IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEFFREY REED,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE T.
ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR., DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
ALECIA REED, N/K/A ALECIA
DRAPER; AND ALECIA DRAPER, AS
CONSERVATOR OF EMILY REED,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 81581-COA

AUG 0 5 2020
EUZABETH A BROWN
ERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's refusal to continue a hearing on a child support matter in order to rule on a discovery motion, allow time to designate a rebuttal witness, and allow for in-person testimony and argument.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted, as the hearing is scheduled to begin tomorrow and petitioner has an adequate legal remedy available in the form of an appeal from the order finally resolving the child support matter. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004); *Smith v.*

Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.1

Gibbons

Tao

Tao

Bulla

cc: Hon. T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr., District Judge, Family Court Division Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group La Luzerne Law Brennan Law Firm Eighth District Court Clerk

^{&#}x27;In light of this order, petitioner's motion for emergency relief is denied as moot.