ب [`] ۳		25
		FILED
	Ceaser Sanchez Unlerale #94307	SEP 0 2 2020
2	POSOX 650 INDEAN SPREASS Newada 8970 PETALONEZ PROSE	CLERK OF COURT
3	Retationer/1010SC	Electronically Filed
4		Sep 08 2020 10:19 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
5		Clerk of Supreme Court
le le	DISTRI	CT COURT
2	CLARKC	OUNTY NEVADA
8		
9	Ceasar Sanchez Valencia	Case No A-20-815616-W
10	Petitioneri	Dopt-No 29
N	WARDANJOHNSON HighDose-1State Prison THE STATE OF NEVADD	
12		Notice of Appeal
13	Respondents	
14		
15		OF APPERL
16	TO: THE STATE OF NEVALAY.	STEVEN BWOLFOSON, DISTRICT ATTOMA
	JUDICIAL OSTRICTOUR	A AND DOPT 29 DET THE EEHTT! TOPTHE STATE OF MEVADA IN AND FOR
18	Nottee is hereby given that	Petutioner (EHSHK OHTUCHEZ
19	VALENCIA#94307 present	ly unconcercted in the Hugh
	Desent STATEPrison appeal	s to the supreme Courtor
2_	the STATE OF UCUADA From	the EIHTH Judicial District
22	Court Judgment of Conviction	on and Sentence and Denval
<u>p 23</u>	offetition For Write	FHabeas Corpos Post Conuctor
AUG 2	land Romstoproceed i	n tompaloer 15 also request
OF TH	For the Appointedental	Course Request for Evidentiony
THE COURT	Hearing entered appliest Pet	Course Request for Evident lovy Honer or about JUly 28th
	2020	by Cocsor-Janerez Lolendo
	Octed this Dthot Mgust;	202 Docket 81745 Documento 20252 Prings NV

-
1
(,
· · · · · ·
· · · ·
`
. ,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
C EBY
VIE SUI
<u> </u>

CERTIFICATE OF MAJLENG THE undersigned does hereby certify that on the Ĵ Athday of August 2020 I'deal deposit in the 3 United States Post office at High Desert STATE Prison legal library, Furst class Postage fully Paval a true copy of the above and Forgoing Worke of Appeal' Petution For Writ Habers Corpos 10 (Post convotion) addressed to the following C STEVER B Wolfber D Clark County District Attorney Criminal Appeals 12 200 lewite Av Las Vegas NV 89105 PADAM Paul LAXALY Nevada Attorney Concrol 100 North Carson Street 18 Ccroon City NV 8970 19 20 21 Clerhof the Court Dot29 22 200 LEWIS HU Frolfills 23 Las Vegas WV 89155 24 25 ocser Soches Lacke F 26 Photosprahs 20 2

To derhof the Cart Dept 29 I would when to request court minutes case Summery Dates of September 3rd 2019 and Feb 4th 2020 also March 3rd 2020 and For August 4th 2020 I need to Know the status for my motion in contempt Gregory Cover for failing to thomand entire as se file I know that if my motion is denked in August 42020 I would ask to please replé end calender I would highly epocste I will late-submit a motion for trension becease Gregory Eover has failed on has refused to forward case File with three or more DrSC body Cam Audio ot CAD and other PDF Files I-head toget Hose Records pleise let nie Know how Icold get these necords Ma Coyen IS Returns my mail, and Phone calls can pspice remained with the courthes alreedy made an order to send mer entire case Kde Thank you 10.5720 CISCAT-C-16-3058 (eason Volumera Freshing Motion Forcontempt Gresory Coyer filed July 132020 Public 650 and Jandes 5

į. ZIP 89101 011E12650516 FIRST-CLASS MAIL Clerkofthe Court Deot29 200 lew/8 AV 300/ Floor los veges NV89155 08/18/2020 Hasler CASS WEGAS OZ, SINT ST CHR. ANI HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON 00000-10160 UNIT 8 C/D AUG 17 2020 Cacler Valencia #94307 PODOX 6050 NV 89307 Indiansprings NV 89307 Indiansprings NV 89307 Noul /

		Electronically Filed 9/3/2020 11:22 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	ASTA	
2 3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL	DISTRICT COURT OF THE
7	STATE OF NEVA	DA IN AND FOR
8	THE COUNT	Y OF CLARK
9		
10	CEASAR SANCHEZ VALENCIA,	Case No: A-20-815616-W
11	Plaintiff(s),	Dept No: XXIX
12	VS.	
13	JOHNSON WARDEN, HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON; THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
14 15	Defendant(s),	
16 17 18 19	CASE APPEAL 1. Appellant(s): Ceasar Sanchez Valencia 2. Judge: David M. Jones	A STATEMENT
20	 Judge: David W. Jones Appellant(s): Ceasar Sanchez Valencia 	
21	Counsel:	
22		
23 24	Ceasar Sanchez Valencia #94307 P.O. Box 650	
24 25	Indian Springs, NV 89070	
25 26	4. Respondent (s): Johnson Warden, High D	Desert State Prison; The State of Nevada
	Counsel:	
27 28	Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave.	
	A-20-815616-W -1	-
	Case Number: /	

Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212		
 Appellant(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: N/A Permission Granted: N/A 		
Respondent(s)'s Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes Permission Granted: N/A		
6. Has Appellant Ever Been Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No		
7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A		
 Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: N/A **Expires 1 year from date filed Appellant Filed Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: Yes, Date Application(s) filed: May 28, 2020 		
9. Date Commenced in District Court: May 28, 2020		
10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Civil Writ		
Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Civil Writ of Habeas Corpus		
11. Previous Appeal: No		
Supreme Court Docket Number(s): N/A		
12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A		
13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown		
Dated This 3 day of September 2020.		
Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court		
/s/ Amanda Hampton Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk		
200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601		
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601 (702) 671-0512		
cc: Ceasar Sanchez Valencia		
A-20-815616-W -2-		

Eighth Judicial District Court CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-20-815616-W

vs.	cia, Plaintiff(s) la, Defendant(s)	CASE 110. A-20-0. § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §	Location: Judicial Officer:	05/28/2020	
		CASE INFORMAT	TION		
Related Cases C-16-315580-1	(Writ Related Case)		Case Type:	Writ of Hab	eas Corpus
Statistical Closu 08/19/2020 C	n res Other Manner of Disposition		Case Status:	08/19/2020	Closed
DATE		CASE ASSIGNM	ENT		
	Current Case Assignment Case Number Court Date Assigned Judicial Officer	A-20-815616-W Department 29 05/28/2020 Jones, David M			
		PARTY INFORMA	TION		
Plaintiff	Valencia, Ceasar			Lead	d Attorneys
Defendant	Johnson, Warden of HI State of Nevada	DSP			Pro Se Wolfson, Steven B Retained 702-671-2700(W)
DATE		EVENTS & ORDERS OF	THE COURT		INDEX
05/28/2020	EVENTS Inmate Filed - Petition for Party: Plaintiff Valencia,				
05/28/2020	Motion for Appointment Filed By: Plaintiff Valen				
05/28/2020	Application to Proceed i Filed By: Plaintiff Valen				
06/01/2020	Order Order for Petition for Wri	t of Habeas Corpus			
06/02/2020	Notice of Change of Hear				
08/07/2020	Findings of Fact, Conclu Findings of Fact, Conclus				
08/11/2020					

Eighth Judicial District Court CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-20-815616-W

	CASE NO. A-20-815616-W
	Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law Filed By: Defendant State of Nevada Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order
08/19/2020	Order to Statistically Close Case Civil Order to Statistically Close Case
09/02/2020	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Plaintiff Valencia, Ceasar Notice of Appeal
09/03/2020	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Plaintiff Valencia, Ceasar Case Appeal Statement HEARINGS
07/28/2020	 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (10:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Jones, David M) Denied; Journal Entry Details: Deft. not present. The petition being improper as the aggregate total Sentence is correct, COURT ORDERED, petition DENIED. State to prepare the order. NDC;

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

County, Nevada

. Party Information (provide both he	ome and mailing addresses if different)			
laintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant	t(s) (name/address/phone):	
Ceasar Val	encia		State of Nevada	
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorney (name/address/phone):		
I. Nature of Controversy (please s	elect the one most applicable filing typ	e below)		
Civil Case Filing Types				
Real Property			Torts	
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Other Torts	
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability	
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct	
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort	
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort	
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other Tort	
Other Real Property	Legal			
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting			
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice			
Probate	Construction Defect & Con	tract		
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect		Judicial Review	
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case	
General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records	
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency	
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code		Nevada State Agency Appeal	
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Department of Motor Vehicle	
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation	
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument		Other Nevada State Agency	
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other	
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court	
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract		Other Judicial Review/Appeal	
Under \$2,500				
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing	
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing	
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim	
Writ of Mandamus Other Civil Writ		1	Foreign Judgment	
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other Civil Matters	
Business (Court filings should be filed using t	he Business		
May 28, 2020			PREPARED BY CLERK	
		<u></u>		
Date	Signat	ure of initiating party or representative		

See other side for family-related case filings.

			Electronically Filed 8/7/2020 2:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON		Atim S. atum
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
3	KAREN MISHLER Chief Deputy District Attorney		
4	Nevada Bar #013730 200 Lewis Avenue		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7		CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
8			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	A-20-815616-W
12	CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA, #1588390	DEPT NO:	XXIX
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	FINDINGS OF FAC LAW AN	T, CONCLUSIONS	OF
16 17	DATE OF HEAR TIME OF HEA	2ING: July 28, 2020 RING: 10:15 AM	
18	THIS CAUSE having come on for h	nearing before the H	onorable DAVID JONES,
19	District Judge, on the 28th day of July, 2020, the Petitioner not being present, proceeding in		
20	proper person, the Respondent being represe	nted by STEVEN B.	WOLFSON, Clark County
21	District Attorney, by and through NOREEN I	DEMONTE, Chief De	eputy District Attorney, and
22	the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel,		
23	and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact		
24	and conclusions of law:		
25	FINDINGS OF FACT,	CONCLUSIONS O	F LAW
26	STATEMENT OF THE CASE		
27	On June 9, 2016, the State filed an Information charging Petitioner Ceasar Sanchaz		
28	Valencia (hereinafter "Petitioner") with one	count of Assault on a	Protected Person With Use

of a Deadly Weapon, one count of Ownership or Possession of Firearm by Prohibited Person, one count of Trafficking in Controlled Substance, and two counts of Possession of Controlled Substance. On June 10, 2016, Petitioner was arraigned on the Information, at which time he entered a plea of not guilty and invoked his right to a speedy trial.

On November 27, 2017, the matter proceeded to trial. On December 1, 2017, the jury rendered its verdict of guilty as to all counts. On January 25, 2018, Petitioner was sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections, pursuant to the small habitual criminal statute, as follows: Count 1 - a minimum of 84 months and a maximum of 240 months; Count 2 - a minimum of 24 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent to Count 1; Count 3 - a minimum of 12 months and a maximum of 48 months, concurrent with Count 2; Count 4 - a minimum of 12 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent with Count 3; Count 5 - a minimum of 24 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent to Count 4. Petitioner's total aggregate sentence was a minimum of 108 months and a maximum of 312 months. Petitioner received 615 days credit for time served. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on February 6, 2018.

On March 1, 2018, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed Petitioner's Judgment of Conviction, and remittitur issued on May 7, 2019.

On May 28, 2020, Petitioner filed the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (hereinafter "the Petition"). This Court denies the Petition, for the reasons stated below.

THE PETITION IS UNTIMELY, WITH NO GOOD CAUSE PRESENTED TO

ANALYSIS

OVERCOME THE PROCEDURAL BAR

The Petition is time-barred with no good cause shown for delay. Pursuant to NRS 34.726(1):

Unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year of the entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the Supreme Court issues its remittitur. For the purposes of this subsection,

good cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court:

(a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and

(b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner.

The Supreme Court of Nevada has held that NRS 34.726 should be construed by its plain meaning. <u>Pellegrini v. State</u>, 117 Nev. 860, 873-74, 34 P.3d 519, 528 (2001). As per the language of the statute, the one-year time bar proscribed by NRS 34.726 begins to run from the date the judgment of conviction is filed or a remittitur from a timely direct appeal is filed. <u>Dickerson v. State</u>, 114 Nev. 1084, 1087, 967 P.2d 1132, 1133-34 (1998).

The one-year time limit for preparing petitions for post-conviction relief under NRS 34.726 is strictly applied. In <u>Gonzales v. State</u>, 118 Nev. 590, 596, 53 P.3d 901, 904 (2002), the Nevada Supreme Court rejected a habeas petition that was filed two days late despite evidence presented by the defendant that he purchased postage through the prison and mailed the Notice within the one-year time limit. Furthermore, the Nevada Supreme Court has held that the district court has a duty to consider whether a defendant's post-conviction petition claims are procedurally barred. <u>State v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court (Riker)</u>, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005).

Here, Petitioner's Judgment of Conviction was filed on May 7, 2019. Petitioner filed the Petition on May 28, 2020, several weeks after the one-year time bar had passed. Therefore, this Petition is untimely and must be dismissed absent a showing of good cause.

Pursuant to NRS 34.726, a showing of good cause may overcome procedural bars. Good cause sufficient to overcome the time bar exists when 1) the delay is not the fault of the petitioner and 2) dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1). "To establish good cause, appellants *must* show that an impediment external to the defense prevented their compliance with the applicable procedural rule. A qualifying impediment might be shown where the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available at the time of default." <u>Clem v. State</u>, 119 Nev. 615, 621, 81 P.3d 521, 525 (2003) (emphasis added). "[A]ppellants cannot attempt to manufacture good cause[.]" <u>Id.</u>, 81 P.3d at

1		
1	526. To find good cause there must be a "substantial reason; one that affords a legal excuse."	
2	Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 71 P.3d 503 (2003) (quoting Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235,	
3	236, 773 P.2d 1229, 1230 (1989)). Clearly, any delay in filing of the petition must not be the	
4	fault of the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a). Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel do not	
5	constitute good cause if those claims themselves are procedurally defaulted. Stewart v.	
6	LaGrand, 526 U.S. 115, 120, 119 S.Ct. 1018, 1021 (1999).	
7	Petitioner has failed to demonstrate good cause for filing a time-barred Petition. He has	
8	failed entirely to even address the issue of good cause. Accordingly, this Court cannot address	
9	the time-barred claims contained in the Petition.	
10	ORDER	
11	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	
12	(Post-Conviction) shall be, and it is, hereby denied.	
13	DATED this 7th day of August, 2020.	
14	AT	
15	DISTRICT LUDGE	
16	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney	
17	Nevada Bar #001565	
18	BY BB for	
19	VADENI MICHICED	
20	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013730	
21	///	
22	///	
23	111	
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	4	
	\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2016\229\05\201622905C-FFCO-(CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA)-001.DOCX	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify that on the 10th day of August, 2020, I mailed a copy of the foregoing Findings
3	of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order to:
4	CEASAR VALENCIA, BAC #94307 H.D.S.P.
5	P.O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070
6	
7	BY AMOSTER
8	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5
	\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2016\229\05\201622905C-FFCO-(CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA)-001.DOCX

	Electronically Filed 8/11/2020 12:33 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
1	NEFF Oten b. Frum	~	
2	DISTRICT COURT		
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4			
5	CEASAR VALENCIA, Case No: A-20-815616-W		
6	Petitioner, Dept No: XXIX		
7	vs.		
8	STATE OF NEVADA; ET.AL.,		
9	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER		
10			
11	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 7, 2020, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.		
12	You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you		
13	must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is		
14	mailed to you. This notice was mailed on August 11, 2020.		
15	STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT		
16	/s/ Amanda Hampton Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk		
17			
18			
19	CERTIFICATE OF E-SERVICE / MAILING		
20	I hereby certify that on this 11 day of August 2020, I served a copy of this Notice of Entry on the following:		
21	☑ By e-mail:		
22	Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office – Appellate Division-		
23			
24	 ☑ The United States mail addressed as follows: Ceasar Valencia # 94307 		
25	P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070		
26			
27	/s/ Amanda Hampton Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk		
28	Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk		
	-1-		
	Case Number: A-20-815616-W		

			Electronically Filed 8/7/2020 2:32 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
1	FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON		Atim S. Atum
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565		
3	KAREN MISHLER Chief Deputy District Attorney		
4	Nevada Bar #013730 200 Lewis Avenue		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7		CT COURT NTY, NEVADA	
8			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	A-20-815616-W
12	CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA, #1588390	DEPT NO:	XXIX
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	FINDINGS OF FAC LAW AN	T, CONCLUSIONS	OF
16 17	DATE OF HEAR TIME OF HEA	2ING: July 28, 2020 RING: 10:15 AM	
18	THIS CAUSE having come on for h	nearing before the H	onorable DAVID JONES,
19	District Judge, on the 28th day of July, 2020, the Petitioner not being present, proceeding in		
20	proper person, the Respondent being represe	nted by STEVEN B.	WOLFSON, Clark County
21	District Attorney, by and through NOREEN I	DEMONTE, Chief De	eputy District Attorney, and
22	the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel,		
23	and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact		
24	and conclusions of law:		
25	FINDINGS OF FACT,	CONCLUSIONS O	FLAW
26	STATEMENT OF THE CASE		
27	On June 9, 2016, the State filed an Information charging Petitioner Ceasar Sanchaz		
28	Valencia (hereinafter "Petitioner") with one	count of Assault on a	Protected Person With Use

of a Deadly Weapon, one count of Ownership or Possession of Firearm by Prohibited Person, one count of Trafficking in Controlled Substance, and two counts of Possession of Controlled Substance. On June 10, 2016, Petitioner was arraigned on the Information, at which time he entered a plea of not guilty and invoked his right to a speedy trial.

On November 27, 2017, the matter proceeded to trial. On December 1, 2017, the jury rendered its verdict of guilty as to all counts. On January 25, 2018, Petitioner was sentenced to the Nevada Department of Corrections, pursuant to the small habitual criminal statute, as follows: Count 1 - a minimum of 84 months and a maximum of 240 months; Count 2 - a minimum of 24 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent to Count 1; Count 3 - a minimum of 12 months and a maximum of 48 months, concurrent with Count 2; Count 4 - a minimum of 12 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent with Count 3; Count 5 - a minimum of 24 months and a maximum of 72 months, concurrent to Count 4. Petitioner's total aggregate sentence was a minimum of 108 months and a maximum of 312 months. Petitioner received 615 days credit for time served. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on February 6, 2018.

On March 1, 2018, Petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed Petitioner's Judgment of Conviction, and remittitur issued on May 7, 2019.

On May 28, 2020, Petitioner filed the instant Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) (hereinafter "the Petition"). This Court denies the Petition, for the reasons stated below.

THE PETITION IS UNTIMELY, WITH NO GOOD CAUSE PRESENTED TO

ANALYSIS

OVERCOME THE PROCEDURAL BAR

The Petition is time-barred with no good cause shown for delay. Pursuant to NRS 34.726(1):

Unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year of the entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the Supreme Court issues its remittitur. For the purposes of this subsection,

good cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court:

(a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and

(b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner.

The Supreme Court of Nevada has held that NRS 34.726 should be construed by its plain meaning. <u>Pellegrini v. State</u>, 117 Nev. 860, 873-74, 34 P.3d 519, 528 (2001). As per the language of the statute, the one-year time bar proscribed by NRS 34.726 begins to run from the date the judgment of conviction is filed or a remittitur from a timely direct appeal is filed. <u>Dickerson v. State</u>, 114 Nev. 1084, 1087, 967 P.2d 1132, 1133-34 (1998).

The one-year time limit for preparing petitions for post-conviction relief under NRS 34.726 is strictly applied. In <u>Gonzales v. State</u>, 118 Nev. 590, 596, 53 P.3d 901, 904 (2002), the Nevada Supreme Court rejected a habeas petition that was filed two days late despite evidence presented by the defendant that he purchased postage through the prison and mailed the Notice within the one-year time limit. Furthermore, the Nevada Supreme Court has held that the district court has a duty to consider whether a defendant's post-conviction petition claims are procedurally barred. <u>State v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court (Riker)</u>, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005).

Here, Petitioner's Judgment of Conviction was filed on May 7, 2019. Petitioner filed the Petition on May 28, 2020, several weeks after the one-year time bar had passed. Therefore, this Petition is untimely and must be dismissed absent a showing of good cause.

Pursuant to NRS 34.726, a showing of good cause may overcome procedural bars. Good cause sufficient to overcome the time bar exists when 1) the delay is not the fault of the petitioner and 2) dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1). "To establish good cause, appellants *must* show that an impediment external to the defense prevented their compliance with the applicable procedural rule. A qualifying impediment might be shown where the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available at the time of default." <u>Clem v. State</u>, 119 Nev. 615, 621, 81 P.3d 521, 525 (2003) (emphasis added). "[A]ppellants cannot attempt to manufacture good cause[.]" <u>Id.</u>, 81 P.3d at

1					
1	526. To find good cause there must be a "substantial reason; one that affords a legal excuse."				
2	Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 71 P.3d 503 (2003) (quoting Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235,				
3	236, 773 P.2d 1229, 1230 (1989)). Clearly, any delay in filing of the petition must not be the				
4	fault of the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a). Claims of ineffective assistance of counsel do not				
5	constitute good cause if those claims themselves are procedurally defaulted. Stewart v.				
6	LaGrand, 526 U.S. 115, 120, 119 S.Ct. 1018, 1021 (1999).				
7	Petitioner has failed to demonstrate good cause for filing a time-barred Petition. He has				
8	failed entirely to even address the issue of good cause. Accordingly, this Court cannot address				
9	the time-barred claims contained in the Petition.				
10	ORDER				
11	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus				
12	(Post-Conviction) shall be, and it is, hereby denied.				
13	DATED this 7th day of August, 2020.				
14	A				
15	DISTRICT HUDGE				
16	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565				
17					
18	BY BB for				
19	KADEN MICHICED				
20	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #013730				
21	///				
22	///				
23	///				
24					
25					
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				
	4				
	\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2016\229\05\201622905C-FFCO-(CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA)-001.DOCX				

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I certify that on the 10th day of August, 2020, I mailed a copy of the foregoing Findings				
3	of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order to:				
4	CEASAR VALENCIA, BAC #94307 H.D.S.P.				
5	P.O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070				
6					
7	BY AMOSTER				
8	Secretary for the District Attorney's Office				
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17 18					
10					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	5				
	\\CLARKCOUNTYDA.NET\CRMCASE2\2016\229\05\201622905C-FFCO-(CEASAR SANCHAZ VALENCIA)-001.DOC3				

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Writ of Habeas	Corpus	COURT MINUTES	July 28, 2020			
A-20-815616-W Ceasar Valencia, Plaintiff(s) vs. State of Nevada, Defendant(s)						
July 28, 2020	10:15 AM	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus				
HEARD BY: J	ones, David M	COURTROOM:	RJC Courtroom 15A			
COURT CLERK: Michaela Tapia						
RECORDER: De'Awna Takas						
REPORTER:						
PARTIES PRESENT:	Demonte, Noreen C. State of Nevada	Attorney Defendant				
JOURNAL ENTRIES						

- Deft. not present.

The petition being improper as the aggregate total Sentence is correct, COURT ORDERED, petition DENIED. State to prepare the order.

NDC

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada County of Clark SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

CEASAR SANCHEZ VALENCIA,

Plaintiff(s),

Case No: A-20-815616-W

Dept No: XXIX

vs.

JOHNSON WARDEN, HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON; THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 3 day of September 2020. DF THE Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk