## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KEVIN PAUL DEBIPARSHAD, M.D., an individual; KEVIN P. DEBIPARSHAD PLLC, d/b/a SYNERGY SPINE AND ORTHOPEDICS; DEBIPARSHAD PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, LLC, d/b/a SYNERGY SPINE AND ORTHOPEDICS; ALLEGIANT INSTITUTE INC., a Nevada domestic professional corporation doing business as ALLEGIANT SPINE INSTITUTE; JASWINDER S. GROVER, M.D., an individual; JASWINDER S. GROVER, M.D., Ltd., d/b/a NEVADA SPINE CLINIC, Supreme Court No.: 81596

Electronically Filed Oct 15 2020 01:03 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Petitioners,

٧.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JUDGE KERRY EARLEY

Respondents,

and

JASON GEORGE LANDESS a.k.a. KAY GEORGE LANDESS

Real Party In Interest.

**Response to Motion to Exceed Word Limit for Answering Brief** 

## **Response to Motion to Exceed Word Limit for Answering Brief**

Petitioners hereby respond to Real Party in Interest's (Landess) motion to exceed the limit of 7,000 words for the answer. Petitioners do not necessarily oppose the motion, because the court's decision on the motion will ultimately be a matter within the court's discretion—whether the court wants an answer that roughly doubles the word limit. Petitioners' lack of opposition, however, is conditioned on Petitioners' ability to file a reply that similarly exceeds the word-count limit by approximately 100 percent, if Petitioners determine that they need the extra words (which is likely).

Additionally, Petitioners' lack of opposition to the motion should not be construed as a concession that arguments and factual statements in the motion are accurate. They are not. Petitioners are concerned that the court might accept the motion's factual statements as true, and that this might ultimately influence the court's decision on the merits of the writ petition.

For example, the motion repeatedly accuses Petitioners of injecting race into the trial. The accusation is false. The subject of race was actually injected into the trial by a witness who was testifying for Landess, and by an email authored by Landess himself and stipulated into evidence by Landess. In deciding whether to grant the motion, this court should ignore the motion's emotionally charged false statements about race.

2

The motion also argues that the answer needs to be long because it must address the merits of the mistrial. This is also false. Judge Bare orally granted a mistrial and discharged the jury after the tenth trial day. Once the jury was discharged, the mistrial could not be undone. Consequently, the writ petition does not—and cannot—challenge Judge Bare's in-trial oral decision granting a mistrial and discharging the jury.

On the other hand, the writ petition **does** challenge Judge Bare's subsequent actions, including his issuance of a written order (prepared by Landess' counsel) that purported to contain findings and conclusions the judge had made at trial regarding the mistrial. The order went far beyond the judge's rulings at trial. And importantly, Judge Bare issued the written order while a motion to disqualify him from the case was pending before another district judge. The other judge subsequently determined that Judge Bare **was** disqualified, not because of anything Petitioners said or did, but because of statements that Judge Bare himself made on the record at trial—statements the other district judge expressly found to indicate Judge Bare's bias in favor of Landess' counsel at trial.

The writ petition also challenges other district court orders entered subsequent to Judge Bare's declaration of a mistrial at trial. None of these challenges request this court to grant any extraordinary relief for Judge Bare's oral decision to grant the mistrial. In any event, Petitioners hope the court will not be influenced by the false statements in the motion, despite Petitioners' decision not to oppose the motion. And Petitioners request that if the motion is granted, Petitioners will be allowed to file a reply of equal size.

Dated: October 15, 2020

<u>/s/ S. Brent Vogel</u> S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 6858 KATHERINE GORDON Nevada Bar No. 5813 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702-893-3383

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

/s/ Robert L. Eisenberg

ROBERT L. EISENBERG Nevada Bar No. 0950 LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor Reno, Nevada 89519 775-786-6868 rle@lge.net

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

In any event, Petitioners hope the court will not be influenced by the false statements in the motion, despite Petitioners' decision not to oppose the motion. And Petitioners request that if the motion is granted, Petitioners will be allowed to file a reply of equal size.

Dated: October 15, 2020

<u>/s/ S. Brent Vogel</u> S. BRENT VOGEL Nevada Bar No. 6858 KATHERINE GORDON Nevada Bar No. 5813 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 6385 South Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702-893-3383

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

/s/ Robert L. Eisenberg

ROBERT L. EISENBERG Nevada Bar No. 0950 LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor Reno, Nevada 89519 775-786-6868 rle@lge.net

ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONERS

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I am an employee of LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG, and on this date, the foregoing **Response to Motion to Exceed Word Limit for Answering Brief** was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court, and therefore electronic service was made in accordance with the master service list as follows:

Alexander Villamar Martin Little S. Vogel James Jimmerson

I further certify that on this date I served a copy of the foregoing by depositing

a true and correct copy, postage prepaid, via U.S. mail to:

Honorable Judge Kerry Early Department Four Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Katherine Gordon Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 2300 W. Sahara Avenue Suite 900, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 <u>Katherine.Gordon@LewisBrisbois.com</u> Telephone (702) 893-3383 Direct (702) 693-4336 Fax (702) 893-3789

DATED this 15 day of October, 2020.

() PDD

An employee of LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG