

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHALESE MARIE SOLINGER,
Appellant,
vs.
LOUIS C. SCHNEIDER ESQ.,
Respondent.

No. 81787

FILED

NOV 16 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER MODIFYING CAPTION AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

On October 16, 2020, this court entered an order directing the parties and attorney Louis C. Schneider to inform this court who the correct respondent in this matter is. Appellant has responded and states that the proper respondent is Mr. Schneider. Mr. Schneider agrees that he should be the respondent. Accordingly, the clerk of this court shall modify the caption of this matter consistent with the caption on this order.

Review of the docketing statement and documents before this court reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. It appears that the challenged order is not substantively appealable. Appellant asserts that the order is appealable as a final judgment under NRAP 3A(b)(1). However, the order is not a final judgment because it does not resolve any claims asserted in the complaint for divorce.¹ See *Lee v. GNLV Corp.*, 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) (defining a final judgment).

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Mr. Schneider may file any reply within 14 days of service of

¹Appellant states a trial is scheduled to begin in 2021.

appellant's response. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of this appeal.

Briefing of this appeal is suspended pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Pecos Law Group
Adam Michael Solinger
Louis C. Schneider, Esq.