#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

## Supreme Court Case No. District Court Case No. A-19-792978

Electronically Filed UnitedHealth Group, Inc., United Healthcare Insurance CompaAyg 26t 2020 of the 28 p.m. Care Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Sier Elizabeth Ad Brown Insurance Company, Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., Health Flant Sylver Court Inc..

Petitioners

v.

The Eighth Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, Clark County, and the Honorable Nancy L. Allf, District Court Judge, \*Respondent\*

and

Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd., Team Physicians of Nevada-Mandavia, P.C., Crum Stefanko and Jones, Ltd., Real Parties in Interest.

### PETITIONER'S APPENDIX - VOLUME 1

D. LEE ROBERTS, JR., ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 8877
COLBY L. BALKENBUSH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13066
BRITTANY M. LLEWELLYN, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 13527
WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS,
GUNN & DIAL, LLC
6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
(702) 938-3838
lroberts@wwhgd.com
cbalkenbush@wwhgd.com
bllewellyn@wwhgd.com
Attorneys for Petitioners

## **CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX**

Date Filed	Description	Bates Number	Volume(s)
04/15/2019	Complaint	PA 1-17	1
05/14/2019	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	PA 18-76	1
01/29/2020	Declaration of Sandra Way	PA 77-84	1
02/20/2020	Order Granting Amended Motion to Remand to State Court	PA 85-90	1
05/15/2020	First Amended Complaint	PA 91-139	2
05/26/2020	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 140-285	2, 3
	Exhibit 1: UHIC, UHS and UMR Declaration	PA 172-175	2
	Exhibit 2: Oxford Declaration	PA 176-179	2
	Exhibit 3: SHO Declaration	PA 180-183	2
	Exhibit 4: SHL and HPN Declaration	PA 184-187	2
	Exhibit 5: Sample Claim Forms for UMR	PA 188-233	3
	Exhibit 6: Sample Claim Forms for SHO	PA 234-273	3
	Exhibit 7: Hill Country Order	PA 274-285	3
05/26/2020	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 286-300	4
05/29/2020	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	PA 301-406	4

## **CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX**

	Exhibit 1: Amended Motion to Remand	PA 333-350	4
	Exhibit 1 to Amended Motion to Remand: Transcript of Hearing on Jan 6, 2020	PA 351-355	4
	Exhibit 2 to Amended Motion to Remand: Petition in Hill County Emergency Medical Associates et al. vs. UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company et al.	PA 356-372	4
	Exhibit 2: Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	PA 373-389	4
	Exhibit 1 to Reply in Support of Motion to Remand: Amended Complaint filed in Marin Gen. Hosp. v. Modesto & Empire Traction Co.	PA 390-406	4
05/29/2020	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 407-422	4
06/03/2020	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 423-452	4
06/03/2020	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 453-462	5
06/08/2020	Hearing Transcript: Friday June 5, 2020	PA 463-497	5
06/09/2020	Minute Order	PA 498-499	5

# CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

06/10/2020	Hearing Transcript: Tuesday June 9, 2020	PA 500-586	5
06/24/2020	Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss first Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 587-628	5
07/08/2020	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 629-678	5

## **ALPHABETICAL INDEX**

Date Filed	Description	Bates Number	Volume(s)
04/15/2019	Complaint	PA 1-17	1
01/29/2020	Declaration of Sandra Way	PA 77-84	1
07/08/2020	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 629-678	5
05/26/2020	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 140-285	2, 3
06/03/2020	Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 423-452	4
06/03/2020	Defendants' Reply in Support of Their Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint	PA 453-462	5
05/26/2020	Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiff's Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 286-300	4
	Exhibit 1 to Amended Motion to Remand: Transcript of Hearing on Jan 6, 2020	PA 351-355	4
	Exhibit 1 to Reply in Support of Motion to Remand: Amended Complaint filed in Marin Gen. Hosp. v. Modesto & Empire Traction Co.	PA 390-406	4
	Exhibit 1: Amended Motion to Remand	PA 333-350	4
	Exhibit 1: UHIC, UHS and UMR Declaration	PA 172-175	2

## **ALPHABETICAL INDEX**

	Exhibit 2 to Amended Motion to Remand: Petition in Hill County Emergency Medical Associates et al. vs. UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company et al.	PA 356-372	4
	Exhibit 2: Oxford Declaration	PA 176-179	2
	Exhibit 2: Reply in Support of Amended Motion to Remand	PA 373-389	4
	Exhibit 3: SHO Declaration	PA 180-183	2
	Exhibit 4: SHL and HPN Declaration	PA 184-187	2
	Exhibit 5: Sample Claim Forms for UMR	PA 188-233	3
	Exhibit 6: Sample Claim Forms for SHO	PA 234-273	3
	Exhibit 7: Hill Country Order	PA 274-285	3
05/15/2020	First Amended Complaint	PA 91-139	2
06/08/2020	Hearing Transcript: Friday June 5, 2020	PA 463-497	5
06/10/2020	Hearing Transcript: Tuesday June 9, 2020	PA 500-586	5
06/09/2020	Minute Order	PA 498-499	5
05/14/2019	Notice of Removal to Federal Court	PA 18-76	1
06/24/2020	Order Denying Defendants' (1) Motion to Dismiss first Amended Complaint; and (2) Supplemental Brief in Support of their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 587-628	5

## **ALPHABETICAL INDEX**

02/20/2020	Order Granting Amended Motion to Remand to State Court	PA 85-90	1
05/29/2020	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants Motion to Dismiss First Amended Complaint	PA 301-406	4
05/29/2020	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Brief in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint Addressing Plaintiffs' Eighth Claim for Relief	PA 407-422	4

28

**Electronically Filed** 4/15/2019 5:42 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT COMPB** PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP CASE NO: A-19-792978-C 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Department 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES Case No.: (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional Dept. No.: corporation, Plaintiff. **COMPLAINT** UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE **Business Court Requested** COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; (EDCR 1.61(a)(2)(ii))UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC., dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota **Exempt From Arbitration: In Excess of** corporation; UMR, INC., dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware \$50,000, Declaratory and **Injunctive Relief Requested** corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA Jury Trial Demanded HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20, Defendants. Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. ("Fremont" or "Plaintiff") as 25 and for its Complaint against defendants United Healthcare Insurance Company ("UHCIC") and 26

its affiliates United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare ("UHC Services"); UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources ("UMR"); Oxford Benefit Management, Inc. ("Oxford"

together with UHC Services and UMR, the "UHC Affiliates" and with UHCIC, the "UH Parties"); Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc. ("Sierra Health"); Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc. ("Sierra Options" and together with Sierra Health, the "Sierra Affiliates"); Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. ("HPN") (collectively "United HealthCare") hereby complains and alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THIS ACTION

1. This action arises out of a dispute concerning the rate at which United HealthCare reimburses Fremont for the emergency medicine services it has already provided, and continues to provide, to patients covered under the health plans underwritten, operated, and/or administered by United HealthCare (the "Health Plans") (Health Plan beneficiaries for whom Fremont performed covered services that were not reimbursed correctly shall be referred to as "Patients").<sup>1</sup>

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. ("Fremont") is a professional emergency medicine services group practice that staffs the emergency departments at ER at Aliante; ER at The Lakes; Mountainview Hospital; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Rose de Lima Campus; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, San Martin Campus; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Siena Campus; Southern Hills Hospital and Medical Center; and Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center located throughout Clark County, Nevada.
- 3. Defendant United HealthCare Insurance Company ("UHCIC") is a Connecticut corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut. UHCIC is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fremont does not assert any causes of action with respect to any Patient whose health insurance was issued under Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) or is provided under the Federal Employee Health Benefits Act (FEHBA). Thus, there is no basis to remove this lawsuit to federal court under federal question jurisdiction. Fremont also does not assert any claims relating to United HealthCare's managed Medicaid business.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information and belief, United HealthCare Insurance Company is a licensed Nevada health and life insurance company.

- 4. Defendant United HealthCare Services, Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare ("UHC Services") is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. UHC Services is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, United HealthCare Services, Inc. is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 5. Defendant UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources ("UMR") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. UMR is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, UMR is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 6. Defendant Oxford Health Plans, Inc. ("Oxford") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. Oxford is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation.
- 7. Defendant Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc. is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. Sierra Health is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, Sierra Health is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 8. Defendant Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc. ("Sierra Options") is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. Sierra Options is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, Sierra Options is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 9. Defendant Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. ("HPN") is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. HPN is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, HPN is a licensed Nevada Health Maintenance Organization ("HMO").
- 10. There may be other persons or entities, whether individuals, corporations, associations, or otherwise, who are or may be legally responsible for the acts, omissions, Page 3 of 17

circumstances, happenings, and/or the damages or other relief requested by this Complaint. The true names and capacities of Does 1-10 and Roes Entities 11-20 are unknown to Fremont, who sues those defendants by such fictitious names. Fremont will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the proper names of the defendant Doe and Roe Entities when such names and capacities become known to Fremont.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
- 12. Venue is proper in Clark County, Nevada pursuant to NRS 13.010(1), NRS 13.020 and NRS 13.040.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

#### Fremont Provides Necessary Emergency Care

- 13. This is an action for damages stemming from United HealthCare's failure to properly reimburse Fremont for emergency services provided to members of their Health Plans.
- 14. Fremont is a professional practice group of emergency medicine physicians and healthcare providers that provides emergency medicine services 24 hours per day, 7 days per week to patients presenting to the emergency departments at hospitals and other facilities in Nevada staffed by Fremont. Fremont provides emergency department services at eight hospitals located in Clark County, Nevada.
- 15. Fremont and the hospitals whose emergency departments it staffs are obligated by both federal and Nevada law to examine any individual visiting the emergency department and to provide stabilizing treatment to any such individual with an emergency medical condition, regardless of the individual's insurance coverage or ability to pay. *See* Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA), 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd; NRS 439B.410. Fremont fulfills this obligation for the hospitals which its staffs. In this role, Fremont's physicians provide emergency medicine services to all patients, regardless of insurance coverage or ability to pay, including to patients with insurance coverage issued, administered and/or underwritten by United HealthCare.

- 17. There is no written agreement between United HealthCare and Fremont for the healthcare claims at issue in this litigation; Fremont is therefore designated as "non-participating" or "out-of-network" for all of the claims at issue in this litigation. Notwithstanding the lack of a written agreement, an implied-in-fact agreement exists between the parties.
- 18. Fremont regularly provides emergency services to United HealthCare's health plan members.
- 19. Relevant to this action, from July 1, 2017 through the present, Fremont has provided emergency medicine services to United HealthCare's members as follows: ER at Aliante (approximately July 2017-present); ER at The Lakes (approximately July 2017-present); Mountainview Hospital (approximately July 2017-present); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Rose de Lima Campus (approximately July 2017-October 2018); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, San Martin Campus approximately (July 2017-October 2018); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Siena Campus (approximately July 2017-October 2018); Southern Hills Hospital and Medical Center (approximately July 2017-present); and Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center (approximately July 2017-present).
- 20. Beginning on July 1, 2017, the UHC Parties arbitrarily began drastically reducing the rates at which they paid Fremont for emergency services for some claims, but not others. The UHC Parties paid some of the claims for emergency services rendered by Fremont at far below the usual and customary rates, yet paid other substantially identical claims submitted by Fremont at higher rates.
- 21. Upon information and belief, among other things, the UH Parties generally pay lower reimbursement rates for services provided to members of their fully insured plans and Page 5 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

authorize payment at higher reimbursement rates for services provided to members of selfinsured plans or those plans under which they provide administrator services only.

### United HealthCare Has Underpaid Fremont for Emergency Services

- 22. Despite not participating in United HealthCare's "provider network" for the times identified herein, Fremont has continued to provide emergency medicine treatment, as required by law, to patients covered by United HealthCare's plans who seek care at the emergency departments where they provide coverage.
- 23. In emergency situations, patients are likely to go to the nearest hospital for care, particularly if they are transported by ambulance. Patients facing an emergency situation are unlikely to have the luxury of determining which hospitals and physicians are in-network under their health plan. United HealthCare is obligated to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate for emergency services Fremont provided to its Patients, or alternatively for the reasonable value of the services provided.
- 24. United HealthCare's members have received a wide variety of emergency services (in some instances, life-saving services) from Fremont's physicians: treatment of conditions ranging from cardiac arrest, to broken limbs, to burns, to diabetic ketoacidosis and shock, to gastric and/or obstetrical distress.
- From July 2017 to the present, Fremont provided treatment for emergency 25. services to more than 10,800 Patients who were members in United HealthCare's Health Plans. The total underpayment amount for these related claims is in excess of the jurisdictional threshold of \$15,000.00 and continues to grow. United HealthCare has likewise failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of these claims.
- 26. During this same period, July 2017 to the present, United HealthCare paid some claims at an appropriate rate and others at a significantly reduced rate which is demonstrative of an arbitrary and selective program and motive or intent to unjustifiably reduce the overall amount United Healthcare pays to Fremont. Upon information and belief, United Healthcare has implemented this program to coerce, influence and leverage business discussions regarding the potential for Fremont to become a participating provider.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 27. For each of the healthcare claims at issue in this litigation, United HealthCare determined the claim was payable; however, it paid the claim at an artificially reduced rate. Thus, the claims at issue involve no questions of whether the claim is payable; rather, they involve only a determination of whether United HealthCare paid the claim at the required usual and customary rate, which it did not.
- 28. United HealthCare has failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the subject claims.
- 29. Fremont brings this action to compel United HealthCare to pay it the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services for the for the emergency services that it provided and will continue to provide Members.
- 30. Fremont has adequately contested the unsatisfactory rate of payment received from the UH Parties in connection with the claims that are the subject of this action.
- 31. All conditions precedent to the institution and maintenance of this action have been performed, waived, or otherwise satisfied.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract – UH Parties)

- 32. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. At all material times, Fremont was obligated under federal and Nevada law to provide emergency medicine services to all patients presenting at the emergency departments they staff, including United HealthCare Patients.
- 34. At all material times, the UH Parties knew that Fremont was non-participating emergency medicine groups that provided emergency medicine services to Patients.
- 35. From July 1, 2017 to the present, Fremont has undertaken to provide emergency medicine services to UH Parties' Patients, and the UH Parties have undertaken to pay for such services provided to UH Parties' Patients.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 36. At all material times, the UH Parties were aware that Fremont was entitled to and expected to be paid at rates in accordance with the standards established under Nevada law.
- 37. At all material times, the UH Parties have received Fremont's bills for the emergency medicine services Fremont has provided and continue to provide to UH Parties' Patients, and the UH Parties have consistently adjudicated and paid, and continue to adjudicate and pay, Fremont directly for the non-participating claims, albeit at amounts less than usual and customary.
- 38. Through the parties' conduct and respective undertaking of obligations concerning emergency medicine services provided by Fremont to the UH Parties' Patients, the parties implicitly agreed, and Fremont had a reasonable expectation and understanding, that the UH Parties would reimburse Fremont for non-participating claims at rates in accordance with the standards acceptable under Nevada law and in accordance with rates the UH Parties pay for other substantially identical claims also submitted by Fremont.
- 39. Under Nevada common law, including the doctrine of quantum meruit, the UH Parties, by undertaking responsibility for payment to Fremont for the services rendered to United HealthCare Patients, impliedly agreed to reimburse Plaintiffs at rates, at a minimum, equivalent to the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont.
- 40. The UH Parties, by undertaking responsibility for payment to Fremont for the services rendered to the UH Parties' Patients, impliedly agreed to reimburse Fremont at rates, at a minimum, equivalent to the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont.
- 41. In breach of its implied contract with Fremont, the UH Parties have and continue to systemically adjudicate the non-participating claims at rates substantially below both the usual and customary fees in the geographic area and the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont to the UH Parties' Patients.
- 42. Fremont has performed all obligations under its implied contract with the UH Parties concerning emergency medical services to be performed for Patients.

- 44. Fremont did not agree that the lower reimbursement rates paid by UH Parties were reasonable or sufficient to compensate Fremont for the emergency medical services provided to Patients.
- 45. Fremont has suffered damages in an amount equal to the difference between the amounts paid by the UH Parties and the usual and customary fees professional emergency medicine services in the same locality, that remain unpaid by the UH Parties through the date of trial, plus Fremont's loss of use of that money; or in an amount equal to the difference between the amounts paid by the UH Parties and the reasonable value of its professional emergency medicine services, that remain unpaid by the UH Parties through the date of trial, plus Fremont's loss of use of that money.
- 46. As a result of the UH Parties' breach of the implied contract to pay Fremont for the non-participating claims at the rates required by Nevada law, Fremont has suffered injury and is entitled to monetary damages from the UH Parties to compensate it for that injury in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 47. Fremont has been forced to retain counsel to prosecute this action and is entitled to receive their costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein.

#### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing – UH Parties)

- 48. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 49. Fremont and the UH Parties had a valid implied-in-fact contract as alleged herein.
- 50. A special element of reliance or trust between Fremont and the UH Parties, such that, the UH Parties were in a superior or entrusted position of knowledge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 51. That Fremont did all or substantially all of its obligations pursuant to the impliedin-fact contract.
- 52. By paying substantially low rates that did not reasonably compensate Fremont the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the services provide, the UH Parties performed in a manner that was unfaithful to the purpose of the implied-in-fact contract, or deliberately contravened the intention and sprit of the contract.
- 53. That the UH Parties' conduct was a substantial factor in causing damage to Fremont.
- 54. As a result of the UH Parties' tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Fremont has suffered injury and is entitled to monetary damages from the UH Parties to compensate it for that injury in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 55. The acts and omissions of the UH Parties as alleged herein were attended by circumstances of malice, oppression and/or fraud, thereby justifying an award of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 56. Fremont has been forced to retain counsel to prosecute this action and is entitled to receive their costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Alternative Claim for Unjust Enrichment – UH Parties)

- 57. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 58. Fremont rendered valuable emergency services to the Patients.
- 59. The UH Parties received the benefit of having their healthcare obligations to their plan members discharged and their members received the benefit of the emergency care provided to them by Fremont.
- 60. As insurers or plan administrators, the UH Parties were reasonably notified that emergency medicine service providers such as Fremont would expect to be paid by the UH Parties for the emergency services provided to Patients.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 61. The UH Parties accepted and retained the benefit of the services provided by Fremont at the request of the members of its Health Plans, knowing that Fremont expected to be paid a usual and customary fee based on locality, or alternatively for the reasonable value of services provided, for the medically necessary, covered emergency medicine services it performed for the UH Parties' Patients.
- 62. The UH Parties have received a benefit from Fremont's provision of services to its Patients and the resulting discharge of their healthcare obligations owed to their Patients.
- 63. Under the circumstances set forth above, it is unjust and inequitable for the UH Parties to retain the benefit they received without paying the value of that benefit; i.e., by paying Fremont at usual and customary rates, or alternatively for the reasonable value of services provided, for the claims that are the subject of this action and for all emergency medicine services that Fremont will continue to provide to United HealthCare's members.
- 64. Fremont seeks compensatory damages in an amount which will continue to accrue through the date of trial as a result of United Healthcare's continuing unjust enrichment.
- 65. As a result of the UH Parties' actions, Fremont has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 66. Fremont sues for the damages caused by the UH Parties' conduct and is entitled to recover the difference between the amount the UH Parties paid for emergency care Fremont rendered to its members and the reasonable value of the service that Fremont rendered to the UH Parties by discharging their obligations to their plan members.
- 67. As a direct result of the UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

#### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Violation of NRS 686A.020 and 686A.310 – UH Parties)

68. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

69.	The	Nevada	Insurance	Code	prohibits	an	insurer	from	engaging	in	an	unfai
settlement pra	ctices	. NRS 6	86A.020, 6	686A.3	10.							

- 70. One prohibited unfair claim settlement practice is "[f]ailing to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability of the insurer has become reasonably clear." NRS 686A.310(1)(e).
- As detailed above, the UH Parties have failed to comply with NRS 71. 686A.310(1)(e) by failing to pay Fremont's medical professionals the usual and customary rate for emergency care provided to UH Parties' members. By failing to pay Fremont's medical professionals the usual and customary rate the UH Parties have violated NRS 686A.310(1)(e) and committed an unfair settlement practice.
- 72. Fremont is therefore entitled to recover the difference between the amount the UH Parties paid for emergency care Fremont rendered to their members and the usual and customary rate, plus court costs and attorneys' fees.
- 73. Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 74. The UH Parties have acted in bad faith regarding their obligation to pay the usual and customary fee; therefore, Fremont is entitled to recover punitive damages against the UH Parties.
- 75. As a direct result of the UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

#### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Violations of Nevada Prompt Pay Statutes & Regulations - UH Parties)

- 76. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 77. The Nevada Insurance Code requires an HMO, MCO or other health insurer to pay a healthcare provider's claim within 30 days of receipt of a claim. NRS 683A.0879 (third Page 12 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

party administrator), NRS 689A.410 (Individual Health Insurance), NRS 689B.255 (Group and Blanket Health Insurance), NRS 689C.485 (Health Insurance for Small Employers), NRS 695C.185 (HMO), NAC 686A.675 (all insurers) (collectively, the "NV Prompt Pay Laws"). Thus, for all submitted claims, the UH Parties were obligated to pay Fremont the usual and customary rate within 30 days of receipt of the claim.

- 78. Despite this obligation, as alleged herein, the UH Parties have failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate within 30 days of the submission of the claim. Indeed, the UH Parties failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate at all. Because the UH Parties have failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate within 30 days of submission of the claims as the Nevada Insurance Code requires, the UH Parties are liable to Fremont for statutory penalties.
- 79. For all claims payable by plans that the UH Parties insure wherein it failed to pay at the usual and customary fee within 30 days, UH Parties is liable to Fremont for penalties as provided for in the Nevada Insurance Code.
- 80. Additionally, the UH Parties have violated NV Prompt Pay Laws, by among things, only paying part of the subject claims that have been approved and are fully payable.
- 81. Fremont seeks penalties payable to it for late-paid and partially paid claims under the NV Prompt Pay Laws.
- 82. Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00 to be determined at trial, including for its loss of the use of the money and its attorneys' fees.
- 83. Under the Nevada Insurance Code and NV Prompt Pay Laws, Fremont is also entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

#### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Consumer Fraud & Deceptive Trade Practices Acts – UH Parties)

- 84. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 85. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act (DTPA) prohibits the UH Parties from engaging in "deceptive trade practices," including but not limited to (1) knowingly making

Page 13 of 17

a false representation in a transaction; (2) violating "a state or federal statute or regulation relating to the sale or lease of goods or services"; (3) using "coercion, duress or intimidation in a transaction"; and (4) knowingly misrepresent the "legal rights, obligations or remedies of a party to a transaction." NRS 598.0915(15), 598.0923(3), 598.0923(4), NRS 598.092(8), respectively.

- 86. The Nevada Consumer Fraud Statute provides that a legal action "may be brought by any person who is a victim of consumer fraud." NRS 41.600(1). "Consumer fraud" includes a deceptive trade practice as defined by the DTPA.
- 87. The UH Parties have violated the DTPA and the Consumer Fraud Statute through their acts, practices, and omissions described above, including but not limited to (a) wrongfully refusing to pay Fremont for the medically necessary, covered emergency services Fremont provided to Members in order to gain unfair leverage against Fremont now that they are out-of-network and in contract negotiations to potentially become a participating provider under a new contract in an effort to force Fremont to accept lower amounts than it is entitled for its services; and (b) engaging in systematic efforts to delay adjudication and payment of Fremont's claims for its services provided to UH Parties' members in violation of their legal obligations
- 88. As a result of the UH Parties' violations of the DTPA and the Consumer Fraud Statute, Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00 to be determined at trial.
- 89. Due to the willful and knowing engagement in deceptive trade practices, Fremont is entitled to recover treble damages and all profits derived from the knowing and willful violation.
- 90. As a direct result of UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

#### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### (Declaratory Judgment - All Defendants)

91. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Page 14 of 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 92. This is a claim for declaratory judgment and actual damages pursuant to NRS 30.010 et seq.
- 93. As explained above, pursuant to federal and Nevada law, United HealthCare is required to cover and pay Fremont for the medically necessary, covered emergency medicine services Fremont has provided and continues to provide to United HealthCare members.
- 94. Under Nevada law, United HealthCare is required to pay Fremont the usual and customary rate for that emergency care. Instead of reimbursing Fremont at the usual and customary rate or for the reasonable value of the professional medical services, United HealthCare has reimbursed Fremont at reduced rates with no relation to the usual and customary rate.
- 95. Beginning in or about July 2017, Fremont became out-of-network with the UH Parties. Since then, the UH Parties have demonstrated their refusal to timely settle insurance claims submitted by Fremont and have failed to pay the usual and customary rate based on this locality in violation of UH Parties' obligations under the Nevada Insurance Code, the parties' implied-in-fact contract and pursuant to Nevada law of unjust enrichment and quantum merit.
- 96. Beginning in or about March 2019, Fremont became out-of-network with the Sierra Affiliates and HPN. Since then, upon information and belief, the Sierra Affiliates and HPN are failing to timely settle insurance claims submitted by Fremont and to pay the usual and customary rate based on this locality in violation of the Sierra Affiliates' and HPN's obligations under the Nevada Insurance Code, the parties' implied-in-fact contract and pursuant to Nevada law of unjust enrichment and quantum merit.
- 97. An actual, justiciable controversy therefore exists between the parties regarding the rate of payment for Fremont's emergency care that is the usual and customary rate that United HealthCare is obligated to pay.
- 98. Pursuant to NRS 30.040 and 30.050, Fremont therefore requests a declaration establishing the usual and customary rates that Fremont is entitled to receive for claims between July 1, 2017 and trial, as well as a declaration that the UH Parties are required to pay to Fremont at a usual and customary rate for claims submitted thereafter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

99. Pursuant to NRS 30.040 and 30.050, Fremont therefore requests a declaration
establishing the usual and customary rates that Fremont is entitled to receive for claims between
March 1, 2019 and trial, as well as a declaration that the Sierra Affiliates and HPN are require
to pay to Fremont at a usual and customary rate for claims submitted thereafter.

100. As a direct result of United HealthCare's acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Fremont requests the following relief:

- For awards of general and special damages in amounts in excess of \$15,000.00, A. the exact amounts of which will be proven at trial;
- В. For an award of punitive damages, the exact amount of which will be proven at trial;
- C. A Declaratory Judgment that United HealthCare's failure to pay Fremont a usual and customary fee or rate for this locality or alternatively, for the reasonable value of its services violates the Nevada Insurance Code, breaches the parties' implied-in-fact contract, is a tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violates Nevada common law;
- D. An Order permanently enjoining United HealthCare from paying rates that do not represent usual and customary fees or rates for this locality or alternatively, that do not compensate Fremont for the reasonable value of its services; and enjoining United HealthCare from timely paying claims that are not in conformity with Nevada's Prompt Pay statutes and regulations;
  - E. Reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs;
  - F. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
  - G. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

26

27

28

# McDONALD (M. CARANO 2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 • LAS VECAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702,873,4100 • FAX 702,873,9966

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-	<b>T</b> 7	$\mathbf{r}$		/E	A 1	
- 1	•		$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{N}$	/ .	∧ I	B

Fremont hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2019.

#### McDONALD CARANO LLP

By: /s/ Pat Lundvall

Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761) Kristen T. Gallagher (NSBN 9561) Amanda M. Perach (NSBN 12399) 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

4820-6308-4435, v. 4

aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Page 17 of 17

5/14/2019 5:56 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT** 1 NOTC D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8877 lroberts@wwhgd.com 3 Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13066 cbalkenbush@wwhgd.com Josephine E. Groh, Esq. 5 Nevada Bar No. 14209 jgroh@wwhgd.com 6 WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS, GUNN & DIAL, LLC 7 6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 8 Telephone: (702) 938-3838 Facsimile: (702) 938-3864 9 Attorneys for Defendants UnitedHealthcare 10 Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., 11 Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.. 13 14 DISTRICT COURT 15 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 16 FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES Case No.: A-19-792978-B (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional Dept. No.: 27 17 corporation. 18 Plaintiff. NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL **COURT** 19 VS. 20 UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED 21 HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITED HEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, 22 INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RÉSOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH 23 PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, 24 INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada 25 corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20, 26 Defendants. 27

Page 1 of 3

**Electronically Filed** 

#### TO THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT a Notice of Removal of this action was filed by Defendants UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. (collectively "Defendants"), on May 14, 2019 in Nevada Federal District Court. A copy of the Notice of Removal is attached to this Notice as **Exhibit 1**, and is served and filed herewith.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the filing of the Notice of Removal, together with a copy of the notice with the Clerk of this Court, effectuates the removal of this action in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

Dated this **14** day of May, 2019.

D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.

Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq.
Josephine E. Groh, Esq.
Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins,
Gunn & Dial, LLC
6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: (702) 938-3838 Facsimile: (702) 938-3864

Attorneys for Defendants UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.

Page 2 of 3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 14 day of May, 2019, a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT was electronically filed/served on counsel through the Court's electronic service system pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2 and N.E.F.C.R. 9, via the electronic mail addresses noted below, unless service by another method is stated or noted:

Pat Lundvall, Esq. Kristen T. Gallagher, Esq. Amanda M. Perach, Esq. McDonald Carano LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

> An employee of WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS GUNN & DIAL, LLC

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# **EXHIBIT 1**

14

15

17

18

19

26

27

28

ш	
ш	Z
I	Z
≷	$\supset$
	G
G	S
∞	
ш	Z
Ω	
Z	Q
_	Δ
ш	$\supset$
⋛	I

$\exists$	જ
ш	z
ᆜ	
Ι	Z
⋛	$\supset$
	S
9	
2	$\overline{s}$
u	Z
$\mathbf{x}$	7
Z	Ō
	Δ
ш	$\Rightarrow$

1	D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.
2	Nevada Bar No. 8877 lroberts@wwhgd.com
3	Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13066
4	cbalkenbush@wwhgd.com Josephine E. Groh, Esq.
5	Nevada Bar No. 14209 jgroh@wwhgd.com
6	Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial, LLC
7	6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
8	Telephone: (702) 938-3838 Facsimile: (702) 938-3864
9	Attorneys for Defendants UnitedHealthcare
10	Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc.,   UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc.,
11	Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and
12	Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Case No.:

#### Plaintiff,

vs.

20 UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED 21 HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITED HEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITÉD MEDICAL RÉSOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH 23 PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; 25 HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

**DEFENDANTS' UNITEDHEALTHCARE** INSURANCE COMPANY, UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC., UMR, INC., OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE CO., INC., SIERRA HEALTH-CARE ÓPTIÓNS, INC. AND HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC.'S

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants.

Page 1 of 8

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their attorneys of the law firm of Weinberg Wheeler Hudgins Gunn & Dial, LLC, hereby remove this action from the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-19-792978-B, to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

#### INTRODUCTION I.

- 1. On or about April 23, 2019, Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), LTD. ("Fremont") served a seven count Complaint on Defendants. The Complaint was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, Nevada. The suit was assigned to Department 27 and assigned Case No. A-19-792978-B ("State Court Action").
- 2. Defendants remove this action as an action which raises federal questions under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. The State Court Action advances claims which are completely preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et. seq. ("ERISA").

#### II. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 3. In its Complaint, Fremont alleges that its physicians provided medical treatment to various patients who presented to the emergency departments of various hospitals around Clark County, Nevada. Complaint at ¶ 14. Fremont alleges that some of the patients it provided emergency medical services to were members of health plans issued and/or administered by the Defendants. Id. at ¶¶ 18, 25. Fremont further alleges that, beginning on July 1, 2017, the Defendants began to drastically reduce the amount of money paid to Fremont for the services Fremont was providing to the members of Defendants' health plans. *Id.* at ¶ 20.
- Based on the Defendants' alleged failure to pay the appropriate amounts for the 4. medical services that Fremont provided to Defendants' members, Fremont alleges various state law claims, including (1) Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract, (2) Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, (3) Unjust Enrichment, (4) Violation of NRS 686A.020 and 686A.310, (5) Violation of Nevada Prompt Pay Statutes & Regulations, (6)

Page 2 of 8

Consumer Fraud & Deceptive Trade Practices Acts, and (7) Declaratory Judgment.

- 5. Fremont alleges that no written agreement exists between Defendants and Fremont since Fremont is an out-of-network provider and thus has not alleged a standard breach of contract claim. *Id.* at ¶ 17.
- 6. All of Fremont's claims seek an identical form of relief, i.e. recovery of the amount that Fremont contends is due and owing for the medical services that Fremont rendered to Defendants' members who allegedly had health plan coverage in full force and effect when the services were rendered. All of Fremont's claims take direct aim at the manner in which Defendants' processed and adjudicated claims for health plan benefits. *See generally* Complaint.
- 7. Fremont alleges that, from July 2017 to present, it provided medical services to over 10,800 patients who were members of Defendants' health plans. Complaint at ¶ 25. However, Fremont's Complaint provides only limited identifying information related to the patients or specific health plans at issue. As explained further below, this was almost certainly done in an attempt to conceal the fact that numerous employee welfare benefit plans are implicated by Fremont's claims and thus removal under ERISA's complete preemption doctrine is appropriate.

#### III. COMPLETE PREEMPTION UNDER ERISA

- 8. ERISA is a "comprehensive legislative scheme" enacted to protect the interests of participants and beneficiaries in employee benefit plans. 29 U.S.C. § 1001(b); *Aetna Health Inc.* v. *Davila*, 542 U.S. 200, 209 (2004). As part of this comprehensive scheme, Congress created a special civil enforcement mechanism to deal with all claims related to employee benefit plans. That scheme is set forth in 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a) and permits a participant or beneficiary to bring a special statutory ERISA claim over which federal courts have original jurisdiction.
  - 9. ERISA defines an "employee welfare benefit plan" or "welfare plan" as follows:
    - [A]ny plan, fund, or program which was heretofore or is hereafter established or maintained by an employer or by an employee organization, or by both, to the extent that such plan, fund, or program was established or is maintained for the purpose of providing for its participants or their beneficiaries, through the purchase of insurance or otherwise, (A) medical, surgical, or hospital care or benefits, or benefits in the event of sickness,

Page 3 of 8

accident, disability, death or unemployment . . . 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1).

- 10. Under the "well-pleaded complaint" rule a plaintiff ordinarily is entitled to remain in state court if its complaint does not, on its face, affirmatively allege a federal claim. However, complete preemption under ERISA is an exception to this rule. *Beneficial Nat. Bank v. Anderson*, 539 U.S. 1, 6, 123 S. Ct. 2058, 2062 (2003). The U.S. Supreme Court has held that "the ERISA civil enforcement mechanism [i.e. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)] is one of those provisions with such extraordinary pre-emptive power that it converts an ordinary state common law complaint into one stating a federal claim for purposes of the well-pleaded complaint rule." *Davila*, 542 U.S. at 209, 124 S. Ct. at 2496.
- 11. Thus, state law claims that relate to an employee welfare benefit plan are properly removed to federal court even where the complaint does not facially state an ERISA cause of action. *Tingey v. Pixley-Richards W., Inc.*, 953 F.2d 1124, 1130 (9th Cir. 1992) ("It follows that although the Tingeys' original four-count state cause of action purported to plead only state law claims, the action was properly removed because the claims fell within the purview of the exclusive remedy provisions in ERISA. This means only a federal court can hear the claims when stripped of their state law disguises. The basis of jurisdiction, even though none of the claims facially stated an ERISA cause of action, was federal question jurisdiction."),
- 12. The Ninth Circuit has held that ERISA preempts the state law claims of a medical provider suing as the assignee of a beneficiary's rights under an employee welfare benefit plan governed by ERISA. *Misic v. Bldg. Serv. Employees Health & Welfare Tr.*, 789 F.2d 1374 (9th Cir. 1986) (upholding the dismissal of various state tort law claims and a claim under the California Unfair Insurance Practices Act as preempted by ERISA since the provider had accepted an assignment from the patients and thus had standing to bring an ERISA claim himself).

Page 4 of 8

#### IV. FEDERAL QUESTIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13. The Complaint makes reference to Fremont making claims/requests for payment to the Defendants and the Defendants failing/refusing to pay the full amount requested. After being served with the Complaint, the Defendants began conducting a preliminary investigation into Fremont's medical claims to determine, among other things, whether any of those claims relate to employee welfare benefit plans governed by ERISA. Although their investigation is ongoing, Defendants have a reasonably certain belief that approximately 90% of Fremont's medical claims were made against employee welfare benefit plans governed by ERISA. Moreover, Defendants have also determined that, for all or nearly all of the medical claims that Fremont made against the employee welfare benefit plans, Fremont received an assignment of benefits from plan members such that Fremont has derivative standing to bring a statutory ERISA claim under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a). Thus, just as in *Misic*, all or at least some of Fremont's state law claims are completely preempted by ERISA and removal to federal court is appropriate.
- 14. The state law claims in this action are "in reality based on federal law." Davila, 542 U.S. at 208, 124 S. Ct. at 2495. They "duplicate, supplement, or supplant" the ERISA civil enforcement remedy that Congress intended to be exclusive. Id. Instead of proceeding under ERISA's federal enforcement mechanism which allows for the recovery of benefits allegedly due under a plan, Fremont casts its claim under state law principles of implied-in-fact contract, unjust enrichment, state statutory violations, and declaratory relief. Fremont's labels, however, do not control the complete preemption question. Federal courts are "not bound by the labels used in the complaint . . . merely referring to labels affixed to claims to distinguish between preempted and non-preempted claims is not helpful because doing so would elevate form over substance and allow parties to evade the pre-emptive scope of ERISA." Gables Ins. Recovery, Inc. v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Florida, Inc., 813 F.3d 1333, 1337 n.2 (11th Cir. Dec. 1, 2015) (internal quotation omitted); see also Cleghorn v. Blue Shield of California, 408 F.3d 1222, 1226 (9th Cir. 2005) ("Artful pleading does not alter the potential for this suit to frustrate the objectives of ERISA. The only factual basis for relief pleaded in Cleghorn's complaint is the refusal of Blue Shield to reimburse him for the emergency medical care he received. Any duty or liability that

4

5

1

16 17

15

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Blue Shield had to reimburse him would exist here only because of [Blue Shield's] administration of ERISA-regulated benefit plans.") (internal citation omitted).

- As further evidence that removal is appropriate and that Fremont is engaged in 15. artful pleading to avoid federal question jurisdiction, footnote 1 of the Complaint alleges that Fremont does not assert any claims with respect to patients whose health insurance was issued under Medicare Part C or provided under the Federal Employee Benefits Act (FEHBA). Thus, Fremont asserts that "there is no basis to remove this lawsuit to federal court under federal question jurisdiction." Conspicuously absent from this footnote is any allegation that the lawsuit is not removable under ERISA.
- 16. Removal of this action which squarely implicates numerous ERISA plans is consistent with ERISA's purpose "to provide a uniform regulatory regime over employee benefit plans." Davila, 542 U.S. at 208, 124 S. Ct. at 2495. In order to adjudicate Fremont's claims, it will be necessary for the Court to consult the Defendants' members' employer sponsored health plans which are subject to ERISA.
- 17. Removal of the claims asserted by Fremont is proper on the grounds that Fremont has alleged claims in substance seeking to recover benefits from employee welfare benefit plans. This Court has federal question jurisdiction over such claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1331 and original jurisdiction over such claims pursuant to ERISA. See 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1). Therefore, removal is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

#### V. SUPPLEMENTAL JURISDICTION

18. To the extent that any claims asserted by Fremont relate to a benefits plan other than one governed by ERISA or are conflict preempted as opposed to completely preempted, those claims come within this Court's supplemental jurisdiction because they are so related to those other claims that they form part of the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution. 28 U.S.C. §1367(a); Beneficial Nat. Bank v. Anderson, 539 U.S. 1, 8, 123 S. Ct. 2058, 2063, n. 3 (2003) ("Of course, a state claim can also be removed through the use of the supplemental jurisdiction statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), provided that another claim in the complaint is removable."); see also Gaming Corp. of Am. v. Dorsey & Whitney, 88 F.3d 536, 543

Page 6 of 8

1

11 12 13

9

10

15 16

14

18

17

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

(8th Cir. 1996) ("Only those claims that fall within the preemptive scope of the particular statute, or treaty, are considered to make out federal questions, but the presence of even one federal claim gives the defendant the right to remove the entire case to federal court.") (internal citations omitted); *Milwaukee Carpenter's District Council Health Fund v. Philip Morris*, 70 F.Supp.2d 888 (E.D. Wisc. 1999) (denying remand while noting that "[s]o long as any one claim concerned a federal question, the entire case could be removed" under the ERISA complete preemption doctrine).

#### VI. CONCLUSION

- 19. This Notice of Removal is timely because Defendants have filed it within thirty days of being served with Fremont's Complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1446.
- 20. Defendants will file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court and will serve a copy on Fremont's counsel as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 21. With this Notice of Removal, Defendants have filed a copy of the process, pleadings and all other papers served upon the Defendants in the State Court Action as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). See Exhibit 1.

Dated this 14 day of May, 2019.

D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.

Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq. Josephine E. Groh, Esq.

WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS,

GUNN & DIAL, LLC 6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Telephone: (702) 938-3838

Telephone: Facsimile:

(702) 938-3864

Attorneys for Defendants UnitedHealthcare Insurance Company, United HealthCare Services, Inc., UMR, Inc., Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Sierra Health and Life Insurance Co., Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.

ı	
-	

4

5

6

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1 1	nereby	certify	that on	the j	day day	of Ma	y, 2019,	a true	and cor	rect copy	of the
foregoing	DEFE	NDANT	rs' un	ITEDH	IEALTI	<b>ICARE</b>	INSUR	ANCE	COMP	ANY, UN	NITED
HEALTH	CARE	SERV	ICES	INC.,	UMR,	INC.,	OXFOR	D HE	ALTH	PLANS,	INC.
SIERRA	HEAL	TH A	ND LI	FE IN	SURAN	CE C	O., INC	., SIEI	RRA H	EALTH-	CARE
OPTIONS	s, INC.	AND 1	HEAL	TH PL	AN OF	NEVA	DA, INC	es No	TICE (	OF REM	OVAL

was filed through CM/ECF and served by mailing a copy of the foregoing document in the United

States Mail, postage fully prepaid, to the following:

Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

8

Pat Lundvall, Esq. Kristen T. Gallagher, Esq. Amanda M. Perach, Esq.

McDonald Carano LLP 11

2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 1200 12 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

13

plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com

aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An employee of WEINBERG, WHEELER, HUDGINS **GUNN & DIAL, LLC** 

Page 8 of 8

# **EXHIBIT 1**

Documents filed in District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. A-19-792978-B

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 2 of 47

**Electronically Filed** 

Department 9

4/15/2019 5:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **COMPB** PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) 2 AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) 3 McDONALD CARANO LLP CASE NO: A-19-792978-C 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency 8 Services (Mandavia), Ltd. 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873.4100 - FAX 702.873.9966 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 CARANO FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES Case No.: 12 (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional Dept. No.: corporation, 13 Plaintiff, McDONALD ( 14 **COMPLAINT** 15 UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE **Business Court Requested** COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; 16 (EDCR 1.61(a)(2)(ii))UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC., 17 dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota **Exempt From Arbitration: In Excess of** corporation; UMR, INC., dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware \$50,000, Declaratory and 18 Injunctive Relief Requested corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, 19 INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA Jury Trial Demanded HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE 20 COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF 21 NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20, 22 23 Defendants. 24 25 Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. ("Fremont" or "Plaintiff") as 26 and for its Complaint against defendants United Healthcare Insurance Company ("UHCIC") and 27 its affiliates United Health Care Services Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare ("UHC Services"); UMR, 28 Inc. dba United Medical Resources ("UMR"); Oxford Benefit Management, Inc. ("Oxford"

Case Number: A-19-792978-C

together with UHC Services and UMR, the "UHC Affiliates" and with UHCIC, the "UH Parties"); Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc. ("Sierra Health"); Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc. ("Sierra Options" and together with Sierra Health, the "Sierra Affiliates"); Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. ("HPN") (collectively "United HealthCare") hereby complains and alleges as follows:

### NATURE OF THIS ACTION

1. This action arises out of a dispute concerning the rate at which United HealthCare reimburses Fremont for the emergency medicine services it has already provided, and continues to provide, to patients covered under the health plans underwritten, operated, and/or administered by United HealthCare (the "Health Plans") (Health Plan beneficiaries for whom Fremont performed covered services that were not reimbursed correctly shall be referred to as "Patients").<sup>1</sup>

### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. ("Fremont") is a professional emergency medicine services group practice that staffs the emergency departments at ER at Aliante; ER at The Lakes; Mountainview Hospital; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Rose de Lima Campus; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, San Martin Campus; Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Siena Campus; Southern Hills Hospital and Medical Center; and Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center located throughout Clark County, Nevada.
- 3. Defendant United HealthCare Insurance Company ("UHCIC") is a Connecticut corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut. UHCIC is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fremont does not assert any causes of action with respect to any Patient whose health insurance was issued under Medicare Part C (Medicare Advantage) or is provided under the Federal Employee Health Benefits Act (FEHBA). Thus, there is no basis to remove this lawsuit to federal court under federal question jurisdiction. Fremont also does not assert any claims relating to United HealthCare's managed Medicaid business.

- 4. Defendant United HealthCare Services, Inc. dba UnitedHealthcare ("UHC Services") is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. UHC Services is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, United HealthCare Services, Inc. is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 5. Defendant UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources ("UMR") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. UMR is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, UMR is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 6. Defendant Oxford Health Plans, Inc. ("Oxford") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Connecticut and affiliate of UHCIC. Oxford is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation.
- 7. Defendant Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc. is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. Sierra Health is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, Sierra Health is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 8. Defendant Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc. ("Sierra Options") is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. Sierra Options is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, Sierra Options is a licensed Nevada health insurance company.
- 9. Defendant Health Plan of Nevada, Inc. ("HPN") is a Nevada corporation and affiliate of UHCIC. HPN is responsible for administering and/or paying for certain emergency medical services at issue in the litigation. On information and belief, HPN is a licensed Nevada Health Maintenance Organization ("HMO").
- 10. There may be other persons or entities, whether individuals, corporations, associations, or otherwise, who are or may be legally responsible for the acts, omissions, Page 3 of 17

circumstances, happenings, and/or the damages or other relief requested by this Complaint. The true names and capacities of Does 1-10 and Roes Entities 11-20 are unknown to Fremont, who sues those defendants by such fictitious names. Fremont will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the proper names of the defendant Doe and Roe Entities when such names and capacities become known to Fremont.

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00), exclusive of interest, attorneys' fees and costs.
- 12. Venue is proper in Clark County, Nevada pursuant to NRS 13.010(1), NRS 13.020 and NRS 13.040.

## FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

### Fremont Provides Necessary Emergency Care

- 13. This is an action for damages stemming from United HealthCare's failure to properly reimburse Fremont for emergency services provided to members of their Health Plans.
- 14. Fremont is a professional practice group of emergency medicine physicians and healthcare providers that provides emergency medicine services 24 hours per day, 7 days per week to patients presenting to the emergency departments at hospitals and other facilities in Nevada staffed by Fremont. Fremont provides emergency department services at eight hospitals located in Clark County, Nevada.
- by both federal and Nevada law to examine any individual visiting the emergency department and to provide stabilizing treatment to any such individual with an emergency medical condition, regardless of the individual's insurance coverage or ability to pay. See Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA), 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd; NRS 439B.410. Fremont fulfills this obligation for the hospitals which its staffs. In this role, Fremont's physicians provide emergency medicine services to all patients, regardless of insurance coverage or ability to pay, including to patients with insurance coverage issued, administered and/or underwritten by United HealthCare.

Page 4 of 17

	16.	Upon information and	belief, United Health	Care operates an HMO	under NRS
Chapte	r 695C,	and is an insurer under	NRS Chapters 679A,	689A (Individual Health	Insurance)
689B (	Group a	and Blanket Health Insu	rance), 689C (Health	Insurance for Small Emp	oloyers) and
695G (	(Manag	ed Care Organization).	United HealthCare	provides, either directly	or through
arrange	ements	with providers such as he	ospitals and Fremont.	healthcare benefits to its	members

- 17. There is no written agreement between United HealthCare and Fremont for the healthcare claims at issue in this litigation; Fremont is therefore designated as "non-participating" or "out-of-network" for all of the claims at issue in this litigation. Notwithstanding the lack of a written agreement, an implied-in-fact agreement exists between the parties.
- 18. Fremont regularly provides emergency services to United HealthCare's health plan members.
- 19. Relevant to this action, from July 1, 2017 through the present, Fremont has provided emergency medicine services to United HealthCare's members as follows: ER at Aliante (approximately July 2017-present); ER at The Lakes (approximately July 2017-present); Mountainview Hospital (approximately July 2017-present); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Rose de Lima Campus (approximately July 2017-October 2018); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, San Martin Campus approximately (July 2017-October 2018); Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican Hospitals, Siena Campus (approximately July 2017-October 2018); Southern Hills Hospital and Medical Center (approximately July 2017-present); and Sunrise Hospital and Medical Center (approximately July 2017-present).
- 20. Beginning on July 1, 2017, the UHC Parties arbitrarily began drastically reducing the rates at which they paid Fremont for emergency services for some claims, but not others. The UHC Parties paid some of the claims for emergency services rendered by Fremont at far below the usual and customary rates, yet paid other substantially identical claims submitted by Fremont at higher rates.
- 21. Upon information and belief, among other things, the UH Parties generally pay lower reimbursement rates for services provided to members of their fully insured plans and Page 5 of 17

authorize payment at higher reimbursement rates for services provided to members of selfinsured plans or those plans under which they provide administrator services only.

# United HealthCare Has Underpaid Fremont for Emergency Services

- 22. Despite not participating in United HealthCare's "provider network" for the times identified herein, Fremont has continued to provide emergency medicine treatment, as required by law, to patients covered by United HealthCare's plans who seek care at the emergency departments where they provide coverage.
- 23. In emergency situations, patients are likely to go to the nearest hospital for care, particularly if they are transported by ambulance. Patients facing an emergency situation are unlikely to have the luxury of determining which hospitals and physicians are in-network under their health plan. United HealthCare is obligated to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate for emergency services Fremont provided to its Patients, or alternatively for the reasonable value of the services provided.
- 24. United HealthCare's members have received a wide variety of emergency services (in some instances, life-saving services) from Fremont's physicians: treatment of conditions ranging from cardiac arrest, to broken limbs, to burns, to diabetic ketoacidosis and shock, to gastric and/or obstetrical distress.
- 25. From July 2017 to the present, Fremont provided treatment for emergency services to more than 10,800 Patients who were members in United HealthCare's Health Plans. The total underpayment amount for these related claims is in excess of the jurisdictional threshold of \$15,000.00 and continues to grow. United HealthCare has likewise failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of these claims.
- 26. During this same period, July 2017 to the present, United HealthCare paid some claims at an appropriate rate and others at a significantly reduced rate which is demonstrative of an arbitrary and selective program and motive or intent to unjustifiably reduce the overall amount United Healthcare pays to Fremont. Upon information and belief, United Healthcare has implemented this program to coerce, influence and leverage business discussions regarding the potential for Fremont to become a participating provider.

Page 6 of 17

	4DA 89102	
	.AS VEGAS, NEV. 702.873.9966	
}	TE 1200 • L	
<b>5</b>	20 WEST SAHARA AVENUE. SUITE 1200 • LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873.4100 • FAX 702.873.9966	

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

27. For each of the healthcare claims at issue in this litigation, United HealthCare
determined the claim was payable; however, it paid the claim at an artificially reduced rate
Thus, the claims at issue involve no questions of whether the claim is payable; rather, they
involve only a determination of whether United HealthCare paid the claim at the required usual
and customary rate, which it did not.

- 28. United HealthCare has failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the subject claims.
- 29. Fremont brings this action to compel United HealthCare to pay it the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services for the for the emergency services that it provided and will continue to provide Members.
- 30. Fremont has adequately contested the unsatisfactory rate of payment received from the UH Parties in connection with the claims that are the subject of this action.
- All conditions precedent to the institution and maintenance of this action have been performed, waived, or otherwise satisfied.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Breach of Implied-in-Fact Contract – UH Parties)

- 32. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. At all material times, Fremont was obligated under federal and Nevada law to provide emergency medicine services to all patients presenting at the emergency departments they staff, including United HealthCare Patients.
- 34. At all material times, the UH Parties knew that Fremont was non-participating emergency medicine groups that provided emergency medicine services to Patients.
- 35. From July 1, 2017 to the present, Fremont has undertaken to provide emergency medicine services to UH Parties' Patients, and the UH Parties have undertaken to pay for such services provided to UH Parties' Patients.

Page 7 of 17

36.	At all material times, the UH Parties were aware that Fremont was entitled to and
expected to be	paid at rates in accordance with the standards established under Nevada law.

- 37. At all material times, the UH Parties have received Fremont's bills for the emergency medicine services Fremont has provided and continue to provide to UH Parties' Patients, and the UH Parties have consistently adjudicated and paid, and continue to adjudicate and pay, Fremont directly for the non-participating claims, albeit at amounts less than usual and customary.
- 38. Through the parties' conduct and respective undertaking of obligations concerning emergency medicine services provided by Fremont to the UH Parties' Patients, the parties implicitly agreed, and Fremont had a reasonable expectation and understanding, that the UH Parties would reimburse Fremont for non-participating claims at rates in accordance with the standards acceptable under Nevada law and in accordance with rates the UH Parties pay for other substantially identical claims also submitted by Fremont.
- 39. Under Nevada common law, including the doctrine of quantum meruit, the UH Parties, by undertaking responsibility for payment to Fremont for the services rendered to United HealthCare Patients, impliedly agreed to reimburse Plaintiffs at rates, at a minimum, equivalent to the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont.
- 40. The UH Parties, by undertaking responsibility for payment to Fremont for the services rendered to the UH Parties' Patients, impliedly agreed to reimburse Fremont at rates, at a minimum, equivalent to the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont.
- 41. In breach of its implied contract with Fremont, the UH Parties have and continue to systemically adjudicate the non-participating claims at rates substantially below both the usual and customary fees in the geographic area and the reasonable value of the professional emergency medical services provided by Fremont to the UH Parties' Patients.
- 42. Fremont has performed all obligations under its implied contract with the UH Parties concerning emergency medical services to be performed for Patients.

Page 8 of 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

4	13.	At all material times, all conditions precedent have occurred that were necessary
for the U	UH P	arties to perform their obligations under their implied contract to pay Fremont for
the non-	-parti	cipating claims, at a minimum, based upon the "usual and customary fees in that
locality"	or th	ne reasonable value of Fremont's professional emergency medicine services

- 44. Fremont did not agree that the lower reimbursement rates paid by UH Parties were reasonable or sufficient to compensate Fremont for the emergency medical services provided to Patients.
- 45. Fremont has suffered damages in an amount equal to the difference between the amounts paid by the UH Parties and the usual and customary fees professional emergency medicine services in the same locality, that remain unpaid by the UH Parties through the date of trial, plus Fremont's loss of use of that money; or in an amount equal to the difference between the amounts paid by the UH Parties and the reasonable value of its professional emergency medicine services, that remain unpaid by the UH Parties through the date of trial, plus Fremont's loss of use of that money.
- As a result of the UH Parties' breach of the implied contract to pay Fremont for 46. the non-participating claims at the rates required by Nevada law, Fremont has suffered injury and is entitled to monetary damages from the UH Parties to compensate it for that injury in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 47. Fremont has been forced to retain counsel to prosecute this action and is entitled to receive their costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein.

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Tortious Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing - UH Parties)

- 48. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 49. Fremont and the UH Parties had a valid implied-in-fact contract as alleged herein.
- A special element of reliance or trust between Fremont and the UH Parties, such 50. that, the UH Parties were in a superior or entrusted position of knowledge.

Page 9 of 17

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

51.	That Fremont did all or substantially all of its obligations pursuant to the implied
in-fact contrac	t.

- 52. By paying substantially low rates that did not reasonably compensate Fremont the usual and customary rate or alternatively for the reasonable value of the services provide, the UH Parties performed in a manner that was unfaithful to the purpose of the implied-in-fact contract, or deliberately contravened the intention and sprit of the contract.
- 53. That the UH Parties' conduct was a substantial factor in causing damage to Fremont.
- 54. As a result of the UH Parties' tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Fremont has suffered injury and is entitled to monetary damages from the UH Parties to compensate it for that injury in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 55. The acts and omissions of the UH Parties as alleged herein were attended by circumstances of malice, oppression and/or fraud, thereby justifying an award of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 56. Fremont has been forced to retain counsel to prosecute this action and is entitled to receive their costs and attorneys' fees incurred herein.

### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Alternative Claim for Unjust Enrichment - UH Parties)

- 57. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
  - 58. Fremont rendered valuable emergency services to the Patients.
- 59. The UH Parties received the benefit of having their healthcare obligations to their plan members discharged and their members received the benefit of the emergency care provided to them by Fremont.
- 60. As insurers or plan administrators, the UH Parties were reasonably notified that emergency medicine service providers such as Fremont would expect to be paid by the UH Parties for the emergency services provided to Patients.

Page 10 of 17

61. The UH Parties accepted and retained the benefit of the services provided by
Fremont at the request of the members of its Health Plans, knowing that Fremont expected to be
paid a usual and customary fee based on locality, or alternatively for the reasonable value of
services provided, for the medically necessary, covered emergency medicine services it
performed for the UH Parties' Patients.

- 62. The UH Parties have received a benefit from Fremont's provision of services to its Patients and the resulting discharge of their healthcare obligations owed to their Patients.
- 63. Under the circumstances set forth above, it is unjust and inequitable for the UH Parties to retain the benefit they received without paying the value of that benefit; i.e., by paying Fremont at usual and customary rates, or alternatively for the reasonable value of services provided, for the claims that are the subject of this action and for all emergency medicine services that Fremont will continue to provide to United HealthCare's members.
- 64. Fremont seeks compensatory damages in an amount which will continue to accrue through the date of trial as a result of United Healthcare's continuing unjust enrichment.
- 65. As a result of the UH Parties' actions, Fremont has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 66. Fremont sues for the damages caused by the UH Parties' conduct and is entitled to recover the difference between the amount the UH Parties paid for emergency care Fremont rendered to its members and the reasonable value of the service that Fremont rendered to the UH Parties by discharging their obligations to their plan members.
- 67. As a direct result of the UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Violation of NRS 686A.020 and 686A.310 – UH Parties)

68. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Page 11 of 17

69.	The Nevada	Insurance	Code	prohibits	an	insurer	from	engaging	in a	ın ı	unfair
settlement pra	ctices. NRS 6	86A.020, 6	586A.3	10.							
70.	One prohibite	ed unfair c	laim se	ettlement p	rac	tice is "	[f]ailiı	ng to effec	tuate	pre	ompt,

- 70. One prohibited unfair claim settlement practice is "[f]ailing to effectuate prompt, fair and equitable settlements of claims in which liability of the insurer has become reasonably clear." NRS 686A.310(1)(e).
- 71. As detailed above, the UH Parties have failed to comply with NRS 686A.310(1)(e) by failing to pay Fremont's medical professionals the usual and customary rate for emergency care provided to UH Parties' members. By failing to pay Fremont's medical professionals the usual and customary rate the UH Parties have violated NRS 686A.310(1)(e) and committed an unfair settlement practice.
- 72. Fremont is therefore entitled to recover the difference between the amount the UH Parties paid for emergency care Fremont rendered to their members and the usual and customary rate, plus court costs and attorneys' fees.
- 73. Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys' fees, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
- 74. The UH Parties have acted in bad faith regarding their obligation to pay the usual and customary fee; therefore, Fremont is entitled to recover punitive damages against the UH Parties.
- 75. As a direct result of the UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

### FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### (Violations of Nevada Prompt Pay Statutes & Regulations - UH Parties)

- 76. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 77. The Nevada Insurance Code requires an HMO, MCO or other health insurer to pay a healthcare provider's claim within 30 days of receipt of a claim. NRS 683A.0879 (third Page 12 of 17

party administrator), NRS 689A.410 (Individual Health Insurance), NRS 689B.255 (Group and Blanket Health Insurance), NRS 689C.485 (Health Insurance for Small Employers), NRS 695C.185 (HMO), NAC 686A.675 (all insurers) (collectively, the "NV Prompt Pay Laws"). Thus, for all submitted claims, the UH Parties were obligated to pay Fremont the usual and customary rate within 30 days of receipt of the claim.

- 78. Despite this obligation, as alleged herein, the UH Parties have failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate within 30 days of the submission of the claim. Indeed, the UH Parties failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate at all. Because the UH Parties have failed to reimburse Fremont at the usual and customary rate within 30 days of submission of the claims as the Nevada Insurance Code requires, the UH Parties are liable to Fremont for statutory penalties.
- 79. For all claims payable by plans that the UH Parties insure wherein it failed to pay at the usual and customary fee within 30 days, UH Parties is liable to Fremont for penalties as provided for in the Nevada Insurance Code.
- 80. Additionally, the UH Parties have violated NV Prompt Pay Laws, by among things, only paying part of the subject claims that have been approved and are fully payable.
- 81. Fremont seeks penalties payable to it for late-paid and partially paid claims under the NV Prompt Pay Laws.
- 82. Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00 to be determined at trial, including for its loss of the use of the money and its attorneys' fees.
- 83. Under the Nevada Insurance Code and NV Prompt Pay Laws, Fremont is also entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

### SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Consumer Fraud & Deceptive Trade Practices Acts - UH Parties)

- 84. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 85. The Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act (DTPA) prohibits the UH Parties from engaging in "deceptive trade practices," including but not limited to (1) knowingly making Page 13 of 17

a false representation in a transaction; (2) violating "a state or federal statute or regulation relating to the sale or lease of goods or services"; (3) using "coercion, duress or intimidation in a transaction"; and (4) knowingly misrepresent the "legal rights, obligations or remedies of a party to a transaction." NRS 598.0915(15), 598.0923(3), 598.0923(4), NRS 598.092(8), respectively.

- 86. The Nevada Consumer Fraud Statute provides that a legal action "may be brought by any person who is a victim of consumer fraud." NRS 41.600(1). "Consumer fraud" includes a deceptive trade practice as defined by the DTPA.
- 87. The UH Parties have violated the DTPA and the Consumer Fraud Statute through their acts, practices, and omissions described above, including but not limited to (a) wrongfully refusing to pay Fremont for the medically necessary, covered emergency services Fremont provided to Members in order to gain unfair leverage against Fremont now that they are out-of-network and in contract negotiations to potentially become a participating provider under a new contract in an effort to force Fremont to accept lower amounts than it is entitled for its services; and (b) engaging in systematic efforts to delay adjudication and payment of Fremont's claims for its services provided to UH Parties' members in violation of their legal obligations
- 88. As a result of the UH Parties' violations of the DTPA and the Consumer Fraud Statute, Fremont is entitled to damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00 to be determined at trial.
- 89. Due to the willful and knowing engagement in deceptive trade practices, Fremont is entitled to recover treble damages and all profits derived from the knowing and willful violation.
- 90. As a direct result of UH Parties' acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

### SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Declaratory Judgment - All Defendants)

91. Fremont incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

Page 14 of 17

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

92.	This	is	a	claim	for	declaratory	judgment	and	actual	damages	pursuant	to	NRS
30.010 et seq.													

- 93. As explained above, pursuant to federal and Nevada law, United HealthCare is required to cover and pay Fremont for the medically necessary, covered emergency medicine services Fremont has provided and continues to provide to United HealthCare members.
- 94. Under Nevada law, United HealthCare is required to pay Fremont the usual and customary rate for that emergency care. Instead of reimbursing Fremont at the usual and customary rate or for the reasonable value of the professional medical services, United HealthCare has reimbursed Fremont at reduced rates with no relation to the usual and customary rate.
- 95. Beginning in or about July 2017, Fremont became out-of-network with the UH Parties. Since then, the UH Parties have demonstrated their refusal to timely settle insurance claims submitted by Fremont and have failed to pay the usual and customary rate based on this locality in violation of UH Parties' obligations under the Nevada Insurance Code, the parties' implied-in-fact contract and pursuant to Nevada law of unjust enrichment and quantum merit.
- Beginning in or about March 2019, Fremont became out-of-network with the 96. Sierra Affiliates and HPN. Since then, upon information and belief, the Sierra Affiliates and HPN are failing to timely settle insurance claims submitted by Fremont and to pay the usual and customary rate based on this locality in violation of the Sierra Affiliates' and HPN's obligations under the Nevada Insurance Code, the parties' implied-in-fact contract and pursuant to Nevada law of unjust enrichment and quantum merit.
- 97. An actual, justiciable controversy therefore exists between the parties regarding the rate of payment for Fremont's emergency care that is the usual and customary rate that United HealthCare is obligated to pay.
- 98. Pursuant to NRS 30.040 and 30.050, Fremont therefore requests a declaration establishing the usual and customary rates that Fremont is entitled to receive for claims between July 1, 2017 and trial, as well as a declaration that the UH Parties are required to pay to Fremont at a usual and customary rate for claims submitted thereafter.

Page 15 of 17

99.	Pursuant to NRS 30.040 and 30.050, Fremont therefore requests a declaration
establishing	g the usual and customary rates that Fremont is entitled to receive for claims between
March 1, 20	019 and trial, as well as a declaration that the Sierra Affiliates and HPN are required
to pay to Fr	remont at a usual and customary rate for claims submitted thereafter.

100. As a direct result of United HealthCare's acts and omissions complained of herein, it has been necessary for Fremont to retain legal counsel and others to prosecute its claims. Fremont is thus entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein.

# REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Fremont requests the following relief:

- A. For awards of general and special damages in amounts in excess of \$15,000.00, the exact amounts of which will be proven at trial;
- B. For an award of punitive damages, the exact amount of which will be proven at trial;
- C. A Declaratory Judgment that United HealthCare's failure to pay Fremont a usual and customary fee or rate for this locality or alternatively, for the reasonable value of its services violates the Nevada Insurance Code, breaches the parties' implied-in-fact contract, is a tortious breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and violates Nevada common law;
- D. An Order permanently enjoining United HealthCare from paying rates that do not represent usual and customary fees or rates for this locality or alternatively, that do not compensate Fremont for the reasonable value of its services; and enjoining United HealthCare from timely paying claims that are not in conformity with Nevada's Prompt Pay statutes and regulations;
  - E. Reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs;
  - F. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
  - G. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Page 16 of 17

### **JURY DEMAND**

Fremont hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2019.

### McDONALD CARANO LLP

By: /s/ Pat Lundvall
Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)
Kristen T. Gallagher (NSBN 9561)
Amanda M. Perach (NSBN 12399)
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

4820-6308-4435, v. 4

Page 17 of 17

#### Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 19 of 47 Electronically Filed 4/15/2019 5:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **IAFD** PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) 2 AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 CASE NO: A-19-792978-C 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 Department 9 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CARANO 11 FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES 12 Case No.: (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional Dept. No.: 13 corporation, Plaintiff, 14 15

McDONALD (

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

vs. UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC., dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC., dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Defendants.

### INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE DISCLOSURE

(Business Court)

Case Number: A-19-792978-C

# Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 20 of 47

	1	Pursuant to NRS Chapter 19, as amended by Senate Bill 106, filing fees are submitted for	
	2	parties appearing in the above entitled action as indicated below:	
McDONALD	3	Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd., Plaintiff \$1,530.00	
	4	TOTAL \$1,530.00	
	5	DATED this 15th day of April, 2019.	
	6	McDONALD CARANO LLP	
	7	By: /s/_Pat Lundvall	
	8	Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761)	
	9	Kristen T. Gallagher (NSBN 9561) Amanda M. Perach (NSBN 12399) 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200	
	10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100	
	11	Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com	
	12	kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com	
CA 148 VE	13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency	
₩ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14	Services (Mandavia), Ltd.	
ALD 22.873.41	15		
RA AVER	16		
31 SAHA	17	4812-1265-8324, v. 1	
Z300 WE	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		Page 2 of 2	

# Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 21 of 47

A-19-792978-B

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

NRS Chapters 78-8	39	COURT MINUTES	April 16, 2019
A-19-792978-B	vs.	rgency Services Mandavia Ltd, Plaintiff(s) care Insurance Company, Defendant(s)	
April 16, 2019	03:00 AM	Minute Order	
HEARD BY:	Cherry, Michael A.	COURTROOM:	
COURT CLERK:	Trujillo, Athena		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESE	NT:		

### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

No parties present.

This matter came before the Court on April 16, 2019. Having reviewed the pleadings, authorities, and exhibits

therein, this Court finds this case would be properly litigated in Specialty Court due to its claims and controversies regarding business matters as defined by E.D.C.R 1.61(a)(1), matters in which the primary claims or issues are based on, or will require decision under N.R.S. Chapters 78-92A. Therefore, pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.49, the Court ORDERS case A-19-792978-C be sent to Master Calendar for random assignment to an appropriate Business/Specialty Court for determination as to whether the matter should be handled on the specialty docket.

Printed Date: 4/17/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: April 16, 2019

Prepared by: Athena Trujillo

Electronically Filed 4/16/2019 2:42 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2

3

Ltd, Plaintiff(s) vs.

Defendant(s)

6

7

′

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

- -

18

19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

Department 11

Case No.: A-19-792978-B

# NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-entitled action has been randomly reassigned to Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez.

This reassignment is due to: Minute Order Re: Business Court Designation Dated 04-16-19.

ANY TRIAL DATE AND ASSOCIATED TRIAL HEARINGS STAND BUT MAY BE RESET BY THE NEW DEPARTMENT.

PLEASE INCLUDE THE NEW DEPARTMENT NUMBER ON ALL FUTURE FILINGS.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court

By: /s/ Salevao Asifoa
S.L. Asifoa, Deputy Clerk of the Court

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this 16th day of April, 2019

Fremont Emergency Services Mandavia

United Healthcare Insurance Company,

The foregoing Notice of Department Reassignment was electronically served to all registered parties for case number A-19-792978-B.

/s/ Salevao Asifoa
S.L. Asifoa, Deputy Clerk of the Court

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

CHLG
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
McDONALD CARANO LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency

Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

**Electronically Filed** 4/17/2019 11:38 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

## DISTRICT COURT

## **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC., dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC., dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-792978-B

Dept. No.: 11

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE OF JUDGE

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 48.1 and EDCR 1.61(d), plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. files a Notice of Peremptory Challenge of Judge in the above-captioned matter. This case has been assigned to Business Court. See Minute Order Re: Business Court

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

	Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 24 of 47
1	Designation dated April 16, 2019.
2	The judge to be challenged is the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez.
3	DATED this 17th day of April, 2019.
4	McDONALD CARANO LLP
5	Dr. Jal Walatan T. Callaghan
6	By: <u>/s/ Kristen T. Gallagher</u> Pat Lundvall (NSBN 3761) Kristen T. Gallagher (NSBN 9561)
7	Amanda M. Perach (NSBN 12399) 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100
9	Facsimile: (702) 873-9766 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
10	kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency
12	Services (Mandavia), Ltd.
13	
14	
15	4814-5128-7444, v. 1
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Page 2 of 2

# Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 25 of 47 **Electronically Filed** 4/17/2019 2:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2 Case No.: A-19-792978-B FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES 3 **DEPARTMENT 27** MANDAVIA LTD, PLAINTIFF(S) VS. 4 UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE 5 COMPANY, DEFENDANT(S) 6 NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-entitled action has been randomly reassigned to 7 Judge Nancy Allf. $\boxtimes$ This reassignment follows the filing of a Peremptory Challenge of Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez. 8 ANY TRIAL DATE AND ASSOCIATED TRIAL HEARINGS STAND BUT MAY BE RESET BY THE 9 NEW DEPARTMENT. PLEASE INCLUDE THE NEW DEPARTMENT NUMBER ON ALL FUTURE FILINGS. 10 STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CEO/Clerk of the Court 11 By:/S/ Ivonne Hernandez Ivonne Hernandez, Deputy Clerk of the Court 12 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 13 I hereby certify that this 17th day of April, 2019 The foregoing Notice of Department Reassignment was electronically served to all registered parties for case number A-19-792978-B. 14 /S/ Ivonne Hernandez 15 Ivonne Hernandez Deputy Clerk of the Court 16

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

**PSER** ĺ PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) 2 AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. 8

Electronically Filed 4/25/2019 3:15 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# DISTRICT COURT

### **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Case No.: A-19-792978-B Dept. No.: 27

Plaintiff,

VS.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES

**SUMMONS-**

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Defendants.

QT.

### **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 31 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

PHONE 702.873.4100 • FAX 702.873.9966	
PHONE 702.873.4100 •	

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TO	THE	DEFEND	ANT	(S):
----	-----	--------	-----	------

UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES c/o Nevada Division of Insurance 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89102

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 31 days after this Summons is served, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

### McDONALD CARANO LLP

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
McDONALD CARANO LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. By: A/18/2019
Deputy Clerk Chaunte Pleasant Date
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Page 2 of 2

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I hereby declare that on this day I served a copy of the Summons and Complaint upon 3 the following defendant in the within matter, by shipping a copy thereof, via Certified mail, 4 return receipt requested, to the following: 5 UMR, Inc. Attn: Kristin Erickson 6 9700 Health Care Ln., MN017-E300 Minnetonka, MN 55343 7 CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7018 0680 0002 0258 3262 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. 8 DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2019. 9 10 11 12 Employee of the State of Nevada 13 Department of Business and Industry Division of Insurance 14 15 RE: Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. vs. United Healthcare Insurance Company, et al. District Court, Clark County, Nevada 16 Case No. A-19-792978-B 17 🎉 Three districts of the expandation confidences is support a fell material careet. 18 19 Day 4/22/19 By: Mondulally 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -1-

1	PSER
	PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
2	KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
	AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
3	McDONALD CARANO LLP
	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
ا ہ	Telephone: (702) 873-4100
5	Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
6	kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
١	aperach@medonaldearano.com
7	aperaememedonaldearano.com
_	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency
8	Services (Mandavia), Ltd.
9	
	DIST
10	

Electronically Filed 4/25/2019 3:15 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

### DISTRICT COURT

### **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

### Plaintiff,

vs.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-792978-B

Dept. No.: 27

### **SUMMONS-**

UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE

# **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 31 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

CARANO CARANO	2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 • LAS VEGAS. NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702,873,4100 • FAX 702,873,9966	
McDONALD (()	3300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUTE PHONE 702.873.410	

TO	THE	DEFEND	ANT	(S):

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE c/o Nevada Division of Insurance 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89102

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 31 days after this Summons is served, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

#### McDONALD CARANO LLP

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency

Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

4/18/2019 Deputy Clerk Chaunte Pleasant Date Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101

Page 2 of 2

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I hereby declare that on this day I served a copy of the Summons and Complaint upon the following defendant in the within matter, by shipping a copy thereof, via Certified mail, 3 4 return receipt requested, to the following: 5 United Healthcare Services, Inc. Attn: Kristin Erickson 6 9700 Health Care Ln., MN017-E300 Minnetonka, MN 55343 7 CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7018 0680 0002 0258 3279 8 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2019. 9 10 11 12 Employee of the State of Nevada 13 Department of Business and Industry Division of Insurance 14 Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. vs. United Healthcare Insurance 15 RE: Company, et al. 16 District Court, Clark County, Nevada Case No. A-19-792978-B 17 🖺 This document or where this certibodie 18 is staniped is a felt, was see correct. andy or fore or game. 19 120/19 Monter Kale 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

-1-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**PSER** 1 PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) 2 KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency

Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Electronically Filed 4/25/2019 3:15 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# DISTRICT COURT

### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Plaintiff,
vs.

Case No.: A-19-792978-B Dept. No.: 27

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

### **SUMMONS** -

# UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY

# **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 31 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

CARANO	XO • LAS VEGAS. NEVADA 89102 FAX 702.873.9966
McDONALD (	D WEST SAHARA AVENUE, SUITE 1200 + LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873,4100 + FAX 702.873,9966

TO THE DEFENDANT(	2	)	١
-------------------	---	---	---

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY c/o Nevada Division of Insurance 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 275 Las Vegas, NV 89102

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 31 days after this Summons is served, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal (a) written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so 3. promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, 4. board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

McDONALD CARANO LLP

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Deputy ClerkChaunte Pleasant Date Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Page 2 of 2

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby declare that on this day I served a copy of the Summons and Complaint upon 3 the following defendant in the within matter, by shipping a copy thereof, via Certified mail, 4 return receipt requested, to the following: 5 United Healthcare Insurance Company Attn: Kristin Erickson 6 185 Asylum St. Hartford, CT 06103 CERTIFIED MAIL NO. 7018 0680 0002 0258 3286 7 8 I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct. DATED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2019. 9 10 11 12 Employee of the State of Nevada 13 Department of Business and Industry Division of Insurance 14 RE: 15 Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. vs. United Healthcare Insurance Company, et al. District Court, Clark County, Nevada 16 Case No. A-19-792978-B 17 18 They are encest on ratio's this contactor. is stamped a wind, the setting rest. 19 may of the original -4/22/19 Brokenlewalle 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -1-

# Case 2:19-cv-00832 Document 1-1 Filed 05/14/19 Page 35 of 47

Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 10:59 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT AOS 1 PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 2 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency 8 Services (Mandavia), Ltd. 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 2300 WEST SAHARA AVENUE. SUTTE 1200 • LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102 PHONE 702.873.4100 • FAX 702.873.9966 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 CARANO FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES Case No.: A-19-792978-B Dept. No.: 27 (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional 12 corporation, 13 Plaintiff, 14 **SUMMONS**vs. McDONALD 15 HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC. UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; 16 UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota 17 corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware 18 corporation: OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA 19 HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; 20 SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF 21 NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10: ROE ENTITIES 11-20, 22 Defendants. 23 24 **SUMMONS** 25 NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU 26 WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 21 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW. 27 28

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

# TO THE DEFENDANT(S):

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC. CT Corporation System-Registered Agent 701 South Carson Street, Suite 200 Carson City, Nevada 89701

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 21 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

### McDONALD CARANO LLP

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
McDONALD CARANO LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

By: Clerk Craunte Pleasant Date
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 8910!

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Page 2 of 2

COUNTY OF V	•	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
United States, licensed to sen	over 18 years of age, not a pa ve process in Nevada under Li	leclares and says: That at all times herein declarant was and is a citizen of orly to nor interested in the proceedings in which this declaration is made, an cense #1088. That declarant received copy(ies) of theSUMMONS at on the day ofApril, 2019 and served the same at 12:35 PM
	(	Declarant must complete the appropriate paregraph)
1. delivering a	nd leaving a copy with the de	fendant
2 serve the d	ofondant	house and the state of the stat
with	cionuant	by personally delivering and leaving a c
•	(Us	e paragraph 3 for serve upon agent, completing A or B)
	ion Trust Company of Nevac	PLAN OF NEVADA, INC. by personally delivering and leaving a copda, Registered Agent, 701 S. Carson St, Suite 200, Carson City, Nevada 89  as Admin. , an agent lawfully designated by statute to accommodate to accommod
b.	Withabove address, which address designation filed with the S	pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable age and discretion at ress is the address of the registered agent as shown on the current certificat secretary of State.
4. personally (check appropr		of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope postage pre
		return receipt requested ail, return receipt requested
addressed to the	ne defendant	at the defendant's last known address w
is		
Per NRS 53.04	5: I declare under penalty o	f perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on:	April 23, 2019.	Must a Deale
		10000

American Process Service 10580 N. McCarran Blvd., Sulte 115-130 Reno, Nevada 89503 775-337-1117 Nevada License 1088A

27

28

		Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 10:59 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	AOS	Stewn b. Shrum
2	PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)	· ·
3	McDONALD CARANO LLP	
4	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	
5	Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966	
6	plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency	
8	Services (Mandavia), Ltd.	
9	DISTRIC	T COURT
10		VTY, NEVADA
11		Case No.: A-19-792978-B
12	FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,	Dept. No.: 27
13	Plaintiff,	
14	vs.	SUMMONS –
15	UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE	SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS,
16	COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC.	INC.
17	dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED	
18	MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS,	
19	INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE	
20	COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC.,	
21	a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation;	
22	DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,	
23	Defendants.	
24		_
25	SUMI	<u>MONS</u>

# **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 21 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

# TO THE DEFENDANT(S):

SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC. CT Corporation System-Registered Agent 701 South Carson Street, Suite 200 Carson City, Nevada 89701

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within **21 days** after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

#### McDONALD CARANO LLP

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
McDONALD CARANO LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com

aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Deputy Clerk Chaunte Pleasant Date Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Page 2 of 2

STATE OF NEV	ADA	)	
COUNTY OF W	ASHOE	) ss. )	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
			, declares and says: That at all times herein declarant was and is a citizen of the
			ot a party to nor interested in the proceedings in which this declaration is made, and is
			der License #1088. That declarant received 1 copy(ies) of the SUMMONS and
			978-B on the 22nd day of April , 2019 and served the same at 12:35 PM on
the <u>23rd</u> d	ay of	<u>April</u> , 2019	by:
			(Declarant must complete the appropriate peragraph)
A July and a con-			
1. delivering an	d leaving	a copy with ti	the defendantat
2 some the de	landant		h
with	ciluaiit		by personally delivering and leaving a copy  a person of suitable age and discretion residing at the defendant's usual place
of abode locate			, a person or sultable age and discretion residing at the deterioral is usual place
		***************************************	
			(Use paragraph 3 for serve upon agent, completing A or B)
3 serving the c	lefendant	SIERRA	A HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC by personally delivering and leaving a copy at
			Nevada, Registered Agent, 701 S. Carson St, Suite 200, Carson City, Nevada 89701
1110 001 00101	<u> </u>	yompuny on	NOTABLE, MOSTORIOU / SOUTE, FOT G. GUISSITOT, GUISS 200, GUISSITOTS, INSTAUL GOTOT
8,		Danielle Na of process;	as <u>Admin.</u> , an agent lawfully designated by statute to accept
b.	above a	ddress, whic	, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable age and discretion at the ch address is the address of the registered agent as shown on the current certificate of the Secretary of State.
personally de (check approprie	-		ail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope postage prepaid
		ordinan	v mail
			d mail, return receipt requested
			red mail, return receipt requested
		rogictor	ou many rotani reacipt requested
addressed to the	e defenda	nt	at the defendant's last known address which
is			
		į.	
Per NRS 53.04	5: I declar	e under pen	nalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on: A	pril 23. 2	019.	
	, -		$\sim$
			/ Whi Wlace
			Signature of Process Server, Robert Deale

American Process Service . 10580 N. McCarran Blvd., Sulte 115-130 Reno, Nevada 89503 775-337-1117 Nevada License 1088A

AOS 1 PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) 2 AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd. 8

Electronically Filed 4/30/2019 10:59 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

### DISTRICT COURT

#### **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Plaintiff.

VS.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Case No.: A-19-792978-B Dept. No.: 27

#### **SUMMONS-**

SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.

### **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 21 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

TO THE	DEFEND	ANT(S)	:
--------	--------	--------	---

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC. CT Corporation System-Registered Agent 701 South Carson Street, Suite 200 Carson City, Nevada 89701

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 21 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal (a) written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address (b) is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, 4. board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

McDONALD CARANO LLP

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com

kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Deputy Clerk Chaunte Pleasant Date Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Page 2 of 2

STATE OF NEVA	DA	)	
COUNTY OF WA	SHOE	) ss. )	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
Rob	ert Deal	9	, declares and says: That at all times herein declarant was and is a citizen of the
United States, over	er 18 yea	irs of ag	ge, not a party to nor interested in the proceedings in which this declaration is made, and is
			da under License #1088. That declarant received 1 copy(ies) of the SUMMONS and
COMPLAINT in the 23rd da			2-792978-B on the <u>22nd</u> day of <u>April</u> , 2019 and served the same at <u>12:35 PM</u> on 2019 by
			(Declarant must complete the appropriate paragraph)
1. delivering and	leaving	а сору	with the defendantat
2 serve the defe	endant		by personally delivering and leaving a copy
with			, a person of suitable age and discretion residing at the defendant's usual place
of abode located			, a person of suitable age and discretion residing at the defendant's usual place
			(Uso paragraph 3 for serve upon agent, completing A or B)
3. serving the def	endant	SIERR	A HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC. by personally delivering and leaving
			ust Company of Nevada, Registered Agent, 701 S. Carson St, Suite 200, Carson City,
Nevada 89701			
<b>a.</b> ,	With		<u>le Naki</u> as <u>Admin.</u> , an agent lawfully designated by statute to accept ess;
b.	With		, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable age and discretion at the
D.			which address is the address of the registered agent as shown on the current certificate of
			d with the Secretary of State.
4. personally dep	oositing a	a copy i	n a mail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope postage prepaid
(check appropriat	e metho	d):	
	****		dinary mail
	-	ČĒ	rtified mail, return receipt requested
		re	gistered mail, return receipt requested
addressed to the	defenda	nt	at the defendant's last known address which
is	<del></del>		
Per NRS 53.045:	i declar	e unde	r penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on: Ap	oril 23, 2	019.	
			1/11/1/1/2010
			1 cmi Welle
			Signature of Process Server, Robert Deale

American Process Service 10580 N. McCarran Blvd., Suite 115-130 Reno, Nevada 89503 775-337-1117 Nevada License 1088A

AOS 1 PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761) KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561) 2 AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399) McDONALD CARANO LLP 3 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9966 5 plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com 6 aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency

Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

Electronically Filed 5/6/2019 9:33 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# DISTRICT COURT

## **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

Defendants.

Case No.: A-19-792978-B

Dept. No.: 27

#### SUMMONS -

OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC.

# **SUMMONS**

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 21 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

Case Number: A-19-792978-B

# TO THE DEFENDANT(S):

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC. Corporation Trust Center – Registered Agent 1209 Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff against you for the relief set forth in the Complaint.

- 1. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 21 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, you must do the following:
  - (a) File with the Clerk of this Court, whose address is shown below, a formal written response to the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the Court, with the appropriate filing fee.
  - (b) Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and failure to so respond will result in a judgment of default against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. The State of Nevada, its political subdivisions, agencies, officers, employees, board members, commission members and legislators each have 45 days after service of this Summons within which to file an Answer or other responsive pleading to the Complaint.

Submitted by:

#### McDONALD CARANO LLP

Services (Mandavia), Ltd.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT

By: /s/ Kristen T. Gallagher
PAT LUNDVALL (NSBN 3761)
KRISTEN T. GALLAGHER (NSBN 9561)
AMANDA M. PERACH (NSBN 12399)
McDONALD CARANO LLP
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Telephone: (702) 873-4100
Facsimile: (702) 873-9966
plundvall@mcdonaldcarano.com
kgallagher@mcdonaldcarano.com
aperach@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Fremont Emergency

By: A/18/2019
Deputy Clerk Chaunte Pleasant Date
Regional Justice Center
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Page 2 of 2

### **AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE**

State of Nevada	County of Clark	District Court
Case Number: A-19-792978-B		
professional corporation vs. Defendants: UNITED HEALTHCARE INSUR	VICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada	
corporation; et al.	•.	
HEALTH PLANS, INC., c/o Cor DENORRIS BRITT APRIL , 2019 at 1230	tes on the 19th day of April, 2019 at 10:17 am rporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street being duly sworn, depose and say that on opm., executed service by delivering a true control that the state statutes in the manner marked below:	, Wilmington, DE 19801. I, the25_ day of
(K) CORPORATION: By serving	a AMY MCLAREN	1 <b>5</b> .
MANAGING AGENT	, an agent designated by statute t	to accept service of process.
( ) RECORDS CUSTODIAN: By	, an agent designated by statute	to accept service of process.
( ) PUBLIC AGENCY: By serving		_as
( ) OTHER SERVICE: As descr	of the within-named agency.  ribed in the Comment below by serving	
	as	, who stated they
were authorized to accept.  ( ) NON SERVICE: For the rea	asons detailed in the Comments below.	
COMMENTS:	1	
·		
	•	, , ,
		٠,
Age 40 Sex NF Race W	HITE . Height 5 5 Weight 130	Hair BROWN Glasses
X N		~ · · · · ·

# AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE For A-19-792978-B

I certify that I have no interest in the above action, am of legal age and have proper authority in the jurisdiction in which this service was made.

DE State of

County of NEW CASTLE

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 25 day of APRID 2019 by the afflant w by the afflant who is personally known to me.

NOTARY PUBLIC

BRITT

1958 PROCESS SERVER #

Appointed in accordance with State Statutes

**Bullet Legal Services** 1930 Village Center Circle, #3-965 Las Vegas, NV 89134 (702) 823-1000

Our Job Serial Number: 2019001243

KEVIN DUNN NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF DELAWARE

@ 1992-2019 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox.V8.0n My Commission Expires September 14, 2020

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	D. Lee Roberts, Jr., Esq.
	Nevada Bar No. 8877
2	lroberts@wwhgd.com
,	Colby L. Balkenbush, Esq.
3	Nevada Bar No. 13066
٦	cbalkenbush@wwhgd.com
4	Brittany M. Llewellyn, Esq.
ا ء	Nevada Bar No. 13527
5	bllewellyn@wwhgd.com
6	Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins,
6	GUNN & DIAL, LLC
7	6385 South Rainbow Blvd., Suite 400
′	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118
8	Telephone: (702) 938-3838
0	Facsimile: (702) 938-3864
9	Attanian for Defendant II it ill out Committee
	Attorneys for Defendants Unitedhealth Group, Inc.,
10	United Healthcare Insurance Company,
	United Health Care Services, Inc. dba Unitedhealthcare,
11	UMR, Inc. dba United Medical Resources,
•	Oxford Health Plans, Inc.,
12	Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and
	Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.
13	Theum I tun of trevuud, the.

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., a Nevada professional corporation; TEAM PHYSICIANS OF NEVADA-MANDAVIA, P.C., a Nevada professional corporation; CRUM, STEFANKO AND JONES, LTD. dba RUBY CREST EMERGENCY MEDICINE, a Nevada professional corporation

Plaintiff,

23

24 25

26

27

vs. UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation; UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut corporation; UNITED HEALTH CARE SERVICES INC. dba UNITEDHEALTHCARE, a Minnesota corporation; UMR, INC. dba UNITED MEDICAL RESOURCES, a Delaware corporation; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SIERRA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, INC., a Nevada

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF

DECLARATION OF SANDRA WAY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' **OBJECTIONS TO FREMONT'S** REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION. INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

Page 1 of 8

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

25

26

27

28

corporation; SIERRA HEALTH-CARE OPTIONS, INC., a Nevada corporation; HEALTH PLAN OF NEVADA, INC., a Nevada corporation; DOES 1-10; ROE ENTITIES 11-20,

#### Defendants.

- I, Sandra Way, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:
- 1. I am employed as the Claim & Appeal Regulatory Adherence Business Manager for United Healthcare Employer & Individual. I have worked for United for 10 years. My job responsibilities include providing oversight of regulatory related functions for E&I Claim & Appeal Operations.
- 2. I understand that, according to Fremont, there are approximately 15,210 claims at issue in this litigation which are identified in a spreadsheet produced by Fremont that is bates numbered FESM000011.
- 3. For each of the claims at issue, I understand that Fremont has submitted written discovery requests to Defendants, including requests for production, interrogatories and requests for admissions. While each request often asks for a slightly different piece of information related to the claims, taken together, the requests ask for any and all information related to the claims at issue, including all documents and communications related to the claims.
- Many of Fremont's requests essentially ask for information that collectively 4. constitutes what is often called the "administrative record" for each claim.
- 5. To produce the administrative record for each claim, United must locate and produce the following categories of documents from their records for each individual claim, to the extent that any such documents exist:
  - a. Member Explanations of Benefits ("EOBs");
  - b. Provider EOBs and/or Provider Remittance Advices ("PRAs");
  - Appeals documents;
  - d. Any other documents comprising the administrative records, such as correspondence or clinical records submitted by Plaintiffs;
  - e. The plan documents in effect at the time of service.

Page 2 of 8

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.	These	documents	are	not	stored	together	and	are	spread	across	at	least	four
separate syste	ms with	in United.											

7. The documents from categories a; and b, are stored on a United electronic storage platform known as EDSS. "EDSS" stands for Enterprise Data Storage System. The documents from category d may be stored in another United electronic storage platform known as IDRS. "IDRS" stands for Image Document Retrieval System. When using EDSS or IDRS, documents must be individually searched for and pulled. The process for doing so looks like this:

First, a United employee must access EDSS or IDRS from their computer.

Second, the employee must select the type of document that they wish to pull from a drop down menu: claim form, letter, EOB, etc.

Third, the employee must run a query for that document for each individual claim at issue, based on some combination of claim identifying information (e.g., the claim number, member ID number, dates of services, social security number, provider tax identification number, etc.).

*Fourth*, the employee must download the documents returned by their query.

Fifth, the employee must open and review the downloaded documents to confirm that they pertain to one of the at-issue claims.

Sixth, if the documents do pertain to an at-issue claim, the employee must migrate those documents to a United shared drive specific to this litigation, from which the documents will be transferred to United's outside counsel for this matter.

- 8. Documents from category c are located on a United electronic escalation tracking platform known as ETS. "ETS" stands for Escalation Tracking System. Pulling documents from ETS, which is done on an individual claim-by-claim basis, substantially mirrors the process for pulling documents from EDSS and IDRS.
- 9. My team has previously pulled documents from categories a, b, c, and d in connection with other provider-initiated litigation. Based on the documents that we pulled previously, we have developed estimates of the average time that it takes to pull each category of document:

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- Member Explanations of Benefits ("EOBs"): 45 minutes. 1
- Provider EOBs and/or Provider Remittance Advice ("PRAs"); 20 minutes.
- Appeals documents: 30 minutes.
- Other documents comprising the administrative records: 15 minutes.
- 10. I understand that Plaintiffs in this case have questioned the above time estimates, based on their very different experience accessing PRAs, claiming that it only takes Plaintiffs two minutes to pull a PRA from the UHC Portal for providers. These are completely different enterprises, and it is to be expected that it would take substantially less time for a provider to access their own, pre-sorted records through the UHC Portal, than it would for United to (1) search for and locate the records of health plan members based on varying pieces of data, (2) verify that the located records are the correct ones, and further contain no extraneous material, in accordance with United's rigorous standards for ensuring that HIPAA-protected information is not improperly disclosed, and (3) process that information for external production in accordance with United's prescribed process for court-ordered discovery production. My estimates are based on substantial experience locating, verifying, and processing records for many hundreds of discovery productions. I stand by them, and stand ready as necessary to provide supporting testimony under oath.
- By way of example, as stated above, it takes 45 minutes on average to locate, 11. verify, and process a member EOB. Allow me to explain.
  - a. United stores EOBs as images that are stored in EDSS and marked with "Film Locator Numbers" or "FLNs".
  - b. To locate the correct EOB for a given claim, we must first determine the correct FLN by running queries in the system based on the data given to us by the provider. This process can take substantial time, because United-administered plans have tens of millions of members, each of whom is likely to see multiple

Searching member EOBs is more time consuming than searching provider EOBs/PRAs due to the volume of United members and member records.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

providers on multiple dates of service, and even a single date of service can result in the generation of numerous EOBs. Moreover, if we are required to rely on member name and date of service information to identify the correct records, United typically has numerous members with the same or similar names that need to be sorted through to determine a match. In addition, this process is further complicated by the fact that the data given to us by providers in litigation frequently contains nicknames or misspellings of names-and sometimes transposed digits and other inaccuracies—that does not match our systems data and significantly complicates the process.

- c. Once we use the claim data that is furnished to us by the provider to identify what we believe to be the correct FLN, we must then enter that FLN into EDSS to pull up and download the EOB in question.
- d. Once the targeted EOB has completed downloading, our rigorous HIPAA protection protocol requires us to review the entire downloaded document to ensure (1) that it is the correct EOB that matches the claim at issue in the litigation and (2) that there are no extraneous pages included that might result in the inadvertent but unauthorized disclosure of HIPAA-protected information. Some EOB records are simple, but others may contain several pages, and the process of confirming a match and confirming that no extraneous information is included takes substantial time.
- Once the EOB has been verified, we must take the additional step of processing and uploading it to the specific share drive that has been established for the particular instance of litigation.
- 12. For each individual EOB, the above-described process may take more or less than 45 minutes, but across a large volume of records, my experience confirms that 45 minutes is the average. As set forth in paragraph 9 above, EOBs take the longest time to locate, verify, and process because of the massive volume of member records and the difficulties that are typically encountered using member data to locate the requested records. Similar processes govern the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

location, verification, and processing of the other records identified in Paragraph 9, however, and the completion of those processes typically takes meaningful time.

- 13. Thus, I estimate that it will take, on average, about 2 hours to pull a full set of the a, b, c, and d category documents for a single claim, which would need to be done for each of the 15,210 claims at issue claim (for a total of approximately 30,420 hours). Based on the forgoing time estimates, it would take a team of four people working full-time on nothing other than gathering documents for this case over 3 years to pull the documents related to categories a, b, c, and d. This does not account for other factors that could complicate the collection process, such as any at-issue claims that have not been successfully "mapped" to a unique United claim number,<sup>2</sup> or archived documents that may have to be located and pulled from other sources or platforms.
- 14. If a provider includes an accurate Claim Number and Member Number in their claim data, the average time listed above for identifying EOBs can be substantially shortened. That is because accurate Claim Number and Member Number information avoids the need to search through multiple duplicative member names and multiple and frequently overlapping dates of service to identify the specific claim at issue. I estimate that having accurate Claim Number and Member Number information would reduce the time it typically takes to locate, verify, and process an EOB from 45 minutes to 30 minutes, and the time that it would take to pull all of the documents described in Paragraph 9 from 2 hours to 1.5 hours. Based on my review of Fremont's list of claims (FESM000011), Fremont appears to have provided some, but not all of the claim numbers and member numbers for the claims it is seeking information on. I have not yet been able to verify the accuracy of these numbers.
- 15. My group does not handle documents from category e and I do not have personal knowledge of the processes utilized to locate and pull plan documents. Nonetheless I have been informed of the relevant processes by colleagues whose job functions do include locating and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lack of a valid United claim number can make searching for many of the document categories described much more time consuming and complicated. In some instances, it can also make it impossible to identify and collect the right documents.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pulling these documents. I understand that plan documents for *current* United clients can be accessed through a United database. First, the team must access the appropriate database, locate, and pull all of the relevant documents for each plan implicated by the at-issue claims. Once pulled, a United employee must then open each document, confirm that the document relates to the plan covering the at-issue claim, label the file, and migrate the document to the appropriate shared drive location related to this litigation. The colleagues who have informed me have previously pulled plan documents in connection with other provider-initiated litigation where only 500 claims were at issue. Based on the documents that they pulled previously and the 15,210 claims at issue here, it is estimated that it will take approximately 6,996 hours to collect the relevant plan documents. Because plan documents will be handled by a team that is separate from my team handling the claim and appeal document collection, this time estimate will run concurrently to the time estimate for pulling documents pertain only to pulling documents related to categories a, b, c, and d.

- 16. The above time estimates for plan documents pertain only to pulling documents related to current United clients. Documents related to former clients may be far more difficult and time consuming to access. I understand that archived plan documents may be located in offsite storage. In other instances, I understand that these archived documents may be stored in legacy systems that use outdated file formats that are not readable on today's computers; in these instances the documents would need to be converted to PDFs before a United employee can even verify whether the document is relevant to this litigation. We do not currently know how many of the at-issue claims will require accessing archived documents.
- 17. The above statements regarding the estimated amount of time to locate and produce documents that are responsive to certain of Fremont's written discovery requests apply to documents in the possession of the United Health Defendants (United HealthGroup, Inc., United Healthcare Insurance Company, and United Health Care Services, Inc.), the Sierra Defendants (Sierra Health and Life Insurance Company, Inc., Sierra Health-Care Options, Inc., and Health Plan of Nevada, Inc.) and Defendant UMR, Inc. In regard to the United Health Defendants, I have personal knowledge of the processes utilized to locate and pull claim

documents except in regard to category e, as previously discussed in paragraph 15 of this Declaration. In regard to the Sierra Defendants and UMR, Inc., I do not have personal knowledge of the processes utilized to locate and pull claim documents. Nonetheless I have been informed of the relevant processes for the Sierra Defendants and UMR, Inc. by colleagues whose job functions do include locating and pulling these documents. I understand that the process utilized by the Sierra Defendants and UMR, Inc. to locate and pull the documents described in paragraph 5 of this Declaration is substantially similar to the process utilized by the United Health Defendants. I further understand that, just as with the documents that are in the possession of the United Health Defendants, it takes the Sierra Defendants and UMR, Inc. approximately 2 hours of time to locate and pull the administrative record for a claim.

18. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on January 29th, 2020 in Moline, Illinois

SANDRA WAY

Business Manager Claim & Appeal Regulatory Adherence United Healthcare

Page 8 of 8

# Case 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF Document 78 Filed 02/20/20 Page 1 of 6

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES (MANDAVIA), LTD., et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:19-CV-832 JCM (VCF)

**ORDER** 

Presently before the court is plaintiffs' Fremont Emergency Services; Team Physicians of Nevada-Mandavia; Crum, Stefanko and Jones, Ltd. dba Ruby Crest Emergency Medicine ("plaintiffs") amended motion to remand. (ECF No. 49). Defendant United Healthcare Insurance Company ("United") filed a response (ECF No. 64), to which plaintiffs replied (ECF No. 71).

#### I. **Background**

v.

Plaintiffs are professional emergency medical service groups that staff the emergency departments at hospitals and other facilities throughout Nevada. (ECF No. 40 at 5). Plaintiffs have been providing emergency services and care to patients in the emergency department, regardless of an individual's insurance coverage or ability to pay. *Id*.

United and plaintiffs have never had a written agreement governing the rates of reimbursement for emergency services rendered. Id. at 6. Nonetheless, plaintiffs have submitted claims to United seeking reimbursement for emergency care and United has routinely paid them.

# Case 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF Document 78 Filed 02/20/20 Page 2 of 6

6 7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge Id. at 10. From 2008–2017, United normally paid plaintiffs at a range of 75–90%. Id. However, beginning in 2019, United continued to pay the claims submitted but reduced the rates of reimbursement to levels ranging from 12–60%, below the usual and customary rates. *Id.* 

Plaintiffs' amended complaint asserts eight state law causes of action, all stemming from United's alleged underpayment of claims. *Id.* at 32–44. Plaintiffs originally brought suit against United in the Eighth Judicial District Court, and United timely removed the action. (ECF No. 1). Plaintiffs now move to remand the case. (ECF No. 49).

#### II. **Legal Standard**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), "any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). "A federal court is presumed to lack jurisdiction in a particular case unless the contrary affirmatively appears." Stock West, Inc. v. Confederated Tribes of Colville Reservation, 873 F.2d 1221, 1225 (9th Cir. 1989).

Upon notice of removability, a defendant has thirty days to remove a case to federal court once he knows or should have known that the case was removable. Durham v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 445 F.3d 1247, 1250 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)). Defendants are not charged with notice of removability "until they've received a paper that gives them enough information to remove." Id. at 1251.

Specifically, "the 'thirty day time period [for removal] . . . starts to run from defendant's receipt of the initial pleading only when that pleading affirmatively reveals on its face' the facts necessary for federal court jurisdiction." Id. at 1250 (quoting Harris v. Bankers Life & Casualty Co., 425 F.3d 689, 690–91 (9th Cir. 2005) (alterations in original)). "Otherwise, the thirty-day

# Case 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF Document 78 Filed 02/20/20 Page 3 of 6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

clock doesn't begin ticking until a defendant receives 'a copy of an amended pleading, motion, order or other paper' from which it can determine that the case is removable. *Id.* (quoting 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(3)).

A plaintiff may challenge removal by timely filing a motion to remand. 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). On a motion to remand, the removing defendant faces a strong presumption against removal, and bears the burden of establishing that removal is proper. *Sanchez v. Monumental Life Ins. Co.*, 102 F.3d 398, 403–04 (9th Cir. 1996); *Gaus v. Miles, Inc.*, 980 F.2d 564, 566–67 (9th Cir. 1992).

## III. Discussion

As an initial matter, United bears the burden of proving that plaintiffs' complaint contains a cause of action within this court's jurisdiction. "In scrutinizing a complaint in search of a federal question, a court applies the well-pleaded complaint rule." *Ansley*, 340 F.3d at 861 (citing *Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987)). "For removal to be appropriate under the well-pleaded complaint rule, a federal question must appear on the face of a properly pleaded complaint." *Id.* (citing *Rivet v. Regions Bank of La.*, 522 U.S. 470, 475 (1998)).

The "well-pleaded complaint rule" governs federal question jurisdiction. This rule provides that district courts can exercise jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 only when a federal question appears on the face of a well-pleaded complaint. *See, e.g., Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987). Thus, a plaintiff "may avoid federal jurisdiction by exclusive reliance on state law." *Id.* Moreover, "an anticipated or actual federal defense generally does not qualify a case for removal[.]" *Jefferson County v. Acker*, 527 U.S. 423, 431 (1999).

Although plaintiffs bring claims solely under state law, United argues that removal is proper under 28 U.S.C § 1441 based on the exception of complete preemption by § 502(a) of

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

## Case 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF Document 78 Filed 02/20/20 Page 4 of 6

1

15 16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge ERISA. For the reasons set forth below, the court finds that defendant's asserted basis for removal is improper and grants plaintiffs' motion to remand.

"ERISA is one of only a few federal statutes under which two types of preemption may arise: conflict preemption and complete preemption." Conn. State Dental Ass'n v. Anthem Health Plans, Inc., 591 F. 3d 1337, 1343 (11th Cir. 2009). While conflict preemption is a defense to preempted state law claims, the doctrine does not normally allow for removal to federal court. See Aetna Health Inc. v. Davila, 542 U.S. 200, 207 (2004). On the other hand, complete preemption is a judicially recognized exception to the well-pleaded complaint rule that allows removal of claims within the scope of ERISA § 502(a) to federal court. Davila 542 U.S. at 209; Marin General Hosp. v. Modesto & Empire Traction Co., 581 F.3d 941, 945 (9th Cir. 2009).

In Davila, the Supreme Court established a two-pronged test to determine whether a state law claim is completely preempted by ERISA. Davila, 542 U.S. at 210. Complete preemption exists only when (1) a plaintiff "could have brought his claim under ERISA § 502(a)(1)(b)," and (2) "there is no other independent legal duty that is implicated by a defendant's actions." *Id.* at 210. The test is conjunctive; a claim is completely preempted only if both prongs are satisfied. *Marin*, 581 F.3d at 947.

Under prong 1 of the Davila test, the Ninth Circuit has distinguished between claims involving the "right to payment" and claims involving the proper "amount of payment." Blue Cross of Cal. v. Anesthesia Care Assocs. Med. Grp., Inc., 187 F.3d 1045, 1051 (9th Cir. 1999). Claims involving the "right to payment" generally fall within the scope of § 502(a)(1)(b), while claims involving the "amount of payment" generally fall outside the scope of § 502(a)(1)(b). Id.

# Case 2:19-cv-00832-JCM-VCF Document 78 Filed 02/20/20 Page 5 of 6

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge Although *Blue Cross* preceded *Davila*, the Ninth Circuit has expressly found that its analysis and holding are consistent with the *Davila* framework and remain good law. *Marin*, 581 F.3d at 948.

Here, plaintiffs allege claims disputing the amount of payment from United. (ECF No. 40). They do not contend they are owed an additional amount from the patients' ERISA plans. *See id.* Instead, they allege these claims arise from their alleged implied-in-fact contract with United. *Id.* 

United attempts to distinguish the implied-in-fact contract from other types of contracts referenced in the case law. (ECF No. 64). However, Nevada courts have found that implied-in-fact agreements and express agreements have the same legal effects. *See Magnum Opes Constr.* v. Sanpete Steel Corp., 2013 WL 7158997 (Nev. 2013); Certified Fire Prot. Inc. v. Precision Constr., 283 P. 3d 250, 256 (Nev. 2012).

Consequently, the court finds that plaintiffs' claims fall outside the scope of § 502(a) of ERISA, failing prong 1 of the *Davila* test. No further analysis under *Davila* is necessary. Plaintiffs' motion to remand is granted.

Additionally, while plaintiffs correctly indicate that 28 U.S.C § 1447(c) allows the court to impose attorney's fees and costs on a party who improperly removes a case to federal court, "Congress has unambiguously left the award of fees to the discretion of the district court." *Gotro v. R & B Realty Group*, 69 F.3d 1485, 1487 (9th Cir. 1995) (*citing Moore v. Permanente Medical Group*, 981 F.2d 443, 446 (9th Cir. 1992). There was a reasonable dispute concerning whether the complete preemption exception under ERISA § 502 applied to the claims. Therefore, the court declines to award attorney's fees to the plaintiffs.

. . .

. . .

1	IV.	Conclusion
2		Accordingly,
3		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiffs' amended
4	motio	n to remand (ECF No. 49) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.
5		IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the matter of Fremont Emergency Services
6 7	/M	
8		davia), Ltd. v. United Healthcare Insurance Company et al., case number 2:19-cv-00832-
9	JCM-	VCF, be, and the same hereby is, REMANDED to the Eighth Judicial District Court.
10		The clerk shall close the case accordingly.
11		DATED February 20, 2020.
12		Xellus C. Mahan
13		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge