL SBN 6733
9	570 Marsh Avenue Reno, Nevada 89509
10	Telephone: (775) 303-4882 johnladuemarshall@gmail.com
11	LUKE A. BUSBY
12	SBN 10319
13	316 California Ave. Reno, NV 89509
14	775-453-0112 luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com
15	
16	(D) The name of each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel
17	are:
18	The Respondent is Lance Gilman.
19	
20	Counsel for the Respondent are:
21	GUS W. FLANGAS JESSICA K. PETERSON
22	Flangas Dalacas Law Group
23	3275 South Jones Blvd. Suite 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146
24	702-307-9500
25	F - 702-382-9452
26	(E) Counsel for the Plaintiff believes that all attorneys named herein are licensed
27	to practice law in Nevada;
28	

8

10 11

13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

22

23

21

24 25

26

20

(F) The Appellant was represented by counsel at the District Court and will be on appeal as well;

- (G) The district court did not grant the Appellant leave to proceed in forma pauperis;
 - (H) The proceedings commenced in the District Court on December 17, 2017;
 - (I) The nature of the action and result in the District Court are as follows:

Mr. Gilman filed a Complaint in Washoe County District Court on December 2, 2017 alleging that Toll defamed Gilman. The case was removed to Storey County District Court by Toll and assigned Case No. 18-trt-00001-1e. Mr. Toll filed his Answer on December 28, 2017, denying that the alleged statements were defamatory or reported with actual malice. On February 1, 2018 Mr. Toll filed an Anti-SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss pursuant to NRS 41.660, arguing that Mr. Gilman's case is based upon Toll's good faith communications in furtherance of his right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public interest. On April 9, 2018, the Court entered an Order Granting Anti-SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss in Part, Allowing Limited Discovery, and Staying Further Proceedings. After substantial proceedings, including a successful Writ Petition to the Nevada Supreme Court by Toll, on June 15, 2020 Judge Wilson entered his Order Granting Toll's Anti-SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss, terminating Gilman's claims against Toll. In Case No. 18-trt-00001-1e, the Court has issued an order awarding Toll \$10,000 in statutory damages and \$188,840 in attorney's fees under the provisions of NRS 41.670. Herein, Toll is appealing the denial of a portion of attorney's fees and the costs denied to Toll in the Court's September 24, 2020 Order on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs.

(J) This case has been and currently is the subject of an appeal to the Supreme Court or the Nevada Court of Appeals in the following dockets:

Gilman v. Toll, Consolidated Case No. 81583 (incl. Case Nos. 81874, 81726);

Toll v. Dist. Ct. (Gilman), Case No. 78333.

- (K) This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation; and
- (L) The Appellant believes that the appeal involves the possibility of settlement.

NRS 239B.030(4) AFFIRMATION

I certify that the attached filing includes no social security numbers or other personal information.

Respectfully submitted this Wednesday, October 21, 2020

Rv.

JOHN L. MARSHALL

SBN 6733

570 Marsh Avenue

Reno, Nevada 89509

Telephone: (775) 303-4882

johnladuemarshall@gmail.com

LUKE A. BUSBY.

SBN 10319

316 California Ave.

Reno, NV 89509

775-453-0112

luke@luke and rewbusbyltd.com

Attorneys for the Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that on the date shown below, I caused service to be completed of a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by: personally delivering; delivery via Reno/Carson Messenger Service; sending via Federal Express (or other overnight delivery service); depositing for mailing in the U.S. mail, with sufficient postage affixed thereto; delivery via electronic means (fax, eflex, NEF, etc.) to: GUS W. FLANGAS JESSICA K. PETERSON Flangas Dalacas Law Group 3275 South Jones Blvd. Suite 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146 702-307-9500 F - 702-382-9452 Dated: Dor 21, 2020 Luke Busby

Date: 10/22/2020	08:19:24.8	Docket	She
MIJR5925			

eet Page: 1

Case No. 18 TRT 00001 1E Ticket No. CTN: Judge: WILSON JR, JAMES E

GILMAN, LANCE By: -vs-

TOLL, SAM

DRSPND

Ву:

Dob: Lic: Sex:

Sid:

Plate#: Make: Year:

Accident:

Type: Venue:

Location:

GILMAN, LANCE

PLNTPET

Bond: Type:

Set: Posted:

Charges:

Ct:

Offense Dt: Arrest Dt: Comments:

Cvr:

Sen	ten	cin	g
-----	-----	-----	---

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
1	10/20/20	DEFENDANT SAM TOLL'S MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF LANCE GILMAN TO PROVIDE ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORIES Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
2	10/13/20	MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3	10/01/20	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT Attorney: Jessica K. Peterson (10670)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
4	10/01/20	NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED Attorney: Jessica K. Peterson (10670) Receipt: 6595 Date: 10/15/2020	1EADUKE	24.00	0.00
5	09/28/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
6	09/24/20	ORDER ON MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7	08/27/20	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
8	08/27/20	NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED Filed by Defendant Receipt: 6546 Date: 09/08/2020	1EVSTEPHEN	24.00	0.00
9	08/21/20	SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR COSTS Attorney: Gus W. Glangas (4989)	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
L 0	08/20/20	ORDER FOR PROPOSED ORDER	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
11	08/13/20	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
. 2	08/12/20	PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR COSTS Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
. 3	08/04/20	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
14	08/03/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
15	07/30/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
16	07/29/20	ORDER ALLOWING GILMAN TO FILE A SUR-REPLY RE: THE MOTION FOR COSTS	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
17	07/29/20	ORDER AWARDING TOLL \$10,000.00 IN STATUTORY DAMAGES	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
18	07/27/20	SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING TOLL'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
19	07/23/20	ORDER FOR PROPOSED ORDER	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
20	07/23/20	SUBMISSION OF PROPOSED ORDERS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
21	07/20/20	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
22	07/17/20	DEFENDANT SAM TOLL'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
23	07/14/20	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS (X2) Attorney: Gus W. Flangus (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
2.4	07/13/20	DEFENDANT SAM TOLL'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO BRIEF ON COURT'S ORDER REQUIRING THE PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HE SHOULD NOT BE ORDERED TO PAY \$10,000 IN STATUTORY DAMAGES Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
5	07/09/20	NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (004989) Receipt: 6509 Date: 08/11/2020	1EADUKE	24.00	0.00
: 6	07/09/20	STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS -STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME- Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7	06/29/20	BRIEF ON COURT'S ORDER REQUIRING THE PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HE SHOULD NOT BE ORDERED TO PAY \$10,000.00 IN STATUTORY DAMAGES Attorney: Gus W. Glangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
8	06/23/20	DEFENDANT SAM TOLL'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
9	06/17/20	FILE RETURNED AFTER SUBMISSION - ORDER ENTERED	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
30	06/17/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
31	06/15/20	ORDER GRANTING TOLL'S ANTI-SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
32	05/18/20	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
33	05/15/20	REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S SUPLLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON THE SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (004989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3 4	05/15/20	SUBMISSION OF PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING THE "ANTI-SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PER NRS 41.660" WHICH WAS FILED BY THE DEFENDANT Attorney: Gus W. Flangaas (004989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
35	05/13/20	FILE TO JUDGE	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3 6	04/27/20	OPPOSING SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORADNDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
37	04/15/20	PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON THE SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS Attorney: Gus Flangus (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
8 8	04/07/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
19	04/07/20	STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
. 0	03/23/20	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
1	03/19/20	ORDER AFTER REMAND	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
2	03/09/20	PLAINTIFF'S SUBMISSION OF HIS DRAFT ORDER Attorney: Gus W. Flangas (4989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3	03/09/20	NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
4	03/06/20	SUBMISSION FOR PROPSED ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
5	03/02/20	ORDER FOR PROPOSED ORDER	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
6	02/21/20	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7	02/13/20	REPLY BRIEF ON MOTION TO COMPEL AFTER ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF PROHIBITION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00

MIJ	R5925	DOCKER		rage; 4	
No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
48	02/07/20	RESPONSE TO OPENING BRIEF ON MOTION TO COMPEL AFTER ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF PROHIBITION MOTION FOR LEAVE TO TAKE THE DEPOSITIONS OF DEFENDANT'S EXPERTS WHO SUBMITTED AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S OPENING BRIEF Attorney: Gus W. Flangas, Esq. (004989)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
49	01/27/20	FILING OF ORIGINAL DECLARATION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
50	01/23/20	OPENING BRIEF ON MOTION TO COMPEL AFTER ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF PROHIBITION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
51	01/22/20	ORDER	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
52	01/21/20	SUBMISSION OF DRAFT ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3	01/06/20	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE MEMO TO COMMENCE JANUARY 9, 2020 AT 2:00 PM	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
i 4	01/06/20	STATUS CHECK SCHEDULED: Event: STATUS CHECK (STOREY) Date: 01/06/2020 Time: 2:00 pm Judge: WILSON JR, JAMES E Location: STOREY CASES HEARD IN CARSON CITY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		Result: HEARING HELD			
5	12/18/19	REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
6	12/10/19	WRIT OF PROHIBITION-SUPREME COURT	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7	04/10/19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER IN DISTRICT COURT GRANTING STAY OF DISCOVERY	1 EADUKE	0.00	0.00
В	04/05/19	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF MARCH 18, 2019 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
9 (04/04/19	ORDER-MOTION GRANTED FROM SUPREME COURT	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
0 (03/28/19	NOTICE OF UNAVAILABILITY OF COUNSEL Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
1 (3/27/19	FILE TO JUDGE	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
2 (03/25/19	FILE RETURNED FROM JUDGE	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3 ()3/21/19	ORDER GRANTING STAY OF DISCOVERY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
4 ()3/21/19	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF MARCH 18, 2019 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
5 0	3/21/19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
66	03/20/19	LIMITED OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY & COUNTERMOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE OF DISCOVERY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		GUS W. FLANGAS, ESQ, SBN 004989 JESSICA K. PETERSON, ESQ, SBN 10670			
67	03/19/19	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION STAY OF DISCOVERY PENDING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OF MANDAMUS TO THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
68	03/19/19	REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR STAY OF DISCOVERY PENDING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS TO THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
69	03/18/19	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
70	03/18/19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
71	03/18/19	NOTICE OF FILING OF PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
72	03/14/19	ORDER SHORTENING TIME	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
73	03/12/19	ERRATA TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS & TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS GUS W FLANGAS, SBN 4989 JESSICA K PETERSON, SBN 10670	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7 4	03/11/19	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS FLANGAS, GUS W. SBN 004989 PETERSON, JESSICA K. SBN 10670	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
75	03/11/19	SECOND REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
76	03/11/19	MOTION FOR STAY OF DISCOVERY PENDING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS TO THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
7	03/11/19	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
8	03/11/19	(10319) NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
9	03/11/19	MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION FOR STAY OF DISCOVERY PENDING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS TO THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
30	03/07/19	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS JOHN L.MARSHLL SBN 6733 LUKE ANDREW BUSBY , SBN 10319	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
31	03/04/19	ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL, FOR SANCTIONS, TO EXTEND DISCOVERY PERIOD, AND FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ORDER VACATING HEARING	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
32	03/04/19	SECOND REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
33	02/28/19	FILE RETURNED FROM JUDGE	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
4	02/26/19	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER LUKE ANDREW BUSBY, LTD SBN 10319	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
35	02/26/19	HEARING SCHEDULED: Event: EVIDENTIARY HEARING (STOREY) Date: 03/15/2019 Time: 8:30 am Judge: WILSON JR, JAMES E Location: DEPT II - STOREY COUNTY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		Result: VACATED PROCEEDINGS			
6	02/25/19	ORDER SHORTENING TIME	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
17	02/25/19	MOTION FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION FOR SUBMISSION OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		ATTORNEY: JOHN L. MARSHALL, SBN 6733 LUKE A. BUSBY, SBN 10319			
8	02/25/19	MOTION FOR SUBMISSION DF MOTION TO DISMISS AND TERMINATION OF PROCEEDINGS ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME ATTORNEY: JOHN L. MARSHALL SBN 6733	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		LUKE A. BUSBY SBN 10319			
9	02/21/19	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE MEMO	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
0	01/11/19	AMENDED ORDER AFTER HEARING	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
1	01/11/19	ORDER AFTER HEARING	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
2	12/19/18	HEARING DATE MEMO 02/22/19	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
3	12/19/18	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL PERTAINING TO THE NEED FOR A CONTINUANCE OF HEARING GUS W. FLANGAS, ESQ. NEVADA BAR 004989	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
1	12/19/18	EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		JESSICA PETERSON ESQ., PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL			

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
95	12/19/18	HEARING SCHEDULED: Event: EVIDENTIARY HEARING (STOREY) Date: 02/22/2019 Time: 9:00 am Judge: WILSON, JAMES E. JR. Location: DEPT II - STOREY COUNTY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		Plaintiffs counsel: Jessica Peterson, Esq. Defendants counsel: Luke Busby, Esq.			
		Result: VACATED PROCEEDINGS			
96	12/18/18	DECLARATION OF COUNSEL PERTAINING TO THE NEED FOR A CONTINUANCE OF HEARING	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		GUS W. FLANGAS, ESQ. BAR NO 004989			
97	12/13/18	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE MEMO-REGARDING UPCOMING HEARING ON DECEMBER 20,2018	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
98	12/13/18	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE REGARDING UPCOMING HEARING ON DECEMBER 20, 2018	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		JESSICA PETERSON, ESQ. PLAINTIFFS COUNSEL			
		Attorney: BUSBY, LUKE ANDREW (10319)			
99	12/13/18	FILE TO JUDGE-REMAINDER OF FILE SENT TO JUDGE	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
100	08/29/18	HEARING SCHEDULED: Event: EVIDENTIARY HEARING (STOREY) Date: 12/20/2018 Time: 8:30 am Judge: WILSON JR, JAMES E Location: DEPT II - STOREY COUNTY	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
		Result: VACATED PROCEEDINGS			
101	08/22/18	SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES PURSUANT THE COURT'S AUGUST 8, 2018 ORDER JOHN L. MARSHALL SBN 6733 LUKE ANDREW BUSBY, LTD. BAR NO 10319	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
L02	08/10/18	NOTICE TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY FOR SETTING	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
L03	08/08/18	ORDER RE EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
. 0 4	07/20/18	FILE TO JUDGE	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
.05	07/16/18	DISCLOSURE OF EXPARTE COMMUNICATION	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
.06	07/16/18	DISCLOSURE OF EXPARTE COMMUNICATION	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
.07	07/13/18	JOINT HEARING STATEMENT Attorney: MARSHALL, JOHN L. SBN 6733	1EADUKE	0.00	0.00
08	06/26/18	ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
09	06/26/18	ORDER FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL	1EVSTEPHEN	0.00	0.00
10	06/22/18	OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUEMENT	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00

No.	Filed	Action	Operator	Fine/Cost	Due
111	06/18/18	PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
112	06/08/18	FILE TO JUDGE	1 EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
113	06/07/18	REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO COMPEL MOTION FOR SACTIONS MOTION TO EXTEND TIME PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR PATIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
114	06/04/18	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO ANTI-SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
115	06/04/18	REPLY TO SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO ANTI-SLAPP MOTION TO DISMISS	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
116	05/26/18	PLAINTIFFS SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO THE DEFENDANTS ANTI SLAPP MOTION	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
117	05/22/18	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO COMPEL MOTION FOR SANCTIONS MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
118	05/11/18	PLAINTIFFS MOTION TO COMPEL MORION FOR SANCTIONS MOTION TO EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY AND IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
119	04/20/18	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
120	04/09/18	ORDER GRANTING ANTI SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS IN PART ALLOWING LIMITED DISCOVERY AND STAYING FURTHER PROCEEDINGS	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
121	02/26/18	REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
122	02/26/18	DEFENDANTS REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO ANTI SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
123	02/22/18	OPPOSITION TO ANTI SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PER NRS 41.660	1EWBACUS	0.00	0.00
L 2 4	02/01/18	ANTI SLAPP SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PER NRS 41.660	1EVDIXON	0.00	0.00
125	01/26/18	ORDER CHANGING VENUE Receipt: 5497 Date: 01/30/2018	1EWBACUS	155.00	0.00
			Total:	227.00	0.0
		Totals By: COST INFORM *** End of Report		227.00	0.00



IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR STOREY COUNTY

-000-

LANCE GILMAN,
Plaintiff,
DEPT. 2

v.
SAM TOLL,
Defendant.

ORDER ON MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

Before the Court is Sam Toll's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and all papers filed regarding that motion.

Under NRS 41.670(1)(a), if the court grants a special motion to dismiss filed under NRS 41.660 the court shall award reasonable costs and attorney's fees to the person against whom the action was brought.

ATTORNEY FEES

Hourly Rate

John Marshall, Esq. seeks approval for an hourly rate of \$450 an hour, and Luke Busby, Esq. seeks approval for an hourly rate of \$350 an hour.

To determine a reasonable hourly rate, the Court must consider the following factors: (1) the qualities of the advocate: their ability, training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work done: its difficulty, intricacy, importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties when they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyers: the skill, time and attention given to the work; and (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. *Brunzell v. Golden Gate Nat'l Bank*, 85 Nev. 345, 349, 455 P.2d 31. The Court will also consider whether the requested hourly rates are in-line with local attorney hourly rates. The Court will address each of these factors in order.

(1) The qualities of the advocate; their ability, training, education, experience, professional standing and skill

Toll's counsels' qualifications and experience are established in the resumes they attached to their motion. Both attorneys have extensive legal experience, including in complex litigation and matters affecting the public interest, they have good legal ability and skill, and the professional standing of each is good.

(2) The character of the work done: its difficulty, intricacy, importance, the time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties when they affect the importance of the litigation

Litigating an Anti-SLAPP special motion to dismiss is difficult and intricate because of the number of issues that need to be addressed. The Court's order granting in part and denying in part the special motion to dismiss was 41 pages.

Viable special motions to dismiss in Anti-SLAPP cases are important because they protect "[g]ood faith communication in furtherance of the right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public concern" NRS 41.637.

Properly prepared special motions to dismiss in Anti-SLAPP cases, require considerable time and skill. The special motion in this case was properly prepared.

This case involves a high profile businessman who is also a county commissioner suing a small town blogger to stop the blogger's criticism of the commissioner. The prominence and character of the parties affect the importance of this litigation.

(3) The work actually performed by the lawyers: the skill, time and attention given to the work

Toll's counsel successfully litigated the special motion to dismiss. The filed anti-SLAPP papers are voluminous. The Court's file consists of nine volumes. Toll's counsel displayed good skill and attention to the work in their filed papers.

(4) The result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived

Toll's counsel were successful, the special motion was granted. The benefits are preserving Toll's right to generate good faith communications in furtherance of his rights to petition and free speech, and specific and general deterrence to those who consider interfering with a reporter's right to generate good faith communications in furtherance of his rights to petition and free speech.

(5) Whether the requested hourly rates are in-line with local attorney hourly rates

Toll's counsel attached to their motion declarations of Reno attorneys that attest that the hourly rates sought are reasonable and customary. Based upon that evidence and the Court's experience in handling motions for attorney fees, the Court concludes the requested hourly rates are in-line with local attorney hourly rates.

Conclusion on hourly rates

Having considered the factors, facts, and circumstances the Court concludes John Marshall, Esq.'s hourly rate of \$450 an hour, and Luke Busby, Esq.'s hourly rate of \$350 an hour are reasonable and justified.

Time

In deciding what constitutes a "reasonable fee" in the context of anti-SLAPP litigation it has been said:

"[a] reasonable [attorney's] fee is one that is not excessive or extreme, but rather moderate or fair. The mere fact that a party and a lawyer contracted for or incurred a particular amount of attorney's fees does not conclusively prove that a fee paid by the lawyer's client is reasonable. When a party seeks to shift fees from its client to the opposing party, the party seeking fees must prove that the amount of the fees it is requesting is reasonable. That said, when awarding attorney's fees, the factfinder should exclude "[c]harges for duplicative, excessive, or inadequately documented work[.]" See Toledo v. KBMT Operating Co., LLC, 581 S.W.3d 324, 329-31 (Tex. App. 2019); In re Leonard Jed Co., 118 B.R. 339, 347 (Bankr.D.Md. 1990) ("excessive use of office conferences and unnecessary duplication of effort will result in reduction of fees when they are unreasonable").

Toll cited *Graham-Sult v. Clainos*, 756 F.3d 724, 752 (9th Cir. 2014) for the proposition that it is appropriate to award all attorneys fees incurred in connection with the entire case even if some work is not directly related to the anti-SLAPP Motion. *Graham* recognized the general rule is that the anti-SLAPP attorney fee provision applies only to the anti-SLAPP motion and not to the entire action. *Id.* Toll has not provided evidence or argument that justify deviating from the general rule.

In 569 E. Cty. Blvd. LLC v. Backcountry Against The Dump, Inc., 6 Cal.App.5th 426, 212 Cal. Rptr. 3d 304, (2016). The California Court of Appeals held that "a fee award under the anti-SLAPP statute may not include matters unrelated to the anti-

SLAPP motion, such as . . . summary judgment research, "because such matters are not "incurred in connection with the anti-SLAPP motion." *Backcountry*, supra at 310-11. The Ninth Circuit cited favorably to *Backcountry* in the case of *Century Sur. Co. v. Prince*, 782 F. App'x 553, 558 (9th Cir. 2019) and denied attorneys fees for work that was not related to the anti-SLAPP Motion (only attorneys' fees and costs directly attributable to the anti-SLAPP motion(s) are recoverable). Just recently, the United States District Court for the State of Nevada required the attorneys seeking their fees to revise their billing statements to remove any entries not directly related to the anti-SLAPP motion. *Walker v. Intelli-heart Servs., Inc.,* No. 318CV00132MMDCLB, 2020 WL 1694771, at *2 (D. Nev. Apr. 7, 2020).

Based on the foregoing, the fees that can be awarded to Defendant must be reasonable, adequately documented, and relate directly to the anti-SLAPP motion, and not be excessive or duplicative.

Having carefully considered the pleadings and papers filed by the parties, the quality of the legal product, the importance of the issue, and the result obtained, the Court concludes the hours claimed by Toll included matters not related to the special motion to dismiss, and some claimed hours were excessive and not reasonable. Toll will be awarded fees for all time claimed by Toll and not objected to by Gilman plus the time set forth in the following table which addresses each entry objected to by Gilman.

Date	Description of Work	Time Keeper	Hours Awarded	Objection/ Court's Decision
12/18/17	Email client	JLM	Ø	Not related to anti-SLAPP motion/ agree

	12/22/17	Mtg with client	JLM	~	Not related to
1	12/22/1/	with chefit	O LAVI	Ø	anti-SLAPP
					motion/
2					agree
3	12/27/17	Draft and	JLM	Ø	Not related to
		revise Answer		Ø	anti-SLAPP
4		+ Motion to			motion/
_		Change Venue			agree '
5	12/22/17	Initial meeting	LAB	Ø	Not related to
6		with Toll			anti-SLAPP
					motion/
7					agree
	12/28/17	Draft and	JLM	Ø	Not related to
8	**	revise Answer			anti-SLAPP
9		+ Motion to			motion/
	10/00/1-	Change Venue	TAD		agree
10	12/23/17	Research and draft of Motion	LAB	Ø	Not related to anti-SLAPP
11		to Change			motion/
11		Venue			agree
12	12/23/17	Draft Affidavit	LAB	Ø	Not related to
	12/23/1/	of Sam Toll re:		9	anti-SLAPP
13		Motion to			motion/
14		Change Venue			agree
17	12/23/17	Draft Answer	LAB	Ø	Not related to
15		to Complaint		·	anti-SLAPP
					motion/
16					agree
17	12/26/17	Meeting with	LAB	Ø	Not related to
-		Toll and			anti-SLAPP
18		retainer			motion/
,	10/00/1=	agreement Finalize and	LAB		agree Not related to
19	12/28/17	file answer	LAD	Ø	anti-SLAPP
20		ille allswei			motion/
					agree
21	1/12/18	Request to	LAB	Ø	Not related to
22	1,12,10	submit venue			anti-SLAPP
²²		motion			motion/
23					agree
	12/31/17-	Draft Special	LAB	40.0	Excessive time;
24	2/1/18	Motion to	JLM	15.0	duplicative/
25		Dismiss		-0.0	Toll failed to
					show 60+ hours
26					is reasonable; 55
_					hours is
27					reasonable

- 1	0/04/40	D	TTDA		D 1' '' /
1	2/21/18	Review opposition to	JLM	1.0	Duplicative/ disagree
2		anti-SLAPP motion			
3	2/21/18	Review	LAB	2.1	Duplicative/
4		opposition to anti-SLAPP			disagree
5	2/21/18-	motion Work on Reply	LAB	24.0	Excessive;
6	2/26/2018	to Opposition	JLM	12.0	duplicative/
7		to anti-SLAPP motion			Toll failed to show 43+ hours
					is reasonable;
8					36 hours is reasonable
9	4/9/2018	Review Order	LAB	1.3	Duplicative/
10			JLM	1.0	disagree
	4/19/18	Meet client re	LAB	1.2	Not related to anti-SLAPP
11		order and discovery			motion/
12		albedvery			disagree
13	4/23/18	Call with Mike Sullivan re:	LAB	Ø	Not related to anti-SLAPP
14		Gilman v.			motion/
		Antinoro			Toll failed to show related to
15					anti-SLAPP
16					motion
17	4/28/18- 5/4/18	Toll depo prep	LAB	6.1	Not related to anti-SLAPP
18	5/4/10				motion/
					disagree
19	4/28/18	Shield law research	LAB	2.3	Not related to anti-SLAPP
20					motion/
21	5/10/18-	Prep and	JLM	4.0	disagree Not related to
22	5/17/18	attend	6 DAVI	4.3	anti-SLAPP
		Osborne			motion/ disagree
23		deposition and review			disagree
24		transcripts			
25	5/10/18-	Review of	LAB	Ø	Not related to anti-SLAPP
26	5/22/18	Motion for Sanctions;			motion/
26		work on			agree
27		opposition to			

- 1					
1		Motion for Sanctions			
2	5/19/18	Work on opposition to	JLM	4.5	Duplicative; not reasonable/
3		motion to compel			disagree
4	6/15/18- 6/20/18	Review of Motion for	LAB JLM	1.0	Excessive hours; unreasonable/
5	0/20/18	Oral Argument and prepare	OTAM	2.0	agree in part
7	6/27/18-	opposition Evidentiary	LAB	57.5	Not related to
8	2/22/29	hearing prep			anti-SLAPP motion/
9	6/27/18	Review court	JLM	1.5	disagree Block billed,
10		order; conference	LAB	2.1	duplicative and interoffice
11		between counsel			conference/ disagree
12	6/27/18 and	Counsel	LAB	0.5	Interoffice
13	6/29/18	conference	JLM	0.5	conference, duplicative/
14					Agree in part 0.4 not allowed
15	8/17/18	Counsel conference	JLM	0.8	Interoffice conference;
16					block billed/ disagree
17 18	11/30/18	Counsel conference re	JLM LAB	2.4 2.4	Duplicative, interoffice
19		hearing prep and strategy			conference/ disagree
20	2/14/19	Counsel conference re	JLM LAB	1.0	Duplicative, interoffice
21		hearing prep	LAD	1.0	conference/
22					disagree;LAB billed 0.3 more
23					and that is excluded from award
24	2/20/19	Counsel	JLM	2.0	Interoffice
25		conference re hearing prep	LAB	2.0	meeting; duplicative/
26					LAB billed 0.4 more and that is
27		J,	•	1!!	

				excluded from award
2/21/19	Counsel	JLM	1.5	Duplicative/
	conference re	LAB	1.5	disagree
0/9/10	hearing prep	TINE		37 . 11 .1
3/8/19- 3/17/19	Draft writ petition	JLM LAB	-12.0 48.0	Not directly related to anti-
0/1//19	potition		40.0	SLAPP
				motion/disagree
				Duplicative/
				Disagree
				Excessive
				hours/ Toll failed to
				show claimed
				hours are reasonable; 60
ll .				hours is
				reasonable
5/6/19	Review and outline	JLM	2.3	Not directly related to anti-
	opposition to			SLAPP motion,
	writ			duplicative/
	D	I A D		disagree
5/9/19	Review writ answer	LAB	2.0	Not directly related to anti-
	answer			SLAPP motion,
				duplicative/
				disagree
5/28/19- 6/2/19	Draft writ	JLM	25.9	Not related to anti-SLAPP
0/2/19	reply brief			motion,
				duplicative/
				disagree
5/10/19-	Work on writ	LAB	15.7	Not related to
5/29/19	reply brief			anti-SLAPP
				motion, duplicative/
				disagree
8/16/19-	Prep for oral	JLM	27.3	Not related to
9/5/19	argument			anti-SLAPP
				motion,
				duplicative/ disagree
				disagree

- 1					
1	8/25/19- 9/3/19	Case outline/prep	LAB	14.5	Not related to anti-SLAPP
2					motion,
.					duplicative/ disagree
3	6/21/20	Work on App	JLM	2.5	Duplicative/
4		for Attorney	0 22/2		Disagree
5		Fees			
					Excessive/agree: Toll failed to
6					show hours
7					reasonable; 2.5
					hours is
8	(110100	TA71 A	TAD		reasonable
9	6/19/20- 6/21/20	Work on App for Attorney	LAB	2.5	Duplicative/ Disagree
10	0/21/20	Fees			Disagree
10					Excessive/agree:
11					Toll failed to
12					show hours reasonable; 2.5
					hours is
13					reasonable

Toll will be awarded attorney fees for John Marshall's services at \$450 per hour for 164.1 hours for a total of \$73,340.

Toll will be awarded attorney fees for Luke Busby's services at \$350/hour for 330 hours for a total of \$115,500. The total attorney fee award is \$188,840.

COSTS

Toll failed to file with his memorandum of costs, any substantiating documentation of the claimed costs. Gilman cited *Cadle Company v. Woods & Erickson, LLP*, 131 Nev. 114, 345 P. 3d 1049 (2015), for the proposition that for a court to award costs it must have justifying documentation, which by necessity means more than a memorandum of costs. The Supreme Court in *Cadle* refused to award certain costs because there was no evidence for the Court to determine that the costs were reasonable, necessary, and actually incurred.

In four lines in his reply devoted to the costs issue Toll simply offered some receipts. He failed to address the arguments raised in Gilman's opposition.

Toll's receipts and affidavit that indicating the costs were necessarily incurred did not establish that the claimed costs were reasonable, necessary, and actually incurred. Toll's request for costs will be denied.

THE COURT ORDERS:

Toll is awarded \$188,840 in attorney fees.

Toll's request for costs is denied.

September <u>24</u>, 2020.

James E. Wilson Jr. District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of the First Judicial District Court of Nevada; that on the _____ day of September 2020, I served a copy of this document by placing a true copy in an envelope addressed to:

Gus Flangas, Esquire	John L. Marshall, Esquire
Jessica K. Peterson, Esquire	570 Marsh Avenue
3275 South Jones Blvd.,	Reno, NV 89509
Suite. 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146	Luke Andrew Busby, Esq. 316 California Avenue Reno, NV 85909

the envelope sealed and then deposited in the Court's central mailing basket in the court clerk's office for delivery to the USPS at 1111 South Roop Street, Carson City, Nevada, for mailing.

Billie Shadron Judicial Assistant

JOHN L. MARSHALL SBN 6733 570 Marsh Avenue Reno, Nevada 89509 Telephone: (775) 303-4882 johnmarshall@charter.net Luke Andrew Busby, Ltd. Nevada State Bar No. 10319 316 California Ave #82 Reno, NV 89509 775-453-0112 luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com Attorneys for the Defendant 9 10 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 11 IN AND FOR STOREY COUNTY 12 13 LANCE GILMAN, 14 Plaintiff, 15 VS. Case No. 18-trt-00001-1e 16 SAM TOLL, Dept. No. II 17 Defendant. 18 19 20 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 21 Please Take Notice: On September 24, 2020 the Court entered an Order on Motion 22

Please Take Notice: On September 24, 2020 the Court entered an Order on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs in the above captioned matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

25 /// 26 ///

23

24

27 | //

2 3

4 5

67

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

NRS 239B.030(4) AFFIRMATION

I certify that the attached filing includes no social security numbers or other personal information.

Respectfully submitted this Saturday, September 26, 2020:

Bv:

JOHN L. MARSHALL

SBN 6733

570 Marsh Avenue

Reno, Nevada 89509

Telephone: (775) 303-4882 johnmarshall@charter.net

Luke Andrew Busby, Ltd. Nevada State Bar No. 10319 316 California Ave #82 Reno, NV 89509 775-453-0112

luke@lukeandrewbusbyltd.com Attorneys for the Defendant

Exhibit List

1. Order on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below I served the foregoing document on the following parties via US Mail, postage prepaid, and/or electronic service.

GUS W. FLANGAS JESSICA K. PETERSON Flangas Dalacas Law Group 3275 South Jones Blvd. Suite 105 Las Vegas, NV 89146 702-307-9500 F - 702-382-9452

By: A Prop	i
Luke Busby	

Dated: <u>9-26-2e</u>

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1