### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

#### RICHARD ABDIEL SILVA

Appellant,

Electronically Filed Sep 22 2021 02:08 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

#### STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

#### CASE NO. 81627

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction After Jury Verdict in Case CR18-1135(B) Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, Washoe County Honorable David A. Hardy, District Judge

### **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME 6**

VICTORIA T. OLDENBURG, ESQ. OLDENBURG LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 17422 Reno, NV 89511 Tel. (775) 971-4245

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

JENNIFER P. NOBLE, ESQ. CHIEF APPELLATE DEPUTY P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89502 Tel. (775) 337-5750

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

## **INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

Document	Date	Vol.	Page
Amended Criminal Complaint	06.29.18	1	0004
Criminal Complaint	11.21.17	1	0001
Defendant's Offered and Rejected Jury Instructions	02.28.20	7	1489
Information	07.03.18	1	0007
Judgment of Conviction	08.07.20	8	1790
Jury Question(s) During Penalty Deliberation; Court Response	03.02.20	8	1752
Jury Questions During Trial; No Court Response	02.28.20	2	0310
Jury Instructions	02.28.20	7	1501
Motion in Limine Seeking Admission of Translated Statements of the Defendant, Richard Abdiel Silva, and Request for Hearing	02.04.20	2	0208
Motion to Prohibit Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony	02.11.20	2	0220
Motion to Suppress	08.08.19	1	0014
Notice of Appeal	08.11.20	8	1792
Opposition to Motion in Limine Seeking Admission of Translated Statements of the Defendant, Richard Abdiel Silva, and Request for Hearing	02.17.20	2	0226

# **INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX (continued)**

Opposition to Motion to Suppress	08.21.19	1	0037
Order After Hearing	02.24.20	2	0303
Order Granting Motion to Suppress	09.19.19	2	0195
Penalty Verdict	03.02.20	8	1755
Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion in Limine Seeking Admission of Translated Statements of the Defendant, Richard Abdiel Silva	02.20.20	2	0238
Reply to State's Opposition to Defense Motion to Suppress	08.27.19	1	0046
Response to Defendant's Motion to Prohibit Uncorroborated Accomplice Testimony	02.17.20	2	0234
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Day 1	02.24.20	2	0312- 0345
		3	0346- 0563
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Day 2	02.25.20	3	0564- 0595
		4	0596- 0845
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Day 3	02.26.20	5	0846
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Day 4	02.27.20	5	1083- 1087
		6	1087 1088- 1337

# **INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX (continued)**

Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial Day 5	02.28.20	7	1338
Transcript of Proceedings Jury Trial – Penalty Phase	03.02.20	8	1556
Transcript of Proceedings Oral Arguments	09.04.19	1 2	0053- 0095 0096- 0147
Transcript of Proceedings Oral Arguments	09.10.19	2	0148
Transcript of Proceedings Oral Arguments	02.21.20	2	0245
Transcript of Proceedings – Sentencing	08.03.20	8	1757
Verdict	02.28.20	2	0308

place, that on Thursday I said you get to have your captions. And I did that over the defense objection. And then when I realized that there were two segments, one of which was captioned, one of which wasn't, that's when I directed the removal of the caption. So there were two different days when I discussed the captions and I discussed them and arrived at different conclusions. Is that consistent with your memory?

MS. RISTENPART: No, Your Honor. My memory is that when you saw the State's proffered exhibit that had the captions underneath that clearly stated Bernard or Richard as identifying the speaker and that it was only certain portions of it, it wasn't like every single word that they had translated was up on the screen, that you stated that you needed a clean copy.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: With that, Your Honor, beyond trying to reopen the argument that's already in front of the Court, the State makes the argument that it would not -- I'm going to start with just the translated statements with Bernard and Richard and then move into the 911 calls. Okay, Your Honor?

In regards to the record of completeness and that I had argued under the rule of completeness, we're

requesting the remainder, which is less than two minutes longer of a conversation between Bernard and Richard, to put it into context. Your Honor is at a disadvantage because you don't know what the rest of the translated statements refer to or what they're even talking about because you haven't had any evidence in regards to that.

And as we had talked about on Friday -- excuse me -- Monday, it was my thought that I would put it on through the State's expert who I was not questioning her expertise in Spanish. She has a long CV that was tendered under the notice of witness experts, that she is a dual language interpreter and translator and does have court experience in translating on the fly.

We are looking at the remaining two minutes, Your Honor. And I think the best way to make a record of this is for me to just to read in what the State is wanting to put in, the English version, and then continue on with what the rest of the conversation is and our interpretation of it. So I'm making a proffer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: And M1 and then M2, so I'll keep it that way, because that's the way they actually have

1	their translation, instead of trying to identify the
2	speakers as they have on their caption video.
3	THE COURT: What does the "M" stand for?
4	MS. RISTENPART: Male I'm assuming.
5	"M2 enters room, walks over and hugs M1. Crying.
6	Unintelligible.
7	"M1: What's up?
8	"M2: (Crying) (Unintelligible)
9	"M1: (Unintelligible) There is one thing that you
10	don't know."
11	"M2: (Crying) (Unintelligible)
12	"M1: Me." Some kind of space. "Me and Lucy dot
13	dot dot.
14	"M2: What?
15	"M1: Me and Lucy also had an affair, dude.
16	"M2: Okay. Dot dot dot.
17	"M1: (Unintelligible) That is why I did it.
18	"M2: Okay. (Crying) (Unintelligible)
19	"M1: I'm sorry, dot dot dot.
20	"M2: (Crying) (Unintelligible)
21	"M1: (Crying) (Unintelligible)
22	"M2: Promise me! Promise me!
23	"M1: What?
24	"M2: Promise?
	8
	1090

1	"M1: Promise me what?
2	"M2: I want to see you out, okay? Promise I'm
3	going to see you out, okay? Promise me!
4	"M1: (Crying) (Unintelligible) I'm sorry about
5	that! I am going to kill myself, dude.
6	"M2: No, no, dot dot dot.
7	"M1: (Unintelligible)
8	"M2: (Unintelligible) I am going to find you an
9	attorney, okay? You don't have to talk, okay?
10	"M1: I didn't tell anybody, dot dot dot, nobody
11	but you about me and Lucy, dot dot dot.
12	"M2: Nobody knows and no one will ever know, dot
13	dot dot.
14	"M1: I don't want to tell anyone else but you,
15	dude.
16	"M2: No one will know. Don't worry. I mean, I
17	knew, don't worry.
18	"M1: I didn't want to kill her, dude. I wanted to
19	kill the dude.
20	"M2: I know, brother, but it's okay, it's okay,
21	brother, it's okay."
22	That's the end of what the State wants to proffer.
23	It continues on.
24	MR. LEE: That's different than what I'm proffering

1	just so you know. That is the time, but the
2	translation is different. I'll just leave it at that.
3	MS. RISTENPART: "M1: My parents!
4	"M2: No.
5	"M1: (Unintelligible)
6	"M2: But I have my brother, dot dot dot, but I
7	have my brother, dot dot dot, friends come and go, dot
8	dot dot. Do you understand? Do you understand me?
9	"M1: I got you, dot dot dot.
10	"M2: (Crying) Do you understand me? Friends come
11	and go. Brothers don't come and go. Brothers don't
12	come and go, bro. (Crying hysterically) I love you.
13	I'll do everything, okay? I'm going to start working
14	and I will send you money and we will get out of here.
15	"M1: (Unintelligible)
16	"M2: No. I promise you. Okay?
17	"M1: Yeah.
18	"M2: Eh?
19	"M1: Yeah.
20	"M1: Okay. (Unintelligible)
21	"M2: Who?
22	"M1: (Unintelligible)
23	"M2: No. They will never know.
24	"M2: (Crying) I'm going to say that it was an

1	accident.
2	"M1: (Crying)
3	"M2: I'm going to tell them it was an accident.
4	Do you understand me?
5	"M1: (Crying)
6	"M2: Brother, calm down.
7	"M1: (Crying)
8	"M2: Calm down.
9	"M1: (Crying)
10	"M2: Calm down. Calm down. I'm going to work,
11	okay? Look, it was you who told me.
12	"M1: Get my parents, dude.
13	"M2: Eh?
14	"Get my parents, dude," from M1.
15	"M2: Your clothes?
16	"M1: No, my parents.
17	"M2: Mom and dad?
18	"M1: Yeah (Unintelligible) sent me money, dude.
19	"M2: Okay.
20	"M1: Thanks, bro.
21	"M2: I would like to be you, dude.
22	(Unintelligible) Okay?
23	"M1: I don't know.
24	"M2: What?

1	"M1: I don't know.
2	"M2: Don't say anything.
3	"M1: (Unintelligible)
4	"M2: What?
5	"M1: I need to know it's worth it.
6	"M2: What?
7	"M1: I need to know it's worth it.
8	"M2: I'm sorry.
9	"M1: Tell Luis call Luis and tell him to tell
10	Maria to help you, to have you added to
11	"M2: No, nothing, nothing, nothing.
12	"M1: Have him close my account.
13	"M2: Okay.
14	"M1: I have to pay all of the and everything,
15	dude.
16	"M2: We're not going to say anything. Everything
17	will stay as it is because you are coming out, brother,
18	nothing, because you are not going to pay anything
19	except your attorney.
20	"M1: The car is already paid off but the
21	registration.
22	"M2: No, my mom is going to pay everything.
23	"M1: My mom sold it. My mom sold the car and paid
24	off.

1	
1	"M2: Right now, brother, we are going to be there
2	for you, okay?
3	"M1: I'm sorry.
4	"M2: No, don't be. I knew it brother, you can't
5	do anything about it.
6	"M1: (Unintelligible)
7	"M2: What? (Unitelligible) Eh?
8	"M1: That if we left it that way, they were going
9	to get you as a conspirer, man.
10	"M2, "What's that?
11	"M1: I was going to tell you what we planned it,
12	but then they take away your kids.
13	"M2: That he got raped?
14	"M1: No, that I told you it was going to happen
15	and they were going to take away your kids.
16	"M2: I know. I know. (Unintelligible (Crying)
17	It's cool. It's cool. You're my bro. You're my
18	brother, brother.
19	"M1: (Unintelligible)
20	"M2: Stay strong! Stay strong, okay? I promise
21	every day I'll come see you whenever I can. Okay?
22	"M1: Take care. I'll see you.
23	"M2: Stay strong."
24	And then Bernard walks out and the rest of his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

family walks in referring to Mr. Silva.

THE COURT: What is the source of your translation?

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, we did our own translation and then I also had Monica Sandoval who does not testify in court anymore -- she's taking care of an elderly mother in California -- do the translation when I realized they were going to use the translated statement.

THE COURT: So how do you intend -- okay.

MS. RISTENPART: Through the State's expert.

THE COURT: But, Mr. Lee, you told me your State's expert never translated that second portion. That's --I believe you and Ms. Ristenpart are honest advocates, but that doesn't sound right to me. So there must be a gap. This prosecution or the law enforcement must have inquired as to what that conversation was about to know not to use it, because they chose to use a portion of it. And I thought you told me yesterday that that second portion had never been translated.

MR. LEE: It had never been translated by us or Reno R.P. that I know of. She would have had it, and she doesn't have it either, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So there was a conversation between Mr. Silva and his brother. You are aware of that

conversation?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: Yes.

THE COURT: And nobody wondered what was said between the two of them, for example, "I killed her. I put the gun underneath the culvert pipe"? I mean, it could have been any inculpatory statement and you never bothered --

MR. LEE: So if I could. I'm sorry. I don't mean to interrupt you. I don't recall how it all came about, but we had a translation that was done of those two minutes. Without having looked at the entire thing, I thought that was the entirety of it. That translation we had Suli, our interpreter, look at, that translation. And she on her own went through and translated as we have now in evidence. There is more, but we never had it translated.

THE COURT: Doesn't that worry you that -- doesn't it worry you that there's more conversation that could be profoundly inculpatory but nobody bothered to look at it?

MR. LEE: I can tell you as well, we received a piece from I believe CPS. The timing is all off on a lot of it, but they hit some points all throughout all of it. And this was available, Your Honor. We had it

Ī	
1	before. So I don't know why it got cut off at two
2	minutes or not. But this is not a full translation by
3	any means, but it does have something such as "Bring
4	my parents. Don't talk anymore."
5	This is the extent of it beyond what I have. It
6	says, "I'm going to start working and send you money."
7	Bernard says, "I will tell them it was an
8	accident."
9	Bernard says, "Calm down."
10	Richard: "Bring my parents."
11	Bernard says, "Don't talk anymore."
12	Richard says, "Call Luis and Maria and they can
13	help you and add you. I still have to pay. Tell them
14	to close my account."
15	Bernard says, "Nothing is going to get paid, only
16	your lawyer."
17	And Richard said, "If I didn't confess, they would
18	put you down as a suspect and take your kids away."
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, that makes sense then. At
20	least somebody looked at that second portion that you
21	have chosen not to seek the admission.
22	MR. LEE: Correct.
23	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, I would like to make a
24	record. Reno Police Department, that's why I called

Detective Hererra on the very first day before trial. You need to remember I asked him a question about did you translate regarding the accident. And Detective Herrera couldn't remember specifically, but then the Court kind of asked what was the whole point of this and then we went to the rule of completeness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In Supplement 13 of Detective Thomas's report who is the lead detective on this, on page 26 Detective Herrera is summarized in that report as making a translation to Reno Police Department and he does state specifically, Detective Thomas, "Bernard told Silva I will tell them it was an accident," which as you know from my proffer was after the cutoff portion as to what the State is asking for you to admit. That CPS reference I've never seen, because CPS has never turned over their records to me in this case.

THE COURT: You would agree, Ms. Ristenpart, that generally your client does not have the privilege of choosing which of his recorded statements go in front of the jury? Would you agree with that?

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, in the rule of completeness there is the case law that says hearsay doesn't trump that, right, and that unfortunately the way our laws are written and the rules of evidentiary

is that the statement against interest is a very powerful weapon under evidentiary rules that the State is allowed to use and pick and choose the statements that they want to put in.

In regards to this particular translated statement, Your Honor, there's a couple multiple layers. When the State announced their lineup for today -- maybe they're changing it, but their lineup of witnesses, I did not hear Bernard Silva's name.

And how they're going to proffer Mr. Silva's, Bernard Silva's hearsay statements in this translated statement without putting Mr. Silva on and violating Mr. Silva's Sixth Amendment confrontation rights as to what were the other portions of this, the unintelligibles, was that exactly what he said -again, this is an interpretation of what they think they said from a very difficult video recording as to what is being said and who is saying what. So how they're going to get past that hearsay and Sixth Amendment confrontational. But then even to go further than that is that that statement, "I will tell them it's an accident," they're talking right in reference to this alleged affair that they're alleging Mr. Richard Silva confessed to his brother.

And that is directly under the rule of 1 completeness, that it puts it into context, they're 2 3 continuing on a conversation about it. And, yes, there's some unintelligible about what else -- there's 4 5 so much unintelligible in this that a lot of things do seem out of context, but under the rule of completeness 6 7 he specifically states as far as we can tell through translation --8 9 "M2: No, they will never know. (Crying) I'm going to say it was an 10 "M2: 11 accident. 12 "M1: (Crying.) 13 "M2: I'm going to tell them it was an accident. 14 Do you understand me?" And "that they will never know" is referencing the 15 16 conversation the State wants to put in as to Lucy and 17 Mr. Richard Silva supposedly having an affair and that he was worried that he didn't want anyone else to know. 18 19 THE COURT: Do you have any arguments related to the recorded telephone call? 20 21 MS. RISTENPART: With that, Your Honor, the bank 22 statement, and I know what the State is trying to keep out, is that after Mr. Richard Silva speaks with his 23 mother and they're trying to bring in what they believe 24

the inculpatory statement is, "I got rid of the gun," that Mr. Silva states to his mom on the jail recorded phone call. What happens next is Mr. Bernard Silva gets on the phone and Mr. Bernard Silva says, "I am the guilty one."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That, Your Honor, under the rule of completeness, I would have to be very pretty frank that it's far removed from the gun portion of it, if I remember correctly. I would have to go back and look at the exact translation. There's some other conversation. But, Your Honor, it's a hearsay exception. That's a statement against interest.

With that, Your Honor, the other statements that Mr. Silva tells Bernard, back to the translated statement, is that "I need to know it was worth it" and reply by Bernard, "I'm sorry," and then Richard telling Bernard that if he left it that way they were going to get you as a conspirer, man, and Richard saying, "I was going to tell you that we planned it, but then they would take away your kids," those statements, Your Honor, I -- even though I could still argue it's under the rule of completeness, unfortunately I think under the case law that it is just trumped unless Mr. Richard Silva takes the stand for those particular statements.

The other I'm arguing does and also the statement against interest in the jail phone call. Thank you.

THE COURT: I appreciate your candor. Sometimes when lawyers on both sides of the courtroom contest nothing and argue everything they lose credibility. And you have a charge to zealously with spirit defend your client's interests, but you're also not -- but you're recognizing that there are some -- I just appreciate your candor and your zeal.

MS. RISTENPART: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lee, let's begin with your memory of my oral pronouncements regarding the transcript, because Ms. Ristenpart and I have a different recollection.

MR. LEE: What I recall is -- was it Friday? I think you had given some preliminary indication that I could use the captions.

THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: And that's what the plan was. On Monday she made the argument about rule of completeness, you ordered it, and then we were talking about that Bernard and Mr. Silva conversation. And so she argued there should be an extension of this interview and you said, "Well, if there's an extension, I'm not going to have

captions on one part and not the other part." And so at that point you said no captions on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: But you then requested that as a compromise I allow captions on the closing argument.

MR. LEE: Yes. And then I think you had stood up, if I remember right, and we brought up the jail call and you said same ruling with the jail call.

THE COURT: Any argument you want to make in response to Ms. Ristenpart?

MR. LEE: A few. There is -- as far as the jail calls, I'll start there, there's really no context that is offered by Bernard 12 pages later in the transcript saying, "I'm the guilty one." We don't have Bernard. We don't know where Bernard is. We've tried getting Bernard. And so he's not here, he's not a part of it. But those don't give any context to Mr. Silva saying, "They're not going to find the gun, I already got rid of it."

I do want to let the Court know that in part of the translation offered by the State and captioned it deletes one small portion of that. Again, this is all that was -- this was provided to defense, but I deleted -- the total phrase is this: "They told me" -in talking about a search warrant for his car, "They

told me because they're trying to find the gun, but I already told them they aren't going to find it, I already got rid of it."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I deleted the part "I already told them." I think that references a conversation that Your Honor suppressed, so I got rid of the quote "I already told them."

Other than that, again -- so that's the talking, "I already got rid of the gun." Bernard's statements have nothing to do with that. They don't give any context, and I think I should be able to play that file alone.

As far as the interview with Richard and Bernard, again, this is something that was provided long ago. The translation was provided long ago. The captioned copy, meaning that translation now inputted into the copy, was provided a month in advance of trial, 31 days I think it was. And so there's really no argument of surprise here.

And the conversation later in that two minutes after the State's proposal ends where Mr. Silva says --I mean, all of this -- apparently -- again, I believe what he said according to the defense translation, "If I didn't confess they would put you down as a suspect" -- I don't think they said "suspect" though --

that really doesn't give context again to him saying what our translation has such as "I didn't want to kill her. I wanted to kill the dude. Me and Lucy were having an affair, dude." Those are really the main points that I'm after.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I will give one caveat. I would have to agree with the defense, the captions that include the identities of Bernard and Richard, I think I could make that argument in closing, but I think for probably the trial itself I think it shouldn't be there. I'm going to be brutally honest, because that's not what the translation entails. I'll have evidence frankly of who's talking and how, so I think that would be something I could argue, and I would still ask to be able to at closing include the captioning.

THE COURT: I very much appreciate having both of you in Department 15. I just spent a moment complimenting Ms. Ristenpart and I also appreciate your candor, Mr. Lee.

I developed the practice of including in every order in limine an escape clause, because trial always unfolds with its own personality. And when Ms. Ristenpart made the argument Monday I did the best with the information at the time that I had. Judges

don't typically like motions for reconsideration because it is typically over-argument, reargument of what is already over-argued. This presents a different fact pattern in that upon reflection and legal analysis Mr. Lee invited me to be more thoughtful about my decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There are two statutes. The first is 51.035 which defines hearsay and excludes from the hearsay rule the statement of a party offered against that declaring party. That's not hearsay.

NRS 47.120 provides that if part of a recorded statement is introduced by a party, that party may be required to introduce another part that is relevant to the part introduced and the non-introducing party may introduce other relevant parts. That's a lot of words. It's kind of complicated and circular. But what I know from the decisional authority is that NRS 47.120 is patterned after the Federal Rule 106. It is designed to establish context so that the portion not offered may be admitted to explain the portion first offered.

The State has offered a portion of Mr. Silva's recorded statement in its case in chief. That is a statement against Mr. Silva. Mr. Silva now asks that other portions of his recorded statement be admitted.

The tension is that Mr. Silva cannot himself offer any portion of his recorded statement. If he wishes to present his own words or voice to the jury, he must do so under oath subject to cross-examination. I'm not requiring that under any circumstances that he do so, but that's the choice that he needs to make.

Having read Torres-Banuelos versus State, there the defendant sought to admit his exculpatory statement to the police under NRS 47.120 and the court held that 47.120 does not compel admission of otherwise inadmissible hearsay evidence. And unless the State offers it, it is inadmissible hearsay evidence. And this applies regardless of the rule of completion.

That same analysis was set forth in Robles versus State where the State only offered a portion of the defendant's statement and indicated upon review that the rule of completeness does not compel the admission of otherwise inadmissible hearsay evidence.

The State does not have an obligation to translate any portion of the recorded statement that is does not intend to introduce. It produced the entire recording during discovery. The defense has some form of translation. It has been proffered into evidence. I do not find that that new proffered evidence

contextualizes and answers a portion introduced -first sought by the State to be introduced. There's no cause for me to conclude that the State's portion creates confusion or it is incomplete and can only be clarified and made complete through the second portion that Mr. Silva seeks to introduce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I am granting a rule for reconsideration, but I am not allowing the transcription to be attached to the video evidence. You may alter -- excuse me. You may not alter the evidence that is admitted into the case. You may present arguments during closing that include some form of demonstration. And that will be my ruling.

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, I do have to ask in regards to the Sixth Amendment right to confrontation, Mr. Bernard Silva being an unavailable declarant and also, Your Honor, the statement against interest in regards to Mr. Bernard Silva stating, "I am the guilty one," now that the State has confirmed that Bernard is unavailable because they can't find him, a statement against interest pursuant to NRS 51.345, a statement against penal interest is admissible if, one, at the time of its making the statement tends to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability; two, a

reasonable person in that position would not have made the statement unless he believed it to be true and; three, the declarant is unavailable as a witness at the time of trial.

Based upon that, Your Honor, we're asking that you admit that one statement Mr. Bernard Silva stated, "I am the guilty one," on that recorded jail phone call which we know the State has very clearly recorded and that Mr. Bernard Silva did have everything to know that he had some criminal liability in this case, he was a suspect, as the Court has elicited and heard from testimony and also that we have a declarant unavailable as even the State confirmed that they cannot get Mr. Bernard Silva.

THE COURT: So you are asking that during your defense case you be allowed to introduce that single statement from Mr. Bernard Silva?

MS. RISTENPART: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: "I am the guilty one."

MS. RISTENPART: Correct.

THE COURT: I'll have to think about that, because Monday I made a quick decision and then upon reflection I revisited my decision. I want to think about that, and I will during trial, because there are a couple of

things going on. I don't understand what the statement means, "I am the guilty one." Guilty of what? I don't understand without cross-examination what was intended by that. And I'm sure that the three of us might have three different interpretations of what that means. So there's an unreliability that attaches to it. I just want to think about that. I want to think about whether a witness can create his or her own unavailability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: I'm giving a puzzled face, Your Honor, because if they're unavailability, they're not here. And that's what the State just said, that they've been trying to find him.

THE COURT: Right. So I don't have any evidence as to the State's attempts to make him available, but I regularly -- I am sensitive to gamesmanship. I'm not suggesting that's happened here, but it is a pattern that I've seen over the past 15 years.

If Mr. Silva has evaded service, for example, if there have been exhaustive efforts, for example, then it would be unjust initially -- I would have to think about it -- to let a benefit inure to his evasion. Again, I don't have any idea what the State's efforts have been, but that unavailability needs to be reviewed

within the context of evidence and not in isolation. You did have a puzzled face. That's why I took some time to try and explain it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: Well, no, I feel that the illusion the State is giving is somehow the defense has engaged in gamesmanship in this case. That's what I was hearing from --

THE COURT: Not the defense. I don't think Mr. Lee suggested that you are doing anything. I could infer from the video that Mr. Silva and -- that Mr. Bernard is hostile to the prosecution, that he is in favor of his brother. I could infer that. And so I don't know what "unavailability" means. I just don't know what the State's attempts are. So I want time to look at 51.345.

MS. RISTENPART: And then in regards to Sixth Amendment confrontational right, Your Honor?

THE COURT: So it is true that Mr. Silva's statements are not hearsay when offered by the State against him. You'll have to find an exception if it exists that would allow Mr. Bernard's comments to come in.

MR. LEE: Judge, there is case authority that when it is context it can come in for these limited

1	purposes. And here Mr. Bernard is really not saying
2	anything. What I have is "Me and Lucy"
3	Bernard says, "What?"
4	"Me and Lucy were having an affair, dude."
5	Bernard says, "Okay."
6	Richard says, "That's why I did it."
7	Bernard says, "Okay," and cries.
8	Mr. Silva says, "I'm sorry."
9	Then Bernard says, "Promise me! Promise me!
10	Promise me!"
11	Richard says, "Promise me what?"
12	"I want to see you out."
13	And it says some more, "Promise me I'm going to see
14	you out, okay?"
15	And then Bernard's other thing is "I'm going to
16	find a lawyer, okay? A lawyer, okay?"
17	Richard says, "I didn't tell anyone, anyone but you
18	about me and Lucy."
19	Bernard says, "No one knows and no one will ever
20	know."
21	And Richard says, "No one I just want to tell
22	you, dude."
23	Bernard says, "No one will know. Don't worry. I
24	knew. Don't worry."

And then Richard, "I didn't want to kill her, dude. I wanted to kill the dude."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bernard, "I know. It's okay. It's okay, bro." THE COURT: So I need some law. You just read the transcript, but I have somebody who is going to be -whose voice is going to be presented to this -- whose voice you want to present to the jury who is not available to confront or cross-examine. There is either an exception or a lawful pathway to get it in or there is not. And you'll have to have time to present that and whatever conclusion the Court reaches the Court reaches.

MR. LEE: A couple other issues, Your Honor, that you've raised. I had talked about with regard to the captions that I agree that identifying Bernard and Richard is an argument. And Your Honor ordered that I can't use the captions in the presentation. What about the jail call? That to me is much different. Here we've clearly got Silva and a woman talking. And so those captions would say "Silva" and "woman." And that I have not -- from the portion I want to use it's the full translation, nothing missing from it.

THE COURT: I think you need to get it in through your witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: I think you need to get it in through your witness.

MR. LEE: I would get it in, but as far as the presentation goes I would like to then -- so I can lay a foundation through my witness through video that she's seen it, that the entire caption appears, it's accurate. And then when I admit it into evidence, then I would like the translator to go through with the video caption. Otherwise I play the video in silence and then I try to admit a translation and then she reads from the translation.

She could do that, but, again, there's nothing that really precludes that one coming in. I mean the caption on there. It's the full translation.

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, the woman on that jail phone call is Mr. Richard Silva's mother. We're still having a Sixth Amendment confrontation issue because there is statements made by Mrs. Silva to Richard that they're wanting to proffer. I know they're not calling Mrs. Silva because they've not subpoenaed her and she has been in court every single day to support her son. I don't know how they're going to do that also with Mrs. Silva's statements in that jail phone call.

In regards to the translated portion, Your Honor, my understanding from yesterday is also that they want to play the full -- this is a jailhouse recording. Everything you say can and will be recorded, obviously inferring that Mr. Silva is in custody which is under the guise of what we try very hard to not have the jury know during jury trial. And then also the fact of Mrs. Silva's comments and statements they also want in without having to put Mrs. Silva on the stand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: Have you subpoenaed Mr. Bernard Silva? MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, we have. And, Your Honor, there's still an open CPS case, so I don't know this inference that's he ducking service. I mean, there's many avenues that are available to the State.

THE COURT: I only constructed a hypothetical. I was in no way suggesting that's what's happened in this case. I identified why I would want to be thoughtful about my decision, because there's a lot of different influences.

Did you ever get a subpoena served?
MR. LEE: No.
THE COURT: And was your subpoena served?
MS. RISTENPART: Yes.
THE COURT: I didn't hear you respond to the

State's request to present Mr. Bernard's statement, "I'm the guilty one," in isolation because he's an unavailable witness, she subpoenaed and served him and he's chosen not to be here. MR. LEE: Has he chosen not to be here? THE COURT: I'm anticipating that during the defense case he will not arrive. It changes things, I guess, if he does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: I don't know that that necessarily -again, I have to revisit the new issue too. I've got to research it and look it up. So I don't know that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I've written what I think the issues are for me to decide. I've already announced that I have granted the motion to reconsider and the State will introduce what portions it chooses and the second portions are not necessary under the rule of completion because they do not contextualize in a way that would rise to a level to equal or overtake NRS 51.035.

There will not be a transcription of the video recording during the State's case in chief, but the State is authorized to create demonstrative aids in support of its closing arguments.

The Bernard video is subject to the defense argument that Mr. Bernard's participation violates the right of cross-examination and confrontation because Mr. Bernard on the video is providing a hearsay declaration. The State is going to respond to that before it introduces the evidence. You'll need time, I guess, to put it together. You told me there's case authority, but I need more than that. So whether the State can bring in the Bernard video in violation of cross-examination and confrontation, we're going to -we'll revisit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mother's participation in a telephone recording from the jail. First, the recording that begins the conference, the conversation, it's that typical admonition, this call is being recorded. There's two sides to look at that. One, it's not -- the State doesn't want to allow the defense to infer that there's some unfair strategy or gamesmanship in which it's been surveilling telephone calls but instead Mr. Silva was on notice that anything he said was subject to presentation, subject to being evidence.

On the other side, Mr. Silva indicates that it indicates he was in custody. I regularly allow that recording to come in, because it is not a surprise to

this jury that Mr. Silva would have been arrested at some point. Mr. Silva has not presented in any way in custody during this trial, but the fact that he has been arrested is not so prejudicial that it will influence the outcome of this proceeding. So the recording admonition is allowed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Silva argues that his rights of confrontation and cross-examination are violated because his mother presents hearsay statements in that recorded call. Whatever legal pathway you present to the Court regarding Bernard, if pervasive, will equally apply to mom. So my decision will be consistent. Either Bernard and mom are out because of cross-examination and confrontation or Bernard and mom are in because of some exception.

And then I also have the issue of Bernard's telephone call participation where he says, "I am the guilty one," whether that can be presented by the defense in its case in chief which we'll talk about out of the jury's presence.

Those are all the issues before me. Did I miss anything?

MR. LEE: Two clarifications, if I could. As far as the jail call, the part that I provided to counsel,

just so everyone knows -- again, I don't think it's any surprise that he's in jail. In fact, the only phone call I'm trying to use is within a day of his arrest, and so that's going to come out. However, I did redact certainly the jail call references. So it usually says "an inmate at the Washoe County Jail." I've redacted that. And so the call itself makes no reference to him being in custody.

THE COURT: Does the call -- does your -- the portion you intend to admit, does it include that prerecorded statement?

MR. LEE: It does. Could I read it? THE COURT: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: So the part that I have -- again, it deletes any reference to the jail, but it says, "Please wait while your call is being recorded. Please hold. Hello. This is a free call from Richard. To accept this free call press zero. To refuse this free call" -- and then he accepts it. "This call is subject to monitoring and recording. Thank you for using GTL."

However, to admit this and lay the foundation I do have to call Deputy Moreno who is the custodian of records at the jail for these calls. And so it will come up, but, again, I've tried to make this --

THE COURT: Unless the defense stipulates, which 1 I'm not suggesting it should, but that's the two-edge 2 3 sword, because I appreciate that you've redacted references to the county jail, but as soon as you bring 4 Ms. Moreno in it's going to reveal that he was in jail. 5 So you'll have to decide which is less worse. 6 7 MR. LEE: And then my other point, what I'm speaking clarification on -- your Honor, you said no 8 9 transcription. Did you mean no captioning 10 transcription? No captioning transcription embedded 11 THE COURT: 12 into video that the jurors see. MR. LEE: Okay. I may still seek a translation on 13 14 paper that would say --15 THE COURT: Yeah. You'll have to lay a foundation 16 for that. 17 MR. LEE: Of course. Thank you. THE COURT: Any clarification from you? 18 19 MS. RISTENPART: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: We'll see Juror No. 13 in five minutes. 21 (A recess was taken.) 22 THE COURT: Good morning. Just have a seat in the courtroom. We're in the presence of Juror No. 13. 23 24 Everyone be seated, please.

1	I just want you to know that I remain aware of your
2	scheduled weekend where your cousin is being married in
3	Las Vegas. And your intention is to leave tomorrow at
4	noon and depart Las Vegas Monday morning?
5	JUROR NO. 13: Right.
6	THE COURT: I just want you to know I continue to
7	think about that. Has anything changed in that
8	schedule?
9	JUROR NO. 13: No, not yet.
10	THE COURT: That is still your intention?
11	JUROR NO. 13: Well, I'm waiting to see what
12	happens here, yeah.
13	THE COURT: Has any flexibility developed that I
14	should now about?
15	JUROR NO. 13: I mean, we can leave later in the
16	day tomorrow. That's not an issue. So that's
17	something I could do. And if I have to cut it short,
18	then I have to cut it short.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. I'm sure that we'll revisit
20	the schedule sometime today.
21	JUROR NO. 13: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Go ahead back into the room.
23	See you in five minutes.
24	THE CLERK: Exhibit 136 marked for identification.

1	(Exhibit 136 was marked.)
2	(A recess was taken.)
3	THE COURT: I understand that you've got a late
4	witness.
5	MR. LEE: We're checking.
6	THE COURT: Please don't stand up. The deputy and
7	I regularly negotiate about who stands and who doesn't
8	stand when I come in the room. It feels so awkward to
9	me, yet I respect the law that we all stand in court.
10	MR. LEE: He's in the basement right now. Do you
11	want him on the stand when the jurors come out?
12	THE COURT: It doesn't matter to me. Why don't we
13	await him and we'll have him on the witness stand.
14	Good morning. You'll return to your place here and
15	we will now invite the jury in.
16	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
17	THE COURT: Good morning. Everyone be seated,
18	please. Mr. Guzman is on the witness stand.
19	Sir, you remain under oath from yesterday.
20	To the defense, you may begin.
21	MS. RISTENPART: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	YIOVANNIE GUZMAN,
23	having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:
24	/////

	I	
1		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MS.	RISTENPART:
3	Q	November 16th of 2017 you were arrested; right?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	For murder?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	With the use of a deadly weapon?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And just a few months before you had turned 18;
10	right?	
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	Graduated high school?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Had been working at a pizza place?
15	A	Jeep.
16	Q	Before that you had been working at a pizza
17	place?	
18	А	Right.
19	Q	You had just gotten a better job at Jeep;
20	right?	
21	A	Right.
22	Q	As a porter?
23	A	Right.
24	Q	Working there for a couple months?
		42

I

	I	
1	A	Right.
2	Q	And you have a large, loving family; right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	In high school you were popular? You had a lot
5	of frie	nds?
6	A	Right.
7	Q	And you have a tight group of close friends,
8	don't y	ou?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	And as we talked about yesterday, Mr. Guzman,
11	your re	lationship to Richard is that you're cousins?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	Your moms are sisters; right?
14	A	My dad.
15	Q	Dad and his mom are brother and sister?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	And you grew up with Richard?
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	In fact, you refer to him as Willo; right?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	And that's a nickname that he got as a little
22	kid?	
23	А	From family.
24	Q	And you know Willo to be a hard worker?

	I	
1	А	Correct.
2	Q	He worked at a bank for several years; right?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	After he graduated high school?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And then worked at the DMV?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And you also knew that Richard loved Bernard's
9	kids?	
10	А	Right.
11	Q	Helped take care of them a lot?
12	А	Right.
13	Q	In fact, his whole family helped take care of
14	Bernard	l's kids?
15	А	Right.
16	Q	And when your older brother was away for a few
17	years H	Richard stepped in to help?
18	А	Correct.
19	Q	Had you wash his car for some extra cash for
20	you?	
21	A	Right.
22	Q	Had you wash like his fish tank?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	For some extra cash?
		44
		1126

1	A Yes.
2	Q And you were frequently over to his house?
3	A Yes.
4	Q His family home?
5	A Yes.
6	Q In fact, during the summer there were times
7	where you would be over at the family home like every
8	week?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Now, yesterday you told us a story. And you
11	told us that you ran into Richard on Halloween?
12	A Yes.
13	Q At Paul's Market?
14	A Right.
15	Q And you told us that Richard said that he
16	wanted to body someone?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Which to you meant kill somebody?
19	A Right.
20	Q And in response you told us that you were like,
21	"Okay"; right?
22	A Right.
23	Q And in response you said, "You could use my
24	car"?

	1	
1	A	Right.
2	Q	And you told us yesterday that you met him
3	later.	
4	A	Right.
5	Q	At a friend's house?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And that there were other people there?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And the purpose was to switch cars?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	But then when you got there you realized you
12	couldn'	t drive a stick; right?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	And so therefore it wasn't switching cars, it
15	would j	ust be that you would just drive Richard?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	To commit murder?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And you told us that you didn't go then, you
20	decided	to go later; right?
21	А	Right.
22	Q	But that you went home?
23	А	Right.
24	Q	Fell asleep?

	1	
1	А	Right.
2	Q	In fact overslept?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	And missed the time for the murder?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	So that you planned to go later in the next
7	night?	
8	А	Right.
9	Q	And that day you went to work?
10	А	Right.
11	Q	And that you told us you went over to the
12	Sbragia	house around like I think you said around
13	8:00, 8	:45.
14	А	Around.
15	Q	And you told us yesterday that Richard's
16	parents	who live at that house were not there.
17	А	That's what I was told.
18	Q	That's what you were told?
19	A	Right.
20	Q	Did you search the entire house for them?
21	A	No.
22	Q	And that you then drove Richard to get
23	cigaret	tes?
24	A	Right.
		. –

	1	
1	Q	And you told us yesterday that also Richard was
2	making	a plan to get gloves or a mask while at the
3	7-Eleve	n?
4	A	One of the two. I can't quite remember.
5	Q	And that you then claim that you drove over to
6	Luz's m	others's house?
7	A	Right.
8	Q	Over by the Nissan dealership?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	And that then you guys drove back to Richard
11	and Ber	nard's house?
12	A	No, not after not after we went to Luz's
13	mom's h	ouse.
14	Q	So you didn't go back to the Sbragia house?
15	A	Later on, yes.
16	Q	That's right. You told us yesterday that you
17	then we	nt to Arturo's house?
18	A	Right.
19	Q	To see if Luz was there?
20	A	Right.
21	Q	And then decided to return back to the Sbragia
22	house?	
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	And that's when you told us that you talked

	I	
1	with Be	rnard?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And talked about the plan of how to kill Luz?
4	A	Right.
5	Q	And Bernard told you, "You're doing this for
6	me"?	
7	А	I can't quite remember his phrase, like how he
8	said it	, but yes.
9	Q	And you told us yesterday that he seemed a
10	little	sad?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	Bernard?
13	А	Right.
14	Q	And you told us yesterday that Richard kept
15	telling	you, "Don't get cold feet"?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Now, you woke up; right?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Warmed up your car?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	And you and Richard drove over to Luz's again?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Then drove over to Arturo's house again?
24	А	Correct.

	I	
1	Q	And then just waited?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Down the street?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	And you played on your phone?
6	А	Right.
7	Q	And Richard smoked outside?
8	А	Right.
9	Q	And you told us the plan was to pick that spot
10	because	there was a stop sign where Luz's car would
11	stop?	
12	А	Right.
13	Q	At that intersection?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	And you saw Luz's car stop at a stop sign;
16	right?	
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	And you saw Richard shoot?
19	А	Right.
20	Q	And you believe it was a revolver?
21	A	I thought so.
22	Q	But you told us yesterday, well, you never
23	really s	saw it?
24	А	Right.
		50

1	Q And that then you guys just drove off?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And you just dropped him off down the street
4	from his house?
5	A Right.
6	Q And you just went home?
7	A Right.
8	Q And you also told us that you met up with
9	Richard to clean his car?
10	A Right.
11	Q But you don't believe that you guys talked
12	about the murder that one time?
13	A Not at that time I believe.
14	Q But then you also told us that you claimed you
15	met Richard at McDonald's; right?
16	A Right.
17	Q And that was just a couple days before you were
18	arrested on 11/16?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And that's when you guys talked about the
21	murder?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And then you were interviewed by police on
24	November 16th?

	1	
1	А	Correct.
2	Q	You told them a story?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	And was arrested?
5	А	And was arrested, right.
6	Q	Now, that interview with police that occurred
7	on Nove	mber 16th of 2017, you were interviewed by a
8	female	detective; correct?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	In fact, I think you referred to her as
11	Mrs. Je	nkins yesterday.
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	That would be Detective Jenkins; correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And that interview was quite lengthy; right?
16	A	Very.
17	Q	It's almost eight hours?
18	A	Right.
19	Q	Right?
20	A	Right.
21	Q	And at first you denied everything?
22	А	Right.
23	Q	And they kept questioning you?
24	A	Right.

1	
1	Q I say "they," but it was really Detective
2	Jenkins; right?
3	A Right.
4	Q Kept questioning you, showed you pictures of
5	your car at the 7-Eleven?
6	A Right.
7	Q And even though you kept trying to deny it,
8	after they showed you the pictures and they kept
9	questioning you, you then said it was Richard who shot?
10	A No.
11	Q So let's go through some of your statements
12	that you told Detective Jenkins versus what you told us
13	yesterday.
14	A Right.
15	Q You told us yesterday that when you went to the
16	Sbragia house that the parents were not there?
17	A Right.
18	Q And you just kind of qualified that a little
19	bit by saying, "Well, I don't know if they were there."
20	When I asked you did you look for them, you said, "No";
21	right?
22	A Right.
23	Q You told Detective Jenkins that you ate dinner
24	with the whole family, didn't you?

	II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
1	A I don't remember.
2	Q You told Detective Jenkins that your tia and
3	tio which is Spanish for aunt and uncle
4	A Right.
5	Q Which would be Richard's parents?
6	A Right.
7	Q were there. You told Detective Jenkins
8	that?
9	A I don't remember.
10	Q You told Detective Jenkins that Noe was there,
11	the little brother?
12	A I don't remember.
13	Q And the babies?
14	A I know the babies were there.
15	Q And you told Detective Jenkins that you
16	remember eating steak with some squished-up potatoes?
17	A I don't remember.
18	Q At the regarding what you told us about
19	meeting up at the market, Paul's Market, you told us
20	you ran into Richard; right?
21	A Right.
22	Q And you listed some people that he was with?
23	A Right.
24	Q But you never told Detective Jenkins that he

1	was with anyone, did you?
2	A I don't remember.
3	Q And you told us that he claims to have said
4	that he wanted to body someone?
5	A As I remember it.
6	Q You told Detective Jenkins that Richard
7	actually asked to borrow your car?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Or asked whether you wanted to go with him?
10	A I don't remember.
11	Q You told Detective Jenkins it was because
12	Richard said that he had some business to take care of?
13	A Correct.
14	Q And that in reply you asked him what business?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And you told Detective Jenkins that Richard
17	replied, "Dirty business"?
18	A I can't quite remember.
19	Q Now, also you told us yesterday that you didn't
20	really ask a lot of questions after Richard told you
21	allegedly that he wanted to body someone which you took
22	as meaning he was going to kill someone?
23	A Right.
24	Q But you didn't even really ask who?

1	A I don't remember.
2	Q When you talked to Detective Jenkins you told
3	her that you and Richard planned it all out in a couple
4	of minutes; right?
5	A I don't remember.
6	Q Are you saying you don't remember?
7	A I don't remember.
8	Q And you told Detective Jenkins that you asked,
9	"Who are we going to kill"?
10	A Right.
11	Q And this all happened in the parking lot of
12	Paul's Market?
13	A No, not that I can remember, not like that.
14	Q And that you told and agreed with Detective
15	Jenkins that you planned the day by opening your
16	calendar and saying, "Thursday is good"?
17	A No, I don't remember that.
18	Q You don't remember agreeing with Detective
19	Jenkins about that?
20	A No.
21	Q And you told us yesterday with the State's
22	questions that you were doing coke on Halloween?
23	A Right.
24	Q But you never told Detective Jenkins that part

1	of your story, did you?
2	A Right.
3	Q You never told her?
4	A I never told her.
5	Q You added that part of the story for this
6	trial, didn't you?
7	A Well, when I was being interviewed by Detective
8	Jenkins I didn't want to say I was because I thought I
9	would get into more trouble. That's why I didn't say.
10	Q And
11	THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on.
12	Deputy, we just had our first cell phone event.
13	I'm going to overlook it. The next cell phone event
14	will result in a \$100 sanction and removal from this
15	courtroom. So if you haven't listened to the deputy's
16	instructions about your cell phone, you've now heard
17	mine.
18	You may continue.
19	MS. RISTENPART: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And, by the way, ladies and gentlemen,
21	I have paid a \$100 sanction myself when my phone went
22	off after that admonition. It applies to everybody in
23	this room.
24	Continue, please, counsel.

1	BY MS. RISTENPART:
2	Q And you told us that you met up with Richard
3	after Paul's Market to switch cars?
4	A I met up with Richard after Paul's Market later
5	on that night.
6	Q To switch the cars?
7	A That was the plan, because he had asked to
8	borrow my car.
9	Q But you never told Detective Jenkins this part
10	of the story, did you?
11	A I can't quite remember.
12	Q Mr. Guzman, you have reviewed your interview
13	that you gave to Detective Jenkins, haven't you?
14	A I haven't.
15	Q Do you remember yesterday stating for the State
16	that you had looked at your interview?
17	A I had not like looked at my interview like
18	since prelim, since preliminary.
19	Q You reviewed the police reports, though?
20	A No, ma'am.
21	Q Do you remember yesterday telling us that you
22	have?
23	A I don't. I don't remember.
24	Q So you're claiming you don't remember

1	telling or not telling Detective Jenkins about this
2	alleged plan to switch up cars later that night?
3	A I don't remember.
4	Q Okay. In fact, you never told Detective
5	Jenkins that at first you were only going to let
6	Richard borrow your car, did you?
7	A Right.
8	Q You added that part, that you were just going
9	to let him borrow it, for trial?
10	A I what? Can you repeat?
11	Q You never told Detective Jenkins what you told
12	us, that your original plan was you would just let
13	Richard borrow the car, did you?
14	A If I told Ms. Jenkins that, is that what you're
15	asking?
16	Q Correct.
17	A I believe I did. I don't remember.
18	Q And you told us this whole story about meeting
19	Richard at a McDonald's after the murder to talk about
20	the murder.
21	A Correct.
22	Q But you never told Detective Jenkins that you
23	had met Richard at McDonald's a couple days before?
24	A Correct.

1	Q You added that part to the story?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And you never told Detective Jenkins that
4	Richard wanted to get a mask or gloves at 7-Eleven?
5	A I don't remember.
6	Q And you never told Detective Jenkins that
7	Richard kept telling you all night, "Don't get cold
8	feet," did you?
9	A I don't remember.
10	Q Now, the way you stated your story is that the
11	plan was to park there because there is a stop sign and
12	that you knew that Luz would stop at the intersection,
13	right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q I'm showing you what has been marked as 138.
16	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MS. RISTENPART: Move for admission, Your Honor.
19	MR. LEE: No objection.
20	THE COURT: 138 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	(Exhibit 138 was admitted.)
23	BY MS. RISTENPART:
24	Q Showing you 138. And I'll point out the stop

1	sign that you're talking about.
2	A I see that right there.
3	Q Well, let's just zoom in for you. The stop
4	sign.
5	A Right.
6	Q You're claiming there's a stop sign there?
7	A No, there's not.
8	Q And you told us that you thought it was a
9	revolver that was used.
10	A Right.
11	Q But you told us yesterday that you never saw
12	it.
13	A Right.
14	Q You told Detective Jenkins that it was a .44;
15	right?
16	A I was pretty sure that's a revolver, correct.
17	Q You told her specifically it was a .44?
18	A I believe so. I can't quite remember.
19	Q And you told Detective Jenkins that you had
20	touched the gun a few months before?
21	A Correct.
22	Q So, Mr. Guzman, a lot has happened between your
23	November 16th interview and yesterday and the story you
24	told us; right?

	I	
1	A	Okay.
2	Q	Would you agree with me a lot has happened?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	You signed a plea deal; right?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	To cooperate with Mr. Lee?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	Who has met with you at least twice?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	And your attorney who is also in the courtroom
11	was the	ere also with you; right?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	And also an investigator who works for Mr. Lee?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	And you have a lot of family here that has been
16	here ev	ery single day; correct?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	Watching trial?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	And you talk with them at night?
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	While trial has been going on?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	And in one of your phone calls you told your

ĺ	
1	mom, "I wish I could tell you everything, but I can't
2	on the phone"; right?
3	A At a point I believe I did. I don't remember.
4	Q Mr. Guzman, I asked to meet with you; right?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And wanted to talk to you; right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q But you refused?
9	A Correct.
10	Q And you have in fact been negotiating with the
11	State for months; right?
12	A I would assume, yes, that my attorney was.
13	Q There's in fact been different offers presented
14	to you; right?
15	A I don't quite know.
16	Q The first offer was plead to a second degree
17	murder; right?
18	A I don't know. I never saw it, but I heard
19	about it.
20	Q And a second degree would be ten years in
21	prison?
22	A Correct.
23	Q To life?
24	A Correct.

1	
1	Q But then Mr. Lee made you a better offer the
2	closer we got to trial; right?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And the better offer was plead to a conspiracy
5	to commit murder with a deadly weapon?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And also that would have carried somewhere
8	between two to 20 years in prison; right?
9	A Right.
10	Q So better than the ten to life?
11	A Correct.
12	Q But then just last week Mr. Lee made an even
13	better offer; right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q For what we talked about, conspiracy to commit
16	murder and a BDW, a battery with a deadly weapon?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And that's just one to 16 years; right?
19	A I believe so. I don't know that it was the
20	same.
21	Q But with this deal, the one that was given to
22	you last week, you could actually get probation; right?
23	A Correct.
24	Q You could walk out a free man?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And the trick is, Mr. Guzman, you're not a free
3	man right now?
4	A Correct.
5	Q The truth is you're in jail?
6	MR. LEE: Objection.
7	THE COURT: Overruled.
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	BY MS. RISTENPART:
10	Q And yesterday and today you're wearing some
11	nice clothes; right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Court clothes?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And you don't have on your handcuffs or belly
16	chain or leg shackles; right?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And people from Mr. Lee's office brought you
19	these clothes to wear; right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And you changed out of your jail uniform and
22	they dressed you up?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And took off your handcuffs and your shackles?

1	A Correct.
2	Q And brought you in to testify; right?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And this deal, this deal for probation, for
5	freedom is based upon
6	MR. LEE: Objection. That's not the deal.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MS. RISTENPART:
9	Q This deal with the potential for probation and
10	freedom is based upon cooperating with the State, with
11	Mr. Lee?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And that is a lot of pressure; huh?
14	A Correct.
15	Q You don't want to be here?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And it's a lot of pressure to stick with the
18	story; right?
19	A Well, the story is true.
20	Q The story that Richard planned to kill Luz and
21	this pressure to stick with the story that Richard shot
22	Luz?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And you're sticking with this story because
	6 6

I

I	
1	this is the story Richard told you to come up with
2	after November 2nd of 2017; right?
3	A After November 2nd? The story, the story that
4	he told me, the plan, was before Lucy's death.
5	Q This is a story that Richard told you to tell
6	the police if they started asking you questions?
7	A We never really discussed in detail what story.
8	Q This is a story Richard told you to tell the
9	police so that the police would stop suspecting
10	Bernard?
11	A No, I don't remember him ever telling me that.
12	Q This is a story that Richard told you to tell
13	so the kids could come back home again?
14	A That Lucy had evidence on Bernard, is that what
15	you're talking about?
16	Q This is a story that Richard told you to tell?
17	A Right, what I just said, yes.
18	Q On November 2nd, 2017, when you drove you
19	and Richard drove over to Parkview and Mezzanine, there
20	was a third person in the car, wasn't there?
21	A When we drove where?
22	Q Over to Parkview and Mezzanine, to Arturo's
23	house car.
24	A Okay.
	67

1	Q There was a third person in the car?
2	A Wrong.
3	Q Three weeks prior to that you knew that Bernard
4	had shot himself?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And you knew that was after getting into a
7	fight with Luz?
8	A I would assume. I believe. I can't quite
9	remember.
10	Q And you were telling Detective Jenkins that you
11	knew it was because Bernard had gotten into a fight
12	with Luz?
13	A I knew it had something to do with Luz. I
14	don't know if it was a fight.
15	Q And it was because Luz was cheating on him?
16	A That part I do know, yes.
17	Q In fact, you visited Bernard at the hospital,
18	didn't you?
19	A Correct.
20	Q And even before that you knew that things were
21	bad between Bernard and Luz?
22	A Somewhat.
23	Q You described to Detective Jenkins that Luz was
24	breaking your family?

	1	
1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Tried to steal your cousin Bernard away?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And that you saw Luz hurting Bernard
5	spiritu	ally?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Saw Luz hurting Bernard emotionally?
8	A	I would assume, yes.
9	Q	And felt Luz was hurting Bernard physically?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And frankly during that time Bernard was kind
12	of a me	ss; right?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	He tried to end his life because of Luz?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	And you knew you knew Bernard was angry?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And you did talk to Richard on Halloween,
19	didn't	you?
20	A	At Paul's Market.
21	Q	You ran into him at Paul's Market, October
22	31st, B	ernard had just been released from the hospital;
23	right?	
24	A	I don't know quite what day, but yes.

I

1	
1	Q And you talked with Richard about Bernard
2	having issues; right?
3	A I don't remember.
4	Q You talked about not letting Bernard not
5	letting the Arturo thing go?
6	A I don't remember.
7	Q And there was a plan in the market?
8	A Right.
9	Q But the plan was Richard asked for your help
10	because Bernard wanted to go confront Arturo?
11	A He asked for my help so he could confront
12	Arturo?
13	Q Because Bernard wanted to confront Arturo.
14	A As in how?
15	Q And that Bernard the family knew that Arturo
16	was part of some motorcycle club?
17	A I didn't know that he was part of a motorcycle
18	club.
19	Q But the family knew?
20	MR. LEE: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	MR. LEE: Calls for speculation.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	/////

1	
1	BY MS. RISTENPART:
2	Q And Richard talked about how he didn't know
3	what Arturo would be capable of and was worried for
4	Bernard?
5	A I don't quite remember.
6	Q And there was concern that Bernard may just go
7	out on his own to confront Arturo?
8	MR. LEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
9	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, during this
10	recess please do not discuss this case amongst
11	yourselves, please do not form or express any opinion
12	about this matter until it has been submitted to you.
13	We'll be in recess for about seven minutes.
14	We'll stand for a minute.
15	(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.)
16	THE COURT: Please be seated.
17	Counsel, I wanted your advice before I start making
18	additional decisions. My thought is to begin
19	sustaining objections as they are presented, because
20	the cross-examination questions are intended, designed
21	to impeach, clarify the testimony presented on direct.
22	I am hearing questions in which a defense story is
23	presented in the form of a question. And defense
24	counsel can't testify in the form of questions and at

some point there has to be evidence the defense intends to present to justify the nature of the questions being asked. So those are my thoughts as I'm hearing this examination. And I now invite your response if I'm going down the wrong direction. First to Mr. Lee and then to Ms. Ristenpart.

MR. LEE: No, that's exactly correct. So I've been watching carefully with that and that is where it's trying to be led to. She certainly has some right to challenge his statements as far as what Richard told him. I get that. But as far as creating her own narrative under the guise of cross-examination to put in her defendant's own statements or her client's own statements, she can't do that.

THE COURT: To the defense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, on cross-examination we have wide latitude as the Court is well aware and coupled with we do some have evidence regards Bernard -- in regards to his statement that "I am the guilty one" coupled with the coconspirator statements after the translated statement that the Court was already talking about.

THE COURT: Well, let me out of the jury's presence then examine this statement ascribed to Bernard that

you hope to have admitted during the defense case because Mr. Bernard is a declarant unavailable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As I read 51.345(1), "A statement which at the time of its making," and then there are three sub-elements, contrary to pecuniary or proprietary interests, tending to subject the declarant to civil or criminal liability, intended to render invalid a claim by the declarant against interest, and then the relevant dispositive provision, subparagraph (d), "So far tended to make the declarant an object of hatred, ridicule or social disapproval, that a reasonable person in the position of the declarant would not have made the statement unless the declarant believed it to be true, a statement tending to expose the declarant to criminal liability and offered to exculpate the accused in a criminal case is not admissible unless corroborating circumstances clearly indicate the trustworthiness."

It appears to this Court that Mr. Bernard --Mr. Bernard's statement, "I am the guilty one," will not be admitted to this jury. I cannot get past this last provision of the evidence code, "A statement tending to expose the declarant to criminal liability and offered to exculpate the accused in a criminal case is not admissible unless corroborating circumstances

clearly indicate the trustworthiness of the statement."

I indicated before the jury was impaneled that this statement, "I am the guilty one," is susceptible to many different interpretations. It is not reliable to me. If you have other corroborating evidence that Mr. Bernard is guilty, I would revisit my decision, but unless such corroboration is presented I cannot allow Mr. Silva's statement in. So to the extent that governs this cross-examination, I wanted to make that evidentiary decision.

MR. LEE: Judge, could I just offer -- on the previous matter I do have some case authority if I could provide that.

THE COURT: Does this go to what we did between 8:30 and 9:30?

MR. LEE: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I don't want to do that now.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Does anybody need to take a break as long as the jury is out?

MS. RISTENPART: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's extend this break.

Deputy, summons me when everybody is available.

(A recess was taken.)

1	THE COURT: The State's objection based upon
2	speculation is sustained.
3	The jury, please.
4	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
5	THE COURT: Please be seated.
6	To the defense, you may continue.
7	BY MS. RISTENPART:
8	Q Mr. Guzman, when you were being interviewed by
9	Detective Jenkins she asked you point-blank did Richard
10	shoot and in response you just remained silent; right?
11	A For a moment.
12	Q And in fact she then stated, "It was Richard;
13	right?" and you still remained silent; right?
14	A I believe so.
15	Q And then she just went on assuming it was
16	Richard?
17	MR. LEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
18	THE COURT: Sustained. No, I'm going to overrule.
19	I'm going to overrule that objection. It's
20	appropriate. You may answer the question.
21	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat, please.
22	BY MS. RISTENPART:
23	Q And then she went on and Detective Jenkins just
24	assumed it was Richard?

1	A I can't quite remember, but the only reason why
2	I was hesitant that I remember was because she had came
3	into the room that I was being interviewed in and said
4	that Richard had already said he had done this, so
5	that's why I was that one moment.
6	Q And in fact you told Detective Jenkins that you
7	were angry at the police; right?
8	A I don't remember.
9	Q You told Detective Jenkins that you felt that
10	they lied to you about what they claimed Richard had
11	said?
12	A I don't remember, but I believe so.
13	Q And those times before Mr. Silva when you
14	talked about this, you were never under oath, were you?
15	A When I talked to Silva?
16	Q When you talked with Mr. Lee.
17	A Okay.
18	Q Right?
19	A I was never under oath.
20	Q When you talked to the police?
21	A Correct.
22	Q You're under oath here today?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And I know the State will come back up and ask

1	you a lot of your questions about are you being
2	truthful with the story that you told them, but the
3	truth is Bernard was in the car; right?
4	A No, ma'am.
5	MR. LEE: Objection.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	MR. LEE: I ask that be stricken.
8	THE COURT: It is stricken.
9	BY MS. RISTENPART:
10	Q The truth is that Bernard shot?
11	MR. LEE: Objection.
12	THE COURT: Overruled. I have a consistent
13	analytical process that may not appear consistent, but
14	I'm overruling that.
15	You may answer the question.
16	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat one more time, please.
17	BY MS. RISTENPART:
18	Q The truth is that Bernard shot?
19	A No.
20	Q And the truth is that there was no plan to kill
21	Luz; right?
22	A I was told there was.
23	Q The truth is that Bernard surprised you
24	shooting; right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

А

He was never there.

MR. LEE: Objection. Same grounds.

THE COURT: I really dislike having you leave the courtroom again, but I am thinking to my inner voice and I want to interact with counsel. I am at fault for not clarifying one thing in your absence the last time. Please hold this inefficiency against me. This will only be a minute or two, but during this recess please do not discuss this case amongst yourselves, please do not form or express any opinion about this matter until it has been submitted to you.

We'll stand for the jury.

(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: Be seated, everyone.

Ms. Ristenpart, I didn't hear your argument in response to what I said, and so I feel like I'm not as fully informed. I indicated -- well, you heard what I said. And one of the examples I wrote down was your question to Mr. Guzman, "There were three people in the car, weren't there?" to which he said, "No."

I'm accustomed to having questions that are grounded in evidence. And so do you have evidence of any type that there are three people in the car or -and that's one example of many. I think you're

entitled to ask this witness ultimate questions, but you can't plant facts, defense facts, in the form of questions. Would you please respond and help me so I know how to make these evidentiary decisions.

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, again, cross-examination is given wide latitude, and I'm reiterating that, because there is some factual basis as we just talked about with Detective Jenkins. And I hate discussing this in front of the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, we'll clear the courtroom, including Mr. Guzman. Everyone is invited to leave, please. We'll all stand as the public leaves and Mr. Guzman leaves and stretch.

Mr. Routsis, you may remain if you like. MR. ROUTSIS: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Be seated, please.

What I don't want to do is create an appearance of regularly sustaining objections in front of a jury. I'm seeking to find balance in what the jury perceives. I understand that cross-examination is given some latitude, but there must be some connection to the scope of direct examination. And my opinion is that the defense cannot present facts in the form of

questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Do you have facts that you're going to present in your defense case that there were three witnesses in the car, for example?

MS. RISTENPART: So, Your Honor, first and foremost, there actually is no evidence there were only two witnesses. You had one witness who then was impeached by his own statements. He'll be further impeached by Thomas Reed that he never actually saw who was in the car or how many people, coupled with, Your Honor, all of the case evidence that the Court has heard is that Bernard was the primary suspect, that there was motive for Mr. Bernard, there was a long history of a volatile relationship with Luz, that there had been a build-up and that Mr. Bernard Silva had access to firearms and that there was a 9 millimeter. We have conflicting testimony from Mr. Guzman that it was a .45 revolver, but yet he didn't see the firearm.

And also in regards to -- even though the Court ruled the statement -- and I know that you ruled it inadmissible at this point. So with all that, Your Honor, defense does have for cross-examination purposes evidence to ask these questions that there was a third person in the car, Bernard.

THE COURT: I think cross-examination can include ultimate questions. Isn't it true that Mr. Bernard Silva was there and he shot the decedent? Yes or no. But then there are a series of facts that make me uncomfortable. That's why I appear to be incoherent in my two different decisions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, Mr. Lee, how do you respond to the defense argument that she's asking questions based upon facts that are in record?

MR. LEE: So those -- any facts that are in record or defenses or suppositions are far from claiming there's a third person in the back. And I can't off the top of my head what the other things were.

Your Honor, there's a decision out of Florida, quote, "For a defendant to make statements in questions to introduce a theory of the possibility that someone else committed a crime, there must be sufficient evidence on the record to support that underlying theory," Cohen v. State, 581 So.2d 926. It's a Florida Court of Appeals but quoted by the Florida Supreme Court in 2012.

Also "No attorney" -- this is the United States Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, "No attorney may ask questions of a witness if he does not have a good faith

21

22

23

24

1

basis to ask it. Attorneys cannot take a shot-in-the-dark approach to their questions."

And so that appears -- I agree with Your Honor. There is a difference between just asking the ultimate question but inferring these facts around it. And so she's crossed the line a few times unless there's a good faith basis. The evidence she just told about does not support any good faith basis.

THE COURT: But how do I make that decision at this point in the trial? because I don't know what the defense case will be. I don't know if she has a good faith basis to form the cross-examination questions.

MR. LEE: Well, then I think she needs to make a proffer to you.

THE COURT: Well, I invited that essentially and she went back to what has already been in the State's case in chief.

MR. LEE: If that's all that she's relying on there's no good faith basis and those questions should be shut down and I'll ask for an instruction.

THE COURT: Ms. Ristenpart.

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, the good faith basis is look at the totality of this case. Bernard Guzman-Silva was a suspect from day one, had motive and

means. Everything that applies to the State's theory also applies to our defense theory that Bernard was the one who shot Luz. In fact, it applies even more because either it was an affair or there was drug dealing going on which still has not been proven up before the Court. So at this juncture our good faith 7 basis for our defense theory is everything that also goes to their theory. And therefore we are asking that you give us the right to proceed forward in cross-examination under our defense theory. THE COURT: I'm ready for the public and the jury. (Public returned to the courtroom.) THE COURT: All right. My general admonition is

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that I will confine consideration to the scope of direct examination. This witness is available for recall in the defense case if I determine that there is some good faith basis for the questions that assert facts in the form of a question. I am going to sustain some objections. You're on notice of that. I'm not automatically sustaining them. I really want this cross-examination to be vigorous and thorough, but it cannot be a form of evidence presentation. So that will be my general approach as I entertain these questions.

1	The jury, please.
2	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
3	THE COURT: If everyone will be seated, please.
4	The jury is entering the courtroom and will soon settle
5	in. And to the defense, you may continue.
6	BY MS. RISTENPART:
7	Q On November 16th of 2017 you knew that the
8	three kids had been taken by CPS; right?
9	A I don't remember, but I believe that
10	Ms. Jenkins did mention that in the interview.
11	Q You actually told Ms. Jenkins that you knew
12	that the babies had been taken by CPS?
13	A I can't remember.
14	Q And Richard loved those kids like they were his
15	own; right?
16	A Right.
17	Q And you know that Richard would never testify
18	against his brother; right?
19	A I wouldn't know.
20	MR. LEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
21	THE COURT: The question was "You know that Richard
22	would never testify against his brother?" It is
23	sustained. It is speculative unless you have some
24	basis to lay a foundation for how he could answer that

1	question.
2	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know.
3	BY MS. RISTENPART:
4	Q Based upon your conversations after
5	November 2nd?
6	A One more time.
7	Q Based upon the conversations you had after
8	November 2nd with Richard?
9	A The conversation that we had never went into
10	that.
11	MS. RISTENPART: No further questions.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Is there any redirect?
14	MR. LEE: Yes, Your Honor.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. LEE:
17	Q Sir, let's talk about again your deal that you
18	made with the State of Nevada. Okay? In fact, do you
19	recall that we admitted these items of evidence, the
20	Information, the charging document and your contract;
21	correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Exhibits 134 and 135?
24	A Correct.

1	Q So Exhibit 134, Count I, conspiracy to commit
2	murder; is that correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Is that what you did?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And let's look at it. That you did willfully,
7	unlawfully and with malice of forethought, deliberation
8	and premeditation conspire with Richard Silva to kill
9	and murder Luz Linares-Castillo.
10	A Correct.
11	Q And, sir, it goes on correct? such as in
12	the furtherance of the conspiracy that you did that
13	Richard Silva contacted you to plan and carry out the
14	murder of Luz Linares-Castillo; correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q That you agreed to use your gray Sequoia;
17	correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q That you drove to multiple locations the day
20	before to find locations where Luz may be staying;
21	correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q To identify locations for the murder; correct?
24	A Correct.

1	Q And to familiarize yourself with the area;
2	correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And that you two agreed to stay and actually
5	did stay the night at Richard Silva's residence on
6	Sbragia; right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q You awoke together that morning together;
9	right?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And you awoke together and stayed there in
12	order to murder Luz Linares-Castillo; right?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Now, this part, it says, "Richard did arm
15	himself with a 9mm handgun with Yiovannie Guzman's
16	knowledge thereof." Now, explain that to us. Because
17	I think you said today that it was or yesterday and
18	today that he normally had a revolver with him; right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q I think you said you assumed that he had a gun
21	this time; correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q But is it true you never saw the gun?
24	A I never saw the gun.

	I	
1	Q	You drove together in that gray Sequoia?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	You went to the location where the boyfriend
4	resided?	
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Mr. Silva discovered that information via his
7	employme	ent at the DMV?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	When you found the vehicle, Lucy's vehicle, you
10	guys wai	ted together on Parkview and Mazzone; correct?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	I think a question was asked to you about
13	Mezzanin	ne before and you looked a little confused. Do
14	you reca	all that question?
15	A	I do.
16	Q	Was that Mazzone?
17	A	That was Mazzone.
18	Q	And at that intersection of Parkview and
19	Mazzone	did Richard Silva approach Luz Linares-Castillo
20	and shoc	ot her multiple times?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Continuing on with that factual basis to which
23	you ente	ered your plea, and afterwards, as you
24	previous	sly planned, you drove together away from the

1	
1	scene obeying all traffic laws; correct?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Now, let's talk about something else. Were you
4	ever a question was asked whether you were offered a
5	second-degree murder. Do you recall that question?
6	A I do recall that question.
7	Q Were you ever offered to plead to a
8	second-degree murder?
9	A I was never shown it and never offered.
10	Q You were offered to plead to a charge and in
11	fact you even looked over documents prepared by your
12	counsel and the State; correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q To a conspiracy to commit murder with a deadly
15	weapon; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Documents prepared, but that didn't go through;
18	right?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Were you aware that was because that charge
21	learned through later research was actually not
22	sustainable, you can't have a deadly weapon to a
23	conspiracy?
24	A Correct.

1	Q	Was that your understanding?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	That charge, however again, you had
4	documen	ts about it correct? a drawn-up contract
5	on it?	
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	Before the State corrected its error; right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	That charge carried a two-to-ten-year sentence?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Plus a deadly weapon enhancement of a
12	one-to-	ten-year?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	So a total of 20 years, is that your
15	underst	anding?
16	А	Correct.
17	Q	So it could be anywhere from three to 20?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And with that probation was still eligible;
20	right?	
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	And it's all in the discretion of who is
23	sentenc	ing you; correct?
24	А	Right.
		90
		1172
	I	

1	Q However, later you were and shortly later
2	correct? you were presented with a new contract?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Was the reason of that because of that legal
5	error?
6	MS. RISTENPART: Objection. Speculation.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. LEE:
9	Q Were you told it was because of a legal error
10	that had to be corrected?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q And that is why it went to a conspiracy to
13	commit murder, and then a second count is added,
14	battery with a deadly weapon; is that correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q So your first negotiation went from three to
17	20; right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Did the defense ask you on cross-examination
20	just a moment ago that now you're only pleading up to
21	16 years? Do you remember that question?
22	A Correct.
23	Q That's not correct, is it?
24	A No.
	91

1	Q In fact, paragraph 6 of our negotiation lays
2	that out. Count I carries a range of two to ten years
3	in prison; correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Count II carries a range of sentence of two to
6	ten years in prison; correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q You're still facing 20 years?
9	A Right.
10	Q And now you could face a minimum of four years
11	to 20; correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And let's be frank too. Again, it is still
14	probation eligible at the discretion of your sentencing
15	judge; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And then these can run consecutive or
18	concurrent, so a judge could say serve them at the same
19	time, is that what you understand as well?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q There's been no other negotiations beside this
22	with me or any representative of the State; correct?
23	A Right.
24	Q There's been no promises for, well, if you

1	testify well, then we're going to do something else?
2	A Right.
3	Q It's always been just this; correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And then going back to that we talked about
6	conspiracy. You didn't pull the trigger, did you?
7	A No, sir.
8	Q But you did conspire?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q You agreed?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q And you assisted?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q And then that forms the basis of Count II, not
15	that you committed battery but that you aided or
16	abetted or conspired with him to use unlawful force or
17	violence; is that accurate?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q Because you didn't pull any trigger that night;
20	is that right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q I want to clear up a couple of things based on
23	the questions that you had asked at asked upon you
24	on the cross-examination. You were never told by

I	
1	Richard Silva to keep Bernard out of it, were you?
2	A I was never told by Richard Silva to keep
3	Bernard out of it, that's what you said? Correct?
4	Q Is that correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When you gave your confession to Detective
7	Jenkins back on November 16th of 2017 was I present?
8	A No, sir.
9	Q Was there any deal made at that time?
10	A No, sir.
11	Q That confession therefore wasn't contingent on
12	something happening to your case later on?
13	A Right.
14	Q And you were still arrested and charged with
15	the murder of Luz Linares-Castillo that day; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Based upon your conspiracy of that?
18	A Correct.
19	MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Recross.
21	MS. RISTENPART: The Court's indulgence.
22	RECROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. RISTENPART:
24	Q Mr. Guzman, that plea deal he just showed you
	94

1	again to have you agree with everything or that you
2	agreed with everything that he showed you, that plea
3	deal is the difference between you spending life in
4	prison or potentially walking out a free man on
5	probation; right?
6	A Correct.
7	MS. RISTENPART: No further questions.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
9	and leave the courtroom. You are subject to recall
10	which means that upon request you shall return to
11	court.
12	Let's all stand as we await the State's next
13	witness.
14	MR. LEE: Judge, can we have a sidebar?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	Remain standing.
17	Counsel, please.
18	(Discussion off the record.)
19	THE COURT: Please be seated.
20	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
21	(The oath was administered.)
22	THE WITNESS: I do.
23	THE CLERK: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Proceed, please. Remember to speak

1	
1	loudly so everyone can hear.
2	To the State.
3	MR. LEE: Thank you.
4 5	DEBORA MORENO, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. LEE:
8	Q Good morning, ma'am.
9	A Good morning.
10	Q Could you please give us your first and your
11	last name and spell your last name for us.
12	A Debora Moreno, M-o-r-e-n-o.
13	
	Q Ms. Moreno, how are you employed?
14	A I'm employed by the Washoe County Sheriff's
15	Department.
16	Q In what capacity?
17	A I work in the Inmate Management Unit.
18	Q What does that mean? What are your duties?
19	A The Inmate Management excuse me the
20	Inmate Management Unit anytime anybody is booked in
21	the Washoe County Jail our department handles the
22	security level of actually it's called the
23	classification level of the inmate, where they're going
24	to be housed. Anything that has to do with the custody

1	of the inmate while they're in custody, our department
2	handles that, their housing, security levels, whether
3	there's going to be programs, no programs. We also
4	assist other agencies along with our own agency in
5	monitoring the mail, phone calls, email, iWeb visiting.
6	Q So that's what I'm I'm going to ask you
7	about that. You said you are involved in monitoring of
8	those systems?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What do you mean by that?
11	A We listen to phone calls or iWeb visits or
12	monitor the mail for various reasons. Some are for
13	security reasons inside the facility; some is to assist
14	law enforcement with cases.
15	Q So if there's an inmate that's brought into the
16	jail, say right after arrest even, are they generally
17	allowed to make a phone call?
18	A Yes, they are. They'll make a phonecall in the
19	intake area.
20	Q Are those phone calls recorded?
21	A Yes, they are.
22	Q All of them? For every inmate?
23	A Yes, all calls are recorded. It even states
24	that at the beginning of the phone call.

1	Q Are they I'm sorry. It states that in the
2	beginning of the phone call?
3	A Yes, it does.
4	Q And are those all kept just in the regular
5	course of business there of what you do?
6	A Yes, they are.
7	Q And they're stored?
8	A At the jail.
9	Q Access to these calls, are they available to
10	the public?
11	A No, they're not.
12	Q Password protected?
13	A Yes, they are.
14	Q And you have such a password?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q Are you aware of a phone call placed on
17	November 17th, 2017, at 1431 hours by Richard Silva?
18	A Yes, I am.
19	Q Was that a call that was recorded and stored in
20	the system you've described?
21	A Yes, it was.
22	Q At the beginning of that call is Mr. Silva and
23	whoever he's speaking with told that this is subject to
24	recording and monitoring?

1	A Yes, it is.
2	Q First of all let me show you Exhibit 98. Do
3	you recognize what that is?
4	A Yes, I do. This is a call sheet of the calls
5	that were made by Richard Silva.
6	Q And is it an accurate statement of those calls?
7	A Yes, it is.
8	MR. LEE: And I move to admit 98.
9	MS. RISTENPART: No objection.
10	THE COURT: 98 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.
11	THE CLERK: Thank you.
12	(Exhibit 98 was admitted.)
13	BY MR. LEE:
14	Q Showing you Exhibit 98, I draw your attention
15	to the first column that I'm circling here with the
16	number 1. Okay. So explain the process to us. What
17	is this row? I said "column." Excuse me. What does
18	this row indicate to us?
19	A The site ID represents a call coming out of
20	Washoe County. The dial number is the number that is
21	being called.
22	Q And let me ask you a question about that dial
23	number. So it's (775) 356-6031?
24	A Yes, it is.

1	Q Do you have information about whose call that
2	is whose number that is?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. RISTENPART: Objection.
5	THE COURT: No, the question is do you have
6	information about whose call that is. If you have the
7	information, yes or no.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. LEE:
10	Q Where did you get that information?
11	A That information is listed in Richard Silva's
12	bio information.
13	Q And is it information that Richard Silva
14	provides
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q as to the identity of that number?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And whose identity is that number?
19	A Irma Guzman.
20	Q And do you know that to be his mother?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Okay. So let me carry on. So the next
23	column, date, that's obvious; right?
24	A Yes, November 17th, 2017.

I	
1	Q And this is our time at 1431?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What does this mean now, the inmate phone?
4	A That's the phone in the intake lobby. They are
5	numbered 1 to 8. So that's the phone where the phone
6	calls are placed.
7	Q And so where the phone call took place, that's
8	where the location, physical location?
9	A The location, yes.
10	Q Intake, what is that?
11	A Intake is the intake lobby where everybody
12	comes into. And it's divided. Males sit in one area,
13	females sit in the other. There's no talking. They
14	have to sit there. There's orientation videos played.
15	So it's an intake area before somebody goes back to
16	housing if they're going to be housed at the jail.
17	Q So is that where someone would go shortly after
18	arrest?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And by this being a number 1, does this
21	indicate it's the first phone call by Richard Silva?
22	A Yes, it does.
23	Q And then what is this PIN?
24	A The PIN is the booking number. Anytime anybody

comes into custody there's a generated booking number 1 given. It's the first -- the first two numbers are the 2 last two numbers of the year. And then it generates a 3 total of five additional numbers so that a booking 4 number has seven numbers to it. 5 Is that booking number -- and that booking 6 Q 7 number is the same as this PIN? Yes, it is. 8 А Is that unique in this case to Richard Silva? 9 0 Yes, it is. It's his identifier as well. 10 А 11 Are there rules regarding that PIN, about the Q 12 sharing of it? Yeah, you're not allowed to share your PIN 13 А 14 number with other inmates in the facility to place 15 calls or to use your booking number. There's also 16 attached -- with that PIN, that booking number, there's 17 also attached a four-digit PIN number. That is security so somebody, even if they were to punch in 18 19 that booking number, they're not going to be able to really access that without the four-digit PIN. 20 21 So if an inmate is going to make a call, Q 22 identify himself as an inmate, say Richard Silva, he has to put in his PIN and the four digit? 23 24 А He has to put that PIN number in and then the

1	
1	four digit PIN as well.
2	Q Sometimes inmates do share those; right?
3	A Yes, they do.
4	Q But at this point based on where this location
5	is coming from, intake I think you said before you
6	can't talk at intake?
7	A No, you're not allowed to talk in intake. And
8	the likeliness of somebody sharing somebody's PIN, I've
9	never seen somebody share somebody's PIN in intake in
10	the 17 years I've been doing this.
11	Q So that's just because it's so early in the
12	process?
13	A It's so early in the process and they're not
14	allowed to converse with each other.
15	Q And then at the beginning of the phone call
16	I think we already we talked about that. It
17	warns right? that it's monitored and it's
18	recorded?
19	A Yes, it does.
20	Q Does the person identify themselves?
21	A Yeah, they usually either state their first
22	name or last name.
23	Q In this case did you provide a recording or
24	are you familiar with a recording from this phone call

1	we've been talking about?
2	A Yes, I am.
3	Q And the contents of this phone call?
4	A Yes, I am.
5	Q Did the caller using this PIN indicate his name
6	was Richard?
7	A Yes, he did.
8	Q I'm showing you Exhibit 99. Just one moment.
9	Okay. Exhibit 99. Do you see on the tag that's
10	linked to that thumb drive?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	Q Do you recognize anything on that?
13	A Yeah, it has my signature saying that I
14	listened to the phone call and the date and stuff is on
15	there.
16	Q What is the date that appears on it?
17	A February 20th.
18	Q Is that the date you listened to that phone
19	call to verify it?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And so does that thumb drive include the
22	contents or part of the contents of that phone call?
23	A Yes, it does.
24	Q In fact, that thumb drive is two files;

1	correct?
2	A Yes, it is.
3	Q The introductory part?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And then one portion of the phone call later?
6	A Yes, it is.
7	Q And is that phone call or at least the portion
8	of it that we talked about, the second file, in that in
9	primarily Spanish?
10	A Yes, it is.
11	Q Do you speak Spanish?
12	A No, I don't.
13	MR. LEE: Your Honor, that's all the questions I
14	have. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. RISTENPART:
18	Q Ms. Moreno, looking at Exhibit No. 98, there's
19	another little column here that says "Duration"; right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that call that we've been talking about
22	lasted 14 minutes and 14 seconds; right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And in fact there was a female speaker on the

ĺ	
1	phone; right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And also another male besides the inmate who
4	was also on the phone?
5	A Yes.
6	MS. RISTENPART: I have no other questions.
7	THE COURT: Redirect.
8	MR. LEE: I have nothing off that.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
10	and leave.
11	Your next witness, please.
12	MR. LEE: Ms. Kindra Baum.
13	THE COURT: This is the witness who will provide
14	some explanation of science?
15	MR. LEE: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So is everybody okay or does
17	anybody need a break? Would you like a break?
18	MR. LEE: No, but I thought I saw a hand go up.
19	THE COURT: Does anybody need a break?
20	So what we'll do is we revisit a break in 20 to 30
21	minutes or so.
22	THE BAILIFF: Just step all the way up and face the
23	clerk, please.
24	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

1	(The oath was administered.)			
2	THE WITNESS: I do.			
3	THE CLERK: Thank you.			
4	THE COURT: Please don't focus so exclusively on			
5	the jury that your face is away from me or your mouth			
6	is not close to the microphone. So you'll have to			
7	balance. I know you're answering questions, you're			
8	speaking to the jury, but I need to be able to hear			
9	things as well.			
10	THE WITNESS: Sure.			
11	THE COURT: Thank you.			
12	KINDRA BAUM,			
13	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:			
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
15	BY MR. LEE:			
16	Q Good morning.			
17	A Good morning.			
18	Q Could you give us your first and last name and			
19	spell both of those for us.			
20	A It's Kindra Baum. First name is spelled			
21	K-i-n-d-r-a. My last name is spelled B-a-u-m.			
22	Q What do you do for a living?			
23	A I work at the Washoe County Sheriff's Office in			
24	the Forensic Science Division.			

1	Q Are you do you work in a specific unit
2	within the Forensic Science Division?
3	A Yes. I'm a criminalist. I work in the biology
4	unit which includes the primary examination section and
5	a DNA section.
6	Q Do you have any how long have you been doing
7	that?
8	A Approximately ten years.
9	Q Do you have any education that qualified you
10	for that job?
11	A Yes, I do. I have a bachelor's degree in
12	biochemistry from the University of Nevada, Reno. I
13	also took 11 courses from the President's DNA
14	Initiative. These covered crime scene basics to
15	advanced and emergent DNA technologies. I attended a
16	Y chromosomal DNA lecture presented by Jack Valentine
17	for the National Center of Forensic Sciences. I
18	obtained DNA training at the Las Vegas Metropolitan
19	Police Department and a National Institute of Standards
20	and Technology webcast and at the 21st International
21	Symposium on Human Identification.
22	Q As part of your employment at the sheriff's
23	office are you required to maintain certain standards
24	and go through quality assurance or other trainings as

jou go urong		you	go	along
--------------	--	-----	----	-------

21

22

23

24

A Yes, I am.

Q Could you explain that briefly?

A Sure. I passed two formal in-house training programs, one specific to primary examination and the other specific for DNA analysis. In the primary examination section I observed qualified primary examiners screen evidence for the presence of biological fluids or presumptive and confirmatory testing.

I then completed practical exercises that mimicked actual case work. I read previous reports. I wrote reports. I passed both written and oral exams and a competency test.

In the DNA section I obtained DNA results from mock items commonly left at crime scenes getting the expected results. Again, I read previously written reports, wrote reports, read scientific literature relevant to forensic DNA analysis and passed both written and oral exams and a competency test.

Q Have you testified in the area of DNA in the Second Judicial District Court previously?

A Yes, I have.

Q How about in other jurisdictions as well?

1	A Yes, I have.
2	Q And is the crime lab here in Washoe County
3	accredited?
4	A Yes, it is.
5	Q Ms. Baum, did you perform examinations under
6	FSD17326?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q That number is a number assigned to the
9	Forensic Science Division of a given case; correct?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And in this in a case such as this do police
12	or perhaps even others gather evidence and submit it to
13	the crime lab and request testing?
14	A Yes, that's correct.
15	Q Is that how it gets to you?
16	A Yes, it is.
17	Q And then are there multiple individuals,
18	criminalists, in the crime lab who do testing similar
19	to what you did in this case?
20	A Yes, there are.
21	Q And so how did you get assigned to this
22	particular case?
23	A My supervisor came to me and said we had a rush
24	case and she asked me to perform analysis on this case.

	1
1	Q So given the rush nature of it was there just a
2	few items that you examined at the start?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q What were those in a general sense?
5	A Cigarette butts.
6	Q Okay. Ms. Baum, going on in this case did you
7	perform analysis involving you said cigarette butts?
8	A Yes, that's correct.
9	Q You identified those items as well
10	correct? in your work?
11	A Yes, that's correct.
12	Q Have you prepared a PowerPoint slide that
13	defines a little bit more of which items you tested?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q It talks about your results?
16	A Yes, it does.
17	Q And does it explain briefly the DNA process and
18	how it works?
19	A Yes, it does.
20	Q Would you take a look at Exhibit 102. If you
21	can turn it over, there's a tag on the thumb drive; is
22	that right?
23	A Yes, that's correct.
24	Q Does that have your initials and a date on it?
	111

1	A It does.
2	Q Does that mean you've looked at the contents?
3	A I have looked at these contents.
4	Q And is this a PowerPoint prepared by you to
5	help explain your testimony today?
6	A Yes, it is.
7	MR. LEE: Move to admit for demonstrative purposes.
8	MS. RISTENPART: No objection.
9	THE COURT: It is admitted, though I did not write
10	down the number, so I'm sorry.
11	MR. LEE: 102.
12	THE COURT: 102 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.
13	THE CLERK: Thank you.
14	(Exhibit 102 was admitted.)
15	THE COURT: As you begin speaking without questions
16	please monitor your pace. Your vocabulary and the pace
17	will make it difficult for the reporter.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	BY MR. LEE:
20	Q So looking at Exhibit 102, this PowerPoint,
21	this is it?
22	A Yes, that's correct.
23	Q Okay. Can you walk us through how it works,
24	the process. And then once the process stops, then

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll ask you a few more questions. Okay?

A Sure. So the Washoe County Sheriff's Office Forensic Science Division is currently accredited by ANAB which is the American National Standards Institute, National Accreditation Board. And we have been accredited since December 1994.

All living things are made up of cells which in each cell is a control center called the nucleus. The nucleus contains chromosomes which are structures composed of DNA. We have 23 pairs of chromosomes which in each chromosome pair one chromosome is inherited from our father's sperm and the other chromosome is inherited from our mother's egg.

There are many sources of biological evidence. These can include blood, semen, saliva, urine, hair, teeth, bone, tissue and sweat. All the DNA from one person will be the same regardless of what cells it comes from.

Some typical items used as evidence include blood stains, semen stains, licked areas, handled items, cigarette butts, bottles and cans, chewing gum and even food.

In the lab the evidence is placed into a tube. Chemicals are added to the tube to pop open the cells

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to release the DNA. The amount of DNA that's isolated may be very small. We need to make copies of it so it can be analyzed. And this is done through a process called the polymerase chain reaction or PCR for short.

Through multiple copies of the polymerase chain reaction the isolated DNA is exponentially copied so at the end of the process we have many copies. Think of this as like making copies on a copy machine.

That DNA is called amplified DNA. We can then analyze it for short tandem repeats or STRs for short. To understand what STRs are let's take a closer look at DNA.

So DNA is shaped like a twisted ladder and the rungs of the ladder have bases and we have four bases that make up our DNA. We have adenine, thymine, guanine and cytosine.

Specific locations on our DNA have repeated units of bases. For example, here you have an adenine and an adenine and a thymine and a guanine. This unit is repeated three times.

Everyone will have the same repeat units at specific locations on the DNA. The number of times the unit is repeated is variable among individuals. STR analysis looks at the number of repeat units at

multiple locations on the DNA to obtain a DNA profile.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

For example, let's take a look at Jack. So Jack inherited four AATG repeats from his father and six AATG repeats from his mother. So Jack's DNA profile at this location is a 4-6.

Now, let's take a look at Jill at that same location. So Jill inherited two AATG repeats from her father and three AATG repeats from her mother. So Jill's DNA profile at this location is a 2-3.

So just by looking at Jack and Jill you can see that the number of repeats is highly variable between individuals. And that's one chromosomal pair. Remember we have 23 pairs of chromosomes.

So let's say 1 in 10 people wear purple shoes. So 1 in 10 is pretty common. It doesn't help me identify someone. So let's say 1 in 10 people wear glasses. Again, that's pretty common. Well, you don't have to be wearing purple shoes to be wearing glasses. That means these events are independent of each other.

So I can multiply the frequency of the first event times the frequency of the second event to get the frequency of both events occurring at once. So the number of people who are wearing purple shoes and wearing glasses is 1 in 10 times 1 in 10 is 1 in 100.

So the more information I have the easier it is to identify someone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, this same principle applies in DNA analysis. So let's say I have DNA results from a piece of evidence. And I look at that first location, and let's say that is a 2-3 at that first location. I can go in a DNA database and see how many people have a 2-3 at that first location. And for ease of math let's just say 1 in 10. So 1 in 10 have a 2-3 at that first location.

And a population database is simply a collection of DNA profiles. So 1 is 10 is pretty common. It doesn't tell me much. But I can go to the second location. Let's say the evidence at that second location is a 3-4. I go into that DNA database and let's just say 1 in 10 people have a 3-4 at that second location. Because these chromosomes are independently inherited, I can multiply the first event times the frequency of the second event to get the frequency of both events occurring at once.

So the number of people who have a 2-3 at that first location and a 3-4 at the second location is 1 in 10 times 1 in times is 1 in 100. And you can multiply all those frequencies across and you may hear a really

large number. So the larger the number the more rare 1 that profile is in a population. 2 So back in the lab that DNA is injected into this 3 instrument to obtain a DNA profile. And this is an 4 5 example of what a DNA profile can look like. So the gray bars are areas where STR testing is performed. 6 And the peaks represents the number of repeats. 7 So at that first location you can see you have two 8 9 peaks, one labeled a 12 and the other labeled a 15. 10 Ms. Baum, can I interrupt up? You say that 0 "first location." Is this the first location that 11 12 we're looking at? That's correct. 13 А 14 Q And that's a location on a chromosome that 15 you're looking at? 16 А That's correct. 17 And so if you go into the second location -- is Q this the second location? 18 19 Yes, it is. А 20 And, again, it's the -- what is it, a VWA? Q 21 А Yes. 22 That's a specific location on the chromosome? Q 23 That's correct. А 24 Okay. Q

A So looking at that first location you have two peaks, one labeled a 12 and the other labeled a 15. That means that this person inherited 12 repeats from one parent and 15 repeats from the other parent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Going to the second location, you can see there's one peak and it's labeled a 17. That means that this individual inherited 17 repeats from both mom and dad.

So these DNA results can be put into a table form. And these DNA results are meaningless unless a comparison can be made. And that's why we ask for reference samples to be submitted to our laboratory. So reference samples are samples taken from known individuals.

So let's say we get a reference sample in and this is their DNA profile. You can see that these numbers do not match. That means that this person is excluded as being the source of the DNA on the item of evidence.

Let's say that we get another reference sample in and this is their DNA profile. You can see that these numbers match. That means that this person cannot be excluded as being the source of the DNA on the item of evidence.

When the evidence DNA profile matches the reference DNA profile, that DNA profile is entered into a

population database to determine how common or rare that profile is in a population. If the most common profile frequency is rarer than 1 in 8 trillion individuals, I can say that that person is the source of the DNA obtained from the item of evidence.

So this is an example of what a full profile looks like. A full profile means I get results in all areas tested. When I get results in all areas I would expect this profile to be extremely rare in the population.

Sometimes more than one person will leave their DNA on an item of evidence, and this is called a mixed pattern. And you can tell that based on the number of peaks. So at that first location on your left-hand side you see four peaks. That means that there's at least two people that contributed to this mixture, because one person can only have a maximum of two peaks.

And sometimes just due to a low level of DNA we can't make any conclusions at all. And this is an example of what a low level DNA profile would look like.

## Q Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In this case, Ms. Baum, did you test -- the lab assigns item numbers as they come into the lab in

1	evidence; correct?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q In this case did you test Item No. 1 which was
4	identified to you as an NXT cigarette butt collected on
5	the sidewalk just east of the Parkview Street and
6	Mazzone Avenue intersection and identified with a
7	placard 1?
8	A Yes, that's correct.
9	Q Did you also analyze Item No. 4 which was the
10	same description but identified by Placard No. 4?
11	A Yes, that's correct.
12	Q Then Item No. 12 which is an NXT cigarette butt
13	collected on the asphalt in the parking lot of 3574
14	Mazzone Avenue identified as placard 13?
15	A Yes, I did.
16	Q Did you also use that use a reference sample
17	from a Bernard Silva-Guzman?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q Did you use a reference sample from an Arturo
20	Manzo as item 36?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q Did you also have a reference sample as item 82
23	from Richard Silva-Guzman?
24	A Yes, I did.

1	Q And as item 37 did you have a Kirkland water
2	bottle with a cap?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q Now, let me first ask you about with regard to
5	the cigarette butts, items 1 and 4. You didn't analyze
6	all four cigarette butts found at that specific
7	location, did you?
8	A No, I did not.
9	Q Why is that?
10	A My supervisor told me to pick three.
11	Q Okay. And is that just part of based on how
12	much workload you have there?
13	A That's correct, and the fact that it was a rush
14	case. So the least amount of samples means I can give
15	those results quicker to an agency.
16	Q So with those cigarette butts, placard 1,
17	placard 4, you cut them first? Or what do you do first
18	with the cigarette butts?
19	A So I look at them, I note the condition of
20	them. And then cigarette butts, I like to cut the
21	filter paper off where someone might have put their
22	lips, and I use that for DNA analysis.
23	Q Based on those cuttings as well as the placard
24	13 cigarette butt as well, were you able to create a

1	DNA profile?
2	A Yes, I was.
3	Q On all three of those cigarette butts?
4	A I got DNA results for all three cigarette
5	butts.
6	Q Okay. Focusing on placards 1 and 4 cigarette
7	butts, I think you called placard 1 an A1 butt; is that
8	correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Is that just to help you in tracking?
11	A That's the name of my sample. Yeah, it helps
12	me track it through the analysis process.
13	Q Placard 4 you called a B1 butt?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q So you had a profile. Were you asked by police
16	on a rush request to compare those with a sample from
17	Bernard Silva-Guzman?
18	A Yes, I was.
19	Q Were your able to draw any conclusions from
20	that?
21	A Yes, I was.
22	Q Do you recall what that was?
23	A Yes, I do.
24	Q Please, if you could tell us what that was.

I	
1	A Sure. So Bernard Silva-Guzman is excluded as
2	being a source of the DNA obtained from the A1 butt and
3	B1 butt cuttings.
4	Q Were you asked also to analyze with Y-STR?
5	A Yes, I was.
6	Q Explain briefly, if you could, what the Y-STR
7	means.
8	A Sure. So Y-STR is just short tandem repeats on
9	the Y chromosome only. And the Y chromosome is
10	inherited directly from father to son. There is no
11	recombining of the Y chromosome. So father, sons,
12	paternal relatives all have the same Y chromosomal DNA
13	profile.
14	Q And how about with looking at the Y-STR
15	analysis of those two cigarette butts, A1 and B1, were
16	you able to draw any conclusions?
17	A Yes, I was.
18	Q What was that?
19	A That the comparison of the Y chromosomal DNA
20	profile showed that Bernard Silva Guzman and any of his
21	paternal biological-related relatives could not be
22	excluded as being the source of the Y chromosomal DNA
23	results obtained from the A1 butt and B1 butt cuttings.
24	Q And so on a rush request does that mean you did

1	it pretty quick for them?
2	A I try my best.
3	Q Normally there's a pretty long waiting period
4	just based on the caseload; right?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And doing this on a rush request, did you then
7	notify detectives with the Reno Police Department
8	homicide division of your initial findings?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Do you remember who it was just by chance?
11	A I worked with three detectives, Detective
12	Kazmar, Detective Rose and Detective Reed. I believe I
13	told Detective Kazmar initially.
14	Q Okay. You said Detective Reed. Is that Reed
15	Thomas?
16	A Yes, that's correct.
17	Q They later provided you item 36, this Kirkland
18	water bottle; is that correct?
19	A Yes, that's correct.
20	Q Did you do any testing of that water bottle?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q What was that?
23	A So I swabbed the inside of the cap and the
24	inside and outside of the rim of the bottle where

1	someone might have taken a drink out of the bottle.
2	And I also swabbed the sides of the bottle where
3	someone might have handled the bottle.
4	Q So from the rim and the cap area where someone
5	might have drank were you able to develop a DNA
6	profile?
7	A Yes, I was.
8	Q Were you able to make again I think on a
9	rush request was it with the bottle?
10	A I believe it was, yes.
11	Q Did you make a comparison of the DNA profile
12	from the bottle with the DNA profile on the cigarette
13	butts?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q And what was the result?
16	A That those profiles were the same.
17	Q Now, to quantify that and be able to testify,
18	you still need a reference sample from the person
19	themselves; correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And so you still don't have an identity at that
22	point either; right? You have a DNA profile from a
23	bottle and from a cigarette that match, but no name to
24	it necessarily?

1	
1	A Well, I was told that the bottle was used by
2	Richard Silva-Guzman, but, yes, I just have these
3	matching profiles.
4	Q But to testify then you're going to need a
5	sample from Richard Silva?
6	A Absolutely.
7	Q Was one obtained and provided to the lab?
8	A It was.
9	Q If we could go on forward then in your
10	presentation. Oops. I'm sorry.
11	Now we're talking specific to this case now
12	right? involving Richard Silva; correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q All right. Explain what we're looking at here.
15	A So I received an NXT cigarette butt collected
16	on the sidewalk just east of the Parkview Street and
17	Mazzone Avenue intersection. And this was from placard
18	1. A portion of the cigarette butt was utilized for
19	DNA analysis as A1 butt. Richard Silva-Guzman is the
20	source of the DNA profile obtained from the A1 butt
21	cutting. The estimated frequency of this matching DNA
22	profile is approximately 1 in 8.217 octillion
23	individuals.
24	Q Is octillion a 10 with 27 zeros behind it?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A It's a 1 with 27 zeros behind it.

Q Thank you.

Now, help us understand. What does this statement mean, the estimated frequency? Is there another way to explain that that's easier to understand?

A Sure. So if I were to go out on the street and randomly pull 8.217 octillion individuals that were unrelated, I would expect to see this profile in approximately one individual.

Q Okay. Let's move on to the B1 butt.

A So I received an NXT cigarette butt collected on the asphalt just east of the Parkview Street and Mazzone Avenue intersection from placard 4. And this cigarette butt was utilized for DNA analysis as B1 butt. Richard Silva Guzman is the source of the DNA profile obtained from the B1 butt cutting. And the estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 8.217 octillion individuals.

Q Okay. Let's move on and talk about the placard 13 cigarette.

A I received an NXT cigarette butt collected on the asphalt in the parking lot of 3574 Mazzone Avenue. And this is from placard 13. This cigarette butt was utilized for DNA analysis as C1 butt. But due to a low

1	
1	level of DNA no conclusions can be offered for the
2	results obtained from the C1 butt cutting.
3	Q If you could just very briefly tell us what
4	that means when there's too low level of a DNA.
5	Explain that, if you could.
6	A So it's just too low to make any comparisons.
7	If it's not the quality isn't there in the DNA
8	profile, then we just can't use the DNA results and
9	we'll say, you know, this is low level, we're not
10	making any comparisons.
11	Q So no conclusions on that one?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q Okay. You can move on.
14	A And I received an NXT cigarette butt further
15	south of trees and also an NXT cigarette butt closest
16	to trees. The NXT cigarette butt furthest south of
17	trees was utilized for DNA analysis as E1 butt. And
18	that's just the name of the sample I gave it. And the
19	NXT cigarette butt closest to trees was utilized for
20	DNA analysis as F1 butt. The same unknown female DNA
21	profile I termed as female B was obtained from the E1
22	and F1 butt cuttings.
23	Q Thank you. And then the last.
24	A So I received a Kirkland water bottle with a

cap reported as being used by Richard Silva-Guzman. 1 The interior rim of the cap and the interior and 2 exterior rim of the bottle were swabbed together as D1 3 bottle. And the exterior sides of the bottle were 4 5 swabbed together as D2 bottle. The D1 and D2 bottle swabs were utilized for DNA 6 7 analysis. Richard Silva-Guzman is the source of the DNA profile obtained from the D1 bottle swabs. The 8 9 estimated frequency of this matching DNA profile is approximately 1 in 8.217 octillion individuals. 10 Now, with the Kirkland water bottle, again you 11 Q 12 were told that he had drank from it; right? That's correct. 13 А So this is what you expected? 14 Q 15 А That's correct. 16 Are there any more slides? Q 17 А Yes. 18 Q Please. 19 So the DNA results from the D2 bottle swabs А 20 indicate at least two sources of DNA consisting of at least one male contributor. And due to a low level of 21 22 DNA and the number of contributors, no further conclusions can be offered. 23 24 0 And so this is on the -- around the bottle

1	where someone might hold the bottle generally?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And then is this the I think this is the
4	final slide.
5	A Yes, it is.
6	Q Ms. Baum, thank for your time and testimony
7	today.
8	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. RISTENPART:
11	Q Ms. Baum, the way it actually works is that the
12	detectives who are investigating the case send you
13	requests to test certain things; right?
14	A They send a request and it's analyzed by a
15	supervisor to determine if we're capable of doing that
16	type of testing. And then the supervisor will come to
17	an analyst and ask them to do the testing.
18	Q And in this case you were requested by the
19	detectives to do a rush to look at the cigarette butts
20	and compare it to Bernard Silva?
21	A So my supervisor is the person that determines
22	if a case is a rush or not. I think that's her
23	decision. And if it is, she will assign it to us and
24	tell us it's a rush.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q It was assigned to you as a rush; right?

A Yes, it is.

Q And your knowledge was that you were testing it only against Bernard Silva at first?

A Actually I received information from the detectives that their suspect was Bernard Silva or possibly a family member and that's why they wanted me to perform STR analysis and Y-STR analysis.

Q You got that information from the detective after it came back Bernard Silva was not matching the cigarettes; right? Then the request came in, oh, can you do familial testing?

A I actually received that information upfront and that's why I did STR analysis and Y-STR analysis upfront on the A1 butt and E1 butt cigarette butts.

Q You're claiming you did the testing the same day?

A No, that's not correct. Sorry.

Q You did the DNA testing first to compare it to Bernard Silva; right?

A That's correct.

Q Then you did this like familial Y-STR whatever you're calling it next?

A So I d

So I did my STR analysis and Y-STR analysis

1	prior to looking at the reference samples.
2	Q Ms. Baum, you did the DNA testing against
3	Bernard first; right? Then you did the Y-STR testing;
4	right?
5	A May I refer to my case packet?
6	Q Do you not remember sitting here?
7	A I believe I did the STR and Y-STR results and
8	then compared that to the Bernard Silva reference
9	sample.
10	Q Would it be in your packet?
11	A It would be.
12	Q Do you have it with you?
13	A I do.
14	Q Go ahead. And, Ms. Baum, what you're looking
15	at is your communications with the detectives, because
16	they're communicating with you about the case; correct?
17	A Oh, I'm actually looking at my analysis, if I
18	did STR analysis and Y-STR analysis.
19	So I did STR analysis and Y-STR analysis at the
20	same time.
21	Q In this case you were never requested by any
22	law enforcement to do DNA testing on casings or bullets
23	found at the scene; correct?
24	A That's correct.

1	Q And you can actually get DNA off of bullets and
2	casings; right?
3	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
4	Q You can test for DNA I'll rephrase it. You
5	can test for DNA on bullets and casings; correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Just merely swab the casing, the outside?
8	A Swabbing is one method, yes.
9	Q And on the bullet itself?
10	A Yes, you could.
11	Q And that could give identification as to who
12	actually handled the bullet or loaded the firearm;
13	correct?
14	A It's possible, yes.
15	Q But once the bullets and casings go to the
16	firearm section, you can no longer really test for DNA
17	because they manipulate it; right?
18	A That's correct. We do our DNA before it goes
19	to the firearms department.
20	Q Now, in this case we heard some testimony that
21	some of the NXT cigarettes had female DNA on it?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And those cigarettes were provided to you by
24	or booked in by Detective Rhodes?

1	A May I refer to my case packet?
2	Q Sure.
3	A So I'm referring to my case packet and it looks
4	like Detective Rhodes did book in the cigarette butt
5	for the south of trees and the NXT cigarette butt
6	closest to the trees.
7	Q The ones that came back with female DNA?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Were you ever asked to check or reference the
10	female DNA to Esmeralda Castillo? Do you need to look
11	at your report again?
12	A Yes, may I look at my report?
13	Q Go for it.
14	A No, I was not.
15	MS. RISTENPART: I have no further questions.
16	Thank you.
17	THE COURT: Redirect.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. LEE:
20	Q Ms. Baum, with regard to casings, has that been
21	done by you ever, getting DNA off casings?
22	A Yes, I believe I have analyzed casings for DNA.
23	Q Were you able to create a profile off of that?
24	A I don't recall. Typically they're not the best

1	1
1	evidence under our current laboratory guidelines, so it
2	would not be our first evidence of choice because
3	casings might have like multiple people might handle
4	it and it's also but if it's the only item of
5	evidence, yes, we'll test casings.
6	Q Does the heat play into it too from a casing?
7	A It could.
8	Q And does your lab currently test DNA on
9	casings?
10	A Yes, we do.
11	Q Thank you. That's all I have.
12	MS. RISTENPART: Nothing based on that.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
14	and leave.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, let's stand for a moment.
16	I'm not sure if we're going to break now or break in a
17	while. You're invited to the jury deliberation room to
18	hydrate and refresh.
19	During this break please do not discuss this case
20	among yourselves, please do not form or express any
21	opinion about this matter until it is submitted to you.
22	I'm not sure if we're going to take an early noon
23	recess. If we do, I'll just send Deputy Coss in to
24	invite you to return at a specific time. Or you may

1	return to the courtroom. I'm just not sure. Thank
2	you, ladies and gentlemen. You're free to go.
3	(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: You may be seated, please.
5	Who's next, Ms. Lee?
6	MR. LEE: Reed Thomas.
7	THE COURT: How long will he be?
8	MR. LEE: He'll probably be a half hour to 45 on
9	direct.
10	THE COURT: Should we break now for lunch or should
11	we do 30 minutes and interrupt his examination?
12	MR. LEE: I never like to interrupt, so I guess I
13	want to avoid the chance.
14	THE COURT: And I want some arguments. You've
15	indicated at sidebar that you may be prepared to
16	present some authorities about confrontation and
17	cross-examination and hearsay.
18	MR. LEE: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And that would be an important
20	conversation before Mr. Thomas testifies?
21	MR. LEE: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Is it through Mr. Thomas that you
23	intend to introduce the video recording?
24	MR. LEE: I would still need the interpreter before

1	
1	I can do that, so no.
2	THE COURT: So no.
3	MR. LEE: He may provide some foundational
4	evidence, but that's all.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Ristenpart, do you have any
6	thoughts about whether we should break now or break in
7	a half hour?
8	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, it's been a long
9	morning. We can break now.
10	THE COURT: What they don't know is we've been
11	going since 8:30. Is 1 o'clock okay or should I say
12	1:15?
13	MS. RISTENPART: I would ask for 1:15, Your Honor.
14	MR. LEE: That's fine.
15	THE COURT: All right. Deputy Coss, if you would
16	go into the jury deliberation room and just announce
17	that they shall return for entry in the courtroom at
18	1:15.
19	THE BAILIFF: Understood.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Would you like to do arguments now or would you
22	like to do it after the lunch hour?
23	MR. LEE: Judge, I think I'm prepared now.
24	However, before we do that I would ask if we could

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

memorialize our sidebar.

THE COURT: Oh, please.

MR. LEE: I had asked for the sidebar prior to Debbie Moreno's testimony. It seemed to me that at our hearing's conclusion this morning it was up in the air as to whether the defense wanted the issue of custody in or out, and so that's why I did it. I don't think we ever -- ultimately I had to prove it up, and that was fine. So Debbie Moreno then testified and told where she works and what she does with the jail calls.

THE COURT: Any clarification to that summary of the sidebar?

MS. RISTENPART: Defense continues to object to the evidence that Mr. Silva was in custody and that it violates his right to be appearing free before the jury.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Ristenpart, do you want to -- I could close this session and go to legal arguments now about confrontation and cross-examination. We can do that at 1:05. We could also do that sometime this afternoon as the schedule continues to unfold.

MS. RISTENPART: Mr. Lee indicated he had some cites and notations. I would just request that he give

2 instead of blindly arguing in the dark. THE COURT: I think that's fair. 3 Mr. Lee, would you either recite them to Ms. --4 5 after we go off the record and close the session give them to Ms. Ristenpart either orally or write them 6 7 down. MR. LEE: Yes. Do you want them too? 8 9 THE COURT: I do, yes. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you're free to 10 leave the courtroom. We are now going to go off the 11 12 record. I'm going to keep the attorneys for a moment and Mr. Silva for a moment. See you this afternoon. 13 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 THE COURT: We will be on the record, Ms. Reporter. 16 I continue to think about Juror No. 13 since I 17 visited with her in your presence. I have reviewed NRS 175.071, NRS 16.080 and appellate decisions from the 18 19 State of Nevada, Cozzie v. State, Westlaw 3,493, and Haberstroh v. State, Westlaw 5554576. 20 21 The summary is that I have great discretion to 22 release a juror whether intended to deliberate or be an alternate if during the course of the trial I conclude 23 24 that there is undue hardship or extreme inconvenience. 139

those to me so I can research that and be prepared also

1

It appears that we will settle instructions, instruct the jury, argue and that the jury will deliberate tomorrow. I don't know that I would have seated two alternates if I knew this case was going to be within the first week. I might have because it's a category A, but I might not have. I would have excused this juror for cause if there was a motion.

I don't want to inconvenience her, but I don't want the trial to be adjusted for her convenience. I am comfortable and could exercise my discretion to discharge her keeping one alternate, and I just want you to know that's what I'm thinking about. I'm not making that decision now, but I'm thinking about it as the schedule unfolds. This afternoon I'm going to talk with her again and ask her specifically about undue hardship and extreme inconvenience.

Does anybody want to say anything? I'm just giving you a heads-up is all.

MR. LEE: Nothing.

MS. RISTENPART: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. See you at 1:15.

(The lunch recess was taken.)

-----

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	RENO, NEVADA; THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2020; 1:14 P.M.
2	
3	(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: Thank you, Deputy. Do we have the
5	jury?
6	THE BAILIFF: We did not the last I checked.
7	THE COURT: Be seated, please. We will await the
8	jury.
9	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
10	THE COURT: Please be seated.
11	Present are Mr. Silva and trial counsel, the State.
12	You may call your next witness.
13	MR. LEE: Detective Reed Thomas.
14	THE BAILIFF: Please step all the way up and face
15	the clerk, please.
16	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
17	(The oath was administered.)
18	THE WITNESS: I do.
19	THE CLERK: Thank you.
20	REED THOMAS, having been first duly sworn, was
21	examined and testified as follows:
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. LEE:
24	Q Sir, could you give us your first and last name
	141

1	
1	and spell it for us.
2	A Reed Thomas, R-e-e-d, T-h-o-m-a-s.
3	Q You're retired now; correct?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q What did you retire?
6	A February 14th of 2018.
7	Q What was your profession before that?
8	A I was assigned at that time to the
9	Robbery/Homicide Unit.
10	Q Were you a police officer, though, in general?
11	A Yes.
12	Q How long were you a police officer?
13	A Almost 24 years.
14	Q All with the City of Reno?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So at the time of retirement you were I think
17	you said a detective with the Robbery/Homicide Unit?
18	A Yes.
19	Q How about before that?
20	A Do you want a synopsis of the career?
21	Q Yeah, if you could just give us an idea of
22	things you did in your career.
23	A First five years in patrol. After that I
24	worked a career criminal unit called the Repeat
	1.4.0

1	Offender Program for about 12 years. Back to patrol
2	for a couple of years and then into detectives,
3	ultimately finishing in Robbery/Homicide.
4	Q Were you involved in the investigation of Reno
5	Police Case No. 1723530 involving the death of Lucy
6	or Luz Linares-Castillo?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What was your role in the case?
9	A I was assigned as a lead detective along with
10	Detective Kazmar.
11	Q Worked together?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q And did you work when you are investigating
14	a case such as this do you work as a team?
15	A Yes, the entire unit.
16	Q The entire unit?
17	A Sometimes additional units give us a hand with
18	some of the things that need to be done.
19	Q How about with this case, did you have
20	additional units even helping?
21	A Yes, we did, from burglary and fraud.
22	Q And you have patrol officers?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Let me just bring you to November 2nd of 2017.

1	Detective Thomas, how did you become involved in this?
2	A I was on my way to work that morning about
3	4:45, 4:40, right in there. I got a call from my
4	sergeant, Ron Chalmers, who had told me about the
5	shooting that occurred at Parkview and Mazzone. He
6	asked me to respond there.
7	Q Now, the shooting is at 4:45-ish; right?
8	A Yes, roughly.
9	Q So that's not when you got your call; right?
10	A Actually I did. I was pretty close. I was on
11	the freeway heading in and my next exit was Moana.
12	Q Did you respond initially to the scene?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What did you do there? Just generally what did
15	you see?
16	A Generally, as I first got there, I began to
17	look at the scene, where the car, the victim's car, had
18	impacted the building. I didn't really get too far
19	into it until I was called to meet with an individual
20	that claimed to be the boyfriend of the victim.
21	Q Who is that?
22	A Arturo Manzo.
23	Q Did you talk to him?
24	A I did.

1	Q Where at?
2	A It was actually very close to the scene. It
3	was north of the intersection of Mazzone and Parkview
4	on Mazzone.
5	Q Okay. Did you interview him further at the
6	Reno police station?
7	A I did.
8	Q When you first came in contact and early in the
9	interview how was his demeanor?
10	A Initially out on the street he was very upset,
11	I would say somewhat uncooperative, but after a time he
12	settled down. He was really frustrated because the
13	patrol officers that were there weren't answering his
14	questions. That frustrated him.
15	Q So when he's on scene does he see the red Dodge
16	Charger?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Was there a large police presence?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And he appeared to you not to be able to get
21	his answers?
22	A Correct.
23	Q Is that pretty normal? Do police hold that
24	information until later on?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Did you that day, November 27th, interview a
3	person by the name of Bernard?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who was he in relation to a Richard Silva?
6	A The brother.
7	Q How did that all come about?
8	A Well, as the investigation progressed we were
9	starting to identify family members, had knowledge
10	of you know, somehow obtained the fact that he was
11	the husband of the decedent, so we attempted to make
12	contact with him.
13	Q And was he did you make contact with him at
14	the Reno Police station?
15	A That's where my first contact was. I believe
16	patrol officers met him at an elementary school where
17	he was picking up his kids.
18	Q But your first contact at Reno Police?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you notice anything about his physical
21	condition?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Tell us about that.
24	A He was very unstable, had difficulty walking,

1	breathing, had a cane, appeared to have suffered an
2	injury or was sick or something.
3	Q Did you know what that injury came from?
4	A Eventually I did.
5	Q What was that?
6	A Self-inflicted gunshot.
7	Q To what part of his body?
8	A I believe it was his upper chest.
9	Q Did you spend actually some time with him?
10	A I did.
11	Q So it wasn't just observing him walking about,
12	you spent actual time talking to him?
13	A Sure.
14	Q At some point did you or maybe others in the
15	Robbery/Homicide Unit decide it was appropriate to call
16	an ambulance to stand by?
17	A Before he actually came to the station we
18	discussed that and determined that that was probably a
19	good idea based on his condition.
20	Q Okay. And was it in conjunction with news
21	you're about to tell?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What news was that?
24	A That his wife had been killed.

1	Q During the course of your interview did you
2	provide or did Mr. Bernard Silva-Guzman provide a
3	voluntary DNA sample?
4	A Yes.
5	Q "Voluntary" meaning you asked and he freely
6	gave?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How did you collect it?
9	A The way we typically collect it. Don rubber
10	gloves. We have a little kit with swabs that are
11	sealed in paper, a paper container with boxes. After
12	the paper is broken we take the swab from the interior
13	of the mouth, two swabs actually, and place them inside
14	of the box. It's a long box that comes with it. We
15	notate the name, the date and the case number on the
16	box and submit that to evidence or the crime lab.
17	Q And then that's used for what purposes?
18	A To determine a DNA profile.
19	Q Okay. And then with that profile can it be
20	tested against other items of evidence that you may
21	come into contact with or find?
22	A Sure.
23	Q How about going back to Mr. Arturo Manzo,
24	did he provide a DNA swab?

1	A He did.
2	Q By consent?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Meaning his agreement?
5	A Yes.
6	Q How about did you search his Jeep Cherokee?
7	A Yes.
8	Q How did that come about?
9	A Well, he was the boyfriend of the victim and
10	doing our due diligence we wanted to make sure there
11	wasn't anything of evidentiary value in the Jeep.
12	Q So you asked
13	A He could potentially be a suspect.
14	Q So you asked him if you could search?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And he said?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then his residence as well? You didn't
19	search his residence on Mazzone; right?
20	A I did not.
21	Q Are you aware of that search?
22	A I am aware that it was searched, yes.
23	Q Did you request of him for a search?
24	A Manzo?

1	Q Yes.
2	A I don't know if I asked or if somebody else had
3	already accomplished that.
4	Q Are you aware that that was by consent as well?
5	A I am aware of that.
6	Q And then did Manzo had an ex-girlfriend by
7	the name of Esmeralda Castillo; correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Were you made aware as part of your
10	investigation that she had a fight or a tiff or
11	something with Lucy?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What was that that you understood it to be?
14	A Exactly that, that some time in the past couple
15	of weeks they had gotten into an argument or a
16	disagreement over some sort of confrontation, but that
17	was really all I knew.
18	Q And so was that something that you considered
19	in the course of the investigation?
20	A Sure.
21	Q And ultimately as the investigation unfolded
22	were you able to rule her out as a suspect?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And, Detective, there were some cigarette butts

1	found at the scene; correct?	
2	A Correct.	
3	Q Specifically if I'm looking at Parkview and	
4	Mazzone, there were four cigarette butts; is that	
5	accurate?	
6	A Yes. Placards 1 through 4, I believe.	
7	Q So if I'm showing you Exhibit 5 I get that	
8	the writing is upside down. But north is up, though;	
9	correct?	
10	A That's correct.	
11	Q And are the placards you were just talking	
12	about where the cigarette butts were found?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q And we've heard from Detective Kazmar you were	
15	involved in the November 8th interview of Mr. Silva	
16	with Detective Kazmar.	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Both of you asking questions?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And at that point did you learn that the	
21	cigarette butts had some evidentiary value to you, to	
22	your investigation?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Do you see Mr. Silva in the courtroom today?	

1	A Yes.
2	Q Could you please identify him for us.
3	A He's sitting right there at the defendant's
4	table with it looks like a light-colored or pink shirt.
5	MR. LEE: Your Honor, may the record reflect the
6	identification of Mr. Silva?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	BY MR. LEE:
9	Q During that interview with Mr. Silva at that
10	point, November 8th, was he a prime, prime suspect for
11	you?
12	A Yes. He was he was our first priority at
13	that point.
14	Q And that's partly why this interview is set up?
15	A Yes.
16	Q I'm showing you Exhibit 46. Who is that?
17	A That's the defendant.
18	Q Was that as he appeared at the time of his
19	arrest?
20	A That's correct.
21	MR. LEE: Move to admit.
22	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, it's not relevant,
23	but
24	THE COURT: Is it Exhibit 46? It is not relevant.
	152

1	It is the objection is sustained.
2	BY MR. LEE:
3	Q With that interview on November 8th you locked
4	him into a few things?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What was that?
7	A That he
8	THE COURT: Hold on. May I see the proposed
9	exhibit? I may invite I'll now invite response, not
10	argument. The only reason I would return to my
11	decision is if there was any clothing indicia that was
12	connected to evidence in this case. Can you make that
13	proffer? Or is it simply to identify what he looked
14	like at the time?
15	MR. LEE: Identify at the time.
16	THE COURT: My decision remains the same. It is
17	sustained.
18	BY MR. LEE:
19	Q All right. So, Detective, locked him in I
20	think was the question we were at.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Couple of things. What were the main things
23	that you felt like the interview did?
24	A We wanted to know if he was ever in the area of

1	Mazzone and Parkview.
2	Q And according to Mr. Silva what?
3	A He was not.
4	Q After that interview happened where did
5	Mr. Silva go?
6	A He left.
7	Q Okay. And then you were able to obtain the
8	water bottle from the interview room?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Had you personally prepared that trash can, put
11	a new fresh bag in it?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did you pick the water bottle up with gloves?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And detectives had that submitted to the lab?
16	A Yes, the following day, I believe.
17	Q Tell us about the arrest of Yiovannie Guzman.
18	Let me bring you to November 16th now of 2017. If you
19	would, please, set the stage. Did you know about
20	Yiovannie Guzman at that point?
21	A No. We learned about him that night.
22	Q Why did detectives come into contact with him?
23	A He was the defendant's cousin. We had been
24	looking for a Toyota Sequoia that belonged to Arturo

Guzman who is Yiovannie's father, registered to him. 1 Detectives went to the house to speak with the family 2 and speak to them about the location of that vehicle. 3 My understanding is that Yiovannie showed up at the 4 5 house during that investigation and detectives ultimately asked the family if they would come down to 6 7 the station for voluntary interviews, and they did. Were you at the station when Mr. Guzman was 8 Q 9 there being interviewed? Yes. There were a lot of interviews going on, 10 А but it was all happening at the same place, yes. 11 12 Now, before that time you mentioned you knew Q 13 the involvement of the gray-colored Toyota Sequoia. 14 А Correct. But at the time did you know whether anyone 15 Ο 16 else was really involved in the murder other than 17 Richard Silva? 18 А No. 19 Six hours before that time, something at Q 7-Eleven; right? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 What was that? Q 23 Well, Mr. Silva was a passenger in that vehicle А 24 according to the videotape that we obtained from

	1	
1	7-Eleve:	n six hours before the murder.
2	Q	Okay. So you would like to have talked to
3	someone	involved with that Toyota Sequoia; right?
4	А	Sure.
5	Q	But that was six hours before, still not
6	necessa	rily sure if someone else was involved in the
7	murder?	
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	During the course of his interview who
10	intervi	ewed Mr. Guzman?
11	А	Detective Jenkins, Allie Jenkins.
12	Q	You were made aware that he had confessed to
13	his par	ticipation?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	He explained details of the conspiracy?
16	А	That's my understanding, yes.
17	Q	Where it took place?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	These are all things you learned later; right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	You weren't watching the interview at the time?
22	А	No.
23	Q	Did he tell the place of the conspiracy?
24	А	Yes.

MS. RISTENPART: Objection. This is all hearsay. 1 2 He's trying to get the statements from Detective Jenkins in through this detective. 3 THE COURT: That's an accurate statement of the 4 evidence code. If all of this witness's testimony is 5 coming from what Officer Jenkins said, it would be 6 7 sustained. Did I use the word "Jenkins" correctly? MR. LEE: Yes. 8 9 MS. RISTENPART: Correct, Your Honor. MR. LEE: Could I offer an exception, Your Honor? 10 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 12 MR. LEE: Specifically it gives -- he's going to explain next what he did. So it's simply effect on the 13 14 listener just with this one statement. 15 THE COURT: Well, then ask the next question, 16 because I want you to move on, let me see if we need to 17 come back to it. MR. LEE: Fair enough. 18 19 BY MR. LEE: 20 Did you learn from part of that interview that Q 21 a discussion had taken place between Mr. Yiovannie 22 Guzman and Mr. Silva at Paul's Market? 23 А Yes. 24 Q Was videotape obtained from Paul's Market?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Not to my knowledge.

Q Explain that to us, if you could.

A That was two weeks afterward. I was not personally involved in any of the canvassing of the video canvassing. I think we had a discussion about obtaining video there, but at some point the decision was made not necessarily by me that we probably wouldn't get video because it had been that long. Typically they --

MS. RISTENPART: Objection. Speculation.

THE COURT: Unless he knows specifically about Paul's Market and their video retention policies, then I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. LEE: I think he can talk in a general sense, Your Honor, about generally these smaller stores.

THE COURT: Lay the foundation.

BY MR. LEE:

Q Sir, you've as an officer for 23 and a half years --

A Yes.

Q -- obtained many video surveillance from different stores?

A Yes.

Q Some larger businesses?

1 THE COURT: Let me interrupt for a moment. This witness has already testified that it wasn't his 2 3 decision, that somebody else decided not to obtain the video from Paul's Market. Did I hear that correctly? 4 MR. LEE: I think you heard that correctly. 5 THE COURT: All right. So you're having this 6 7 witness explain somebody else's decision? MR. LEE: As the lead detective. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. You may continue. BY MR. LEE: 10 So in your 23 years' experience you've obtained 11 Q 12 surveillance from different stores? 13 A Yes. 14 Q A smaller store like a Paul's Market, generally in your experience would they generally keep video for 15 16 a long time? 17 MS. RISTENPART: Again, speculation as to Paul's 18 Market. 19 THE COURT: It's overruled. This witness cannot 20 describe what Paul's Market's video retention policies 21 are. 22 MR. LEE: I agree. 23 THE COURT: Okay. But he can answer the question 24 based upon his experience as a detective in the Reno

1	Police Department.
2	BY MR. LEE:
3	Q So, Detective, let me be clear. I'm not asking
4	you about Paul's Market. I'm asking generally a
5	smaller market.
6	A Correct.
7	Q What's your experience?
8	A Generally it's difficult to get video after
9	that time, after a period of time. Generally a week
10	seems to be typical.
11	Q Of how long a place retains video?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Were you involved in the arrest of Richard
14	Silva?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Directly?
17	A Yes.
18	Q I'm showing you Exhibit 94. You've seen this?
19	A Yes.
20	Q This comes from that 7-Eleven where he
21	purchased cigarettes; right?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q He has a hat on there that is not very
24	distinguishable perhaps by this picture; is that fair?

1	A Yes.
2	Q However, have you seen that hat?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you see that hat in person?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When did you see that hat?
7	A The night he was arrested.
8	Q On November 16th?
9	A He was wearing it.
10	Q What kind of hat was it?
11	A A 49ers hat.
12	Q Were you made aware that a day or two after the
13	murder there was a call regarding destruction of
14	property on some of Mr. Manzo's property?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Showing you Exhibit 123, is this one of the
17	things that was damaged?
18	A Yes.
19	Q So how come the Robbery/Homicide Unit
20	investigated that?
21	A Because we thought that whoever did this, it
22	may be related to the murder.
23	Q As part of that investigation some cigarette
24	butts were obtained?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you recall where they from where they
3	were obtained on this map, Exhibit 4?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Where were they?
6	A One up here. I think that's a 13 upside down.
7	And another one that I don't see annotated that's
8	farther all the way to the north end of Mazzone that
9	would be next to some apartment buildings that are
10	there on the west side of the street.
11	Q Let me show you with Exhibit 1. Is it easier
12	to tell here?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So as I understand, there were there was
15	a the four cigarettes were down here by the first
16	house on Parkview; correct?
17	A Correct.
18	Q There was an NXT cigarette identified by
19	placard 13 here?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And then two more further up Mazzone?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Where were those located? If you could try to
24	draw a circle on this to give us a rough estimate.

1	A Right there, that last dot that I just put up.
2	Q So the furthest up dot?
3	A Yes. Sorry. I didn't realize how the street
4	worked exactly.
5	Q Are you're aware that ultimately two unknown
6	female or an unknown female profile DNA came back on
7	that cigarette?
8	A I'm aware of that, yes.
9	Q Or those two cigarettes I should say.
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did that help you with the did that ever
12	help you with the murder that occurred down on Parkview
13	and Mazzone?
14	A No.
15	Q Now, on November 16th after Mr. Silva was
16	arrested was a seizure order obtained for DNA from him?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You collected that DNA yourself?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you do it in the same manner you described
21	before with the buccal swabs?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And was that submitted up to the lab?
24	A It was.

I	
1	Q And was that the comparison that DNA swab
2	that you collected, that was compared by the lab with
3	the placards 1 and 4 cigarette butts?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Also during that evening on November 16th
6	multiple search warrants happening?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Sbragia Way?
9	A Yes.
10	Q I'm not sure if it was that day, but the blue
11	Lexus was searched soon after?
12	A Yes.
13	Q The gray Toyota Sequoia?
14	A Yes.
15	Q A search warrant for Mr. Silva's phone?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And his phone, it was a search warrant, it
18	wasn't by consent, was it?
19	A No.
20	Q And then with that search warrant, once you
21	showed it to Mr. Silva did he actually comply and then
22	give you the passcode to his phone?
23	A He did.
24	Q A search warrant for a cell tower dump?
	164

1	A Yes.
2	Q At some point after the arrest of Mr. Silva did
3	he ask to speak with his brother?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Which brother?
6	A Bernard.
7	Q Were you okay with that?
8	A Initially we were a little hesitant. We
9	weren't sure what was going to happen, whether there
10	was going to be a confrontation because of what we had
11	learned. He assured us that that was not why he wanted
12	to talk to his brother.
13	Q And then did you ask Bernard as well if he
14	wanted to?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Ultimately was Bernard led into that room?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. LEE: Your Honor, if I could ask the witness to
19	step down just for authentication purposes to look at
20	something on the computer.
21	THE COURT: Yes, if you'll please join the attorney
22	in the well of the court.
23	BY MR. LEE:
24	Q Detective, I'm going to show you Exhibit 137.

Come on around here. Now, I would note this is not on 1 the screen being shown. Is that correct? 2 3 А Yes. If you would -- if you need to scroll through 4 0 that to look a little bit. You can skip ahead, or if 5 you want to watch the whole two minutes, that's fine, 6 7 too, whatever you prefer. But ultimately what I'm going to ask you is do you recognize this and what is 8 9 it. Yes, it's Bernard and the defendant. 10 А 11 Okay. And is that what happened after he had Q 12 asked to see Bernard? 13 А Yes. 14 0 Okay. Go ahead and return. Thank you. MR. LEE: Your Honor, I would move to admit Exhibit 15 137. 16 17 MS. RISTENPART: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is it the video or is it a still 18 19 photograph? 20 MR. LEE: Video. 21 THE COURT: It is overruled. Exhibit 137 is 22 admitted. Wait. Is this a still image from the video 23 or is this the video itself? 24 MR. LEE: It's the video itself.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, 1 2 during this recess please do not discuss this case amongst yourselves, please do not form or express any 3 opinion about this matter until it has been submitted 4 5 up to. We'll stand for our jury. We'll be in recess for 6 7 about 12 to 15 minutes. (Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.) 8 THE COURT: You may return to your seat or to the 9 10 rotunda, wherever you wish to be. Be seated, everyone, please. 11 12 The defense has objected to the admission of this video asserting that it violates the defendant's right 13 14 of confrontation and cross-examination. I postponed 15 arguments on the issue until I could be better 16 informed. Mr. Lee has handed two case citations to me 17 and to Ms. Ristenpart. He did so before the lunch hour. 18 19 Ms. Ristenpart, do you wish to be heard? 20 MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, it's still violating 21 Mr. Silva's right to confrontation. He's trying to 22 proffer in statements from Bernard Silva but not calling Bernard Silva as a witness. As the Court heard 23 24 this morning, the State claims that it could not

subpoena or place Mr. Bernard Silva under subpoena, but we haven't heard any more information beyond that general statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In regards to the Sixth Amendment confrontation right and, of course, Mr. Silva's constitutional right and due process right, the State's cases that he has proffered both dealt with a proven declarant unavailability, specifically Wade versus State, and also the fact that it was involving a coconspirator under Carroll which are not either of the applicable circumstances in our particular case.

So I'm asking that you deny their request -- they have not given you a proper exception to the Sixth Amendment -- and that they cannot admit these statements and violate Mr. Silva's constitutional rights, particularly given this piece of evidence with its unintelligibility, the fact that there's multiple voices and conversation and whispering that you can see and hear, we just don't have any context to.

THE COURT: Doesn't that go to the weight of the evidence and whether it is persuasive or unpersuasive as a controlling fact -- or an informing fact?

MS. RISTENPART: No, because it goes towards our Sixth Amendment right to confront what's being said on

this. You can clearly hear Bernard saying something else. It's unintelligible, though. You can hear his voice. You can hear -- at points you can see him actually talking, but it's so unintelligible that even their interpreter could not make out what was being said. And that's now going to go before the jury.

So it's not even just the statements, there's this other added layer of the unintelligibility of conversation that we don't get a right to confront because they're not calling Bernard Silva.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LEE: First of all, Judge, as far as hearsay goes, I think the states are pretty -- the cases -excuse me. I'm talking fast -- cited by the State are pretty dispositive of the hearsay.

THE COURT: Would you just recite them. I have them both in front of me, but make sure the record reflects what those two cases are. I will do it. It's Wade versus State, 114 Nevada 914, which is a 1988 case, and Carroll versus State, 132 Nevada 269, a 2016 case.

MR. LEE: Thank you.

So certainly the State is using these -- the entirety of this plus Mr. Bernard's statements to

provide context. It's not offered for the truth. But if you were to look at it even for the truth, he doesn't really speak much truth. He says, "What? I don't know. Okay. Promise me. I want to see you out. No. I'm going to find a lawyer. No one knows. No one will ever know. Don't worry. I know, bro, it's okay."

I mean, those are not testimonial statements just by looking at it, but certainly not testimonial statements, because this wasn't done with the primary purpose of being interviewed for court. We even know that 911 calls are not testimonial in nature. And even there the person on the -- the caller on the phone is describing events that are occurring or that recently occurred which are normally pretty decent evidence that the State uses at trial.

So by analogy those are not considered testimonial. Certainly when an individual who seeks to talk to his brother without police interaction, without police telling that brother what to say, they are not testimonial statements by any regard or any dream. And so because of that they do not violate any confrontation clause for that reason as well.

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I believe an adequate record has been made. The

THE COURT: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

objection is overruled.

Does anybody need a break before bringing the jury back in?

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, is it the State's intention to play this video right now through this witness?

THE COURT: I don't know.

I asked that question earlier and you said it was through the translator that this was coming in, so I was a little surprised.

MR. LEE: No, I'm not trying to play it now. I actually just wanted to show it to say this is what it is and then I'm done actually with questioning. The translator is coming up next for the jail call. Perhaps we could discuss that now as well.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. LEE: Let me grab the exhibit. So with regard to the jail call, Your Honor, again Wade and Carroll, the same citations, apply with regard to the hearsay challenge. And then lastly with regard to the testimonial, it's really the same argument.

THE COURT: Yes, and I am persuaded by the two authorities that I've read and I would overrule an objection based upon the failure of cross-examination

and confrontation. If we're talking about the mother, my ruling would be the same.

Is there any additional argument you want to make? MS. RISTENPART: Yes, Your Honor. In regards to the proffered statement by the State where they claim that Mr. Richard Silva is admitting to having an affair with Luz, that's a corpus delicti issue, Your Honor. They have not shown you any independent corroboration of that beyond accomplice testimony which we know is circular. You can't corroborate with accomplice testimony something that they're trying now to use as a motive.

MR. LEE: Respond?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So corpus delicti is a completely different issue that's being conflated right now. Corpus delicti, the purpose is simply to keep an individual from confessing to a crime that hasn't happened. It has nothing to do with a specific detail or fact or even element of a crime.

The classic example would be if I confessed to a murder with no body, no one missing, anything like that. That would be a corpus delicti problem. But here it's not an issue of whether a crime was committed. We have a dead body. We have it by

homicidal means. That is what corpus delicti goes to, not towards each specific element or statement or anything. It is the broader sense of the crime in general and a confession in general, not each element of a confession.

THE COURT: At this point it's just to preserve the record if you have any additional arguments to make. I do agree with the State, but I want you to have a full opportunity to --

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, in regards to this particular statement, the State has not proffered any independent corroboration of this alleged affair beyond their accomplice testimony. And that's exactly what I was arguing before is the uncorroborated accomplice testimony which this Court allowed to put on. And now they're going to try to sandwich that in by saying, well, it was corroborated by a statement that actually corroborates the accomplice testimony.

Again, it's circular. That's exactly what I argued previously. I'm arguing it again. The State is leaving out context. And the Court has heard all my other arguments in regards to this particular translated statement. And with that we would submit.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Thank you. The exhibit will be

1	admitted over objection.
2	Let's bring the jury in.
3	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
4	THE COURT: You're seeking the admission of 137?
5	MR. LEE: 137. The other one I'm going to lay
6	some foundation for the phone call right now with
7	Detective Thomas, but I will seek to admit that with
8	the interpreter.
9	THE COURT: And that's 136?
10	MR. LEE: 136 or 37. I can look it up right now.
11	THE COURT: My understanding is 137 is coming in.
12	Please be seated, everyone.
13	137 is admitted.
14	(Exhibit 137 was admitted.)
15	THE COURT: We'll await the witness.
16	The State, you may continue.
17	MR. LEE: Thank you.
18	BY MR. LEE:
19	Q Detective Thomas, I'm showing you Exhibit 137.
20	We're not going to play it all. I just want to give
21	you an idea of what we're looking at here and have you
22	tell us.
23	What is Exhibit 137? Obviously here we're just
24	looking at a still image at 30 seconds into the player;

I	
1	right?
2	A Yes. It's when we had allowed Bernard to come
3	and speak with Richard.
4	Q So which one is Richard and which one is
5	Bernard?
6	A Richard is facing us; Bernard has got his back
7	to us.
8	Q They embraced obviously; right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q There's some conversation?
11	A Yes.
12	Q In what language?
13	A Mostly Spanish.
14	Q Detective, as well were you aware of a recorded
15	phone call made by Richard Silva on November 17th,
16	2017, at 1431 hours?
17	A Yes.
18	Q In fact, the first phone call made after his
19	arrest; right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Did you get I'm not going to ask you the
22	content but only the fact. Did you get a general idea
23	of what was stated in that from an interpretation you
24	had made?

1	A Yes.
2	Q As well as this interview, same thing, you
3	understood the general context?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did these provide what you thought was
6	evidence?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And had evidentiary value should be the real
9	question.
10	A Yes.
11	MR. LEE: Your Honor, I believe I'm done if I can
12	just have a moment.
13	Detective Thomas, thank you for your time.
14	Your Honor, I tender the witness.
15	THE COURT: To the defense.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. RISTENPART:
18	Q Detective, you interviewed Bernard on November
19	2nd of 2017?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And you actually interviewed him at
22	approximately 4:35 p.m. in the afternoon?
23	A Yes.
24	Q So that was almost a good 12 hours after Luz

1	had been shot?
2	A Roughly, yes.
3	Q And in fact Detective Rhodes, one of your
4	colleagues, went to a daycare center where their
5	littlest daughter, Ruby, was in daycare in order to get
6	Bernard to come down to the station; right?
7	A Yes, they met him there because I think he was
8	there to pick up Ruby.
9	Q But Ruby wasn't there because Child Protective
10	Services had already taken the kids; right?
11	A I believe so.
12	Q And the detectives brought Bernard down to the
13	police station for questioning; correct?
14	A Yes. Are you asking if he transported himself
15	or they brought him? Because I honestly don't know.
16	Q But you do claim that you remember that an
17	ambulance was called because you guys were worried
18	about how Bernard was going to take it?
19	A My understanding is from the police reports
20	that the officers on scene did that at the school. And
21	we also independently determined that we would do that
22	at Robbery/Homicide as well based on the information we
23	were about to give him.
24	Q Detective, you write reports in your case;

2 3	A I'm sorry? Q You write reports for your case?
З	Q You write reports for your case?
5	
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the purpose of writing a report is exactly
6	for days like today, two years later we're sitting in a
7	courtroom arguing about things?
8	A Right.
9	Q And to refresh your memory?
10	A Sure.
11	Q And in your reports you didn't write anything
12	about calling an ambulance because you were worried
13	about Bernard and his health, did you?
14	A No, I don't think I did.
15	Q You brought that up when you met with Mr. Lee
16	prior to trial before coming to testify today; right?
17	A He asked me about it.
18	Q So going back to November 2nd of 2017, you were
19	the one who personally interviewed Bernard?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And right after you introduced yourself to
22	Bernard you told him that this was that you were a
23	detective and that this was a case about Lucy; right?
24	A Um-hum.

1	Q And there was no response from Bernard, was
2	there?
3	MR. LEE: Objection. Hearsay.
4	MS. RISTENPART: Effect on the listener, Your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: Counsel, you both know that
7	manifestations of conduct in the form of communications
8	fall within the hearsay rule. So the objection is
9	appropriate. And then the question is whether there's
10	an exception. I believe an exception has been offered.
11	I overrule the objection.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.
13	BY MS. RISTENPART:
14	Q After you told Bernard that this was you
15	were investigating a case about Lucy, there was no
16	response from Bernard; right?
17	A I don't know if there was specifically no
18	response, but we continued to talk.
19	Q You found it odd that there were no questions
20	about what this is about, what is going on; right?
21	A I did. I did.
22	Q And in fact it wasn't until about 50 minutes
23	later into that interview that Bernard first asked what
24	happened?

1	MR. LEE: Objection. Hearsay.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MS. RISTENPART:
4	Q From your investigation, Detective, you learned
5	that it was a very contentious relationship between
6	Bernard and Lucy; right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you learned that there were allegations of
9	physical violence?
10	A Yes.
11	Q You also learned that there were allegations of
12	Bernard stalking Lucy?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you also learned that there had been a
15	fight or physical altercation with Luz and Bernard on
16	October 20th of 2017?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And that Bernard shot himself in the chest on
19	that day?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that case was investigated by Sparks Police
22	Department; right?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q And you also learned from your investigation
	1.0.0

I	
1	that Bernard knew about Arturo Manzo; right?
2	MR. LEE: Objection. Hearsay.
3	THE COURT: Overruled.
4	THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
5	BY MS. RISTENPART:
6	Q And you also knew from your investigation that
7	Bernard had found out about the affair with Arturo
8	Manzo while he was in the hospital recovering from the
9	gunshot wound?
10	A I believe so, yes.
11	Q You were also the one who interviewed Arturo
12	Manzo; right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you interviewed him twice?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And you knew from your investigation that there
17	was a phone call between Arturo and Bernard; right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Prior to Luz being killed?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Now, that interview with Bernard on November
22	2nd of 2017, that took place at the Reno Police
23	Department station; right?
24	A It did.
	181

1	Q It was video and audio recorded, wasn't it?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And the State asked you a lot of questions
4	about Bernard's perceived mobility pursuant to your
5	observations; right?
6	A Yes.
7	Q But he was able to walk; right?
8	A He walked into the station, yes.
9	Q And at one point Bernard actually raised his
10	hand to demonstrate holding a firearm; right?
11	A I believe so, yes.
12	MR. LEE: Objection. Hearsay. Move to strike.
13	THE COURT: Do you have an exception?
14	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, I will remove the
15	holding up his hand like a firearm, but I am asking for
16	the admission of a photograph of a still video showing
17	his mobility with his arm.
18	THE COURT: All right. So I sustained the
19	objection as a form of inadmissible hearsay. As I
20	mentioned earlier, conduct can manifest communication.
21	Mr. Bernard is an out-of-court declarant. It is
22	sustained. You may proceed if you have other evidence
23	to introduce through this witness.
24	/////

1	BY MS. RISTENPART:
2	Q In that interview, Detective, you observed
3	Bernard raise his right hand up
4	MR. LEE: Objection.
5	BY MS. RISTENPART:
6	Q over his shoulder?
7	MR. LEE: Objection. Same.
8	THE COURT: It is sustained.
9	MS. RISTENPART: May I be heard, Your Honor?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MS. RISTENPART: It is relevant and it goes towards
12	the State's claim that there's some lack of mobility by
13	Bernard that has been proffered throughout the State's
14	case.
15	THE COURT: Let me think for just a minute.
16	MR. LEE: I think that's fair. I'll withdraw that.
17	THE COURT: Right. And I agree with you
18	withdrawing. I was about to overrule the objection,
19	because I think the door has been opened and it's
20	consistent with the scope of direct examination.
21	You may proceed.
22	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach with a picture,
23	Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yes.

1	MR. LEE: Theresa, I'm not going to object, but
2	it's not marked yet.
3	THE CLERK: Exhibit 139 marked for identification.
4	(Exhibit 139 was marked.)
5	BY MS. RISTENPART:
6	Q I'm showing you what has already been 139.
7	Detective, is that a picture of Bernard Silva on
8	November 2nd, 2017?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And is that in the interview room where you're
11	interviewing him?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And is that a picture of him raising his hand?
14	A Yes.
15	Q His right hand?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And whole arm above his shoulder?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And also during this interview Bernard spoke
20	English with you; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Was it clear to you whether or not English was
23	Bernard's first language?
24	A I don't think I even gave that a thought. His

1	English was just fine.
2	Q Do you know if Bernard speaks Spanish?
3	A Yes.
4	Q He does?
5	A He seems to, yeah.
6	Q Do you know if Mr. Richard Silva's mother,
7	Bernard's mom, does she speak English?
8	A I don't know to what degree, but I do know that
9	when her and her husband came down for interviews we
10	determined that we needed translators for those
11	interviews.
12	Q Also during this interview Bernard showed you
13	text messages on his phone; correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And in fact you had Bernard's cell phone
16	downloaded; right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So you could look at it?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what did you find?
21	A It was a phone. Lots of things, lots of text
22	messages.
23	Q Detective, you found that there was a very
24	large amount of text messages sent from Bernard to Luz;

1	right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q In fact, there was 325 text messages Bernard
4	sent to Luz from October 24th to November 1st; right?
5	A I didn't count them, but that wouldn't surprise
6	me.
7	Q And that there was a large amount of calls from
8	Bernard to Luz from just even November 24th to November
9	1st; right?
10	A Yes.
11	MS. RISTENPART: I'm just waiting for the music to
12	go past, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: It's not as bad as Street Vibrations
14	which is very noisy.
15	BY MS. RISTENPART:
16	Q And you had Bernard Silva come back on November
17	16, 2017, for another interview, didn't you?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And that interview was video and audio
20	recorded; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And when Bernard Silva showed up to that
23	interview he was wearing a black hoodie, in fact, an
24	all black outfit; correct?

1	A I would need to see the video. I don't know
2	exactly what he was wearing.
3	Q Would looking at a picture of a still of that
4	video?
5	A Sure.
6	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach, Your Honor?
7	THE WITNESS: You're right. I remember seeing the
8	back of him. Yes, he was wearing a black sweatshirt,
9	yes.
10	BY MS. RISTENPART:
11	Q I'll show you so you can
12	MS. RISTENPART: Thank you, Ms. Clerk.
13	THE CLERK: Exhibit 140 marked for identification.
14	(Exhibit 140 was marked.)
15	MS. RISTENPART: No objection?
16	MR. LEE: No objection.
17	MS. RISTENPART: Move for admission, no objection,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Yes, it is admitted.
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.
21	(Exhibit 140 was admitted.)
22	BY MS. RISTENPART:
23	Q I'm showing you what's already been admitted as
24	140. Detective, is this a picture from the interview

1	room on November 16th of 2017?
2	A Yeah, it's actually one of the interview rooms
3	upstairs where other detectives work. It's not a
4	robbery/homicide interview room, but, yes, it's an
5	interview room.
6	Q And is that Bernard Silva dressed in all black?
7	A It is.
8	Q Wearing a hoodie?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And also there's some pretty distinctive little
11	toggles. That's kind of my word for it. You know on a
12	hoodie the little
13	A The end of the strings are you talking about?
14	Q That's what I would call a toggle, yes.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Do you see those on there?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And they're a little bit square shaped?
19	A Um-hum.
20	Q Did you collect that hoodie?
21	A Did not.
22	Q Did you collect those pants?
23	A No.
24	Q And because you never collected them you

1	
1	couldn't ask for gunshot residue testing on those,
2	could you?
3	A No.
4	Q And, Detective, throughout your case Bernard
5	was never arrested; right?
6	A No.
7	Q He was never charged with anything?
8	A No.
9	Q Now, you testified today that there was some
10	decision just to never go to Paul's Market to try to
11	find security. I'm not sure who made that decision,
12	but there was a decision; right?
13	A Ultimately that's my responsibility and, yes, I
14	did not make that determination. I don't know if
15	anybody did. I'm just saying in terms of the time that
16	had elapsed that that was the general feeling, that we
17	probably weren't going to get video there anyway.
18	Should we have tried? Sure.
19	Q And in fact sitting here today you don't know
20	what Paul's Market's surveillance video retention
21	policy is?
22	A I do not.
23	Q And you don't know what Paul's Market's video
24	surveillance would have captured or has captured?

I do not. I know that according to Yiovannie's 1 А interview they were in the parking lot, and I don't 2 know what their capability is there at the market. 3 So you never checked if there was an outside 4 0 5 camera; correct? A I did not. 6 7 Detective, in your investigation -- I'm showing 0 you 94. It's already been admitted. You never 8 9 interviewed this cashier, did you? I didn't personally, no. I don't know if 10 А anybody else did. I think they may have spoken to him 11 12 to coordinate getting the video and how to go about doing that, because we needed obviously that videotape 13 14 or a copy of that videotape. I don't know how that 15 went about, what that process was. 16 Detective, are you aware that Yiovannie claims Q 17 that Richard asked or was looking for a mask and/or gloves at the 7-Eleven? 18 19 I'm not aware of that. А 0 Never heard that before? 20 21 А I don't recall that. 22 Because if you had you probably would have Q wanted to interview this cashier; right? 23 24 А Probably.

1	
1	Q In regards to the 49er hat that you collected
2	from Richard on November 16th, 2017, you never got that
3	tested for gunshot residue; correct?
4	A I don't believe so.
5	Q Also, a black hoodie was taken from Richard
6	that same day too, right?
7	A I believe so, yes.
8	Q And you never got that tested for gunshot
9	residue, did you?
10	A I don't believe so, no.
11	Q Now, showing you Exhibit No. 137, just the
12	portion shown to the detective to identify, this is an
13	interrogation room at Reno Police Department?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q And there's cameras in the room?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Visible; right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q In fact, you can see one right here?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And that entire portion of Bernard and Richard
22	talking in that room, that lasted for a little over
23	five minutes, didn't it?
24	A I think that's about right.

Q And that whole conversation, large parts of it
are unintelligible; right?
A Large parts of it are in Spanish which I do not
speak. Some of it was unintelligible, yes, but I don't
speak Spanish so I can't tell what could be interpreted
and what couldn't.
Q And by "unintelligible" I mean you just can't
hear what the person is saying?
A Yeah.
Q English or Spanish, you just can't hear it?
A Yeah, there were some parts that were
unintelligible, yes.
Q Muffled?
A Yes.
Q Because there's also hysterical crying?
A Sure.
Q And you testified that it was Richard who asked
to speak to his brother Bernard?
A He asked to see his family and he preferred
he wanted to speak to Bernard first. The family joined
him after he spoke to Bernard.
MS. RISTENPART: No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Any redirect?

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LEE:
3	Q Sir, when Bernard had his arm raised up, what
4	was he showing you?
5	A I'm not sure.
6	Q Did he discuss to you how he shot himself?
7	A He did, yes.
8	Q As well in your investigation I believe that
9	Bernard knew about this murder?
10	A Do I believe that?
11	MS. RISTENPART: Objection.
12	THE COURT: Hold on. Let me think about that. The
13	question is in your investigation do you believe
14	Bernard knew about the murder. Overruled.
15	MS. RISTENPART: I'm going to withdraw. I'll
16	withdraw, Your Honor. Thank you.
17	BY MR. LEE:
18	Q Did Bernard know about this murder?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Whose DNA was at the scene?
21	A Mr. Silva's.
22	Q Who pulled the trigger six times at the scene?
23	A Mr. Silva.
24	MR. LEE: That's all I have.

1	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, that is completely
2	inappropriate. A motion to strike, because that's for
3	the jury to decide.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any recross? You've made
5	the contemporaneous objection, which is appropriate,
6	but I will address it out of the jury's presence. Do
7	you have any recross examination questions?
8	RECROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. RISTENPART:
10	Q Detective, you weren't there, were you?
11	A At the scene of the murder, no.
12	MS. RISTENPART: No further questions.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, during this recess please do
15	not discuss this case amongst yourselves, please do not
16	form or express any opinion about this matter until it
17	has been submitted to you.
18	We'll be recess for about 10 minutes.
19	(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.)
20	THE COURT: Be seated, please.
21	Well, the redirect ended with this witness
22	providing a conclusion, an opinion. Right now I have
23	an objection, and I would like you to be heard and then
24	I'll allow you to argue. If indeed I sustain it, then

there may be cause to cure. I'm not sure. But it seems to be very unusual.

MR. LEE: It's my question that who pulled the trigger that killed Lucy, that's the question; right? THE COURT: I don't remember the exact question. Would you read it back, please.

(The following question was read:) "Who pulled the trigger six times at the scene?" MR. LEE: So I'm really struggling to find any impropriety in that, Your Honor. I think this is a lead detective. He managed the investigation. He had information from Yiovannie Guzman saying this, just like he had information about DNA at the scene. He can make those statements which he testified to. That is the whole purpose.

THE COURT: Right. So within his opinion based upon his investigation --

MR. LEE: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- that can be answered, but it was a very declarative question. He answered it, and then the redirect which caused the defense objection, because it did speak to a fact conclusion that's left to the province of the jury.

24

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. LEE: I agree, but we're telling them facts

throughout the whole trial. I'm not going to argue with you, Your Honor. I'll hold that back. I'll leave it at that.

THE COURT: So let me see if defense wants to make a record.

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, it was the form of the question and also a conclusionary statement and the way he stated it also, that it was leading the detective into making an ultimate conclusion which is for and in the purview and only in the purview of the jury. So that's why I'm asking you to strike that last statement, question and answer.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. That concludes your testimony. You're free to step down and leave.

MR. LEE: Judge, if you're inclined to strike it, I would like to ask it in another way then.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. LEE: But if not, then certainly we're done with Detective Thomas.

THE COURT: I was just going to write out some of my thoughts, because I've got to respond to this in some way in front of the jury. So let me just think. THE WITNESS: Stand by?

THE COURT: It doesn't matter. Yeah, stand by, if

you would, please, here, there or wherever you want. It will just take a moment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: It's my intention to say the following to the jury when they return. The defense objected at the end of Detective Thomas's -- I put Detective T. Let me make sure it's Thomas.

THE WITNESS: Thomas is my last name, yes, sir.

THE COURT: The defense objected at the end of Detective Thomas's redirect examination. The detective may testify about his opinion based upon his investigation, but you as the jury have the responsibility to decide the facts. The objection is sustained.

That's as far as I'm going to go. If that causes you to want to keep the witness on the stand to rephrase, to reform the question, you may do so.

MR. LEE: So the objection is sustained so they are not to consider his answer; correct?

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. LEE: I would like to have him up on the stand then.

THE COURT: Bring in the jury, please.

(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

2 The defense objected at the end of Detective 3 Thomas's redirect examination. The detective may testify about his opinion based upon his investigation, 4 5 but you as jurors will have the responsibility of deciding the facts. The objection is sustained. 6 7 Any other questions to the State? MR. LEE: Yes, Your Honor. 8 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 9 10 BY MR. LEE: Detective, based upon your entire 11 Q 12 investigation, including DNA evidence, including this recorded conversation with Bernard that we haven't 13 14 heard yet, including phone calls recorded from the jail 15 that we haven't heard yet -- but you certainly heard 16 those; right? 17 А Yes. -- Yiovannie Guzman's testimony, considering 18 Q 19 motives, do you have an opinion about who pulled the 20 trigger? 21 No. Oh, do I have an opinion? Yes. А 22 Who pulled the trigger on November 2nd then Q that killed -- based on all of that that killed Lucy? 23 24 MS. RISTENPART: Objection again, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: It's overruled.
2	THE WITNESS: Richard Silva.
3	MR. LEE: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any recross examination?
5	FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. RISTENPART:
7	Q Detective, you have that opinion, but you
8	didn't do a thorough investigation, did you?
9	A I think it was thorough.
10	Q Well, in your opinion, of course right?
11	because it's your case?
12	A Sure.
13	Q And you retired shortly thereafter, didn't you?
14	A I did.
15	Q And you didn't collect evidence like Paul's
16	Market; right?
17	A No.
18	Q You didn't test for gunshot residue; right?
19	A On the items that you specifically mentioned,
20	no.
21	Q You didn't even collect the hoodie, the black
22	hoodie, that Bernard showed up with on his interview?
23	A No.
24	MS. RISTENPART: No further questions.
	100

1	
1	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
2	and leave the courtroom.
3	Your next witness.
4	MR. LEE: Suli Schehr.
5	THE BAILIFF: Please step all the way up and then
6	face the clerk, please.
7	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
8	(The oath was administered.)
9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	THE CLERK: Thank you.
11	SULI SCHEHR,
12	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. LEE:
15	Q Good morning.
16	A Good afternoon.
17	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Schehr. Could you give us
18	your first and last name and spell it for us.
19	A Suli Schehr, S-u-l-i, S-c-h-e-h-r.
20	Q Ms. Schehr, do you speak multiple languages?
21	A Well, I speak Spanish very well. I speak
22	English and French.
23	Q Are you in fact a certified court interpreter
24	in the state of Nevada?

1	A I am.
2	Q How does that process work?
3	A It was pretty easy, because I was an
4	interpreter in Maryland for 20 years. So when I came
5	here, I apply for it and they called Maryland, I guess,
6	and they gave me the license.
7	Q And so to be a certified court interpreter
8	means you have a license to do so?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And is it run by the office of the
11	administration of the courts?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And have you testified or interpreted in the
14	state of Nevada?
15	A Interpreted, yes; testified, a time before, one
16	time.
17	Q And so interpreting meaning you could interpret
18	for a witness called by the State?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You could interpret for a witness called by the
21	defense?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Or you could interpret at the request of a
24	court?

	I	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And have you done all of those things?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	You're not employed by the State of Nevada;
5	correct	?
6	A	No, I'm not.
7	Q	You're not employed by the District Attorney's
8	Office?	
9	А	No, sir.
10	Q	But in this particular case you were hired or
11	retained	d by the District Attorney's Office?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Was that for the purpose of what?
14	A	Doing some transcription from a video and a
15	telepho	ne call.
16	Q	I'm going to show you what's been marked as
17	Exhibit	97. Take a look at those two pages.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Do you recognize that?
20	А	Yes, I do.
21	Q	What is that?
22	A	This is the transcription I did of a video.
23	Q	Does that video have two individuals in it?
24	A	Yes, two males.

1	Q During that video do they hug, embrace?
2	A Embrace.
3	Q What language are they speaking?
4	A Some of it is Spanish and a lot of it English.
5	Q Now, as we listen to it in here actually let
6	me back up. When you listened to it, tell us about
7	what you did to be able to interpret it.
8	A Say it again.
9	Q What did you have to do to be able to listen to
10	it, understand and interpret?
11	A I had to listen to it I don't know 20, 30
12	times until I could get some parts of the tape which is
13	what I transcribed, because the rest I couldn't
14	understand.
15	Q Did you have the aid of any speakers or special
16	speakers or anything?
17	A Yeah, I have a very good set of headphones,
18	very good.
19	Q And you wore those while you transcribed?
20	A Indeed, yes.
21	Q Now, do you consider yourself caviler in your
22	transcriptions or are you conservative in your
23	transcriptions?
24	A I think it's good.

	1
1	Q Meaning if there's something you're not sure
2	about, are you going to put it in your transcription
3	and testify to it?
4	A If I couldn't hear it, I couldn't understand
5	it, like I cannot understand, I do not transcribe.
6	Q So the parts that you translated and
7	transcribed, are those only parts that you are sure you
8	understood?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. LEE: Okay. Your Honor, I move for admission
11	of Exhibit 97.
12	MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, it's hearsay. The
13	witness is here to testify to a hearsay document.
14	THE COURT: 97 is admitted over objection.
15	(Exhibit 97 was admitted.)
16	BY MR. LEE:
17	Q Showing you Exhibit 97, is this a document that
18	you prepared?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Is this your signature that appears at the
21	bottom?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And is that your on the right side here is
24	that your certification number with the State of

1	Nevada?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Now, when this you listened to approximately
4	two minutes of conversation; correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And so to give us some context, when you said
7	that you couldn't hear or understand something, you
8	would say so; correct?
9	A Yes. I would write "unintelligible."
10	Q So such as about midway through you said, "Says
11	something unintelligible"?
12	A That's right.
13	Q But if I have something that says "me and
14	Lucy," that means you could hear it and comfortable
15	translating it?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I'm going to pull this for just a moment and
18	play for you Exhibit 137. You in fact looked at
19	Exhibit 137 just prior to coming into court this
20	afternoon; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is this the video of the translation on Exhibit
23	97 we just looked at?
24	A Yes. I have not heard it, but I saw it

1	immediately before, yes.
2	Q Thank you.
3	(Exhibit 97 played.)
4	BY MR. LEE:
5	Q So at this point I'm going to go back to
6	Exhibit 97, Ms. Schehr. We had some experience in this
7	courtroom yesterday of hearing an interview. Would you
8	say it's harder to hear in here than it is with your
9	headphones?
10	A Yes. The first part here is very difficult.
11	The end of the tape to me that I heard it so many times
12	is quite clear, but the first part is almost impossible
13	to understand here what they say.
14	Q So here you've identified the individuals as M1
15	and M2; correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q So looking at this, the left side is what you
18	hear in Spanish; correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And the right side is your English
21	interpretation?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So as I circle things would you mind reading
24	them in?

1	A In Spanish and in English?
2	Q No, we can just go English. So how about this
3	first line?
4	A "Me, me and Lucy."
5	Q M2 responds how?
6	A Male 2 says "What?" in English.
7	Q M1?
8	A Says, "Me and Lucy were also having an affair,
9	dude."
10	Q M2 says what?
11	A "Okay."
12	Q And M1?
13	A The first part of what he says he's crying, but
14	the second part, the second part is "That's why I did
15	it."
16	Q The part I'm circling on the second page, M1
17	says what?
18	A "I didn't tell anyone, anyone but you about me
19	and Lucy."
20	Q And how does M2 respond?
21	A "No one knows and no one ever will know."
22	Q After that, M1.
23	A "No one will know. Don't worry."
24	I'm sorry.

I	
1	"No one. I just wanted to tell you, dude."
2	Q And M2's response?
3	A "No one will know. Don't worry. Don't worry."
4	Q And then M1.
5	A "I didn't want to kill her, dude. I wanted to
6	kill the dude."
7	Q How does M2 respond?
8	A "I know, bro, but it's okay. It's okay, bro,
9	it's okay."
10	Q Ms. Schehr, you also translated and transcribed
11	a recorded phone call?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And about how long would you say the part is
14	that you translated?
15	A Well, at first I was asked to do three parts,
16	one a bit longer and two very, very short ones.
17	Q The longer one, is it about a minute long
18	roughly?
19	A I would say so.
20	MR. LEE: Your Honor, may I ask the witness to step
21	down just for purposes of foundation?
22	THE COURT: Yes. You may join the attorney in the
23	well of the courtroom.
24	/////

1	BY MR. LEE:
2	Q I'm going to insert Exhibit 99. And at this
3	point it's not being shown on the screen to the
4	audience; correct?
5	Thank you.
6	I've also shown you Exhibit 136 as you looked at
7	Exhibit 99; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What is 136?
10	A It's the transcription of a very short part
11	that this is a telephone call that is your evidence 99.
12	MR. LEE: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 99.
13	MS. RISTENPART: Objection. Hearsay.
14	THE COURT: 99 is admitted over objection.
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	(Exhibit 99 was admitted.)
17	MR. LEE: And I move to admit 136 as well.
18	THE COURT: What is 136? Will you show it to me?
19	MS. RISTENPART: Same objection.
20	THE COURT: 136 is admitted.
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	(Exhibit 136 was admitted.)
23	BY MR. LEE:
24	Q Ms. Schehr, Exhibit 136 is your translation and

1	transcription; correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is this all embedded in the recorded phone call
4	that's contained in Exhibit 99?
5	A Yes.
6	Q As a caption?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And let's be clear. This record is not the
9	entirety of the phone call, it's only a portion of it;
10	right?
11	A Yeah, very small.
12	Q There's two files on Exhibit 99. For the
13	record I'm going to play the first file.
14	(Exhibit 99 was played.)
15	Q And now the second file.
16	(Exhibit 99 was played.)
17	Q Now, at this point I'm pausing it at 26
18	seconds. The bottom, what does Silva say?
19	A Something I didn't get, because the mother or
20	the woman I think it's the mother was talking,
21	and then it says "my car keys because they have a
22	search warrant for my car."
23	(Exhibit 99 was played.)
24	/////

1	BY MR. LEE:
2	Q And how does Silva end that? What does he say?
3	A "They told me because they are trying to
4	find" he says "the pistol, and they aren't going to
5	find it. I already got rid of it."
6	Q Ms. Schehr, thank you for your testimony today.
7	That's all the questions I have.
8	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. RISTENPART:
11	Q Ma'am, you said you've been doing this for how
12	many years again?
13	A Twenty years.
14	Q Twenty years. And in an interpretation,
15	translation right? context is critical; right?
16	A Context as to the people you know?
17	Q Meaning you can't just pick and choose pieces
18	of a conversation to then translate and get a full
19	contextual idea of what's going on?
20	A Yes and no. It depends. Usually they gave me
21	the first for example, in this case they gave me the
22	first translation I did, they gave me the whole tape, I
23	saw it whole. The second part they gave me some parts
24	to read. They told me that it was a conversation. And

I had an idea what it was because the second part, the 1 one we just saw, is pretty clear. The Spanish is very 2 clear. You can understand anyway. 3 Q So let me get this straight, ma'am. The State 4 5 gave you a longer portion of this conversation between the two males that you listened to; correct? 6 7 Yeah, not complete, but a bit longer, yes. А And then from that you used that to use for 8 Q context to the statements that you just -- we just saw 9 10 that you translated? Yes. I didn't need much context. I could 11 А 12 translate what I hear. 13 Q But you used it for context? 14 А Yeah. 15 0 Because it is hard to hear as you said. You 16 had to listen 20 to 30 times with great earphones on? 17 А Only the first one. The second one is very The telephone conversation is very clear. 18 clear. 19 MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, I would like a hearing outside the presence. 20 21 THE COURT: I understand, and it will be granted, 22 but I need to hear more to include redirect, because right now I have just the witness's testimony in 23 isolation and I need to hear more. So continue 24

1	cross-examination if you would, please.
2	BY MS. RISTENPART:
3	Q So as we were talking about, context is part of
4	what you were using to interpret what you thought you
5	were hearing in that very difficult video of the two
6	<pre>males; right?</pre>
7	A In the video of the two males I didn't use any
8	context.
9	Q I asked a really difficult question. Let me
10	rephrase.
11	You had a longer portion than what was shown;
12	correct?
13	A Which one are you talking about, the first one
14	or the second one?
15	Q The first one.
16	A No, I just got that.
17	Q Are you aware that it is from a larger
18	conversation?
19	A No. No.
20	Q Through your interpretation experience wouldn't
21	it be necessary to look at the entire context of the
22	conversation, especially when you're trying to
23	interpret words?
24	A No. No.

1	Q And this is after you listened to it 20, 30
2	different times?
3	A Say it again.
4	Q The first one after you listened to it 20 to 30
5	different times with really good headphones on?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And this document, the one that had your
8	translation on it, that's what you believe that you
9	heard; right?
10	A Yes. The things that I transcribed I am
11	100 percent sure that I heard them very well.
12	Q And then the parts that are unintelligible
13	A I didn't hear anything.
14	Q Right. But you could hear that they were
15	talking?
16	A Mostly crying, yes.
17	Q So words were being spoken, you just it's
18	just too bad of a recording for you to understand?
19	A Yeah.
20	Q So with that, the unintelligible portions that
21	you couldn't hear anything, that would put context as
22	to what you say you heard translated; right?
23	A Not really. I just transcribed what I heard.
24	THE COURT: It's time to move on.

1	BY MS. RISTENPART:
2	Q And in that last in the phone call, there
3	are portions that were inaudible to you; right?
4	A No, it was pretty clear. Some words at the
5	beginning when they were talking, you know, on the
6	phone, but it was a very clear conversation.
7	Q You actually wrote in your translation the word
8	"inaudible"; right?
9	A In some parts, yes, very few.
10	Q And that phone call was number two I'll call
11	it for you that was part of a larger phone call?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Almost 15 minutes?
14	A I have no idea.
15	MS. RISTENPART: Nothing further.
16	THE COURT: Any redirect?
17	MR. LEE: None.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
19	and leave.
20	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
21	THE COURT: To the State.
22	MR. LEE: Judge, I believe I'm going to rest my
23	case. Before I do so could I have five minutes just to
24	review the evidence list?

THE COURT: Of course that's an appropriate 1 2 request, and I would grant that. I'm thinking about the logistics, because should the State rest there will 3 then be a lengthy recess. 4 5 MR. LEE: I can look over it pretty fast. We can do it right here. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes, if you can just do it now. I just want to send the jury out once. I don't want to send 8 9 them out for five minutes and then send them out again. Ladies and gentlemen, let's stand and be at ease. 10 11 (Pause in the proceedings.) 12 MR. LEE: Your Honor, thank you for that time. THE COURT: All right. Be seated, everyone, 13 14 please. MR. LEE: Your Honor, I've considered all the 15 16 exhibits. I'm in agreement, and the State is resting 17 its case. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 Ladies and gentlemen, during this recess please do 20 not discuss this case amongst yourselves, please do not 21 form or express any opinion about this matter until it 22 has been submitted to you. We'll be in recess until 3:30. You're free to leave the jury room and go 23 24 outside and walk for a couple minutes if you want.

I'll see you back in the courtroom at approximately
3:30.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: Be seated, please.

Is there anything that either attorney wants to discuss on our record about the last witness?

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, I thought you were looking at the State to start.

I'm going to renew my request for the Court's consideration to admit the other portion of that recording based upon the expert's -- the State's expert. She first testified that it was in context, that she listened to it, listened to a longer recording and then saw what she referred to. Upon some other questions she did say, "Oh, no, that's all I saw was this portion of this recording."

Mr. Lee had made statements to the Court that he had not shown this expert the rest of that five-minute conversation between Bernard and Richard. Her testimony is conflicting at best and also opens the door for us to bring in the rest of the statement, because she talks about context and how first context was important. And that's exactly what our argument was under the rule of completeness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: To the State.

MR. LEE: I didn't get that at all. Her answers to the many, many context questions were consistent that, no, I translate what I hear. With regard to anything more, I think Ms. Ristenpart was confused, that she did have the jail call and she had the whole transcription of that before from the Reno Police Department. But when a clarifying question was asked by Ms. Ristenpart, she said no, I just had that first part and that's all that she translated. And so, again, no door was opened.

THE COURT: I think the word "context" can mean different things. Under NRS 47.120 it is a legal construct which is dependent upon substantive content, whereas with this witness it is more a linguistic and translation context. I believe those two meanings of the word "context" differ. And this witness's testimony does not cause the Court to revisit its decision under 47.120 which is embedded and entwined with the statute 51.035.

Mr. Silva, if you and your attorney will stand, please. I am required at this point to have a conversation with you. And you'll have an opportunity to visit privately with your attorney.

Mr. Silva, do you understand that under the Constitution of the United States and the State of Nevada you cannot be compelled to testify in this case? THE DEFENDANT: Correct. THE COURT: Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Okay. You may of your own choice give up this right and take the witness stand. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by the State's attorney and anything you say, whether it be on direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment by the district attorney during argument and will be available for the jury to consider during deliberations. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, this Court will not allow the State's attorney to make any comment to the jury about your choice to remain silent. And if you choose not to testify, I would instruct the jury not to consider your constitutional silence or discuss it in any way. That instruction would be provided by your attorney. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, I'm not aware of

1	any impeachable felonies. That just has not been a
2	part of this case record. Should I include my canvass
3	as to
4	MS. RISTENPART: There are none, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right. Do you have any questions
6	of me?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may be
9	seated.
10	We'll be in recess until 3:30 at which time I will
11	call for the defense to begin its case.
12	(A recess was taken.)
13	THE COURT: All right. The jury, please, Deputy.
14	(Proceedings within the presence of the jury.)
15	THE COURT: Please be seated.
16	To the defense.
17	MS. RISTENPART: Thank you. The defense will call
18	Detective Jenkins from Reno Police Department.
19	THE BAILIFF: Step all the way up and face the
20	clerk, please.
21	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
22	(The oath was administered.)
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
24	THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel, you may proceed.
MS. RISTENPART: Thank you.
ALLISON JENKINS, having been first duly sworn, was
examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. RISTENPART:
Q Detective, would you please state and spell
your name for the record.
A I can. It's Allison Jenkins. And the last is
J-e-n-k-i-n-s.
Q And, Detective Jenkins, we saw that you brought
up a large black binder. Does that have some case
information it in?
A It does not actually.
Q Detective, where do you work?
A I am employed by the Reno Police Department.
Q And how long have you worked there for?
A A little more than 12 years now.
Q And I've been calling you detective. What does
that mean at the Reno Police Department?
A I am currently assigned as a detective or an
investigator to the Robbery/Homicide Unit at the Reno
Police Department.
Q Detective, taking you back to November 16th of

2017, were you asked by fellow detectives to do an 1 2 interview? 3 А I was. And why were you asked to do an interview? 4 0 I was told they were trying to interview family 5 А members of the people involved. They had identified an 6 7 18-year-old who they didn't think had much involvement. I had actually been out of town when this case 8 9 happened. And so I think they thought I would be a good fit as this was initially thought to be more of a 10 peripheral interview. 11 12 And the individual, the 18-year-old we're Q talking about, what was the name of the individual? 13 Yiovannie Guzman. 14 А And that interview took place at Reno Police 15 Ο 16 Department? 17 А Yes, it did. And was it audio and video recorded? 18 Q 19 Yes, it was. А 20 And it was a pretty lengthy interview; correct? Q 21 I believe it was a few hours, yes. А 22 In that interview did Mr. Guzman ever tell you Q 23 that the original plan was just to allow Richard to 24 borrow his car?

1	A That's not exactly what he told me. I would be
2	happy to explain further if you'd like me to.
3	Q In fact, he told you that the plan was either
4	Richard could take the car or they could go together;
5	right?
6	A Yeah, it was something to that extent.
7	Q In that interview Mr. Guzman explained well,
8	let me put it I don't want to jump around.
9	Mr. Guzman said that he ran into Richard Silva at a
10	market, Paul's Market; right?
11	A Yes, ma'am.
12	Q And in his interview Mr. Guzman claimed that
13	they didn't make any excuse me that they made
14	plans while standing at Paul's Market; right?
15	A Actually he told me that they briefly discussed
16	it but that he didn't feel that they had actually
17	formulated a plan while at the market.
18	Q At one point you asked him, "While standing in
19	the market did you ask who was going to be killed"? Do
20	you remember that?
21	A Not specifically.
22	Q And do you remember asking Mr. Guzman the
23	question that "Did you just pick the day by opening
24	your calendar and saying Thursday is good"?

I don't remember asking that specifically. 1 А 2 Would looking at an unofficial transcript of Q your interview refresh your memory? 3 Sure. 4 А 5 MS. RISTENPART: With the Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 BY MS. RISTENPART: While I'm looking for that, Detective, as we're 8 0 9 all sitting here watching me, part of that conversation about Mr. Guzman and that night, and specifically we're 10 talking about Halloween, did Mr. Guzman ever tell you 11 12 that he then after Paul's Market went to another 13 location to go meet up with Richard to switch out the 14 cars? 15 А Are you referring to that night? 16 I'm referring to what Mr. Guzman told you in Q 17 that interview on November 16th, 2017. I guess I'm just asking for a little bit of 18 А 19 clarification on the question. Are you asking me if he switched out cars that night, on Halloween night, or 20 21 any time? 22 I'm asking did Mr. Guzman in your interview 0 with him tell you that on Halloween after Paul's Market 23 24 that he then went to another house to switch out the

aine?
you that
ssion;
ement.
ally it
do,
old him
of 2017
had gone
tiple
?
y went
had

1	visited each location that night.
2	Q Multiple times?
3	A Yes.
4	Q During that interview did Mr. Guzman ever tell
5	you that at the 7-Eleven that Richard was going to go
6	ask for gloves or a mask?
7	A I certainly do not recall that.
8	Q At any time did your interview with your
9	interview with Mr. Guzman did he ever tell you that
10	repeatedly Richard told him "Don't get cold feet"?
11	A I don't recall that.
12	Q During your interview with Mr. Guzman he told
13	you that the gun was a .44; correct?
14	A I don't recall him saying that.
15	Q Would looking at an unofficial transcript
16	refresh your memory?
17	A Yes, it may.
18	MR. LEE: Judge, could I ask for clarification?
19	Was it official or unofficial?
20	THE COURT: I believe that the first time counsel
21	said unofficial. That was several minutes ago.
22	MS. RISTENPART: Correct, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: This time I didn't hear whether you
24	said official or unofficial.

1	MS. RISTENPART: Unofficial.
2	THE COURT: Still unofficial.
3	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. RISTENPART: Page 81.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	BY MS. RISTENPART:
8	Q Does that refresh your memory?
9	A It does.
10	Q In his interview Mr. Guzman said it was a .44;
11	correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q In your interview of Mr. Guzman did he ever
14	tell you that he met up with Richard at McDonald's
15	after the shooting?
16	A No.
17	Q Did he ever tell you that he had a conversation
18	with Richard at McDonald's after the shooting?
19	A Not that I recall.
20	Q Mr. Guzman in his interview with you, he
21	described Luz's breaking his family; right?
22	A Yes, he did.
23	Q And also described Luz trying to steal his
24	cousin Bernard away?
	2.2.7

1	A I don't recall exactly how he phrased it, but
2	yes.
3	Q And that he believed Luz was hurting Bernard
4	spiritually?
5	A Yes, he did say that.
6	Q Hurting Bernard emotionally?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Hurting Bernard physically?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And in his interview he disclosed to you and
11	told you that he knew Bernard was angry about Luz
12	cheating?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And also during your interview Mr. Guzman told
15	you the original plan was to say nothing; right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q In your original interview with him excuse
18	me. In your only interview with you because you
19	just interviewed him once; right?
20	A Correct.
21	Q did Mr. Guzman use the words "vehicle"?
22	A I would have to look at the transcript or
23	listen to the audio to tell you for sure.
24	Q Did he use the words "proceed"?

1 Again, I would have to look at the transcript. А It was several years ago. I apologize. 2 Did he ever use the words "I don't recall"? 3 Q It's possible, but I don't remember for sure. 4 Α 5 From your remembrance do you remember if 0 Mr. Guzman had a very sophisticated way of speaking? 6 7 А He seemed intelligent to me. And that's kind of a general question, but was 8 Q 9 he using big vocabulary when he was talking to you? Honestly I don't recall. I can tell you he 10 А seemed, you know, to have -- he seemed intelligent to 11 12 me. You know, I can't tell you if he used, you know, an extensive vocabulary or not off the top of my head. 13 14 Q Did he use legal terminology with you at all? А Not that I recall. 15 16 And at one point you asked Mr. Guzman Q 17 point-blank who shot Luz; right? I did. 18 А 19 And Mr. Guzman did not say anything; right? Q 20 He eventually did. А 21 But he remained silent? Q 22 Only initially. А 23 And then you talked over him and started Q talking about Richard; correct? 24

1	A You would have to show me the part of the
2	interview you're talking about.
3	MS. RISTENPART: With the Court's indulgence.
4	There's a lot of pages. I apologize.
5	May I approach, Your Honor?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	BY MS. RISTENPART:
8	Q Did that refresh your memory?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MS. RISTENPART: Does it refresh her memory.
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
12	MS. RISTENPART: I'm sorry, what?
13	THE COURT: I thought I heard you say you were
14	turning around "May I approach?" which was the
15	second time you requested that and I thought, well,
16	yeah, of course you can. Excuse me.
17	MS. RISTENPART: I actually asked if that refreshed
18	her memory.
19	THE COURT: And I answered for her. Well, it's
20	your answer to give, not mine.
21	THE WITNESS: I appreciate that. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MS. RISTENPART:
24	Q Does that refresh your memory?

1	A It does about that very specific small part of
2	the interview.
3	Q And when you asked him did Richard shoot her,
4	he remained silent; right?
5	A At that portion of the interview, yes, he did.
6	Q And to be fair, later on and after the lengthy
7	interview he kept saying it was Richard; right?
8	A Yes, he did.
9	Q Thereafter did you ever interview Mr. Guzman
10	again?
11	A I did not.
12	Q Why not?
13	A This was not my case and I was never assigned
14	to interview him again.
15	Q In fact, in his original interview Mr. Guzman
16	told you that he and Richard never talked about the
17	murder after it happened; right?
18	A I think he did tell me that, yes.
19	MS. RISTENPART: I have no further questions.
20	THE COURT: To the State.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. LEE:
23	Q Detective Jenkins, would it be fair to call
24	this interview as having multiple segments to it of

1	sorts?	
2	А	Yes, I think so.
3	Q	Let me clarify. When he first comes in was
4	Yiovann	ie Guzman a suspect?
5	A	No, he was not.
6	Q	And were his initial details to you somewhat of
7	a denia	l mode?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	He wasn't giving you this information; right?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Did he start to give you information?
12	А	He did.
13	Q	I think he started by stating that he had
14	possess	ion of that vehicle all night?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And then little by little gave you some?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Until he flat out told you what his
19	partici	pation in the case was; right?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Told you what Richard Silva's participation
22	was?	
23	А	He did.
24	Q	He told you that Richard Silva pulled the

trigger?

1

2

3

4

A He did.

Q As far as the .44 magnum, explain that to us. What did he say about the gun or gun type?

5 A He actually told me that he was unaware of the specific gun used in this but that he assumed Richard 6 7 used a revolver he was familiar with Richard owning. He guessed at a caliber, thought it was a .44. He said 8 that he was not exactly sure where he had it on his 9 person and never saw it or handled it that night. 10 11 And then you had a third -- you at some point Q 12 concluded the interview? This was a long interview; right? 13 14 А It was a few hours. 15 0 But at some point you had concluded this 16 interview? 17 А I did. His family was allowed to see him? 18 Q 19 Yes, they were. А 20 After his family saw him he asked to speak to 0 21 you again? 22 He did. А 23 Was that only to show why he did what he did or Q 24 you did what you did? Was it your understanding that

Ī	
1	the family had told him to tell the truth, tell
2	everything?
3	A Yes, that was my understanding.
4	Q And so he called for you, you went back in and
5	talked to him some more?
6	A I did.
7	Q And did he give you additional details that had
8	not come out before?
9	A He did provide several additional details, yes.
10	Q And then the interview concluded?
11	A It did.
12	Q And you never talked with Mr. Guzman again?
13	A No, I haven't.
14	Q Thank you. That's all I have.
15	THE COURT: Redirect.
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. RISTENPART:
18	Q In that conversation with his family there was
19	a lot of talk about Bernard; correct?
20	A I actually was not privy to the details of that
21	conversation. I did not get to listen to it. I was
22	made aware by a partner of mine who did listen to it
23	who said, "Hey, he would like to talk to you again.
24	They're telling him to tell the truth." And that was

1	all the information I got.
2	Q And you never went back and reviewed as to
3	exactly what the family said to Mr. Guzman before he
4	asked to speak to you again?
5	A Did I?
6	Q Um-hum.
7	A I did not.
8	MS. RISTENPART: No further questions.
9	THE COURT: Recross.
10	MR. LEE: None. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down
12	and leave.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: To the State.
15	Excuse me. I'm so accustomed to saying that. I
16	looked right at you, Ms. Ristenpart, as I said the
17	wrong words. To the defense, please.
18	MS. RISTENPART: Officer Hammerstone, please.
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let's just stand
20	for a minute as we await the officer.
21	All right. Be seated, please.
22	THE BAILIFF: If you'll step all the way up and
23	face the clerk, please.
24	THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

1	(The oath was administered.)
2	THE WITNESS: I do.
3	THE CLERK: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: You may proceed, counsel.
5	MS. RISTENPART: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	JAMES HAMMERSTONE,
7	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. RISTENPART:
10	Q Officer Hammerstone, would you please state and
11	spell your name for the record.
12	A Officer James Hammerstone,
13	H-a-m-m-e-r-s-t-o-n-e.
14	Q And are you employed?
15	A Yes, I am.
16	Q Where are you employed?
17	A City of Sparks.
18	Q And how long have you been employed there for?
19	A It's going on 13 and a half years.
20	Q And what's your job there?
21	A I am a police officer.
22	Q So going back to October 20th of 2017, were you
23	a police officer?
24	A Yes.
	236
	1318

	I	
1	Q	Were you on duty that day?
2	A	I was.
3	Q	And when I say "duty," what kind of duty do you
4	normally	y do or what were you doing back then?
5	A	Patrol officer.
6	Q	On October 20th of 2017 were you asked to
7	respond	to a certain location?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	What location was that?
10	A	I don't know the numbers, but the location was
11	inside (	of Sheffield Court.
12	Q	Would 645 Sheffield Court remind you?
13	A	Possibly. I don't remember.
14	Q	It's been a long time; right?
15	A	It has been.
16	Q	And just for the purposes of why you responded,
17	what was	s the nature of the call?
18	A	I believe it was a report of suicide or shots
19	fired.	
20	Q	And when you arrived what did you observe?
21	A	Some family members in distress, emotionally
22	upset.	And I eventually made my way up to a bedroom.
23	Q	So before we get up there, you said there were
24	some far	mily members there present.

1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you remember how many family members?
3	A I believe three off the top of my head.
4	Q Was one a female?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And was it two males?
7	A I don't remember.
8	Q And in fact one of the family members that was
9	there, do you see him in the courtroom today?
10	A I don't recall now.
11	Q And, again, it's been a long time; right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Does the name Mr. Richard Silva remind you of a
14	family member that was on scene?
15	A Possibly.
16	Q Now, once you arrived you said that you entered
17	the house. And then where did you go?
18	A I went upstairs to a bedroom.
19	Q And why did you go up there?
20	A That's where I was told that the injured party
21	was.
22	Q And what did you see?
23	A I saw a male holding a sweatshirt over a male
24	who was lying on the bed, pressing or putting pressure

1	on his chest.
2	Q And what did you do next?
3	A I asked him to step aside. I removed the
4	sweatshirt and I saw what appeared to be or consistent
5	with a gunshot wound to his chest and I began putting
6	pressure on the chest and instructing the other
7	officers of what they needed to do.
8	Q At this point, the person who had been shot,
9	were they communicating to you, talking?
10	A They were talking. They mentioned some things,
11	but they weren't really communicating.
12	Q And the person who was shot, you said it was a
13	male?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And did you later identify who that male was
16	who had been shot?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And who was that it?
19	A All I remember at this point is his name was
20	Bernard.
21	Q Would the last name Silva refresh your memory?
22	A Possibly.
23	Q And then after you called for assistance did an
24	ambulance shortly show up?

1	A They were dispatched the same time we were.
2	Q Now, at some point was the individual who had
3	been shot, Bernard as you know him, he was taken away
4	by the ambulance?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Did the family members remain on scene?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did you do an investigation?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And through your investigation did you come to
11	the conclusion that it was either self-inflicted or
12	some kind of accident as to what happened?
13	A It was determined more likely than not it was
14	self-inflicted.
15	Q And how did you come to that determination?
16	A There was only one other person in the house as
17	reported and there was gunshot powder residue on his
18	clothing and chest, chest area.
19	Q Did you also have some information from a
20	fellow officer, Officer Hodge, that helped in your
21	determination as to whether this was self-inflicted?
22	A I don't recall if she gave me any additional
23	information.
24	Q Would looking at your report refresh your

1	memory?
2	A Sure.
3	Q Would looking at your colleague's report
4	verify?
5	A That would.
6	Q Page 2, the second paragraph.
7	A Yeah. This is actually Officer Sheffield's
8	report, not mine.
9	Q Does it refresh your memory as to part of your
10	investigation, though?
11	A I do recall that he and his either wife or
12	estranged wife were having some issues.
13	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach, Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	BY MS. RISTENPART:
16	Q Now, Officer, as part of your investigation you
17	took some photos; correct?
18	A I believe I did, yes.
19	Q And I'm showing you what is going to be marked
20	as Exhibit No
21	THE CLERK: Exhibit 141 marked for identification.
22	(Exhibit 141 was marked.)
23	MS. RISTENPART: May I approach?
24	THE COURT: Yes.

1	
1	BY MS. RISTENPART:
2	Q Showing you what's been marked as 141, do you
3	recognize what's in that photo?
4	A I believe that is the shirt that Mr. Bernard
5	Silva was wearing that we had located inside the
6	bedroom.
7	Q Is it a black hoodie that's depicted in that
8	picture?
9	A That seems right and that's what it appears to
10	be, yes.
11	Q And does it also appear to have a gunshot
12	bullet hole and blood surrounding it?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Does it accurately and correctly reflect what
15	you saw that day?
16	A I believe so, yes.
17	MS. RISTENPART: Move for admission, Your Honor.
18	MR. LEE: No objection.
19	THE COURT: 141 is admitted, Ms. Clerk.
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.
21	(Exhibit 141 was admitted.)
22	BY MS. RISTENPART:
23	Q And this was from October 20th of 2017, just to
24	clarify; right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Showing Exhibit 141. And we were talking about
3	that it is a black hoodie; correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And that we see unfortunately the circle left
6	by a gunshot or a circle that has blood around it? I
7	should say it that way. Right?
8	A A red substance, yes.
9	Q And this particular black hoodie had some
10	little toggles that were square shaped or the end of
11	the strings around the hoodie; right?
12	A That's what it appears to be, yes.
13	Q And you collected this as evidence; right?
14	A It was either myself or another officer who was
15	on scene.
16	Q While you were on scene investigating do you
17	remember a female who was identified as the estranged
18	wife coming over?
19	A Yes.
20	Q In fact, that's kind of memorable to you
21	because it was a lot went on; correct?
22	A Um-hum.
23	Q And what do I mean by "a lot went on"?
24	A I believe if I remember right, there was

some yelling and screaming between the different family 1 2 members. And specifically from the person -- the woman 3 Q identified as the estranged wife and a female family 4 5 member; correct? 6 I believe so, yes. А 7 And do you remember a male family member who Q tried to calm down the situation and separate them? 8 I don't remember that. 9 А What type of gun was used, do you know? 10 Q I'm sorry. What? 11 А 12 Q What type of gun? A I don't remember. 13 14 Q No further questions. Thank you. THE COURT: To the State. 15 16 MR. LEE: I have no questions. THE COURT: Thank you. You're free to step down 17 and to leave. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Counsel, would you see me at sidebar 21 real quick. 22 Ladies and gentlemen, feel free to stand and be at 23 ease. (Discussion off the record.) 24

THE COURT: Be seated, everyone, please. 1 2 Counsel, I did not ask if you anticipated a rebuttal case. 3 MR. LEE: I think you did yesterday outside of the 4 5 presence, but I can just tell you probably not, but it depends on what I hear. 6 7 THE COURT: So we're done for the day. I'm going to read the overnight admonition and then I'm going to 8 9 talk to you about what tomorrow looks like. You are admonished not to converse amongst 10 yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected 11 12 with this trial. You will not read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person 13 14 connected with this case or by any medium of 15 information, including, without limitation, the 16 newspaper, television, internet or radio. 17 You are not to perform any independent investigation, research or experimentation. You are 18 19 not to do any site visits or field trips. You are further admonished not to form or express any opinion 20 21 on any subject connected with this trial until the case 22 is finally submitted to you. When you return tomorrow morning there will be 23 additional evidence presented and then we will be 24

breaking for a long period of time, probably two hours, while the Court and the attorneys meet out of your presence for a discussion of the principles of law that will govern your deliberations. We refer to those as jury instructions.

You will then return and you will hear me read the jury instructions aloud. And then you'll have closing arguments and then there will be deliberations. That could happen tomorrow. No guarantees, though, because depending upon how much of the day is taken by evidence, I might have deliberations begin Monday morning which means you would have most of the day tomorrow off. I'll need to work with the attorneys about that. But either tomorrow or Monday you will be deliberating this case.

And with that I will wish you a goodnight. I am going to visit with Juror No. 13 again if you will please stay. The rest of you are free to go and to leave the courthouse. We're starting tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. Thank you.

Deputy, I will now close the court session for the day and you're free to escort and wish those members of the public goodnight. Goodnight, everybody.

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Oh, Deputy, grab them and have them return.

Deputy, bring them all back in. I forgot something. I'm having them all come back in. I hope I didn't lose them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. So let's begin. Be seated, please. I wanted to talk about your food for tomorrow. Be seated if you would, please.

Again, nothing I say should foreshadow when exactly you will given deliberations. It could be Monday, but it could be tomorrow.

Once you have begun your deliberations there are different rules about how you can break from each other, and so we provide food at our expense. Now, there are no time restrictions or guidance on the length of deliberations. I do not believe at all -well, it's not -- it's possible that deliberations could begin tomorrow before the noon hour if we push it, if we push the noon hour back, but I don't know. It's likely that if deliberations begin tomorrow it would be after the noon hour.

However, if the deliberations continue into the evening, we'll provide food. So if you don't like what we provide or you have nutritional requirements, you'll need to be prepared with your own food tomorrow. We will provide pub food, pizza and wings. And so if

that's not part of your lifestyle, bring your own food, please. And with that, goodnight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Proceedings outside the presence of the jury.) THE COURT: Be seated, please.

I am in the presence of the attorneys, Mr. Silva and Juror No. 13.

Juror No. 13, you are an alternate juror which means that you will not be deliberating with the jury unless one of the 12 who sit in earlier positions is unavailable to deliberate. And so I've thought about -- and I sometimes say that at the beginning of the case and I sometimes say it at the end for different reasons and I just decided to tell you now.

You have been here all week consistent with your service requirement. If you had made arrangements to be here, I would like to know if your presence still creates undue hardship or extreme inconvenience. I would like you to tell me, because I release the alternate jurors as soon as deliberations begin, so it's possible that I would release you tomorrow afternoon, but it's possible that it would be Monday. So I just want to know where you are in your conflict.

JUROR NO. 13: I haven't changed any of my plans. Like I told you previously, my hotel is booked and paid

for and has been done since October, so it's been a while. I would -- should I cancel those plans I would be out a couple hundred dollars of it. But as of now I haven't changed my plans.

THE COURT: Do you believe that you can continue to serve as an alternate juror free of any distractions, fully engaged, or are you concerned about this trip such that it could affect your service? I don't care what the answer is. I'm just trying to get inside your mind.

JUROR NO. 13: My only concern is that I have committed to my cousin to assist her, so I'm kind of stuck in a hard place here and there.

THE COURT: Do you believe your presence tomorrow afternoon or maybe Monday is an undue hardship or extreme inconvenience?

JUROR NO. 13: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Counsel, we'll talk out of Juror No. 13's presence, but do you have any questions?

MR. LEE: No. I think it's been clear from this and probably earlier, so I don't have any further questions.

MS. RISTENPART: No. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to have you go

to the jury deliberation room, but I would like you to 1 stay until I call you back in with my final decision. 2 JUROR NO. 13: Okay. 3 THE COURT: So we'll stand for Juror No. 13. 4 (Juror No. 13 left the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Please be seated. 6 7 I have twice indicated my concern about her continuing service. I've cited statutes and decisional 8 9 authority. I believe it's a discretionary decision on my behalf. I would only add that I have observed Juror 10 No. 13 throughout the week. She's participated 11 12 virtuously as a member of our community, been attentive, timely, not created any distractions in any 13 14 wav. I am now inclined to discharge her based upon 15 extreme inconvenience and undue hardship. 16 She has served a valuable purpose. She's been here 17 all week in the event that something did happen to one of the 12, but now with an alternate juror behind her 18 19 I'm ready to make that decision. 20 Is there agreement or disagreement? 21 MR. LEE: Agreement. Can I explain, though? 22 THE COURT: Yes, please. MR. LEE: From the State's perspective we actually 23 thought Juror 13 was a good juror and that's why we 24

didn't dismiss her. Her trip, though, to me seems -it's not just a trip to Sacramento or to Fallon. It's a Vegas trip for a wedding of someone close to her. And I think we kind of only hung onto her with the hope that if we finished earlier she would have a shot at this case. The trial hasn't progressed like that. I think we've kept her as long as we can keep her. And so I would be in agreement with letting her go at this point.

THE COURT: To the defense.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. RISTENPART: Your Honor, we would object. Ms. Ruiz was one of first self-identified Hispanic Latinos that she self-identified when the Court asked during voir dire who here identifies as Hispanic I think was your exact words or maybe of a different --

THE COURT: I was more general in my question because I wanted to be respectful. And in response I heard a lot of Hispanic, Latino, Hispanic, Latino.

MS. RISTENPART: She also identified that she is bilingual and does speak Spanish also as well as English. This is a jury of Mr. Silva's peers and there are less Hispanics and Latinos on our jury than there are people who were self-described as not being of a different ethnicity, however Your Honor phrased that

during voir dire.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With that, Your Honor, we're objecting to it. I understand the Court's concerns, but I think that she has already stated that she would change them if need be. And also the fact is that if she should -- if one of the 12 jurors right now, if something was to happen to them, if you release Ms. Ruiz, then the alternate juror would be a non-identified Hispanic, someone who is and perceives to be in front of us a young white male, Mr. Dyer. With that, Your Honor, I'll submit.

THE COURT: I like the record to be balanced, but I don't want to be part of the adversarial process.

Is there anything that you would say, Mr. Lee?

MR. LEE: Yes, Judge. Again, I think the undue hardship, she frankly gave you that and said yes, at this point it's an undue hardship. She's made commitments. She's in with some money commitment to that. She certainly qualifies under the statute.

As far as a fair balance of the jury, there are other jurors who identified themselves as Hispanic and Latino who sit on this jury currently, but that's not a main issue in the case at all or of the jury selection.

I recognize how she identified herself. She's a great cross-section of our community. Again, from the

1	State's perspective we liked her too. But at this
2	point it's her undue hardship regardless of her race or
3	ethnicity.
4	THE COURT: Juror No. 13, please.
5	(Juror No. 13 returned to the courtroom.)
6	THE COURT: Please be seated.
7	Juror No. 13, I have concluded that you have
8	responded to the call of service, you have performed
9	admirably as a member of our community. I'm grateful
10	for your service and I'm now discharging you from
11	further jury service. However, I don't know that I can
12	discharge you just yet.
13	Let me think about it for a moment. Yes, I must
14	discharge you. You will no longer be under the same
15	admonition to not discuss this case with those in your
16	own circle. However, it would be a profound violation
17	of the other jurors' duty for you to have any
18	interaction with them. No conversations of any type.
19	Should there be conversations between you and the
20	other jurors it could contaminate this process and call
21	you into personal inquiry. I just want you to go
22	attend to the commitment that is fixed and
23	nondiscretionary that has been on your calendar for
24	several months and that is an event that can't be

1 replaced.

2	You will either be there or not there, and I have
3	concluded that your absence does your continuing
4	service does constitute undue hardship and extreme
5	inconvenience. So thank you for your service. Collect
6	your belongings, and you're free to go.
7	JUROR NO. 13: Okay.
8	(Juror No. 13 left the courtroom.)
9	THE COURT: You can be seated.
10	Let's stay off the record.
11	(The proceedings were concluded at 4:30 p.m.)
12	000
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss. COUNTY OF WASHOE )

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I, LORI URMSTON, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken by me at the time and place therein set forth; that the proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and thereafter transcribed via computer under my supervision; that the foregoing is a full, true and correct transcription of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

I further certify that I am not a relative nor an employee of any attorney or any of the parties, nor am I financially or otherwise interested in this action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 8th day of March, 2021.

LORI URMSTON, CCR #51

LORI URMSTON, CCR #51