## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RONALD DAVID HARRIS, Appellant, vs. JENNIFER FIGUEROA, Respondent. No. 81746

MAY 2 8 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN ERK OF SUPREME COURT

## ORDER DISAPPROVING STIPULATION

The parties have filed a stipulation for a 60-day extension of time to file the opening brief. The parties also appear to seek extensions of time to file the answering brief and reply brief.

Stipulations for extensions of time are not permitted in cases involving child-custody. NRAP 31(b)(2). Moreover, counsel does not assert that this is an extraordinary case presenting unforeseeable circumstances. See NRAP 31(b)(3)(C). Accordingly, the stipulation is disapproved. Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(2).

It is noted that the due date for the answering brief will be established once the opening brief is served. See id. Similarly, the due date for the reply brief will be established once the answering brief is served. Id. No request for an extension of time is appropriate with respect to the answering brief or reply brief unless a due date for the brief has first been established.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Jandesty, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc: Lance J. Hendron, Attorney at Law, LLC The Ramos Law Firm

(0) 1947A