IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA No. 81764

JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER Appellants

Electronically Filed Mar 15 2021 03:42 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. Respondent

On Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court Clark County, Nevada, Dept. No. XXV No. A-17-751896-C

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

SGRO & ROGER

Jennifer Willis Arledge, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8729 Anthony P. Sgro, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3811 720 S. 7th Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-9800

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Aaron D. Van Oort
(Pending Pro Hac Vice)
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South 7th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 766-7000

Matthew T. Albaugh (Pro Hac Vice) 300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2500 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Telephone: (317) 237-0100

Attorneys for Respondent, Polaris Industries, Inc.

INDEX OF APPENDIX

TITLE	DATE	FILER/PREPARER	PAGE	VOL.
			NOS.	NO.
Defendant's Motion	02.01.2019	Defendant Polaris	Resp.	I
to Dismiss for		Industries, Inc.	App. 021-	
Forum Non			132	
Conveniens on				
Order Shortening				
Time				
Notice of Entry of	01.17.2019	Defendant Polaris	Resp.	I
Discovery		Industries, Inc.	App. 001-	
Commissioner's			020	
Report and				
Recommendations				
regarding				
Protective Order				

INDEX OF APPENDIX

TITLE	DATE	FILER/PREPARER	PAGE	VOL.
			NOS.	NO.
Defendant's Motion	02.01.2019	Defendant Polaris	Resp.	I
to Dismiss for		Industries, Inc.	App. 021-	
Forum Non			132	
Conveniens on				
Order Shortening				
Time				
Notice of Entry of	01.17.2019	Defendant Polaris	Resp.	I
Discovery		Industries, Inc.	App. 001-	
Commissioner's			020	
Report and				
Recommendations				
regarding				
Protective Order				

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEO JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE 2 Nevada Bar No.: 8729 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, 3 **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP** 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 4 Las Vegas, NV 89101 5 (702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 Jennifer.Arledge@wilsonelser.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER, CASE NO: A-17-751896-C 10 DEPT NO: XXV 11 Plaintiffs, VS. 12 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC, DOES I 13 RECOMMENDATIONS through X; ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX, inclusive, and POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC., 14 Defendants. 15 AND RELATED CLAIMS. 16 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Discovery Commissioner's Report and Recommendations 18 was entered by the Court on January 17, 2019. A true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit 19 "A". 20 21 DATED this day of January, 2019. 22 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP 23 24 BY: JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDG 25 Nevada Bar No.: 8729 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 26 Las Vegas, NV 89101 27 Attorneys for Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. 28 1414593v.1

Case Number: A-17-751896-C

Respondent's Appendix 001

Electronically Filed 1/22/2019 4:20 PM

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelmar
3	& Dicker LLP, and that on this 22 day of January, 2019, I served a true and correct copy of the
4	foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S REPORT AND
5	RECOMMENDATIONS as follows:
6 7	
8	by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;
9 10	via electronic means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each party in this case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk;
11	
12	Chad Bowers, Esq. CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD. Keivan A. Roebuck, Esq. LTCHELD CANOL LLD
13	3202 W. Charleston Blvd. LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP Las Vegas, NV 89102 3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 100
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Las Vegas, NV 8919 Attorneys for Defendant
15	Kyle W. Farrar SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP
16	1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff
8	
9	
0	
2	
3	
.5	BY An Employee of
5	Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP
6	
7	

EXHIBIT "A"

EXHIBIT "A"

Electronically Filed 1/22/2019 12:28 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **DCRR** JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE 2 Nevada Bar No.: 8729 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, 3 **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP** 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 Jennifer.Arledge@wilsonelser.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER. CASE NO: A-17-751896-C 10 DEPT NO: XXV11 Plaintiffs, VS. 12 SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC, DOES I 13 through X; ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX, inclusive, and POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC., 14 Defendants. 15 AND RELATED CLAIMS. 16 17 DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER'S 18 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS 19 Hearing Date: December 19, 2018 20 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m. 21 Attorney for Plaintiff: Chad Bowers, Esq. 22 Attorney for Defendant: Jennifer Willis Arledge, Esq. 23 I. 24 **FINDINGS** 25 This matter came on for hearing on a joint motion for the entry of a protective order filed by 26 all parties in this case. After reviewing the pleadings and papers on file and hearing the arguments 27

Respondents Appendix 004

Case Number: A-17-751896-C

of counsel at the hearing of this matter, the Commissioner makes the following findings:

A. Documents or information containing confidential or proprietary information, and/or trade secret information ("Confidential Information") that bears significantly on the claims and/or defenses of the parties are likely to be disclosed or produced during the course of discovery in this litigation.

- B. The parties subject to discovery assert that public dissemination and disclosure of Confidential Information could severely injure or damage the party producing Confidential Information and could place the producing party at a competitive disadvantage or subject them to annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden.
- C. Public dissemination and disclosure of Confidential Information of third parties, including but not limited to vendors and/or suppliers who are not parties to this action, could severely injure those third parties, and would place them at a competitive disadvantage.
- D. Entry of a Protective Order of Confidentiality controlling access to and dissemination of Confidential Information will protect the respective interests of the parties and facilitate the progress of disclosure and discovery in this case.
- E. The terms of the Protective Order of Confidentiality agreed to by the parties are as follows:

1. Scope of Protection.

This Protective Order of Confidentiality shall govern Confidential Information produced or disclosed in this action, including all designated deposition testimony, all designated testimony taken at a hearing or other proceeding, all designated deposition exhibits, interrogatory answers, admissions, documents and other discovery materials, whether produced informally or in response to interrogatories, requests for admissions, requests for production of documents or other formal methods of discovery.

1247579v.1

This Protective Order of Confidentiality shall apply to the parties and to any nonparty from whom discovery may be sought who desires the protection of this Protective Order.

Nothing in this Protective Order of Confidentiality shall be deemed to preclude a party's right to: (a) oppose discovery on grounds not addressed under the terms of this Protective Order; (b) object on any ground to the admission of any Confidential Information into evidence at trial; or (c) contest the alleged relevancy, admissibility, or discoverability of the Confidential Information sought.

2. Definitions.

The term "Confidential Information" shall refer to records, confidential or proprietary technical, scientific, financial, business records and/or things protected as trade secrets or confidential information pursuant to state and federal law and/or designated as such by the producing party. Confidential Information produced during this lawsuit is the property of the producing party. Plaintiffs' confidential medical records and financial information, including medical and psychological records, tax returns and related financial records, Social Security numbers, names of minors and incompetent persons (such persons shall be identified by initials), and the educational records of any person shall also be considered Confidential Information.

3. Designation of Confidential Information.

A party may designate all or any portion of records and/or things it produces formally or informally to other parties to this litigation as Confidential Information. Documents and things produced or furnished during the course of this action shall be designated as containing Confidential Information by placing on each page, each document (whether in paper or electronic form), or each thing a label stating "CONFIDENTIAL – PRODUCED SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER IN

As used in this Protective Order of Confidentiality, "records" shall mean information, both discrete and cumulative, that is inscribed or otherwise recorded on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form. Records also include both electronic records and printed, typewritten and other tangible records.

BORGER V. POLARIS." All records and/or things designated as Confidential Information shall be treated as such pursuant to the terms of this Protective Order of Confidentiality until further ordered by the Court.

A party may designate information disclosed at a deposition as Confidential Information by requesting the reporter to so designate the transcript at the time of the deposition. In the event that any question is asked at a deposition with respect to which a party asserts that the answer requires the disclosure of Confidential Information, such question shall nonetheless be answered by the witness fully and completely. Prior to answering, however, all persons present shall be advised of this Protective Order of Confidentiality by the party making the confidentiality assertion.

4. Inadvertent Production of Confidential Information.

The inadvertent, unintentional, or *in camera* production of any Confidential Information shall not, under any circumstances, be deemed a waiver, in whole or in part, of the confidentiality of the Confidential Information in question. If a party should inadvertently produce any Confidential Information not responsive to a formal request for production and/or an order of the Court and/or inadvertently fail to redact Confidential Information not discoverable in this case, the recipient of such inadvertently produced Confidential Information shall immediately return the Confidential Information, including all copies thereof, to the producing party, and shall make no use of the Confidential Information for any purpose. Further, the unauthorized person who received the Confidential Information (a) shall be informed promptly of the provisions of this Protective Order by the party providing access to such Confidential Information; (b) shall be identified immediately to counsel of record for the producing party; and (c) shall be directed, if within control of a party, or otherwise asked, to sign the Disclosure Agreement (Exhibit A). The person or entity whose inadvertence caused the unauthorized disclosure shall be responsible for securing the unauthorized person's assent to the Disclosure Agreement. At the producing party's sole discretion, such

Page 4 of 17

unauthorized person may be required to surrender to the producing party all copies of Confidential Information in such unauthorized person's possession.

In the event that a producing party inadvertently fails to designate any of its information and/or documents pursuant to Paragraph 3, it may later designate by notifying the receiving parties in writing. The receiving parties shall take reasonable steps to see that the information is thereafter treated in accordance with the designation. It shall be understood however, that no person or party shall incur any liability hereunder with respect to disclosure that occurred prior to receipt of written notice of a belated designation.

5. Objection to Designation of Confidential Information.

If any party objects to the designation of any record and/or thing as Confidential Information produced prior to 120 days of the scheduled trial of this matter, that party shall notify all other parties in writing no later than 90 days before the scheduled trial date, specifying the factual and legal basis for the objection. For any Confidential Information produced within 120 days of the scheduled trial of this matter, a party must object to the designation (specifying the factual and legal basis for the objection) within 30 days of receipt of the record or thing or 21 days from the scheduled trial, whichever is longer. If a dispute arises that cannot be resolved by agreement, then the dispute will be submitted to the Court. Pending such determination (or the expiration of the period in which Defendant may make a challenge to an adverse ruling), the records and/or things shall be maintained as Confidential Information.

6. Access to Confidential Information.

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties to this Protective Order of Confidentiality, access to Confidential Information shall be limited to Qualified Recipients, solely in the performance of their

2

3

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

27 28

1247579v.1

duties in connection with trial preparation of this case.² Qualified Recipient means:

- (a) Outside counsel of record for the parties in this action, and the partners, associates, secretaries, paralegal assistants, administrative, legal personnel and employees of such counsel to the extent necessary to render professional services in the action, including outside copying services, document management services, and graphic services;
- (b) In-house counsel for a party to this action who are acting in a legal capacity and who are actively engaged in the conduct of this action, and the secretary and paralegal assistants of such counsel to the extent necessary;
- (c) Outside forensic, technical and damages experts and consultants retained by counsel of record and trial witnesses who have first consented to this Protective Order of Confidentiality and signed the Disclosure Agreement (specifically excluding attorneys who are not counsel of record for the parties to this civil action)³;
- (d) The Court hearing this matter, the Court's personnel, mediators, other persons appointed by the Court, court reporters, jurors at the time of trial, persons operating video recording equipment at depositions, and any special master appointed by the Court;
- (e) Corporate representatives designated by any party in this case and current employees of any party in this case, during their depositions taken in this case;
- (f) Witnesses to be deposed in this lawsuit (subject to the terms set forth in Paragraph 11 ("Depositions") and any other applicable terms of this Protective Order), that have read this Protective Order of Confidentiality;
- (g) If this Court so elects, any other non-authorized person may be designated as a Qualified Recipient by Order of this Court only after application to this Court, with at least fifteen (15) days written notice to all parties to this Protective Order of Confidentiality, a hearing, and approval by this Court; and
- (h) The insurer of a party to litigation and employees of such insurer to the extent necessary to assist the party's counsel to afford the insurer an opportunity to investigate and evaluate the claim for purposes of determining coverage and for settlement purposes.

² Nothing in this Protective Order of Confidentiality shall prohibit the producing party from utilizing the Confidential Information as it deems appropriate, and any such use by the producing party shall not destroy the confidentiality of the Confidential Information in question.

³ Qualified Recipients shall not include any organization or entity or any representative thereof that regularly maintains and/or disseminates documents or information, including abstracts or summaries, or any other records as a service to its members, subscribers, or others.

7. Disclosure Agreements.

Excluding court staff, each Qualified Recipient who receives access to any Confidential Information shall first be given a copy of this Protective Order of Confidentiality and advised by the trial counsel making the disclosure that such person must not divulge any Confidential Information to any other person except in the preparation or trial of this lawsuit, and that such disclosure is limited to Qualified Recipients. A Disclosure Agreement (attached hereto as Exhibit A) must be signed by each Qualified Recipient receiving any Confidential Information in advance of receipt (excluding court staff).⁴ In the event that Confidential Information is disseminated to an unauthorized recipient in any manner or under any circumstance, the producing party may request the identities of all previously undisclosed recipients and the receiving party shall within 24 hours disclose the identities of the previously undisclosed recipients and supply their Disclosure Agreement.

8. Omitted.

9. Use of Confidential Information.

Unless otherwise agreed by the parties to this Protective Order of Confidentiality or by order of this Court, all Confidential Information shall be used for the purpose of this lawsuit only. If any subpoenas, requests for production, or other forms of discovery in connection with other litigation are served on any party to this Protective Order of Confidentiality, or any Qualified Recipient defined hereunder, that party or person will immediately notify the producing party's counsel of

Page 7 of 17

⁴ The signed original of each such Promise of Confidentiality by persons other than consulting-only experts shall be retained by trial counsel and provided to counsel of record for Defendant at the time that expert witnesses are identified by Plaintiffs. In the case of consulting-only experts, the signed original shall be maintained by the disclosing counsel who will provide counsel for Defendant with a privilege log list at the time the disclosing party designates experts that indicates that a specified number of signed Promises of Confidentiality are being withheld on the basis of privilege. In the event that Confidential Information is disseminated to an unauthorized recipient in any manner or under any circumstance, the disclosing party may request the identities of all previously undisclosed recipients and the party that provided the consulting-only expert with the disclosing party's Confidential Information shall within 24 hours disclose the identities of the previously undisclosed recipients and supply their Promises of Confidentiality. By disclosing the identity of consulting experts, no waiver of the consulting expert privilege has occurred.

1247579v.1

record, provide the producing party's counsel with a copy of the subpoena or other discovery request, and will execute such documents necessary for the producing party to have standing to obtain an order from the appropriate court protecting the Confidential Information from being disseminated outside the scope of this Protective Order of Confidentiality.

In the event that any receiving party's briefs, memoranda, discovery requests, requests for admission or other papers of any kind which are served or filed shall include another party's Confidential Information, the papers shall be appropriately designated pursuant to Paragraph 3, and shall be treated accordingly. All documents, including attorney notes and abstracts, which contain another party's Confidential Information shall be handled as if they were designated pursuant to Paragraph 3. Documents, papers and transcripts filed with the Court which contain any other party's Confidential Information shall be filed in sealed envelopes and labeled in accordance with this Court's applicable rules. Nothing in this Protective Order of Confidentiality shall bar or otherwise restrict outside counsel from rendering advice to his or her client with respect to this action and, in the course thereof, from relying in a general way upon his examination of materials designated Confidential Information, provided, however, that in rendering such advice and in otherwise communicating with his or her clients, such counsel shall not disclose the specific contents of any materials designated Confidential Information.

10. Confidential Information Submitted to the Court.

In the event that any Confidential Information is submitted to the Court, including but not limited to any pleading, motion, transcript, videotape, exhibit, photograph, or other material filed with any court which incorporates or includes Confidential Information, the Confidential Information shall be submitted in an attached envelope marked "For in camera review only." Additionally, the enclosed envelope shall include the following label:

Case name: Borger v. Sandbar Powersports, LLC, et al.
Case number: A-17-751896-C

Case No. A-17-751896; John Borger and Sherri Borger v. Sandbar Powersports, LLC, et al.; In the District Court of Clark County, Nevada, Dept. No. XXV: CONFIDENTIAL - FILED FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW ONLY.

Such Confidential Information shall, however, remain available to personnel authorized by the Court and to Qualified Recipients. Alternatively, these *in camera* documents will be conditionally sealed by the Court pending a further Order, if necessary. When practicable, however, only the confidential portion of the pleadings filed with the Court will be filed in the attached envelope.

It is agreed that, following at least 14-days notice to opposing counsel of its intent to retrieve specific Confidential Information that has been filed with Court for *in camera* inspection, the producing party may take possession of all such specified Confidential Information supplied to the Court for any *in camera* inspection by the Court during the pendency of this action, unless the parties agree or the Court orders otherwise. To the extent that the producing party retrieves any such *in camera* Confidential Information from the Court, the producing party shall retain true and correct copies of all such Confidential Information until 30 days from the latter of the final conclusion of this matter via final judgment of the Court and exhaustion of all appeals. The Court finds that all documents which are provided to the Court throughout litigation under seal and returned during the course of this litigation to any party will be maintained by the party taking possession until completion of this litigation as if still being maintained by the Court under seal. Upon written request of any party or the Court, documents withdrawn from the Court's possession will be returned to the Court within seven (7) days of the request, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or agreed by the parties.

11. Depositions.

If any Confidential Information is used or referred to during any deposition, counsel for the producing party may require that only its representatives, Qualified Recipients, the deponent, the court reporter, and the camera operator (if the deposition is videotaped) shall be present for the

Page 9 of 17

1247579v.1

portion of the deposition dealing with Confidential Information. Under no circumstances shall any Confidential Information be viewed by any deponent who is an employee of any competitor of the producing party, or any person who over the next two years expects to be affiliated with, employed by, or consulted by a competitor of the producing party regarding research, development, production, manufacture, or testing of all-terrain vehicles or sport side-by-sides. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of the completed deposition transcript, counsel for the producing party shall designate by page and line the portions for which such claim is made, and give written notice of this designation to the court reporter and all other parties. Pending such designation, the deposition and all exhibits shall be treated in its entirety as Confidential Information.

12. Evidence at Trial.

This Protective Order of Confidentiality shall not affect or limit the presentation of evidence, if otherwise admissible, during the trial of this action, including materials marked as Confidential Information. However, to the extent that a party seeks to introduce Confidential Information into evidence at trial, the party seeking to do so shall give notice of its intent to introduce such Confidential Information as evidence at least fourteen (14) days before the scheduled trial or at the same time that the party is required to disclose its trial exhibit list, whichever is later, so that it can be determined during the pretrial conference (or other scheduled hearing in advance of trial) how such Confidential Information will be treated at trial. In the event that a transcript of the trial is prepared, any party may request that certain portions thereof, which contain trade secrets or other Confidential Information, be filed under seal.

⁵ This designation shall be placed on the first page in the original and all copies of the deposition by the court reporter and by counsel for the parties. Those portions of the deposition which are designated as Confidential Information shall be bound separately under seal and prominently marked "confidential information subject to Protective Order."

Page 10 of 17

13. Conclusion of Action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the parties to this matter, within thirty (30) days of final termination of this action (the latter of execution of a settlement agreement or resolution of all appellate actions), counsel of record for each party who received Confidential Information shall assemble and return all Confidential Information produced,6 whether in the possession of said counsel or in the possession of any Qualified Recipient who gained access to the Confidential Information. The obligation to return Confidential Information does not include true attorney work product, but attorney work product that contains direct quotes from or that constitutes descriptions or summaries of Confidential Information shall be destroyed by the counsel or expert in possession of such work product containing direct quotes from or that constitutes descriptions or summaries of Confidential Information. Accompanying the return of all Confidential Information, counsel of record for each party shall provide counsel of record for the producing party with all executed certifications in the forms attached hereto as Exhibit B (executed by each counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants) and Exhibit C (executed by each expert and anyone else who had access to such Confidential Information). If counsel of record for returning party is unable to provide or locate any missing Confidential Information or records, then such counsel for the returning party shall execute an affidavit which states: (a) the bates numbers of those records that counsel of record for the returning party was unable to return; (b) that a diligent and thorough search was conducted of all Qualified Recipients who gained access to the Confidential Information through counsel of record for the returning party and counsel of record for the returning party was unable to find said

⁶ Including but not limited to all copies, notes, direct quotes, summaries, indices, transcripts, renderings, photographs, recordings, compact discs, DVDs, thumb/flash drives, other magnetic or electronic media, and physical or electronic reproductions of every kind of such Confidential Information and deposition excerpts containing Confidential Information.

1 2 3

Confidential Information; and (c) counsel of record for the returning party does not have said Confidential Information in his or her possession.⁷

14. Production by Third Parties Pursuant to Subpoena.

Any third party producing documents or things or giving testimony in this action pursuant to a subpoena, notice or request may designate said documents, things, or testimony as Confidential Information. The parties agree that they will treat Confidential Information produced by third parties according to the terms of this Protective Order of Confidentiality.

15. Compulsory Disclosure to Third Parties.

If any receiving party is subpoenaed in another action or proceeding or served with a document or testimony demand or a court order, and such subpoena or demand or court order seeks Confidential Information of a producing party, the receiving party shall give prompt written notice to counsel for the producing party and allow the producing party an opportunity to oppose such subpoena or demand or court order prior to the deadline for complying with the subpoena or demand or court order. No compulsory disclosure to third parties of information or material exchanged under this Protective Order of Confidentiality shall be deemed a waiver of any claim of confidentiality, except as expressly found by a court or judicial authority of competent jurisdiction.

16. Disclosure to Regulatory Agencies and Government Entities.

The parties to this Protective Order of Confidentiality agree that, upon the request of any party, relevant consumer product safety information will be provided to the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the National Highway Transportation Safety Administration, or other appropriate governmental authorities ("Governmental Authorities") under the provisions in this paragraph. If any party seeks to provide Governmental Authorities consumer product safety

⁷ Such affidavit shall not relieve counsel for the returning party from their continuing obligation to return the Confidential Information as set forth in this paragraph.

information designated as Confidential Information, that party shall not provide such Confidential Information directly to the Governmental Authorities because such disclosures could become publicly available through Freedom of Information Act disclosures or other comparable disclosure obligations of the Governmental Authorities. If any party seeks to provide Confidential Information to a Governmental Authority, that party shall ask Polaris to provide such Confidential Information to the Governmental Authority so Polaris can seek exemption from disclosure under applicable regulations and rules including, but not limited to: CPSA, 15 U.S.C. § 2055; the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1905, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration — Confidential Business Information. 49 CFR 512; and/or the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Upon receipt of such a request from a party, Polaris shall have 30 business days to provide the Confidential Information to the Governmental Authority. Polaris may seek relief from this requirement but should it do so, this Stipulation and Agreed Protective Order of Confidentiality shall be enforceable absent extraordinary circumstances.

17. Jurisdiction to Enforce Protective Order.

After the termination of this action, the Court will continue to have jurisdiction to enforce this Protective Order of Confidentiality.

18. Modification of Protective Order.

This Protective Order of Confidentiality is without prejudice to the right of any person or entity to seek a modification of this Protective Order at any time either through stipulation or Order of the Court.

Case name: Borger v. Sandbar Powersports, LLC, et al. Case number: A-17-751896-C

II.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that the Court enter an Order adopting all of the provisions of the Protective Order of Confidentiality (paragraphs I through 18), as set forth in paragraph E of the above Findings.

The Discovery Commissioner met with counsel for parties, having discussed the issues noted above and having reviewed any materials proposed in support thereof, hereby submits the above recommendations.

Dated this		day of	-Ja	nuar	, 20 <u>/9</u>
------------	--	--------	-----	------	----------------

DISCOVERY COMMISSIONER

Respectfully submitted by:

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP**

NIFER WILLIS ARL

Nevada Bar No.: 8729 18

300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor

19 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant

POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT BY:

CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD.

Chad Bowers, Ese.

3202 W. Charleston Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Plaintiff

LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP

Griffith H. Hayes, Esq. Keivan A. Roebuck, Esq.

3753 Floward Hughes Parkway, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 8912 39169 FR

Attorneys for Defendant

SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC

Page 14 of 17

1247579v.1

Case name: Borger v. Sandbar Powersports, LLC, et al. Case number: A-17-751896-C

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT BY:

KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP

NEAL & HARWELL, PLC

perwritten aty 12-21-1

Kyle W. Farrar, Esq.

Admitted pro hac vice
1010 Lamar, Suite 1600
Houston, TX 77002
Attorneys for Plaintiff

James F. Sanders, Esq.

Admitted pro hac vice
Scott Ross, Esq.

Admitted pro hac vice
1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000

Attorneys for Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.

Nashville, TN 37203

1247579v.I

Page 15 of 17

Case name: Borger v. Sandbar Powersports, LLC, et al. Case number: A-17-751896-C

NOTICE

1	
2	Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(d)(2), you are hereby notified you have five (5) days from the date you receive this document within which to file written objections.
3	The Commissioner's Report is deemed received three (3) days after mailing to a party or the
4	party's attorney, or three (3) days after the clerk of the court deposits a copy of the Report in folder of a party's lawyer in the Clerk's office. E.D.C.R. 2.34(f).
5	A copy of the foregoing Discovery Commissioner's Report was:
6	Mailed to Plaintiff/Defendant at the following address on the day of
7	
8	
9	Placed in the folder of counsel in the Clerk's office on the day of
10	
11	Electronically served counsel on the day of January, 20 M.
12	Pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. Rule 9.
13	
14	M.An. Oa
15	By Malell de
16	Commissioner Designee
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Dog 16 of 17
	Page 16 of 17

1		<u>ORDER</u>
2	The (Court, having reviewed the above report and recommendations prepared by the
3		emmissioner and,
4		
5	JUK_	The parties having waived the right to object thereto,
6	KEED	No timely objection having been received in the office of the Discovery
7		Commissioner pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.34(f),
8		Having received the objections thereto and the written arguments in support of said
9		objections, and good cause appearing,
10		* * *
11		AND
12	600	
13	V (KED)	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and
14		Recommendations are affirmed and adopted.
15		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED the Discovery Commissioner's Report and
16		Recommendations are affirmed and adopted as modified in the following manner.
17		(attached hereto)
18		IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a hearing on the Discovery Commissioner's Report
19		and Recommendations is set for, 20, at a.m.
20		17 lb C
21	Dated t	this, 20/9.
22		J MAN V
23		Jell Melae
24		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
25		
26		

Page 17 of 17



Electronically Filed 2/1/2019 4:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **MDSM** JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE 2 Nevada Bar No.: 8729 WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, 3 **EDELMAN & DICKER LLP** 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor 4 Las Vegas, NV 89101 5 (702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401 Jennifer.Arledge@wilsonelser.com 6 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. 7 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER, CASE NO: A-17-751896-C 11 DEPT NO: XXV Plaintiffs, 12 VS. DEFENDANT POLARIS INDUSTRIES, 13 SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC, DOES I INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FORUM NON CONVENIENS through X; ROE CORPORATIONS XI through 14 ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME XX, inclusive, and POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC., 15 Defendants, DEPARTMENT XXV 16 And Related Claims. 17 APPROVED BY & 18 111 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 111 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

Respondent's Appendix 021

1416427v.2

1

<u>DEFENDANT POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS</u> <u>FOR FORUM NON CONVENIENS</u>

COMES NOW Defendant, POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC., by and through undersigned counsel, and respectfully moves to dismiss this case pursuant to NRCP 7(b) on the grounds of *forum non conveniens*. This Motion is made and based upon the pleadings and papers on file herein, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and any argument adduced by counsel at the hearing hereof.

DATED: this 31 day of January, 2019.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE

Nevada Bar No. 8729

300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Defendant

POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS, LLP

By: <u>for authority - GwA</u>
Matthew T. Albaugh, Esq. -pro hac vice pending
Lexi C. Fuson, Esq. - pro hac vice pending

300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2700

Indianapolis, IN 46204 Attorneys for Defendant

POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.

1 **ORDER SHORTENING TIME** 2 It appearing to the satisfaction of the Court, and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS 3 HEREBY ORDERED that DEFENDANT POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.'S MOTION TO 4 DISMISS FOR FORUM NON CONVENIENS ON ORDER SHORTENING TIME is hereby 5 __ day of <u>february</u>, 2019 at <u>9:00 a.m.</u>, or as soon thereafter as shortened to the 6 counsel may be heard. Any Opposition shall be due on February 8, 2019. Any Reply shall 7 be due on February 14, 2019 8 9 IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this _____ day of ___ February 10 11

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted by:

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP

Jennifer Willis Arledge, Esq.

Nevada Bar No.: 8729

300 South Fourth Street, 11th Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101

(702) 727-1400

Attorney for Defendant

| POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.

24

23

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

Page 3 of 23

AFFIDAVIT OF JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME

STATE OF NEVADA) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

I, Jennifer Willis Arledge, Esq., hereby depose and say as follows:

- 1. I am a competent adult, over the age of eighteen (18) years, and have personal knowledge of all facts stated herein.
- 2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada.
- 3. I am a partner in the law firm of WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP and am Nevada counsel of record for Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. ("Polaris") in Case No. A-17-751896-C, currently pending in Department XXV of the Eighth Judicial District Court, County of Clark, Nevada.
- 4. The present case has been pending since March 3, 2017. On November 14, 2017, Polaris was named a defendant in the case with the filing of Plaintiffs' amended complaint. Polaris is informed and believes that Plaintiffs and Co-Defendant Sandbar Powersports, LLC ("Sandbar") reached a settlement on January 16, 2019.
- 5. Hearing the instant Motion on shortened time is appropriate and serves the interests of all remaining parties. The focus of discovery is about to shift to Plaintiffs' product liability claims against Polaris which will be document intensive as well as expert-driven.
- 6. To date, the discovery conducted in this case has not been focused on Plaintiffs' claims against Polaris. Rather, it has been focused on Plaintiffs' rental of the off road vehicle (RZR) from Sandbar. The depositions taken have been of employees and owners of Sandbar, the person who purchased the RZR from Sandbar after the subject incident, and the Plaintiffs themselves.

Page 4 of 23

Given the settlement between Plaintiffs and Sandbar, as well as the recent entry of a protective order which enables Polaris to produce confidential and proprietary information. the focus of discovery will shift to Plaintiffs' product liability claims against Polaris. If the instant motion is granted and this case is brought in another jurisdiction, that other jurisdiction's discovery rules would apply. It would be more appropriate, therefore, for the parties to conduct future discovery in accordance with that other jurisdiction's rules rather than the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure which may or may not be compatible with those of another jurisdiction.

Likewise, depositions of first responders and other percipient witnesses are soon to be set (in Arizona). It is important to know at the time of deposition whether the deposition is being taking as a discovery deposition or whether it will be used as trial testimony, so the parties can adjust their witness examinations appropriately.

9. In addition, expert witnesses will be formulating their opinions. Jurisdictions have different requirements for disclosing expert testimony and different standards for admissibility. It is important for the parties to know which jurisdiction this case will be in prior to expert disclosures.

10. Should the instant motion be heard in the ordinary course, it would likely not be heard and ruled upon for at least four (4) weeks, possibly longer.

/// /// III///

26

1	11.	Therefore, I submit that good cause exists for the present Motion to be heard on shortened
2		time and suggest that two (2) weeks would be an appropriate amount of time to allow for
3		briefing by the parties.
4		FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.
5		Gennifer W. aledge
6		JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE, ESQ.
7		CRIBED AND SWORN to before me
8	this	day of January, 2019.
9	//	Pamela Marie Lamper Notary Public
10		ARY PUBLIC in and for said y and State My Commission Expires: 01-27-22 My Commission Expires: 01-27-22
11	Count	Certificate No: 14-12863-1
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Polaris Industries, Inc. ("Polaris") seeks dismissal of John and Sherri Borger's ("Plaintiffs") claims based on *forum non conveniens* because Nevada has no material interest in this lawsuit and other, more convenient forums exist—namely, Arizona and Minnesota.

The Court owes no deference to the Plaintiffs' decision to file in Nevada because they have never been Nevada residents. Plaintiffs were Minnesota residents both at the time of their accident and at the time of filing suit. They now reside in California.

Plaintiffs do not allege that any event material to their claims occurred in Nevada. To the contrary, every event relevant to Plaintiffs' product liability claims against Polaris occurred in either Minnesota or Arizona:

- Polaris is headquartered in Minnesota;
- Polaris designed, tested, and manufactured the vehicle at issue (a Polaris RZR) in Minnesota;
- All Polaris employees with knowledge and information about the Polaris RZR and all relevant Polaris documents are in Minnesota;
- Polaris sold the vehicle in Arizona to an Arizona dealership;
- The Arizona dealership then sold the subject vehicle to Sandbar Powersports, LLC ("Sandbar"), which operated near Lake Havasu City, Arizona;
- Plaintiffs (Minnesota residents) rented the vehicle from Sandbar in Lake Havasu, Arizona, pursuant to a rental agreement governed by Arizona law;
- Plaintiffs drove the vehicle on trails in Arizona, where they were involved in a serious accident;
- First responders from the Lake Havasu City, Arizona Fire Department, the Lake Havasu City, Arizona Police Department, and the Mohave County, Arizona Sheriff's Office responded to the accident, treated Ms. Borger, and took statements from the four Borger family members;
- Ms. Borger received initial treatment in a hospital in Arizona;

- Every relevant document and witness, aside from the Borgers themselves, is in either Minnesota or Arizona:
- Sandbar subsequently sold the vehicle at issue to another Arizona resident in March 2017;
- The subject vehicle remains in Arizona; and
- Arizona law governs all of Plaintiffs' claims against Polaris;

Set against all those facts, Plaintiffs decided to file in Nevada state court. Nevada has no local interest in this case, aside from the fact that Ms. Borger received medical treatment in Nevada for approximately 11 days. The nature of Ms. Borger's treatment in Nevada is not at issue in this case.

The Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint for *forum non conveniens* and allow Plaintiffs to refile in either Minnesota or Arizona. Polaris assents to the jurisdiction of both states and agrees to waive personal jurisdiction, statute of limitations (for a period of six months), and *forum non conveniens* arguments in those states. Dismissal will not cause Plaintiffs significant delay because they have done almost nothing, to date, to advance their claims against Polaris.

Both Minnesota and Arizona are far more convenient forums. Nevada courts and jurors have little interest in hearing a case based on the claims of Minnesota residents for an injury that occurred in Arizona and involves product liability claims under Arizona law applicable to a product designed and manufactured in Minnesota. Simply put, burdening Nevada courts with such a complex case, likely to require many weeks of trial, makes no sense.

II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs filed their complaint against Sandbar on March 3, 2017. Plaintiffs later amended their Complaint to add Polaris as a defendant on November 14, 2017.

Plaintiffs allege that on October 18, 2016, they rented a Polaris RZR from Sandbar, near Lake Havasu, Arizona. See Am. Compl., ¶ 6. The vehicle at issue was designed, tested, and Page 8 of 23

manufactured in Minnesota by Polaris, a Minnesota headquartered company. See Exhibit A, Affidavit of Blake Anderson, ¶¶ 4, 6. At the time of the rental, Plaintiffs were Minnesota residents who were on vacation in Arizona. See Exhibit B, S. Borger Dep., 20:14-21:7. Plaintiffs currently reside in California. Id., at 10:15-22. As part of the rental process, Plaintiffs signed a "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk," in which they expressly assumed all risks of injury associated with use of the Polaris RZR. See Exhibit C, Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk Agreement. The Agreement expressly provides that it shall be construed under the laws of the State of Arizona. Id.

While Plaintiffs' seventeen-year-old son, Foster Borger, was driving the RZR (in violation of the Participant Agreement), the vehicle rolled onto its right side, trapping Ms. Borger's right arm. See Exhibit J, Plaintiffs' Responses to Sandbar's Requests for Admission, Nos. 9 and 10.Notably, Foster likely had no experience driving off-road vehicles. See Exhibit D, J. Borger Dep., at 150:20-151:20. Sandbar did not provide Foster with instruction on operating the vehicle or a safety orientation because Sandbar prohibited anyone under the age of 25 from driving its vehicles. See Exhibit E, Lehmitz Dep., 79:23-81:10, and Ex. C. Mr. Borger admitted that he provided Foster with no instructions or directions prior to his operation of the vehicle. Ex. D, 151:21-152:2.

The accident caused severe injuries to Mrs. Borger's arm. See Am. Compl. § 6. Officials from the Lake Havasu City Fire Department, the Lake Havasu City Police Department, and the Mohave County Sheriff's Office responded to the scene of the accident. See Exhibit F Incident Report. Ms. Borger was airlifted from the scene to Havasu Regional Medical Center in Arizona for initial treatment and stabilization. See Exhibit G, Native Air Incident Report, Borger001361-001373. According to the Native Air Incident Report, Ms. Borger was conscious and "recall[ed] all events of the accident." Id. at Borger001363. Upon arrival, it was determined that Ms. Borger should be transferred to University Medical Center (UMC) – Las Vegas, which could better treat her Page 9 of 23

injury. *Id.* at Borger001370. Ms. Borger received treatment at UMC – Las Vegas for eleven days, when she returned home to Minnesota. *See* Exhibit H, UMC Discharge Summary; *see also* Ex. B, 87:18-24. Ms. Borger continued to receive treatment in Minnesota, including treatment related to her prosthetic arm at Advanced Arm Dynamics in Maple Grove, Minnesota. Ex. B, 95:6-13.

III. PLAINTIFFS' LAWSUIT SHOULD BE DECIDED IN AN ARIZONA OR MINNESOTA COURT

A. Nevada's Three-Step Forum Non Conveniens doctrine mandates dismissal and refiling in Arizona or Minnesota.

The *forum non conveniens* doctrine allows a party to move to dismiss a lawsuit when it is domiciled in an inconvenient forum and another, more convenient forum exists. It has been codified in Nevada Revised Statutes 13.050(c), which states, in relevant part, that the court may, on motion, change the place of trial "[w]hen the convenience of the witnesses and the ends of justice would be promoted by the change." N.R.S. § 13.050(c). District courts have wide discretion when considering whether to grant such motions. *Mountain View Rec. v. Imperial Commercial*, 305 P.3d 881, 884 (Nev. 2013).

Nevada has a three-step process for evaluating a motion to dismiss brought on *forum non conveniens* grounds. A court must "first determine the level of deference owed to the plaintiff's forum choice." *Provincial Gov't of Marinduque v. Placer Dome, Inc.*, 350 P. 3d 392, 396 (Nev. 2015) (citing *Pollux Holding Ltd. v. Chase Manhattan Bank*, 329 F.3d 64, 70 (2d Cir.2003)). Second, the court determines "whether an adequate alternative forum exists." *Id.* (citing *Lueck v. Sundstrand Corp.*, 236 F.3d 1137, 1142 (9th Cir. 2001)). If an adequate alternative forum does exist, the court then weighs public and private interest factors to determine whether dismissal is warranted. *Id.* "Relevant public interest factors include the local interest in the case, the district court's familiarity with applicable law, the burdens on local courts and jurors, court congestion, and the costs of resolving a dispute unrelated to the plaintiffs [sic] chosen forum." *Id.* at 397. Private Page 10 of 23

interest factors include "the location of a defendant corporation, access to proof, the availability of compulsory process for unwilling witnesses, the cost of obtaining testimony from willing witnesses, and the enforceability of a judgment." *Id.* at 398. A court must weigh the public and private interests together when making its determination. *Id.*

Applying these principles, this Court should dismiss this case so that Plaintiffs can re-file in a proper forum: Arizona or Minnesota.

1. Plaintiffs' decision to file in Nevada is not entitled to deference because Plaintiffs were Minnesota residents at the time of the alleged accident and because all relevant events occurred in Minnesota or Arizona.

Nevada courts' deference to a plaintiff's choice of forum is substantially reduced if (1) Nevada is not the plaintiff's home forum, and (2) Nevada lacks a significant connection to the activities set forth in the complaint. "While a plaintiff's selection of forum is generally due heavy deference, deference is reduced for both foreign plaintiffs and U.S. plaintiffs who sue in other than their home forums." *Takiguchi v. MRI Int'l, Inc.*, 2015 WL 6661479, at *3 (D. Nev. Oct. 29, 2015); see also Quixtar Inc. v. Signature Management Team, LLC, 566 F. Supp. 2d 1205, 1207 (D. Nev. 2008) ("Some courts have afforded less deference to a plaintiff's choice of forum where the plaintiff has not chosen its home forum."). Deference is further reduced where Nevada lacks a significant connection to the activities alleged in the complaint. Editorial Planeta Mexicana, S.A. de C.V. v. Argov, 2012 WL 3027456, at *5 (D. Nev. July 23, 2012).

First, the Court should give no deference to Plaintiffs' decision to file in Nevada because Plaintiffs have never resided in Nevada. At the time of the incident, and at the time this case was filed, Plaintiffs were Minnesota residents. *See* Ex. B, 20:14-21:7. After they filed suit, Plaintiffs moved to California, where they still reside, along with their two children. *Id.*, at 10:15-11:4.

416427v.2

 Second, the Court should give no deference to Plaintiffs' decision to file in Nevada because Nevada lacks a significant connection to the activities alleged in the complaint. In fact, Plaintiffs' Complaint makes no reference to any activity occurring in Nevada whatsoever.

As explained in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the Borger family rented the Polaris RZR from Sandbar in Arizona and the accident occurred on October 18, 2016 near Lake Havasu, Arizona. See Am. Compl. ¶ 6. Nearly every fact witness with relevant information about the Plaintiffs' accident works or resides in Arizona: Sandbar's owners, Sandbar's employees, and first responders from the local police and fire departments.

When Plaintiffs chose to file this lawsuit in Nevada,¹ there were only two plausible connections between this lawsuit and Nevada: (1) Sandbar is organized under the laws of Nevada, and (2) Ms. Borger received medical treatment in Nevada for 11 days following the incident. Now that Plaintiffs have settled their claims against Sandbar, the only tangential connection Plaintiffs could identify is the fact of Ms. Borger's brief treatment in Nevada.

The fact that Ms. Borger received medical treatment in Nevada is irrelevant to a *forum non conveniens* analysis. First, Plaintiffs' claims against Polaris are not based on the 11 days of medical treatment that Ms. Borger received in Nevada. Rather, their claims are based on allegations of design defect, which they allege caused the incident in Lake Havasu, Arizona. Regarding the design-defect allegations, the Polaris RZR at issue was designed and manufactured in Minnesota by a Minnesota-headquartered company. *See* Ex. A, ¶¶ 4, 6. Further, Polaris sold the subject vehicle to an Arizona dealership, who in turn sold it to Sandbar.² *Id.* ¶ 8. *See also*, Exhibit K, Polaris's Answers to Sandbar's Interrogatories, No. 2. All relevant witnesses and documents associated with

¹ Plaintiffs did not initially name Polaris in their original suit. Plaintiffs added Polaris as a named defendant over eight months after their original filing date.

² See Polaris's Answer to Sandbar Interrogatory No. 2. Polaris sold that subject vehicle to an Arizona-based dealership (Parker Yamaha/Havasu Motorsports), who in turn sold the vehicle to Arizona-based Sandbar.

the Polaris RZR are either in Minnesota or Arizona—not Nevada. *Id.* ¶¶ 5-7. Moreover, all the underlying events that gave rise to the Borgers' accident took place in Arizona—not Nevada.

Likewise, the connection to Nevada is insignificant and immaterial to Polaris's defenses in this matter. Polaris does not dispute the nature of Ms. Borger's injury. Ms. Borger undoubtedly sustained injuries to her right arm that required amputation. While Polaris may dispute the Plaintiffs' computation of damages, it does not intend to dispute the fact of her injuries or the treatment she received in Nevada. Accordingly, the evidence and witnesses located in Nevada are not material to any of Plaintiffs' claims or Polaris's defenses. In other words, this case will not turn on testimony from Nevada-based treating physicians because the nature of Ms. Borger's injury is not in dispute.

The primary disputes in this lawsuit include: (1) Polaris's design of the RZR at issue, which took place in Minnesota; and (2) how and why the incident, which took place in Arizona, occurred. The first responders and initial treaters of Ms. Borger, all of which are located *in Arizona*, were first on the accident scene and treated Ms. Borger prior to her being transported to Havasu Regional Medical Center in Arizona for treatment and stabilization. *See* Ex. G. They will likely provide significant testimony about Ms. Borger's injuries and how the accident occurred. In fact, those first responders and initial treaters interviewed each Borger family member. The statements made by the Borgers in those interviews contradict Mr. and Ms. Borger's sworn deposition testimony and will be crucial to Polaris's defenses. Thus, what the Borger family and first responders relayed to the treaters at Havasu Regional Medical Center in Arizona will be important in understanding how the underlying incident occurred—a material fact that is in dispute in this lawsuit.

2. Both Arizona and Minnesota Are Adequate Alternative Forums.

The second step in Nevada's *forum non conveniens* analysis is whether an adequate alternative forum exists. An alternative forum is adequate if (1) the defendant is amenable to Page 13 of 23

process in the other jurisdiction, and (2) the alternative forum provides the plaintiff with some remedy for his wrong. *Placer Dome*, 350 P. 3d at 399.

First, Polaris is amenable to process in both Minnesota and Arizona. Polaris is headquartered in Minnesota, and it sold the subject to an Arizona purchaser. Polaris consents to jurisdiction both in Minnesota and Arizona for purposes of this case and defending the specific allegations in Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint.

In *Placer Dome*, for example, the Supreme Court of Nevada found that an alternative forum existed in Ontario and British Columbia because the district court conditioned the *forum non conveniens* dismissal on the defendants' waiver of personal jurisdiction, statute of limitations, and *forum non conveniens* arguments in the alternative forums. *Id.* Here, Polaris similarly agrees to waive personal jurisdiction, statute of limitations, and any *forum non conveniens* arguments in the alternative forum.

Second, both alternative forums of Minnesota and Arizona can provide Plaintiffs with a remedy. There is no statute of limitations barring Plaintiffs' complaint from being filed in either forum, as Polaris agrees to waive any statute of limitations defense that would otherwise be available to it in either forum. See id. Additionally, both forums provide Plaintiffs with the ability to bring a product liability claim against Polaris for an alleged product defect. See Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 12-681(5) ("Product liability action' means any action brought against a manufacturer or seller of a product for damages for bodily injury, death or property damage caused by or resulting from the manufacture, construction, design, formula, installation, preparation, assembly, testing, packaging,

³ Regarding the statute of limitations, Arizona also has a savings statute that would permit Plaintiffs to bring a new lawsuit in Arizona within six months of the dismissal of this case. See Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 12-504(A) ("If an action is commenced within the time limited for the action, and the action is terminated in any manner other than by abatement, voluntary dismissal, dismissal for lack of prosecution or a final judgment on the merits, the plaintiff... may commence a new action for the same cause within six months after such termination."); see also Rader v. Greenberg Traurig, LLP, 352 P.3d 465, 471 (Ariz. Ct. App. 2015) ("Arizona's savings statute applies to an action timely filed in another jurisdiction and later refiled in Arizona.").

17

20

21

22 23

25 26 27

28

24

labeling, sale, use or consumption of any product, the failure to warn or protect against a danger or hazard in the use or misuse of the product or the failure to provide proper instructions for the use or consumption of any product."); Bilotta v. Kelley Co. Inc., 346 N.W.2d 616, 621 (Minn. 1984) (analyzing a claim for product defect under strict liability and negligence theories).

Thus, because Polaris consents to jurisdiction in either Arizona or Minnesota, and Plaintiffs' claims will not be barred by the statute of limitations, Arizona and Minnesota are adequate alternative forums.

3. Public and Private Interests Weigh Heavily In Favor Of Arizona Or Minnesota.

The third and final factor in the forum non conveniens analysis requires the Court to weigh the relevant public and private interests. Dismissal for forum non conveniens is appropriate only when the factors weigh strongly in favor of another forum. Placer Dome, 350 P.3d at 396. In this case, both the private and public interests weigh heavily in favor of this case proceeding in either Arizona or Minnesota.

a. The Public Interests Favor Resolution Of This Case In Arizona Or Minnesota

The "public interest factors" that a court considers when deciding a forum non conveniens motion include the local interest in the case, the district court's familiarity with applicable law, the burdens on the local courts and jurors, court congestion, and the costs of resolving a dispute unrelated to the plaintiff's chosen forum. Id. at 397. Here, the public interest factors weigh heavily in favor of dismissing the Nevada action and proceeding in Arizona or Minnesota.

First, as discussed above, there is minimal, if any, local interest in this case. The parties' dispute arises out of an accident that occurred in Lake Havasu, Arizona. The product at issue was designed and manufactured in Minnesota, and Polaris sold the vehicle in Arizona. No salient caserelated events happened in Nevada. Nevada courts and jurors have little interest in hearing a case

based on the claims of California (formerly Minnesota) residents for an injury that occurred in Arizona and involves a product designed and manufactured in Minnesota.

Second, Arizona law applies to Plaintiffs' claims, and an Arizona court will undoubtedly be more familiar with Arizona law than a Nevada court. In General Motors Corp. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court of Nev., 134 P.3d 111 (Nev. 2006), the Supreme Court of Nevada clarified Nevada's choice-of-law jurisprudence in tort actions. The Court held that Nevada follows the Second Restatement of Conflict of Laws in applying the local law of the state where the injury occurred, unless some other state has a *more* significant relationship to the occurrence. *Id.* at 117. Here, Arizona is where the Borger family rented the Polaris RZR, where the activities leading up to and causing Ms. Borger's injury occurred, where Mr. Borger was injured, and where she received her initial treatment. The only other jurisdiction that has any relationship to the occurrence is Minnesota. Indeed, Nevada's connection to this case cannot be considered "significant," as its only connection to this case is Ms. Borger's brief treatment. See Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws, § 145 ("Contacts to be taken into account in applying the principles of Section 6 to determine the law applicable to an issue include: (a) the place where the injury occurred, (b) the place where the conduct causing the injury occurred, (c) the domicile, residence, nationality, place of incorporation and place of business of the parties, and (d) the place where the relationship, if any, between the parties is centered.").

Third, this case will be a significant burden to and impose significant costs on Nevada courts and jurors. This case involves complex issues of fact and law, and will undoubtedly require multiple weeks, if not a month, for trial. The Nevada court system will undoubtedly incur significant costs while managing this case. Because this lawsuit involves design-defect allegations related to a complex product, there will likely be multiple experts from each party addressing product defect allegations, including engineers, regulatory experts, and human factors experts. There will also be Page 16 of 23

multiple witnesses from Polaris to testify about the RZR at issue, including those involved in the design and testing of the vehicle, its component parts, and its warnings, as well as those involved in manufacturing, post-sale monitoring, regulatory reporting, and sales and marketing. Moreover, because of the nature of Ms. Borger's injuries, each party will likely produce a life care planner and economist, and potentially a vocational rehabilitation specialist. All these witnesses are in addition to the first responders and initial treating physicians.

This is an unreasonable burden to place on the Nevada courts and residents when Nevada's connection to the Borgers' claims are tangential, at best. The time and energy of Nevada courts and jurors should be spent on cases brought by Nevada residents or on cases that are appropriately pending in Nevada courts. Therefore, the public interest factors favor dismissal in Nevada. *See Placer Dome*, 350 P.3d at 397 (affirming dismissal of a complicated case based on *forum non conveniens* because the burdens and costs of resolving the matter, which would require "extensive expert testimony" and lacked any real connection to the forum state, favored dismissal).

b. The Private Interests Favor Resolution Of This Case In Arizona Or Minnesota

Among the private interest factors the Court should consider are the location of a defendant corporation, access to proof, the availability of compulsory process for unwilling witnesses, the cost of obtaining testimony from witnesses, and enforceability of a judgment. *Placer Dome*, 350 P.3d at 398. Applied here, these factors weigh strongly in favor of resolving this case in either Arizona or Minnesota.

First, as mentioned above, Polaris is located in Minnesota, where the Polaris RZR at issue was designed and manufactured. Thus, litigating this case in Minnesota would not only provide convenience for Polaris, it would also provide easier access to the relevant evidence and witnesses related to the design and manufacture of the RZR.

Second, as discussed in detail above, Plaintiffs' accident occurred in Arizona. The scene of the incident, which the jurors may need to see in person, is in Arizona. The Sandbar witnesses are located in Arizona, and the parties will likely call these witnesses to testify about the Polaris RZR at issue and the details surrounding the Borger's rental of the RZR. Moreover, Officials from the Lake Havasu City Fire Department, the Lake Havasu City Police Department, and the Mohave County Sheriff's Office responded to the scene of the accident. See Ex. F. Polaris needs to call those witnesses at trial so they can tell the jury what they observed upon arrival to the incident location. From the incident location, Ms. Borger was airlifted from the scene to Havasu Regional Medical Center in Arizona for initial treatment and stabilization. See Ex. G. According to the Native Air Incident Report, Ms. Borger was conscious and "recall[ed] all events of the accident." Id. at Borger001363. Thus, individuals both in the air ambulance and at Havasu Regional Medical Center will likely have key information regarding Ms. Borger's recollection of how the incident occurred, as they treated her closest in time to the incident. These witnesses will provide some of the most important testimony in this case, and they are all located in Arizona.

Third, given that these important witnesses have no relation to Polaris, Polaris will be unable to summon them for deposition or trial in Nevada. More specifically, as non-party witnesses, they are beyond the subpoena power of the Nevada courts. See Quinn v. Eighth Judicial Court in and for County of Clark, 410 P.3d 984, 987 (Nev. 2018) ("NRCP 45(b)(2) restricts the service of a subpoena on a nonparty to 'any place within the state.' Thus, as evident from this rule, the subpoena power of Nevada courts over nonparty deponents does not extend beyond state lines.") As a result, Polaris cannot compel these witnesses to attend trial in Nevada. Unless the witnesses agree to travel to Nevada for trial, the parties will be forced to use their deposition testimony as the witnesses' testimony at trial.

For instance, Polaris cannot now compel Sandbar's employees to attend trial in Nevada. It will be vital for a trier-of-fact to hear live witness testimony from those who provided safety instructions and orientation to the Borgers, plus the Sandbar employee who gave the Borgers specific instructions about whether the Borgers' children could drive. *Cf* J. Borger Dep, Ex. D (37:22-38:1) ("A: We received [safety] instructions at Sandbar. Q: Was [Foster around when those instructions were being given? A: Absolutely.") *with* D. Lehmitz Dep., Ex. E (80:6-11) ("A: The kids were kind of standing off to the side. Q: All right. So the kids weren't given instruction on the walk-around? A. No."); and Ex. D, (48:3-9) ("Q: [W]ere there any discussions [at Sandbar] about who could operate the Ranger with the woman there? A: Not that I'm aware of.") *with* T. Waddington Dep., Ex. I (32:23-33:13) ("At that time, I asked the ages of the children, just to make sure they were old enough if they were going to drive; if they were not old enough, to explain to them that they could not drive.").

Courts have long held that live testimony is preferable to deposition testimony. Planned Parenthood of Columbia/Williamette, Inc. v. Am. Coalition of Life Activists, 290 F.3d 1058, 1118 (9th Cir. 2002) (stating that there is a "historical belief that live testimony better enables the jury to adjudge the credibility of a witness and therefore to determine the weight and import ascribed to the witness's testimony. Deposition testimony is itself only second-best."). Any jury would prefer to see and hear a witness in person so that they can better assess their demeanor and credibility. In re Funeral Consumers Antitrust Litig., 2005 WL 2334362, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 2005). Live testimony is easier to follow and understand than deposition excerpts and the difficulty is made worse by the fact that depositions are often taken before certain facts become important. Id. Consequently, depositions may not fully address points decisive to a jury making live testimony always preferable. Id. Thus, this case should be tried in a state that can facilitate the live testimony of these witnesses.

It is also worth noting that Plaintiffs' lead counsel is based in Houston, Texas, and Polaris's lead counsel is based in Indianapolis, Indiana. Thus, the parties' ability to appear for hearings and trial will not be compromised by the refiling of this case in Arizona or Minnesota. Indeed, lead counsel for both Plaintiffs and Polaris will be required to travel for such appearances—whether they are required to travel to Nevada, Arizona, or Minnesota.

Fourth, the enforceability of a judgment is a neutral factor, as a judgment could be equally enforced against Polaris in any of the suggested forums.

As the factors in the *forum non conveniens* analysis strongly favor dismissing this action, the interests of Nevada taxpayers are best served by litigating this case in Arizona or Minnesota.

B. This Motion Has Been Brought At An Appropriate Time

Polaris's timing in bringing this motion does not impair its merits. In fact, Polaris brought this motion promptly upon Sandbar's settlement with Plaintiffs.

There is no time limit for bringing a motion to dismiss for *forum non conveniens*. Nevada Revised Statute Section 13.050 prescribes no time limit for such motion, and courts around the country have held that no such time limit exists. *See, e.g., Yavus v. 61 MM, Ltd.*, 579 F.3d 1166, 1173 (10th Cir. 2009) (citing Wright & Miller's Federal Practice and Procedure (3d. Ed. 2008) for the proposition that "there is generally no time limit on when a motion to dismiss for *forum non conveniens* must be made, which differentiates it from the time limits on a motion to dismiss for improper venue"); *Aldana v. Del Monte Fresh Produce N.A., Inc.*, 578 F.3d 1283, 1296-97 (11th Cir. 2009) (affirming dismissal of an action that had been pending for six years based on *forum non conveniens*, citing Wright & Miller's Federal Practice and Procedure (3d. Ed. 2008) for the proposition that "there is generally no time limit on when a motion to dismiss for *forum non conveniens* must be made, which differentiates it from the time limits on a motion to dismiss for improper venue"); *Sigalas v. Lido Mar., Inc.*, 776 F.2d 1512, 1520 (11th Cir. 1985) (affirming Page 20 of 23

dismissal based on *forum non conveniens* at the pre-trial conference stage, "after lengthy discovery when [the case] was ready to be tried on the merits").

Although Plaintiffs originally filed their Complaint in March 2017, they did not name Polaris as a defendant until November 2017. Almost no discovery has been completed regarding Plaintiffs' claims against Polaris. Polaris has not produced documents because an appropriate protective order was just recently entered in this case. The only depositions that have taken place in this case are those of the Plaintiffs and Sandbar witnesses. No Polaris representatives or witnesses have been deposed. The discovery that remains—document discovery from Polaris and first responders and depositions of Polaris witnesses, Jade and Foster Borger, and first responders—concerns documents and witnesses that are located in either California, Minnesota, or Arizona. Further, Plaintiffs have not developed their theory of design defect against Polaris, as no expert disclosures have been made, and no expert depositions have been taken. Thus, with respect to each of the remaining claims, significant discovery and expert work has yet to occur.

Finally, Polaris's timing in bringing this motion is perfectly reasonable. Polaris brings this motion the same month as Sandbar's settlement with Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs alleged in their complaint that Sandbar's principal place of business was in Nevada. See Am. Compl. ¶ 2. That fact represented the only viable jurisdictional hook to keep this case in Nevada. Without Sandbar, Nevada lacks any material connection to this case.

Plaintiffs' remaining claims focus on Polaris's design of the RZR and the cause of the Borgers' accident. Either Arizona or Minnesota would be a more sensible, convenient, and fair forum for the parties to litigate this case. The Court to dismiss this case before the parties engage in inconvenient and costly discovery and before Nevada courts invest significant time, energy, and resources in this case. Plaintiffs can then re-file this lawsuit in either Arizona or Minnesota.

1	IV. C	CONCLUSION
2	F	For the foregoing reasons, Polaris respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the complaint
3		
4	on the ba	asis of forum non conveniens as the facts are clear—either Arizona or Minnesota is a more
5	appropri	ate forum for this matter to be heard.
6		DATED: this day of January, 2019
7		WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
8		
9		By: Jennifer W. Culledge
10		JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE Nevada Bar No.: 8729
11		300 South 4th Street, 11 th Floor
12		Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Defendant
13		POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.
14		FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS, LLP
15		
16		By: <u>per aty-Juk</u> Matthew T. Albaugh, Esq. – pro hac vice pending
17		Lexi C. Fuson, Esq. – pro hac vice pending 300 N. Meridian St., Suite 2700
18		Indianapolis, IN 46204
19		Attorneys for Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.
20		,
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Ţ			
2	Pursu	nant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I an	n an employee of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman
3	& Dicker LI	LP, and that on this At day of Ja	ely was v5 nuary, 2019, I served a true and correct copy of the
4	foregoing D	EFENDANT POLARIS INDUST	TRIES, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
5	FORUM NO	ON CONVENIENS as follows:	
6			
7			for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed estage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;
8 9		* *	of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk;
10		via hand-delivery to the addressee	s listed below;
11		via facsimile;	
12		·	over out listed above to the amail address out faul
13		below on this date before 5:00 p.n	cument listed above to the email address set forth
14			
15	Chad Bowers	s, Esq.	Griffith H. Hayes, Esq.
16	L .	OWERS, LTD. arleston Blvd.	Marisa A. Pocci, Esq. Keivan A. Roebuck, Esq.
17	Las Vegas, N	VV 89102	LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP
18	Attorneys for	r Plaintiff	3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 8919
19	Kyle W. Fari	rar YNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP	Attorneys for Defendant SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC
20	1010 Lamar,	Suite 1600	SANDBAR I O WERSI ORIS, LEC
21	Houston, TX Attorneys for		
22	-	/	
23			1/4 (
24		BY An Employ	10m ee of
25			er Moskowitz-Edelman & Dicker LLP
26			
27			

Page 23 of 23

1416427v.2

Exhibit "A"

Exhibit "A"

Exhibit "B"

Exhibit "B"

Sherri Borger

Case:

John Borger, et al. v. Sandbar Powersports LLC, et al. A-17-751896-C

Date:

10/18/2018



400 South Seventh Street • Suite 400, Box 7 • Las Vegas, NV 89101 702-476-4500 | www.oasisreporting.com | info@oasisreporting.com

COURT REPORTING | NATIONAL SCHEDULING | VIDEOCONFERENCING | VIDEOGRAPHY

	Voin Borger, et al. V. Santbar i ewespone BBC, et al.	
1	DISTRICT COURT	
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
3	JOHN BORGER and SHERRI) BORGER,)	
4)	
5	Plaintiffs,)	
6	V.) CASE NO.) A-17-751896-C	
7	SANBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,) DEPT. NO. XXV DOES I through X, ROE)	
8	CORPORATIONS XI through) XX, inclusive, and POLARIS)	
9	INDUSTRIES, INC.)	
10	Defendants.) SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,)	
11	Counter-Claimant,)	
12	v.	
	JOHN BORGER and SHERRI)	
13	BORGER,)	
14	Counter-Defendants.)	
15	SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,)	
16	Cross-Claimant,)	
17	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.,)	
18	Cross-Defendants.)	
19	DEPOSITION OF SHERRI BORGER	
20	Taken at the Offices of	
21	OASIS REPORTING SERVICES, LLC 400 South Seventh Street, Suite 400	
22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 On October 18, 2018	
23	At 9:47 a.m.	
24	Reported by: JENNIFER M. DALY, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR	
25	License No.: 766	
	tanana and a same and	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FARRAR & BALL, LLP
3	BY: WILLIAM R. OGDEN, ESQ.
4	bill@fbtrial.com
5	1010 Lamar Street
6	Suite 1600
7	Houston, Texas 77002
8	713.221.8300
9	On behalf of the Plaintiffs;
10	
11	LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP
12	BY: GRIFFITH H. HAYES, ESQ.
13	hayes@litchfieldcavo.com
14	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
15	Suite 100
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
17	702.949.3100
18	On behalf of the Defendant/Cross-Claimant
19	Sandbar Powersports, LLC;
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 refreshed, you want to go correct it. The best 2 thing to do is try to correct it when we're on the 3 record because then somebody can ask some follow-up 4 questions about it, okay? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο, Any reason you know of why the deposition 7 can't proceed today? 8 Α. No. 9 We know that you're claiming a severe injury in this case. 10 11 Are you currently on any medication that would affect your ability to give your best 12 13 testimony? 14 Α. No. 15 All right. What is your current 0. 16 residence address? 17 38222 Sherwood, S-H-E-R-W-O-O-D, Street, 18 Murrieta, M-U-R-R-I-E-T-A, California 92562. 19 Ο. And who lives with you at that location? 20 It is me and my husband, John. Α. 21 How long have you lived there? Q. Okav. 22 We moved there June of this year. Α. 23 You have a couple of children, correct? Q. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Where do they live? Q.

1 Α. My daughter, Jade, just got married. 2 lives in Vista, and I have a son, Foster. 3 Q. Where does Foster live? 4 Α. He is staying with us currently. 5 Prior to living at that address, Q. Okay. 6 where did you live? What state? Let's start there. 7 Α. Minnesota. How long did you live in Minnesota? 8 Q. 9 Α. We were there for 10 years. 10 0. What was your address there in Minnesota? 11 3030 Third Avenue NE, Owatonna, Α. 12 O-W-A-T-O-N-N-A, Minnesota 55060. 13 Q. Your date of birth? 14 December 5th, 1966. Α. 15 Q. And you're married to John Borger, 16 correct? 17 Correct. Α. 18 How long have you been married? Q. 19 A long time. Α. 20 Okay. Best estimate? 0. 21 February 25th, 1989. Α. 22 By the way, it might be okay if you 0. 23 forgot the date, but if your husband forgets, it 24 won't be okay. 25 Is this your first marriage?

1 MR. ROSS: '98, correct? 2 THE WITNESS: 1999. 3 MR. ROSS: Okav. 4 BY MR. HAYES: 5 Other than speaking with your lawyer, did Q. 6 you do anything else to prepare for the deposition 7 today? For example, did you talk to any friends or review any documents? 8 9 Other than kind of reviewing, in my mind, that's about all I've done. 10 11 To the best of your knowledge, there Q. 12 weren't any specific documents you looked at? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Okay. So what I'd like to do is go to the -- around the time period in question, which is, 15 again, October of 2016, and tell me a little bit 16 17 about that time period. Was this a family vacation? 18 It was a family vacation. Α. 19 Okay. And approximately when did it Q. 20 start, and where? 21 And by where, I'm just referring to what 22 state. 23 Okay. It was October 2016. Α. 24 And -- let's see. And it was 25 Lake Havasu, Nevada, and I just picked that because

- my parents liked to snowbird, and -- because they're retired, and they had said that that was a spot that they might pick one -- one year, and so I thought we might try that. That's why we went there.
- Q. Okay. And you were living in Minnesota at that time?
 - A. We were.
- Q. Okay. And you -- how did you get from Minnesota to Lake Havasu? By flying?
- A. We drove. We drive everywhere. When you have a family of four, it's cheaper.
- Q. About how long did it take you to get from Minnesota to Lake Havasu by car, how many days?
- A. I'm not sure because we actually -- we took it slow because we were -- we were just stopping where we wanted to, and we had different points of interest, and at this particular time, I can't really remember all the different stops we had, but we were interested in stopping different places. Even on the way back, we were going to stop at some different spots, which we didn't get to do.
- Q. Okay. Again, we know that this happened on October 16th. When did you arrive in Lake Havasu, what day?
 - A. I can't remember. I do know that we

- A. I -- no. I was flown to Las Vegas
 myself. John and the kids went to the rental home,
 got everything, packed up, and then drove from
 Lake Havasu to Las Vegas.
- Q. Okay. Right after the accident, did you have any discussions with anybody at Sandbar?
 - A. I don't think I did.
- Q. Okay. How about -- we know the sheriff came on site. Did you have any discussions with sheriff personnel about the accident or what happened?
 - A. I don't -- I don't recall if I did.
- Q. Okay. How about emergency personnel, you said they were there pretty quickly. Did you have any discussion with them about what happened?
- A. If they asked me questions, I don't know what I answered.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And again, with respect to your time at UMC, we have records, so I know you're referring to those. Whatever they say in terms of how long you were there, that's what it would be, but after leaving UMC, did you then go back home to Minnesota?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Skipping back a little bit, are you on

7 Better in terms of medication? Q. Α. Better answers. 3 0. Okay. Better answers, okay. 4 Maybe medication, maybe something Α. 5 different, if I could. 6 0. Have you ever used a prosthetic, been 7 fitted for a prosthetic? 8 I do have a prosthesis. 9 Prosthesis? 0. 10 I went to Advanced Arm Dynamics in Α. 11 Maple Grove, Minnesota. They're a very, very good 12 company. 13 And I do have a prosthetic arm. 14 Q. Where is that today? 15 Α. I actually have it at the house where I'm 16 staying. 17 Oh, okay. On a normal day, how often do Ο. 18 you wear that? 19 It -- I actually need to go and have it Α. 20 re-fitted because I'm having -- what happened is my 21 arm kind of -- this part of my arm shrunk, and this 22 part of my arm got a little bigger, my muscle got a 23 little bigger, so I have to have the silicone 24 re-fitted better, but on a normal part of a day, if 25 it fits really well, I can wear it up to six to

1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	WP-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A-CAV-A
11	
12	
13	* * * *
14	I, SHERRI BORGER, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare the within and foregoing
15	transcription to be my deposition in said action; that I have read, corrected, and do hereby affix my
16	signature, under penalty of perjury, to said deposition.
17	deposition.
18	SHERRI BORGER
19	Deponent
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

702-476-4500

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3) ss COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	I, JENNIFER M. DALY, a duly commissioned
5	and licensed Court Reporter, Clark County, State of
6	Nevada, do hereby certify: That I reported the
7	taking of the deposition of the witness,
8	SHERRI BORGER, commencing on October 18, 2018, at
9	the hour of 9:47 a.m.
10	Prior to being examined, the witness was,
11	by me, duly sworn to testify to the truth. That I
12	thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into
13	typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of
14	said deposition is a complete, true and accurate
15	transcription of my said shorthand notes.
16	I further certify that I am not a
17	relative or employee of an attorney or counsel of
18	any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of an
19	attorney or counsel involved in said action, nor a
20	person financially interested in the action.
21	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my
22	hand, in my office, in the County of Clark, State of
23	Nevada, this 5th day of November, 2018.
24	Mm. () d
25	JENNYFER M. DALY, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR CSR No. 766

Exhibit "C"

Exhibit "C"

PARTICIPANT AGREEMENT, RELEASE AND ASSUMPTION OF RISK

All participants, including drivers AND passengers, must sign this Agreement.

In consideration of the services of Sandbar Powersports, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, its agents, owners, officers, volunteers, participants, employees, and all other persons or entities acting in any capacity on its behalf (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Company"), the undersigned (hereinafter, "t" or "participant") hereby agree to release, indemnify, and discharge company, on behalf of myself, my spouse, my children, my parents, my heirs, assigns, personal representative and estate as follows:

1. Assumption of Risk. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MY PARTICIPATION IN ATV/UTV RIDING ACTIVITIES ENTAILS KNOWN AND UNANTICIPATED RISKS THAT COULD RESULT IN PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL INJURY, PARALYSIS. DEATH, OR DAMAGE TO ME, TO PROPERTY, OR TO THIRD PARTIES. I UNDERSTAND THAT SUCH RISKS SIMPLY CANNOT BE ELIMINATED WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE ESSENTIAL QUALITIES OF THE ACTIVITY. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT MY RISK OF INJURY MAY BE INCREASED DUE TO MY OWN PHYSICAL CONDITION, AND THE PHYSICAL EXERTION ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ACTIVITY. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT ACCIDENTS OR ILLNESS CAN OCCUR IN REMOTE PLACES WITHOUT MEDICAL FACILITIES OR THE AVAILABILITY OF IMMEDIATE MEDICAL ATTENTION IN THE EVENT OF AN INJURY. I EXPRESSLY AGREE TO ACCEPT AND ASSUME ALL OF THE RISKS EXISTING IN THIS ACTIVITY. MY PARTICIPATION IN THIS ACTIVITY IS PURELY VOLUNTARY, AND I ELECT TO PARTICIPATE IN SPITE OF THE RISKS. THESE RISKS INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

slips and falls, riding on uneven and/or snow covered terrain, changing weather conditions and variations in elevation; injury resulting from improper lifting or carrying; injury resulting from being joiled, jarred, bounced, thrown about and otherwise shaken during rides; injury resulting from contact with other passengers or equipment; injury resulting from items or conditions on the trail such as holes, bumps, ruts, obstacles, tree limbs and branches or rocks; injury resulting from loss of control of the vehicle, falls from the vehicle, collision with other vehicles, participants, trees, rocks, and other manmade or natural obstacles; injury resulting from exposure to temperature and weather extremes which could cause hypothermia, hyperthermia (heat related illness), heat exhaustion, sunburn, dehydration; and exposure to potentially dangerous wild animals, insect bites, and hazardous plant life, equipment failure, burns, mechanical and/or equipment problems.

I understand that Company employees have difficult jobs to perform. They seek to provide for the safety of all participants, but their ability to do so is limited by not only the inherent risks and uncertainties related to the use of the Vehicle or the Vehicle itself, weather and conditions, but also by the extent to which participants follow instructions and rules, whether written or orally expressed by Company employees. I agree to follow ALL instructions and rules set forth by the Company and its employees, including, but not limited to those set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

- 2. Waiver of Claims; Indemnification. I hereby voluntarily release, forever discharge, and agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims, demands, or cause of action, which are in any way connected with my participation in this activity or my use of Company's Vehicles, equipment or facilities, including any such claims which allege negligent acts or omissions of Company.
- 3. Insurance. I certify that I have adequate insurance to cover any injury or damage I may cause or suffer while participating, or else I agree to bear the costs of such injury or damage mysell. I further certify that I am willing to assume the risk of any medical or physical condition I may have.
- 4. Pregnant Participants. Female drivers/passengers who are, or believe or suspect that they are pregnant, MUST have a doctor's release to participate in said activity prior to riding/driving a rented Vehicle.
- 5. Miscellaneous. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of Arizona, without regard to its conflict of laws principles. This Agreement embodies the entire agreement and understanding among the parties hereto and supersedes any other representations or agreements. This Agreement shall be binding upon the heirs, administrators, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the participant. I hereby waive and agree not to assert in any such action, suit or proceeding that it is not personally subject to the jurisdiction of such courts, that the action, suit or proceeding is brought in an inconvenient forum or that venue of the action, suit or proceeding is improper. I agree that if any portion of this agreement is found to be void or unenforceable, the remaining document shall remain in tull force and effect. I agree to pay all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred in enforcing this Agreement or any right arising out of such breach.

By signing this document, I acknowledge that if anyone is hurt or property is damaged during my participation in this activity, I may be found by a court of law to have waived my right to maintain a lawsuit against the Company on the basis of any claim from which I have released them herein.

I have had sufficient opportunity to read this entire Agreement. I have read and understood it, and I agree to be bound by its terms. By checking this Box I am declining to wear a helmet. By checking this box I will use a helmet that is being provided by "Company". By checking this Box I will be providing my own personal helmet that is Snell and Dot approved. Print Name John & Signature of Participant Address Date (/)//8///2 Phone PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name) In consideration of _ ("Minor") being permitted by Company to participate in its equipment and facilities, I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims which are brought by, or on behalf of Minor, and which are in any way connected with such use or participation by Minor. Print Name: ____ Parent or Guardian: ____ Date:

PARTICIPANT AGREEMENT, RELEASE AND ASSUMPTION OF RISK

All participants, including drivers AND passengers, must sign this Agreement.

In consideration of the services of Sandbar Powersports, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, its agents, owners, officers, volunteers, participants, employees, and all other persons or entities acting in any capacity on its behalf (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Company"), the undersigned (hereinafter, "I" or "participant") hereby agree to release, indemnify, and discharge (hereinafter, "I" or "participant") hereby agree to release, indemnify, and discharge company, on behalf of myself, my spouse, my children, my parents, my heirs, assigns, personal representative and estate as follows:

1. Assumption of Risk. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MY PARTICIPATION IN ATV/UTV RIDING ACTIVITIES ENTAILS KNOWN AND UNANTICIPATED RISKS THAT COULD RESULT IN PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL INJURY, PARALYSIS, DEATH, OR DAMAGE TO ME, TO PROPERTY, OR TO THIRD PARTIES. I UNDERSTAND THAT SUCH RISKS SIMPLY CANNOT BE ELIMINATED WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE ESSENTIAL QUALITIES OF THE ACTIVITY. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT MY RISK OF INJURY MAY BE INCREASED DUE TO MY OWN PHYSICAL CONDITION, AND THE PHYSICAL EXERTION ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ACTIVITY. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT ACCIDENTS OR ILLNESS CAN OCCUR IN REMOTE PLACES WITHOUT MEDICAL FACILITIES OR THE AVAILABILITY OF IMMEDIATE MEDICAL ATTENTION IN THE EVENT OF AN INJURY. I EXPRESSLY AGREE TO ACCEPT AND ASSUME ALL OF THE RISKS EXISTING IN THIS ACTIVITY MY PARTICIPATION IN THIS ACTIVITY IS PURELY VOLUNTARY, AND I ELECT TO PARTICIPATE IN SPITE OF THE RISKS. THESE RISKS INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

slips and falls, riding on uneven and/or snow covered terrain, changing weather conditions and variations in elevation; injury resulting from improper lifting or carrying; injury resulting from being joited, jarred, bounced, thrown about and otherwise shaken during rides; injury resulting from contact with other passengers or equipment; injury resulting from items or conditions on the trail such as holes, bumps, ruts, obstacles, tree limbs and branches or rocks; injury resulting from loss of control of the vehicle, falls from the vehicle, collision with other vehicles, participants, trees, rocks, and other manmade or natural obstacles; injury resulting from exposure to temperature and weather extremes which could cause hypothermia, hyperthermia (heat related illness), heat exhaustion, sunburn, dehydration; and exposure to potentially dangerous wild animals, insect bites, and hazardous plant life, equipment failure, burns, mechanical and/or equipment problems.

I understand that Company employees have difficult jobs to perform. They seek to provide for the safety of all participants, but their ability to do so is limited by not only the inherent risks and uncertainties related to the use of the Vehicle or the Vehicle itself, weather and conditions, but also by the extent to which participants follow instructions and rules, whether written or orally expressed by Company employees, I agree to follow ALL instructions and rules set forth by the Company and its employees, including, but not limited to those set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

- 2. Waiver of Claims; Indemnification. I hereby voluntarily release, forever discharge, and agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims, demands, or cause of action, which are in any way connected with my participation in this activity or my use of Company's Vehicles, equipment or facilities, including any such claims which allege negligent acts or omissions of Company.
- 3. Insurance. I certify that I have adequate insurance to cover any injury or damage I may cause or suffer white participating, or else I agree to bear the costs of such injury or damage myself. I further certify that I am willing to assume the risk of any medical or physical condition I may have.
- 4. Pregnant Participants. Female drivers/passengers who are, or believe or suspect that they are pregnant, MUST have a doctor's release to participate in said activity prior to riding/driving a rented Vehicle.
- 5. Miscellaneous. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of Arizona, without regard to its conflict of laws principles. This Agreement embodies the entire agreement and understanding among the parties hereto and supersedes any other representations or agreements. This Agreement shall be binding upon the heirs, administrators, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the participant. I hereby waive and agree not to assert in any such action, suit or proceeding that it is not personally subject to the jurisdiction of such courts, that the action, suit or proceeding is brought in an inconvenient forum or that venue of the action, suit or proceeding is improper. I agree that if any portion of this agreement is found to be void or unenforceable, the remaining document shall remain in full force and effect. I agree to pay all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred in enforcing this Agreement or any right arising out of such breach.

By signing this document, I acknowledge that if anyone is hurt or property is damaged during my participation in this activity, I may be found by a court of law to have waived my right to maintain a lawsuit against the Company on the basis of any claim from which I have released them herein.

I have had sufficient opportunity to read this entire Agreement.

I have read and understood it, and I agree to be bound by its terms.

	By checking this Box I amy declining to wear a helmet.
an.m	By checking this box Lyvill use a helmet that is being provided by "Company".
	By checking this Box will be providing my own personal helmet that is Snell and Dot approved.
,	Print Name (12) And (1)
	Signature of Participant / //////////////////////////////////
	Address DI ACT 15/2 ZDI O
	Phone Date OU 18 2010
	THE PROPERTY OF A PROPERTY OF
	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION
	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18)
	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name)
\ {	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name) (print minor's name)
13	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name) ("Minor") being permitted by) Company to participate in its equipment and facilities. I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims which are brought by, or on behalf of Minor, and which are in any way
13	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name) ("Minor") being permitted by) Company to participate in its equipment and facilities. I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims which are brought by, or on behalf of Minor, and which are in any way connected with such use or participation by Minor.
13	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name)
1	PARENT'S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONAL INDEMNIFICATION (Must be completed for participants under the age of 18) (print minor's name) ("Minor") being permitted by) Company to participate in its equipment and facilities. I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims which are brought by, or on behalf of Minor, and which are in any way connected with such use or participation by Minor.

PARTICIPANT AGREEMENT, RELEASE AND ASSUMPTION OF RISK

All participants, including drivers AND passengers, must sign this Agreement.

In consideration of the services of Sandbar Powersports, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, its agents, owners, officers, volunteers, participants, employees, and all other persons or enlities acting in any capacity on its behalf (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Company"), the undersigned (hereinafter, "I" or "participant") hereby agree to release, indemnity, and discharge Company, on behalf of myself, my spouse, my children, my parents, my heirs, assigns, personal representative and estate as follows:

1. Assumption of Risk. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MY PARTICIPATION IN ATV/UTV RIDING ACTIVITIES ENTAILS KNOWN AND UNANTICIPATED RISKS THAT COULD RESULT IN PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL INJURY, PARALYSIS, DEATH, OR DAMAGE TO ME. TO PROPERTY, OR TO THIRD PARTIES. I UNDERSTAND THAT SUCH RISKS SIMPLY CANNOT BE ELIMINATED WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING THE ESSENTIAL QUALITIES OF THE ACTIVITY. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT MY RISK OF INJURY MAY BE INCREASED DUE TO MY OWN PHYSICAL CONDITION, AND THE PHYSICAL EXERTION ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ACTIVITY. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT ACCIDENTS OR ILLNESS CAN OCCUR IN REMOTE PLACES WITHOUT MEDICAL FACILITIES OR THE AVAILABILITY OF IMMEDIATE MEDICAL ATTENTION IN THE EVENT OF AN INJURY. I EXPRESSLY AGREE TO ACCEPT AND ASSUME ALL OF THE RISKS EXISTING IN THIS ACTIVITY. MY PARTICIPATION IN THIS ACTIVITY IS PURELY VOLUNTARY, AND I ELECT TO PARTICIPATE IN SPITE OF THE RISKS. THESE RISKS INCLUDE, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO:

slips and falls, riding on uneven and/or snow covered terrain, changing weather conditions and variations in elevation; injury resulting from improper lifting or carrying, injury resulting from being jolted, jarred, bounced, thrown about and otherwise shaken during rides; injury resulting from contact with other passengers or equipment; injury resulting from items or conditions on the trail such as holes, bumps, ruts, obstacles, tree limbs and branches or rocks; injury resulting from loss of control of the vehicle, falls from the vehicle, collision with other vehicles, participants, trees, rocks, and other manmade or natural obstacles; injury resulting from exposure to temperature and weather extremes which could cause hypothermia, hyperthermia (heat related illness), heat exhaustion, sunburn, dehydration; and exposure to potentially dangerous wild animals, insect bites, and hazardous plant life, equipment failure, burns, mechanical and/or equipment problems.

I understand that Company employees have difficult jobs to perform. They seek to provide for the safety of all participants, but their ability to do so is limited by not only the inherent risks and uncertainties related to the use of the Vehicle or the Vehicle itself, weather and conditions, but also by the extent to which participants follow instructions and rules, whether written or orally expressed by Company employees. I agree to follow ALL instructions and rules set forth by the Company and its employees, including, but not limited to those set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

- 2. Waiver of Claims; Indemnification. I hereby voluntarily release, forever discharge, and agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims, demands, or cause of action, which are in any way connected with my participation in this activity or my use of Company's Vehicles, equipment or facilities, including any such claims which allege negligent acts or omissions of Company.
- 3. Insurance. I certify that I have adequate insurance to cover any injury or damage I may cause or suffer white participating, or else I agree to bear the costs of such injury or damage myself. I further certify that I am willing to assume the risk of any medical or physical condition I may have.
- 4. Pregnant Participants. Female drivers/passengers who are, or believe or suspect that they are pregnant, MUST have a doctor's release to participate in said activity prior to riding/driving a rented Vehicle.
- 5. Miscellaneous. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of Arizona, without regard to its conflict of laws principles. This Agreement embodies the entire agreement and understanding among the parties hereto and supersedes any other representations or agreements. This Agreement shall be binding upon the heirs, administrators, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the participant. I hereby waive and agree not to assert in any such action, suit or proceeding that it is not personally subject to the jurisdiction of such courts, that the action, suit or proceeding is brought in an inconvenient forum or that venue of the action, suit or proceeding is improper. I agree that if any portion of this agreement is found to be void or unenforceable, the remaining document shall remain in full force and effect. I agree to pay all costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred in enforcing this Agreement or any right arising out of such breach.

By signing this document, I acknowledge that if anyone is hurt or property is damaged during my participation in this activity. I may be found by a court of law to have waived my right to maintain a lawsuit against the Company on the basis of any claim from which I have released them herein.

l have ha	d sufficient opportunity to read thi	is entire Agreement.
I have read	and understood it, and I agree to	be bound by its terms
By checking this Box I am declini		
By checking this box I will use a	helmet that is being provided by "	Company".
By checking this Box I will be pro	oviding my own personal helmet th	nat is Snell and Dot approved.
- X4	Cra & Prin	t Name Sherri Barger
Address		
Phone _	Date _	10/18/16
PARENT'S	S OR GUARDIAN'S ADDITIONA	L INDEMNIFICATION
(Must b	e completed for participants u	nder the age of 18)
In consideration of	**************************************	(print minor's name)
("Minor") being permitted by <u>Company</u> to participate in its equipment and facilities, I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless Company from any and all claims which are brought by, or on behalf of Minor, and which are in any way connected with such use or participation by Minor.		
Parent or Guardian:	Print	Name:
Date:		

EXHIBIT A

Driver/Rider Usage Rules:

- 1. I/We will not drink and drive or allow a driver to drink
- 2. I/We will only allow the authorized drivers on the Rental Agreement to drive the rental Vehicle.
- I/We will not over load any part of the rental Vehicle (i.e.; more people on the vehicle than the number of seats on the vehicle), carrying excessive equipment or towing of equipment.
- 4. I/We will not ride on private property or areas stating that no driving or off road vehicles are allowed
- 5. I/we will follow and obey all traffic laws and rules when driving on all paved roads and off roads that are located on BLM
- 6. I/We will not drive the rental Vehicle up or down or drive along the side of a hill that has more than a 15% incline or
- I/we will not drive the rental Vehicle in a reckless manor, such as (excessive speeding on trails, roads, or skiding the Vehicle sideways or doing donuts or burn outs, or racing or driving the rental Vehicle in an unauthorized area
- I/we will only drive the rental Vehicle on designated trails, or previously ridden trails or roads, but not to exceed my driving ability. I/we will not make our own trail. You are required to stay on existing trails only.
- 9. I/we by Arizona Law am/are required to have all passengers under the Age of 18 wear a helmet
- 10. If we will not ride in any Sand Dune areas, I will only ride in areas the is approved by the rental company.
- 11. I/we will not jump the rental Vehicle
- 12. I/we will not roll or tip the rental Vehicle over.
- 13. I/we will make sure that all riders/passengers have their seat belts buckled at all times prior to driving the rental
- 14. I/we will make sure that all riders/passengers wear eye protection at all times prior to driving the rental Vehicle.
- 15. As the driver of the rental Vehicle I am responsible for everything and anything that happens with respect to the rental Vehicle and its use, and with respect to any passengers.
- 16. I/we will return the rental vehicle(s) full of fuel or be subject to refueling cost.
- 17. No Refunds are allowed. Credits only, If negligence or driver is found to be careless no credit will be allowed.
- 18. Driver Age Limits:
 - A) Polaris Rzr 800 Minimum age is 25 +
 - B) Polaris Rzr 900 Minimum age is 25 +
 - Polaris Rzr 1000 Minimum age is 30+ with experience Only and is approved by the rental company.
- 19. Excessive Usage to the rental Vehicle may, can or will include any of the following.
 - A) Tire/Wheels/Shocks repair or replacement examples are dented bent, flat or punctured tires, chunked, hub broken, axles, drive shafts, a-arms, tie-rods etc.- Cost start at \$95
 - Engine damage or loss, examples such as knocking, overheating, won't start, has trouble running. Vehicle will not go in forward or reverse or neutral, valve or head issues, transmission or drive gears etc. Parts + Labor Start
 - C) Exterior marks or fender, roll bar damage of any kind, such as scratches, chips, holes, chunks, missing or dented areas and or parts. Repairs Parts Replacement Value
 - O) Interior damage such as cigarette burns, stains of any kind, tears and any broken equipment. Burns \$100 per hole. Fabric or any repairs will be a repair or replacement cost.
 - E) Loss of equipment such as anything that is sent out with the rental Vehicle safety equipment, ropes of any kind, fire extinguisher, flags, air homs, ignition or lock key(s) - Cost of replacement at current value
 - F) Complete loss of rented equipment such as crashed, stolen, abandonment or non-return at return time. Cost of current replacement value. Abandonment will result in loss of deposit plus damages, non-return will be late charges and without notification within 2 hours will be reported stolen.
 - Any additional rented or loaned equipment, such as ropes, ice chest, goggles, and helmets Replacement cost.
 - Recovery Cost \$75 per hour + .99cents per mile + any additional equipment need to recover the rental Vehicle + damages
 - 1) In the event that the machine is tip or rolled over, renter will automatically be charged full replacement cost of the roll cage and any additional damage + labor.

If any of these Driver Usage Rules are violated, the renter of the Vehicle will lose his or her rental deposit and will be responsible for any and all damages ansing from such violation, and any participant acting in violation of these Driver Usage Rules will be responsible for any and all damages arising from such violation.

By signing below, participant certifies that participant understands and agrees to all of the terms, rules and conditions and forth in this Agreement without seconding participant efficient that participant in the Agreement without seconding participant of the seconding participant in the seconding participant that seconding the seconding participant is not improved as under the



1600 Countryshire Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403 928-854-4242



SP000009

- G. Use . A sholic beverages or drugs while riding in or operating the Vehicle:
- H. No use of the rented vehicle in or at a competition track, park or off road race.
- Working on or attempting to repair the Vehicle by Renter, any passenger or any other person without the prior approval of
- Failing to notify the Company of any accident involving the Vehicle or injury to any driver or passenger during the operation of the Vehicle.
- Damages: All damages/repairs to any part of the vehicle are the renter(s) responsibility and shall be paid for prior to leaving at the end of the rental. Insurance does not cover flat tires, replacement of the tires, seats, wheels, body parts, steering parts, suspension parts, roof, mirrors or damages to the roll cage
- Condition of Vehicle upon Return. Renter must return the Vehicle to our rental office, on the date and time specified in this Agreement, and in the same condition that Renter received it, except for ordinary wear. Renter is responsible for all damage to, or loss or theft of, the Vehicle, including damage caused by weather, road conditions and acts of nature, whether regularity definites the Appendix 063 Renter's responsibility to thoroughly inspect the Vehicle for any existing damage before the rental commences and to ensure any

Exhibit "D"

Exhibit "D"

Deposition of	:
---------------	---

John Borger

Case:

John Borger, et al. v. Sandbar Powersports LLC, et al. A-17-751896-C

Date:

10/19/2018



400 South Seventh Street • Suite 400, Box 7 • Las Vegas, NV 89101 702-476-4500 | www.oasisreporting.com | info@oasisreporting.com

COURT REPORTING | NATIONAL SCHEDULING | VIDEOCONFERENCING | VIDEOGRAPHY

```
1
                         DISTRICT COURT
 2
                      CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
 3
      JOHN BORGER and SHERRI
      BORGER,
 4
           Plaintiffs,
 5
                                       CASE NO.
       v.
 6
                                       A-17-751896-C
      SANBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,
                                       DEPT. NO. XXV
 7
      DOES I through X, ROE
      CORPORATIONS XI through
 8
      XX, inclusive, and POLARIS
      INDUSTRIES, INC.
 9
           Defendants.
10
      SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,
11
           Counter-Claimant,
      v.
12
      JOHN BORGER and SHERRI
13
      BORGER,
14
           Counter-Defendants.
15
      SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC,
16
           Cross-Claimant,
      v.
17
      Polaris Industries, Inc.,
18
           Cross-Defendants.
19
                  DEPOSITION OF JOHN BORGER
20
                   Taken at the Offices of
21
                OASIS REPORTING SERVICES, LLC
             400 South Seventh Street, Suite 400
22
                   Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
                     On October 19, 2018
23
                          At 9:46 a.m.
24
    Reported by: JENNIFER M. DALY, CRR, RPR, CCR, CSR
25
    License No.: 766
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
       FARRAR & BALL, LLP
 3
       BY: WILLIAM R. OGDEN, ESQ.
           bill@fbtrial.com
 4
 5
           1010 Lamar Street
 6
           Suite 1600
 7
           Houston, Texas 77002
 8
           713.221.8300
 9
                 On behalf of the Plaintiffs;
10
11
       LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP
12
       BY: GRIFFITH H. HAYES, ESQ.
13
           hayes@litchfieldcavo.com
14
           3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
15
           Suite 100
16
           Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
17
           702,949,3100
18
                 On behalf of the
19
                 Defendant/Counter-claimant,
20
                 Sandbar Powersports, LLC;
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 Α. Correct. 2 0. So why would he have to ask permission to 3 drive the vehicle if you were just renting it? 4 We're speculating here. It's a weird 5 line of questioning, I quess. I don't understand 6 the question. 7 Why would he have to ask permission? 8 Q. Right. 9 We're speaking of hypotheticals, so I'm 10 not quite sure how to answer that. 11 Okay. Well, how did he end up getting in Ο. 12 the driver's seat? 13 We stopped, he had to go to the bathroom. 14 As he was going to the bathroom, I noticed a sticker 15 next to the steering wheel that said, the circle 16 with the cross and 16 on it. Knowing my son was 17, 17 when he came back, I said, Hey, would you like to 18 drive it? 19 And he said, Sure. 20 Q. Okay. What instructions did you give 21 him? 22 None. We received instructions at Α. 23 Sandbar. Was he around when those instructions 24 0. 25 were being given?

1 Α. Absolutely. 2 0. How long did that instruction period 3 last? 4 Α. I don't recall exact time, but less than 5 five minutes. 6 0. After that instruction period, did 7 you feel comfortable on how to operate the subject vehicle? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. Okay. Would it be fair to say that you 11 would not have taken the vehicle out to the off-road 12 area if you do not feel comfortable; sound fair? 13 Α. Sounds fair. 14 0. Okay. Do you remember asking any 15 questions of the gentleman that was giving you instructions about how to operate the vehicle? 16 17 Α. I don't recall. 18 Ο. Okay. Did -- in this five-minute time 19 when the instructions were being provided, was there 20 any discussion about who could operate the vehicle? 21 Α. With that gentleman? 22 0. Yes. 23 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 24 Q. Okay. 25 Α. We were all there. He was speaking to

1	to the rental contract at issue.
2	Did when you were at the counter
3	signing, did you were there any discussions about
4	who could operate the Ranger with the woman there?
5	A. Not that I'm aware of.
6	Q. Did you did you ask
7	A. No.
8	Q was it okay for the children to drive?
9	A. No, I did not.
10	Q. When you were at the counter, was there a
11	plan that Foster was going to drive?
12	A. No, there was no plan.
13	Q. So the first time that that came up,
14	again, when he got out and went to the restroom,
15	correct?
16	A. To my recollection.
17	Q. Okay. How about when you were around
18	when you were getting instructions about the vehicle
19	with the gentleman outside, which I think you said
20	was about five minutes, any discussion there about
21	who could operate the vehicle?
22	A. With that gentleman?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. No.
25	Q. Okay. Do you have any discussion with

```
1
    a RZR or any of these other side-by-side vehicles
 2
     like this?
 3
          Α.
                I don't know what they are.
                                               The
 4
    neighbors across the street had one that looked
 5
    similar, but it was two front seats, and in the back
 6
    was like a pickup-type thing where you can throw
7
    stuff in with the roll cage on top. They had one of
8
     those.
9
          Q.
                Okay.
                       In Minnesota?
10
          Α.
                Yes.
11
          0.
                Had you ever driven that one?
12
          Α.
                Me, no.
13
                Had you ever ridden in it?
          0.
14
          Α.
                No.
                I think your wife said they also had
15
          Q.
16
     four-wheelers; is that right?
17
          Α.
                Yes, I believe so.
18
          0.
                The handlebars?
19
          Α.
                Right.
20
          Q.
                Now, let's turn to Foster.
21
                Had Foster ever driven that side-by-side
22
    vehicle, to your knowledge?
23
                To my knowledge, no.
24
                       Had he ever ridden in that
                Okay.
25
     side-by-side vehicle, to your knowledge?
```

1 Α. To my knowledge -- to my knowledge, 2 seeing, actually seeing him do it, no. 3 0. Had you ever heard, Hey, Dad, we can have fun -- did he ever tell you about doing it like 5 that? 6 He said he's driven one. 7 He said he's driven? 8 Right. But I don't know if he had or 9 not, I've never seen him drive one. 10 Q. When you -- when you're talking about 11 one, you mean the side-by-side? 12 Α. I don't know which one it was. 13 Q. Do you know whether he was talking about 14 driving a side-by-side or a four-wheeler? 15 Α. No. 16 Okay. So is it possible that, as of this 17 vacation, that he -- this was the first time he had 18 ever sat in the driver's seat and tried to drive a 19 side-by-side vehicle like this? 20 Α. It's possible. 21 Okay. When you turned over the driving 22 duties to Foster, did you give him any kind of 23 instructions at all? 24 Α. No. 25 Did you give him any warnings or advice Q.

```
1
     of any kind?
 2
                Not to my recollection.
          Α.
 3
          0.
                If you can --
 4
                MR. ROSS: Do we have the exhibits?
                                                       Ι
 5
     need Exhibit A, the rental agreement.
 6
                You keep this. I have a copy. Try to do
 7
     it verbally.
 8
    BY MR. ROSS:
 9
                So I want to direct your attention to one
10
     page that we didn't talk about, which is SP7.
11
          Α.
                Okay.
12
                This page -- this page is headed,
13
     Participants Agreement, Release and Assumption of
14
    Risk, correct?
15
          Α.
                Correct.
16
          0.
                And that's in all caps, underlined, bold
17
     at the top of the document, correct?
18
          Α.
                Correct.
19
                Then at the bottom, there are several
          Ο.
20
     signatures.
21
                Under signature of participants, or next
22
    to it, that's your signature?
23
          Α.
                Correct.
24
                Okay. And then is that your printing
25
    where it says, print name?
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
2	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	* * * *
14	I, JOHN BORGER, deponent herein, do hereby certify and declare the within and foregoing
15	transcription to be my deposition in said action; that I have read, corrected, and do hereby affix my
16	signature, under penalty of perjury, to said deposition.
17	depooleton.
18	JOHN BORGER
19	Deponent
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF NEVADA) S \$ 3 COUNTY OF CLARK) 4 I, JENNIFER M. DALY, a duly commissioned and licensed Court Reporter, Clark County, State of 5 Nevada, do hereby certify: That I reported the 6 taking of the deposition of the witness, 7 JOHN BORGER, commencing on October 19, 2018, at the 8 hour of 9:46 a.m. 9 10 Prior to being examined, the witness was, 11 by me, duly sworn to testify to the truth. 12 thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of 13 14 said deposition is a complete, true and accurate 15 transcription of my said shorthand notes. 16 I further certify that I am not a 17 relative or employee of an attorney or counsel of 18 any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of an attorney or counsel involved in said action, nor a 19 20 person financially interested in the action. 21 IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my 22 hand, in my office, in the County of Clark, State of 23 Nevada, this 5th day of November, 2018. 24 25 CRR. Μ. CCR, CSR No. 766

Exhibit "E"

Exhibit "E"

D.		:4: ~ ~	a.fr
υu	としゃ	ition	Ųŧ.

David Lehmitz

Case:

John Borger, et al. v. Sandbar Powersports LLC, et al. A-17-751896-C

Date:

04/09/2018



400 South Seventh Street • Suite 400, Box 7 • Las Vegas, NV 89101 702-476-4500 | www.oasisreporting.com | info@oasisreporting.com

COURT REPORTING | NATIONAL SCHEDULING | VIDEOCONFERENCING | VIDEOGRAPHY

```
1
        JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
 2
                 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
 3
     JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER, )
 5
                  Plaintiffs,
                                        CASE NO.
 6
                  vs.
                                        A-17-751896-C
     SANDBAR POWERSPORTS LLC,
                                        DEPT. NO. XXV
     DOES I through X; ROE
 8
     CORPORATIONS XI through XX,
     inclusive, and POLARIS
 9
     INDUSTRIES, INC.,
10
                  Defendants.
11
12
            VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAVID LEHMITZ
13
                 Taken on Monday, April 9, 2018
14
                         At 10:37 a.m.
15
           At the Law Offices of Litchfield Cavo, LLP
16
                   3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
17
                       Las Vegas, Nevada
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         REPORTED BY: DANA TAVAGLIONE, RPR, CCR 841
```

David		John Borger, et al. v. Sandoar Fowersports LLC, et a
1	APPE	ARANCES:
2		
3	For	the Plaintiffs:
4		KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP BY: KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQ.
5		1010 Lamar Suite 1600
6		Houston, Texas 77002 713.221.8300
7		kyle@thetirelawyers.com
8	For	the Defendant Sandbar Powersports, LLC:
9	ŧ	LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP
10		BY: GRIFFITH H. HAYES, ESQ. 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
11		Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
13		702.949.3100 hayes@litchfieldcavo.com
14	For	the Defendant Polaris Industries:
15		JOHNSON, TRENT & TAYLOR, LLP
16		BY: T. CHRISTOPHER TRENT, ESQ. 919 Milam Street
17		Suite 1700 Houston, Texas 77002
18		713.222.2323 ctrent@johnsontrent.com
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Q. All right. 2 Α. And in the -- in the yard. 3 MR. TRENT: Okay. We're going to mark 4 those as --5 THE REPORTER: Nine. 6 MR. TRENT: Nine. 7 THE WITNESS: So hand it to her. 8 MR. TRENT: I'll just write it at the top, 9 and then we'll mark it. 10 (Whereupon Lehmitz/Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11 No. 9 was marked for identification.) 12 BY MR. TRENT: 13 Q. Come back to those in a second. 14 Α. Uh-huh. 15 Q. Sorry about that. So if we could, Mr. Lehmitz, back to the day 16 17 of the incident in October of 2016, you did a 18 walk-around. You took those photographs we marked as Exhibit 9? 19 20 Α. Uh-huh. 21 Q. Is that -- is that a "yes"? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And then you said you spoke to Mr. Borgers 0. about the vehicle? 24 25 Α. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

- Q. Did you speak to all of the Borgers about the vehicle or just Mr. Borgers?
 - A. I believe it was Mr. Borger, and his wife was present.
 - Q. All right.
- A. The kids were kind of standing off to the side.
- Q. All right. So the kids weren't given instruction on the walk-around?
- A. No. Because I -- I normally take the driver or whoever is the sig- -- the signator.
- Q. All right. After the walk-around, you had
 Mr. Borger sit in the vehicle?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. And did you have the kids sit in the vehicle?
 - A. Not while we did the walk-around because they were still off to the side, and he was -- I can't remember if he was with Tracy, the son, or with -- or with Taylor, getting fitted for a helmet.
 - Q. So during your discussion about how to put the vehicle into park, reverse, forward, high, low, four-wheel drive, that was with Mr. Borgers alone?
- A. And -- and his wife.
 - Q. His wife?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

- 1 A. Yes.
 - Q. But the son was not present?
- A. I don't believe so, no.
- 4 Q. All right. And -- and you didn't actually
- 5 instruct the son on how to operate the vehicle?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct. I did not.
- 9 Q. All right. I wasn't sure I understood your 10 answer to a question earlier.
- 11 After Sandbar bought this vehicle, it was
- 12 modified to add, I think the soft top; I think you
- 13 mentioned half windshield, and the lower door panels.
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. HAYES: Calls for speculation. Vague
- 16 and ambiguous.
- 17 BY MR. TRENT:
- Q. Were you part of -- sorry. Were you part of
- 19 that decision --
- MR. HAYES: Asked and answered.
- 21 BY MR. TRENT:
- 22 Q. -- as to which accessories to buy for the
- 23 | Polaris?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. You were aware that that occurred

			Page	121
1		CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT	•	
2	PAGE LINE	CHANGE REASON		
3				
4	***************************************		-n	
5	Mark days and the second secon			
6				
7				
8	William graph - The control of the c			
9				
10				·····
11				
12				
13				····
14				
15	V			
16		* * * * *		
17		LEHMITZ, deponent herein, do he declare the within and foregoing		
18	transcription	n to be my deposition in said ac y of perjury; that I have read,		
19	corrected an	d do hereby affix my signature t	o said	
20	deposition.			
21		() 1) Lol 4 Elin	1200	
22		DAVID LEHMITZ, Deponent	Date	
23				
24				
25			• • • •	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Dana J. Tavaglione, a Certified Court
4	Reporter, licensed by the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
5	That I reported the deposition of the witness, DAVID LEHMITZ, commencing on April 9, 2018, at
6	10:37 a.m.;
7	That prior to being examined, the witness was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the
8	whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that I thereafter transcribed my related shorthand notes
9	into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate
10	record of testimony provided by the witness at said time.
11	,
12	I further certify (1) that I am not a relative or employee of an attorney or counsel of any of the
13	parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel involved in said action, nor a person
14	financially interested in the action; and (2) that pursuant to Rule 30(e), transcript review by the witness was requested.
15	
16	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand, in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 23rd day of April 2018.
17	
18	Citam Manuellen
19	DANA J. TAVACLIONE, RAR, CCR NO. 871
20	V
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Exhibit "F"

Exhibit "F"

PERTAIN TO: Sherri Borger

FROM: Records Mohave County Sheriff's Office P.O. Box 1191 Kingman, AZ 86401

Accident

DELIVER TO: Kyle Farrar

Farrar & Ball, LLP 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002

Order No. 207260.1

-k £



MOHAVE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

DOUG SCHUSTER SHERIFF



February 27, 2017

Stratos Records Attn.: Melisa Funez 4299 San Felipe Street, Ste. 350 Houston, TX 77027

To Whom It May Concern:

As on file with the Mohave County Sheriff's Office the attached are true and exact copies of department report 16-037887 involving a SHERRI BORGER with our agency.

Tiffany Mitchell
Office Assistant Sr. / Records
Mohave County Sheriff's Office
P.O. Box 1191
Kingman AZ 86402
928-753-0758

State of Arizona

County of MOHAVE

On this 37th day of Jehruary, 20/7, before me personally appeared TIFFANY MITCHELL, whom I know personally, and acknowledged

that he/she executed the same.

OFFICIAL SEAL
BARBARA L DOUGLASS
Notary Public - Arizona
MOHAVE COUNTY
My Commission Expires
MAY 12, 2018



Mohave County Sheriffs Office

Incident Report for Incident 16-037887

INCIDENT INFORMATION

Incident Number: 16-037887

Nature: Traff. Incident

Case

Number:

Location of Incident: -114.254380, 34.530314; AREA OF

Area: LHCTL INSIDE LAKE HAVASU

CITY LIMITS

City: LAKE

State: AZ

Zip: 86403

HAVASU CTY

Offense Codes: TINC

Reported: TINC

Observed:

Circumstances:

Responding Officers: Kole, J. HJ11 Tarkowski, L. McEuen, R. J.

Responsible Officers: Kole, J.

Agency: MOH

CAD Call ID: 1682046

Received By: Shelby, D.

Last11:52:44 10/18/16

98

Radiolog:

How Received: T Telephone

Clearance: COM

When Reported: 10:25:23 10/18/16

Disposition: INF

Disp. Date: 11/04/16

Occurred between: 10:25:23 10/18/16 and 10:25:23 10/18/16

Judicial Sts:

Misc Entry: JK92

Modus Operandi:

Description:

Method:

INCIDENT INVOLVMENTS

INVOLVINE	IN 1 5.		m-t-ti-maki-
Date	Type	Description	Relationship
10/26/16	Name		PASSENGER
10/26/16	Name	BORGER, JADE MICHELLE	PASSENGER
10/25/16	Name	BORGER, JOHN FOSTER	DRIVER
10/25/16	Name	BORGER, SHERRI LYNN	PASSENGER
10/25/16	Vehicle	BLK 2017 POL RZR 900 AZ	INVOLVED
10/18/16	Cad Call	10:25:23 10/18/16 Traff. Incident	Initiating Call
10/31/16	Evidence	PHOTO CD JCK1	Evidence Incident
10/31/10	L. Vidence	11,010 02 1011	

INCIDENT NARRATIVE

Investigation Narrative

Response:

On 10-18-16 at approximately 1025 hours I was advised by dispatch of a traffic incident involving a quad that had flipped over causing an occupant's arm to be severed that had occurred in the desert area off of Bison Road approximately 1/4 mile out. Dispatch advised that Lake Havasu Fire was responding to try and locate the subjects involved. I requested that Lake Havasu PD also respond to assist in the report.

On scene observations:

Upon arriving to the area off of Bison road approximately 1/2 mile into the desert, I observed Lake Havasu City Fire Department treating several subjects that appeared to have been involved in the report. There were several people standing in the area along with several UTV's that were parked in the area.

I proceeded over to an older male subject who was seated against the driver's side front wheel of a RZR, who was identified by Fire Department Staff as being the driver involved.

I asked the male subject for his name and he provided me John Borger, DOB John, when asked also provided me with his address in Minnesota. I asked him what happened and he advised that he was driving the RZR around and at one point while driving the RZR he turned left, and the machine rolled over on its right side. His wife was seated in the right front seat and her arm was pinned under the machine. They lifted the machine up and back onto the wheels. It should be noted that during this time of talking with John, he was being cared for by medical staff and his statements were very brief.

I asked John if everyone was wearing their seatbelts and he advised that they were. I asked him how long he had owned the RZR and he advised "ten." I asked him if he meant ten months and he corrected me and said ten minutes, as he and the family had just rented it. John further advised that it was essentially inexperience in driving the machine that was the cause of the incident. I asked John how fast he was going when the incident occurred and he wasn't sure. I asked him if he was going 45mph or if he was going 20mph and he advised it was maybe 20mph. John seemed to be in shock and I saw no sign that he was under any influence that might have contributed to the accident.

I next made contact with Lake Havasu City PD officer Murdock, who had provided me with the information for the other occupants including John's two

children who were riding in the back and for his wife Sherri who was riding in the front passenger seat.

While on scene I observed a Lifeflight Helicopter arrive on scene in the area. Sherri Borger was loaded up on the helicopter and was taken to HRMC for treatment. Prior to her being loaded onto the helicopter, while she was secured on a backboard I observed her right arm to be bandaged up near the area of her elbow. Sherri Borger asked the Fire Personnel with her if they had found her hand and the fireman advised her that they did. It appeared that Sherri could not feel her right hand (Lake Havasu Fire Department run #16-007077).

I observed the area where the RZR was parked to be made up of rocks and dirt. The area was open with no sight obstructions and the area was seemingly flat with no hills or embankments.

Passenger information:

I spoke briefly with the two rear occupants of the RZR involved, identified as Jade Michelle Borger who, according to Murdock's conversation with them, was seated in the rear left position and her brother who was seated in the right rear position. These positions were documented by Officer Murdock with Lake Havasu Police Department. Both subjects seemed in shock and were not talking much.

I explained to the information that I had learned, essentially that their father was driving in the area and the vehicle rolled over in another area while it was travelling maybe 20-30 mph. Corrected me during my conversation with him as I had believed that the vehicle rolled over in a different area then its current location. Conversation with the vehicle had rolled in its current location, then they rolled the vehicle back over onto the wheels to get his mother's arm out from the vehicle. Both and Jade seemed to be shaken from the event.

Involved vehicle owner:

I next made contact with the owner's representative for the vehicle, Tayler Paul Worthen with Sandbar Motorsports. I was advised that the driver John Borger had called the rental agency and informed them of the accident just after it had occurred. John was advised to open the glove box and activate the GPS tracker so that 911 could be dispatched to the area. Sandbar Motorsports then responded to retrieve the vehicle.

I was advised by the employee of Sandbar Motorsports that when the family rented the machine, Sherri and John did not want helmets but the 17 you boy. Was required to have a helmet rented to him. When the family left the rental facility, they were explained that if there was an area that was unfamiliar to them that looked difficult, to get out and walk the area first and

then to drive the machine into the area at a slow pace.

Pictures were taken of the vehicle and the vehicle bearing Arizona registration GMC78G was released to Sandbar Motorsports on scene. It was removed from the desert by their employees via trailer.

Involved vehicle information:

Vin#4XAVCE871HB682552 Year-2017 Make-Polaris RZR Owner-Sandbar Motorsports, PO box 3222 LHC, AZ. 86405 EXP-09-30-17 Plate- Az-GMC78G

Damage:

Damage was observed to the right side of the 2017 Rzr including both passenger side tires being off of the wheel bead and flat as well as scraping along most of the right side of the vehicle. Damage was also observed to the front passenger side fender area.

Conclusion:

In looking at the scene after arriving, tracks were apparent in the dirt area where the vehicle was at rest that suggested that the vehicle was travelling Northwest and then turned left, consistent with what John Borger had told me. It appeared that the vehicle then rolled onto its right side. According to the statements that were given along with the injuries observed to Sherri Borger, it is believed that Sherri's arm was outside of the half door when the vehicle rolled over onto the passenger side. Several factors, possibly the weight of the vehicle along with its momentum pinned her arm in between the passenger side front door and the ground, nearly severing it.

This incident occurred at GPS location -114.254380, 34.530314 outside of the Lake Havasu City limits in Mohave County, the jurisdiction of the Mohave County Sheriff's Office. This incident occurred in an area of the desert that contained no county maintained roads and because of so, this report will be made for information purposes only and no Arizona State Accident Form will be completed in regards to this report.

Case	status:

Closed.

· · ·

Sgt. John Kole, S-92 10-26-16

REQ. BY: SANDBAR PWER SPORTS LLC

Supplement by Sgt. John Kole, S-92

On 10-25-16 I telephoned HRMC and inquired as to if Sherri Borger was still admitted into the hospital, which I was advised she was not. On 10-25-16 at approximately 0950 hours I attempted telephonic contact with John Borger at his telephone number that he provided me in the initial interview with him. I proceeded to leave a message for John to return my call.

Supplement by Sgt. John Kole, S-92

On 10-25-16 at approximately 1100 hours I made telephonic contact with Sherri Borger, who was in the hospital in Las Vegas. She advised that the medical staff was unable to re attach her hand and that she was doing better, and was expected to be released from the hospital in maybe three or four days.

I advised Sherri that I wanted to confirm the seating position of her children prior to the accident in the RZR. Sherri advised that she was not really certain and she advised that she would give me her husband's cell phone number so that I could contact him, Upon calling the number I left a message on his voicemail to contact me when it was convenient.

Supplement by Sgt. John Kole, S-92

On 10-26-16 after numerous attempts to contact John Borger, I telephoned his number and he proceeded to answer. I advised John that I was calling to confirm the seating position of his children prior to the accident. I further informed John that I believed that initially when the family had left the rental facility, he was driving, his daughter was seated directly behind him, his wife was seated in the front passenger position and his son was seated in the right rear of the vehicle.

John advised that when the family had arrived in the area out off of Bison Road, the family noticed a sticker on the Rzr's dash that said that the operator had to be over 16 years old to operate. That was when his son and he switched positions. His son began driving and his daughter was seated behind his son. John was seated in the rear of the Rzr at this time next to his daughter on the passenger side in the rear. His son drove for just a few minutes on the flat area where the incident occurred. He remembered his son turning the vehicle left at one point and the vehicle's tire perhaps caught a rock and went over onto its right side. The vehicle during the time of the accident was operating somewhere around 25-30 miles per hour. John's wife was then airlifted to HRMC in Havasu and then later was airlifted to University Medical Center in Las Vegas, where they were at currently being treated.

I asked John about the helmet that was found in the vehicle. He advised that the rental agency helped him secure the helmet in the vehicle and it was intended for his son, who was under 18 but the helmet was never used.

I asked John how much experience his son had operating a vehicle like the Rzr and he advised that he had probably more than John, but still had very little total experience operating a machine like that.

John and I talked a little further about the incident and he advised that when I had spoken with him on scene, he was "out of it" and was probably in shock and that was why he was transported to the hospital.

Supplement by Sgt. John Kole, S-92

On 11-04-16 at approximately 0715 hours I made telephonic contact with John Borger as he had earlier left me a message regarding how he would obtain the rental documents from the Rzr he had rented.

While talking with John he explained that he and his family were back in Minnesota recovering. I further advised John that initially after the incident, he had essentially informed me that he was driving the vehicle and I wanted to confirm that he in fact was not driving when the incident occurred.

John explained that when the vehicle hit the dirt, he saw the sticker near the steering wheel that explained that no one under 16 could drive the vehicle. His son who is 17, went to the bathroom and then switched positions with John, and his son drove for a little while until the accident. John further explained that he didn't remember what he had told me the day of the incident, because of being treated and the shock of going through the incident with his family. I explained to John that no one was in trouble with me and that I just wanted to make sure I understood what occurred accurately. I further informed John that according to Murdock's notes, both kids said that they were seated in the rear of the Rzr. I asked John if there would be a reason why maybe the initial information was not accurate, perhaps because his son thought he might be in trouble for being under 18 and driving the vehicle. John advised that he wasn't sure because he was not present when I spoke with his kids. I explained to John that I didn't ask many questions of his children at the time because of them just going through that experience, and perhaps I misunderstood some of the information relayed to me. John further advised that the information he had relayed to me during our previous conversation on the phone was accurate, where his son was driving the vehicle when the incident occurred.

I advised John that if he needed anything to contact me at the sheriff's office. I further explained to John that the vehicle was released to the owner on scene and that I assumed that if there was any personal belongings in the vehicle prior to its removal from the desert, they would have been removed by his children. I further recommended that John contact Sandbar Motorsports to obtain any items he was missing.

Exhibit "G"

Exhibit "G"

Arizona



PRID: 42764056 Flight Number: 16-189964 Trauma/Hospital #:

Service: Native Air - Arizona Date: October 18, 2016

Base: Lake Havasu City Unit: Hative S-Lake Havasu City

Tail/Reg: Other
Dispatched As: Trauma, Adult
Mass Casualty: No

Vehc. Disp. GPS: 34.479543,-114.33846
Type of Svc: Interfacility Unscheduled
Response Code: Emergent

Response Code: Emergent
Mode to Ref: Not Applicable
Outcome: Treated, Transported

Date: October 18, 2016
Flight Plan: VFR
Team: Critical Care
Crew 1:Pilot

EMT-P Crew 2: Primary Caregiver *Jenkins, Victoria BN

Crew 3:Secondary Caregiver Stevenson, Skylat (Pilot) designates an ALS Provider

Mode to Rec: Not Applicable

Ref Name: Havasu City Fire Dept

Location: 4 miles In the desert East of Bison

Br Bison Dr

Lake davasu City, AZ 86404

United States

Ref. Zip: 86404

Receiving: Faspital

Havasu Regional Medical Center Emergency Department 101 Civic Center Lane Lake Havasu City, AZ 86403 928-855-8185

Dest. GPS: 34.4803821,-114.3388668

Rec. MD: Or Bucler

Last Name: Borger First: Sherri Middle: L

Address: ST:

County: Steele
Country: United States
DOB:

Age: 69y Sex: F Height: 66 kg

Beight: 67 in IBW: 61.6

Subscriber: No

Race: White, non-Hispanic

Billing Information:

Home Given

Times

Onset: 10:30

Received: 19:35

Notified: 10:36

Standby: 10:38

Dispatch: 10:50

Acknowledged: 10:50

EnRoute: 10:55

At Ref: 11:05

At Patient: 11:06

Leave w/ Pt: 11:19

Leave Ref: 11:14

At Rec: 11:17

Transfer Care Dest: 11:20

Available: 11:35

Max Alt: 2009

Scene Information

Patient Belongings: Pts clothing which remains on pt, but cut by EMS.

Chief Complaint (Category: Trauma, Adult)

right arm partial amputation

Anatomic Location: Extremity - Upper

History of Present Illness

Pt is a passenger in large 4 seat ATV, at around 1030 today pts ATV rolled onto its passenger side. Pt states she is a testrained passenger. Pt states she stuck her arm out to protect herself. Pts right amm was caught between the ATV and sandy/dirt ground. EMS was required to tip the ATV back on its wheels to extricate pts right arm. EMS applied CAT tourniquet with some bleeding through bulky dressing applied by EMS noted. No other injuries noted to pt. Pt is fully immobilized on back board by EMS upon flight crews arrival. Rapid air transport is requested by EMS per pts condition and to reduce the risk of less of right extremity, mortality and morbidity. Rapid air transport time of <5 minutes vs >25 minutes by ground EMS. Pt was taken to the closest facility with trauma services, blood products and surgeon available.

Medical History	Current Medications	Allergies	
None	None	None	
Obtained From: Not Recorded			

Neurological Exam

Level of Consciousness: Alest Loss of Consciousness: No

Chemically Paralyzed: No Neurological Present: Normal

Mental Present: Oriented-Person, Griented-Place,

Oriented-Time

 Pupils
 Motor
 Sensory

 Left
 Right
 LA: Normal
 Normal

 Size:
 3mm
 RA: Flaccid
 Normal

Qual: Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation

Arizona

React: Reactive Reactive LL: Normal Normal RL: Normal Normal Airway Respiratory Status: Patent Effort: Normal Sounds: L: Clear R: Clear Oxygen: 10 lpm via NRB Performed By: EMS Provider Exam: Resp. Cardiovascular Pulses JVD: Not Appreciated Cap. Refill: Less than 2 Seconds Left Right Edema: Not Appreciated Carotido Radial: Normal Absent Femoral: Motor Vehicle Incident Involved: 1 Location of Pt in Vehicle: Front Seat-Right Side Vehicle Impact: 3 Extrication Required: No Was ACN Used: No Injury Details Reason for Encounter: Injury/Trauma Trauma Center Criteria: - Amputation proximal to wrist or ankle Drugs/Alcohol?: Intentional: No Work Related: No Injury Cause: Motor Vehicle Loss Of Control, Passenger Injured Mechanism: MVC - Off road Equipment: Shoulder And Lap Belt Risk Factors Present: Rollover/Roof Deformity

Initial Physical Findings

Assessment

Findings: Normal cephalic, no deformity Head: Normal

Neck Findings: No Deformity

Chest Findings: Equal rise and fall Abdominal Appearance: Not Distended Abdominal Palpation: Soft, non-tender Abdominal Bowel Sounds: Not assessed Pelvis Findings: Intact and Stable

Back Findings: Deferred

Left Arm: Normal

Right Arm: Abnormal Pulse, Lacerations, Deformity

Left Leg: Normal

Skin Findings: Pink, warm and dry

Fluids Befor	ansport	IVs Prior to Assessment					
Intake	OUTPUT	IV#	Gauge	Site	Solution	Rate	Performed By
Sefore During	Before During	1	19	Left AC	พร	w/o	RMS Provider
CRYS: 200 mL 50 mL ER	L: ml mL	***************************************					
ប	O:ml mb						

Medications / Infusions Prior to Assessment								
Time	IV# / Other Route	Medication	Concentration	Dose	Performed By			
	7V \$ 1	Fentacyl		190 mcg	EMS Provider			
	Plant Product Maintaine Brion to Assessment							

Blood Product Administration Prior to Assessment

Į			

Impression / Diagnosis

System: Extremities
Symptoms: Pain
Impression: Traumatic injury
Field Diagnosis: Traumatic Amputation Of Arm And Hand (Complete) (Partial), Unilateral, At Or Above Elbow, Complicated

					Activi	ty				
Time	H.R.	B.P.	MAP	RA Sp02	Resp	Rhythm	GCS	ECG Method	Temp	Pain
	H.R. Method	Method	LOC		Resp Effort			GCS Qual	Cabin Tem	P
ction	Comment			**************************************						
:06	64	108 / 64	79	99	20		4/5/6			3
	Electric	Auto.	Alert		Normal		Legiti	mate values w/o		
	Monitor -	Cuff						entions such as		
	Cardiac							ion and sedation		
	Landed at scen	ne. Havasu	fire w	ith pt full	y immobilized cr	long board.	. Paramedi	c to flight crew	report rece.	ved.
	Primary and se	econdary as	ssesome	nt complete	. Pt has right a	rm in bulky	dressing	with blood soakis	ng noted. To	irniquet
	in place or r	ight upper	arm wi	th 1050 tim	noted or it. #	es right arm	סמ תזוא ו	distal pulse note	ed, dapillar	y reriti
	<2 seconds. P	ts hand is	disco:	ored cyanot	ic notes. No oth	er trauma no	cea, all	neuro exams norma	ar except 10.	
					sne can reer ne r of ATV) denics			are when touched	. re imialis	GII
					rhythm without		Consultous	uress.		
	re praced on	brobad mona	reor an	mwild armas	Litycia. Wichouc	eccopy.				
1:10	70	122 / 74	90	100	20	Normal	4/5/6			
						Sinus				
						Rhythm,				
						(REG)				
	Electric	Auto.	Alert		Normal			mate values w/o		
	Monitor -	Cuff						entions such as		
	Cardiac							tion and sedation		
					n of infiltratio	s. NS at W/C		h blood tubing.		
i:14	72	118 / 72	87	100	20		4/5/6		97.6°F	3
					Normal			mate values w/c	Temporal 85	
	Electric	Auto.			Normal			entions such as	0.5	
	Monitor - Cardiac	Cuff						entions such as tion and sedation		
		d into sire	oresta i	eithaut incit	Mant and carries	Lasten all as		traps. Flight sa		5
					lated to head bl				,	-
	Lift off er r	aziag proce	onest f	rauma cente	r for bleeding o	control, bloc	od product	s and trauma sur-	geon.	
:17	Ditt off the								<u> </u>	
	Landed at lev	el 3 trauma	a cente	er. Pt celd	off loaded witho	out incldent.	. En route	to ED.		
1:20	80	112 / 74	87	100	20	Normal	4/5/6			3
						Sinus				
						Rhythm,				
						(REG)				
		Auto.			Normal			mate values w/o		
		Cuff						entions such as		
								tion and sedation		
		team given	. IV re	emains intac	r with no sign (oi inflitrati	ion. No ci	ange in pt statu	s or neuro	
	assessment.									
	Pts belonging Pt care turne				n pt which are o	out by EMS.				

Reeder,	Steve M.: Type:	Electronically Signed on 10/18/2016 18:54:05 Arizo	023
Jenkins,	Victoria: Type:	Electronically Signed on 19/18/2010 18:54:39 Ariza	on
Medica	d Director:	The second secon	

	AVASU REGIONAL MEDIC Center Lane, Lake Havasu City, AZ			Advence Die	ecilve f
PATIENT ACCOUNT NO.	REGISTRATION ADM		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	a Átt. tantanta	ECORD NO.
8795200				002224	
PATIENT (Name, Address, Prone)			ACE ETHNIC		ARY LANGUAGE
Börger Sherri L	13	ē k	1		rish
	MAR STATUS REL FC	ACMITTE	DAY W	'49' SHPAA	CONE L
	H U 75	GZA		7	- '
COUNTY: STEEDER	ADMISSION DATE & TIME		GE DATE & TIME	SERVICE	RODA/BED NG.
PHOEM:	10/18/16 11:19	10/19/10	12:21	20	· ·
	EMAIL ADDRESS	## 1.0 141141 Bellians - 1			
	sherricordoraboumail			e estatut o	
PATIENT EMPLOYER (Name, Aggress, Phone, Occ)	EMERGENCY CONTACT 1 Name Addit	ess, Phone, Kel)	EMERGENCY CON	TACT 2 Name.	Address, Phone, Reit
UNEMFLOYED	MONE PROVIDED		NOME PROV	LUEB	
			1		
PHOME:	PHÓNE:		PHONE:		
OWE: UNEMPLOYED	Redui		REL:		
GUARANTOR (Miles Aggress Phone Rel)	GUARANTOR EMPLOYER Hame, Addr	esa. Prone)	ATTENDING PHYS	ICIAN (Hame, N	mber)
			BUTLER MI		368
BORGES FHERE) I	DNEMPLOYED		ADMITTING PHYS	CIAN INCOM. No	mbeti
			BUTLER MI		889
PHONE:	HHOME:				
REL: Facient is insured			HOMSTAFF		IU.
PRIMARY INSURANCE	SECONDARY INSURANCE		TERTIARY INSUR	ANCE	
#6 008 F6 E0X 2924			1		
3					
PHOEKIX AZ 950620000					
			PHONE:		
PHONE: (60%) 868-4020	PRODE:		- M.M.		
PHONE: (602:864-4020	PROME:		TOLICY		
PHONE: (692)864-4020 POLICY# CROUS #:	POLICYA GROUP #:		COLICY#		
PHONE: (602:1864-4020	POLICY* GROUP #: GRE HAME: AUTH#:		TOLICY# GROUP #: GRP NAME: AUTH#:		
CHORE: (602)864-4020 COLICYA LROUF A: CRE MAME:	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE NAME:		COLICY# GROUP #: GRP NAME:		
PHONE: (602:864-4020 FOLIOVA ORD A: ORF MAME: AUTH4: ME/ER	POLICY* GROUP #: GRE HAME: AUTH#:		TOLICY# GROUP #: GRP NAME: AUTH#:		
PHONE: (60%: 864-4020 FOLIOVA LROUP 4: CRE MAME: AUTH#: ME/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F 40%: M DOE:	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN		
PHORE: (602)864-4020 POLICY# LROUF #: CRE MAME: AUTH#: ME/ER PAYOR FLAM: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: EEL: Spouse	POLICKS GROUP \$: GRF HAME: AUCH#: PAYOR PLAN: 0		TOLICY# GROUP #: GRP NAME: AUTH\$: PAYOR FLA	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 POLICYA LROUP #: CRF MAME: AUTH#: MT/ER PAYOR FLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M FOE: EEL: SCOUSE CHIEF COMPLAINT / ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# CRET MAME: AUTH#: WHYER PAYOR FLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: REL: Spouse CHIEF COMPLAINT ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHORE: (693:864-4020 POLICY# LROUP #: CRF MAME: AUTH#: ETF/ER PAYOR FLAR: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: HEL: Spouse CHIEF COMPLAINT / ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
CHORE: (602)864-4020 COLICTA CROUP 4: CRF MAME: AUTH4: ME/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: N DOE: REL: Shouse CHIEF COMPLAINT/ ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
CHORE: (602)864-4020 COLICTA CROUP 4: CRF MAME: AUTH4: ME/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: N DOE: REL: Shouse CHIEF COMPLAINT/ ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# GROUP #: GRF MAME: AUTH#: PMF/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: EEL: SHOUSE CHIEF COMPLAINT/ ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS PSAURA COMPLAINT	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
CHORE: (602)864-4020 COLICTA CROUP 4: CRF MAME: AUTH4: ME/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: N DOE: REL: Shouse CHIEF COMPLAINT/ ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# CRET MAME: AUTH#: WHYER PAYOR FLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: REL: Spouse CHIEF COMPLAINT ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# GROUP #: GRF MAME: AUTH#: PMF/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: EEL: SHOUSE CHIEF COMPLAINT/ ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS PSAURA COMPLAINT	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# CRET MAME: AUTH#: WHYER PAYOR FLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: REL: Spouse CHIEF COMPLAINT ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (698:864-4020 POLICY# CROUP #: CRF MAME: AUTH#: WE/ER PAYOR PLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F 48X: M DOE: ELL: Shouse CHIEF COMPLAINT ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS PEAURIA COMPLAINT	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	
PHONE: (693:864-4020 FOLICY# CRET MAME: AUTH#: WHYER PAYOR FLAN: 3 BORGER JOHN F HEX: M DOE: REL: Spouse CHIEF COMPLAINT ADMITTING DIAGNOSIS	POLICYS GROUP S: GRE HAME: AUTHS: PAYOR PLAN: 9		SEX: BYAOB HTY CLE NYWE: CLE NYWE: CLE ICAN	M: 0	

Arizona



PRID: 42762542 Flight Number: 16-190006 Trauma/Hospital #: Service: Native Air - Arizona Date: October 18, 2016 Base: Lake Havasu City Team: Critical Care Unit: Native 8-Lake Havaso City Crew 1:Pilot

Tail/Reg: Other Dispatched As: Trauma, Adult Mass Casualty: No

Vehc. Disp. GPS: 34.479543,-114.33846 Type of Svc: Interfacility Unscheduled

Mode to Ref: Not Applicable Outcome: Treated, Transported Crew 2: Primary Caregiver *Jenkins, Victoria BN Crew 3:Secondary Carediver Stevenson, Skylar (Filot)

*Reeder, Steve M.

designates an ALS Provider Mode to Rec: Not Applicable

EMT-P

Location: Havasu Regional Medical Center (MED0244)

101 Civic Center Lane Lake Bavasu City, AN 86403

928-855-8185 Ref. Zip: 86403 Ref Mohave County:

Ref. GPS: 34.4803821,-114.3388668 Ref. MD: Buler

Zip

Receiving: Hospital

University Medical Center Emergency Department 1800 West Charleston Boulevard

Las Vegas, NV 89102-2329 702-383-2000

Dest. GPS: 36.159039.-115.167468

Rec. MD: Trauma team

Last Name: Sorger First: Sherri Middle: L

Address: City:

County: Steele Country: United States DOB:

> Age: 49y Weight: 66 kg Sex: F

Height: 67 in IBW: 61.6

Race: White, son-Hispanic

Billing Information:

Onset: 10:30 Standby: 11:39 Dispatch: 11:39 EnRoute: 11:39 At Ref: 11:39 At Patient: 11:45 Leave w/ Pt: 12:40

Times

Leave Ref: 12:45 At Rec: 13:28

Transfer Care Dest: 13:30 Available: 14:00 In Otrs: 16:30 Call Completed: 17:00

Scene Information

Patient Belongings: 1 bag of belongings

Chief Complaint (Category: Trauma, Adult)

right arm partial amputation

Anatomic Location: Extremity - Upper

History of Present Illness

At or around 1030 this am pt was riding as a passenger in an ATV which relied on its side. Pt was in seat belt restraints, no helmet. Et stuck her arm out when ATV rolled onto its side catching her arm between ATV and the sandy/dirt ground. Pts arm was partially amputated just above the elbow with only a small amount of skin holding lower arm on. EMS requested N8 to the scene. N8 transported pt to Havasu Regional Medical Center for initial treatment and stabilization. Trauma services at this location could not handle this case. N8 was then requested for rapid air transport to UMC-las Vegas Level 1 Traums Center. Sending (scility is a trauma center level 4, but pt required more intense surgery than sending could provide. Rapid air transport was requester due to pts condition to reduce mortality and morbidity. Air transport time of < 90 minutes vs > 190 minutes by ground EMS.

Medical History	Current Medications	Allergies
None	None	None
Obtained From: Not Recorded		

Neurological Exam

Level of Consciousness: Alert Loss of Consciousness: No

Chemically Paralyzed: No

Neurological Present: No deficit noted

Mental Present: Oriented-Person, Oriented-Place,

Glasgow Coma Scale E V M Tot Int: 4 5 € ≈ 15

Offensed-fine

Pupils Left Right Size: 3mm 3mm React: Reactive Reactive

Status: Patent

Motor Sensory LA: Normal Normal RA: Flaccid Absent LL: Normal Normal RL: Normal Normal

Airway

Effort: Normal

Sounds: L: Clear R: Clear

Oxygen: 3 lpm via NC Performed By: Other

Respiratory

Healthcare Provider

Resp. Spontaneous Exam:

Cardiovascular

JVD: Not Appreciated Cap. Refill: Less than 2 Seconds

Edema: Not Appreciated

Temp: 97.3 °F via Axillary

Pulses Left

Right

Carotio:

Radial: Strong Absent Femoral:

Injury Details

Reason for Encounter: Injury/Trauma

Trauma Center Criteria: - Amputation proximal to wrist or ankle

Drugs/Alcohol?: Unknown Intentional: No

Landed On: Right Side/Arm

Work Related: No.

Injury Cause: Accidentally Caught In Or Between Object

Hechanism: MVC - Off road Equipment: Shoulder And Lap Selt Risk Factors Present: EMS Provider Juagment

Initial Physical Findings

Assessment

Head: Normal Findings: Normal cephalic, no deformity

Neck Findings: No Deformity

Chest: Normal Findings: Equal rise and fall

Abdominal Appearance: Not Distended Abdominal Palpation: Soft, non-tender Abdominal Bowel Sounds: Not assessed

Pelvis: Normal Findings: Intact and Stable

Back Findings: Deferred

Left Arm: Normal

Right Arm: Lacerations, Deformity

Left Leg: Normal Right Leg: Normal

Extremity Findings: intact, no deformity Skin: Warm Findings: Pink, warm and dry

Fluids Before & During Transport

IVs Prior to Assessment

INTAKE OUTPUT Site Solution Rate Performed By w/o EMS Provider Before During Before During 18 left AC NS Left Forearm Saline Lock

UO: mil

Medication 100 mL

Arizona

		Medications /	Infusions Prior	to Assessmen	1t.
Time	IV# / Other Route	Medication	Concentration	Dose	Performed By
	IV#1	Fentanyl		100 mag	EMS Provider
- 1	1741	Fentanyl		200 mag	Other Healthcare Provider
	IV#1	Versed		2 mg	Other Healthcare Provider
- 1	IV#1	Cetarolin		2 gm	Other Healthcare Provider

Impression / Diagnosis
System: Extremities
System: Extremities Symptoms: Bleeding
Impression: Traumatic injury

						Activity					
Time	H.R.	B.P.	MAP	RA Sp02	ETCO2	Resp	Rhythm	GCS	ECG Method	Glu	Pain
	H.R.	Method	LOC			Resp Effort			CS Qual	Cabin	
	Method	1			L		<u> </u>			Temp	<u> </u>
	Comment										
:45	78	120 / 51	74	97		20	Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG				6
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert			Normal		interve	ate values w/o ntions such as ion and sedation		
	Pt contact m extremities, Flight crew	blood work	k, irri	igation an			in room asses	ssing pt. M	t) ordering X∞ray	s of tig	n.t.
:00	70	105 / 61	76	99		20	Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG				
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert			Normal					
	Tourniquet r dressing to	emains in p right arm.	place, Ft res	bleeding bused bloo-	is not a d pre du	controlled com cts related to	pletely with t religion.	tourniquet.	ped with pressur Trauma MD order		
					ny1200 i	mng and versed			d pain.		
15	6B	110 / 68	82	100		20	Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG			151	4
	Electric Monitor -	Auto. Cuff	Alert			Normal			ate values w/o		
								intubati plete. Pt i	ntions such as ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of any	with c-co	
	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt panisking an Plan of care	nout strap: is easy to d starks to discussed	s. Pt : o talk o yell with p	nmobilize down but and move of and pts with all	d fully pt stay: left an spouse	on back board s calm only fo m frantically. , pts spouse a le straps. Pt	with c-collar r a very short Propag monitorigned consent covered with 1	intubat: plete. Pt i r. Pt is co period of or on pt. Pt transf warm blac	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of and time before sta erred with 4 per	with c-co tiety and sting she	is is
:25	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt panisking an Plan of care	nout strap: is easy to d starks to discussed	s. Pt : o talk o yell with p	immobilize down but and move of and pts	d fully pt stay: left an spouse	on back board s calm only fo m frantically. , pts spouse s	with c-collar r a very short Propag monito igned consent	intubat: plete. Pt i r. Pt is co. period of pr on pt. Pt transf warm blen	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of and time before sta erred with 4 per	with c-co tiety and sting she	is is
:25	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt panisking an Plan of care transport gu	nout strap: is easy to distants to discussed rney and so 110 / 64 Auto. Cuff	s. 20 : c talk c yell with p ecured 79	immobilize down but and move and pts with all	d fully pt stay left an speuse availab	on back board s calm only for m frantically. , pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal	with c-collar f a very short Programment Igned concent covered with 1 Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG	intubation of the control of the con	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of any time before sta erred with 4 per keth.	with c-co tiety and sting she	is is
	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parisking an Plan of care transport go 88 IV's 18 ga 1	nout strape is easy to discussed rney and se 110 / 64 Auto. Cuff eft forean	s. Pt : c talk c yell with [ecured 79	mmcbilize down but and move st and pts with all 100	d fully pt stay; left an spease availab	on back board s calm only for m frantically. , pts spouse a le straps. Pt	with c-collar x a very short Propag monitor igned consent covered with : Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG	intubation of the control of the con	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of any time before sta erred with 4 per keth.	with c-co tiety and sting she	is is
1:35	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parisking an Plan of care transport go 88 IV's 18 ga 1 ETC02 NC at	neut strap is easy to d starts to discussed rney and s 110 / 64 Auto. Cuff eft foream 2 It on ot to be agin	s. Pt : o talk o yell with pecured 79 m and : retata	immobilized down but and move than distant pts with all 100	d fully pt otay left are speece availab 38 At bot cation	on back board s calm only for fractically, pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal h flush well w administration	with c-collar x a very short Propag monit igned consent covered with : Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG ith no sign c: during ED str	intubation of the control of the con	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of any time before sta erred with 4 per keth.	with c-criety and tring she son lift	18 18 20
1:35	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parisking an Plan of care transport go 88 IV's 18 ga 1 ETC92 NC at Pt continues	neut strap is easy to d starts to discussed rney and s 110 / 64 Auto. Cuff eft foream 2 It on ot to be agin	s. Pt : o talk o yell with pecured 79 m and : retata	immobilized down but and move than distant pts with all 100	d fully pt otay left are speece availab 38 At bot cation	on back board s calm only for fractically, pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal h flush well w administration	with c-collar x a very short Propag monit igned consent covered with : Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG ith no sign c: during ED str	intubation of the control of the con	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of an lime before sta erred with 4 per kets.	with c-criety and tring she son lift	18 18 20
:35 Ved.	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parinking an Plan of care transport ou 88 IV's 18 ga 1 ETC02 NC at Pt continues Steve M. Ree 101 Electric Monitor - Cardiac	nout stiap: is easy to is easy to do starts to discoused rney and so 110 / 64 Auto. Cuff eft foream 2 it en ot to be agin der. 92 / 71 Auto. Cuff	s. Pt to talk to talk to yell with pecured 79 m and : relate 78 Alert	immobilized down but and most obtained pts with all 100 life galeft ed to mediand yellin 100	d fully pt stay, loft are speuse availab Ar bot cation.	on back board s calm only for m frantically, , pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal h flush well w administration ng she is frea 22 Normal	with c-collar a very short and very short Propag monitoring and consent covered with a Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG ith no sign of during ED strang cut. Lend 4 Lead, Sinus Tachycardia (REG)	intubation intubation intubation in the price of property of the period of property in the period in the period in the period in the property in the period in the period of the	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of any time before sta erred with 4 per kets. MG via IV - Pur mate values w/o ntions such as ion and sedation	with c-cuiety and atting she stong she son lift	is is to
:35 Ved. :40 Med.	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parinking an Plan of care transport oc 88 IV's 18 ga 1 ETC02 NC at Pt continues Steve M. Ree 101 Electric Monitor - Cardiac Depart for E providing vor	nout stiap: is easy to is easy to described responded re	s. Pt : o talk to talk o yell with ecured 79	immobilize down but and moviz bit and pts with all 100 if ga left ed to medi nod yellin 100	d fully pt stay, lots you to a your spouse availab 38 ar bot castion. At bot price for bag will be be a your stay with the stay will be a your stay with the stay will be a your stay.	on back board s calm only for fractically, pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal h flush well w administration ng she is frea 22 Normal t states she i calming of pt.	with c-collar a very short racycerd wonty from the covered with 1 Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG ith no sign coduring ED strang Cut at Lead, Sinus Tachycardia (REG) s naumeated as g for noted d	intubation intubation in the price of the period of pron pr. Price of price of pron pr. Price of price	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of an plaine before sta erred with 4 per kets. MG via IV - Pur mate values w/o ntions such as	with c-citety and string she son lift that the s	is is to
:35 Ved.	Pt care turn in place wit restless. Pt parinking an Plan of care transport oc 88 IV's 18 ga 1 ETC02 NC at Pt continues Steve M. Ree 101 Electric Monitor - Cardiac Depart for E providing vor	nout stiap: is easy to is easy to described responded re	s. Pt : o talk to talk o yell with ecured 79	immobilize down but and moviz bit and pts with all 100 if ga left ed to medi nod yellin 100	d fully pt stay, lots you to a your spouse availab 38 ar bot castion. At bot price for bag will be be a your stay with the stay will be a your stay with the stay will be a your stay.	on back board s calm only for m frantically, , pts spouse s le straps. Pt 20 Normal h flush well w administration ng she is frea 22 Normal t states she is calming of pt. th blood tubin	with c-collar a very short racycerd wonty from the covered with 1 Normal Sinus Rhythm, (REG ith no sign coduring ED strang Cut at Lead, Sinus Tachycardia (REG) s naumeated as g for noted d	intubation intubation in the price of the period of pron pr. Price of price of pron pr. Price of price	ion and sedation s on back board mplaining of an lime before sta erred with 4 per kets. MG via IV - Put tate values w/o ntions such as ion and sedation h anxiety level.	with c-citety and string she son lift that the s	is is to

Arizona

Med.							e dealing with all of thes by Victoria Jenkins, Compl	
12:50	105	90 / 65	73	98	18	4 Lead, Sinus Tachycardia (REG)	4/4/6	4
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert		Normal		Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation	85F
	2nd NS holus	ascent w	eli. Gen etarted	iamycin dri rajstad to	p 40mg/100 mi star orop in blood pre	red on minimmed	at 100 ml/hr per sending	racility.
12:55	ZHO NO LOTES	0. 1.00 111	. Degreeu	147255 00	drop in blood pre			
**************************************	Pr is yelling Push given by			t arm aroun	d with risk of pal	ling out IV's at	this time. Midazolam, 2.	5 MG via IV -
13:00	107	96 / 80	85	99	24	4 Lead,Sinus Tachycardia (REG)	4/4/6	4
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert		Normal		Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation	85
	3rd bolus of	NS 250 ml	started	for blood	pressure control.			
13:05	Pr complains	of extres	e anviet	ž.				
13:10	100	116 / 84	95	99	22	4 Lead,Sinus Tachycardia (REG)	4/4/6	4
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert		Normal		Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation	85
Ved 13:10	Pt tolerating	g max alti	tude with	nout altitu	de issues. Lorarep	am, 0.5 MG via I	V - Push given by Victori	a Jenkins.
		MS staite			al reassurance no pressure on press		ive. am, 2.5 MG via IV - Push	given by
13:20	102	124 / 89	101	100	20	4 Lead, Sinus Tachycardia (REG)	4/4/6	
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert		Norma1		Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation	85
13:28	NS placed at	TKO rate.						
72:58	•							
Operations					t well without cha trols, Patient Off	load - Cold, Pat	loaded without issue. En	route to
13:30	101	122 / 91	101	99	24	4 Lead,Sinus Tachycardla (REG)	4/4/6	4
	Electric Monitor - Cardiac	Auto. Cuff	Alert		Normal		Legitimate values w/o interventions such as intubation and sedation	
Waster Med.	Pt transferre is yelling as Pt care turns	nd non-coc ed over. E	perative Ets belon	with staff gings bag l	eft at bedside.	ca ca	th well with no cign of in oria Jenkins. Witnessed b	
	S. Reeder CB							y

							Belongings Belongings
Reeder, St	eve M.: Type:	Electro	nically	Signed an	19/18/2	016 17:10:	32 Arizona
Jenkins, Vi	ctoría: Type:	Electro	nically	Signed an	10/15/2	015 18:19:	28 Arizona
Medical I	Director:						

Exhibit "H"

Exhibit "H"

UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER 1800 West Charleston Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

ADMITTED: 10/18/2016 DISCHARGED: 10/29/2016

ADMITTING DIAGNOSES:

- 1. Status post all-terrain vehicle rollover. 2. Near amputation of right upper extremity.
- 3. Acute blood loss anemia.
- Class IV hemorrhagic shock. 5. Altered mental status secondary to blood loss anemia.
- 6. Ventilator-dependent respiratory failure.

DISCHARGE DIAGNOSES:

- 1. Symptomatic anemia. The patient refused transfusions as patient ริร 🌉
- Status post right arm amputation.
 Symptomatic anemia.
- 4. Véntilator-dependent respiratory failure, resolved.

Please see dictated transfer summary by the trauma service. I received the patient after patient had a right arm amputation from an ATV rollover. The patient refuses all transfusions and understands the risks of this.

Latest hemoglobin and hematocrit showed a hemoglobin of 3.8 and hematocrit of 11.9. Again, they have refused transfusion during the stay here. I was giving the patient iron.

They refused any further blood products. They understand the risk. I have explained to them the dangers of flying and traveling. They still, however, want to go. We will discharge the patient with the following medications.

- 1. Ferrous sulfate 325 milligrams 1 tablet t.i.d.
- Gabapentin 300 milligrams 1 tablet t.i.d.
 Oxycodone 10 milligrams 1 tablet t.i.d. p.r.n. for pain.

DISPOSITION: Home in stable condition.

EXAMINATION ON DISCHARGE: EXTREMITIES: Right arm amputation. CHEST: Clear to auscultation bilaterally.

FOLLOWUP: Follow up with Dr. Monroe in 1 week, but the patient will most likely fly to Minnesota. The patient can follow up there with an orthopedist in Minnesota.

CODE STATUS: Full code.

Discharge planning took 1 hour.

RLO/MedQ DD: 10/29/2016 11:06:51 DT: 10/29/2016 12:41:56

RICHARD L ONGTENGCO, MD

PATIENT: BORGER, SHERRI MR#: 0030131185 ADM DATE: 10/18/2016 JOB#: 153605/718672133

ACCOUNT#: 9929088152

DICTATED BY: RICHARD L ONGTENGCO, MD

DISCHARGE SUMMARY

cc:

Ongtengco, Richard L

Electronically Authenticated and Edited by: Richard L Ongtengco, MD On 11/10/2016 08:42 PM PST

Exhibit "I"

Exhibit "I"

Deposition of:	Dep	ositi	ion	of:
----------------	-----	-------	-----	-----

Tracy Waddington

Case:

John Borger, et al. v. Sandbar Powersports LLC, et al. A-17-751896-C

Date:

04/10/2018



400 South Seventh Street • Suite 400, Box 7 • Las Vegas, NV 89101 702-476-4500 | www.oasisreporting.com | info@oasisreporting.com

COURT REPORTING | NATIONAL SCHEDULING | VIDEOCONFERENCING | VIDEOGRAPHY

```
1
        JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
 2
                 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
 3
 4
     JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER, )
 5
                  Plaintiffs,
                                        CASE NO.
 6
                                        A-17-751896-C
                  vs.
 7
     SANDBAR POWERSPORTS LLC,
                                        DEPT. NO. XXV
     DOES I through X; ROE
 8
     CORPORATIONS XI through XX,
     inclusive, and POLARIS
 9
     INDUSTRIES, INC.,
10
                  Defendants.
11
12
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TRACY WADDINGTON
13
                Taken on Tuesday, April 10, 2018
14
                           At 9:50 a.m.
15
           At the Law Offices of Litchfield Cavo, LLP
16
                   3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
17
                       Las Vegas, Nevada
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
         REPORTED BY: DANA TAVAGLIONE, RPR, CCR 841
```

111103	Waddington John Borger, et al. v. Sandoar Powersports LEC, et al.
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For the Plaintiffs:
4	KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP BY: KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQ.
5	1010 Lamar Suite 1600
6	Houston, Texas 77002 713.221.8300
7	kyle@thetirelawyers.com
8	For the Defendant Sandbar Powersports, LLC:
9	LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP
10	BY: GRIFFITH H. HAYES, ESQ. 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway
11	Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
12	702.949.3100 hayes@litchfieldcavo.com
13	-
14	For the Defendant Polaris Industries:
15	JOHNSON, TRENT & TAYLOR, LLP BY: T. CHRISTOPHER TRENT, ESQ.
16	919 Milam Street Suite 1700
17	Houston, Texas 77002 713.222.2323
18	ctrent@johnsontrent.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 Q. Do you have a specific recollection of that? 2 Α. No. 3 Ο. Okay. How do you know you took it? Just 4 because you take all the reservations. 5 I take all the reservations. Α. 6 Q. Totally fair. 7 Α. Yes. 8 But you don't have a specific recollection Q. 9 of that phone call or --10 Α. No. 11 MR. FARRAR: Okay. 12 MR. HAYES: My pen ran out of ink. 13 MR. FARRAR: Yeah, yeah. 14 MR. HAYES: We don't need to go off the 15 I'll just get it right here. record. 16 MR. FARRAR: We'll just stay on. 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record, 18 yes. 19 Yeah, yeah. MR. FARRAR: 20 (Pause in the proceedings.) 21 MR. HAYES: Okay. 22 BY MR. FARRAR: 23 Ms. Waddell (sic), can you walk me through Q. 24 what you recall about your interactions with the 25 Borgers in October of 2016.

<u>-</u> -	John Dolger, William 1. Sunday 1. Su
1	A. They came in the office. I greeted them.
2	We started talking, asking who was going to be
3	driving the vehicle, who was going to be the
4	responsible for the vehicle; and I tell them to go
5	over the paperwork. The daughter was standing by
6	the door. The son was standing closest by the dad,
7	by the counter where we do everything.
8	And then that's at that time, I asked
9	the ages of the children, just to make sure they
10	were old enough if they were going to drive; if they
11	were not old enough, to explain to them that they
12	could not drive; and if they were 18 and under, they
13	would have to wear a helmet.
14	That's another safety thing that I do in
1.5	the office is to make sure anyone that is 18 or
16	under has to, by law, wear it. Any adults, I ask
17	them if they would like a helmet. If not, they
18	could sign off on the waiver.
١9	Q. Your recollection is all four were inside?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. The the entire time that you had the
22	the signing of the paperwork and whatnot?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Everybody signed something called a
25	Participant Agreement Release and Assumption of the

1		CERTIFICATE	OF DEPONENT	
2	PAGE LINE	CHANGE	REASON	
3				1-041-040-040-040-040-040-040-040-040-04
4		<u></u>		Week all and the second and the seco
5	<u></u>			
6	4-04-04-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-			
7	***************************************			
8				
9				
10				
11				**************************************
12				PARAMUTANAH SAASIA SAA
13				
14				
15	<u> </u>			·····
16		* *	* * *	
17	_		leponent herein, thin and forego	_
18	transcriptio	on to be my dep	osition in said that I have read	action;
19			fix my signature	
20	deboercrou.			
21				
22		TRACY WADDING	TON, Deponent	Date
23				
24				
25				

1.	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Dana J. Tavaglione, a Certified Court Reporter, licensed by the State of Nevada, do hereby
4	certify:
5 6	That I reported the deposition of the witness, TRACY WADDINGTON, commencing on April 10, 2018, at 9:50 a.m.;
7	That prior to being examined, the witness was by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the
8	whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that I thereafter transcribed my related shorthand notes
9	into typewriting and that the typewritten transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and accurate
10	record of testimony provided by the witness at said time.
11	I further certify (1) that I am not a relative
12	or employee of an attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of any attorney
13	or counsel involved in said action, nor a person financially interested in the action; and (2) that
14	pursuant to Rule 30(e), transcript review by the witness was requested.
15	TN WITNESS HEREOF I have because set my hand
16	in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this 25th day of April 2018.
17	
18	Janualiene Land
19	DANA J. TAVACLIONE, RPR, CCR NO. 841
20	~
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Exhibit "J"

Exhibit "J"

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 10/23/2017 2:15 PM

ĺ		
1	Kyle W. Farrar, Esq.	
2	Kaster, Lynch, Farrar & Ball, L.L.P. 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600	
3	Houston, TX 77002	
4	TEL: (713) 221-8300 FAX: (713) 221-8301	
•	ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE	
5	EMAIL: kyle@fbtrial.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7 8	IN THE JUDICIAL DISTRICT CO IN AND FOR THE C	URT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA OUNTY OF CLARK
9	JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER,	
10	Plaintiffs,	Case No. A-17-751896-C XXV
11	V.	
12		
	SANBAR POWERSPORTS LLC. DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS XI	PLAINTIFF, SHERRI BORGER'S
13	through XX, inclusive,	RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT, SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC'S
14	Defendants.	FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR
15		ADMSSIONS
16	COMES NOW, the Plaintiffs, John Bor	ger and Sherri Borger, by and through counsel,
17		
18	and hereby serve their Responses to Defenda	int Sandbar Power Sports, LLC's First Set of
19	Requests for Admissions:	
20	ANSWERS TO REQUE	ST FOR ADMISSIONS
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:	
22	Admit that you signed and initialed the docume	ent titled "Rental Contract" a conv of which is
23	attached hereto as Exhibit A.	on thick, Remai Contract, a copy of which is
24	RESPONSE:	
25	Admit.	
26	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:	
27	Admit that you were over the age of 18 wher	you signed and initialed the document titled
28	"Rental Contract", a copy of which is attached h	

1	RESPONSE:
2	Admit.
3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:
4 5	Admit that you were not under the influence of drugs or alcohol when you signed and initialed the document titled, "Rental Contract", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
6	RESPONSE:
7	Admit.
8 9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:
10	Admit that you were not under duress when you signed and initialed the document titled, "Rental Contract", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. For the purposes of this
11	Request, "duress" is defined as "any threat, constraint or other action, forcing you to do something against your will or better judgment."
12 13	RESPONSE:
13	Admit.
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:
16 17	Admit that you signed and initialed the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
18	RESPONSE:
19	Admit.
20	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:
21	Admit that you were over the age of 18 when you signed and initialed the document titled,
22	"Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
23	RESPONSE:
24	Admit.
25	
2627	,
28	

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:
2	Admit that you were not under the influence of drugs or alcohol when you signed and initialed the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
4 5	RESPONSE:
6	Admit.
7	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:
8 9 10	Admit that you were not under duress when you signed and initialed the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B. For the purposes of this Request, "duress" is defined as "any threat, constraint or other action, forcing you to do something against your will or better judgment."
11	RESPONSE:
12	Admit.
13	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:
14 15	Admit that your son, Foster Borger ("F.B."), was driving the RZR at the time of the Subject Accident.
16	RESPONSE:
17	Admit.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:
19 20	Admit that F.B. was under the age of 18 at the time of the Subject Accident.
21	RESPONSE:
22	Admit.
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:
24	Admit that F.B. was under the age of 25 at the time of the Subject Accident
25	RESPONSE:
26	Admit.
27	
28	

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:
2	Admit that F.B. had never driven a RZR prior to the date of the Subject Accident. RESPONSE:
4	Admit.
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13:
6	Admit that prior to taking possession of the RZR, you participated in an inspection of the
7	vehicle with an employee of SANDBAR.
8	RESPONSE:
9	Admit.
10	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14:
11	Admit that prior to taking possession of the RZR, an employee of SANDBAR spoke to you
12	regarding how to safely operate the RZR
13	RESPONSE:
14	Deny.
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15:
16 17	Admit that an employee of SANDBAR advised that anyone under the age of 25 was prohibited from driving the RZR.
18	RESPONSE:
19	Deny.
20	
21	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 16:
22	Admit that you have never been an employee of SANDBAR.
23	RESPONSE:
24	Admit.
25	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 17:
26	Admit that you read the document titled, "Rental Contract", a copy of which is attached hereto
27	as Exhibit A before you signed and/or initialed it.
28	

1	RESPONSE:
2	Deny.
3	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 18:
4 5	Admit that you understood the document titled, "Rental Contract", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, before you signed and/or initialed it.
6	RESPONSE:
7	Deny.
8 9	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 19:
10	Admit that you read the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, before you signed and/or initialed it.
11	RESPONSE:
12 13	Deny
14	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 20:
15 16	Admit that you understood the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, before you signed and/or initialed it.
17	RESPONSE:
18	Deny.
19	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21:
20	
21	Admit that you understood the document titled, "Participant Agreement, Release and Assumption of the Risk", a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B, before you signed
22	and/or initialed it.
23	RESPONSE:
24	Deny
25	David Ostalou 2019 2019
26	Dated: October 23 rd , 2017 By KYLE W. FARRAR, ESQ.
27	KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TY 77002
28	Houston, TX 77002 5

713.221.8300 Admitted Pro Hac Vice

&

CHAD A. BOWERS, ESQ. Nevada Bar #: 007283 CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD. 3202 West Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-457-1001

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I hereby certify that on the 23 rd day of October, 2017, I served a true and correct copy or					
3	the foregoing PLAINTIFF, SHERRI BORGER'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT,					
4	SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS					
5						
6	by sending a copy of the same via Odyssey E-File NV, the Court's electronic filing/service					
7	program to the following:					
8	Griffith H. Hayes, Esquire					
9	Keivan A. Roebuck, Esquire LITCHFIELD CAVO, LLP					
10	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 hayes@litchfieldcavo.com roebuck@litchfieldcavo.com					
11						
12						
13	Chad A. Bowers, Esquire CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD.					
14	3202 West Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89102					
15	bowers@lawyer.com					
16						

Exhibit "K"

Exhibit "K"

ELECTRONICALLY SERVED 6/6/2018 12:50 PM

1	RSPN				
2	JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE Nevada Bar No.: 8729				
3	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,				
	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP				
4	300 South 4th Street, 11 th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101				
5	(702) 727-1400; FAX (702) 727-1401				
6	Jennifer.Arledge@wilsonelser.com				
7	T. CHRISTOPHER TRENT				
	Admitted pro hac vice DAVID J. BALUK				
8	Admitted pro hac vice				
9	JOHNSON, TRENT & TAYLOR, LLP				
10	919 Milam Street, Suite 1500 Houston, TX 77002				
11	(713) 222-2323; FAX (713) 222-2226				
	ctrent@johnsontrent.com dbaluk@johnsontrent.com				
12	Attorneys for Defendant				
13	POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.				
14	DISTRICT COURT				
15	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
16		•			
17	JOHN BORGER and SHERRI BORGER,	1			
18	Plaintiffs,	DEPT NO:	XXV		
-	Vs.				
19	GANDDAD DOUTEDODODTO II O DOEGI		VT POLARIS INDUSTRIES, ECTIONS AND ANSWERS		
20	SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC, DOES I through X; ROE CORPORATIONS XI through	TO SANDB	AR POWERSPORTS, LLC'S		
21	XX, inclusive, and POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.,	FIRST SET	OF INTERROGATORIES		
22	Defendants.	•			
		j	•		
23	Defendant, POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.	("Polaris"),	by and through its attorneys of		
24	A AMPLIANCE MALE AND A PROPERTY TO CALL	, , ,	NUT OAN DI ODD MAGEAUET		
25	record, JENNIFER WILLIS ARLEDGE, ESQ., of the	ie iaw iimi oi	WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ		
26	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP, hereby serves its Objections and Answers to Sandbar Powersports				
27					
28					
	1326594v.1				
l					

LLC's ("Sandbar") First Set of Interrogatories pursuant to the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT AND GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. The "Subject Vehicle" is a 2017 Polaris RZR 4 900 EPS.
- 2. Polaris expressly preserves its rights to object to jurisdiction and venue on behalf of itself, its successor or predecessor firms or corporations, subsidiaries, parent corporations, or other entities affiliated with Polaris, yet not named as a party to this lawsuit.
- 3. Polaris' responses are based on information presently available and are given without prejudice to its right to supplement or modify them based on the discovery of additional or different information. By making this response, Polaris does not concede that the information disclosed or documents produced are properly discoverable or admissible at trial.
- 4. Polaris objects to the extent each discovery request imposes a greater duty on it than allowed under the law of Nevada, and to the extent each seeks information that is both irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 5. Polaris is a party. Successor or predecessor firms or corporations, parent corporations, holding companies, subsidiaries, present and former employees or officers, directors, agents, employees and any and all other persons, firms or corporations acting or purporting to act on behalf of Polaris are not parties to this lawsuit.
- 6. Modifiers, whether articulated or implied, which require responses to "any" or "all" discovery requests create limitless discovery and are generally impermissible in product liability litigation. Definitions and discovery requests containing references to "any" or "all" are overly broad, overly encompassing, and do not appropriately tailor the request to the scope of discovery given the nature of the product and the nature of claimed defect, whatever it might be.
- 7. Polaris further objects that these requests are unduly burdensome to the extent that they purport to require Polaris to conduct open-ended searches through its many different computer systems, servers, databases, and back-up tapes in an attempt to locate all documents and/or information that Sandbar purports to describe in these requests. Polaris will conduct a good-faith search for responsive documents/information in the areas, including certain readily- accessible electronic databases, where Polaris reasonably expects responsive information/documents to be found. To the extent that any search must be conducted that is beyond reasonably accessible electronic databases, Sandbar should be required by the Court to bear the costs of such a search.
- 8. Polaris further objects to the extent that these requests seek information protected from discovery by the attorney-client, work product and/or consulting expert privileges.
- 9. To the extent that any information or documents sought by these requests are confidential, Polaris objects to producing any confidential documents or providing any confidential information unless and until an appropriate Protective Order of Confidentiality has been entered in this case.

- 10. Polaris objects to each request and part thereof to the extent that it seeks production of trade secret information. The inadvertent disclosure of this information would irreparably harm Polaris' business interests. Further, Sandbar has failed to show that such information is both relevant and necessary to prove an essential element of Sandbar's prima facie case.
- 11. Polaris also objects to the production of confidential or trade secret information on constitutional grounds, because the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution forbids the government from "taking" private property for "public use without just compensation." U.S. CONST. AMEND. V. Pursuant to the Fifth Amendment's "Takings Clause," a court order constitutes "state action," and trade secrets are "property." Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto Co., 467 U.S. 986, 1002-3 (1984); US v. O'Hagen, 521 U.S. 642,654 (1997); In re Remington Arms Co., Inc., 952 F.2d 1029, 1032 (8th Cir. 1991); Philip Morris, Inc. v. Reilly, 312 F.3d 24 (1st Cir. 2002) (en banc); Saini v. Int'l Game Tech., 434 F. Supp. 2d 913, 919 (D. Nev. 2006).
- 12. Polaris objects to each and every of Sandbar's discovery requests to the extent they seek information beyond the scope of discovery.
- 13. These general objections and responses are applicable to and incorporated in each response below as though specifically set forth in them. The assertion of specific objections to any particular discovery request is not intended to be and should not be construed as a waiver or modification of Polaris' Preliminary Statement and General Objections. Additionally, unless otherwise specifically stated, Polaris' objections to each discovery request apply to the entire discovery request, including each and every subparagraph. Objections made herein are made on advice of counsel in order to protect responding party from unwarranted invasion of its privacy, records, and files. Incorporating its Preliminary Statement and General Objections into each response, Polaris responds as follows:

POLARIS' ANSWERS TO SANDBAR'S INTERROGATORIES

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 1</u>: Identify all entities which assisted in the manufacturing of the product.

ANSWER:

Polaris originally manufactured the 2017 Polaris RZR 4 900 EPS, VIN: 4XAVCE871HB682552 ("Subject RZR"). Polaris understands the Subject RZR was altered and/or modified by Sandbar after it was sold. As such, Polaris' investigation into any subsequent changes to the original equipment condition of the Subject Vehicle after its sale is ongoing. Accordingly, Polaris reserves the right to supplement this answer in accordance with the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure and any Order of this Court.

Polaris objects to the extent this Interrogatory is overbroad, seeks information in the exclusive possession of and/or equally available to Sandbar, contains undefined terms, including but not limited to the terms "assisted in the manufacturing of the product," and not properly limited in time or scope as it is not limited to the Subject Vehicle or the claims and defenses at issue in this litigation. This Interrogatory constitutes an impermissible "fishing

Page 3 of 15

expedition" without adequate focus on the facts and circumstances involved in this case. Polaris also objects to the extent this Interrogatory is unduly burdensome as it seeks information that may be found in documents produced during the course of discovery. Polaris further objects to the extent this Interrogatory seeks information that is protected by business-confidential and/or trade secret privileges pursuant to Chapter 49 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Nevada law.

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 2:</u> State the name and address of each entity within the distributive chain with regard to the product, from the time of manufacture up to and including the time said product was sold to SANDBAR.

ANSWER:

Polaris Industries, Inc. originally manufactured the 2017 Polaris RZR 4 900 EPS, VIN: 4XAVCE871HB682552 ("Subject RZR").

The Subject RZR was sold through Polaris' subsidiary, Polaris Sales, Inc., to GEI, LLC dba Parker Yamaha, 800 S. California Ave., Parker, Arizona 85344.

GEI, LLC dba Havasu Powersports, 1040 N. Lake Havasu Ave., Lake Havasu City, Arizona then sold the Subject RZR to Sandbar Powersports, LLC, 1595 Countryshire Ave., Lake Havasu City, Arizona 86403.

Polaris objects to the extent this Interrogatory is overbroad, contains undefined terms, including but not limited to the terms "within the distributive chain," and not properly limited in time or scope as it is not limited to the Subject Vehicle or the claims and defenses at issue in this litigation. Polaris also objects to the extent this Interrogatory seeks information in the exclusive possession of and/or equally available to Sandbar.

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 3</u>: Please identify what department/corporate division and persons of POLARIS that had responsibility for approving the design of the product before it was marketed for sale.

ANSWER:

Polaris will produce ANSI/ROHVA 1-2014 compliance documentation for the Subject Vehicle following the entry of an appropriate Protective Order.

Polaris objects to the extent this Interrogatory is overbroad and not properly limited in time or scope as it is not limited to the Subject Vehicle or the claims and defenses at issue in this litigation. This Interrogatory constitutes an impermissible "fishing expedition" without adequate focus on the facts and circumstances involved in this case. Polaris also objects to the extent this Interrogatory is unduly burdensome as it seeks information that may be found in documents produced during the course of discovery. Polaris further objects to the extent this Interrogatory seeks information that is protected by business-confidential and/or trade secret privileges pursuant to Chapter 49 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Nevada law.

Borger v. Polaris, case no. A-17-751896-C Answers to Sandbar's First Interrogatories DATED this Qday of June, 2018. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Wevada Bar No.: 8729 300 South 4th Street, 11th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Defendant POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC. Page 14 of 15

1326594v.I

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1	CONTRICATE OF SERVICE				
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ				
3	EDELMAN & DICKER LLP, and that on this day of June, 2018, I served a true and correct copy				
4	of the foregoing DEFENDANT POLARIS INDUSTRIES, INC.'S OBJECTIONS AND				
5	ANSWERS TO SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES				
6	as follows:				
7	as follows.				
8 9		by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada;			
10		via electronic means by operation of the Court's electronic filing system, upon each party in this case who is registered as an electronic case filing user with the Clerk;			
11		via hand-delivery to the addressees listed below;			
12		via facsimile;			
14		by transmitting via email the document listed above to the email address set forth			
15		below on this date before 5:00 p.m.			
16					
17	l .	OWERS, LTD. Melanie Bernstein Chapman, Esq.			
18	3202 W. Cha Las Vegas, N				
19	Attorneys for	Plaintiffs Las Vegas, NV 8919 Attorneys for Defendant			
20	Kyle W. Farrar SANDBAR POWERSPORTS, LLC				
21	KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL, LLP 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002 Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
22					
23					
24					
25	BY MA				
26	An Employee of Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP 794544				
27					
28	1204504	Page 15 of 15			

Respondent's Appendix 132