IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PAUL D.S. EDWARDS,

Appellant,

VS.

TIMESHARE LIQUIDATORS, LLC, A/D/B/A TLC RESORT LIQUIDATORS, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS VACATION CLUB, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS VACATION CLUB, LLC. A/D/B/A TLC TRAVEL, A/D/B/A TLCRESORTS.COM, A/D/B/A VIP TRAVEL, A/D/BA/ VIP VACATIONS; CASH4ASKING, LLC, A/D/B/A CASH4ASKING.COM; STANLEY C. MULLIS, A/K/A STANLEY MULLIS, A/K/A STAN MULLIS; ANGEL MULLIS, A/K/A ANGEL C. MULLIS; EDUARDO ROMAY HERNANDEZ, A/K/A; EDUARDO L. ROMAYHERNANDEZ, A/K/A EDUARDO ROMARY, A/K/A EDUARDO L. ROMAY HERNANDEZ. A/K/A HERNANDEZ EDUARDO ROMAY, A/K/A HERNANDEZ EDUARDO L. ROMAY, A/K/A EDUARDO ROMAY, A/K/A EDUARDO L. ROMAY; AND GLADYS C. RIONDA, A/K/A SUITO GLADYS RIONDA, A/K/A GLADYS C. RIONDA-SUITO, A/K/A GLADY SUITO, A/K/A GLADYS RIONDA SUITO,

Respondents.

PAUL D.S. EDWARDS,

Appellant,

VS.

TIMESHARE LIQUIDATORS, LLC, A/D/B/A TLC RESORT LIQUIDATORS, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS VACATION CLUB, A/D/B/A TLC RESORTS VACATION CLUB, LLC, No. 81595

FILED

NOV 2 0 2020

CLERK OF LUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

No. 81759

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

A/D/B/A TLC TRAVEL, A/D/B/A TLCRESORTS.COM, A/D/B/A VIP TRAVEL, A/D/B/A VIP VACATIONS; CASH4ASKING, LLC, A/D/B/A CASH4ASKING.COM; STANLEY C. MULLIS, A/K/A STANLEY MULLIS, A/K/A STAN MULLIS; ANGEL MULLIS, A/K/A ANGEL C. MULLIS; EDUARDO ROMAY HERNANDEZ, A/K/A EDUARDO L. ROMAYHERNANDEZ, A/K/A EDUARDO ROMARY, A/K/A EDUARDO L. ROMAY HERNANDEZ. A/K/A HERNANDEZ EDUARDO ROMAY, A/K/A HERNANDEZ EDUARDO L. ROMAY, A/K/A EDUARDO ROMAY, A/K/A EDUARDO L. ROMAY; AND GLADYS C. RIONDA, A/K/A SUITO GLADYS RIONDA, A/K/A; GLADYS C. RIONDA-SUITO, A/K/A GLADY SUITO, A/K/A GLADYS RIONDA SUITO,

Respondents.

ORDER DENYING EN BANC RECONSIDERATION

Appellant has filed a petition for en banc reconsideration of this court's orders denying him access to the court's online e-filing system. En banc reconsideration is warranted when the moving party demonstrates that it is necessary to secure or maintain uniformity of decisions or the proceeding involves a substantial precedential, constitutional or public

policy issue. Appellant has not demonstrated that these factors are met. Accordingly, the petition is denied.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering	.,	C.J
Pickering		
H.H.	.,	J.
1 Sardest		J.
Hardesty		
Parraguirre	.,	J.
Stiglich Stiglich	_,	J.
Cadish	.,	J.
Gilner	_,	J.
Silver		

cc: Paul D.S. Edwards
Clark McCourt, LLC
Marquis Aurbach Coffing