IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * * * * * * *

ERICH M. MARTIN,

Appellant,

vs.

RAINA L. MARTIN,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed SC NO: Jul 1087 2082 \$ 104:08 p.m. DC NO: Elizabeth A4Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

RESPONDENTS' INDEX TO APPENDIX VOLUME IV

Attorneys for Appellant:

Chad F. Clement, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12192 Kathleen A. Wilde, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 12522 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 <u>cclement@maclaw.com</u> <u>kwilde@maclaw.com</u>

Attorneys for Respondent:

Marshal S. Willick, Esq Nevada Bar No. 2515 Richard L. Crane, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 9536 3591 E. Bonanza Road, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89110 Telephone: (702) 438-4100 Facsimilie: (702) 438-5311 Email@willicklawgroup.com

APPENDIX INDEX

#	DOCUMENT	FILE STAMP DATE	PAGES
	Volume I		
1.	Complaint for Divorce	02/02/2015	RA000001 - RA000006
2.	Joint Preliminary Injunction	02/03/2015	RA000007 - RA000008
3.	Summons - Domestic	02/03/2015	RA000009 - RA000010
4.	Notice of Appearance	02/13/2015	RA000011 - RA000012
5.	Acceptance of Service	02/17/2015	RA000013
6.	General Financial Disclosure Form	02/25/2015	RA000014 - RA000021
7.	Answer to Compliant for Divorce and Countermotion	02/25/2015	RA000022 - RA000029
8.	Family court Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet	02/25/2015	RA000030
9.	Defendant's Motion for Temporary Visitation and Child Support and Temporary Spousal Support	02/25/2015	RA000031 - RA000077
10.	Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time	03/02/2015	RA000078 - RA000079
11.	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Visitation and Child Support and Temporary Spousal Support; and Countermotion for Visitation; and for Attorney's Fees/Sanctions and Costs	03/02/2015	RA000080 - RA000094

12.	Receipt of Copy	03/03/2015	RA000095 - RA000096
13.	NRCP 16.2 Management Conference	03/11/2015	RA000097 - RA000098
14.	General Financial Disclosure Form	03/25/2015	RA000099 - RA000109
15.	Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Temporary Visitation and Child Support and Temporary Spousal Support; and Countermotion for Visitation; and for Attorney's Fees/Sanctions and Costs	03/26/2015	RA000110 - RA000118
16.	Notice of Telephonic Appearance	03/27/2015	RA000119 - RA000120
17.	Court Minutes - All pending Motions	04/01/2015	RA000121 - RA000123
18.	Order for Family Mediation Center Services	04/01/2015	RA000124
19.	Order from April 1, 2015 Hearing	05/06/2015	RA000125 - RA000129
20.	Notice of Entry of Order from April 1, 2015, Hearing	05/06/2015	RA000130 - RA000137
21.	Notice of Seminar Completion - EDCR 5.07	05/15/2015	RA000138 - RA000139
22.	Reply to Counterclaim for Divorce	05/15/2015	RA000140 - RA000142
23.	Notice of Seminar Completion - EDCR 5.07	05/26/2015	RA000143 - RA000145
24.	Receipt of Copy	05/28/2015	RA000146
25.	Receipt of Copy	06/01/2015	RA000147
26.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	06/02/2015	RA000148 - RA000149

			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
27.	Order to Show Cause re: Order from June 2, 2015 Hearing	10/08/2015	RA000150 - RA000151
28.	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record	10/13/2015	RA000152 - RA000157
29.	Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time	10/15/2015	RA000158 - RA000159
30.	Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet	10/15/2015	RA000160
31.	Defendant's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement, for Attorney's Fees and Costs. and for Other Related Relief	10/15/2015	RA000161 - RA000197
	VOLUME II		
32.	Order Shortening Time	10/19/2015	RA000198 - RA000199
33.	Affidavit of Resident Witness	10/23/2015	RA000200 - RA000201
34.	Defendant's Affidavit in Support of Request for Summary Disposition for Decree of Divorce	10/23/2015	RA000202 - RA000203
35.	Defendant's Supplemental Exhibit in Support of Defendant's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement, for Attorney's Fees and Costs and for Other Related Relief	10/23/2015	RA000204 - RA000209
36.	Defendant's Ex Parte Application to Consolidate Hearings	10/23/2015	RA000210 - RA000215
37.	Notice of Entry of Order	10/26/2015	RA000216 - RA000218
38.	Order Consolidating Hearing	10/23/2015	RA000219 - RA000220
39.	Receipt of Copy	10/26/2015	RA000221
40.	Amended Affidavit of Resident Witness	10/27/2015	RA000222 - RA000223

41.	Request for Summary Disposition of Decree of Divorce	10/27/2015	RA000224
42.	Notice of Telephonic Appearance	10/27/2015	RA000225 - RA000226
43.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	10/28/2015	RA000227 - RA000228
44 .	Order to Withdraw as Counsel of Record	10/28/2015	RA000229 - RA000230
45.	Notice of Entry of Order to Withdraw as Counsel of Record	11/03/2015	RA000231 - RA000232
46.	Decree of Divorce	11/05/2015	RA000233 - RA000255
47.	Court Minutes - Minute Order	11/09/2015	RA000256 - RA000257
48.	Notice of Entry of Decree of Divorce	11/10/2015	RA000258 - RA000280
49.	Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause	5/26/2016	RA000281 - RA000304
50.	Certificate of Service	5/27/2016	RA000305
51.	Notice of Intent to Appear Telephonically	06/06/2016	RA000306 - RA000307
52.	Notice of Change of Address	06/28/2016	RA000308 - RA000309
53.	Substitution of Attorney	06/28/2016	RA000310 - RA000311

54.	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause and Counter-motion to Clarify and/or Modify Certain Child Custody Provisions and for an Order to Show Cause as to Why Plaintiff Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court for His Willful Violation of this Court's Orders, for Sanctions, for Attorney's Fees and Related Relief	06/28/2016	RA000312 - RA000391
55.	Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause and Counter-motion to Clarify and/or Modify Certain Child Custody Provisions and for an Order to Show Cause as to Why Plaintiff Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court for His Willful Violation of this Court's Orders, for Sanctions, for Attorney's Fees and Related Relief	07/06/2016	RA000392 - RA000404
	VOLUME III		
56.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	7/12/2016	RA000405 - RA000407
57.	Supplement to Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause and Counter-motion to Clarify and/or Modify Certain Child Custody Provisions and for an Order to Show Cause as to Why Plaintiff Should Not be Held in Contempt of Court for His Willful Violation of this Court's Orders, for Sanctions, for Attorney's Fees and Related Relief	07/12/2016	RA000408 - RA000415
58.	Order for Family Mediation Center Services	07/12/2016	RA000416
59.	Notice of Intent to Appear Telephonically	09/21/2016	RA000417 - RA000418
60.	Court Minutes - Return Hearing	09/22/2016	RA000419 - RA000420
61.	Notice of Intent to Appear Telephonically	9/22/2016	RA000421 - RA000422

62.	Plaintiff's Proposal Regarding Make-Up Parenting Time, Holiday Visitation, and Transportation Pursuant tp the Hearing on September 22, 2016	9/29/2016	RA000423 - RA000431
63.	Defendant's Proposed Holiday and Vacation Schedule	9/30/2016	RA000432 - RA000438
64.	Plaintiff's Brief for Attorney's Fees	10/03/2016	RA000439 - RA000448
65.	Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	10/06/2016	RA000449 - RA000456
66.	Order Under Submission	11/01/2016	RA000457 - RA000469
67.	Order Incident to Decree of Divorce	11/14/2016	RA000470 - RA000478
68.	Order from the July 12, 2016 Hearing	11/23/2016	RA000479 - RA000482
69.	Notice of Entry of Order	11/29/2016	RA000483 - RA000488
70.	Notice of Intent to Appear Telephonically	12/07/2016	RA000489 - RA000490
71.	Substitution of Attorneys	12/12/2016	RA000491 - RA000493
72.	Defendant's Opposition and Countermotion to Plaintiff's Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs	12/28/2016	RA000494 - RA000518
73.	Certificate of Service	12/29/2016	RA000519
74.	Reply to Defendant's Opposition and Opposition to Defendant's Countermotion to Plaintiff's Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Cost [SIC]	01/04/2017	RA000520 - RA000533
75.	Plaintiff's First Supplement	01/06/2017	RA000534 RA000536

76.	Court minutes	1/12/2017	RA000537 - RA000538
77.	Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs	1/23/2017	RA000539 - RA000552
78.	Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Cost	2/9/2017	RA000553 - RA000558
79.	Order to Show Cause Re: Order from January 12, 2017	3/10/2017	RA000559 - RA000560
80.	Court Minutes - Order to Show Cause	4/6/2017	RA000561 - RA000562
81.	Order from the January 12, 2017, Hearing	4/6/2017	RA000563 - RA000567
82.	Notice of Entry of Order	4/7/2017	RA000568 - RA000574
83.	Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs	4/7/2017	RA000575 - RA000589
84.	Order Awarding Attorney's Fees and Costs	5/22/2017	RA000590 - RA000595
85.	Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney of Record	6/15/2017	RA000596 - RA000597
	VOLUME IV		
86.	Notice of Entry of Order	7/13/2017	RA000598 - RA000605
87.	Writ of Execution	7/14/2017	RA000606 - RA000609
88.	Motion for Clarification and Temporary Stay	7/17/2017	RA000610 - RA000659
89.	Family Court Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet (NRS 19.0312)	7/17/2017	RA000660

90.	Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion for Clarification and Temporary Stay and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	7/31/2017	RA000661 - RA000698		
91.	Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet	7/31/2017	RA000699		
92.	Certificate of Mailing	8/1/2017	RA000700 - RA000701		
93.	Order Amending Award of Attorney's Fees and Costs	8/21/2017	RA000702 - RA000707		
94.	Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel for Plaintiff	8/28/2017	RA000708 - RA000709		
95.	Notice of Entry of Order	6/21/2018	RA000710 - RA000721		
96.	Satisfaction of Judgment	6/22/2018	RA000722		
97.	Family Mediation Center (FMC) Request and Order for Mediation - NRS 3.475	2/15/2019	RA000723		
98.	Notice of Change of Address	6/3/2019	RA000724		
99.	Defendant's Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief	8/27/2019	RA000725 - RA000751		
100.	Notice of Hearing	8/28/2019	RA000752		
101.	General Financial Disclosure Form	8/28/2019	RA000753 - RA000763		
	VOLUME V				
102.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant's Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief	8/28/2019	RA000764 - RA000863		

103.	Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant's Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief	8/29/2019	RA000864 - RA000871
104.	Ex-Parte Application to Seal Case File	8/29/2019	RA000872 - RA000875
105.	Certificate of Service	8/30/2019	RA000876 - RA000877
106.	Order Sealing Case File	9/4/2019	RA000878 - RA000879
107.	Notice of Entry of Order Sealing File	9/9/2019	RA000880 - RA000885
108.	Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney	9/16/2019	RA000886 - RA000887
109.	Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion Hearing	9/26/2019	RA000888 - RA000891
110.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion Hearing	10/1/2019	RA000892 - RA000899
111.	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance	11/7/2019	RA000900 - RA000903
112.	Order Granting Continuance	11/8/2019	RA000904
113.	Notice of Entry of Order	11/8/2019	RA000905 - RA000907
114.	Countermotion to Defendant's Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief and Motion to Modify Visitation and Nightly Phone Calls	11/26/2019	RA000908 - RA000915

115.	Reply and Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief	11/26/2019	RA000916 - RA000925
116.	Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Device	11/26/2019	RA000926 - RA000927
117.	Exhibit Appendix	11/26/2019	RA000928 - RA000958
	VOLUME VI		
118.	Certificate of Mailing	11/26/2019	RA000959 - RA000960
119.	Ex-Parte Motion to Extend Time for Defendant to File Her Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition and to File Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's countermotion (First Request for Extension of Time)	12/2/2019	RA000961 - RA000972
120.	Order Extending Time to File Responsive Pleading	12/4/2019	RA000973 - RA000974
121.	Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion to Modify Visitation and Nightly Phone Calls	12/6/2019	RA000975 - RA000995
122.	Appendix of Exhibits to Defendant's Reply in Support of Motion for Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, Issuance of a Behavior Order, for Other Custody Orders and for Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Costs Incurred Herein, and for Related Relief and Opposition to Plaintiff's Countermotion to Modify Visitation and Nightly Phone Calls	12/6/2019	RA000996 - RA000999

123.	Ex Parte Motion for Continuance	12/9/2019	RA001000 - RA001003
124.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	12/10/2019	RA001004 - RA001006
125.	Domestic Notice to Statistically Close Case	12/11/2019	RA001007
126.	Notice of Unavailability of Counsel	12/19/2019	RA001008 - RA001009
127.	Notice of Attorney's Lien and Lien	4/20/2020	RA001010 - RA001012
128.	Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment	4/20/2020	RA001013 - RA001021
129.	Appendix of Exhibits to Motion to Reduce Attorney's Lien to Judgment	4/20/2020	RA001022 - RA001036
130.	Notice of Hearing	4/20/2020	RA001037
131.	Substitution of Counsel	4/24/2020	RA001038 - RA001042
132.	Motion to Enforce	5/1/2020	RA001043 - RA001060
133.	General Financial Disclosure Form	5/1/2020	RA001061 - RA001070
134.	Notice of Hearing	5/4/2020	RA001071
135.	Order After December 10, 2019, Hearing	5/8/2020	RA001072 - RA001082
136.	Notice of Entry of Order After December 10, 2019, Hearing	5/8/2020	RA001083 - RA001097
137.	Request to Extend Time to Answer	5/12/2020	RA001098 - RA001099
138.	Clerk's Notice of Nonconforming Document	5/12/2020	RA001100 - RA001102

r		-	
139.	Order to Extend Time to Answer Motion	5/15/2020	RA001103 - RA001104
140.	Stipulation and Order to Continue Motion Hearing	5/18/2020	RA001105 - RA001106
141.	Response to Defendant's Motion to Enforce and Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Notice of motion for an Order to Enforce and/or Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and Countermotion for Contempt	5/28/2020	RA001107 - RA001119
142.	Exhibit Appendix	5/28/2020	RA001120 - RA001144
143.	Notice of Intent to Appear by Communication Device	5/28/2020	RA001145
	VOLUME VII		
144.	Exhibit Appendix	6/9/2020	RA001146 - RA001185
145.	General Financial Disclosure Form	6/9/2020	RA001186 - RA001193
146.	Notice of Audio/Visual Appearance	6/9/2020	RA001194 - RA001195
147.	Reply to "Response to Defendant's Motion to Enforce and Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Notice of Motion for an order to Enforce and/or Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt" and Opposition to "Countermotion for Contempt"	6/10/2020	RA001196 - RA001210
148.	Exhibits to Reply to "Response to Defendant's Motion to Enforce and Defendant's Attorney's Fees and Notice of Motion for an order to Enforce and/or Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt" and Opposition to "Countermotion for Contempt"	6/10/2020	RA001211 - RA001253

149.	Notice of Appearance of Counsel	6/12/2020	RA001254 - RA001255
150.	Supplement to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Enforce and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause for Contempt	6/15/2020	RA001256 - RA001269
151.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	6/16/2020	RA001270 - RA001274
152.	Request for Child Protection Services Appearance and Records	6/16/2020	RA001275
153.	Notice of Audio/Visual Appearance	6/17/2020	RA001276 - RA001277
154.	Court Minutes - Status Check	6/18/2020	RA001278 - RA001279
155.	Reply to Plaintiff's "Supplement to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Enforce and Countermotion for an Order to Show Cause for Contempt"	6/26/2020	RA001280 - RA001291
156.	Notice of Audio/Visual Appearance	7/7/2020	RA001292 - RA001293
157.	Stipulation and Order to Continue Hearing	7/15/2020	RA001294 - RA001297
158.	Order from the June 16, 2020, Hearing	07/20/2020	RA001298 - RA001304
159.	Notice of Entry of Order from the June 16, 2020, Hearing	7/22/2020	RA001305 - RA001314
160.	Order Regarding Enforcement of Military Retirement Benefits	08/11/2020	RA001315 - RA001340
	VOLUME VIII		
161.	Notice of Entry of Order	8/11/2020	RA001341 - RA001366

		1	1
162.	Notice of Entry of Order Incident to Decree	8/11/2020	RA001367 - RA001378
163.	Notice of Audio/Visual Appearance	8/25/2020	RA001379 - RA001380
164.	Stipulation and Order to Vacate Hearing	08/28/2020	RA001381 - RA001385
165.	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Vacate Hearing	8/28/2020	RA001386 - RA001393
166.	Notice of Withdrawal of Attorney of Record	8/31/2020	RA001394 - RA001395
167.	Notice of Appearance	9/2/2020	RA001396 - RA001397
168.	Notice of Appeal	9/9/2020	RA001398 - RA001426
169.	Case Appeal Statement	9/9/2020	RA001427 - RA001431
170.	General Financial Disclosure Form	9/30/2020	RA001432 - RA001443
171.	Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs <i>Pendente</i> <i>Lite</i> and Related Relief	9/30/2020	RA001444 - RA001454
172.	Notice of Hearing	9/30/2020	RA001455
173.	Notice of Entry of Order	10/01/2020	RA001456 - RA001466
174.	Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiff's Notice of Entry of Order	10/2/2020	RA001467 - RA001468
175.	Motion for Stay Pursuant to NRCP 62(d)	10/08/2020	RA001469 - RA001479
176.	Notice of Hearing	10/12/2020	RA001480 - RA001481

r			
177.	Ex Parte Application for a Order Shortening Time	10/12/2020	RA001482 - RA001484
178.	Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs <i>Pendente Lite</i> and Related Relief	10/12/2020	RA001485 - RA001542
179.	Order Shortening Time	10/12/2020	RA001543 - RA001545
180.	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	10/12/2020	RA001546 - RA001550
	VOLUME IX	A (1997)	•
181.	Reply to "Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs <i>Pendente</i> <i>Lite</i> and Related Relief"	10/22/2020	RA001551 - RA001559
182.	Opposition to "Motion for Stay Pursuant to NRCP 62(d)" and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	10/22/2020	RA001560 - RA001572
183.	Notice of Audio/Visual Appearance	10/26/2020	RA001573 - RA001574
184.	Reply in Support of Motion to Stay Pursuant to NRCP 62(d) and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	10/27/2020	RA001575 - RA001585
185.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	11/3/2020	RA001586 - RA001587
186.	Motion to Modify Child Support and to Reprimand Erich for His Failure to Follow Custody Provisions	11/18/2020	RA001588 - RA001604
187.	Exhibits to Motion to Modify Child Support and to Reprimand Erich for His Failure to Follow Custody Provisions	11/18/2020	RA001605 - RA001631
188.	General Financial Disclosure Form	11/18/2020	RA001632 - RA001639

189.	Notice of Hearing	11/23/2020	RA001640
190.	Request for Transcripts of Proceedings	11/25/2020	RA001641 - RA001643
191.	Estimated Cost of Transcript(s)	11/25/2020	RA001644
192.	Opposition to Motion to Modify Child Support and to Reprimand Erich for His Failure to Follow Custody Provisions and Countermotion for Modification of Orders Regarding Julie Martin, Admonishment Against Incivility, and for Attorney's Fees	12/10/2020	RA001645 - RA001665
193.	General Financial Disclosure Form	12/11/2020	RA001666 - RA001678
194.	Reply to "Opposition to Motion to Modify Child Support and to Reprimand Erich for His Failure to Follow Custody Provisions" and Opposition to "Countermotion for Modification of Orders Regarding Julie Martin, Admonishment Against Incivility, and for Attorney's Fees"	12/17/2020	RA001679 - RA001691
195.	Transcript re: All Pending motions - Thursday, January 12, 2017	12/24/2020	RA001692 - RA001706
196.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Tuesday, June 2, 2015	12/24/2020	RA001707 - RA001710
197.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Tuesday, September 22, 2016	12/24/2020	RA001711 - RA001759
	VOLUME X		
198.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Wednesday, October 28, 2015	12/24/2020	RA001760 - RA001772
199.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Tuesday, June 16, 2020	12/24/2020	RA001773 - RA001826
200.	Final Billing for Transcripts	12/24/2020	RA001827
201.	Receipt of Copy	12/24/2020	RA001828

202.	Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing	12/31/2020	RA001829 - RA001830
203.	Order from the November 3, 2020, Hearing	12/31/2020	RA001831 - RA001840
204.	Court Minutes - All Pending Motions	1/12/2021	RA001841 - RA001843
205.	Order from the January 12, 2021, Hearing	1/26/2021	RA001844 - RA001848
206.	Notice of Entry of Order from the November 3, 2020, Hearing	1/28/2021	RA001849 - RA001861
207.	Notice of Entry of Order from the January 12, 2021, Hearing	1/28/2021	RA001862 - RA001869
208.	General Financial Disclosure Form	2/10/2021	RA001870 - RA001887
209.	Motion for Voluntary Increase of Child Support. Discontinuation of Discovery, and Attorney's Fees	2/10/2021	RA001888 - RA001918
210.	Notice of Hearing	2/11/2021	RA001919
211.	Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time	2/11/2021	RA001920 - RA001922
212.	Order Shortening Time	2/12/2021	RA001923
213.	Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time	2/12/2021	RA001924 - RA001926
214.	Notice of Appeal	2/12/2021	RA001927 - RA001937
215.	Case Appeal Statement	2/12/2021	RA001938 - RA001942

216.	Opposition to <i>Motion for Voluntary Increase of</i> <i>Child Support. Discontinuation of Discovery, and</i> <i>Attorney's Fees</i> and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief as to Possible Rule 11 Sanctions		RA001943 RA00196
	VOLUME XI	1	
217.	Exhibits to Opposition to Motion for Voluntary Increase of Child Support. Discontinuation of Discovery, and Attorney's Fees and Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief as to Possible Rule 11 Sanctions		RA001963 RA00197
218.	Reply in Support of <i>Motion for Voluntary</i> <i>Increase of Child Support. Discontinuation of</i> <i>Discovery, and Attorney's Fees</i> and Opposition to Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs and Related Relief as to Possible Rule 11 Sanctions	2/24/2021	RA001977 RA00199
219.	Amended Notice of Appeal	3/8/2021	RA001992 RA002034
220.	Motion to Strike Amended Notice of Appeal	3/9/2021	RA002035 RA002042
221.	Notice of Hearing	3/10/2021	RA002043
222.	Order	3/15/2021	RA002044 RA002048
223.	Notice of Entry of Order	3/16/2021	RA002049 RA002055
224.	Certification of Transcripts Notification of Completion	4/5/2021	RA002056
225.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Tuesday, November 3, 2020	4/5/2021	RA002057 RA002081
226.	Transcript re: All Pending Motions - Tuesday, January 12, 2021	4/5/2021	RA002082 RA002098
227.	Receipt of Copy	4/5/2021	RA002099

228. Final Billing for Transcripts	4/5/2021	RA002100
------------------------------------	----------	----------

P:\wp19\MARTIN,R\APPENDIX\00504719.WPD92/jj

Electronically Filed 7/13/2017 10:46 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NEOJ JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 6012 KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC 3 40 South Stephanic Street, Suite 201 Henderson, Nevada 89012 4 Telephone (702) 384-7494 Facsimile (702) 384-7545 5 kelleherjt@aol.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 ERICH M. MARTIN 10 CASE NO .: D-15-509045-D 11 Plaintiff, DEPT. NO .: C 12 v. 13 RAINA L. MARTIN, (702) 584-7494 Facsimile (702) 384-7545 14 Defendant. 15 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 16 TO: Raina L. Martin, Defendant, and to Samira Knight, Esq., her attorney: 17 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Awarding Attorneys Fees and Costs was entered 18 in the above-entitled matter on the 22nd day of May, a copy of which is attached hereto. 19 DATED this (3 day of July, 2017. 20 **KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC** 21 22 By: JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ. 23 794 Nevada Bar No. 6012 24 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, Nevada 89012 25 Attorney for Plaintiff 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES EHER & KELLEHER LLC 8. STEPHANE STREET, SUITE #201 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89012

KELI

	1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
	2	I hereby certify that on the 3 day of July, 2017, a true and correct copy of the above	
	3	and foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER was served electronically via E-Service Master	
	4	List of Wiznet and addressed as follows:	
	5	Samira C. Knight, Esq.	
	6 7	TARKANIAN & KNIGHT LAW GROUP, PLLC Samira@TKLawGroup <u>NV.com</u> Attorney for Defendant	
	8	D. O. Mala	
	9	An employee of Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC	1
	10		
	11		
ILC	12		
EER L E #201 112	13		
CES ELLEH ET, SUN ADA 890 194 84-7545	14		
W OFFIG K KE IE STRE ON, NEV 22) 384-74	15		
LA HER d TEPUAN ENDERS Facsim	16		
LLEH 40.S.S	17		
KE	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		2	

1	ORDR
2	DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
4	ERICH M. MARTIN,)
5) Plaintiff,)
6) vs.) CASE NO. D-15-509045-D) DEPT NO. C
7 8	RAINA L. MARTIN,) Defendant.) UNDER SUBMISSION
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS THIS MATTER having come before the Court on January 12, 2017 for Plaintiff, Erich M. Martin ("Erich")'s Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs, and on Defendant, Raina L. Martin ("Raina")'s Opposition and Countermotion; Erich appearing telephonically with Attorney Randy Richards of the law firm of Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC, and Raina appearing with Attorney Samira Knight of Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, PLLC; the Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file herein, having heard the argument of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor ////
21 BITECOL L. BURDON DISTRICT JUGGE VERAS, NV 89101-1408	Page 1 of Settler/Withdrawn: Dismissed - Want of Prosecution Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal Default Judgment Transferred Inal Dispositions: Case Number: D-15-509045-D

1	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that on October 6, 2016, Erich filed a
2	Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs; on
3	December 28, 2016, Raina filed her Opposition and Countermotion; and on
4	January 12, 2017, the matter was heard. The basis for the relief requested
5	by Erich was that Raina had registered a domestic partnership which, like a
6	marriage, created a potential entitlement to Raina for support from Raina's
7	domestic partner. Erich argued that the domestic partnership was
8	equivalent to a marriage for the purpose of ending his alimony obligation to
9	Raina. This Court agreed.
10	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Erich's request for attorney fees
11	was raised in his <i>Motion</i> , satisfying NRCP 54(d)(2)(A).
12	COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2)(B),
13	Erich's request for attorney fees raised by way of his Motion was timely;
14	Erich cited Halbrook v. Halbrook, 114 Nev. 1455 (1998) (the court has
15	continuing jurisdiction in a divorce matter over attorney fees in a post-
16	divorce proceeding) and NRS 18.010 (prevailing party) as authority for the
17	award of attorney fees; and Erich estimated his attorney fees and costs to be
18	\$2,500.
19	COURT FURTHER FINDS that Raina was warned at a prior hearing
20	where the issue came up but was not formally before the Court that the
21	Page 2 of 5

NEMECTA 1. BORTON DISTRICT JUDGE EARLEY DIVISION, DEPT. C LAS VEGAS, NY ES101-2408

1

Court was likely to find a domestic partnership was the same as a marriage
 for the purposes of terminating alimony, and Erich would be awarded all of
 his fees if he were forced unnecessarily to file a motion. Accordingly, Erich
 is also entitled to attorney fees pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)(1).

5 COURT FURTHER FINDS that, as the prevailing party, Erich was 6 directed by the Court to file a *Memorandum of Fees and Costs* no later than 7 10 days after *Notice of Entry* of the Court's underlying *Order* and Raina was 8 permitted 10 days thereafter to respond. The underlying *Order* was entered 9 April 6, 2017 and *Notice of Entry of Order* was filed and mailed to Raina on 10 April 7, 2017. Thus, Erich's *Memorandum of Fees and Costs*, filed and 11 mailed to Raina the same day on April 7, 2017 was timely.

12 COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2), Erich's 13 Memorandum of Fees and Costs was supported by counsel's affidavit 14 swearing that the fees were actually and necessarily incurred and explained 15 why the attorney fees were somewhat high for a relatively uncomplicated 16 matter; billing statements concerning the amount of fees claimed was 17 attached; and points and authorities addressing appropriate factors to be 18 considered by the Court in deciding the motion was included.

19 ////

20 ////

21

Page 3 of 5

HARMORE L. BARTON DLITPLOT DJODE FANGLY DIVISION, DEPT. G LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

1	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to EDCR 5.32,1 on
2	February 25, 2015, Raina filed a General Financial Disclosure Form
3	reflecting a gross monthly income of \$2,500 per month (\$1,500 child
4	support and \$1,000 alimony) and on March 25, 2015, Erich filed a General
5	Financial Disclosure Form reflecting an income of \$6,600 per month. The
6	Court notes that by these proceedings, Raina is losing her \$1,000 per month
7	alimony award, but she had failed to update her General Financial
8	Disclosure Form with information relevant to her domestic partnership.
9	THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2) and
10	Miller v. Wilfong, 121 Nev. 619 (2005), Erich's Memorandum of Fees and
11	Costs supported the request with the factors required by Brunzell v. Golden
12	Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 (1969) to include the qualities of the
13	advocate, the character and difficulty of the work performed, the work
14	actually performed by the attorney, and the result obtained, and this
15	information was reviewed and considered by the Court together with the
16	redacted billing statements. The Court notes that support staff was utilized
17	to reduce fees. The Court has, however, eliminated from the request
18	charges for discussions between staff.
19	1111
20	Page 4 of 5
21	
REFRECCA L. BURTON DISTRICT JUDGE RELY DIVISION, DEPT. C S VEGAS. KV 89101-2408	¹ Now EDCR 5.506.

the side

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to Love v. Love, 114
 Nev. 572 (1998), Raina was provided the opportunity to review and dispute
 the billing statements and fees requested. Raina chose not to avail herself of
 this opportunity.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Erich is hereby
awarded the sum of \$7,262.48 as and for attorney's fees and costs against
Raina, which sum is hereby reduced to judgment which may be collected by
any and all legal means.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DATED May 22, 2017.

Derte Repeat

REBECCA L. BURTON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT C

Page 5 of 5

DISTRICT JUDGE DISTRICT JUDGE EMMILY DIVISION, DEPT. C LAS VEGAS, MV 99101-24CH

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM HEARING
TO: ALL PARTIES AND/OR THEIR ATTORNEYS
Please take note that after a review of the court file, an Order was
prepared by the Court following a scheduled hearing. A copy of the
Order from Hearing is attached hereto. I hereby certify that I caused on the
above file stamped date, a copy of the within Order to be:
Mailed postage prepaid, addressed to the following:
John T Kelleher ESQ
40 S Stephanie ST STE 201 Henderson NV 89012
Samira C Knight ESQ
7220 S Cimarron RD STE 110 Las Vegas NV 89113
DATED: This May 22, 2017.
X. The
Dawna Richert
Judicial Assistant, Department C


Electronically Issued 7/14/2017 9:17 AM

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·				
1	WRIT					
2	Erich M. Martin (Name and Bar Number (if any))					
3	3815 Little Dipper Dr (Address)					
4	Fort Collins, CO 80528					
5	(City, State, Zip Code) 307-275-6343					
6	(Telephone and Facsimile Number) emartin2671@gmail.com					
7	(E-mail Address)					
8	 ☑ Plaintiff, □ Counterclaimant, or □ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person 					
9						
10	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT					
11	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA				
12	Erich M. Martin	, Case No.: D-15-509045-D				
13	Plaintiff(s),	Dept. No.: c				
	VS.	WRIT OF EXECUTION				
14	Raina L. Martin	⊠ EARNINGS ⊠ BANK ACCOUNT				
15	Defendant(s).	, DANK ACCOUNT				
16						
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA:					
18	To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for the To	winship of Henderson				
19	Greetings:					
20	To Financial Institutions: This judgment is for the	e recover of money for the support of a person.				
21	On <u>July 13th</u> , 20 <u>17</u> , a judgme	nt was entered by the above-entitled court in the				
22	above-entitled action in favor of Erich M. Martin, as Judgment					
23	creditor and against Raina L. Martin	, as Judgment Debtor, for:				
24	\$ Principa	l,				
25	S Pre-Judgment Interest,					
26	\$Attorney's Fees, and					
27	\$Costs, making a total amount of					
28	\$ 7,262.48 The jud	gment as entered, and				
	Page 1 of 4	(Rev., 01-06-2012 DC WEB)				
'	' Case Number: D-15	-509045-D RA000606				

I	WHEREAS, according to an affidavit or a memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed			
2	herein, it appears that further sums have accrued since the entry of judgment, to wit:			
3	\$Accrued Interest, and			
4	\$Accrued Costs, together with			
5	\$ Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of			
6	\$As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.			
7	Credit must be given for payments and partial satisfactions in the amount of			
8	\$0.00			
9	which is to be first credited against the total accrued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited			
10	against the judgment as entered, leaving a net balance of			
11	\$7,614.84			
12	actually due on the date of the issuance of this writ, of which			
13	\$7,614.84			
14	bears interest at _5.75_ percent per annum, in the amount of \$2.12 per day, from the date			
15	of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing			
16	this writ.			
17	NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this			
18	judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the			
19	judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during			
20	that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor			
21	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable,			
22	whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal			
23	property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county.			
24	Earnings: Please retain from wages, commissions, benefits and bonuses of			
25	Raina L Martin at her place of employment Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W Spring Mtn Rd			
26	# 101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 in satisfaction of the judgment against her.			
27	Bank Accounts: Please retain from any and all accounts of, Raina L Martin including			
28				
	Page 2 of 4			

Rev., 01-06-2012 DC WEB)

1	but not limited to acct # 191726079 at USAA Bank located at 9800 Fredrickburg RD				
2	You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60				
3	days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.				
4	STEVEN D. GRIERSON				
5	CLERK OF COURT				
6	By: By: The Courter 7/14/2017				
7	Deputy Clerk Danielle Coulter Date				
8	Issued at the direction of:				
9					
10	(Signature)				
	🗵 Plaintiff, 🔲 Counterclaimant, or 🔲 Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person				
11	Name: Erich M Martin Address: 3815 Little Dipper Dr				
12	City. State. Zip: Fort Collins, CO 80528 Phone: 307-275-6346 E-mail: emartin2671@gmail.com				
13					
14	SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION				
15	AMOUNTS TO BE COLLECTED BY LEVY: RETURN:				
16	NET BALANCE: 7,614.84 Not satisfied \$				
17	Garnishment Fee: 18.00 Satisfied in sum of \$ Costs retained \$				
18	Mileage: Commission retained \$				
19	Levy Fee: Costs incurred \$				
	Sub-Total: Commission incurred \$				
20	Commission: Costs received \$				
21	TOTAL LEVY:				
22	REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:				
23	\$				
24	1 hereby certify that I have this date returned the foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy endorsed thereon.				
25					
26	SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or				
27	CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF				
28	By:				
	Page 3 of 4 (Rev., 01-96-2012 DC WEB)				
	RA000608				





			Electronically Filed 7/17/2017 5:06 PM	
			Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	
1 .	MOT de la fra			
2	SAMIRA C. KNIGHT, ESQ.			
3	TARKANIAN & KNIGHT LAW GROUP, PLLC 7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110			
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113			
5	Telephone: (702) 508-4998 Facsimile: (702) 940-2792			
6	Attorney for Defendant			
7	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION			
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
9		I		
	ERICH M. MARTIN,	CASE NO. D-15-509045	-D	
10	Plaintiff,	DEPT. NO. C	_	
11	vs.			
12				
13	RAINA L. MARTIN, Defendant.			
14				
15				
16				
17	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND TEMPORARY STAY			
18	COMES NOW the Defendant, RAINA	L. MARTIN, and hereby	provides this Motion	
19	for Clarification. The Motion is based on the p	leadings and papers on file	e herein, the attached	
20	memorandum of points and authorities and any o	oral argument the Court ma	y entertain at the time	
21	of hearing.			
22		1 .		
23	By	Au tay #9017 f	<u>br.'</u>	
24	Sapira C. (Knight, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13167			
25	7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110			
	Las Vegas, NV 89113 Attorney for Defendant			
26				
27				
28	Page 1 of 4			
	Case Number: D-15	-509045-D	RA000610	

Law Group P: (702) 508-4998 | F: (702) 940-2792 7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113

I TONNO & NIVINIONION

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: ERICH M. MARTIN, Plaintiff,

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned attorney will bring the foregoing a MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND TEMPORARY STAY, on for hearing before the No Appearance Required above-entitled Court on the <u>18</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2017, at the hour of _____, __.m. in Department ^C_, of the Family Court, located at 601 North Pecos Road, Las Vegas, NV 89105 or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

DATED this 17th day of June 2017.

9017 for By

Samira C. Kright, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 13167 7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89113 Tel: (702) 508-4998 Attorney for Defendant

7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113 P: (702) 508-4998] F: (702) 940-2792 AKKAINIAIN & KI Law Group

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Page 2 of 4

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITY I. <u>FACTS</u>

On or about 01/12/17 the Court ordered that Plaintiff's request for reimbursement of alimony and attorney's fees to be granted. On 01/23/17 the court granted \$5,662.59 in attorney's fees and costs for dates between 09/08/16 and 01/18/17 (Exhibit A). On 02/09/17 Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Cost was filed to oppose the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs (Exhibit B).

On 04/07/2017 the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs was filed and contained in Exhibit 1: An invoice of Attorney fees that the Plaintiff has accrued at Kelleher and Kelleher, LLC, in the amount of \$7,482.48 for dates between 09/08/16 and 04/06/17 (Exhibit C).

On 05/22/2017 the Order Awarding Attorney Fees and Costs states that "Raina was provided the opportunity to review and dispute the billing statements and fees requested. Raina chose not to avail herself of this opportunity."

This Motion requests clarification of the Order, because Raina did, in fact, object to the majority of Mr. Martin's attorney's fees as evidenced by the Opposition filed on 02/09/17 (Exhibit B). Raina is requesting that the order be clarified to reflect that there was, in fact, an opposition to the attorney's fees. Further, Ms. Martin is requesting that a hearing on the reasonableness of the attorney's fees be held for all the reasons outlined in the Opposition.

Finally, Mr. Martin, who now represents himself, recently garnished approximately \$6,000 from Ms. Martin's bank account, and is now threatening her employers to obtain a further approximately \$14K in garnishments from her wages (Exhibit D). It appears Mr. Martin added the amounts awarded in the two orders, plus some additional monies, which are not explained, to obtain this amount. This is far in excess of the amounts awarded.

Page 3 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10 7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113 P: (702) 508-4998 | F: (702) 940-2792 11 12 13 Law Group 14 15 16 17

L'DINA & NAINAAAA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ms. Martin requests clarification of the Court's order that (1) there was an Opposition lodged to the majority of the attorney's fees awarded to Mr. Martin and that a hearing be held to determine the reasonableness of those fees and (2) the total remaining amount that Mr. Martin may properly garnish from Ms. Martin's wages in light of the garnishments already obtained.

Further, Ms. Martin requests that the court issue a temporary stay on any garnishment activity until this matter may be properly heard.

DATED this 17th day of July, 2017.

76° By

Nevada Bar No. 13167 7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89113 Tel: (702) 508-4998 Attorney for Defendant

Page 4 of 4

EXHIBIT A

Electronically Filed 01/23/2017 04:07:30 PM

• An J. Shin

1	MEMO JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ.
2	Nevada State Bar No. 6012 CLERK OF THE COURT
3	KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201
4	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: (702) 384-7494
5	Facsimile: (702) 384-7545 kelleherit $\hat{\alpha}$ aol.com
6	Attorney for Plaintiff
7	DISTRICT COURT
-8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
9	ERICH M. MARTIN
	CASE NO.: D-15-509045-D
10	Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO.: C
11	Υ
12	RAINA L. MARTIN,
13	Defendant.
14	
15	PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF FEES AND COSTS
16	COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Erich Martin, by and through his attorney, John T. Kelleher, Esq.,
17	of KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC, and hereby files his MEMORANDUM OF FEES AND
18	COSTS in this matter.
19	This Memorandum is filed as directed by the Court at the hearing held in this matter on
20	January 12, 2017.
21	DATED this day of January, 2017.
22	KHLDEHER & KELLEHER, LLC
23	
24	By: Jan Wille
25	JOHN T. RELLEHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6012
26	40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, Nevada 89012
27	Attorney for Plaintiff
28	
1	

2 3 4

1

5 LEGAL ARGUMENT 6 A hearing was held in this matter on January 12, 2017. At the hearing, the Court directed 7 Plaintiff's counsel to file this brief pursuant to Miller v. Wilfong, 121 Nev. 619, 119 P.3d 727 (2005), 8 wherein the Nevada Supreme Court held that when deciding whether to award attorney fees in family 9 law cases, the following factors should be considered: 10 1. 11 2. 12 13 14 15 15 17 18 19 3. 20Osburn, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998); 21 4 22 in Brunzell and Wright. 23 1. THE COURT HAS A LEGAL BASIS TO AWARD ATTORNEY'S FEES 24 NRS 18.010 Award of attorney's fees. 251. The compensation of an attorney and counselor for his or her services is governed by agreement, express or implied, which is not restrained by law. 26 2. In addition to the cases where an allowance is authorized by specific statute, the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees to a prevailing party: 27(a) When the prevailing party has not recovered more than \$20,000; or (b) Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, .28 Page 2 of 7

EHER L.

LLAN OFFICES

KULLEHER & KELL 40.5. SUPPANE STREFUSI HUNDERSON MUVADA

Counsel must cite a statute or rule as a legal basis for attorney's fees:

- The Court must follow the four (4) factors set forth in Brunzell v. Gold Gate National Bank 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969); i.e., (1) the qualities of the advocate, his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer, the skill, time and attention given to the work: (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived;
- The Court must consider the disparity in income of the parties pursuant to Wright v.
 - The request must be supported by affidavits or other evidence that meets the factors

RA000616

ISSUE

Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs of \$5,662.59.

T.

H.

10 KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC 40 LI STEPHANE STEET, SHITE PER 1021 36274 11 $\mathbf{12}$ 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

LAW OFFICES

1

2

З

<u>à</u>

5

6

7

8

9

26

27

28

counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph and impose sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure in all appropriate situations to punish for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public.

3. In awarding attorney's fees, the court may pronounce its decision on the fees at the conclusion of the trial or special proceeding without written motion and with or without presentation of additional evidence.

4. Subsections 2 and 3 do not apply to any action arising out of a written instrument or agreement which entitles the prevailing party to an award of reasonable attomey's fees.

In the case at hand, Plaintiff was ordered by the Decree of Divorce to pay alimony to Defendant of \$1,000.00 per month for 24 months. Plaintiff then learned that Defendant had entered into a domestic partnership on February 29, 2016. Plaintiff was forced to file a Motion to Terminate his alimony obligation. The Court granted Plaintiff's Motion. Therefore, as the prevailing party, Plaintiff should be awarded attorney's fees.

2, BRUNZELL FACTORS

(1) The qualities of the advocate, his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill.

With respect to factor number one (1) in the Brunzell factors, Mr. Martin has been represented by John T. Kelleher, Esq., Mr. Kelleher is A/V rated by Martindale-Hubble, has been Certified as a Family Law Specialist through the State Bar of Nevada, and is a member of the 21 American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers. He has been practicing law for 21 years, and is an 22 honors graduate of the J. Reuben Clark Law School at Brigham Young University. Mr. Kelleher has 23 three additional associate attorneys working with him at Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC: Randy Richards, 24 Esq., Ryan Davis, Esq. and Saira Haseebullah, Esq. who assist Mr. Kelleher with various aspects of 25 the litigation process.

> (2) The character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation

With respect to factor number two (2) in the *Brunzell* factors, the work performed included drafting of pleadings, legal research, preparation and attendance at several court hearings and oral arguments, as well as correspondence, phone calls, research, and meetings with client regarding the issues surrounding the motion.

While this litigation was not particularly intricate or complex, Defendant complicated matters by insisting the Plaintiff file a Motion despite the overwhelming weight of the law favoring the position that alimony should rightfully be terminated in this instance. This matter was discussed at the September 22, 2016 hearing and the parties were encouraged by the Court to reach a resolution. However, Defendant doggedly insisted Plaintiff file his motion and refused to reach a settlement. As a result, an additional court hearing had to be conducted regarding the issue. It was unfortunate that Plaintiff was forced to incur thousands of dollars in attorney's fees to defend a case that had so little chance of success for the Defendant.

(3) The work actually performed by the lawyer, the skill, time and attention given to the work

The work performed in response to factor three (3) is spelled out in the attached billing statements. (A copy of the attached billing statements is attached as **Exhibit 1.**) Accordingly, Plaintiff is requesting attorney fees and costs of \$5,662.59.

(4) The result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived

The outcome for Plaintiff was successful. The Plaintiff's alimony obligation was terminated and his overpayment of alimony was reimbursed as requested. The outcome was consistent with what Plaintiff had been requesting from the outset.

THE DISPARITY OF THE PARTIES' INCOMES

Per the Financial Disclosure Forms filed by the parties, Defendant earns \$2,500.00 per month and Plaintiff earns \$6,600.00.

SUPPORTING AFFIDAVITS OR OTHER EVIDENCE

See Affidavit of John T. Kelleher, Esq. attached hereto.

RA000618

1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21


22

23

3.

4.

	1	III.			
4	2	TOTAL FEES AND COSTS			
	3	Attorney's Fees			
	4	Costs (Filing Fees, Runner Service, Postage, Copies @ \$0.25, Facsimiles @ \$0.50) \$67.59			
	5				
	6	TOTAL			
	7	IV.			
	8	CONCLUSION			
	9	Based on the above analysis, Plaintiff requests an award of attorney fees and costs totaling			
	10	\$5,662,59.			
ÖŢ	11				
HER LL	12	DATED this $\partial \beta$ day of January, 2017.			
	13	(KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC			
NET CENT	14				
LAW O LAW O LAW C LAW C LAW C LAW C LAW C	15	JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ.			
HELE WINNE	16	JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6012 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201			
LLE LLE	17	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Attorney for Plaintiff			
KEI	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
1	22				
÷	23				
. .	24				
· .	25				
	26				
	27				
·	28				
		Page 5 of 7			



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 22 day of January, 2017, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs was served electronically via E-Service Master List of Wiznet and addressed as follows: Samira C. Knight Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, PLLC info@tklawgroupny.com Danielle@tklawgroupny.com Samira@tklawgroupnv.com Attorney for Defendant An Employee of Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC 142) 384-740 Page 7 of 7

HERILC

KELLEHER & KEI

EXHIBIT 1

KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC Atlomeys at Law 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, NV 89012 (702) 384-7494 Tax ID: 88-0467184

Involce submitted to: Erich Martin erich n.jules@gmail.com

January 19, 2017

Invoice #22087

Professional Services

		Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amount
9/8/2016 RD	Legal research: does a domestic partnership terminate alimony?	0.40 200.00/hr	80.00
- RR	Emails with Erich regarding domestic partnership issue and filing a motion; review of statutes; conference with Ryan regarding same	0.30 300.00/hr	90.00

9/23/2016 -	RR	Call from Erich regarding strategy going forward; call from Julie regarding issues to include in the brief	0.50 300.00/hr	150.00
9/26/2016 -	JTK	Research cases on Westlaw similar to domestic partnership case	1.00 400.00/hr	400.00

Hrs/Rate	<u>Tax#</u>	Amount

9/30/2016 -	RD	Conf. w/ R R re: Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.10 200.00/hr	20.00
-	RD	Respond to Client Email	0.10 200.00/hr	20.00

10/4/2016 -	RD	View hearing tape for cites to attorney's fees and Court's opinion on comestic partnerships	0.50 200.00/hr	100.00
* .	ŔD	Legal research re: Domestic Partnerships; Marriage Statutes; Any case law on domestic partnerships?	0.50 200.00/hr	100.00
	RD	Review Client file in preparation for drafting Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.30 200.00/hr	60.00
ν Ψ	RD	Draft Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees	1.50 200.00/hr	300.00
	RD	Email to Client	0.10 200.00/hr	NO CHARGE
• •	RD	Phone Conversation w/ Nevade Secretary of State's Office re: date and record number for OP's registered domestic partnership	0.20 200.00/far	40,00

			Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amount
10/4/2016 -	RD	Review Client email; conf. w/ R R; edit Motion to Terminate Alimony; email to Client	0.20 200.00/hr	40.00
10/5/2016 -	RR	Review of Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00
-	RD	Conf. w/ R R	0.10 200.00/hr	20,00
. **	<u>RD</u>	Revelw email from Client; phone conversation w/ Client; Email to Client	0.20 200.00/hr	40.00
10/6/2016 -	RD	Review email from Client	0.10 200.00/hr	NO CHARGE
-	HIJ	Prepare Family Court Fee Sheet required for filing Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.10 150:00/hr	15.00
11/2/2016 -	RR	Email from Erich regarding status of case; review of Court order and email to Erich regarding same	0.30 300,00/hr	90.00
11/10/2016 -	JTK	Conference with opposing counsel about the case and alimony.	0.10 400.00/hr	40.00
11/14/2016 -	RR	Conference with JTK and email to Erich regarding status of case	0.10 300.00/hr	30.DD
11/18/2016 -	RR	Calls with Attorney Roberts and conference with JTK regarding status of hearing and with Bailey regarding telephonic notice - we will continue hearing to 12/14	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00
11/28/2016 -	BN	Prepare Order from the July 12, 2016 hearing	0.20 150.00/hr	30.00
12/19/2016 -	RR	Call from Attorney Knight, new opposing counsel, to discuss case; regarding deadline for Opposition - gave final extension to 12/23 but nothing beyond that	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00
12/22/2016 -	RR	Call from Attorney Knight's office regarding Opposition	0.10 300,00/hr	30.00
12/29/2016 -	JTK	Conference with client re the motion that was filed	0.20 400.00/hr	80.00
-	JTK	Review the motion, alimony was modifiable and no restrictions made	1.00 400.00/hr	400.00

			Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amount
1/3/2017 -	RD	Review Client file in preparation for drafting Reply and Opposition	1.00 300.00/hr	300.00
*	RD	Review OC Opposition and Countermotion	0.50 300.00/hr	150.00
-	RD	Legal Research re: terminating alimony; domestic partnerships; review of case law	1,00 300.00/hr	300.00
	RD	Begin drafting Reply and Opposition	1.50 300.00/hr	450.00
1/4/2017 -	RD	Legal Research re: Ballin and Rush; NV case law dealing w/ lump sum alimony payments	0.50 300.00/hr	150.00
-	RD	Finish Drafting Reply and Opposition; Email to Client	1.50 300.00/hr	450,00
-	SH	Review pleadings, hearing tape	0.40 300.00/hr	120.00
-	RR	Conferences with Saira and Ryan regarding status of Reply and Opposition	0.10 300.00/hr	NO CHARGE
1/5/2017 -	ΗJ	Prepare Supplement to Reply and Opposition	0 20 150.00/hr	30,00
	RR	Receipt and review of email from Erich, review of court orders and responded to Erich's email on visitation issue	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00
1/11/2017 -	RR	Preparation for hearing - review of all pleadings on alimony issue; conference with Ryan to discuss the case; call with Eric to discuss	0.80 300.00/hr	240.00
-	RD	Conf. w/ R R re: arguments for termination of alimony at upcoming hearing	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00
1/12/2017 -	RR	Preparation and court appearance, travel to and from court – our Motion was granted; drafted Order from 1/12 hearing with findings of fact and conclusions of law; drafted Memo of fees and costs and Order for attorney's fees as ordered by the Court.	2,70 309.00/hr	810.00
1/18/2017 ~	BN	Prepare and Redact history bill for the court	0.20 150.00/hr	30.00
-)	RR	Emails with Erich regarding status of case; review of billing statements in preparation for Memo of Fees and Costs for request for Attorney's Fees	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00

Erich Martin		Page
	Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amount
1/18/2017 - RD Review Billing History for Brunzell Brief	0.10 300.00/hr	30.00
For professional services rendered	19.9	\$5,595.00
Additional Charges :		

Qty/Price

9/8/2016 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
9/20/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.47	0,47
9/21/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.47	0.47
9/29/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.68	0.68
9/30/2016 -	BN	Filing fee- Plaintiffs Proposal	1 3.50	3,50
10/10/2016 -	BN	Court fee- Motion to Terminate	1 25.00	25.00
10/31/2016 -	ĊJ	Copies October 2016	14 0.25	3.50
11/2/2016 -	ÇA	Runner fee to deliver. Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
11/18/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.47	0.47

			Qty/Price	Tax#Amount
11/22/2016 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver slipulation and order to court for signature	1 7.50	7.50
11/23/2016 -	GA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
1/4/2017 -	НJ	Wiznet filing fee for Reply to Opposition	1 3.50	3.50

Total costs

\$67.59

,

EXHIBIT B

Electronically Filed 02/09/2017 04:56:42 PM

1	OPP SAMIRA C. KNIGHT, ESO.
_	
2	Nevada Bar No. 13167 CLERK OF THE COURT
3	TARKANIAN & KNIGHT LAW GROUP, PLLC 7220 S. Cimarron Road, Suite 110
4	Las Vegas, NV 89113 Tel: (702) 508-4998
5	Fax: (702) 940-2792
6	E-mail: Samira@TKLawGroupNV.com Attorney for Defendant
	DISTRICT COURT
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	*** ERICH M. MARTIN,
9	Case No.: D-15-509045-D
	Plaintiff, Dept. No.: C
10	v.
11	RAINA MARTIN,
12	
13	Defendant.
14	DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF FEES
•	AND COST.
15	COMES NOW the Defendant, RAINA MARTIN, by and through her attorney, SAMIRA
16	C. KNIGHT, ESQ., and opposes Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs.
17 18	This Opposition is made and based upon the papers and pleadings on file herein, the Points
	and Authorities submitted herewith, and any argument, which may have adduced at the time of
19	hearing.
20	DATED this day of February, 2017.
21	
22	
23	Samira C., Knight, Esq.
24	Nevada Bar No. 13167 7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110
25	Las Vegas, NV 89113 Tel: (702) 508-4998
26	Fax: (702) 940-2792
	E-mail: Samira@TKLawGroupNV.com
27	Attorney for Defendant
28	
	Page 1 of 4
1	

Law Group P: (702) 508-4998 | F: (702) 940-2792 7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113

1 2 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 3 Several entries in Plaintiff's memorandum of fees and costs are excessive and should be 4 stricken. 5 There are entries on November 2, 2016, and November 28, 2016, in the matrix below, б 7 both of which pertain to the Court order regarding custody. This had no connection to the 8 Court's order granting attorney's fees for the alimony issue. These entries should be stricken. 9 Initials Date Entry Rate Amount 和"和中国"的"新闻"下"马 10 11/2/16 RR Email from Erich regarding status of case, 90.00 0.30 review of Court order and email to Erich 11 300.00/hr regarding same 12 11/28/16 BN Prepare Order from the July 12, 2016 hearing 30.00 0.20 150.00/hr 13 01/05/17 RR Receipt and review of email from Erich review 0.20 60.00 of court orders and responded to email of 300.00/hr 14 Erich's email on visitation issues 15 TOTAL 180.00 16 On November 29, 2016, there is an entry for "Review the motion, alimony was 17 modifiable and no restrictions made" for \$400.00. There is absolutely no justifiable reason for 18 19 anyone within Plaintiff's office to have to review a document that was written by Plaintiff's 20 counsel. Furthermore, the Motion at issue is only six (6) pages long. The six pages includes the 21 caption and notice of motion, and no citing of case law. All in all, there are less than four full 22 pages of double spaced text to the Motion. An hour to review four double spaced pages of text, 23 which was drafted by the Plaintiff's counsel's office is extremely excessive. The Court should 24 strike this entry. 25 26

	Date	Initials	Entry	Rate	Amount
27	12/29/16	JTK	Review the motion, alimony was modifiable	1.00	400.00
28			and no restrictions made	400.00/hr	
20 I					

Page 2 of 4

RA000631

Law Group P; (702) 608-4998 | F: (702) 940-2792 7220 S. Cimerron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113

TOTAL

400.00

There are numerous entries on January 3, 2017, contained in the matrix below, which amount to \$1,830.00 regarding the Reply brief which should be stricken. These cannot fairly be charged to Defendant as the Reply Brief was never served on Defendant, which they falsely certify in their Reply. See Exhibit A: *E-File Service Confirmation*. It was address and confirmed before this Court. There is no argument that can be made that a document which is never served can be said to be in the client's interest. As such, these entries should be stricken in their entirety.

Furthermore, after the hearing, the reply brief was later obtained and is remarkably short, about nine pages of double spaced text with long quoted passages of statute. Even if the Court is inclined to permit these entries to stand, despite the fact that the Reply Brief was never served, the entries for preparation of the brief are excessive when compared to the actual document produced. In total, Plaintiff's counsel spent six hours on the Reply which was never served or filed timely. This time includes an hour to familiarize "RD" with the file to file a Reply. This amount of time to review a client file, in a straightforward single issue Alimony Family Law case is absurd. Plaintiff's counsel spent three (3) hours drafting a document that is approximately nine (9) pages of double spaced text and which contains long quoted passages of statute. This is clearly excessive and the Court should strike these entries.

The exact entries which should be stricken are detailed in the matrix below:

			· · ·		1
25	Date	Initials	Entry	Rate	Amount
	1/3/17	RD	Review Client file in preparation for drafting	1.00	300.00
26			Reply and Opposition	300.00/hr	
07	01/03/17	RD	Review OC Opposition and Countermotion	0.50	150.00
27				300.00/hr	
28					

Page 3 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		· · ·	and the second	*		
1	01/03/17	RD	Legal Research re: terminating alimony,	1.00	300.00	
2	01/03/17	RD	domestic partnerships, review of case law Begin drafting Reply and Opposition	300.00/hr 1.50	450.00	
3				300.00/hr		
	01/04/17	RD	Legal Research re: Ballin and Rush; NV Case	0.50	150.00	
4	01/04/17	RD	law dealing w/lump sum alimony payments Finish drafting Reply and Opposition, Email to	300.00/hr 1.50	450.00	
5	01/04/17	КD	client	300.00/hr	4,00.00	
6	01/04/07	RR	Conferences with Saira and Ryan regarding status of Reply and Opposition	0.10 300.00/hr	NO CHARGE	
7	01/05/17	HI	Prepare Supplement to Reply and Opposition	0.20	30.00	
8			TOTAL	150.00/hr	1,830.00	
9	L	L			2,000100	
10	In s	ummary, a	minimum of \$3,000.00 of Plaintiff's bill for this	issue is exce	ssive and	
11	should be s	tricken, and	d what this court deems necessary.			
12			ш.			
13						
14	CONCLUSION					
15			Plaintiff's attorney's fees are excessive and sh			
16	reasons sta	ted above.	In the alternative, if the Court finds that the	entries are	appropriate,	
17		-	at the amount charged for the entries be reduced	d to reasona	ble amounts	
18	where appr	opriate.				
19			An .			
20	DATED this day of February, 2017					
21	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -		TARKANIAN & KNIGHT	LAW GRC	UP	
22						
23						
24						
25			7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 11	0		
26			Las Vegas, NV 89113 Tel: (702) 508-4998			
			Fax: (702) 940-2792			
27			E-mail: Samira@TKLawG Attorney for Defendant	roupNV.con	1	
28						
			Page 4 of 4			
				Ţ	8 A 000633	

Law Group : P: (702) 508-4998 | F: (702) 940-2792 7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110, Las Vegas, NV 89113

RA000633

Exhibit A

Welcome to Tyler Support Chat

ischat

12017

副

ase do not refresh your browser during this chat session. This will cause your sess	lon.to end	
	الاعتار يدر الدارية الأعري	:
		
Melissa: Do you know the date of the filing in question	- 3:16:03 PM	
Samira Knight: Jan 4th they said the e-served and e-filed that day. We never got anything	3:16:35 PM	:
they said the e-served and e-filed that day	3:16:42 PM	
We never got anything	3:16:48 PM	- -
Hellssa: Thank you, I am pulling that filing up. One moment while I look at the	3:17:40 PM	
illing details Station 2011 - Treach and a little for the station of the station of the station of the station of the station		
Samira Knight: Thank you.	. 3:17:59 PM	
Still there?	3:24:10 PM	
Melissa: I do not show your email or any email addresses with the domain		調し
@tklawgroupny.com being eserved from our system, regarding that specific	3.34.43.644	圜日
illing. That is not to say that they did not serve you because we are only able to see eservices done through our website. Maybe they served you a different way	3:24:12 PM	
but that will be something that they will have to clarify with you.		劉昌
Samira Knight: OK: They said the e-severed us on the certificate, so nothing		
with our domain.	3:25:15·PM	讕
Ok. Thank youl	3:25:20 PM	
	- Letaillé aromann	u. In
	्राष्ट्र सम्बद्धाः	a
Email Transcript		1714

ylenecn.com/webChavMain.aspx?Quebelvame=

Version: 6.2.284,34

🎭 tyler

- 1/1

EXHIBIT C

Ì	Electronically Filed 04/07/2017 12:20:07 PM
	MEMO Alum D. Elim
1	TOUNT VETTELED ESO
2	Nevada State Bar No, 6012
3	KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201
4	Henderson, Nevada 89012 Telephone: (702) 384-7494
5	Facsimile: (702) 384-7545 kelleherjt@aol.com
6	Attorney for Plaintiff
	DISTRICT COURT
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	ERICH M. MARTIN
9) CASE NO.: D-15-509045-D
10	Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO.: C
11	V.)
12	RAINA L. MARTIN,
13) Defendant.
14	·
15	PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF FEES AND COSTS
16	COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Erich Martin, by and through his attorney, John T. Kelleher, Esq.,
17	of KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC, and hereby files his MEMORANDUM OF FEES AND
18	COSTS in this matter.
19	This Memorandum is filed as directed by the Court at the hearing held in this matter on
20	January 12, 2017.
21	DATED this day of April, 2017.
22	KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC
23	
24	By: Jon Hulle
25	JØHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6012
26	40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, Nevada 89012
27	Attorney for Plaintiff
28	

LAW OFFICES KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC AS. STEPHAKINSTREET, SUITE ZEI INCODESINA, NEY ARA BUOL INCODESINA, NEY ARA BUOL (702) 744-7494

RA000637

ISSUE

1

Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs of \$7,482.48.

II.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

A hearing was held in this matter on January 12, 2017. At the hearing, the Court directed Plaintiff's counsel to file this brief pursuant to *Miller v. Wilfong*, 121 Nev. 619, 119 P.3d 727 (2005), wherein the Nevada Supreme Court held that when deciding whether to award attorney fees in family law cases, the following factors should be considered:

1. Counsel must cite a statute or rule as a legal basis for attorney's fees;

2. The Court must follow the four (4) factors set forth in *Brunzell v. Gold Gate National Bank* 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969); i.e., (1) the qualities of the advocate, his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer, the skill, time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived;

The Court must consider the disparity in income of the parties pursuant to Wright v.
 Osburn, 114 Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998);

4. The request must be supported by affidavits or other evidence that meets the factorsIn *Brunzell* and *Wright*.

23 1.

1

Ź

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC 40.8. Suprianie Street, Supre and Jickude Son, Nevada (8012

572-14C (202)

AW OFFICE

THE COURT HAS A LEGAL BASIS TO AWARD ATTORNEY'S FEES

NRS 18.010 Award of attorney's fees.

 The compensation of an attorney and counselor for his or her services is governed by agreement, express or implied, which is not restrained by law.
 In addition to the cases where an allowance is authorized by specific statute, the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees to a prevailing party:

(a) When the prevailing party has not recovered more than \$20,000; or

(b) Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim,

counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The court shall liberally construct the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph and impose sanctions pursuant to Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure in all appropriate situations to punish for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public.

3. In awarding attorney's fees, the court may pronounce its decision on the fees at the conclusion of the trial or special proceeding without written motion and with or without presentation of additional evidence,

4. Subsections 2 and 3 do not apply to any action arising out of a written instrument or agreement which entitles the prevailing party to an award of reasonable attorney's fees.

In the case at hand, Plaintiff was ordered by the Decree of Divorce to pay alimony to Defendant of \$1,000.00 per month for 24 months. Plaintiff then learned that Defendant had entered into a domestic partnership on February 29, 2016. Plaintiff was forced to file a Motion to Terminate his alimony obligation. The Court granted Plaintiff's Motion. Therefore, as the prevailing party, Plaintiff should be awarded attorney's fees.

2. BRUNZELL FACTORS

1

 $\cdot 2$

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

26

27

28

KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC 465.STERIANGSTRGET.SUTE E201 115.00518504, NEV 2012 3022 7023 364-203

LAW OFFICES

(1) The qualities of the advocate, his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill.

18 With respect to factor number one (1) in the Brunzell factors, Mr. Martin has been 19 represented by John T. Kelleher, Esq., Mr. Kelleher is A/V rated by Martindale-Hubble, has been 20 Certified as a Family Law Specialist through the State Bar of Nevada, and is a member of the 21 American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers. He has been practicing law for 21 years, and is an 22 honors graduate of the J. Reuben Clark Law School at Brigham Young University. Mr. Kelleher has 23 three additional associate attorneys working with him at Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC: Randy Richards, 24Esq., Ryan Davis, Esq. and Saira Haseebullah, Esq, who assist Mr. Kelleher with various aspects of 25 the litigation process.

(2) The character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation

With respect to factor number two (2) in the *Brunzell* factors, the work performed included drafting of pleadings, legal research, preparation and attendance at several court hearings and oral arguments, as well as correspondence, phone calls, research, and meetings with client regarding the issues surrounding the motion.

While this litigation was not particularly intricate or complex, Defendant complicated matters by insisting the Plaintiff file a Motion despite the overwhelming weight of the law favoring the position that alimony should rightfully be terminated in this instance. This matter was discussed at the September 22, 2016 hearing and the parties were encouraged by the Court to reach a resolution. However, Defendant doggedly insisted Plaintiff file his motion and refused to reach a settlement. As a result, an additional court hearing had to be conducted regarding the issue.

Thereafter, a significant amount of time was spent finalizing the Order from the January 12, 2017 hearing because Defendant's counsel insisted on numerous revisions. This required several reviews of the court video from the hearing and numerous correspondence and communications between the attorneys. It was unfortunate that Plaintiff was forced to incur thousands of dollars in attorney's fees to defend a case that had so little chance of success for the Defendant.

(3) The work actually performed by the lawyer, the skill, time and attention given to the work

The work performed in response to factor three (3) is spelled out in the attached billing statements. (A copy of the attached billing statements is attached as **Exhibit 1.**) Accordingly, Plaintiff is requesting attorney fees and costs of \$7,482.48.

(4) The result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived The outcome for Plaintiff was successful. The Plaintiff's alimony obligation was terminated and his overpayment of alimony was reimbursed as requested. The outcome was consistent with what Plaintiff had been requesting from the outset.

25

3.

111

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\mathbf{22}$

23

24

26

27

28

LAW OFFICES KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC 49 S. STERIANIE STREET, SUTH 4201 HENDERSON, NEVADA 55012

702) 364-749

THE DISPARITY OF THE PARTIES' INCOMES

Per the Financial Disclosure Forms filed by the parties, Defendant carns \$2,500.00 per month and Plaintiff earns \$6,600.00.

	ı	4. SUPPORTING AFFIDAVITS OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
	2	See Affidavit of John T. Kelleher, Esq. attached hereto.					
	3	111.					
	4	TOTAL FEES AND COSTS					
	5	Attorney's Fees					
	.6	Costs (Filing Fees, Runner Service, Postage, Copies @ \$0.25, Facsimiles @ \$0.50) \$187.48					
	7	Cosis (rining rees, Runner Service, rosinge, Copies @ 50.25, Pacsinines @ 50.50) \$157.45					
	8	TOTAL					
	g						
	10	IV.					
-E.)	11	CONCLUSION					
TTL.		Based on the above analysis, Plaintiff requests an award of attorney fees and costs totaling					
HER L	12 \$7,482.48						
KS LLE MDA N9H	13						
V OFFIC K.E.	14	DATED this day of April, 2017.					
I.A. S. S. S. S. S. S	15	KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC					
	16	Jon Wille					
ELL	17	JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ. Ngvada Bar No. 6012					
X	18	40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, Nevada 89012					
	19	Attorney for Plaintiff					
	20						
	21						
	22						
	23						
	24						
	25						
	26						
	27						
	28	n en					
		Page 5 of 7					
en de la composition de la composition Composition de la composition de la comp							

· .		
	1	
	2	AFFIDAVIT OF ATTORNEY JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESO.
	3	STATE OF NEVADA)
· .	4)ss: COUNTY OF CLARK)
·	5	JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ., being duly sworn, states: that Affiant is an attorney at the law
	6	firm of Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC, the attorneys for the Plaintiff and has personal knowledge of the
	7	above costs and disbursements expended; that the items contained in the above memorandum are
	8	true and correct to the best of this Affiant's knowledge and belief; and that the said disbursements
	9	have been necessarily incurred and paid in this action.
	10	
LLC	11	Star Miller
JIER LI TE 200 012	12	JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESQ: Anomey for Plaintiff
S SUS	13	
AN OFFICE S. KEL MESTREE SON, NEVAL 2013 364-7494	14	SUBSCEIBED AND SWORN to before me on this day of April) 2017.
LAN BEREN NDEREO UNCEREO	15	THE OF NEVADA
E HI #KSI	16	NOTARY PUBLIC
KELLEH	17 18	In and for said County and State
<u>, 100</u>	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
·	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
·	28	
		Page 6 of 7

	1	
·	2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	3	I hereby certify that on the $\frac{1}{7}$ day of famuary, 2017, a true and correct copy of the
	4	foregoing Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs was served electronically via E-Service Master
· ·	5	List of Wiznet and addressed as follows:
. ¹	6	Samira C. Knight Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, PLLC info@atklawgroupny.com
	7	info@tklawsroupny.com Danielie@tklawsroupny.com
	8	Samira/atklawgroupny.com Attorney for Defendant
	و	a^{1}
	10	Parley
TC	11	An Employee of Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC
ER L	12	,
LEH sures	.13	
AW OFFICES & KELL MESTHEFT, SON NEVAD 503 NEVAD	14	
Nosha Nosha	15	
LEEE	16	
A CONTRACTOR	17	
X	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
	· . []	Base 7 al 7

Page 7 of 7

EXHIBIT 1

Page 3

Hrs/Rate Tax# Amount

9/8/2016 -	RD	Legal research: does a domestic partnership terminate alimony?	0.40 200.00/hr	80.00
-	RR	Emails with Erich regarding domestic partnership issue and filing a motion; review of statutes; conference with Ryan regarding same	0.30 300.00/hr	90.00

9/23/2016 - RR	Call from Erich regarding strategy going forward; call from Julie regarding issues to include in the brief	0.50 300.00/hr	150.00
9/26/2016 - JTK	Research cases on Westlaw similar to domestic partnership case	1.00 400.00/hr	400.00

Page 4 <u>Hrs/Rate Tax# Amount</u>

9/30/2016 -	ŔD	RD Conf. w/ R R re: Motion to Terminate Alimony	0,10 200,00/hr	20.00
-	RD	Respond to Client Email	0.10 200.00/hr	20.00

10/4/2016 - RD	View hearing tape for cites to attorney's fees and Court's opinion on domestic partnerships	0.50 200.00/hr	100.00
- RD	Legal research re: Domestic Partnerships; Marriage Statutes; Any case law on domestic partnerships?	0.50 200.00/hr	100.00
- RD	Review Client file in preparation for drafting Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.30 200.00/hr	60.00
- RD	Draft Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees	1.50 200.00/hr	300.00
- RD	Email to Client	0.10 200.00/hr	NO CHARGE
- RD	Phone Conversation w/ Nevada Secretary of State's Office re: date and record number for OP's registered domestic partnership	.0.20 200.00/hr	40.00
- RD	Review Client email; conf. w/ R R; edit Motion to Terminate Alimony; email to Client	0.20 200,00/hr	40.00
10/5/2016 - RR	Review of Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.20 300.00/hr	60.00

Erich Martin				Page 5
			Hrs/Rate	Tax# Amouni
10/5/2016 -	RD	Conf. w/ R R	0,10 200.00/hr	20.00
•	RD	Reveiw email from Client; phone conversation w/ Client; Email to Client	0.20 200.00/hr	40.00
10/6/2016 -	RD	Review email from Client	0.10 200.00/hr	NO CHARGE
• •	нJ	Prepare Family Court Fee Sheet required for filing Motion to Terminate Alimony	0.10 150.00/hr	15.00
	•			
11/2/2016 -	RŖ	Email from Erich regarding status of case; review of Court order and email to Erich regarding same	0.30 300.00/hr	90,00
11/10/2016 -	JTK	Conference with opposing counsel about the case and alimony.	0.10 400.00/hr	40.00
11/14/2016 -	RR	Conference with JTK and email to Erich regarding status of case	0:10 300,00/hr	30.00
11/18/201 6 -	RR	Calls with Attorney Roberts and conference with JTK regarding status of hearing and with Balley regarding telephonic notice - we will continue hearing to 12/14	0.20 300.00/hr	80.00
11/28/2016 -	BN	Prepare Order from the July 12, 2016 hearing	0.20 150.00/hr	30.00
12/19/2016 -	RR	Call from Attorney Knight, new opposing counsel, to discuss case; regarding deadline for Opposition - gave final extension to 12/23 but nothing beyond that	0:20 300.00/hr	60.00
12/22/2018 -	RR	Call from Attorney Knight's office regarding Opposition	0.10 300.00/hr	30.00
12/29/2016 -	JTK	Conference with client re the motion that was filed	0.20 400.00/hr	80.00
. .	JTK	Review the motion, alimony was modifiable and no restrictions made	1.00 400.00/hr	400.00
1/3/2017 -	RD	Review Client file in preparation for drafting Reply and Opposition	1.00 300.00/hr	300.00
•	RD	Review OC Opposition and Countermotion	0.50 300.00/hr	150.00
-	RD	Legal Research re: terminating alimony; domestic partnerships; review of case law	1,00 300.00/hr	300.00
				· · · · ·

Erich Marlin					Page	6
•			Hrs/Rale	Tax#	Am	ount
1/3/2017 -	RD	Begin drafting Reply and Opposition	1.50 300.00/hr		450	00.00
1/4/2017 -	RD	Legal Research re: Ballin and Rush; NV case law dealing w/ lump sum allmony payments	0.50 300.00/hr		150	.00
-	RD	Finish Drafting Reply and Opposition; Email to Client	1.50 300,00/hr		450	0.00
-	SH	Review pleadings, hearing tape	0.40 300.00/hr		120	00.00
-	RR	Conferences with Saira and Ryan regarding status of Reply and Opposition	0.10 300.00/hr	N	O CHAR	GE
1/5/2017 -	ΗJ	Prepare Supplement to Reply and Opposition	0.20 150.00/hr		30	00.
-	RR	Receipt and review of email from Erich, review of court orders and responded to Erich's email on visitation issue	0.20 300.00/hr		60	0,0,0
1/11/2017 -	RR	Preparation for hearing - review of all pleadings on alimony issue; conference with Ryan to discuss the case; call with Eric to discuss	0.80 300.00/hr		240	00.
ب	RD	Conf. w/ R R re: arguments for termination of alimony at upcoming hearing	0.20 350.00/hr	'N	O CHAR	GE
1/12/2017 -	RR	Preparation and court appearance, travel to and from court - our Motion was granted; drafted Order from 1/12 hearing with findings of fact and conclusions of law; drafted Memo of fees and costs and Order for attorney's fees as ordered by the Court.	2.70 300.00/hr		810	1.00
1/18/2017 -	BŅ	Prepare and Redact history bill for the court	0.20 150.00/hr		-30	.00
-	RŔ	Emails with Erich regarding status of case; review of billing statements in preparation for Memo of Fees and Costs for request for Attorney's Fees	0,20 300.00/hr		60	.00
	RD	Review Billing History for Brunzell Brief	Ö.10		.35	.00

Erich Martin		•		Page	7
		Hrs/Raie	Tax#	Amor	unt
2/7/2017 RR	Review of letter and proposed order from opposing counsel	0.30 350.00/hr		105.0	ĴÜ
2/10/2017 RR	Receipt and response of email with Erich regarding status of Order	0.20 350.00/hr		70.(0C
2/23/2017 - RR	Emails with Erich and conference with Bailey regarding order	0.10 350.00/hr		35.(20
- BN	Prepare Order from the last hearing by the Court tape	1.00 150.00/hr		150.(j o
2/24/2017 - RR	Review and revisions to Order from 1/12 hearing; review of statutory language quoted by Court and conference with Bailey; email from and to Erich regarding status; extensive revisions to Order	1.20 350.00/hr		420.0	00
- BN	Prepare letter to submit new proposed order to OC	0.10 150.00/hr		15.0	00
3/6/2017 - RR	Call with Samira Knight's office re: Order; subsequent call with Altorney Knight - email to Atty Knight with letter from 2/28 attached and latest version of the order	0,30 350.00/hr		105.0	30
3/21/2017 - BN	Prepare letter to submit order to Judge-	0.10 150.00/hr		15,0	20
3/28/2017 - RR	Emails with Erich and call and email to opposing counsel regarding order	0.20 350.00/hr		70.0	οĊ
3/29/2017 - RR	Receipt and review of email from Attorney Knight with requested revisions; review of revisions; call to Attorney Knight's office - spoke with Jamison and walked through the issues	0.70 350.00/hr		245.(30
	drafted letter to court submitting our proposed Order; call with Erich to discuss Order and also child support issue				
4/5/2017 - RR	Review of emails from Samira Knight; review of video and revisions and made revisions to same, numerous emails and calls between counsel and her office; email and call to Court regarding Order	0,80 350.00/hr		280.0	00
4/6/2017 - RR	Court appearance regarding Order, travel to and from court: review of memo of fees and billing statements	0,70 350.00/hr		245.(10
For profe	essional services rendered	25.60		\$7,295.00	

Erich Martin

Additional Charges :

. *			Qty/Price	Tax#	Amount
5/27/2016 -	BN	Filing Fee- Motion for an Order to Show Cause	1 4.25	•	4.25
 	BN	Court Fee- Motion for order to show cause	1 25:00		25.00
6/30/2016 -	CJ	Copies June 2016	160 0.25		40.00
7/6/2016 -	ĊĴ	Postage	1 0.89		0.89
7/30/2016 -	CJ	Copies July 2016	16 0.25		4.00
9/8/2016 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50		7.50
9/20/2016 -	Cl	Postage	1 0.47		0.47
9/21/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.47		0.47
9/29/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.66		0.68
9/30/2016 -	BN	Filing fee- Plaintiffs Proposal	1. 3:50		3.50
10/10/2016 -	BN	Court fee- Motion to Terminate	1 25.00		25.00
10/31/2016 -	CJ	Copies October 2016	14 0.25		3.50
11/2/2016 -	ĊA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50		7.50
11/18/2016 -	CJ	Postage	1 0.47		0.47
11/22/2016 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver stipulation and order to court for signature	1 7.50		7.50
11/23/2016 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50		7.50
1/4/2017 -	ΗJ	Wiznet filing fee for Reply to Opposition	1 3.50		3.50

Page

8

Erich Martin				Page 9
			Qly/Price Tax#	Amount
1/24/2017 -	ĊÁ	Runner fee to deliver order for attorneys fees to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
1/31/2017 -	CJ	Copies January 2017	75 0.25	18.75
2/1/2017 -	ĊA	Runner fee to deliver. Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
2/2/2017 -	CA	Runner fee to deliver Order to Judge for signature	1 7.50	7.50
2/6/2017 -	CJ	Incoming Faxes	6 0.50	3.00
2/28/2017 -	CJ	Copies February 2017	6 0.25	1.50

Total costs

\$187.48

EXHIBIT D

Docket 81810 Document 2021-19500 RA000652

WRIT	
Erich M. Martin	
(Name)	
3815 Little Dipper Dr.	
(Address)	
Fort Collins, CO 80528	
(City, State, Zip Code)	
307-275-6343	
(Telephone Number)	
emartin2671@gmail.com	
(E-mail Address)	

⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, In Proper Person

This WRIT must be answered, signed and returned to: Office of the Ex-Officio Constable 301 E. Clark Avenue, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Case No.: D-15-509045-D

WRIT OF GARNISHMENT

Dept. No.: C

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Erich M. Martin

Plaintiff(s),

γs.

Raina L. Martin

Defendant(s).

THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:

, Garnishee.

Desert Breeze Dental

You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnishee in the above-entitled action, and you Raina L. Martin are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to

, Defendant(s), and that you must retain possession and control of

all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses in action of said Defendant(s) in order that

the same may be dealt with according to law; where such property consists of wages, salaries,

commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be in accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and

Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295.

Sallitado

a' -----

Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses in action in Page 1 of 5

your hands and under your custody and control belonging to said Defendant(s), more particularly

described as:

Please retain from Wages, tips, commissions, benefits from Raina L. Martin at Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W. Spring Mountain Rd #101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 702-869-0032 in satisfaction of the judgment against her in the net ammount of \$13,646.20

YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:

(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in the writ, as the case may be; or

(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.

IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.

YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.

YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.

Issued at direction-of (sign and chepk one):

SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY T. MARIN P#9577 66/

⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant Title Erich M. Martin 3815 Little Dipper Dr. Fort Collins, CO 80528

Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant Raina L. Martin 2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, NV 89044

Name and address of Defendant(s)

Self-11:00

E 7442844

(flev. 12-27-2611)

ATE OF NEVADA)	
) ss:	
DUNTY OF CLARK)	
The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the wi	thin WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
the day of, 20, and personally, and personally and personal	d by rule of court or law of this
te for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the s	statutory fee of \$5.00 to
	, County of
, City of, City of	
	•
By:	PTPat
	little
TERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISH	FE AND SIGNED UNDER
ENALTY OF PERJURY:	EE AND BRIGHED UNDER
Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) Raina L. Mar	
, or either of them, either	r in property or money, and is the
debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fi	
debt now dder it not dde, when is die debt to become dder State it	iny an particulars.
Answer:	
Answer:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the	te length of your pay period and the
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each	ne length of your pay period and the n Defendant presently earns during
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state th amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the	he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment,
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66	ne length of your pay period and the n Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time t	the length of your pay period and the n Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld.
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the federal	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>collowing</i>):
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the for The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. collowing):
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the fe The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly:	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>Collowing</i>):
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the fe The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly:(1) Gross Earnings	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. collowing):
 Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the fet The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>Collowing</i>):
 Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the federal The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:[D] Monthly:(1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] 	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>Collowing</i>):
 Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the fermionation of the fermionation of the pay period). The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:[D] Monthly:	the length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>Collowing</i>):
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the fe The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly: (1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50	he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. billowing): , [C] Semimonthly:, \$
 Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the for The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:	the length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>ollowing</i>): , [C] Semimonthly:, \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time of by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows <i>(check one of the fe</i> The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly: (1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1	<pre>be length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. bollowing): , [C] Semimonthly:, \$</pre>
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time of by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows <i>(check one of the fe</i> The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly: (1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1 [B] Multiply line 5 by 2	the length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>following</i> :
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the feet The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:[D] Monthly:(1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1 [B] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	<pre>he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. following): </pre>
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 60 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the form The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:	<pre>ne length of your pay period and the in Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. ollowing): , [C] Semimonthly:, \$</pre>
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows <i>(check one of the fe</i> The employee is paid: [A] Weekly: [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly: (1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1 [B] Multiply line 5 by 2 [C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24 [D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12 (7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	<pre>he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. billowing): </pre>
 Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the for The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:[D] Monthly:[1] Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1 [B] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24 [D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12 (7) Subtract line 6 from line 3 This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 	<pre>he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. billowing): , [C] Semimonthly:, \$</pre>
Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings the which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 66 Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time to by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount Calculate the attachable amount as follows <i>(check one of the fe</i> The employee is paid: [A] Weekly: [B] Biweekly: [D] Monthly: (1) Gross Earnings (2) Deductions required by law (not including child support) (3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1] (4) Federal Minimum Wage (5) Multiply line 4 by 50 (6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the [A] Multiply line 5 by 1 [B] Multiply line 5 by 2 [C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24 [D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12 (7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	he length of your pay period and the h Defendant presently earns during at is exempt from this garnishment, (a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor the earnings are payable multiplied required by law to be withheld. <i>following</i> :

. ..

- '

RA000655

З,	Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your control, on the date the Writ of
	Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or
	choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which Defendants are interested? If so,
	state its value, and state fully all particulars.
	Answer:

4. Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money, property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so, state all particulars.
Answer:

5. Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment. As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of money described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount that is not subject to garnishment.

Answer:

Sale Links

Answer:

 State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.
 Answer:

Page 4 of 5

(ilev. 12-27-2011)



WRIT

Erich M. Martin (Name and Bar Number (if any))

3815 Little Dipper Dr (Address)

Fort Collins, CO 80528 (City, State, Zip Code)

307-275-6343 (Telephone and Facsimile Number)

emartin2671@gmail.com (E-mail Address)

□ Attorney for (Name): ☑ Plaintiff, □ Counterclaimant, or □ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Erich M. Martin		Case No.: D-15-509045-D
VS,	Plaintiff(s),	Dept. No.: C WRIT OF EXECUTION
Raina L. Martin	Defendant(s).	, ⊠ BANK ACCOUNT
	THE PEOPLE OF THE S	
Greetings:	,2017 ,ajudg Erich M. Mərtin	the recover of money for the support of a person. ment was entered by the above-entitled court in the , as Judgment
above-entitled action	in favor of Raina L. Martin	, as Judgment Debtor, for:
\$	5,000.00 Princ Pre-J	ipal, udgment Interest,
\$ \$	Attor Page 1 of 3	ney's Fees, and Make Check Payable To: Office of the Ex-Officio Constable 301 E. Clark Avenue, Suite 100 ban Magam NV 89101

	<u> </u>		Costs, making a total amount of
	\$	N	
		6,000.00	The judgment as entered, and
	\$		
		_	memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed d since the entry of judgment, to wit:
	\$	118.72	Accrued Interest, and
	\$		Accrued Costs, together with
		50.00	
	\$		Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of
		168.72	
	\$		As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.
	\$	0.00	
which is to be	first credite	ed against the total acc	crued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited
against the ju	dgment as e	ntered, leaving a net b 5,168.72	alance of
	\$		
actually due of	on the date o	of the issuance of this y	writ, of which
		6,168.72	
	\$		
bears interest	5.75 at	percent per annum, in	n the amount of \$ 2.12 per day, from the date
of judgment t	o the date o	f levy, to which must h	be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing

this writ.

NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable, whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county. Earnings: Please retain from wages, commissions, benefits and bonuses of Raina L Martin at her place of employment Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W Spring Mtn Rd # 101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 in satisfaction of the judgment against her. Bank Accounts: Please retain from any and all accounts of, Raina L Martin including

but not limited to acct # 191726079 at USAA Bank located at 9800 Fredrickburg RD You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60 days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON

Page 2 of 3

(A.v., u)-05-2012 [92; WEII)

CLERK OF COURT By: _________ Deputy Clerk

DISTRICT COURT SEAL 6/1/207 Date

SUSANNA PARK

Issued at the direction of:

(Signature)

□ Attorney for (Name):

 ☑ Plaintiff, □ Counterclaimant, or □ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person

 Name:
 Erich M Martin

 Address:
 3815 Little Dipper Dr

 City, State, Zip:
 Fort Collins, CO 88528

 Phone:
 307-275-6345

 E-mail:
 emartin2571@gmail.com

SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION

AMOUNTS TO BE COLLE	CTED BY LEVY:	<u>RETURN:</u>	
NET BALANCE:	6,168.72	Not satisfied	\$
		Satisfied in sum of	\$
Garnishment Fee:	500	Costs retained	\$
Mileage:	[8:00	Commission retained	\$
Levy Fee:	30,00	Costs incurred	\$
Sub-Total:	6221.72	<u> </u>	\$
Commission:	\$3.61	Costs received	\$
TOTAL LEVY:	6305.33		

REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:

(Turv., N) 46-14)1 (DC: WEB)

5

I hereby certify that I have this date returned the foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy endorsed thereon.

SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF

By:

Title

Date

Page 3 of 3

MOFI	DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	Electronically Filed 7/17/2017 5:06 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
Erich M. Uavty Plaintiff/Petitioner vs- Rain <u>u J. Mavtin</u> Defendant/Respondent)))))))))) FAMILY COURT MOTION/O))) FEE INFORMATION SHEET (N	PPOSITION
Party Filing Motion/Opposition MOTION FOR/OPPOSITION		lant/Respondent <u>PPOVI</u> Suff <u>ns</u>
Motions and Oppositions to Motions filed after entry of final Decree or Judgment (pursuant to NRS 125, 125B & 125C) are subject to the Re-open Filing Fee of \$25.00, unless specifically excluded. (See NRS 19.0312)	 Motions filed before final Divorce/Cust (Divorce Custody Decree NOT final) Child Support Modification ONLY Motion/Opposition For Reconsideration Date of Last Order Request for New Trial (Within 10 days of De Date of Last Order Other Excluded Motion	ody Decree entered 1 (Wahim II) daysof Decree) 2010
Difference of the subject to \$2	5.00 filing fee Motion/Opp IS NOT sub	ject to filing fee

Samme Knigh Printed Name of Preparer

Signature of Preparer

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	OPPS RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716 Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq. 500 South 8 th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile <i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i> EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC FAMILY DIVISION	F COURT	
° 9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
10		1	
11	ERICH MARTIN,		
12	Plaintiff,	CASE No. D-15-509045-D	
13	-vs	DEPT. No. C	
14	RAINA MARTIN,		
15	Defendant.	HEARING DATE: 8/18/2017 HEARING TIME: In Chambers	
16			
17	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FO	R CLARIFICATION AND	
18	TEMPORARY STAY AND COUNTERMOTION FO	OR ATTORNEY's FEES AND	
19			
20	COMES NOW, Plaintiff ERICH MARTIN, by and through his attorney, RANDAL R.		
21	LEONARD, ESQ., and hereby submits his Opposition to the Motion for Clarification and		
22 23	Temporary Stay, as filed with this Court by the Defendant on July 17, 2017; as well as Plaintiff's		
23 24	Countermotion for an award of Attorney's Fees and Costs associated with having to defend this		
25	Motion.		
26			
27			
28	Page 1 of 8		

1 This Opposition and Countermotion is brought in good faith and is based upon the attached 2 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration, Exhibits, and any argument made at the time 3 of hearing on this matter. DATED this ______ 4 _ day of _____ July___, 2017. 5 6 Respectfully Submitted: 7 8 9 RAMDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716 10 Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq. 500 S. Eighth St. 11 Las Vegas, NV 89101 12 (702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile 13 Attorney for Plaintiff 14 /// 15 | | | 16 | | | 17 /// 18 / / / 19 20 ' / / 21 | | | 22 11 23 11 24 11 25 ' / / 26 27 Page 2 of 8 28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

BACKGROUND FACTS

The parties were granted a Decree of Divorce, which was entered by this Honorable Court on November 10, 2015. At the time of entry of the Decree, both parties were represented by their own counsel.

Since entry of the Decree, there have been a few subsequent orders. Most recently, and pertinent to this instant matter, this Court entered an Order Awarding Attorney's Fees and Costs on May 22, 2017. A copy of this Order is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. Notice of Entry of the May Order is attached to the Order itself as page 6 of that filing.

12 As reflected in Exhibit 1, the Order entered on May 22, 2017 (hereinafter "the May Order"), 13 this Honorable Court GRANTED Plaintiff's Motion to terminate spousal support, as Defendant 14 RAINA MARTIN (hereinafter "RAINA") had entered into a domestic partnership with another 15 man, which the Court agreed and concluded that this was equivalent to remarriage, and therefore 16 spousal support should be, and was terminated (Exhibit 1, page 2:1-9). The May Order also 17 awarded Plaintiff ERICH MARTIN (hereinafter "ERICH") attorney's fees and costs against 18 RAINA in the amount of \$7, 262.48, which was reduced to judgment, which may be collected by 19 and all legal means (Exhibit 1, page 5:5-8). Subsequently, a Writ was Electronically Issued by the 20 Clerk of this Honorable Court on July 17, 2017.

21

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

I.

On July 14, 2017, RAINA filed her Motion for Clarification and Temporary Stay. RAINA is
 requesting that the Court "clarify" the May Order because RAINA alleges that she objected to
 ERICH's attorney's fees in her Opposition dated February 9, 2017. RAINA is also apparently
 requesting that the Court re-hear the reasonableness of ERICH's attorney's fees for the reasons that
 RAINA alleges she outlined in her February 2017 Opposition. RAINA is also requesting that this

Page 3 of 8

Court clarify the total remaining amount that ERICH may garnish from RAINA's wages in light of
 the garnishment already obtained. RAINA is also requesting a temporary stay on further
 garnishment.

II. <u>OPPOSITION</u>

4

5

6

First and foremost, RAINA provides not one single legal authority within her Motion that
would grant her any of the relief she has requested. Thus, this Court has no legal basis or authority
upon which to grant RAINA any of the relief she has requested. Therefore, RAINA's Motion must
be Denied.

11 12 Second, RAINA's Motion is untimely made. RAINA is essentially requesting this Court to 13 amend its findings and Order (the May Order), which pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 14 ("NRCP") 52(b), must be made within ten (10) days of entry of the Order. The Order that is at 15 issue to this matter was entered on May 22, 2017. The final/last page of the Order filed on May 22, 16 2017, and attached to this Opposition as Exhibit 1, reflects the Court having entered the Order and 17 the department's Judicial Assistant having executed the Notice of Entry on that day (May 22, 2017) 18 and mailed a copy of the Order to both parties, as required by law and procedure. 19

NRCP 52 (b) Amendment. Upon a party's motion filed not later than 10 days after service
of written notice of entry of judgment, the court may amend its findings or make additional findings
and may amend the judgment accordingly. The motion may accompany a motion for a new trial
under Rule 59. When findings of fact are made in actions tried without a jury, the sufficiency of the
evidence supporting the findings may later be questioned whether or not in the district court the
party raising the question objected to the findings, moved to amend them, or moved for partial
findings.

- 27
- 28

Page 4 of 8

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Again, RAINA's Motion is not timely made, having been filed two (2) months after Notice of Entry. Additionally, RAINA does not make a Motion for a new trial under NRCP 59, as required pursuant to <u>NRCP 52</u>. Thus, RAINA's Motion must be denied.

Despite RAINA having not filed a Motion for a New Trial under NRCP 59, even if she had done so, that Motion would also be untimely, as pursuant to <u>NRCP 59(b)</u>, "A motion for a new trial shall be filed no later than 10 days after service of written notice of the entry of the judgment." This was not done, so RAINA's present Motion must be denied.

¹⁰ <u>NRCP 59(e)</u> also supports ERICH's position that RAINA's Motion must be denied, as
¹¹ <u>NRCP 59(e)</u> states that "A motion to alter or amend the judgment shall be filed no later than 10
¹² days after service of written notice of entry of the judgment." The judgment against RAINA for
¹³ attorney's fees and costs as awarded to ERICH in the Order entered on May 22, 2017; thus,
¹⁴ RAINA's request for relief as filed on July 17, 2017 in her Motion is not timely and must be
¹⁵ DENIED.

16

Furthermore, even had RAINA made any requests for relief under NRCP 52 and/or NRCP 59, she would not be able to meet any of the elements required for relief under <u>NRCP 60</u>. Pursuant to NRCP 60, relief from judgment or order requires at least one of the following: NRCP 60(a) Clerical Mistakes, (b) Mistakes; Inadvertence; Excusable Neglect; Newly Discovered Evidence; Fraud, Etc., (c) Default Judgments: Defendant Not Personally Served, (d) Default Judgments: Modification Nunc Pro Tunc. RAINA is not able to make any claim to any such relief that is allowed under <u>NRCP 60</u>. Thus, RAINA's Motion must be Denied.

Page 5 of 8

24

///

- 25
- 26 27
- 28

1	With respect to RAINA's assertions that ERICH has collected approximately \$6,000.00 in	
2	from RAINA's bank account and "is now threatening her employers to obtain a further	
3	approximately \$14K in garnishments from her wages;" ERICH responds accordingly. There are	
4	two (2) separate judgments that were awarded to ERICH and entered by this Court. There were	
5	two (2) separate Writs of Execution that were filed and served, in full compliance with the legal	
6	remedy owed to ERICH in order to collect upon his legal right to collect upon the judgment	
7	awarded to him. The total amount that is owed to ERICH by RAINA is\$13,262.48. The fees in	
8	addition to this amount were outlined on the Writ of Execution served upon RAINA on or about	
9	June 1, 2017 for the judgment awarding the repayment of spousal support to ERICH. Subsequently,	
10	on or about July 14, 2017 a separate Writ of Execution was filed with this Court and served upon	
11	RAINA; this was for the Judgment awarding ERICH attorney's fees and costs. These fees permitted	
12	for accrued interest, court fees and service fees, which were charged to ERICH by the Constable's	
13	office (see Exhibit 2). The total amount of the two Writs to include the Judgment amounts, fees	
14	and interest amounts to \$13,844.56. As of June 27, 2017, \$6,211.72 has been satisfied (see Exhibit	
15	3). The remaining balance of \$7,632.84, plus any additional interest and/or fees and costs, is all that	
16	ERICH is requesting the employer of RAINA to satisfy via garnishment of wages (see Exhibit 4).	
17	ERICH has completed the Writs legally and as lawfully allowed to him in the Orders and Judgments	
18	issued and entered by this Court. RAINA is aware of what is owed.	
19		
20	There is no reason for a temporary stay to be entered. RAINA has offered no legal	
21	authority or basis upon which a temporary stay would be permitted. NRCP 60 provides the rules as	
22	to a stay of proceedings to enforce a judgment, and RAINA has not provided or met any one of	
23	those elements.	
24	NRCP 60.	
25	(a) Automatic Stay; Exceptions—Injunctions and Receiverships. Except as stated herein,	
26	no execution shall issue upon a judgment nor shall proceedings be taken for its enforcement until the expiration of 10 days after service of written notice of its entry. Unless otherwise ordered by the	
27	court, an interlocutory or final judgment in an action for an injunction or in a receivership action	
28	Page 6 of 8	

28

shall not be stayed during the period after its entry and until an appeal is taken or during the pendency of an appeal. The provisions of subdivision (c) of this rule govern the suspending, modifying, restoring, or granting of an injunction during the pendency of an appeal.

1

2

(b) Stay on Motion for New Trial or for Judgment. In its discretion and on such 3 conditions for the security of the adverse party as are proper, the court may stay the execution of or 4 any proceedings to enforce a judgment pending the disposition of a motion for a new trial or to alter or amend a judgment made pursuant to Rule 59, or of a motion for relief from a judgment or order 5 made pursuant to Rule 60, or of a motion for judgment in accordance with a motion for a judgment as a matter of law made pursuant to Rule 50, or of a motion for amendment to the findings or for 6 additional findings made pursuant to Rule 52(b). 7 8 9 Because RAINA has not met or pled to any legal authority or basis upon which a stay can be or should be awarded to her or ordered, this request must be Denied. RAINA has not filed a 10 11 Motion for a New Trial as discussed *supra*; she has not complied with NRCP 52 or NRCP 59 in doing such; thus, any relief she may have been entitled to under NRCP 60(b) is not available to her at 12 this point, as the time for doing such has long passed. Furthermore, any automatic stay that may 13 have been available under NRCP 60(a) is not available to RAINA, as the time has also passed for 14 doing so, and she has not pled for any such relief. Thus, RAINA's Motion for a Temporary Stay 15 should be Denied, in full, and the judgments against her upheld. 16 17 There is simply no legal authority or remedy which would entitle RAINA to any stay 18 whatsoever, temporary or not. 19 20 III. 21 **COUNTERMOTION** 22 ERICH hereby files a Countermotion pursuant to EDCR 2.20 and requests that this Court 23 award him additional attorney's fees and costs as related to having to file this Opposition. ERICH 24 has had to retain counsel to file an Opposition to the Motion that was brought by RAINA. There is 25 26 27 Page 7 of 8 28

1 no basis for this Motion and ERICH has done nothing but follow the Court orders and rightfully 2 and legally obtain the funds that were awarded to him by this Court. 3 ERICH has now had to expend additional time and expense on defending this action. ERICH 4 therefore respectfully requests that this Court grant him an additional award of attorney's fees and 5 costs in the amount of \$750.00 for having to retain counsel and file this Opposition. A Brunzell 6 Motion will be filed in the event the Court is inclined to grant this request; however, a Brunzell 7 Motion has not been filed with this Opposition for the purposes of trying to keep attorney's fees 8 and costs to a minimum, given the circumstances of this matter. 9 10 IV. CONCLUSION 11 12 In conclusion, as demonstrated by the evidence attached hereto, Plaintiff has fully complied with 13 the Court Orders and the law, and has acted in good faith. RAINA has brought a Motion to this 14 Court without any legal authority or basis upon which she could or should be afforded any relief. 15 Based upon the legal authorities and argument in this Opposition, ERICH hereby respectfully 16 requests that RAINA take nothing by way of her Motion, and this Court DENY the Motion for 17 Clarification and Temporary Stay IN FULL. Further, ERICH requests that this Court award him an 18 additional \$750.00 as for attorney's fees and costs for having to file this Opposition to RAINA's 19 otherwise frivolous Motion; as well as any and all other relief that this Court finds appropriate. DATED this ______ day of ______ July___, 2017. 20 21 22 **Respectfully Submitted:** 23 24 RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. 25 Nevada Bar No. 6716 Attorney for Plaintiff 26 27 Page 8 of 8 28

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

1	ORDR	M	
2	DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION		
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
4	ERICH M. MARTIN,		
5	Plaintiff,		
6	vs.) CASE NO. D-15-509045-D) DEPT NO. C		
7	RAINA L. MARTIN,) UNDER SUBMISSION		
8	Defendant.)		
9			
10	THIS MATTER having come before the Court on January 12, 2017 for Plaintiff, Erich M. Martin ("Erich")'s <i>Motion to Terminate Alimony and for</i> <i>Attorney's Fees and Costs</i> , and on Defendant, Raina L. Martin ("Raina")'s Opposition and Countermotion; Erich appearing telephonically with		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16	Raina appearing with Attorney Samira Knight of Tarkanian & Knight Law		
17	Group, PLLC; the Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file		
18	herein, having heard the argument of the parties, and good cause appearing		
19	therefor		
20	1111		
21	Page 1 of 5 Non-Trial Classifiens:		
REBRICCA L. BURTON DISTRICT JUGGE ENGLY DIVISION, DEPT. C LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408	Dismissed - Want of Prosection Unvoluntary (Statutory) Dismissal By ADR Default 3udgment Transferred Irial Dispositions:	eched by Trial	

1	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that on October 6, 2016, Erich filed a
2	Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs; on
3	December 28, 2016, Raina filed her Opposition and Countermotion; and on
4	January 12, 2017, the matter was heard. The basis for the relief requested
5	by Erich was that Raina had registered a domestic partnership which, like a
6	marriage, created a potential entitlement to Raina for support from Raina's
7	domestic partner. Erich argued that the domestic partnership was
8	equivalent to a marriage for the purpose of ending his alimony obligation to
9	Raina. This Court agreed.
10	THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Erich's request for attorney fees
11	was raised in his <i>Motion,</i> satisfying NRCP 54(d)(2)(A).
12	COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2)(B),
13	Erich's request for attorney fees raised by way of his Motion was timely;
14	Erich cited Halbrook v. Halbrook, 114 Nev. 1455 (1998) (the court has
15	continuing jurisdiction in a divorce matter over attorney fees in a post-
16	divorce proceeding) and NRS 18.010 (prevailing party) as authority for the
17	award of attorney fees; and Erich estimated his attorney fees and costs to be
18	\$2,500.
19	COURT FURTHER FINDS that Raina was warned at a prior hearing
20	where the issue came up but was not formally before the Court that the
21	Page 2 of 5

.

Court was likely to find a domestic partnership was the same as a marriage
 for the purposes of terminating alimony, and Erich would be awarded all of
 his fees if he were forced unnecessarily to file a motion. Accordingly, Erich
 is also entitled to attorney fees pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)(1).

5 COURT FURTHER FINDS that, as the prevailing party, Erich was 6 directed by the Court to file a *Memorandum of Fees and Costs* no later than 7 10 days after *Notice of Entry* of the Court's underlying *Order* and Raina was 8 permitted 10 days thereafter to respond. The underlying *Order* was entered 9 April 6, 2017 and *Notice of Entry of Order* was filed and mailed to Raina on 10 April 7, 2017. Thus, Erich's *Memorandum of Fees and Costs*, filed and 11 mailed to Raina the same day on April 7, 2017 was timely.

COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2), Erich's Memorandum of Fees and Costs was supported by counsel's affidavit swearing that the fees were actually and necessarily incurred and explained why the attorney fees were somewhat high for a relatively uncomplicated matter; billing statements concerning the amount of fees claimed was attached; and points and authorities addressing appropriate factors to be considered by the Court in deciding the motion was included.

19 ||////

20 ||////

21

Page 3 of 5

RESECTA L. BURTON DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. C LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to EDCR 5.32,1 on 1 February 25, 2015, Raina filed a General Financial Disclosure Form 2 reflecting a gross monthly income of \$2,500 per month (\$1,500 child 3 support and \$1,000 alimony) and on March 25, 2015, Erich filed a General 4 Financial Disclosure Form reflecting an income of \$6,600 per month. The 5 Court notes that by these proceedings, Raina is losing her \$1,000 per month 6 alimony award, but she had failed to update her General Financial 7 Disclosure Form with information relevant to her domestic partnership. 8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to NRCP 54(d)(2) and 9 Miller v. Wilfong, 121 Nev. 619 (2005), Erich's Memorandum of Fees and 10 Costs supported the request with the factors required by Brunzell v. Golden 11 Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 349 (1969) to include the qualities of the 12 advocate, the character and difficulty of the work performed, the work 13 actually performed by the attorney, and the result obtained, and this 14 information was reviewed and considered by the Court together with the 15 redacted billing statements. The Court notes that support staff was utilized 16 to reduce fees. The Court has, however, eliminated from the request 17 charges for discussions between staff. 18 //// 19 Page 4 of 5 20 21 ¹Now EDCR 5.506.

DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. LAS VEGAS, MV 89101-240

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to *Love v. Love*, 114 Nev. 572 (1998), Raina was provided the opportunity to review and dispute the billing statements and fees requested. Raina chose not to avail herself of this opportunity.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Erich is hereby awarded the sum of \$7,262.48 as and for attorney's fees and costs against Raina, which sum is hereby reduced to judgment which may be collected by any and all legal means.

DATED May 22, 2017.

Derte letta

REBECCA L. BURTON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT C

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1			
2	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM HEARING		
3	TO: ALL PARTIES AND/OR THEIR ATTORNEYS		
4	Please take note that after a review of the court file, an Order was		
5	prepared by the Court following a scheduled hearing. A copy of the		
6	Order from Hearing is attached hereto. I hereby certify that I caused on the above file stamped date, a copy of the within Order to be:		
7			
8	Mailed postage prepaid, addressed to the following:		
9	John T Kelleher ESQ 40 S Stephanie ST STE 201		
10	Henderson NV 89012		
11	Samira C Knight ESQ		
12	7220 S Cimarron RD STE 110 Las Vegas NV 89113		
13			
14	DATED: This May 22, 2017.		
15			
16	Sawaa ulit		
17	Dawna Richert Judicial Assistant, Department C		
18			
19			
20			
21	· · ·		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EXHIBIT 2

EXHIBIT 2



WRIT

atter Granden de

Erich M. Martin

(Name and Bar Number (if any))

3815 Little Dipper Dr (Address)

Fort Collins, CO 80528 (City, State, Zip Code)

307-275-6343 (Telephone and Facsimile Number)

emartin2671@gmail.com
(E-mail Address)


□ Attorney for (Name):

⊠ Plaintiff, □ Counterclaimant, or □ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Erich M. Martin	Case No.: D-15-509045-D	
, Plaintiff(s), vs.	Dept. No.: C WRIT OF EXECUTION	
Raina L. Martin	🖾 EARNINGS	
,	⊠ BANK ACCOUNT	
Defendant(s).	□ OTHER PROPERTY	
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE (OF NEVADA:	
To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for the Townsh Greetings: ☑ To Financial Institutions: This judgment is for the recov April Ø , 2017 , a judgment was On Erich M. Martin		
above-entitled action in favor of		
Raina L. Martin creditor and against	, as Judgment Debtor, for:	
\$6,000.00 Principal, Pre-Judgment	Interest,	
\$Attorney's Fee	es, and	
Page 1 of 3	(Rev 01-06-2012 TX: WEB)	



of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing

this writ.

NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable, whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county. Earnings: Please retain from wages, commissions, benefits and bonuses of Raina L Martin at her place of employment Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W Spring Mtn Rd # 101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 in satisfaction of the judgment against her. Bank Accounts: Please retain from any and all accounts of, Raina L Martin including

You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60 days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON

Page 2 of 3

(Rev. 01-06-2012 DC WEB)

but not limited to acct # 191726079 at USAA Bank located at 9800 Fredrickburg RD

DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF COURT SEAL 6/1/207-Βŵ Deputy Clerk Date

Issued at the direction of:

SUSANNA PARK

<u>(Signature)</u>

□ Attorney for (Name):

 ⊗ Plaintiff, □ Counterclaimant, or □ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person

 Name:
 Erich M Martin

 Address:
 3815 Little Dipper Dr

 City, State, Zip.
 Fort Collins, CO 80528

Phone:	307-275-6346
E-mail:	emartin2671@gmail.com

SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION

AMOUNTS TO BE COLLECTED BY LEVY:		<u>RETURN</u> :	
NET BALANCE:	6,168.72	Not satisfied	\$
		Satisfied in sum of	\$
Garnishment Fee:	18.00	Costs retained	\$
Mileage:		Commission retained	\$
Levy Fee:		Costs incurred	\$
Sub-Total:		Commission incurred	\$
Commission:		Costs received	\$
TOTAL LEVY:			

REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:

\$

I hereby certify that I have this date returned the foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy endorsed thereon.

SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or	
CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF	

By: _

Title

Date

Page 3 of 3

(Rev. 01-06-2012 IX: WEB)

Electronically Issued 7/14/2017 9:17 AM

1	WRIT		
2	Erich M. Martin		
3	3815 Little Dipper Dr		
4	(Adres) Fort Collins, CO 80528		
5	(C.tv hite, Zip Code) 307-275-6343		
6	(1 tep one and Facsimile Number emartin2671@gmail.com		
7	(1: mail Address)		
8	Plaintiff, Counterclaimant, or Third-Party Plaintiff, In	Proper Person	
9	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRI	CT COURT	
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEW	/ADA	
11			
12	Erich M. Martin	Case No.: D-15-509045-D Dept. No.: C	
13	Plaintiff(s),	, 	
14	vs.	WRIT OF EXECUTION	
15	Raina L. Martin,	BANK ACCOUNT	
16	Defendant(s).	[] OTHER PROPERTY	
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE	OF NEVADA:	
18	To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for the Townsh	nip of <u>Henderson</u> .	
19	Greetings:		
20	To Financial Institutions: This judgment is for the recover of money for the support of a person.		
21	On July 13th , 2017, a judgment was	s entered by the above-entitled court in the	
22	above-entitled action in favor of Erich M. Martin, as Judgment		
23	creditor and against Raina L. Martin	, as Judgment Debtor, for:	
24	\$Principal,		
25	\$Pre-Judgment	Interest,	
26	\$ 7,262.48 Attorney's Fe	es, and	
27	\$Costs, making	g a total amount of	
28	\$ 7,262.48 The judgment as entered, and		
	Page 1 of 4	and the second of the second sec	

Case Number: D-15-509045-D

I	WHEREAS, according to an affidavit or a memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed		
2	herein, it appears that further sums have accrued since the entry of judgment, to wit:		
3	\$ 2.12 Accrued Interest, and		
4	Accrued Costs, together with		
5	\$ Sol.24 Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of		
6	\$ 352.36 As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.		
7	Credit must be given for payments and partial satisfactions in the amount of		
8	\$0.00		
9	which is to be first credited against the total accrued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited		
10	against the judgment as entered, leaving a net balance of		
11	\$ 7,614.84		
12	actually due on the date of the issuance of this writ, of which		
13	\$ 7,614.84		
14	bears interest at 5.75 percent per annum, in the amount of \$ 2.12 per day, from the date		
15	of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing		
16	this writ.		
17	NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this		
18	judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the		
19	judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during		
20	that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
21	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable,		
22	whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal		
23	property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county.		
24	Earnings: Please retain from wages, commissions, benefits and bonuses of		
25	Raina L Martin at her place of employment Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W Spring Mtn Rd		
26	# 101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 in satisfaction of the judgment against her.		
27	Bank Accounts: Please retain from any and all accounts of, Raina L Martin including		
28			
	Page 2 of 4 TREE OF AWE DOT: IN MEDI		

	but not limited to acct # 191726079 at USAA Bank located at 9800 Fredrickburg RD
2	You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60
3	days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.
4	STEVEN D. GRIERSON
5	CLERK OF COURT
0	By: Druck Crutter 7/14/2017
7	Deputy Clerk Danielle Coulter Date
8	Issued at the direction of
9	
10	Attorney for (<i>Vane</i>):
11	E Plaintiff, Counterclaimant, or Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person
12	Addres 3815 Little Dipper Dr Cuy, State Zip: Fort Collins, CO 80528
13	Phone: 307-275-6346 I-mail: emartin2671@gmail.com
	SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION
+	
15	AMOUNTS TO BE COI LECTED BY LEVY: RETURN: NET BALANCE: 7,614.84 Not satisfied
16	Satisfied in sum of \$
17	Garnishment Fee: 18.00 Costs retained \$
18	Mileage: Commission retained \$ Levy Fee: Costs incurred \$
19	Sub-Total: Commission incurred
20	Commission: Costs received \$
21	TOTAL LEVY:
22	REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:
23	S
24	1 hereby certify that I have this date returned the foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy
25	endorsed thereon.
26	SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or
27	CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF
28	By:
	Page 3 of 4 Bay BLOW MED X MED
	1

1	Title	Date	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
15			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
20		Doro 4 of 4	
		Page 4 of 4	(Res., 40.49-2003) (K. WEB)

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 3

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 500 S GRAND CENTRAL PARKWAY

Invoice	Invoice	Document Number / Assignment	Gross	Discount	Net
Number	Date	Text	Amount	Adjustment	Amount
D15509045D	06/27/2017	3017246367 / *CONSTABLE CONSTABLE CLAIM-17LVTC018131	6,211.72	0.00	6,211.72
nis de l'Antonio Liste de la maiseaux	andi sa situ ang				
CLARK COUNTY		TOTAL	6,211.72	0.00	6,211.72

Retirees of the Public Employees' Retirement System of Nevada are adjust to paring in this as here adjust in a public employees. To validate compliance with NRS 286.520, all independent contractor information is subject to inspection by or disclosure to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Nevada.

EXHIBIT 4

EXHIBIT 4

1	WRIT		
2	Erich M. Martin		
3	(Name) 3815 Little Dipper Dr.		
4	(Address) Fort Collins, CO 80528		
5	(City, State, Zip Code) 307-275-6343		
6	(Telephone Number) emartin2671@gmail.com		
7	(E-mail Address) ⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, In Proper Person		
	Counterclaimant, in Proper Person		
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRI	CT COURT	
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	/ADA	
10			
11	Erich M. Martin,	Case No.: <u>D-15-509045-D</u> Dept. No.:	
12	Plaintiff(s),	<u>C</u>	
13	vs.		
14	Raina L. Martin ,	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
15	Defendant(s).		
16			
17	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:		
18	Desert Breeze Dental	, Garnishee.	
19	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnishee in the above-entitled action, and you		
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to Raina L. Martin		
21	, Defendant(s), and that	you must retain possession and control of	
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses in action of said Defendant(s) in order that		
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such property consists of wages, salaries,		
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be in accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and		
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295.		
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses in action in		
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to said Defendant(s), more particularly		
28	described as:		
© 2011 CI	ark County Civil Law Page 1 of 6	(Rev. 12-27-2011)	
	Self-Help Center		
		1	

1	Please retain from Wages, tips, commissions, benefits from Raina L. Martin at
2	Desert Breeze Dental 8650 W. Spring Mountain Rd #101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 702-869-0032
3	in satisfaction of the judgment against her.
4	
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:
9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in
10	the writ, as the case may be; or
11	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.
16	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to
18	exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.
22	Issued at direction of sign and check one): SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY
23	☑ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant Title Date
24	Erich M. Martin 3815 Little Dipper Dr. Fort Collins, CO 80528
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant
26	Raina L. Martin 2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, NV 89044 Name and address of Defendant(s)
27	
28	
	ark County Civil Law Page 2 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011) Self-Help Center

•

Ô

1	STATE OF NEVADA		
2) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK)		
3	The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF GARNISHMENT		
4	on the day of, 20, and personally served the same on the day		
5	of, 20 in the same manner as provided by rule of court or law of this		
6	state for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the statutory fee of \$5.00 to		
7	at		
8	, City of, County of		
9	, State of Nevada.		
10	By:		
11	By: Title		
12	INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:		
13	1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) Raina L. Martin		
14	, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the		
15	debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.		
16	Answer:		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	2. Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and the		
22	amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during		
23	a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishment,		
24	which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
25	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the earnings are payable multiplied		
26	by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount required by law to be withheld.		
27	Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the following):		
28			
© 2011 C	Here, 12-27-2011) Nark County Civil Law Page 3 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011) Self-Help Center		

1	The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	, [C] Semimonthly:,		
2	[D] Monthly:			
3	(1) Gross Earnings	\$		
4	(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$		
5	(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	\$		
6	(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$		
7	(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	\$		
8	(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the le	etter selected above:		
9	[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$		
10	[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$		
11	[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$		
12	[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$		
13	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	\$		
14	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2	5% of the disposable earnings		
15	from line 3.			
16	Answer:			
17				
18				
19				
20	3. Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your contract	rol, on the date the Writ of		
21	Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, go	oods, chattels, rights, credits or		
22	choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which De	efendants are interested? If so,		
23	state its value, and state fully all particulars.			
24	Answer:			
25				
26				
27				
28				
© 2011 Cl	ark County Civil Law Page 4 of 6 Self-Help Center	(Rev. 12-27-2011)		

1	4	Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,
2		property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in
3		which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so,
4		state all particulars.
5		Answer:
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	5.	Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,
11		state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.
12		As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the
13		account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably
14		identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately
15		preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of money
16		described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire
17		amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for
18		the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to
19		garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount
20		that is not subject to garnishment.
21		Answer:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	6.	State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written
27		notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.
28		Answer:
© 2011 C		ounty Civil Law Page 5 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011) Help Center

.

RA000691

	nalty of perjury that the answers to	the foregoing interrogatories by me
Executed on the	day of the month of	of the year 20
	Print name:	re of Garnishee)
		ation, refuses to withhold the earnings of a
		knowingly misrepresents the earnings of the
following penaltie		how cause why he should not be subject to the
		efendant, an order to the employer to pay the
		efusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of t
Defendant's earnin		
(2) In addition	the court may order the employer to pay t	he Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not
exceed \$1,000 for	each pay period in which the employer ha	s, without legal justification, refused to withhol
the Defendant's ea	mings or has misrepresented the earnings.	
 lark County Civil Law	Page 6 of 6	(Rev. 12-27-2011)

1	WRIT		
2	Erich M. Martin		
3	3815 Little Dipper Dr.		
4	Fort Collins, CO 80528		
5	(City, State, Zip Code) 307-275-6343		
6	(Telephone Number) emartin2671@gmail.com		
7	(E-mail Address)		
8			
9	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRI	CT COURT	
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	VADA	
11	Erich M. Martin	Case No.: D-15-509045-D	
12	······································	Dept. No.:	
13	Plaintiff(s),	<u> </u>	
14	vs.		
15	Raina L. Martin,	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
16	Defendant(s).		
17	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:		
18	USAA Bank 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89169	, Garnishee.	
19	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnish	nee in the above-entitled action, and you	
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to <u>Raina L.</u>	Martin	
21	, Defendant(s), and that	you must retain possession and control of	
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses	in action of said Defendant(s) in order that	
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such property consists of wages, salaries,		
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be in	accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and	
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295.		
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credit	s, debts, effects, and choses in action in	
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to sai	id Defendant(s), more particularly	
28	described as:		
I	Page 1 of 6	(Rev. 12-27-2011)	
	Self-Held Center		

1	Bank Accounts for Raina L. Martin including but not limited to Acct #191726079
2	
3	
4	
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:
9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in
10	the writ, as the case may be; or
11	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.
16	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to
18	exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.
22	Issued at direction of (sign and check one): SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY
23	Z Plaintiff/□ Counterclaimant Title Date
24	Erich M. Martin 3815 Little Dipper Dr. Fort Collins, CO 80528
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant
26	Raina L. Martin 2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, NV 89044 Name and address of Defendant(s)
27	
28	
1	Page 2 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011) Self-Help Center

1	STATE OF NEVADA)
2) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK)
3	The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
4	on the day of, 20, and personally served the same on the day
5	of, 20 in the same manner as provided by rule of court or law of this
6	state for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the statutory fee of \$5.00 to
7	at
8	, City of, County of
9	, State of Nevada.
10	By:Title
11	Title
12	INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:
13	1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) Raina L. Martin
14	, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the
15	debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.
16	Answer:
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	2. Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and the
22	amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during
23	a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishment,
24	which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
25	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the earnings are payable multiplied
26	by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount required by law to be withheld.
27	Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the following):
28	
I	Page 3 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011) Self-Help Center

1	The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	, [C] Semimonthly:,			
2	[D] Monthly:				
3	(1) Gross Earnings	\$			
4	(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$			
5	(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	\$			
6	(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$			
7	(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	\$			
8	(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the le	etter selected above:			
9	[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$			
10	[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$			
11	[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$			
12	[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$			
13	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	\$			
14	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2	5% of the disposable earnings			
15	from line 3.				
16	Answer:				
17					
18					
19					
20	3. Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your contra	rol, on the date the Writ of			
21	Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, go	oods, chattels, rights, credits or			
22	choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which Defendants are interested? If so,				
23	state its value, and state fully all particulars.				
24	Answer:				
25					
26					
27					
28					
I	Self-Help Center	(Rev. 12-27-2011)			

1	4.	Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,
2		property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in
3		which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so,
4		state all particulars.
5		Answer:
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	5.	Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,
11		state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.
12		As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the
13		account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably
14		identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately
15		preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of money
16		described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire
17		amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for
18		the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to
19		garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount
20		that is not subject to garnishment.
21		Answer:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	6.	State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written
27		notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.
28		Answer:
	sale i	Page 5 of 6 (Rev. 12-27-2011)

Í

Subst		nd correct.	the foregoing interrogatories by me
Exec			of the year 20
		Print name:	re of Garnishee)
		1 me:	
De foll Pla De exc	efendant demanded efendant, the court n lowing penalties: (1) If the Plaintiff aintiff the amount of fendant's earnings. (2) In addition, the ceed \$1,000 for each	in a WRIT OF GARNISHMENT or k nay order the employer to appear and sh has received a judgment against the De f arrearages caused by the employer's re court may order the employer to pay th	ation, refuses to withhold the earnings of a enowingly misrepresents the earnings of the now cause why he should not be subject to the fendant, an order to the employer to pay the efusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of t the Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not , without legal justification, refused to withhol

Electronically Filed
7/31/2017 3:27 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT
Atump. Atum

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

~MMartn

Plaintiff/Petitioner

Defendant/Respondent

Case No.	D-15-509045.	D
Dept.	<u> </u>	

MOTION/OPPOSITION FEE INFORMATION SHEET

Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative Session.

Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in the box below.

- □ **\$25** The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is subject to the \$25 reopen fee. -OR-
- ★ \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$25 reopen fee because:
 - □ The Motion/Opposition is being filed before a Divorce/Custody Decree has been entered.
 - □ The Motion/Opposition is being filed solely to adjust the amount of child support established in a final order.
 - ☐ The Motion/Opposition is for reconsideration or for a new trial, and is being filed within 10 days after a final judgment or decree was entered. The final order was entered on ______.

Do Other Excluded Motion (must specify) opposition to reensuleration filed after 19 day

Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.

- **\$0** The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is not subject to the \$129 or the \$57 fee because:
 - $\hfill\square$ The Motion/Opposition is being filed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition.
 - \Box The party filing the Motion/Opposition previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.
 - -OR-
- **\$129** The Motion being filed with this form is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order.
- -OR-
- **\$57** The Motion/Opposition being filing with this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is an opposition to a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion and the opposing party has already paid a fee of \$129.

Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 and Step 2.

The t	otal fili	ng fee fo	or the m	otion/op	pposition I am filing with this form is:
<u>(1)50</u>	\$25	□\$57	□\$82	\$129	pposition I am filing with this form is: □\$154

Party filing Motion/Opposition:	Erich M Mo	artin	Date $\frac{7}{28}/17$
Signature of Party or Preparer	//~		L L

MOFI

		Electronically Filed 8/1/2017 10:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT				
1 2	CSRV RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716 Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq.	Atump. Frum				
3	500 S. Eighth St. Las Vegas, NV 89101					
5	(702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile					
6	Attorney for Plaintiff					
7	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC					
8 9	(FAMILY DIVISION) CLARK COUNTY, NEVA					
10	ERICH MARTIN,	1				
11	Plaintiff,					
12	-vs	CASE No. D-15-509045-d				
13	RAINA MARTIN,	DEPT. No. C				
14 15	Defendant.	HEARING DATE: 8/18/2017 HEARING TIME: In Chambers				
16						
17	CERTIFICATE OF MAIL	ING				
18	That I am an employee of the Law Office of Randal R.	Leonard, Esq., and hereby declare				
19 20	under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	That on the 1^{st} day of <u>August</u> ,				
20	2017, service of the PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTI	ON FOR CLARIFICATION AND				
22	TEMPORARY STAY AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS was					
23	made pursuant NRCP 5(b) by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Postal Service Mail					
24	in Las Vegas, Nevada, with postage prepaid, first class regular r	nail, which was addressed as follows:				
25						
26	///					
27	///					
28						

Samira C. Knight, Esq. c/o Tarkanian & Knight Law Group 7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89113 Attorney for Defendant DATED this _____ day of _____ Augus f _____, 2017. An Employee of the Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq.

Docket 81810 Document 2021-19500

		Electronically Filed 8/21/2017 10:12 AM Steven D. Grierson		
1	ORDR	CLERK OF THE COURT		
2	DISTRICT COURT, F	AMILY DIVISION		
3	CLARK COUNT	TY, NEVADA		
4	ERICH M. MARTIN,			
5	Plaintiff,			
6	vs.)	CASE NO. D-15-509045-D		
7	RAINA L. MARTIN,	DEPT NO. C		
8	Defendant.)	Date of Hearing: 08/18/17 IN-CHAMBERS		
9	,			
10	ORDER AMENDING AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS			
11	THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Defendant, Raina L.			
12	Martin ("Raina")'s Motion for Clarification and Temporary Stay filed July			
13	17, 2017. Raina did not file proof of service, however, Plaintiff, Erich M.			
14	Martin ("Erich") responded by the filing of an Opposition to Motion for			
15	Clarification and Temporary Stay and	Countermotion for Attorney Fees		
16	and Costs on July 31, 2017, which was s	served to Raina by mail on August 1,		
17	2017, essentially acknowledging service or waiving service issues. Erich			
18	appearing through Attorney Randal R.	Leonard and Raina appearing		
19	through Attorney Samira Knight of Tarl	kanian & Knight Law Group, PLLC;		
20	neither party requesting a hearing of th	is matter pursuant to EDCR		
21	Page 1	Disposed After Trial Start D Judgment Reached by Trial		
BURCION NUDGE , DEPT. C 19101-2408	Case Number: D-15-50904	Other O		

REFECTA L. BURTON DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. C LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

5.502(i);¹ and the Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers on file
 herein, and good cause appearing therefor

COURT FINDS that on January 12, 2017 the Court granted Erich's *Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs,* including
an award of attorney's fees and costs. As the prevailing party, Erich was
directed by the Court to file a *Memorandum of Fees and Costs* no later than
10 days after *Notice of Entry* of the Court's underlying *Order* and Raina
was permitted 10 days thereafter to respond.

COURT FINDS that on January 23, 2017, Erich filed Plaintiffs
Memorandum of Fees and Costs, and on February 9, 2017, Raina filed
Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs.
Notably, however, the underlying Order had not yet been entered. It is the
policy of Department C not to rule on attorney fee awards until after the
underlying Order is entered.

15 COURT FINDS that on April 6, 2017, the underlying *Order* was
16 entered and on April 7, 2017, *Notice of Entry* was filed and mailed to Raina.

Page 2 of 5

¹ Within the body of her *Motion*, Raina suggests that this matter should be set for argument. If it is Raina's intent for the Court to hold a hearing, she must affirmatively make that representation on the first page of her *Motion* pursuant to EDCR 5.502(i). In the interests of judicial economy and pursuant to NRCP 1 and EDCR 1.10 which govern the procedure in District Court and require the Court to be administered in a manner

which ensures efficient, speedy, and inexpensive determinations in every action, the
 Court does not find it necessary to set a hearing, because a hearing would not have originally been set on the attorney fees under submission.

17

1	COURT FINDS that on April 7, 2017, Erich filed an updated <i>Plaintiff</i> 's
2	Memorandum of Fees and Costs to which Raina did not respond.
3	COURT FINDS that prior to entering its Order Awarding Attorney
4	Fees and Costs on May 22, 2017, the Court reviewed Erich's updated
5	Plaintiff's Memorandum of Fees and Costs and inadvertently missed
6	Raina's earlier filed Opposition. To avoid an injustice to Raina, whose
7	Opposition to Erich's first Memorandum of Fees and Costs was timely, and
8	under the authority of NRCP 60(b)(1) for inadvertence by the Court, the
9	Court will review and consider Raina's Opposition to the extent that
10	argument to any particular entry matches an entry made in Erich's later
11	filed Memorandum of Fees and Costs upon which the Court's Order
12	Awarding Attorney Fees and Costs was based.
13	COURT FINDS that it was not clear the disputed entries dated
14	November 2, 2016; November 28, 2016; and January 5, 2017, concerned
15	the alimony issue; therefore, the sum of \$180.00 should be deducted from
16	the award of fees.
17	COURT FINDS that as to the disputed entry dated December 29,
18	2016, the only motion that would have been relevant would have been the
19	Motion to Terminate Alimony and for Attorney's Fees and Costs filed
20	October 6, 2016. While it would have made sense for supervising counsel
21	Page 3 of 5

(Attorney Kelleher) to review a motion drafted by an associate (Attorney 1 Davis) prior to filing, the billing statement indicates that the motion was 2 also reviewed by counsel (Attorney Richards) prior to filing. Accordingly, 3 there seems no purpose for Attorney Kelleher to have billed one hour for 4 review of the seven page motion, particularly since Attorney Richards 5 rather than Attorney Keller appeared for the hearing on January 12, 2017. 6 Therefore, the sum of \$400 should be deducted from the award of fees. 7 COURT FINDS that Raina argues all fees and costs related to Erich's 8 Reply should be deleted because it was not received by Raina. Although 9 Raina challenged Erich's proof of service of the Reply which was made by 10 E-Service on January 4, 2017, more to the point, the Court Minutes reflect 11 that Raina's counsel confirmed she had reviewed the *Reply* prior to the 12 hearing. Accordingly, this argument fails. However, the Court notes that 13 Attorney Davis researched and drafted the *Motion* to which the *Reply* 14 corresponds. Accordingly, a one hour review of the client file in 15 preparation for drafting the Reply and Opposition is unnecessary; 16 therefore, \$300 should be deducted from the award of fees. 17 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Order 18 Awarding Attorney Fees and Costs entered May 22, 2017 shall be amended 19 to reduce the judgment awarded to Erich in the amount of \$7,262.48 by a 20 Page 4 of 5 21

total of \$880 to \$6,382.48 with credit to Raina for any payments that have
 been made. Said judgment shall continue to be collected by any and all
 legal means.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that base upon the ruling made herein,
all remaining requests for relief, including Raina's motion for clarification;
Raina's motion for a temporary stay; and Erich's countermotion for
attorney's fees have become moot or are denied.

Page 5 of 5

DATED August 18, 2017.

REBECCA L. BURTON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DEPARTMENT C

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	
2	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM HEARING
3	TO: ALL PARTIES AND/OR THEIR ATTORNEYS
4	Please take note that after a review of the court file, an Order was
5	prepared by the Court following a scheduled hearing. A copy of the Order
6	from Hearing is attached hereto. I hereby certify that I caused on the above file stamped date, a copy of the within Order Amending Award of Attorney
7	Fees and Costs to be:
8	
9	Mailed postage prepaid, addressed to the following litigants in Proper Person:
10	Samira C Knight, ESQ
11	7220 S Cimarron RD STE 110 Las Vegas NV 89113
12	
13	Randal R Leonard, Esq. Law Offices of Randal R Leonard Esq
14	500 S Eighth St Las Vegas NV 89101
15	
16	DATED: This August 21, 2017.
17	
18	
19	Dawna Richert
20	Judicial Assistant, Department C
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I	RA000707

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NOW RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716 Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq. 500 S. Eighth St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 598-3667/ office (702) 598-3926/ facsimile <i>Attorney for Plaintiff</i> EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (FAMILY DIVISION) CLARK COUNTY, NEVA		
9	ERICH MARTIN,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11 12	-vs	CASE No. D-15-509045-D	
12	RAINA MARTIN,	DEPT. No. C	
13		NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL FOR	
15	Defendant.	PLAINTIFF	
16			
17	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that counsel for the	Plaintiff hereby withdraws from this	
18	action in the manner consistent with and according to Supreme Court Rule 46, which states in		
19	pertinent part as follows: After judgment or final determination, an attorney may withdraw as		
20	attorney of record at any time upon the attorney's filing a withd	lrawal, with or without the client's	
21	consent.		
22	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ERICH MARTIN may be se	erved with further proceedings, if	
23	any, at his last known address of 3815 Little Dipper Drive, For	t Collins, Colorado 80528.	
24	DATED this <u>_28th</u> day of <u>August</u>	, 2017.	
25	Respectfully Submitted:		
26			
27	RANDAL R. LEONARD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6716		
28	Attorney for Plaintiff		

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
2			
3	I DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of the Law Office of Randal R.		
4	Leonard, Esq., and that on the <u>28th</u> day of <u>August</u> , <u>2017</u> , I placed a true and correct copy of		
5	the foregoing NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF in the United		
6	States Mail, in Las Vegas, Nevada, with First Class Postage Pre-Paid thereon, and addressed to the		
7	following person or persons at their last known address:		
8			
9			
10	Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Attorney for Defendant		
11			
12	Erich Martin 3815 Little Dipper Drive		
13	Fort Collins, Colorado 80528		
14	Plaintiff, In Proper Person		
15			
16 17			
18	An Employee of the Law Office of Randal R. Leonard, Esq.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Electronically Filed 6/21/2018 10:05 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

	CLERK OF THE COUF
1	NEOJ RAINA MARTIN
2	2812 Josephine Dr.
3	2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, Nevada 89044 Defendant in Proper Person
4	
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	ERICH M. MARTIN, CASE NO: D-15-509045-D
8	ERICH M. MARTIN, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, CASE NO: D-15-509045-D DEPT. NO: C
9	
10	
11	RAINA L. MARTIN,DATE OF HEARING: N/ATIME OF HEARING: N/A
12	Defendant.
13	
14	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
15	TO: ERICH M. MARTIN, Plaintiff in Proper Person.
16	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Order Incident to Decree of Divorce
17	was duly entered in the above action on the 14 th day of November, 2016, by
18	****
19	***

20	***
21	****
22	***
23	
24	****
25	****
26	***
27	***
28	***

filing with the clerk of the court a true and correct copy. A copy is attached for your records. DATED this 17 day of June , 2018. Respectfully Submitted By: RA 2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, Nevada 89044 Defendant in Proper Person -2-

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that on this 20 day of Une	,	
3	2018, I caused the foregoing document entitled Notice of Entry of Order to be	;	
4	served as follows:		
5			
6	[] Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative	1	
7 8	[] Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), EDCR 8.05(f), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter of Mandatory Electronic Service in the Eighth Judicia District Court," by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system.		
9 10	[X] By placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada.	- 1	
11	[] Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, to be sent via facsimile, by duly execute consent for service by electronic means.		
12 13	[] Pursuant to NRCP 5(b)(2)(D), by email by duly executed consent for service by electronic means.	t	
14	[] By hand delivery with signed Receipt of Copy.		
15	[] By First Class, Certified U.S. Mail.		
16	To the following at the address, email address, and/or facsimile number	•	
17	indicated below:		
18 19	Mr. Erich Martin 3815 Little Dipper Drive Fort Collins, Colorado 805258 <i>Plaintiff in Proper Person</i>		
	Plaintiff in Proper Person		
20 21	april		
22	ν		
23	Victoria Javiel, Paralegal Document Preparation Service		
24			
25	\\wlgserver\company\wp16\MARTIN,R\DRAFTS\00241177.WPD/dr		
26			
27			
28	-3-		
1	RA000712	I	

		Electronically Filed 11/14/2016 09:27:36 AM	
1	ORDR	Alman N. Echim	
2	RAINA MARTIN 2812 Josephine Dr.	CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	2812 Josephine Dr. Henderson, Nevada 89044 Defendant in <i>Proper Person</i>	CLERK OF THE COURT	
4			
5	DISTRICT CO FAMILY DIVI	SION	
6	CLARK COUNTY,		
7	ERICH M. MARTIN,	CASE NO: D-15-509045-D DEPT. NO: C	
8	Plaintiff,		
9	VS.		
10	RAINA L. MARTIN,	DATE OF HEARING: N/A TIME OF HEARING: N/A	
11	Defendant.		
12	ORDER INCIDENT TO DECREE OF DIVORCE		
13	This Order is intended to set out term	s dividing the military retirement	
14	benefits, in sufficient detail to allow the D	Defense Finance and Accounting	
15	Service (DFAS) and the parties to correctl	y allocate Raina's percentage in	
16	accordance with the parties' Decree of Divorce. This Court has continuing		
17	jurisdiction in accordance with the rules	and regulations of the State of	
18			
19	jurisdiction over the parties, and enters the	nis Order Incident to Decree of	
20	Divorce for the purpose of completing and o	clarifying the division of benefits	
21	contemplated by the Decree of Divorce.		
22			
23	THE COURT FINDS AS FOLLOV	VS:	
24	1. It has continuing jurisdiction over the	parties and the subject matter of	
25	this action.		
26	2. All applicable portions of the Ser	vicemember's Civil Relief Act	
27	(SCRA), 50 U.S.C. 3901 et seq. (De	c. 1, 2015), have been complied	
28	with by waiver or otherwise.		

1	3. This Court has determined that Raina is entitled to her time-rule
2	percentage of Erich's military retirement benefits.
3	4. The <i>Decree of Divorce</i> entered on November 5, 2015, does not make an
4	adequate distribution of Raina's interest in Erich's military retirement
5	benefits or Cost of Living Adjustments. This Order is intended to
6	clarify this Court's intention.
7	5. This Order is intended to be, and shall constitute an Order Incident to
8	Decree of Divorce in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 1408(a)(2), and is
9	intended to clarify the Decree of Divorce.
10	6. The parties were married on April 1, 2002, and divorced as of November
11	5, 2015.
12	7. Erich entered military service on July 13, 1999, and remains on active
13	duty.
14	8. The share that each party is entitled should be determined pursuant to
15	the "time-rule" formula which designates the number of months of
16	marriage overlapping military service and dividing it by the total number
17	of months of active military service. This fraction and equivalent
18	percentage establishes the community share of the total benefit. The
19	resulting community share is then divided equally between the parties,
20	and multiplied by the benefit payable.
21	
22	Number of Months of Marriage Overlapping Creditable Military Service (163.154) = % The Marital
23	Number of Total Months of Active Percentage Service (unknown at this time)
24	
25	Marital Percentage divided by 2 =% The Spousal Percentage of Benefit
26	
27	
28	-2-
. "	

1	9.	Raina is entitled to receive any cost of living adjustments (COLAs) that
2		are awarded from time to time for military retired pay, based upon the
3		same percentage outlined above.
4	10.	Raina has the right to obtain information relating to Erich's date of first
5		eligibility to retire, date of first eligibility to receive retirement benefits,
6		date of retirement, final rank, grade, and pay, present or past retired pay,
7		or other such information as may be required to enforce the award made
8		herein, or required to revise this order so as to make it enforceable, per
9		65 Fed. Reg. 43298 (July 13, 2000).
10		
11		THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS:
12	1.	This Court has complete jurisdiction in the premises, both as to subject
13		matter and the parties, under NRS 125 and 10 U.S.C. § 1408 et. seq.,
14		and the Court has jurisdiction over Erich by reason of his residence at
15		the time of the filing of the Petition for Divorce and by way of consent
16		to the jurisdiction of the Court, and all applicable portions of the Service
17		Members Civil Relief Act of 2003 have been complied with by waiver
18		or otherwise.
19	2.	Raina is awarded her time-rule interest in the military retirement for
20		which Erich is eligible, plus a like percentage of all cost of living
21		adjustment increases that accrue to said military retirement hereafter,
22		computed from the gross sum thereof, as her sole and separate property
23		share thereof, and the obligation shall not be dischargeable in
24		bankruptcy or otherwise.
25		
26		
27		
28		-3-

For the purpose of interpreting this Court's intention in making the 3. division set out in this Order, "military retirement" includes retired pay paid or to which Erich would be entitled for longevity of active duty and/or reserve component military service and all payments paid or payable under the provisions of Title 38 or Chapter 61 of Title 10 of the United States Code, before any statutory, regulatory, or elective deductions are applied. It also includes all amounts of retired pay Erich actually or constructively waives or forfeits in any manner and for any reason or purpose, including but not limited to any post-divorce waiver made in order to qualify for Veterans Administration benefits, or reduction in pay or benefits because of other federal employment, and any waiver arising from Erich electing not to retire despite being qualified to retire. It also includes any sum taken by Erich in addition to or in lieu of retirement benefits, including, but not limited to, REDUX lump sum payments, exit bonuses, voluntary separation incentive pay, special separation benefit, or any other form of compensation attributable to separation from military service instead of or in addition to payment of the military retirement benefits normally payable to a retired member. All sums payable to Raina as a portion of military retirement shall be payable from Erich' disposable retired or retainer pay to the extent that it is so restricted by law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

The appropriate military pay center shall pay the sums called for above directly to Raina, to the extent permitted by law, at the same times as Erich receives his retired or retainer pay, and that this Order is intended to qualify under the Uniformed Services Former Spouses Protection Act, 10 U.S.C. § 1408 et seq., with all provisions to be interpreted to make

-4-

the Order qualify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5. The amount called for herein shall not be modifiable by the direct or indirect action of either party hereto, either by way of increase or decrease, except as expressly set forth herein. It is contemplated that future cost of living adjustments will be granted by the United States government, by means of which the gross military retirement benefits specified above will increase, thus raising the amount being paid to Raina.

6. If Erich takes any steps to merge his military retirement benefits with another retirement program of any kind, that retirement system, program, or plan is directed to honor this court Order to the extent of Raina's interest as set out above, to the extent that the military retirement is used as a basis of payments or benefits under such other retirement system, program, or plan.

15 7. If Erich takes any action that prevents, decreases, or limits the collection 16 by Raina of the sums to be paid hereunder (by application for or award of disability compensation, combination of benefits with any other 17 18 retired pay, waiver for any reason, including as a result of other federal 19 service, or in any other way), he shall make payments to Raina directly 20 in an amount sufficient to neutralize, as to Raina, the effects of the 21 action taken by Erich. Any sums paid to Erich that this court Order provides are to be paid to Raina shall be held by Erich in constructive 22 23 trust until actual payment to Raina.

If the amount paid by the military pay center to Raina is less than the amount specified above, Erich shall initiate an allotment to Raina in the amount of any such difference, to be paid from any federal entitlement

27 28

24

25

26

8.

1		due Erich, with said allotment to be initiated by Erich immediately upon
2		notice of such difference, and making up any arrearages in installments
3		not less in amount or longer in term than the arrearages accrued.
4	9.	The appropriate military pay center shall pay the sums called for herein
5		directly to Raina, by voluntary allotment, involuntary allotment, wage
6		withholding, or garnishment of Erich's military retired pay.
7	10.	The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enter such further orders as are
8		necessary to enforce the award to Raina of the military retirement
9		benefits awarded herein, including the recharacterization thereof as a
10		division of Civil Service or other retirement benefits, or to make an
11		award of alimony (in the sum of benefits payable plus future cost of
12		living adjustments) in the event that Erich fails to comply with the
13		provisions contained above requiring said payments to Raina, or if
14		military or government regulations or other restrictions interfere with
15		payments to Raina as set forth herein.
16	***	
17		
18	***	
19		
20	***	
21		
22	***	
23		
24	***	
25		
26	* * *	
27		
28		-6-

1 11. Raina has the right to obtain information relating to Erich's date of first 2 eligibility to retire, date of first eligibility to receive retirement benefits, 3 date of retirement, final rank, grade, and pay, present or past retired pay, or other such information as may be required to enforce the award made 4 5 herein, or required to revise this order so as to make it enforceable, per 65 Fed. Reg. 43298 (July 13, 2000). б day of 2016. 7 **DATED** this 8 9 COURT JUDGE 10 Approved as to Form and Content: Respectfully Submitted by: 11 12 13 14 2 E. Lyons St the Dr oseph Larami, WY 82072 Plaintiff in *Proper Person* Henderson, Nevada 89044 15 Defendant in Proper Person 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -7-

RA000719

ACKNOWLEDGMENT 1 2 STATE OF NEVADA 3 COUNTY OF CLARK 4 On this <u>23</u> day of <u>September</u>, 201<u>6</u>, before me, the undersigned 5 Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared ERICH 6 MARTIN, known to me to be the person described herein and who executed 7 the foregoing instrument, and who acknowledged to me that he did so freely 8 and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned. 9 Witness my hand and official seal. 10 11 12 in and for County and State 13 LARINET é CO THEODORE ALLEN BULIK-HOCUM NOTARY PUBLIC 14 STATE OF COLORADO NOTARY ID 20134021099 15 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 4, 2017 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -8-

ACKNOWLEDGMENT STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK On this 3 day of November, 2016, before me, the undersigned Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared RAINA MARTIN, known to me to be the person described herein and who executed the foregoing instrument, and who acknowledged to me that she did so freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned. Witness my hand and official seal. NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State \\wlgserver\company\wp16\MARTIN,R\PLEADINGS\00122850.WPD/jj -9-

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Name: Erich M. Martin

3815 Little Dipper Dr., Ft. Collins, CO 80528)

Plaintiff(s)

Case No. <u>D-15-509045-D</u>

Electronically Filed 6/22/2018 7:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

vs

Name: Raina L. Martin)

2812 Josephine Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89044)

SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff hereby acknowledges that the Judment entered on the 27th day of May, 2017, along with all costs in the above-entitled action, action, has been satisfied. Accordingly, I hereby authorize and direct the Clerk of the Court to enter this Satisfaction of Judgment.

Per NRS 53.045, "I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct."

Executed on:

17 APR 2018

Date

Signature

ORDER

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Plaintiff

Defendant

Electronically Filed
2/15/2019 12:05 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT
Atump. Frum

Erich N	lavon
---------	-------

-VS-	
During Marching	
Raina Martin	

Case No. D-	15.509045-D
	0
Department	C

FAMILY MEDIATION CENTER (FMC) REQUEST AND ORDER FOR MEDIATION - NRS 3.475

Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 3.475 the district court shall establish a program of mandatory mediation in cases that involve the custody or visitation of a child. Once this request is submitted to FMC, a mediation appointment will be scheduled. FMC will send notice of the scheduled mediation appointment to both parties using the information provided below. Please print as clearly as possible.

The party completing this request must make sure that a copy signed by the judge is sent to the other party by certified mail or return receipt requested mail and that a file-stamped copy is delivered to FMC. If the party completing this request does not appear for the initial mediation appointment, FMC will close its case.

Printed Name of Party Completing this Request:

□ Holidays & celebrations

Non-financial is	ssue(s) that i	need(s) to l	be mediated:	(Check all that a	pply)
------------------	----------------	--------------	--------------	-------------------	-------

- □ Legal Custody
- □ Timeshare (physical custody) □ Transportation & exchanges

□ Vacation

If either party needs an interpreter, language must be listed:

PLAINTIFF INFORMATION:

Name:	Erich Martin	Cell Ph
Address:	1333 Sunset Ridge	Home
	Blanco, TX 78006	Best tir
Email:	erich martin QUS. army. M.I	Best da
Attorney	s Name:	Atty's

DEFENDANT INFORMATION:

Name:	Raina Martin
Address	505 Emerald Youth Rd.
	Las Vegas, NV 89178
Email:	raina martin @ gmail. Com
Attorney'	

Cell Phone: 3	ot o	\$15.0	575
Home Phone:			
Best time for appt?	AM 🗆	PM 🗆	
Best day for appt?	(M-F)		
Atty's Number:			

01-12/12

Cell Phone:	719-209-1800
Home Phone:	
Best time for a	ppt? AM 🗆 PM
Best day for ap	opt? (M-F) Thinsday
Atty's Number	

Atty's Number:

Name:	Nathan	Martin	DOB:	aug. 24, 2010	Name:	DOB:
Name:	-		DOB:		Name:	DOB:

10	Court Use Only
Ordered and dated this day of Februe	ry. 2019. Rebellal Mut

TAForms/Word/Front Office Forms/Request & Order & Instructions.doc (Rev. 03/27/18)

Electronically Filed 6/3/2019 8:09 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NCOA 1 Raina Martin 2 Name: Address: oscining Drive 3 City/St/Zip: Henderson, NV 89044 **Telephone:** 4 Email Address: ratiszoenotmail. On 5 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 larth Case No. D- 15-509045-D 9 Plaintiff 10 Dept No. aina L. Martin 11 Defendant. NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS 12 13 14 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that (check one) Plaintiff / Defendant, has new mailing 15 information and that the Court records should be changed to reflect: 16 Raina L. Martin Name: 17 Address: 18 City/St/Zip: 19 Telephone: 20 Email Address: ra718 20 Shotmal, com 21 22 day of June, 2019 DATED this 23 24 Submitted by: (Signature) 25 Rai 26 Printed Name: 27 28 RA000724

Case Number: D-15-509045-D

1 2 3 4 5 6	MOT MATTHEW H. FRIEDMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 11571 mfriedman@fordfriedmanlaw.com FORD & FRIEDMAN 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 350 Henderson, Nevada 89052 T: (702) 476-2400 F: (702) 476-2333 Attorneys for Defendant	Electronically Filed 8/27/2019 6:12 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT		
7	DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
° 9				
10	ERICH M. MARTIN,	CASE NO.: D-15-509045-D DEPT.: C		
11	Plaintiff,			
12	VS.	Date of Hearing:		
13	RAINA L. MARTIN,	Time of Hearing:		
14	Defendant.	ORAL ARGUMENT ROSTD: YES		
15	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOD AE	DOINTMENT OF A DADENTING		
16	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A PARENTING COORDINATOR, ISSUANCE OF A BEHAVIOR ORDER, FOR OTHER CUSTODY ORDERS AND FOR DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY'S FEES			
17	AND COSTS INCURRED HEREI	N, AND FOR RELATED RELIEF		
18	NOTICE: YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION/COUNTERMOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDERSIGNED WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT			
19	OF THIS MOTION/COUNTERMOTION. FAILUR CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN TEN (E TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE 10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS		
20	MOTION/COUNTERMOTION MAY RESULT IN T THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THI			
21	COMES NOW Defendant, RAIN	NA L. MARTIN, by and through her		
22 23	attorney of record, Matthew H. Friedman	n, Esq. and Gary Segal, Esq. of the law		
24				
	Paį	ge i		
		D A 000725		

1	office of Ford & Friedman and hereby moves this Honorable Court for the
2	following relief:
3	1. For the Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator;
4	2. For issuance of a Mutual Behavior Order including admonitions to the
5	
6	parties to restrain their respective spouse/significant other from
7	inappropriate, harassing communications;
8	3. For issuance of a judgment in favor of Defendant for Plaintiff's lack of
9	payment for one-half (1/2) of the unreimbursed medical expenses for
10	the medical care of the parties' minor child;
11	4. For an order confirming that Plaintiff is not entitled to unilaterally
12	
13	dictate he receive compensatory time when he fails to exercise his
14	allotted visitation with the minor child;
15	5. For Defendant's attorney's fees and costs for having to bring this
16	motion, as provided for pursuant to NRS 18.010 and/or NRS 125C.250;
17	6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper in
18	the premises.
19	
20	•••
21	•••
22	
23	
24	
	Page ii
	RA000726

1	This Motion is based upon the Attached Points and Authorities,
2	Defendant's Appendix of Exhibits being filed herein, all pleadings and papers on
3	file herein and the arguments to be adduced at the time of Hearing herein.
4	Dated this <u>26</u> day of August, 2019.
5	
6	FORD & FRIEDMAN
7	MA
8	MATTHEW H. FŔIEDMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11571
9	2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 350 Henderson, Nevada 89052
10	Attorneys for Defendant
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
15	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Page iii

1	NOTICE OF MOTION
2	TO: ERICH M. MARTIN, Plaintiff, appearing in proper person:
3	Please take notice that Defendant will bring the above and foregoing
4	Motion on for Hearing before the above-entitled Court on the day of
5	, 2019 at the hour ofm. in Department C /
6	Courtroom 8 of said Court.
7 8	
° 9	If no date/time is indicated herein above, upon receipt of the issuance of the
10	Notice of Hearing from the Clerk of Court, Defendant will provide such pleading
11	to Plaintiff as a separate document, filed herein.
12	Dated this day of August, 2019.
13	FORD & FRIEDMAN
14	
15	MATTHEW H. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.
16	Nevada Bar No. 11571 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 350
17	Henderson, Nevada 89052 Attorneys for Defendant
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	Page iv
	P A 000728

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

ATTEMPTS AT RESOLUTION OF THE INSTANT DISPUTES WOULD BE FUTILE.

Our local Rules of Court require that parties seek to resolve their disputes prior to resorting to litigation:

Rule 5.501. Requirement to attempt resolution.

(a) Except as otherwise provided herein or by other rule, statute, or court order, before any family division matter motion is filed, the movant must attempt to resolve the issues in dispute with the other party.

(b) A party filing a motion in which no attempt was made to resolve the issues in dispute with the other party shall include a statement within the motion of what provision, futility, or impracticability prevented an attempt at resolution in advance of filing.

(c) Failure to comply with this rule may result in imposition of sanctions if the court concludes that the issues would have been resolved if an attempt at resolution had been made before filing.

In the instant case, Defendant's prior attempts to address the issues contained herein have not resulted in any resolution nor has there occurred a cessation in the harassing and demeaning statements from Plaintiff's current wife directed at Defendant her significant other, and the parties' minor child. Hence, any further attempts would be impracticable without the involvement of this Honorable Court. Indeed, Raina sought referral to Family Mediation (FMC) from this Court and participated in good faith in those attempts. However, such collaborative efforts proved unsuccessful.

STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS¹

Plaintiff, ERICH M. MARTIN (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") and Defendant, RAINA L. MARTIN (hereinafter referred to as "Raina") were divorced on November 5, 2015, after thirteen (13) years of marriage. The parties have a son, Nathan L. Martin, born August 24, 2010, who is nine (9) years old this month and who is the subject minor in the above-entitled matter. The latest custody Order is contained in the Decree of Divorce, by which the parties share joint legal custody with Raina having primary physical custody of Nathan. Plaintiff was granted graduated visitation which was to increase from ten (10) days in 2015 to eight (8) weeks in 2018. Additionally, the parties' stipulated parenting plan provided Plaintiff with monthly contact along with holiday visitation. At the time of the parties' Decree, Plaintiff was residing in Wyoming. Subsequently he moved to Fort Collins, Colorado.

Thereafter, Nathan was re-assigned a year-round school commencing in the 2016-2017 school year. As such, a slight modification of Plaintiff's visitation was required, which is contained in the Order Under Submission, filed by this Honorable Court on November 1, 2016. Subsequently, Nathan resumed

¹ See Affidavit of Raina Martin, attached hereto as Exhibit "A," from which the factual averments are derived.

enrollment at a traditional, nine-month school within Clark County School District. The parties then agreed informally (without filing anything with the Court) to resume the visitation schedule contained in their Decree of Divorce and have been operating under the same schedule ever since.

While the parties were seemingly able to work through the necessary modifications to the timeshare, unfortunately such cooperation was short-lived. Indeed, following the inclusion of Plaintiff's current wife, Julie, the parties coparenting relationship became riddled with conflict to the point that now, the same is non-existent. As a result of the strained relationship, during the past several years quite a few disputed matters arose between the parties, which have yet to be resolved. In attempts at mediating such matters, Raina sought and received a "Family Mediation Center (FMC) Request and Order for Mediation-NRS 3.475", which was filed on February 15, 2019. Telephonic mediation was scheduled and both parties participated. The parties' endeavored to discuss a number of the issues as raised herein, however, the mediator informed the parties that many of these disputes were outside the scope of mediation. Additionally, a review of the parties' "Our Family Wizard" portal reveals that these issues have been the subject of direct communication between the parties for years to no avail. As will be addressed more thoroughly herein, many of the matters at issue between the parties remain unresolved and as such exemplify the parties' need for

a Parenting Coordinator to be appointed herein, particularly so, given that many of the issues do not include significant modification to the arties custodial agreements, but rather revolve around the other breakdown in their ability to communicate and co-parent.

In addition to the conflict between the parties themselves, for the past several years now, Defendant's current wife, Julie has taken to inserting herself into the parties' co-parenting relationship with the sole intent to inflame the conflict. Indeed, often times, when communicating with Raina, Julie takes to using vulgar language and name calling. The unhealthy relationships that have developed over the years fail to serve Nathan's best interests. Accordingly, Raina now seeks intervention from this Court.

II.

A PARENTING COORDINATOR SHOULD BE APPOINTED

The appointment of Parenting Coordinators has become more prevalent in our Courts. In Harrison v. Harrison, 132 Nev. 564, 376 P.3d 173 (2016), our Nevada Supreme Court acknowledged this growing trend:

Defining a parenting coordinator

The use of parenting coordinators in the family law arena has become a common practice across the country. See Bower v. Bournay-Bower, 469 Mass. 690, 15 N.E.3d 745, 748-49 (2014) (referencing several jurisdictions that allow for the use of parenting coordinators by statute, court rule, or caselaw). In general, parenting coordinators are neutral

third-party intermediaries who facilitate resolution of conflicts related to custody and visitation between divorced or separated parents. *Id.* at 748. Thus, parenting coordinators can be described as providing a hybrid of mediation and arbitration services. *Id.* at 748–49.

"Furthermore, access to a parenting coordinator offers dispute resolution sooner than the Harrisons would be able to appear before a judge, which may reduce the likelihood of contempt complaints or other formal proceedings between the parents. See *id.*"

Harrison at 571, 376 P.3d at 177.

In *Harrison* the parties had agreed to the use of a parenting coordinator (which will be referred to herein after as the "PC" for brevity). In the more recent case of *Bautista v. Picone*, 134 Nev. 344, 419 P.3d 157 (2018), the use of a PC was ordered by the Court. Upon review, relying on *Harrison*, the Supreme Court, summarized several factors present in *Harrison* to be considered when a PC is appointed:

"In *Harrison*, we approved of the appointment of a parenting coordinator, listing several factors: (1) the parents' custody dispute was highly contentious and multiple custody pleadings were filed in district court, (2) the parents consented to the appointment of a coordinator, (3) "the parenting coordinator's authority was limited to resolving nonsubstantive issues" between the parents, and (4) the district court maintained the final decision-making authority.

Id. at 336, at P.3d 178-79.

"The district court does not improperly delegate its decision-making authority by simply appointing a parenting coordinator. *Id.* at 572, 376 P.3d at 178. However, the district court has the ultimate decision-making power regarding custody determinations, and that power cannot be delegated to a parenting coordinator under any circumstance."

Bautista at 337, 419 P.3d at 159.

Raina provides her review of the four factors present in Bautista as they relate to the case at hand: (1) the custody disputes between Plaintiff and Raina are highly contentious, which have resulted in a plethora of pleadings being filed in this Honorable Court during the past four (4) years, including post Decree custody Motions; (2) Here, Raina requests the appointment of a Parenting Coordinator, in furtherance of the goals of having a Parenting Coordinator - adjunct judicial involvement by which the Parenting Coordinator can provide recommendations concerning minor issues (without the delegation of judicial authority), which is a quicker and less costly way to attempt resolution of such disagreements; (3) Raina seeks the use of the Parenting Coordinator to resolve non-substantive issues (such not to include substantive changes to the parties' custody of their son); and (4) this Honorable Court will always have the final decision-making authority. As to the latter point, the expected Recommendations of the Parenting Coordinator will in fact crystallize the disputes between the parties should either party object to the recommendations, which then results in this Honorable Court being the arbiter thereof.

Indeed, while the parties have attempted to resolve the various matters, both by way of Family Mediation (the parties were advised that such matters were in fact outside the scope of the mediation process provided by FMC), as well as amongst themselves, the following issues remain unresolved and ripe for review by a parenting coordinator.

I.

Defendant's wife's demeaning communications must cease:

For several years now, Defendant's current wife, Julie has contacted Raina to spew demeaning, harassing and vulgar rants aimed at both Raina and Nathan. Such a rant was initially sent to Raina through text messages. Raina then converted the text messages into an OFW entry which she shared with Plaintiff (which only includes Julie's rant) (*See* Exhibit "B"). Raina provides the actual text exchange between her and Julie (*See* Exhibit "C"). Julie commences her tirade with the following statement:

"You are absolutely the nastiest piece of trash I have ever encountered."

She continues on stating

"Your nastiness and dark heart ...makes you grotesque"

"....you are trash...."

"you are fake and manipulative"

"You are a hoar [sic]"

Perhaps of even greater concern, Julie opts to aims her tirade at Nathan, stating

"NATHAN DRIVES EVERYONE CRAZY"

"He lies worse than you do"

"He is a mess socially"

"He calls kids at school ball sack...What a great kid with great parenting" Plainly stated, neither Raina, nor Nathan should be subjected to Julie's nastiness. Hence, Raina's request that a mutual Behavior Order be issued herein constraining both parties, their spouses, family and friends from making disparaging, demeaning remarks about the other party.

II. <u>Plaintiff's objections to Nathan's eyeglasses:</u>

A review of the parties' OFW entries back in 2016 is rife with Plaintiff's snide remarks concerning his dislike of Nathan's eyeglasses—especially the transitions (self-darkening) lenses) (*See* Exhibit "D"). Additionally, Nathan volunteered to Raina, that when he is in Plaintiff's care, he is subjected to teasing from his father, stepmother, and Plaintiff's other child and stepchildren, concerning the eyeglasses. Although Raina has stressed to Plaintiff the need for Nathan to wear his glasses, Plaintiff ignores her requests. When Nathan obtained his first pair of glasses, chosen by the child, Plaintiff complained to Raina about having to pay his half of the un-reimbursed costs for the glasses, such amount being \$40.50.

Perhaps most concerning is Plaintiff's unilateral decision to withhold Nathan's eyeglasses from him upon the child's arrival for this past summer vacation. Indeed, during a Facetime telephone call with Nathan, Raina noticed that

he was not wearing his glasses. Upon Raina asking "Why aren't you wearing your glasses buddy?" Nathan subtly motioned with his hand in the direction of Plaintiff and his wife and mouthed to Raina that Plaintiff had taken them from him. Based upon Plaintiff's written objection to the "transition lenses" as well as Nathan's revelation that he is teased concerning the same, Raina can only conclude that Plaintiff's pride and vanity will not allow for Nathan to wear his prescription glasses, which he desperately needs to see, simply because Plaintiff believes they are "hideous."

Additionally, following his visit with Plaintiff this summer, Nathan offered to Raina that while in Colorado, Plaintiff and his wife took the child to see an optometrist. While Raina was mildly upset that she had not been informed of such a decision, she was delighted to learn that Plaintiff's optometrist reaffirmed what Raina had been averring - that Nathan indeed, needs to wear glasses and his current prescription is appropriate. Unfortunately, Raina's delight was short lived as Nathan quickly followed this information by advising that despite the optometrist's conclusions and affirmations, Plaintiff and his wife continued to deprive Nathan of the ability to wear his glasses regularly. Such actions are in direct contrast of Nathan's best interests.

III. <u>Plaintiff fails to provide dental insurance coverage for Nathan:</u>

Raina has repeatedly requested that Plaintiff provide dental insurance coverage for Nathan and provide her with proof of the same. Indeed, the parties' Decree of Divorce requires Plaintiff to maintain such coverage (so long as it remains available through his employment.) See page 8, line 11 thereof. It wasn't until this year, that Raina learned that there is no current dental coverage for Nathan – the same having lapsed in 2017 without Plaintiff notifying Riana or replacing the policy. Plaintiff refuses to provide such coverage; instead stating that since Raina and Plaintiff's wife, Julie both work in a dental office, routine dental cleanings should be provided to Nathan at no cost to either party (essentially as a favor by their respective employers) (*See* Exhibit "E"). Plaintiff's view that dental insurance is not necessary violates the provisions contained in the Decree of Divorce.

Given Plaintiff's continued failure and outright refusal to provide Nathan with the dental insurance mandated by this Court's orders, Raina would propose that she be permitted to seek and obtain the same for the minor child. Upon obtaining a dental insurance policy, Raina will submit to Plaintiff a statement indicating the cost of the monthly premium, which Plaintiff shall timely tender each and every month.

While Raina believes that all of the issues she addresses above are in need of resolution, she believes that referring such matters to a Parenting Coordinator for appropriate review and recommendation to this Court is a more efficient use of judicial resources, as well as a less expensive method of seeking resolution of the Although these parties do communicate through OFW, the parties' disputes. highly contentious nature of their relationship and Plaintiff's (and his wife's inappropriate and inflammatory communication) have rendered them unable to resolve their disputes with one another. As such, the involvement of a Parenting Coordinator will allow the parties the space and opportunity to vette their respective positions, without incurring the immediate expense and unpredictability of litigation. As required by the Supreme Court rulings, either party remains able to bring Objections to the Parenting Coordinator's Recommendations before this Honorable Court for judicial determination.

IV. <u>Plaintiff chose to not exercise some holiday/vacation time and then</u> <u>unilaterally took make-up visitation time:</u>

During summer 2018, Plaintiff chose to forego exercise of his allowable summer visitation of eight (8) weeks, only exercising seven (7) weeks of summer visitation that year. During March, 2019, Plaintiff did not exercise the Spring Break visitation he was granted. Naturally, during these periods, Raina took care of Nathan. Yet, when it came time for the parties to confirm their summer 2019

visitation plans for Nathan with Plaintiff, Plaintiff insisted on having Nathan for an additional twelve (12) days this past summer, as "compensation" for the time he had voluntarily forfeited. When Raina objected to Plaintiff's unilateral dictation of when such compensatory time should occur, he ignored her protestations. Moreover, Plaintiff provided Raina with no reasoning as to why he neglected to exercise his visitation as set forth within the parties' Decree.

Decree of Divorce contains The parties' the following Order: "MODIFICATIONS: Erich shall notify Raina at least fifteen (15) days prior to a visitation of any modifications, or inability to exercise the visitation." See page 5, line 24. Such Order contains no mention of make-up visitation. Furthermore, and importantly, at the time that Plaintiff declined to exercise his full visitation, Raina offered to work together with Plaintiff in order to arrange alternative times wherein Plaintiff could "make up" the missed visitation days. Plaintiff ignored such overtures. Instead choosing to wait months before unilaterally dictating what time he was taking as compensation for his forfeited visitation.

V.

Plaintiff's care:

Raina provides Nathan privacy when the child calls or has facetime with Plaintiff. Conversely, Nathan confides in Raina that when the child is in his father's care, the telephone calls and facetime between Nathan and Raina are

Nathan is denied privacy during his telephone calls/facetime when in

monitored by Plaintiff and/or his wife, Julie. Such issue is confirmed by the video of a telephone call Raina had with Nathan last Thanksgiving (*see* Exhibit "F"). The video was taken by Raina during her telephone call with Nathan, who is visible on the cell phone screen. Nevertheless, Plaintiff's wife, Julie is heard incessantly during the call directing Nathan concerning what he should be saying.

VI.

Determination of the time zone for absent parent's contact with child:

At page 5, line 19, the Decree of Divorce provides that the parents shall have telephonic contact with Nathan every day at 8:00 p.m. "pacific standard time" during their non-custodial time. Plaintiff currently resides in Fort Collins, Colorado, which sits within the Mountain Time zone, one (1) hour later than Las Vegas time (PST). Raina believes that calling Nathan at 9:00 p.m. Colorado time, when the child is in Plaintiff's care is too late, and indeed, is often told by Defendant that Nathan is unavailable for the call as he is "in bed" or "sleeping." Accordingly, Raina has requested that the provision in the Decree, concerning telephonic contact with the minor child be modified such that the time of communications be calculated pursuant to the local time zone wherein the child is residing at the time/date of the call (be it Fort Collins, Colorado during Plaintiff's custodial time or Las Vegas, Nevada during Raina's custodial time).

VII. <u>Plaintiff refuses to pay his one-half (1/2) share of the unreimbursed</u> medical costs related to Nathan:

2

Raina has diligently uploaded receipts for medical bills that she has paid to the OFW website. Except for the \$40.50 reimbursement she received from Plaintiff for Nathan's prescription glasses (discussed hereinabove), Plaintiff refuses to reimburse Raina for Nathan's other unreimbursed medical expenses. As of this date, Plaintiff owes Raina \$567.50 for his share of the outstanding unreimbursed medical expenses (*See* Defendant's Exhibit "G"). The parties' Decree of Divorce includes language concerning the 30/30 Rule by which the parents are to equally share in the cost of un-reimbursed medical expenses. To date, Plaintiff refuses to comply with that Order and Raina's timely requests for reimbursement.

VIII. Spring Break:

The parties' Decree of Divorce awarded Plaintiff every Spring Break vacation (*See* page 5, line 3, thereof). Such provision was confirmed in the "Order Under Submission" (*See* page 9, line 4 thereof). Under the present visitation schedule, Plaintiff has the minor child for a week at Christmas time and eight (8) weeks of the summer vacation. Raina has minimal time with Nathan during the child's vacations from school. Indeed, this summer, 2019, after Plaintiff unilaterally increased his summer vacation time, upon Nathan's return to Raina, the parties had to commence their planning for back to school, since Nathan will began classes on Monday, August 12, 2019. Raina requests that the

parties alternate the Spring Break vacation with Plaintiff having such time during even-numbered years and Raina having such time during odd-numbered years.

III.

IT IS IMPERATIVE THAT A BEHAVIOR ORDER BE ISSUED HEREIN.

As discussed above and upon a review of the text message exchange between Julie and Raina, as well as a review of the video record, it is quite evident that Plaintiff's wife, Julie has distaste for Raina. Yet, such infusion of hatred by a non-parent is not beneficial to the proper rearing of a child, nor the fostering of a strong relationship for Nathan with both of his parents. Furthermore, Raina should not be subjected to such harassment and for sure she should not be subjected to such annoying and irritating behavior from Plaintiff's wife. For these reasons, Raina asks that this Honorable Court issue a Behavior Order to ensure conflict between the parties abates. Such a behavior order should contain the

following provisions:

1.

- No abusive (foul language, name calling, etc.) contact (including telephone calls, letters, email, etc.) to the other party by each other or by the other's spouse or "significant other" (if any).
- Avoid any unnecessary contact with the other party's spouse or "significant other" (if 2. any) and do not initiate conflicts with them.
- 3. No unnecessary contact with other people associated to the other party for the purposes of discussing court proceedings or making negative/disparaging allegations against the other party.
- 4. Neither party, either directly or through an agent, shall threaten, physically injure, harass, or disparage the other party to this action. This prohibition shall apply to all methods of communication, including postings on websites or social media.
- 5. Each party shall remain at least 100 yards away from the other party's residence, unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

1	6.	Each party shall remain at least 100 yards away from the other party's place of employment, unless otherwise agreed to in writing.							
2	7.	Each party shall remain at least 100 yards from the residences and places of employment of the other party's parents and other relatives, unless otherwise agreed to in writing.							
3	8.	Neither party shall damage property belonging to one or both parties.							
4	9.	There shall be no name calling by either party.							
5	10.	Neither party shall use foul language in the company of the other party.							
6	11.	Neither party shall harass the other party at the other's place of employment, including contacting the employer to make negative or disparaging allegations.							
7	12.	Each party shall maintain respect towards the other party's relatives and friends.							
8	13.	Both parties shall advise all friends, relatives and spouses or "significant others" (if any), not to disparage, criticize or harass the other party.							
9 10	14.	Both parties shall advise all friends, relatives and spouses or "significant others" (if any), to avoid any unnecessary contact with the other party or the other party's spouse or "significant other" (if any) and do not initiate conflicts with them							
11	15.	There shall be no threats of violence or harm to any other person, any other relative and/or friends of either party.							
12	16.	Each party shall be prohibited from providing copies of unsolicited documents							
13	(personal letters, court pleadings, etc.) to anyone associated with a party (fa members, neighbors, employers, etc.) for the intended purpose of shedding the party in a negative light.								
14 15	17.	Communication between the parties shall be restricted to "Our Family Wizard" only. Said communications shall be restricted to one (1) single topic per message and shall not exceed four (4) sentences in length, per message.							
16 17	The parties are hereby put on notice that each and every violation of this order may result in the party being held in contempt of court pursuant to NRS Chapter 22, which could result in a fine of \$500.00, twenty-five (25) days in jail and/or an award of attorney's fees for each violation (e.g. 4 separate violations could be 100 days in jail)."								
18		N 7							
19		V.							
20		EMENT SHOULD BE ENTERED AGAINST PLAINTIFF FOR							
	1	REARS FOR UNREIMBURSED MEDICAL EXPENSES							
21									
22	The I	Decree of Divorce requires the parties' to equally pay un-reimbursed							
23	medical exp	penses. See pages 8 – 10 of the Decree. Indeed, NRS 125B.080							
24									
25	requires that	t such expenses be shared equally:							
26		125B.080 Amount of payment: Determination.							
27		xpenses for health care which are not reimbursed, including expenses for medical,							
28		al, dental, orthodontic and optical expenses, must be borne equally by both parents absence of extraordinary circumstances.							
		16							

Raina has paid \$1,135.00 for necessary un-reimbursed medical expenses for Nathan. She us provided timely receipts evidencing such payments to Plaintiff as evidenced in her Exhibit "G". To date, Plaintiff has not reimbursed Raina for his one-half (1/2) share of such expenses, such being \$567.50, and instead has outright "refused" reimbursement for all but two (2) of the submitted expenses. Accordingly, under the authority of subsection 7 of NRS 125B.080 and the requirements of the Decree of Divorce, Raina asks that this Honorable Court issue Judgement of \$567.50 against Plaintiff to be paid forthwith to Raina. Moreover, Raina requests this Court admonish Plaintiff so that he can appropriately understand his obligations concerning the minor child's unreimbursed medical expenses.

VI.

PLAINTIFF SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN DENTAL INSURANCE FOR NATHAN.

The Decree of Divorce requires that Plaintiff "maintain medical, dental and optical insurance for Nathan, so long as it is available to him through his employer." See page 8, line 11 of the Decree. Earlier this year Raina learned that there is no such coverage in effect for Nathan. Indeed, not only did Raina learn that Nathan did not currently have dental insurance through Plaintiff, but she further learned Plaintiff had allowed such coverage to lapse in 2017. Upon information and belief, to date, Plaintiff had taken no steps to remedy this situation. Instead, Raina has been forced to repeated inquire of Plaintiff as to the status of such coverage. Plaintiff asserts that since both Raina and Plaintiff's wife currently work in the industry (Raina is a dental hygienist and Plaintiff's wide is a dental assistant), no such coverage is required. It is Plaintiff's position that Nathan's dental care should be gifted to Nathan by way of Raina and his wife's respective employers. In fact, based upon such fact and in complete disregard to the Decree of Divorce, Plaintiff has stated to Raina: "Nathan will continue to have medical and vision through the military and

he will have dental covered for free through your work and Julies' work. Right now you work for a dentist your job is to clean teeth. Nathan goes to you for his dental needs. If something is not covered there it is covered here in Fort Collins at Julies' dental office. There is no need for Nathan to have dental insurance."

Please see Plaintiff's OFW entry of March 18, 2019, provided as Exhibit "E".

This again was Plaintiff's unilateral decision. There was no discussion with Raina concerning such matter; merely Plaintiff's individual conclusion that no dental coverage is necessary. Plaintiff should not be allowed to unilaterally determine whether something is necessary; especially since he was ordered in the Decree of Divorce to provide dental coverage.

25 Given Plaintiff's outright refusal to follow this Court's past orders and his 26 complete disregard for Nathan's well-being and lack of candor to Raina, Raina 27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

instead proposes that this Honorable Court enter an Order that Raina be permitted to seek and obtain the necessary dental insurance for Nathan, and upon her doing so, Plaintiff shall timely tender reimbursement of the monthly premium to Raina each and every month.

VII.

RAINA IS ENTITLED TO AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES

Raina sought resolution of the issues addressed herein. She discussed such matters directly with Plaintiff, followed by submission of such to mediation. To date, Plaintiff has refused to engage with, much less comply, with Raina's requests - even when the authority of law supports of her positions, such as unreimbursed medical expenses and the need for Plaintiff to provide dental insurance for Nathan. As a result of Plaintiff's intransigence, Raina now resorts to judicial intervention in this case. This Honorable Court has the authority to grant Raina her attorney's fees for having to bring this Motion under NRS Section 18.010 and Section 125C.250.

NRS 18.010 Award of attorney's fees.

1. The compensation of an attorney and counselor for his or her services is governed by agreement, express or implied, which is not restrained by law.

2. In addition to the cases where an allowance is authorized by specific statute, the court may make an allowance of attorney's fees to a prevailing party:

(a) When the prevailing party has not recovered more than \$20,000; or

(b) Without regard to the recovery sought, when the court finds that the claim, counterclaim, cross claim or third party complaint or defense of the opposing party was brought or maintained without reasonable ground or to harass the prevailing party. The

court shall liberally construe the provisions of this paragraph in favor of awarding attorney's fees in all appropriate situations. It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph and impose sanctions pursuant to 2 Rule 11 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure in all appropriate situations to punish 3 for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public. 5 3. 6 This Honorable Court also has the authority to award Raina her attorney's 8 fees and costs under Section 125C.250 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, which states as follows: 10 NRS 125C.250 Attorney's fees and costs. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 125C.0689 [concerning actions involving deployed military persons], in an action to determine legal custody, physical custody or visitation with respect to a child, the court may order reasonable fees of counsel and experts and other costs of the proceeding to be paid in proportions and at times determined by the court. While NRS Section 18.010 only concerns an award of attorney's fees, NRS 16 Section 125C.250 provides for awards of both attorney's fees and costs. 18 Nevertheless, under all applicable authority, additional analysis is required 19 prior to the Court awarding attorney's fees. In Miller v. Wilfong, 119 P.3d 727 20 (2005) the Nevada Supreme Court held that it is within the trial court's discretion 22 to determine the reasonable amount of attorney fees under a statute or rule and in 23 24 exercising that discretion, the court must evaluate the factors set forth in Brunzell 25 v. Golden Gate National Bank, 85 Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969). 26 In *Brunzell*, the Nevada Supreme Court held as follows: 27 28 20

1

4

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

RA000748

"From a study of the authorities it would appear such factors may be classified under four general headings (1) the qualities of the advocate: his ability, his training, education, experience, professional standing and skill; (2) the character of the work to be done: its difficulty, its intricacy, its importance, time and skill required, the responsibility imposed and the prominence and character of the parties where they affect the importance of the litigation; (3) the work actually performed by the lawyer: the skill, time and attention given to the work; (4) the result: whether the attorney was successful and what benefits were derived. Furthermore, good judgment would dictate that each of these factors be given consideration by the trier of fact and that no one element should predominate or be given undue weight." *Id.* 85 Nev. at 349, 455 P.2d at 33 (citations omitted).

For the application of the *Brunzell* factors to the instant matter, Raina's counsel provides his Affidavit as Defendant's Exhibit "F" for consideration by this Honorable Court.

VIII.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant, Raina Martin, prays for an order commanding the following:

1. For the Appointment of a Parenting Coordinator;

1	2. For issuance of a Mutual Behavior Order including admonitions to
2	the parties to restrain their respective spouse/significant other from
3	inappropriate, harassing communications;
4 5	3. For issuance of a judgment in favor of Defendant for Plaintiff's lack
6	
7	of payment for one-half $(1/2)$ of the unreimbursed medical expenses
8	for the medical care of the parties' minor child;
9	4. For an order confirming that Plaintiff is not entitled to unilaterally
10 11	dictate he receive compensatory time when he fails to exercise his
12	allotted visitation with the minor child;
13	
14	5. For Defendant's attorney's fees and costs for having to bring this
15	motion, as provided for pursuant to NRS 18.010 and/or NRS
16	125C.250;
17 18	6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper
19	in the premises.
20	Dated this <u>26</u> day of August, 2019.
21	
22	FORD & FRIEDMAN
23	(NING)
25	MATTHEW H. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.
26	Nevada Bar No.: 11571 2200 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 350
27	Henderson, Nevada 89052 Attorney for Defendant
28	
	22
1	

RA000750

MOFI

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Erich Martin

Plaintiff/Petitioner

Raina Martin

Defendant/Respondent

Case No. D-15-509045-D

Dept. C

MOTION/OPPOSITION FEE INFORMATION SHEET

Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a final order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically excluded by NRS 19.0312. Additionally, Motions and Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative Session.

Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in the box below.

	The Motion/Opposition being filed with this form is subject to the \$25 reopen fee.
©_OR- \$0	
	 established in a final order. The Motion/Opposition is for reconsideration or for a new trial, and is being filed within 10 days after a final judgment or decree was entered. The final order was entered on Other Excluded Motion (must specify)

Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in the box below.

√\$0	The Motion/Opposition be	ing filed with	n this form	is not subject	to the \$129 or the	e
	\$57 fee because:					

The Motion/Opposition is being filed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. The party filing the Motion/Opposition previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.

-OR- **\$129** The Motion being filed with this form is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order. -OR-

\$57 The Motion/Opposition being filing with this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is an opposition to a motion to modify, adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion and the opposing party has already paid a fee of \$129.

Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step 1 and Step 2.

Т	he t	ota	l fili	ng	fee f	or	the n	not	ion/opi	20	sition I am filing with this form is:		
	\$0	1	\$25		\$57		\$82		\$129		sition I am filing with this form is: \$154	•	
		-	·						J				

Party filing Motion/Opposition:	Ford/& Friedman on behalf of Defendant	Date <u>8/26/2019</u>
Signature of Party or Preparer (How Mct.	

				Electronically Filed
1		DISTRIC	T COURT	8/28/2019 7:46 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
2		CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	Atump. Atu
3			C N D 15 500	045 D
4	Erich M Marti vs.	n, Plaintiff	Case No.: D-15-509	045-D
5	Raina L Marti	n, Defendant.	Department C	
6				
7		<u>NOTICE O</u>	<u>F HEARING</u>	
8	Please b	e advised that the Defendant	t's Motion for Appointi	nent of a Parenting
9	Coordinator, I	ssuance of a Behavior Order, I	For Other Custody Order	s and for Defendant's
10	Attorney's Fee	es and Costs Incurred Herein,	And for Related Relief	in the above-entitled
	matter is set fo	or hearing as follows:		
11	Date:	October 02, 2019		
12	Time:	10:00 AM		
13	Location:	Courtroom 08 Family Courts and Services	Center	
14		601 N. Pecos Road	Center	
15		Las Vegas, NV 89101		
16	NOTE: Unde	er NEFCR 9(d), if a party is	not receiving electronic	service through the
17	Eighth Judic	ial District Court Electronic	c Filing System, the m	ovant requesting a
18	hearing must	serve this notice on the party	by traditional means.	
19		STEVEN	D. GRIERSON, CEO/C	lerk of the Court
20				
21		By: <u>/s/ Desire</u>		
22		Deputy C	lerk of the Court	
23		CERTIFICAT	E OF SERVICE	
24		y that pursuant to Rule 9(b) of		e
25		of this Notice of Hearing was e Eighth Judicial District Court		
26		-		
		By: <u>/s/ Desiree</u>		
27		Deputy Cl	erk of the Court	
28				
		Case Number: D-1	15-509045-D	RA000752

Name: Matthew H. Friedman, Esq.
Address: FORD & FRIEDMAN, 2200 Paseo
Verde Parkway, Suite 350, Henderson 89052
Phone: (702) 476-2400; Fax: (702) 476-2333
Email: mfriedman@fordfriedmanlaw.com
Attorney for Defendant
Nevada State Bar No. 11571

FDF

Electronically Filed 8/28/2019 5:41 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Eighth Judicial District Court

<u>Clark County</u>, Nevada

Erich Martin	Case No. D-15-509045-D
Plaintiff,	Dept. C
vs. Raina Martin	·
Defendant.	

GENERAL FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE FORM

A. Personal Information:

- 1. What is your full name? (first, middle, last) Raina Lynn Martin
- 2. How old are you? 38
 3.What is your date of birth? 3/25/1981
- 4. What is your highest level of education? <u>Bachelor (BS)</u>

B. Employment Information:

1. Are you currently employed/ self-employed? (*Check one*)

🗆 No

 \square Yes If yes, complete the table below. Attached an additional page if needed.

Date of Hire	Employer Name	Job Title	Work Schedule (days)	Work Schedule (shift times)	
7/9/2019	Welch Dentistry	Hygienist	Mon - Fri	7:30-5:00	

2. Are you disabled? (Are check one)

☑ No □ Yes

C. Prior Employment: If you are unemployed or have been working at your current job for less than 2 years, complete the following information.

Prior Employer:	Date of Hire:	Date of Termination:
Reason for Leaving:		

Monthly Personal Income Schedule

A. Year-to-date Income.

As of the pay period ending June 8, 2019 my gross year to date pay is 49,685

B. Determine your Gross Monthly Income.

Hourly Wage

\$49.00	×	40.00	=	\$1,960.00	×	52	=	\$101,920.00	÷	12	_	\$8,493.33
Hourly Wage		Number of hours worked per week		Weekly Income		Weeks		Annual Income		Months		Gross Monthly Income

Annual Salary

Annual Income	÷	12 Months	=	\$0.00 Gross Monthly Income
------------------	---	--------------	---	-----------------------------------

C. Other Sources of Income.

Source of Income	Frequency	Amount	12 Month Average
Annuity or Trust Income			
Bonuses			
Car, Housing, or Other allowance:			
Commissions or Tips:			
Net Rental Income:			
Overtime Pay			
Pension/Retirement:			
Social Security Income (SSI):			
Social Security Disability (SSD):			
Spousal Support			
Child Support	Monthly	\$806.00	\$806.00
Workman's Compensation			
Other:			
Total A	\$806.00		
Total Average Gross Monthly Inco	\$9,299.33		

D. Monthly Deductions

	Type of Deduction	Amount
1.	Court Ordered Child Support (automatically deducted	from paycheck)
2.	Federal Health Savings Plan	
3.	Federal Income Tax	1,384.66
4.	Amount for you: Health Insurance For Opposing Party: For your Child(ren):	0.00
5.	Life, Disability, or Other Insurance Premiums	513.00
6.	Medicare	124.34
7.	Retirement, Pension, IRA, or 401(k)	
8.	Savings	
9.	Social Security	531.64
10.	Union Dues	
11.	Other: (Type of Deduction)	
	Total Monthly Deduction	s (Lines 1-11) 2,553.64

Business/Self-Employment Income & Expense Schedule

A. Business Income:

What is your average gross (pre-tax) monthly income/revenue from self-employment or businesses? \$_____

B. Business Expenses: Attach an additional page if needed.

Type of Business Expense	Frequency	Amount	12 Month Average
Advertising			
Car and truck used for business			
Commissions, wages or fees			
Business Entertainment/Travel			
Insurance			
Legal and professional			
Mortgage or Rent			
Pension and profit-sharing plans			
Repairs and maintenance			
Supplies			
Taxes and licenses (include est. tax payments)			
Utilities			
Other:			
	Total Average B	Total Average Business Expenses	

Personal Expense Schedule (Monthly)

A. Fill in the table with the amount of money **you** spend <u>each month</u> on the following expenses and check whether you pay the expense for you, for the other party, or for both of you.

Expense	Monthly Amount I Pay	For Me	Other Party	For Both
Alimony/Spousal Support				
Auto Insurance				
Car Loan/Lease Payment	650.00	\checkmark		
Cell Phone	192.00	\checkmark		
Child Support (not deducted from pay)				
Clothing, Shoes, Etc	100.00	\checkmark		
Credit Card Payments (minimum due)	400.00	\checkmark		
Dry Cleaning Personal Care	200.00	\checkmark		
Electric	140.00	\checkmark		
Food (groceries & restaurants)	500.00	\checkmark		
Fuel	200.00	\checkmark		
Gas (for home)	50.00	\checkmark		
Health Insurance (not deducted from pay)				
НОА	45.00	√		
Home Insurance (if not included in mortgage)		· · · ·		·····
Home Phone				
Internet/Cable	55.00	√		
Lawn Care				
Membership Fees	35.00	\checkmark		
Mortgage/Rent/Lease	1,800.00	√		
Pest Control				
Pets	50.00	\checkmark		
Pool Service				
Property Taxes (if not included in mortgage)				
Security				
Sewer	20.00	✓		
Student Loans	110.00	✓		
Unreimbursed Medical Expense				
Water	40.00	✓		
Other: Additional Life Insurance	523.00	\checkmark		
Total Monthly Expenses	5,110.00			

Household Information

A. Fill in the table below with the name and date of birth of each child, the person the child is living with, and whether the child is from this relationship. Attached a separate sheet if needed.

	Child's Name	Child's DOB	Whom is this child living with?	Is this child from this relationship?	Has this child been certified as special needs/disabled?
1 st	Nathan Martin	8/24/10	Mom	Yes	No
2 nd				-	
3 rd					
4 th					

B. Fill in the table below with the amount of money you spend each month on the following expenses for each child.

Type of Expense	1 st Child	2 nd Child	3 rd Child	4 th Child
Cellular Phone				
Child Care				
Clothing	100.00			
Education				
Entertainment	200.00			
Extracurricular & Sports	294.00			
Health Insurance (if not deducted from pay)				
Summer Camp/Programs	100.00			
Transportation Costs for Visitation	150.00			
Unreimbursed Medical Expenses	75.00			
Vehicle				
Other:				
Total Monthly Expenses	919.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

C. Fill in the table below with the names, ages, and the amount of money contributed by all persons living in the home over the age of eighteen. If more than 4 adult household members attached a separate sheet.

Name	Age	Person's Relationship to You (i.e. sister, friend, cousin, etc)	Monthly Contribution
Carol Olsen	62	Mother	\$ 0.00

Personal Asset and Debt Chart

	Total Value of Assets (add lines 1-15)	\$0.00	-	\$ 0.00	=	\$ 0.00	
15.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
14.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
13.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
12.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
11.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
10.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
9.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
8.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
7.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
6.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
5.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
4.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
3.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
2.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
1.		\$	-	\$	=	\$ 0.00	
Line	Description of Asset and Debt Thereon	Gross Value		Total Amount Owed		Net Value	Whose Name is on the Account? You, Your Spouse/Domestic Partner or Both

A. Complete this chart by listing all of your assets, the value of each, the amount owed on each, and whose name the asset or debt is under. If more than 15 assets, attach a separate sheet.

B. Complete this chart by listing all of your unsecured debt, the amount owed on each account, and whose name the debt is under. If more than 5 unsecured debts, attach a separate sheet.

Line #	Description of Credit Card or Other Unsecured Debt	Total Amount owed	Whose Name is on the Account? You, Your Spouse/Domestic Partner or Both
1.		\$	
2.		\$	
3.		\$	
4.		\$	
5.		\$	
6.		\$	
Total Un	secured Debt (add lines 1-6)	\$ 0.00	

CERTIFICATION

Attorney Information: Complete the following sentences:

- I (have/have not) <u>HVE</u> retained an attorney for this case.
 As of the date of today, the attorney has been paid a total of \$ 7500 on my behalf.
- 2. As of the date of today, the attorney has been paid a total of $\frac{1000}{1000}$ of my beha
- 3. I have a credit with my attorney in the amount of \$_____.
- 4. I currently owe my attorney a total of \$ 901.00
- 5. I owe my prior attorney a total of \$_____

IMPORTANT: Read the following paragraphs carefully and initial each one.

<u>I</u> swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that I have read and followed all instructions in completing this Financial Disclosure Form. I understand that, by my signature, I guarantee the truthfulness of the information on this Form. I also understand that if I knowingly make false statements I may be subject to punishment, including contempt of court.

I have attached a copy of my 3 most recent pay stubs to this form.

_ I have attached a copy of my most recent YTD income statement/P&L statement to this form, if self-employed.

I have not attached a copy of my pay stubs to this form because I am currently unemployed.

Signature

8/21/19 Date

RA000759

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Ford & Friedman ("the Firm"). I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. I am "readily familiar" with firm's practice of collection and processing documents for mailing. Under the Firm's practice, mail is to be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day as stated below, with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I served the foregoing document described as "Financial Disclosure Form" on this 6th, day of June, 2019, to all interested parties as follows:

- O By mail: Pursuant To NRCP 5(b), I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows;
- O BY FACSIMILE: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, I transmitted a copy of the foregoing document this date via telecopier to the facsimile number shown below;
- BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, I caused to be transmitted a copy of the
 foregoing document this date via the Court's electronic filing system to the electronic mail address shown below;
- x BY US MAIL: I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

Erich Martin 3815 Little Dipper Dr Fort Collins, CO 80528 Attorney for Defendant

An employee of Ford & Friedman

Pay 6/10/19, 6:34 AM						
K May 3 2019						
This Period	Year To Date					
\$3,384.39 Net						
Gross	\$4,459.00					
Year To Date Gross	\$36,724.50					
Hours	91					
Earnings	(Apr 14 - Apr 27)					
Regular (91 Hours)	\$4,459.00					
Holiday	\$0.00					
Deductions						
Federal Income Tax	-\$733.49					
Social Security	-\$276.46					
Medicare	-\$64.66					

Direct Deposits

Pay

6/10/19, 6:34 AM

< May 17 2019						
\$3,585.35 _{Net}						
Gross	\$4,753.00					
Year To Date Gross	\$41,477.50					
Hours	97					
Earnings	(Apr 28 - May 11)					
Regular (97 Hours)	\$4,753.00					
Holiday	\$0.00					
Deductions						
Federal Income Tax	-\$804.05					
Social Security	-\$294.69					
Medicare	-\$68.91					
Direct Deposits						
Direct Deposit - xxxxxxxxx - xxxx	2989					

RA000762

Pay

6/10/19, 6:33 AM

0/10/13, 0.05 AM	
≺ May 31 2019	
This Period	Year To Date
\$3,015.99 _{Net}	
Gross	\$3,920.00
Year To Date Gross	\$45,397.50
Hours	80
Earnings	(May 12 - May 25)
Regular (80 Hours)	\$3,920.00
Holiday	\$0.00
Deductions	
Federal Income Tax	-\$604.13
Social Security	-\$243.04
Medicare	-\$56.84

Direct Deposits