## IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEVADA Electronically Filed

Mar 12 2021 03:49 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual and NICOLE FOLINO, an individual,

Case No. 81252

Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

v.

TODD SWANSON, an individual; TODD SWANSON, Trustee of the SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of unknown origin; LYONS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES I through X; and ROES I through X,

Respondent.

JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual and NICOLE FOLINO, an individual,

Appellant,

v.

TODD an individual: SWANSON, TODD SWANSON, Trustee of the SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of unknown LYONS DEVELOPMENT, origin; LLC. a Nevada limited liability company; DOES I through X; and ROES I through X,

Respondent.

Case No. 81831

## APPEAL FROM THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT THE HONORABLE JIM CROCKETT CASE NO. A-18-782494-C

# JOINT APPENDIX ON APPEAL VOLUME XIX OF XIX INDEX TO APPELLANTS' APPENDIX OF RECORD

### **VOLUMES**

	No.	Date of Item	Description	Vol.	Bates Nos.
L					

### **VOLUME I**

1.	08/05/2006	Appellant's Appendix from	I	JA000001
		Nelson v. Heers Appeal No.		JA000200
		45571 (Part 1)		

### **VOLUME II**

2.	08/05/2006	Appellant's Appendix from	II	JA000201
		Nelson v. Heers Appeal No.		JA000248
		45571 (Part 2)		
3.	10/09/2018	Complaint	II	JA000249
		_		JA000325
4.	10/12/2018	Summons – Todd Swanson	II	JA000326
				JA000327
5.	10/12/2018	Summons – Lyons	II	JA000328
		Development		JA000329
6.	10/12/2018	Summons – Shiraz Trust	II	JA000330
				JA000331

7.	10/23/2018	Declaration of Service –	II	JA000332
		Summons - Lyons		
		Development		
8.	01/04/2019	Acceptance of Service on	II	JA000333
		Behalf of Defendant Todd		JA000334
		Swanson, an individual, Todd		
		Swanson, Trustee of the		
		Shiraz Trust, and Shiraz Trust		
9.	02/04/2019	Defendant's Initial	II	JA000335
		Appearance Fee Disclosure		JA000336
10.	02/04/2019	Defendant's Motion to	II	JA000337
		Dismiss and/or Motion for		JA000349
		More Definite Statement		
11.	02/07/2019	Plaintiff's Request for	II	JA000350
		Exemption from Arbitration		JA000355
12.	02/13/2019	Plaintiffs' Opposition to	II	JA000356
		Defendant's Motion to		JA000368
		Dismiss and/or Motion for		
		More Definite Statement;		
		Countermotion to Amend		
		Complaint		
13.	02/13/2019	[Proposed] First Amended	II	JA000369
		Complaint (Part 1)		JA000407

## **VOLUME III**

14.	02/13/2019	[Proposed] First Amended	III	JA000408
		Complaint (Part 2)		JA000446
15.	03/26/2019	Notice of Re-Hearing re:	III	JA000447
		Defendant's Motion to		JA000449
		Dismiss and/or Motion for		
		More Definite Statement and		
		Plaintiff's Countermotion to		
		Amend the Complaint		

16.	04/02/2019	Defendant's Reply to	III	JA000450
		Plaintiffs' Opposition to		JA000458
		Defendants' Motion to		
		Dismiss and/or Motion For		
		More Definite Statement;		
		Countermotion to Amend the		
		Complaint		
17.	04/18/2019	Notice of Entry of Order on	III	JA000459
		Defendants' Motion to		JA000461
		Dismiss and/or Motion for		
		More Definite Statement;		
		Countermotion to Amend the		
		Complaint		
18.	04/18/2019	Order on Defendants' Motion	III	JA000462
		to Dismiss and/or Motion for		JA000465
		More Definite Statement;		
		Countermotion to Amend the		
		Complaint		
19.	05/20/2019	Defendant's Motion to	III	JA000466
		Dismiss Plaintiff's First		JA000486
		Amended Complaint		
20.	05/21/2019	Clerk's Notice of Hearing re:	III	JA000487
		Defendant's Motion to		JA000488
		Dismiss Plaintiff's First		
		Amended Complaint		
21.	06/05/2019	Plaintiffs' Opposition to	III	JA000489
		Defendants' Motion to		JA000501
		Dismiss Plaintiffs' First		
		Amended Complaint		
22.	07/03/2019	Defendants' Reply to	III	JA000502
		Plaintiffs' Opposition to		JA000507
		Defendants' Motion to		
		Dismiss Plaintiffs' First		
		Amended Complaint		

23.	07/18/2019	Minute Order - Defendant's	III	JA000508
		Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's		
		First Amended Complaint		
24.	08/14/2019	Notice of Entry of Order	III	JA000509
		Defendants' Motion to		JA000511
		Dismiss the Plaintiff's First		
		Amended Complaint		
25.	08/14/2019	Order - Defendants' Motion to	III	JA000512
		Dismiss the Plaintiff's First		JA000525
		Amended Complaint		
26.	9/03/2019	Plaintiff's Second Amended	III	JA000526
		Complaint		JA000595
27.	09/24/2019	Defendant's Motion to	III	JA000596
		Dismiss Plaintiff's Second		JA000621
		Amended Complaint		
28.	09/25/2019	Clerk's Notice of Hearing re:	III	JA000622
		Defendant's Motion to		JA000623
		Dismiss Plaintiff's Second		
		Amended Complaint		

## **VOLUME IV**

29.	10/03/2019	Plaintiffs' Opposition to	IV	JA000624
		Defendants' Motion to		JA000645
		Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second		
		Amended Complaint		
30.	10/31/2019	Defendants' Reply to	IV	JA000646
		Plaintiffs' Opposition to		JA000658
		Defendants' Motion to		
		Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second		
		Amended Complaint		
31.	11/20/2019	Notice of Early Case	IV	JA000659
		Conference		JA000661

32.	11/20/2019	Plaintiffs' Initial List of	IV	JA000662
		Witnesses and Production of		JA000724
		Documents Pursuant to NRCP		
		16.1		
33.	11/26/2019	Notice of Association of	IV	JA000725
		Counsel		JA000727
34.	12/06/2019	Declaration of Service of SDT	IV	JA000728
		COR Rakeman Plumbing, Inc.		
35.	12/09/2019	Affidavit of Service -	IV	JA000729
		Frontsteps		JA000730
36.	12/10/2019	Declaration of Service –	IV	JA000731
		Lyons Development LLV –		
		SDT COR		
37.	12/10/2019	Declaration of Service – The	IV	JA000732
		Summerlin Association COR		
38.	12/10/2019	Declaration of Service – Ivan	IV	JA000733
		Sher Group – SDT COR		
39.	12/19/2019	Declaration of Service –		JA000734
		Americana LLC – SDT COR		
40.	12/19/2019	Declaration of Service – Las	IV	JA000735
		Vegas Homes and Fine Estates		
		– SDT COR		
41.	12/19/2019	Declaration of Service –	IV	JA000736
		Repipe Specialist – SDT COR		
42.	12/19/2019	Declaration of Service – The	IV	JA000737
		Ridges Community Assoc. –		
		SDT		
43.	12/26/2019	Declaration of Service –	IV	JA000737
		Uponor, Inc.		
44.	12/30/2019	Production of Documents -	IV	JA000739
		PLT000054 – PLT000064		JA000749
45.	12/30/2019	Plaintiffs' First Supplemental	IV	JA000750
		Lists of Witnesses and		JA000759
		Production of Documents		
		Pursuant to NRCP 16.1		

46.	01/02/2020	Plaintiff's Notice of Subpoena	IV	JA000760
		Pursuant to NRCP		JA000798
		45(A)(4)(A)		
47.	01/02/2020	Video Taped Deposition	IV	JA000799
		Subpoena – Kelly Contenta		JA000802
48.	01/02/2020	Video Taped Deposition	IV	JA000803
		Subpoena – Ivan Sher		JA000806
49.	01/02/2020	Video Taped Deposition	IV	JA000807
		Subpoena – Nicole Whitfield		JA000810
50.	01/13/2020	Declaration of Service –	IV	JA000811
		Galliher- Rescheduled		
		Videotaped Depo of Swanson,		
		PMK Shiraz and PMK Lyons		
51.	01/13/2020	Declaration of Service –	IV	JA000812
		Young - Rescheduled		
		Videotaped Depo of Swanson,		
		PMK Shiraz and PMK Lyons		
52.	01/14/2020	Plaintiffs' Second	IV	JA000813
		Supplemental List of		JA000822
		Witnesses and Production of		
		Documents Pursuant to NRCP		
		16.1		
53.	01/14/2020	Declaration of Service SDT –	IV	JA000823
		Absolute Closets & Cabinetry		

## **VOLUME V**

54.	01/14/2020	Document Production –	V	JA000824
		PLT000065 – PLT0000156		JA000915
55.	01/14/2020	Galliher – Declaration of	V	JA000916
		Service Rescheduled		
		Depositions of William		
		Gerber and Aaron Hawley		
56.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	V	JA000917
		Jr. (Part 1 Pgs 1-107)		JA001023

## **VOLUME VI**

57.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	VI	JA001024
		Jr. (Part 2)		JA001066
58.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	VI	JA001067
		Jr. (Part 3)		JA001223

## **VOLUME VII**

59.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	VII	JA001224
		Jr. (Part 4)		JA001315
60.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	VII	JA001316
		Jr. (Part 5)		JA001423

## **VOLUME VIII**

61.	01/14/2020	Misc Filing Kirby C. Gruchow	VIII	JA001424
		Jr. (Part 6)		JA001524
62.	01/14/2020	Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental	VIII	JA001525
		List of Witnesses and		JA001534
		Production of Documents		
		Pursuant to NRCP 16.1		
63.	01/14/2020	SDT Decl Srv Video Depo	VIII	JA001535
		Sher Group		
64.	01/14/2020	SDT Decl Srv Video Depo	VIII	JA001536
		Absolute		
65.	01/14/2020	Young – Decl Srv Reschedule	VIII	JA001537
		Depo Aaron Hawley		
66.	01/15/2020	Amd Cert of Srv Plt	VIII	JA001538
		Production of Fourth Supp		JA001540
		List of Witnesses and		
		Documents		
67.	01/15/2020	Decl Srv SDT – EH Designs	VIII	JA001541
68.	01/15/2020	Decl Srv SDT – Infinity		JA001542
		Environmental Srv.		

69.	01/15/2020	Decl Srv SDT – Kelly Cotenta	VIII	JA001543
70.	01/15/2020	Plaintiffs' Fourth	VIII	JA001544
		Supplemental List of		JA001553
		Witnesses and Production of		
		Documents Pursuant to NRCP		
		16.1		
71.	01/23/2020	Declaration of Service re SDT	VIII	JA001554
		and Video Depo – Nicole		
		Whitfield		
72.	01/24/2020	Plaintiffs' Fifth Supplemental	VIII	JA001555
		List of Witnesses and		JA001565
		Production of Documents		
72	02/04/2020	Pursuant to NRCP 16.1	<b>T</b> 7111	14001777
73.	02/04/2020	Notice of Continuance of	VIII	JA001566
		(Zoom Conferencing)		JA001570
7.4	02/07/2020	Deposition of Swanson	X /III	14001571
74.	02/05/2020	Plaintiffs' Sixth Supplemental	VIII	JA001571
		Lists of Witnesses and		JA001582
		Production of Documents		
75	02/07/2020	Pursuant to NRCP 16.1	37111	14001502
75.	02/07/2020	Stipulation and Order for	VIII	JA001583
		Sixty (60) Day Continuing		JA001587
		Production of Plaintiffs' Brief		
76.	02/11/2020	and Hearing Date	VIII	JA001588
/0.	02/11/2020	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Sixty (60) Day	V 111	JA001588 JA001594
		Continuing Production of		JA001334
		Plaintiffs' Brief and Hearing		
		Date		
77.	02/13/2020	Plaintiffs' Supplemental List	VIII	JA001595
'''	02/13/2020	of Witnesses and Production	V 111	JA001535 JA001610
		of Documents		371001010
78.	02/13/2020	Plaintiffs' Supplemental Brief	VIII	JA001611
70.	02/13/2020	to Opposition to Defendants'	4 111	JA001611 JA001634
		Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs'		371001037
		Second Amended Complaint		
		Second Informed Companie		1

## **VOLUME IX**

79.	02/27/2020	Defendants Todd Swanson;	IX	JA001635
		Todd Swanson as, Trustee of		JA001825
		the Shiraz Trust; and Lyon		
		Development, LLC's		
		Supplemental Reply in		
		Support of Motion for		
		Summary Judgment		

## **VOLUME X**

80.	02/27/2020	Defendants Todd Swanson;	X	JA001826
		Todd Swanson as, Trustee of		
		the Shiraz Trust; and Lyon		
		Development, LLC's		
		Supplemental Reply in		
		Support of Motion for		
		Summary Judgment		
81.	03/10/2020	Acceptance of Service –	X	JA001827
		Amended – Videotaped		
		Deposition Subpoena for		
		Ashely Oakes-Lazosky		
82.	03/20/2020	Transcript of Hearing	X	JA001828
		Defendant's Motion to		JA001850
		Dismiss Plaintiff's Second		
		Amended Complaint		
83.	04/07/2020	Transcript of Hearing	X	JA001851
		Defendant's Motion To		JA001868
		Dismiss Plaintiff's Second		
		Amended Complaint		
84.	04/22/2020	Defendants' Motion for	X	JA001869
		Attorney's Fees and Costs		JA001946

85.	04/22/2020	Defendants' Verified	X	JA001947
		Memorandum of Costs and		JA001950
		Disbursements		
86.	04/23/2020	Notice of Hearing re:	X	JA001951
		Defendants' Motion for Fees		
		and Costs		
87.	04/24/2020	Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax	X	JA001952
		Costs		JA002042
88.	04/27/2020	Clerks Notice of Hearing re:	X	JA002043
		Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax		
		Costs		
89.	04/29/2020	Status Check Order re:	X	JA002044
		Continue Hearing Motion to		
		Retax and Motion for Fees		
		and Costs		
90.	05/11/2020	Order Granting Dismissal of	X	JA002045
		Plaintiffs' Second Amended		JA002064
		Complaint		

## **VOLUME XI**

91.	05/11/2020	Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	XI	JA002065 JA002206
92.	05/13/2020	Errata to Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	XI	JA002207 JA002211
93.	05/13/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	XI	JA002212 JA002234
94.	05/26/2020	Notice of Appeal	XI	JA002235 JA002237
95.	05/26/2020	Case Appeal Statement	XI	JA002238 JA002268

96.	06/03/2020	Defendants' Reply in Support	XI	JA002269
		of Motion for Attorney's Fees		JA002288

## **VOLUME XII**

97.	06/04/2020	Notice of Entry re: Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearing For: 1) Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax Costs and 2) Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	XII	JA002289 JA002294
98.	06/04/2020	Stipulation and Order to Continue the Hearing For: 1) Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax Costs and 2) Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs	XII	JA002295 JA002298
99.	06/18/2020	Errata to Case Appeal Statement	XII	JA002299 JA002310
100.	06/25/2020	Transcript of Hearing Defendants' Motion for Fees and Costs and Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax Costs	XII	JA002311 JA002325
101.	08/18/2020	Order Regarding Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees, Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax	XII	JA002326 JA002343
102.	08/21/2020	Notice of Name Change of Law Firm	XII	JA002344 JA002346
103.	08/24/2020	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Defendants' Motion for Attorney's Fees, Verified Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements and Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax	XII	JA002347 JA002368

104.	09/17/2020	Appellants' Case Appeal	XII	JA002369
		Statement		JA002380
105.	09/17/2020	Notice of Appeal	XII	JA002381
				JA002406
106.	09/17/2020	Motion for Stay of Execution	XII	JA002407
		of Judgment on an Order		JA002483
		Shortening Time		

## **VOLUME XIII**

107.	09/24/2020	Stipulation and Order to Stay	XIII	JA002484
		Execution of Judgment		JA002490
108.	09/25/2020	Notice of Entry of Order –	XIII	JA002491
		Stipulation and Order to Stay		JA002497
		Execution of Judgment		
109.	09/30/2020	Notice of Posting Cash Bond	XIII	JA002498
				JA002502
110.	10/07/2020	Notice of Compliance with		JA002503
		Court Order		JA002506
111.	12/08/2020	Plaintiff's Request for	XIII	JA002507
		Transcripts of Proceedings		JA002509
112.	01/24/2019	Swanson Deposition	XIII	JA002510
		Transcript 1/24/2020 (Part 1)		JA002581

## **VOLUME XIV**

Ī	113.	01/24/2019	Swanson Deposition	XIV	JA002582
			Transcript 1/24/2020 (Part 2)		JA002776
			w/Exhibit "1"		

## **VOLUME XV**

114.	01/24/2019	Swanson Deposition	XV	JA002777
		Transcript 1/24/2020		JA002977
		Exhibits 2 – 14		

## **VOLUME XVI**

115.	01/24/2019	Swanson Deposition	XVI	JA002978
		Transcript 1/24/2020		JA003038
		Exhibits 15 – 28		
116.	01/29/2020	Nicole Whitfield Deposition	XVI	JA003039
		Transcript 1/29/2020		JA003194

## **VOLUME XVII**

117.	01/31/2020	Aaron Hawley Deposition	XVI	JA003195
		Transcript 1/31/2020		JA003296
118.	01/31/2020	William Gerber Deposition	XVI	JA003297
		Transcript 1/31/2020		JA003386

## **VOLUME XVIII**

119.	02/03/2020	Ivan Sher Deposition	XVIII	JA003387
		Transcript $\frac{2}{3}/20$		JA003539

## **VOLUME XIX**

120.	02/03/2020	Kelly Contenta Deposition	XIX	JA003540
		Transcript 2/3/2020		JA003583
121.	02/06/2020	Todd Swanson Deposition	XIX	JA003584
		Transcript Volume II 2/6/20		JA003701
122.	01/13/2021	Hearing Transcript of March	XIX	JA003702
		3, 2020 of Defendant's		JA003724
		Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's		
		Second Amended Complaint		
123.	01/13/2021	Hearing Transcript of April 7,	XIX	JA003725
		2020 of Defendants' Motion		JA003742
		to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second		
		Amended Complaint		

124.	01/13/2021	Hearing Transcript of June 20,	XIX	JA003743
		2020 of Defendants' Motion		JA003757
		for Fees and Costs and		
		Plaintiffs' Motion to Retax		
		Costs		

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

When All Case Participants are Registered for the Appellate CM/ECF System

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the Nevada Supreme Court by using the appellate CM/ECF system on March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

I further certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

DATED this 9th day of March 2021.

#### **BLACK & WADHAMS**

/s/ Rusty Graf

Rusty Graf, EsQ Nevada Bar No. 6322 10777 W. Twain Ave., Ste 300. Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Attorneys for Appellants

1	DISTRICT COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3	)
4	JOSEPH FOLINO, an ) individual, and NICOLE ) FOLINO, an individual,, )
5	Plaintiffs, )
6	) vs. ) CASE NO. A-18-782494-C
7	TODD SWANSON, an ) DEPT. NO. XXIV
8	individual; TODD SWANSON, ) Trustee of the SHIRAZ )
9	TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a ) trust of unknown origin; )
10	Nevada limited liability )
11	company; DOES I through X; ) and ROES I through X,, )
12	Defendants. )
14	
15	
16	
17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KELLY CONTENTA
18	MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2020
19	6:29 P.M.
20	AT 10777 WEST TWAIN AVENUE, THIRD FLOOR,
21	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: MICHELLE R. FERREYRA, CCR No. 876 JOB NO. 601855B
25	00B RO. 001033B

```
Page 2
 1
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KELLY CONTENTA,
    taken at 10777 West Twain Avenue, Third Floor,
    Las Vegas, Nevada, on MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2020, at
    6:29 p.m., before Michelle R. Ferreyra, Certified Court
    Reporter, in and for the State of Nevada.
    APPEARANCES:
    For Plaintiffs Joseph Folino and Nicole Folino:
           BLACK & LOBELLO
                RUSTY GRAF, ESQ.
 9
           10777 West Twain Avenue
           Third Floor
           Las Vegas, NV 89135
10
           (702) 869-8801
11
           (702) 869-2669 Fax
           rgraf@blacklobello.law
12
    For Defendants:
13
           THE GALLIHER LAW FIRM
                JEFFREY L. GALLIHER, ESQ.
14
           1850 East Sahara Avenue
           Suite 107
15
           Las Vegas, NV 89104
16
           (702) 735-0049
           (702) 735-0204 Fax
17
           jgalliher@galliherlawfirm.com
18
    For Deponent Ivan Sher:
           DARREN J. WELSH, CHARTERED
19
                DARREN J. WELSH, ESQ.
20
           6765 South Eastern Avenue
           Suite 2
21
           Las Vegas, NV 89119
           (702) 733-9310
22
           (702) 862-4576 Fax
           darren@dwelshlaw.com
23
    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                       Terrell Holloway,
24
                       Litigation Services
25
```

1	INDEX	Page 3
2	WITNESS: KELLY CONTENTA	
3	EXAMINATION	PAGE
4	Examination By Mr. Graf	5
5		
6		
7	INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
8	EXHIBIT	PAGE
9	Exhibit 25 Subpoena for Ms. Contenta's deposition	29
10	Exhibit 26 Subpoena duces tecum for	29
11	Ms. Contenta	23
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 4 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2020;
2	6:29 p.m.
3	-000-
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the beginning of
5	Media No. 1 in the deposition of Kelly Contenta in the
6	matter of Folino, Joseph, versus Swanson, Todd, held at
7	Black & Lobello on February 3, 2020, at 6:29 p.m.
8	The court reporter is Michelle R. Ferreyra.
9	I'm Terrell Holloway, the videographer, an employee of
10	Litigation Services.
11	This deposition is being videotaped at all
12	times unless specified to go off the video record.
13	Would all present please identify themselves,
14	beginning with the witness.
15	THE WITNESS: Kelly Contenta.
16	MR. WELSH: Darren Welsh, attorney on behalf
17	of the deponent.
18	MR. GALLIHER: Jeff Galliher for the
19	defendants.
20	MR. GRAF: And Rusty Graf representing Joseph
21	and Nicole Folino.
22	VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter please
23	swear in the witness.
24	(Court reporter duly administers oath to
25	witness.)

Page 5 1 THE WITNESS: I do. 2 MADAM REPORTER: Thank you. Whereupon, 3 4 KELLY CONTENTA, having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 7 8 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. GRAF: 10 11 Q. Good evening, Ms. Contenta. Have you ever 12 been deposed before? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Okay. 15 If you would, please state your name and spell your last name for the record. 16 Kelly Contenta, C-o-n-t-e-n-t-a. 17 Α. 18 Q. Do you -- have you ever been known by any other names? 19 20 Yeah. Van Fleet. That was my family name. Α. 21 Q. That's your maiden name? 22 Α. (Witness nods.) 23 Q. And do you have a middle name? 24 Α. Ann. With an E or no E? 25 Q.

Page 6 Α. No E. 1 2 Q. Okay. 3 And any other names or nicknames that you've been known as? Α. 5 Huh-uh. 6 Q. Okay. So we're going to -- we're here to take your 7 deposition in a case captioned Joseph and Nicole Folino vs. Todd Swanson and then Shiraz Trust and Lyons Development. 10 11 Are you aware of that? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Well --I know Todd Swanson. I don't know the other 14 Α. stuff. 15 16 No. Are you aware that you're here to be Q. 17 deposed? 18 Α. Oh, yes, of course. 19 Q. Okay. 20 So a deposition is just kind of a formal 21 conversation between you, me, your attorney, counsel for Dr. Swanson, and the court reporter and the videographer. They're here to make sure that we have a 23 clear record of our discussion. 24 25 Α. Okay.

Page 7 1 So along those lines, if at any point in time Q. I ask a question of you that you don't understand, don't hear, or simply would like me to rephrase, please 3 ask me to do so and I will. Okay? 5 Α. Okay. 6 Q. I'm going to assume that if you answer a question, you've answered the question that I've posed. 7 Is that a fair assumption? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. All right. 11 Uh-huhs, huh-uhs, gestures of the hand, nods 12 of the head, they don't transcribe very well. So if at 13 a point during this deposition you say "uh-huh" or "huh-uh," and I ask you, "Is that a 'yes'?" or "Is that 14 a 'no'?" I'm not trying to be rude or curt. I'm just 15 trying to make sure that, again, we have a clear 16 record. 17 Okay? 18 Α. Okay. 19 Q. You're going to have the opportunity, actually, in a day or so, because we're expediting 20 this, to review your deposition transcript. 21 22 One thing that I'll caution you on is if you 23 do make any changes to your transcript, those changes can be brought to the attention of the trier of fact, 24 whether it's a judge or a jury. 25

1	Page 8 Are you aware of that?
2	A. Okay.
3	Q. All right.
4	Is there I'm entitled to your best
5	estimate today.
6	Along the lines of understanding the
7	questions that I ask, if you feel like any of the
8	questions that I ask you will cause you to guess, then
9	please tell me, and I'll rephrase the question in a way
10	that you can at least give me an estimate of your
11	response.
12	The difference between a guess and an
13	estimate would be if I asked you how long this table
14	is, you could say, Well, it's 12 to 15 long; whereas,
15	if I asked you how wide my desk is, you wouldn't know
16	because you've never been in my office.
17	Do you understand the difference?
18	A. I do.
19	Q. Okay.
20	Are you under a doctor's care that would
21	inhibit your ability to testify truthfully here today
22	or understand any of my questions?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Have you taken any intoxicating substances or
25	medications within the last 24 hours which would

1	inhibit y	Page 9 your ability to testify truthfully here today?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	Okay.
4		And it's my understanding that you are
5	represent	ted by counsel?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And Mr. Welsh is representing you here today?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	Okay.
10		Did you review any documents in preparation
11	for your	deposition today?
12	A.	I I printed out the e-mails that I had.
13	Q.	Okay.
14		Did you talk to anybody in preparation for
15	your depo	osition today? And I don't want to know what
16	you talke	ed to about your attorney, but you can tell
17	me	
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	if you met with him.
20	A.	Oh, yeah, last week.
21	Q.	Okay.
22		And did you talk to Mr. Sher prior to your
23	deposition	on today?
24	A.	No.
25	Q.	And did you talk to Dr. Swanson in

Page 10 preparation for your deposition --2 Α. No. 3 Q. -- today? Α. No. 5 Q. Okay. One the other things is that if you'll wait for, like, a count of one or two after I finish my 7 question before you respond, it'll help the court reporter because then she doesn't have to splice our statements in together. Okay? 10 11 Α. (Witness nods.) 12 Q. When was the last time you've had a 13 conversation with Dr. Swanson? It's been a while. He moved, so that -- like 14 during the time we had his house listed, he had already 15 16 moved. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Have you spoken with him since the closing on November 17, 2017? 19 20 Just for access to the house during the time Α. 21 we had it listed. 22 Q. Anything else? Not really. 23 Α. 24 Q. Okay. 25 Have you spoken with Ashley Oakes regarding

Page 11 1 this case --2 Α. I haven't. 3 Q. -- or this deposition? Α. No. When's the last time you talked to Ashley? 5 Q. Just during the times that I was showing the Α. house to them -- to her and her clients. 7 So other than reviewing e-mails regarding Q. this case, what did you do, if anything, in preparation for today? 10 11 Just went through the e-mails. Α. 12 Q. Okay. And those e-mails that you went through, 13 14 where were they? Well, we used to be on a Microsoft program, 15 Α. like Office, and then we switched to Google; so they 16 were all there. 17 18 Q. Okay. All right. 19 What is your date of birth? 20 8/24/67. Α. 21 Q. How long have you lived in Las Vegas? 22 Α. Since 2000. 23 Q. What is your current business address? It is -- I don't know it by hand right now, 24 Α. but I --25

			Page	12
1	Q.	Where are you employed?	rage	12
2	A.	Over at Simply Vegas.		
3	Q.	What is Simply Vegas?		
4	A.	A real estate brokerage.		
5	Q.	Okay.		
6		Are you a salesperson		
7	A.	Yep.		
8	Q.	or broker?		
9	A.	Salesperson.		
10	Q.	Okay.		
11		Do you know the cross streets of where	ı	
12	Simply Ve	egas is?		
13	A.	It's on Durango.		
14	Q.	Durango and what?		
15	A.	I take Alta; so Alta to Durango.		
16	Q.	Okay.		
17		Are you married?		
18	A.	No.		
19	Q.	Have you ever been married?		
20	A.	Yes.		
21	Q.	One time? Two times?		
22	A.	Yes, one time.		
23	Q.	Okay.		
24	A.	Did I do it one time? Yes.		
25	Q.	And to whom were you married?		
1				

1	Α.	Jeff Contenta.
2		What years were you married to Mr. Contenta?
	Q.	
3	A.	It's been a while so well, we moved here,
4	to Vegas	together; so that 2000 until like 2008. I
5	don't kno	ow the exact date.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		Do you have any children?
8	A.	No.
9	Q.	Okay.
10		Where did you go to high school?
11	A.	Mission Viejo, California.
12	Q.	What year did you graduate?
13	A.	'86.
14	Q.	Did you go to college?
15	A.	I went to the Interior Design Institute in
16	Newport E	Beach.
17	Q.	Did you get a degree
18	A.	Uh-huh.
19	Q.	or diploma?
20	A.	I did.
21	Q.	And what type of degree do you obtain there?
22	A.	It's just an AA, associate's degree.
23	Q.	Any other higher education that you went to?
24	A.	Nothing specific.
25	Q.	Okay.

1		Page 14
		What year did you obtain the associate's
2	degree?	
3	A.	Honestly, I don't remember the exact year,
4	but I was	s in my late 20s; so I had had time off in
5	between t	hat high school.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		'90?
8	A.	Ish, yeah.
9	Q.	'90-ish, okay. All right.
10		And what brought you to Las Vegas in 2000?
11	A.	It was Jeff and I we met in Arizona, and
12	we moved	to Vegas together.
13	Q.	Where in Arizona were you living?
14	A.	Phoenix.
15	Q.	So let's talk about you currently work at
16	Simply Ve	gas?
17	A.	Uh-huh.
18	Q.	Where did is that a "yes"?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	That's all right. Everybody does it. I'm
21	bad at it	: <b>.</b>
22		Where did you work prior to Simply Vegas?
23	A.	At Berkshire Hathaway.
24	Q.	Okay.
25		And did you work in the Sher Group?

Page 15 1 Α. I did. 2 Q. Okay. 3 How long were you in the Sher Group? 4 Α. I worked there twice; so more recently it was two years. And then prior to that, I worked for them when Florence was still alive. 7 Q. Okay. So like '18 to '19? 2018 to 2019? 9 Α. Right. 10 Q. Okay. 11 And then --12 Α. No, actually '17. '17? Okay. 13 Q. 14 And you were a real estate agent? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. 17 How long have you been a licensed real estate 18 agent? My first job was at a real estate company; so 19 that was in 2000. 20 21 Q. Here in town? 22 Α. Uh-huh. Were you licensed as a real estate agent in 23 Q. Arizona? 24 I had got my license, but I never 25 Α. I was.

1	prostigod	Page 16
	practiced	
2	Q.	When did you obtain your license in Arizona?
3	A.	'99.
4	Q.	When did you obtain your Nevada license?
5	A.	I worked as an assistant for a while, so it
6	had to ha	ve been like 2004 or 2005, maybe earlier. I
7	can't rec	all.
8	Q.	Has your Nevada license ever been suspended
9	or revoked.	
10	A.	Never.
11	Q.	Okay.
12		Have you ever been disciplined by the board?
13	A.	Never.
14	Q.	Okay.
15		And you're a real estate salesperson?
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	And you don't hold a broker's license?
18	A.	I do not.
19	Q.	Do you hold any other professional licenses?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	Okay.
22		Do you have a contractor's license?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Like an interior design
25	A.	No.

Page 17 1 Q. -- contractor's license? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Okay. What happened to your Arizona license, real estate license? 5 6 Α. Nothing. I took the courses. I got licensed, but I never joined a brokerage. 8 And did you just let it lapse, or did you Q. surrender it --Α. Yes. Yeah. 10 11 Q. -- or what did you do? 12 Α. I just let it lapse. 13 Q. So prior to Simply Vegas, who did -- and, actually -- strike that. 14 15 So you worked for the Sher Group prior to 16 Simply Vegas --17 (Witness nods.) Α. -- in about 2017? 18 Q. 19 Α. Uh-huh. 20 So prior to the Sher Group --Q. 21 Α. I was with Luxury Homes of Las Vegas with Ken 22 Roman. 23 Q. And how long were you with him? 24 Α. Maybe three years. I can't recall exactly. So about 2014 to 2017? 25 Q.

		Page 18
1	A.	Yeah.
2	Q.	Okay.
3	A.	Approximately.
4	Q.	Yeah. And it's not like a memory test or
5	anything	like that.
6	A.	Right. Yeah.
7	Q.	I just need your best estimate here.
8	A.	Uh-huh.
9	Q.	So prior to Luxury Homes, who where did
10	you work?	•
11	A.	I was with Florence and Ivan Sher.
12	Q.	Okay.
13		And how long were you with them that time?
14	A.	Probably two years.
15	Q.	Okay.
16		So that gets us to about 2012?
17	A.	(Witness nods.)
18	Q.	So prior to that, who were you working with?
19	A.	I was with Realty One.
20	Q.	Who was your broker?
21	A.	It was Dee Tina at the time.
22	Q.	Can you spell the last name?
23	A.	T-i-n-a.
24	Q.	Okay.
25		How long were you there?

		Page 19
1	A.	Three years.
2	Q.	Okay.
3		And same thing: You were a Realtor there?
4	A.	Yep.
5	Q.	Okay. So 2009, I guess?
6	A.	Uh-huh.
7	Q.	So prior to Realty One, who were you with?
8	A.	I worked with Red Rock Realty, but I think
9	they char	nged the name.
10	Q.	Who was the broker?
11	A.	Jill Bernhardt.
12	Q.	How long were you with her?
13	A.	Almost nine years.
14	Q.	Okay.
15		So that was the bulk of the rest of your
16	A.	Correct.
17	Q.	real estate experience?
18	A.	Uh-huh.
19	Q.	Okay.
20		What what is your primary area of
21	practice?	?
22	A.	Golf course properties.
23	Q.	Okay.
24		And those are that's residential?
25	A.	Yes, exclusively.
1		

1	Q.	Page 20 So how many homes do you think you sold in
2	Las Vegas	since 2005 or '06, when you got your license?
3	A.	I don't even have a guess as far as the
4	number, b	ecause mostly I worked on a team, so I would
5	show the	houses. And we would always have escrow
6	coordinate	ors do the transactions, so I really never
7	counted.	
8	Q.	Okay.
9	A.	But we did a lot of transactions, countless.
10	Q.	Okay. All right.
11		So do you do you have continuing education
12	requireme	nts as a Realtor?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	And what are they?
15	A.	Every other year, 24 hours.
16	Q.	Okay.
17		When you were employed this last time with
18	the Sher	Group
19	A.	(Witness nods.)
20	Q.	is there a did you have a written
21	contract?	
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Okay.
24		And how were you compensated?
25	A.	I was paid a salary. And then I would get

Page 21 myself and another person -- she was another showing agent. She and I would split 10 percent of the sale. 3 Q. So explain that to me real quick. Ten percent of the sale, you're talking about so if there was a 3 percent commission that was going to get paid, you guys would split 5 percent each --7 Α. Correct. -- of the total commission? Q. After the -- right, Berkshire Hathaway part. 9 Α. 10 Q. Okay. All right. 11 Did you have a written manual for the 12 policies and procedures? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. 15 Did anybody ever tell you how to fill out a seller's real property disclosure form? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Some other background questions: Have you ever been convicted of a crime? 20 21 Α. No. 22 Q. And did you ever serve in the military? 23 Α. No. When's the first time that you met Dr. Todd 24 ο. Swanson? 25

Page 22 2008 or '09. 1 Α. 2 Q. Did you represent him on the sale or purchase of a home? I did. Α. Do you know which home that was? 5 Q. It was a -- like an investment home out in Α. the Vistas; so I don't remember the exact address. 7 How many times have you represented Q. Dr. Swanson regarding the sale or purchase of a home? Well, that investment house and then when he 10 Α. sold that investment house, and then there was another 11 12 investment house that he had me sell exclusively, just 13 with me. 14 ο. What do you mean by that? Well --15 Α. 16 Were there other homes that you've worked ο. 17 on that --Right, that weren't my listing. 18 Α. 19 Q. So how many other homes did you work on as to purchase or sale by Dr. Todd Swanson? 20 Two others. 21 Α. 22 Q. Are you including 42 Meadowhawk as --23 Α. (Witness nods.) 24 ο. -- the latter category or the former

category?

25

Page 23 I did not represent him exclusively on that 1 Α. 2 one. On 42 Meadowhawk? 3 Q. I did not. Α. Okay. All right. 5 Q. So you've worked on at least five different home purchase or sales with Dr. Swanson? 8 Α. Uh-huh. Approximately, yeah. 9 Q. Okay. Did you ever have a personal relationship 10 11 with Dr. Swanson? I did. 12 Α. 13 Q. When was that? 14 Α. 2009, on and off. 15 Q. For how long? 16 Probably eight years. Α. 17 Q. Okay. So until -- and that -- were you still 18 involved in a personal relationship with Dr. Swanson in 20 2017 --21 Α. No. 22 Q. -- during the relevant time period --23 Α. No. -- of this sale? 24 Q. We were friendly. 25 Α.

Page 24 1 Q. Okay. So when -- when did you end -- when was the 2 relationship ended? 3 Well, we ended a couple of times; so it 4 wasn't consistent. 6 Q. Okay. When's the last time that you ended the relationship prior to -- so the relevant time period for this transaction appears to be like August of 2017 until -- the closing is November 17, 2017. 10 11 So in relation to that time period --12 Α. (Witness nods.) 13 Q. -- how --14 Α. We were friendly. And how many months prior or years prior had 15 Q. you ended? 16 17 Α. It was a year or two. 18 Q. Did you ever reside at the 42 Meadowhawk 19 address? 20 I did, but it wasn't long. Α. 21 Q. Was it during the pendency of the escrow? 22 Α. No. Well, before that. 23 ο. So --24 Α. And I never really resided there. things there, but --25

Page 25 1 Q. You would stay there? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Overnight? Α. Uh-huh. In -- during the pendency of this escrow, 5 Q. from -- I think it's like 10/22 to 11/17 of 2017, did you ever stay --Α. Never. 8 -- there during that time period? 9 ο. 10 Α. No. 11 Q. What about after the closing? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Okay. Did you -- you didn't have a direct agent 14 relationship with Dr. Swanson during -- or for this 15 transaction, the 42 Meadowhawk; fair statement? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. So what time frame did you live at 42 Meadowhawk? 19 I can't recall the exact time. It wasn't 20 Α. that long. 21 22 Q. So it's my understanding he purchased -- or, 23 actually, the home was built and completed --24 Α. Right. -- in January of 2015. 25 Q.

Page 26
1 A. Okay.

- Q. And then this transaction begins
- 3 approximately August of 2017.
- 4 So when during that time period did you
- 5 reside there?
- 6 A. I would say maybe in early to the middle, but
- 7 not in the latter years.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 Were you aware of any other water leaks that
- 10 occurred at the residence during the time that you
- 11 lived there?
- 12 A. There was one in the master bath. I want to
- 13 say that the sink below -- the -- one of the main sinks
- 14 in the master bath.
- 15 Q. So August 2nd or August 3rd of 2015 is when
- 16 that event occurred, according to the documentation
- 17 that's presented in this case.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Does that help to refresh your recollection
- 20 as --
- 21 A. That sounds right.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 So during that leak, you lived at the
- 24 residence?
- 25 A. I don't think I lived there, but I was seeing

Page 27 him. 1 2 Q. Okay. 3 So where did you live during August of 2015? Α. Well, I've lived at Peachy Canyon, which are condos, twice, so I'm not sure of the exact years. 5 Did you say PT Canyon? 6 Q. 7 Peachy Canyon --Α. Q. Peachy? -- in Summerlin, yeah. Α. 10 Q. Okay. 11 So other than the leak that was underneath 12 the sink in the master bathroom, any other leaks that 13 you observed? 14 Α. No. 15 Did you ever observe the leak in the master Q. bathroom in November of 2017? 16 17 I did not. Α. 18 Q. Do you know who found that? 19 Α. No. 20 Do you know -- when did you learn of the leak Q. 21 in the master bathroom in 2017? 22 Α. Later on, but nothing specific. Like nobody 23 kept me posted on the transactions because we just show 24 the houses, and then we move on to the next one. So you weren't involved in the transaction 25 Q.

Page 28

- 1 after the house was put in escrow --
- A. No.
- 3 Q. -- for the Folinos?
- 4 A. No. I mean, I met an appraiser or -- like
- 5 whoever needed to go back to the house, I would try to
- 6 arrange it.
- 7 Q. Did you ever assist -- excuse me, assist the
- 8 Folinos in going through house during the pendency of
- 9 the escrow?
- 10 A. If they actually requested a time for them to
- 11 come back, I would try to accommodate.
- 12 Q. Did that happen in this escrow?
- 13 A. During the escrow? Yes.
- 14 Q. Did that happen more than once?
- 15 A. Probably. Usually, buyers -- once they go
- 16 into contract, they want to be there during the
- 17 inspections, they want to be there during other, you
- 18 know, times, just to see the house again.
- 19 Q. And so what do you recall as to the number of
- 20 times that the Folinos went to the house?
- 21 A. Twice.
- Q. Did Dr. Swanson ever ask you how to fill out
- 23 the seller's real property disclosure form?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. So we are here for your deposition pursuant

```
Page 29
   to a subpoena.
 1
 2
              MR. GRAF: And let's mark this as -- what are
    we at? Let's continue them.
 4
              MADAM REPORTER:
                               Twenty --
              MR. GRAF: 25.
 5
                    (Exhibit 25 marked.)
 6
    BY MR. GRAF:
 7
              We already went through 1 through 24 with
         Q.
    Ivan --
10
         Α.
              Okay.
11
         Q.
              -- so we're going to mark this as 25 for
12
    purposes of these depositions.
13
              So then that is the subpoena for your
    deposition. You were served with that document?
14
15
              Someone did come to my house.
         Α.
16
         Q.
              Okay.
              And then when -- I asked them, like, who is
17
         Α.
    it. Because it was kind of in the morning and I
18
    wasn't, like, expecting anybody. He left it at the
20
    door.
21
         Q.
              Okay.
22
              So then in addition to that --
                    (Exhibit 26 marked.)
23
    BY MR. GRAF:
24
              You've been handed what we've marked as
25
         Q.
```

Page 30

- 1 Exhibit 26 for purposes of this deposition, subpoena
- 2 duces tecum asking you for records and materials.
- A. Okay.
- 4 Q. And beginning at the bottom the Page 3
- 5 through the middle of Page 4, there's six line items as
- 6 to categories of documents that were requested.
- 7 And you were served with this subpoena. Is
- 8 that an accurate statement, Ms. Contenta?
- 9 A. Yeah. It was left at my door.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- Did you make a diligent effort to search for
- 12 those documents that are described in those categories?
- 13 A. I did. My phone died in October of '19; so
- 14 when I got my new phone, they just shipped me another
- 15 one. And I couldn't get any of my -- when I did the
- 16 cloud thing, it just didn't -- it erased my music
- 17 library and any and all text messages.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- But in terms of, like, e-mails, that sort of
- 20 thing, did you make a diligent search?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 And on what server or provider did you make
- 24 that search for?
- A. Everything was on the Berkshire Hathaway.

Page 31 1 Q. Okay. 2 When did you leave the employ of the Sher 3 Group? 4 Α. A week ago, maybe. 5 Q. Okay. So you were still in the employ of the Sher 7 Group --8 Α. Yes. 9 -- when you made this search? ο. Α. Uh-huh. 10 11 Q. Is that a "yes"? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. All right. So all of the documents that you found, you 14 turned over to your counsel? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. And then is it your understanding that 18 they've been produced in this case? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. Okay. 22 The leak that occurred in November of 2017 in 23 the master bath at 42 Meadowhawk, did you ever -- or were you ever present during any of the repairs for 25 that leak?

Page 32 Α. I was not. 1 2 Q. Did you ever look to see what the source of that leak was? Α. No. Did you ever ask anybody as to what the 5 Q. source of that leak was? Maybe in passing, but I never specifically 7 Α. asked. So who would you have asked in passing? 9 ο. Honestly, I don't think I did. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Did you ever talk to anybody at Rakeman Plumbing about that leak? 13 14 Α. No. Did you talk to anybody at Rakeman Plumbing 15 Q. about the leak in the master bathroom in August of --16 17 No. Α. -- 2015. No? 18 Q. 19 Α. No. 20 Were you present when they did any of the Q. 21 repairs? 22 Α. No. 23 ο. Were you aware that Rakeman Plumbing did the repairs in August of 2015? 24 25 Α. No.

Page 33 1 Did you ever have any discussions with Q. Dr. Swanson about any leaks in the house prior to August of 2015? 3 Α. No. Were you aware of a leak that had occurred in 5 Q. the single car garage of 42 Meadowhawk? 7 Not specifically. Α. What do you mean by that? Q. It wasn't anything directly towards me, but I thought I had heard someone say that -- no. I -- I 10 11 didn't. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Did Dr. Swanson ever tell you about a leak 14 that occurred in the single car garage in 2015? He may have, but I wasn't really -- I didn't 15 Α. go into any detail. 16 17 Q. Okay. 18 Were you aware of a leak that occurred in the 19 house in February of 2017? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. Do you ever recall having any conversation with Dr. Swanson about any repairs of water leaks at 23 that 42 Meadowhawk residence? 24 Α. No. Did you discuss any remodeling or remediation 25 Q.

Page 34

- 1 that occurred at the La Madre residence that
- 2 Dr. Swanson was moving into after 42 Meadowhawk?
- A. No.
- Q. Did you ever work for Dr. Swanson as an
- 5 interior designer?
- 6 A. Yeah. I mean, when he built the house with
- 7 Blue Heron, I went with him to all the meetings, the
- 8 design meetings.
- 9 Q. The 42 Meadowhawk?
- 10 A. During preconstruction.
- 11 Q. Did you ever have any conversations with
- 12 Ashley Oakes regarding any -- any of the leaks at
- 13 the --
- 14 A. None.
- 15 Q. -- 42 Meadowhawk?
- 16 A. None.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 Did you ever have a conversation with Ashley
- 19 Oakes about the leak in November of 2017?
- 20 A. No. I mean, she may have mentioned it, but
- 21 by then I was already out of it.
- Q. Did you know Ashley Oakes prior to this
- 23 transaction?
- 24 A. Just as an agent. I have never worked with
- 25 her before.

1	0	Page 35
1	Q.	Okay.
2		Was she a personal friend
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	or anybody that you would socialize with?
5	A.	No.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		What about her husband?
8	A.	No.
9	Q.	Do you know who her husband is?
10	A.	Vaguely.
11	Q.	John Oakes, I believe.
12	A.	I think he was there one time, but I don't
13	know him.	
14	Q.	Okay.
15		Did you ever assist Dr. Swanson in finding
16	any plumb	ers or subcontractors
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	to do any of the repairs?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Okay.
21		Do you know how long the repairs took in
22	two thous	sand and August of 2015?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	You don't know if they took a period of weeks
25	or days o	or

Page 36 Α. I have no idea. 1 2 Q. Okay. 3 And, again, you didn't talk to anybody at Rakeman Plumbing regarding the repairs in 2015? 5 Α. No. Q. Did you ever ask anybody as to what the cause of the leak was in 2015? Α. No. 8 ο. So you were employed by the Sher Group in 10 2017? 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. It wasn't called the Sher Group back then, though, or was it? 13 I think it was, right after -- yeah. Right 14 around that time is when he did the name change. 15 16 Q. Okay. 17 Ms. -- Ms. Shapiro had previously passed? 18 Correct. Α. 19 Q. Okay. 20 What did you specifically do in regards to 21 the 42 Meadowhawk transaction? 22 I fielded any inquiries from any agent who 23 wanted to see the house, and I worked with his assistants, because I don't think he was living there at the time full time, about getting access to the 25

1	house.	Page 37
		3-4-h bii-bb3
2	Q.	And who was his assistant?
3	A.	Nicky.
4	Q.	Okay.
5		Nicole Whitfield?
6	A.	I don't remember her last name but probably.
7	Q.	Did you ever talk to Nicky about any of the
8	water le	eaks at the residence?
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Okay.
11		Did you ever talk to the Folinos during the
12	escrow?	
13	A.	Not directly. They weren't our clients. I
14	mean, I	was polite.
15	Q.	So when they were you present when the
16	Folinos	first toured the house?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Okay.
19		Did they ever ask you any questions about the
20	house?	
21	A.	Not specifically.
22	Q.	What do you mean by that?
23	A.	I told them when it was built, who built it.
24	Q.	Okay.
25		Did Ivan Sher ever ask you about anything

Page 38

- 1 with the house?
- MR. GALLIHER: Objection to form.
- 3 BY MR. GRAF:
- Q. Did Ivan Sher ever ask you about the house?
- A. Nothing specific.
- 6 Q. Did Mr. Sher know that you had lived in the
- 7 house at some point in time?
- 8 MR. GALLIHER: Speculation.
- 9 THE WITNESS: No, probably not.
- 10 BY MR. GRAF:
- 11 Q. So did you ever tell him that you lived in
- 12 the house?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. So we'll look at in the -- in the pile in
- 15 front of you, I think it's Exhibit 7. Yeah.
- 16 In the pile in front of you, on the little
- 17 stickers there's going to be an Exhibit 7, and it's the
- 18 SRPD.
- 19 MR. WELSH: Try to keep them in order --
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 21 MR. WELSH: -- so they don't --
- 22 BY MR. GRAF:
- Q. Have you ever seen that document before?
- 24 A. I think I was cc'd on the e-mail, but I never
- 25 opened it.

Page 39 1 Q. Okay. 2 Did Dr. Swanson ever ask you to assist in preparing this document? Α. No. Were you present when Dr. Swanson prepared 5 Q. this document? 7 Α. No. Did Dr. Swanson ever tell you anything about Q. this document or his responses on the document? No. I just remember seeing a chain of 10 Α. e-mails about the paperwork. 11 12 Q. Did Dr. Swanson ever seek your advice as to how to fill the document out? 13 14 Α. No. 15 Did you ever have any conversations with Q. 16 Dr. Swanson about the Seller's Real Property --17 No. Α. -- Disclosure form? Is that a "no"? 18 Q. 19 Α. No. 20 Are you aware of any other litigation that Q. 21 Dr. Swanson was involved in? 22 Α. No. 23 ο. Were you aware that Dr. Swanson had previously been involved in mold litigation --25 Α. No.

Page 40 1 -- regarding another house? Q. Huh-uh. 2 Α. 3 Q. Is that a "no"? Α. No. And in particular, a house that was located 5 Q. on Planting Fields --7 Α. No. -- somewhere in -- okay. Q. Did Dr. Swanson ever tell you that any of his children had experienced health issues as a result of 10 11 exposure to mold? 12 Α. No. 13 ο. Did Dr. Swanson ever ask you to prepare or -- or sign an affidavit? 14 15 No. Α. 16 Have you ever talked to Dr. Swanson about Q. this litigation? 17 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Have you ever talked to Dr. Swanson about the situation created by the repairs for the leak in 20 21 November of 2017? 22 MR. GALLIHER: Object to the form. 23 THE WITNESS: Nothing specific. BY MR. GRAF: 24 What, in general, did you discuss with him? 25 Q.

		5 44
1	A.	Page 41 I think just in general that honestly, I
2	don't red	call anything specific.
3	Q.	Do you know when, approximately, you had this
4	conversat	cion that you don't recall?
5	A.	It had to have been during the transaction
6	Q.	Okay.
7	A.	if at all.
8	Q.	And are you still on friendly terms with
9	Dr. Swans	son?
10	A.	Yeah.
11	Q.	Okay.
12	A.	I mean, it's been a while, but yeah.
13		MR. GRAF: I don't have anything else.
14		MR. GALLIHER: I don't have any questions for
15	her.	
16		MR. GRAF: Thank you.
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.
18		VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the video record at 7:15.
19		(Thereupon, the videotaped deposition
20		concluded at 7:15 p.m.)
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1			CERTIFICA	ATE OF	DEPONENT	Page 42
2	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE		REASON	
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13			* *	* * *	*	
14						
15	I, KELL	Y CONTE	NTA, depone	ent he	rein, do hereby	certify
16	and dec	lare un	der the per	nalty	of perjury the w	vithin and
17	foregoi	ng trans	scription t	o be	my deposition in	n said
18	action;	that I	have read,	corr	ected and do her	ceby affix
19	my sign	ature to	o said depo	sitio	n.	
20						
21						
22			K	STTN C	ONTENTA, Deponer	<u></u>
23			KI		ominin, Deponer	
24						
25						

1	Page 43 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA )
3	COUNTY OF CLARK )  I, Michelle R. Ferreyra, a Certified Court
4	Reporter licensed by the State of Nevada, do hereby
5	certify: That I reported the videotaped deposition of
6	KELLY CONTENTA, commencing on Monday, February 3, 2020,
7	at 6:29 p.m.; that prior to being deposed, the witness
8	was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth; that I
9	thereafter transcribed my said stenographic notes into
10	written form; that the typewritten transcript is a
11	complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said
12	stenographic notes; and that a request has not been
13	made to review the transcript.
14	I further certify that I am not a relative,
15	employee, or independent contractor of counsel or of
16	any of the parties involved in the proceeding, nor a
17	person financially interested in the proceeding, nor do
18	I have any other relationship that may reasonably cause
19	my impartiality to be questioned.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my
21	office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this
22	5th day of February, 2020. Archelle R. Ferruja
23	Thehell of terregra
24	MICHELLE R. FERREYRA, CCR No. 876
25	

Litigation Services | 800-330-1112 www.litigationservices.com

1	Page 44 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE
2	Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal
3	and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the
4	protection and security of patient health information. Notice is
5	herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal
6	proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health
7	information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and
8	disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access,
9	maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to
10	electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/
11	dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing
12	patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws.
13	No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health
14	information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy
15	Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties'
16	attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will
17	make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health
18	information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates,
19	including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and
20	disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and
21	applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is
22	recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of
23	transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and
24	disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.
25	All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)

# In the Matter Of:

Folino, Joseph et al. vs Swanson, Todd et al.

# TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II

February 06, 2020

Job Number: 604719

1	DISTRICT COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3	* * * * *
4	
5	JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual and NICOLE FOLINO, an
6	individual,
7	Plaintiffs, Case No. A-18-782494-C
8	vs. Dept. No. XXIV
9	TODD SWANSON, an individual; TODD SWANSON, Trustee of the
10	SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of unknown origin; LYONS
11	DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES
12	I through X; and ROES I through X,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	
16	VIDEO TELECONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF
17	TODD SWANSON, M.D.
18	VOLUME II
19	Taken on February 6, 2020
20	at 9:34 a.m.
21	By a Certified Court Reporter
22	Las Vegas, Nevada
23	Stenographically reported by:
24	Heidi K. Konsten, RPR, CCR Nevada CCR No. 845 - NCRA RPR No. 816435 JOB NO. 604719

# TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II - 02/06/2020

1	Page 264 Video teleconference deposition of TODD
2	SWANSON, M.D., Volume 2, stenographically taken at
3	10777 West Twain, Las Vegas, Nevada, on Thursday,
4	February 6, 2020, at 9:34 a.m., before Heidi K.
5	Konsten, Certified Court Reporter in and for the
6	State of Nevada.
7	
8	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
9	For the Plaintiffs:
10	RUSTY GRAF, ESQ.
11	Black & LoBello 10777 West Twain Avenue
12	Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
13	(702) 869-8801 (702) 869-2669 Fax
14	For the Defendants:
15	JEFFREY L. GALLIHER, ESQ.
16	Galliher Legal, P.C. 1850 East Sahara Avenue
17	Suite 107 Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
18	(702) 735-0049
19	* * * * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II - 02/06/2020

1		INDEX	Page 265
2			Page
3	TODD SWANSON	I, M.D.	
4	Examination	by Mr. Graf	266
5		by Mr. Galliher	337
6	Examination	_	342
7		27	
8		* * * *	
9			
10		EXHIBITS	
11	No.	Description	Page
12	Exhibit 29	May 21, 2015, e-mail string	269
13	Exhibit 30	May 26, 2015, e-mail string	g 277
14	Exhibit 31	September 3, 2015, e-mail	282
15		string	
16	Exhibit 32	October 13, 2015, e-mail string	291
17	Exhibit 33	October 13, 2015, e-mail string	295
18	Exhibit 34	_	a 297
19	Exhibit 35	November 24, 2017, Infinity	
20		Environmental Services Report	
21	Exhibit 36	December 7, 2017, Infinity	302
22		Environmental Services report	
23	Exhibit 37	_	311
24	24112010 01	Swanson, M.D.	<b>511</b>
25		* * * *	

	Page 266
1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
2	Thursday, February 6, 2020
3	9:34 a.m.
4	DEPOSITION OF TODD SWANSON, M.D.
5	* * * * *
6	(The court reporter was relieved of her
7	duties under NRCP 30(b)4.)
8	
9	TODD SWANSON, M.D.,
10	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
11	testified as follows:
12	
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. GRAF:
15	Q Doctor, thank you for appearing
16	electronically this morning for your deposition.
17	You're aware that you were just sworn in
18	and that's the same oath you took last week when
19	we deposed you here in my office?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. We're taking your deposition via
22	Zoom, electronic means, so the normal admonitions
23	where I say wait until I finish my question, that
24	sort of thing, are probably even more important
25	today so that you hear the end of my question and
1	

Page 267

- 1 so that you have the ability to complete your
- 2 answers. We'll both have to be a little more
- 3 diligent.
- 4 I don't have a lot of exhibits to go
- 5 through with you this morning, so hopefully it
- 6 won't take too much time.
- 7 A Okay.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you want me to go through the
- 9 admonitions again, or are you comfortable?
- 10 A No, I think I'm comfortable with them.
- MR. GRAF: All right. The only other
- 12 thing that I want to put on the record with Jeff
- 13 is the fact that -- I'm not positive what the
- 14 statute says, but we've come to an agreement that
- 15 the court reporter will be here in my office. And
- 16 you are in Toronto, Canada, but she has seen you
- 17 before and she knows that you are who you are.
- 18 Is that the same stipulation that you
- 19 have, Mr. Galliher?
- 20 MR. GALLIHER: Yes, I'll stipulate that
- 21 he is who he is and that he remain under oath
- 22 during today's session.
- MR. GRAF: And that it's okay that the
- 24 court reporter is here in my office as opposed to
- 25 with him in Toronto, Canada?

Page 283 1 correct? 2 Q Correct, Doctor. Would it have been sent to me in 3 Α sequence? Just trying to find out. 4 I don't know. 5 O 6 Α Okay. Well, I'll find it. Oh, here we It was the last one sent. 7 And it is -- we've marked it as 31, and 9 it is Bates-stamped 321 through 328. And I think it's actually two different e-mail strings, but 10 11 we'll talk about them jointly. 12 Α Okay. 13 Okay. So if we start on 325, the bottom 14 of 325 and 326 -- top of 326, there's an e-mail 15 from Henry Regnault -- he's the Blue Heron 16 supervisor -- to you. 17 Α Yes. 18 So was there a problem with the drywall 19 in the master closet subsequent to the August 2nd 20 or 3rd water leak? 21 I don't know that it was the master 22 closet, because I don't recall there actually 23 being any work done in the master closet. But I think there were some drywall issues that I 24 pointed out that they were going to come in and 25

```
Page 284
     fix just as a general -- you know, as a part of
 1
 2
     the final list of things to be completed.
                                                 I don't
     know which -- which area that specifically refers
 3
 4
     to.
               Okay. So that's August 14.
 5
          Q
               Then if you look above on 325, there's
 6
 7
     an e-mail from you.
               On three -- page 325?
 8
          Α
 9
               Yes.
               From myself. Going up into 324?
10
     that the one? Oh, I -- I don't know if that's all
11
12
     one e-mail chain or not, but I -- I see the e-mail
13
     on 325.
14
               So it says, "Thanks, Henry. They will
15
     also need to cut a new access door to paint for
16
     the garage."
17
               Do you see that?
               Yes.
18
          Α
19
          Q
               What is that referencing, Doctor?
20
               I think the recirculating pump in the
21
     garage was right next to the access door. And so
22
     they were going to just cut a new piece of --
23
     basically a piece of drywall. They were going to
     cut a new piece of drywall to -- to put in
24
25
     place --
```

1	Page 285 Q Okay.
2	A rather than to try and, you know I
3	don't know what they would have to do, repaint it
4	or whatever, the piece that was there.
5	Q So this next paragraph said "My
6	assistant told me that Robert with Rakeman
7	Plumbing was there a couple of days ago putting
8	the carpet back in my closet. I hope that the
9	original carpet is okay to put back. At one time,
10	you told me that Cloud Carpet would replace the
11	carpet."
12	So in your previous deposition, you had
13	said that the original carpet was put back in. Is
14	that does that statement in that e-mail help to
15	refresh your recollection as to whether or not
16	that carpet was put back in?
17	A The original carpet was replaced was
18	put back in, meaning replaced, as in it was not
19	replenished with new carpet; it was replaced back
20	in the position where it originally was.
21	Q Okay. So the next paragraph says
22	A In other words, I never had any new
23	carpet put into that bath closet, that I'm
24	aware of, that I can recall.
25	Q Okay. The next paragraph says "I have

Page 286 1 contacted Absolute Closets to come out and take a 2 look at the closet cabinets, but have not heard 3 back yet." What is that referencing, Doctor? 5 They were going to come back and replace the kick -- toe plates or kick plates, whatever 6 7 they're called -- at the bottom that they had to remove to -- to completely dry out the water in 8 9 the area. Okay. So then if we go to 324, there 10 11 appears to be a response from Henry Regnault on 12 August 14. And it says in the second paragraph, 13 "Yes, the carpet. I am in the process of getting 14 a price and see when the availability is for 15 delivery." 16 So does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not there was new carpet that was 17 18 to be installed? He -- I don't recall him sending this, 19 but I don't recall that that carpet was ever 20 replaced with new carpet. 21 22 Q Okay. And then if you look at the 23 next --I think the carpet company -- I think 24 Α that initially they thought they would replace --25

Page 287 or they told me they would replace the carpet with 1 2 new carpet. And then when they actually came to do the work, they just laid it back down, because 3 4 they said the carpet was in good shape and they didn't need to replace it, as in replace it with 5 6 new carpet. So then if we look at the e-mail above 7 0 on August 23rd that starts on 323 and finishes on 324, it's about -- you say in the e-mail "It's 9 about ten days after my last e-mail. Items I am 10 11 still waiting for are the following: No. 1, 12 finish replacing the carpet in the master closet." 13 Do you see that, Doctor? 14 Yes. 15 Does that help to refresh your 16 recollection as to whether or not the carpet got replaced? 17 Like I have told you several times, I do 18 19 not recall any new carpet being put in that closet 20 ever. 21 Okay. So if you look at Defendant 323 22 and your e-mail dated August 31st and look at line 23 Item No. 5 in that e-mail --24 Α Yes. -- that says "The carpet in the master 25

Page 288 1 closet has been replaced." 2 Do you see that? Α Yes. 3 Does that help to refresh your recollection as to whether or not the carpet got 5 6 replaced? 7 MR. GALLIHER: Object to the form. THE WITNESS: I think some of the --8 9 what -- it's the meaning of the word "replaced." Does it mean replace it with new carpet, or does 10 it mean replace it as in putting it back down? 11 12 Because half of the closet where the water was, 13 the carpet had been pulled up so they could blow 14 fans underneath it and dry it out. So when I talk about replacing the 15 carpet, I want it put back into place. And to my 16 recollection, that's what was done. 17 BY MR. GRAF: 18 19 All right. Then turn to 322 and your e-mail dated September 2nd, 2015. And you address 20 21 it to Chris and Henry, and it says "Can I get your 22 help in getting the final items repaired/replaced 23 in my house after the water leaks? I feel I've been pretty patient, given three major water leaks 24 in my home within a 24-hour period that occurred 25

```
Page 289
 1
     over a month ago and only four months after I
 2
     moved in."
 3
               Do you see that, Doctor?
 4
          Α
               Yes.
               So we've talked about those three major
 5
     water leaks were the one in the single car garage
     at the circulating -- or recirculating pump?
 7
          Α
               Yes.
               And then at the recirculating pump in
 9
     the master closet?
10
11
          Α
               Yes.
12
          Q
               And then the -- the third, is that the
13
     water leak underneath the sink in the master
14
     closet?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               So why did you say that there were three
          Q
17
     major water leaks?
18
               MR. GALLIHER: Object to the form.
19
               THE WITNESS:
                              What was the question?
20
     BY MR. GRAF:
21
          Q
               Why did you use that -- that language,
22
     "three major water leaks"?
23
               I think because I was getting a little
     bit tired of waiting, and so I wanted to emphasize
24
     that I was a bit unhappy and I wanted the things
25
```

Page 290 put back the way they were. 1 2 Q Okay. And do you see No. 1 there below 3 that paragraph where you say "I'm still waiting on 4 the following"? Number one, what does that say, Doctor? 5 "I need my master closet fixed after the 6 water leak there. Absolute Closets won't return 7 my calls." 8 So what is that referencing? 9 Again, to my knowledge, it's those --10 the toe kicks or whatever that had to be taken off 11 12 to get to the -- the water sufficiently or 13 adequately, completely dry the area. 14 Okay. So at least as of --In other words -- I'm sorry. 15 Α 16 Go ahead. 0 In other words, no cabinets were taken 17 Α out. No cabinets were damaged by the water. 18 19 had to take out certain pieces to get to the 20 water. 21 Q And at least as of September 2nd, those 22 repairs to the cabinets had not been fixed; 23 correct? That is correct. 24 Α

25

MR. GALLIHER: Can we take a real quick

```
Page 291
     break?
 1
 2
               MR. GRAF: Yeah.
               MR. GALLIHER: Doctor, we're going to
 3
 4
     take a quick break. I'll be right back.
 5
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
 6
                      (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
 7
     BY MR. GRAF:
               So, Doctor, the next one we're going to
     go over -- and you're aware you're still under
 9
     oath, Doctor?
10
11
               Excuse me? I didn't quite catch that,
12
     Rusty.
13
               You're aware you're still under oath,
     Doctor? We're going to go back on the record?
14
               Yes.
15
          Α
16
               Okay. The next exhibit is going to be
          Q
17
     32, and it is 346.
                      (Exhibit No. 32 was marked for
18
19
                     identification.)
20
               THE WITNESS: Okay. I've got it.
21
               MR. GRAF: So go ahead and take a second
22
     and take a look at that e-mail.
23
               Sorry, Doctor, I realized I needed a
     break too.
24
               MR. GALLIHER: So we're off the record.
25
```

```
Page 292
 1
                      (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
 2
     BY MR. GRAF:
 3
          Q
               All right. Back on the record.
               Did you have a chance to take a look at
     that e-mail string, Doctor?
 5
               Yes, I'm just finishing. Yes.
 6
          Α
               So if we look at the e-mail that begins
 7
          O
     on 347 and goes to the top of 348, that's an
     e-mail dated September 10, 2015, from you to
 9
     Alexxa Warren.
10
11
               So it appears she started at least
12
     sometime after the last set of e-mails we had --
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               -- with Ms. --
               You're talking about the e-mail from her
15
          Α
             Or from me to her?
16
     to me?
17
               I'm talking about the one from you to
          Q
18
     her.
19
          Α
               Okay. Starting on the bottom of 347?
20
               Yep.
          Q
21
          Α
               Yes.
22
               In particular, Item No. 7 at the top of
23
     348 where it says -- I put a staple over it --
     "Fixed master closet cabinets, sitting bench, and
24
     shelf under stove."
25
```

Page 293 1 Α Yes. 2 Q So first off, where is the sitting bench 3 that's being referring to there? 4 The sitting bench was on the -- the opposite side of the master closet --5 Why did --6 Q 7 -- I presume. Α And why did that need to be repaired? Q Master closet cabinets, sitting bench, 9 and shelf under stove. That's the only sitting 10 11 bench I can think of in the house as we sit here. 12 Q Why did the sitting bench need to be 13 repaired, Doctor? 14 That, I can't recall at this time. 15 Q Okay. There were lots of little items. Like, 16 Α I don't recall what the shelf under the stove was 17 either. I just don't recall. But as with any new 18 19 house, there's always little things that need to be fixed in the first year or two. 20 21 Q Okay. So this is a September 10th 22 e-mail. 23 So at least as of September 10th, the closet cabinets had not been repaired? 24 To my knowledge -- what's the date 25 Α Yes.

- 1 on this e-mail? I don't have an independent
- 2 recollection when they were fixed.
- 3 Q So there was a response by Ms. Warren
- 4 later that day. And if you look at line Item
- 5 No. 6, what does that tell you?
- 6 A "The cabinets and sitting bench in your
- 7 closet can all be easily fixed. Henry called the
- 8 cabinet company while he was here and ended up
- 9 leaving a voicemail. He said if he didn't hear
- 10 anything soon, that he would call his boss and get
- 11 it taken care of.
- "The shelf that is supposed to be under
- 13 the stove is also something you can talk to the
- 14 cabinet guy about. I'm not really sure if there's
- 15 supposed to be a shelf there. There is some
- 16 electrical in there, and it would block a shelf
- 17 from being able to sit properly. Yes, I've
- 18 already talked to them about all of the items.
- 19 They just need to come out to fix it." So
- 20 that's -- that's what the e-mail says.
- 21 Do you have a question?
- 22 Q So this is confirming, though, that the
- 23 cabinets in the master closet had not been fixed,
- 24 at least as of this September 10th e-mail;
- 25 correct?

```
Page 295
          Α
 1
               Yes.
 2
          Q
               So as of September 10th, 2015, Doctor,
 3
     where were you living?
 4
               I was living in the subject property.
               Okay. So you were still living in
 5
     Las Vegas?
 6
 7
          Α
               Yes.
               When did you leave Las Vegas?
 8
          Q
               MR. GALLIHER: You mean to go to Denver?
 9
               THE WITNESS: As far as moving to Denver
10
     to go to school? I left in September -- I'm not
11
12
     remembering. It would be September of 2017.
13
     BY MR. GRAF:
14
               And that's when you moved to Denver?
15
          Α
               Yes.
                      (Exhibit No. 33 was marked for
16
                     identification.)
17
     BY MR. GRAF:
18
19
               So the next one I'm going to have you
     look at, Doctor, is 351. And that's going to be
20
21
     Exhibit 33.
22
          Α
               Okay.
23
          Q
               And same --
24
          Α
               351. Okay. Here it is. I've got it.
               And same thing, Doctor. Take a second
25
          Q
```

Page 296 1 and take a look at it, and then I'll ask you a 2 couple of questions about it. Α Okay. 3 So this is Exhibit 33. Bates-stamped 351 -- DEF351, 352. And if you look 5 on 350 -- well, it's basically an e-mail exchange 6 7 between yourself and Ms. Warren again. Is that an accurate statement? 9 Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. So on October 13, the e-mail that begins 10 11 on the bottom of 351 and moves over to 362, that's 12 Ms. Warren's e-mail to you on October 13. 13 Α Yes. 14 And did you receive this e-mail? To my knowledge, yes. 15 Α 16 So then it says "The cabinet people are 0 here now fixing most of the items on your office 17 18 list. They said there were two things that they didn't have equipment for, but that they would 19 20 come back another time to take care of." 21 Does this have anything to do with the cabinets in the -- in the closet or the bathroom? 22 23 MR. GALLIHER: Speculation. Foundation. THE WITNESS: No. I think this refers 24 to the office desk cabinets and whatnot that were 25

```
Page 297
     put in.
 1
 2
                      (Exhibit No. 34 was marked for
                     identification.)
 3
     BY MR. GRAF:
               So the next one that I need you to look
 5
     at, Doctor, is the one that has 381. And it's
     marked as Exhibit 34 for this deposition.
 7
     longer, so it says DEF381 through 393.
               Yes, I have it in front of me. I'm just
 9
     going over it. Okay. That's a long e-mail, so
10
     I've kind of, sort of, skimmed it.
11
12
          Q
               So, if you would, turn to 393.
13
               Okay. I'm there.
14
               And that e-mail from you to Nicky on
     November 15th, 2017, do you see that?
15
16
               Yes.
          Α
17
               Did you prepare and send that e-mail?
          Q
               Yes, I believe so.
18
          Α
19
               And it says "The buyers are going to
     want a statement by a mold remediation expert that
20
21
     there is no mold in the master closet after the
22
     water leak."
23
               Do you see that, Doctor?
24
          Α
               Yes.
               Had you spoken with someone, an agent on
25
          Q
```

Page 298 1 behalf of the Folinos or the Folinos? 2 MR. GALLIHER: Object to form. THE WITNESS: Yes. Someone would have 3 4 given me that information, that they wanted the mold test. As I recall, they wanted a mold test, 5 6 a visual inspection, and a pressure test. BY MR. GRAF: 7 Okay. Q I don't recall if Nicky gave that to me 9 or somebody else did. Since I'm telling Nicky 10 about this, it may have been my agent, perhaps. 11 12 Q Okay. So as of this point, 13 November 15th, 2017, you were living in Denver? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. As you sit here today, do you Q recall whether or not you spoke with Ashley Oakes 16 about the request for the mold testing? 17 No, I never spoke directly to her or the 18 19 Folinos during the contract period. 20 Okay. So I'm just trying to eliminate 0 21 And I appreciate that, because that 22 eliminates a couple of people right off the bat. So what about -- was this a statement 23 that was made to you by Ivan Sher? 24 I don't think he would have -- I don't 25 Α

Page 299 think he personally would have sent it to me, but 1 2 possibly someone in his office. 3 Q So --A guy by the name of Austin that did --4 that I communicated with regarding this 5 transaction. 6 Okay. So one of the things that we 7 0 didn't talk about at your last deposition, Doctor, was the leaseback of the property. 9 10 So it's your understanding that you 11 leased back the property from the time of closing, 12 which officially occurred on November 17. 13 Are you aware of that, Doctor? 14 Yeah. 17, yes, through the 28th, I believe. 15 And that's what I was going to ask you. 16 0 17 So from November 17 to November 28, you 18 leased back the property from the Folinos; 19 correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 What was the purpose of that leaseback 22 if you weren't living there and you were living in 23 Denver at the time? Because all of my furniture and 24 belongings were in the subject property. I was 25

Page 300 planning on moving to my current residence in Red 1 2 Rock Country Club, but they were -- it had been used as a rental for ten years, so I was in the 3 4 process of remodeling it. And they weren't going to have it 5 finished to the point where I could move my things 6 in until the 27th. It really wasn't even finished 7 then, but enough that I could move my things over. 8 So then in the next couple of 9 reply e-mails on 392, Ms. Whitfield says that she 10 11 contacted Rakeman about getting the mold test on 12 November 16, 2017. Do you see that, Doctor? 13 14 Which page are you on? 15 392, that middle e-mail, the Q 16 November 16, 2017, 9:20 a.m. e-mail from Nicky Whitfield. 17 18 Okay. I see it, yes. 19 Then it says "Closet. Before I read this updated e-mail, I already requested Rakeman 20 21 to send someone out. I will look up the woman 22 you've suggested and see if she's still available. 23 I've requested a schedule for the repairs as well." 24 25 Do you see that, Doctor?

```
Page 301
          Α
               Yes.
 1
 2
          Q
               So at least as of November 16, the
     repairs had not occurred; correct?
 3
 4
               No, they had not.
               And that's the repairs as to the leak
 5
     that occurred in the master closet?
               Yes. On -- on or about November 7 or
 7
          Α
     8th, I believe.
 8
               Okay. So then we went over this in your
 9
     previous deposition. There was an inspection by
10
11
     Infinity Environmental on November 17, 2017.
12
               Do you remember that, Doctor?
13
               Yes.
14
               And then they were prepared to report as
15
     a result of that inspection, and that was positive
     for mold. That report was dated November 24,
16
17
     2017; correct?
18
               Say -- say that again.
          Α
19
               MR. GRAF: Can you read that back?
20
                      (Whereupon, the record was read.)
21
               MR. GALLIHER: Foundation.
22
               THE WITNESS:
                             I thought the report that
23
     we reviewed showed that there was no mold in the
24
     house --
25
```

```
Page 302
     BY MR. GRAF:
 1
 2
          Q
               Okay. So there --
               -- by Infinity Environmental Services.
 3
               So there was a prior report, and I guess
     that's what I need to go over with you.
 5
     were two reports from Infinity Environmental
 6
     Services.
 7
               Were you aware of that, Doctor?
               Well, no. I only have one that I could
 9
     find, which is the one that I produced.
10
11
               Okay. So there was a second report
12
     of -- a first report that was prepared dated
13
     November 24, 2017.
               So let's -- let's go off the record, and
14
15
     I'll have that e-mailed to you, Doctor.
16
          Α
               Okay.
                      (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
17
                      (Exhibit Nos. 35 and 36 were
18
                     marked for identification.)
19
20
     BY MR. GRAF:
21
          Q
               Okay. Doctor, that e-mail actually has
22
     the next two exhibits. So Exhibit 35 is going to
     be Bates-stamped PLTF1813, and it's tough, because
23
     it's over some other writing.
24
               Yeah, I see it though.
25
          Α
```

```
Page 303
 1
               And it goes through 1831. And then in
          Q
 2
     that same e-mail is 1832 through 1837, which will
 3
     be Exhibit 36, which is the report you've already
     seen, the December 7th report.
 5
          Α
               Okay.
               So Exhibit 35 is the November 24, 2017,
 6
          0
     Infinity Environmental Services report. And it
 7
     says "To whom it may concern. Rakeman Plumbing,"
     and it's "Fungal Indoor Air Quality Assessment
 9
     Report: Visual, Airborne and Surface Fungal
10
11
     Assessment. Water-damaged master bedroom closet
12
     set, 42 Meadowhawk Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada 89135."
               And that's the address of this home;
13
14
     correct, Doctor?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
               Have you ever seen this report before,
          0
17
     Doctor?
18
               I have not.
          Α
19
          Q
               Were you ever told by Rakeman Plumbing
     that the home had tested positive for mold?
20
21
          Α
               Not that I recall.
22
               Okay. Would you have done anything
23
     differently with the seller's real property
     disclosure form if you were made aware of this
24
25
     report?
```

Page 304 1 MR. GALLIHER: Speculation. Foundation. 2 Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: Would I have done anything 3 4 different when I filled it out prior to the water This report came out after we closed. 5 6 BY MR. GRAF: 7 0 Correct. Here is my question to you, though, 8 The Addendum 4-A which references the 9 water leak that you produced and submitted to the 10 11 Folinos, that was an addendum to show that there 12 had been a water leak; correct? 13 Α Yes. Would you have prepared another addendum 14 15 to show that there had been the presence of mold 16 as a result of that water leak if you were made aware of this report? 17 MR. GALLIHER: Lacks foundation. 18 19 for speculation. It's an incomplete hypothetical. 20 BY MR. GRAF: 21 0 You can answer, Doctor. 22 I don't understand the question, because 23 the report came out after the property closed. Okay. So if you became aware of this 24 0 mold report, you wouldn't have done anything 25

Page 305 1 differently? 2 MR. GALLIHER: Same objections. Vague as to time. 3 BY MR. GRAF: During the closing of this property, you 5 would not have done anything differently? 6 7 MR. GALLIHER: Same objection. THE WITNESS: How could I be aware of 8 9 this before -- this report is dated November 24, 2017. We closed on November 17th, 2017. 10 could I have done anything different? I don't 11 12 understand what you're asking. 13 BY MR. GRAF: So, Doctor, if you look at the first 14 15 sentence of the report, it says "To whom it may 16 In accordance with your request and concern: authorization for services, Infinity Environmental 17 18 Services, (Infinity) of Las Vegas, Nevada, 19 provided the subject services on November 17, 20 2017." 21 Do you see that, Doctor? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q Okay. So on November 17, 2017, the date that this property closed, did Rakeman Plumbing 24 inform you that they were having a mold test 25

Page 306 1 performed? 2 I knew that there was a mold test being done, because the seller had requested -- or, I'm 3 4 sorry, the buyer had requested it. Okay. And I guess that's the -- the 5 6 follow-up question to that is -- again, we went over the e-mail. 7 You don't recall who told you that 9 somebody had requested a mold report? T don't. 10 Α 11 But let's -- I mean, let's look at that 12 real quick. 13 And if you look at Exhibit 34 and the e-mail that we looked at, November 15, 2017, at 14 least as of that date -- on Bates stamp DEF393, it 15 16 least on that date, you were aware that somebody had requested a mold report; correct? 17 18 You're looking at Bates stamp 393? Α 19 Q Yes. 20 I need to find that again. Α 21 Q And it's in Exhibit 34, Doctor, the last 22 page. 23 Α Yeah. Okay. I found it. 24 Yes, as of the 15th, I knew that they wanted a mold -- or a mold test done. 25

Page 307 1 And at least according to this document, 2 Exhibit 35, that mold test -- at least the samples 3 were taken on November 17, 2017? 4 According to the report that you just 5 qave me, yes. 6 0 Okay. And are you aware as to whether or not anybody -- whether it be Rakeman -- well, 7 let me ask it to you in this order, actually. Were you aware as to whether Rakeman had 9 10 said visual evidence of mold prior to November 15, 11 2017? 12 No, I'm not aware of any visual evidence 13 of mold or otherwise. So did you ever have any conversations 14 with Nicole Whitfield as to whether or not she had 15 16 been informed by Rakeman Plumbing that there were 17 visual signs of mold? 18 Not that I recall, no. 19 Okay. Have you had a chance to read through this report yet, Doctor? 20 21 I've read just the first couple of 22 pages, kind of the summary of conclusions part. 23 Did you read the results and discussion 24 on page two? 25 Α Yes.

Page 308 1 Okay. Did those results in that 2 discussion state that there was a positive 3 sample -- air sample for mold? 4 MR. GALLIHER: Objection. The document 5 speaks for itself. He's not an expert. 6 THE WITNESS: Well, it says "The results 7 of the Air-O-Cell cassette sample indicated the total fungal genera detected in the indoor samples 8 9 were higher than the concurrent outdoor genera levels with elevated levels of Alternaria (13 10 spores per cub meter), " so I'm assuming this 11 12 refers to the air samples. 13 BY MR. GRAF: 14 Okay. And that the sample was positive 15 for Penicillium/Aspergillus? 16 Α Yes. Okay. And then on page three, is it 17 your understanding after reading this report that 18 the surface swabs from the -- well, it doesn't 19 say. Oh, yeah, it does -- collected from the 20 21 master bedroom closet on the lower damaged wall 22 under the closet cabinet that is adjacent to the 23 master bathroom was positive for Alternaria, Ascospores, Hyphae, and Ulocladium? 24

Form.

Foundation.

MR. GALLIHER:

25

Page 309 Speculation. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's what it says on the "Results and Discussion." 3 BY MR. GRAF: So underneath "Results and Conclusions," 5 No. 1, it says "During our visual" -- on that same page. "During our visual inspection of the master 7 bedroom closet, we found suspect visible fungi on lower wall under the closet cabinet that is adjacent to the master bathroom and water heater." 10 11 Do you see that, Doctor? 12 Α Yes. 13 Had you ever observed the area underneath those cabinets in the closet? 14 You were sort of cutting out there. I 15 Α didn't catch the question. 16 17 After the November 7th leak in 2017, did 18 you ever look underneath the cabinets in that master closet? 19 20 I don't recall looking, other than 21 glancing to see what was down there. But I didn't 22 look -- I wasn't -- I didn't look with the 23 intention of looking for mold, if that's what you're asking. 24 First off, I just wanted to know if you 25 Q

Page 310 1 looked. 2 So if you did look, you only looked for 3 the presence of what? Water, probably. Okay. Did you ever instruct 5 Ms. Whitfield to look underneath those cabinets? Not that I recall. 7 Α And, Doctor, on November 24 and until 8 Q November 28, you were still occupying or leasing 9 back the residence; correct? 10 11 November 21st through the 27th I was, Α 12 yes. 13 Q Okay. Now, you said you had already moved to Denver at that point in time. 14 Did you have anybody staying at the 15 house on a daily basis during the leaseback 16 17 period? 18 During the leaseback period? Α Yes, sir. 19 Q 20 I stayed there. Α 21 Q Okay. So I'm confused, so let me just 22 ask a question. 23 I thought that you had moved to Denver in September of 2017. Is that not the case? 24 Yes, but I came back. We finished our 25 Α

```
Page 311
     final exams on the 21st of November, so I came
 1
 2
     back to the property, lived in the property until
     my home that I was moving into had been renovated
 3
 4
     to the point where I could move my things over on
     November 28th from the subject property.
 5
               Okay. So as of the date of this report,
          0
     you were living in the residence?
 7
          Α
               Yes.
               So you were not living in the residence
 9
     on November 17th when the inspection was conducted
10
11
     by Infinity Environmental?
12
          Α
               No.
13
                      (Exhibit No. 37 was marked for
                      identification.)
14
15
     BY MR. GRAF:
16
               Doctor, I handed to the court reporter
          0
17
     your affidavit that is -- should have 195 on the
     attachment.
18
19
               Do you have it?
20
               I'm looking for it.
          Α
21
          Q
               Okay.
22
               Yes, I found it.
23
               Okay. Have you seen that document
     before, Doctor?
24
25
          Α
               Yes.
```

Page 312 1 Q And it's Bates-stamped Swanson 195 2 through Swanson 197. Α Yes. 3 On 197, is that your signature? 5 Α Yes. Do you recall signing it in front of the 6 Q notary Cesar Omar Melendez? 7 Well, I don't recall that, as we sit 8 9 here, but I have no doubt that I did. 10 Do you know why this affidavit was 11 prepared, Doctor? 12 I believe this was prepared for the 13 mediation. 14 Did you prepare this, or was it prepared 15 for you? I think it was prepared with the 16 Α assistance of my attorney. 17 Okay. And, again, if at any point in 18 time while we're talking about this document I ask 19 you a question that would require you to testify 20 21 as to something that your attorney told you, I'm 22 not trying to obtain that type of information. So if you feel like you have to, just tell me that, 23 and I'll try and rephrase the question so that you 24 don't have to relay that type of information. 25

```
Page 313
 1
     Okay, Doctor?
 2
          Α
               Okay.
 3
          Q
               All right. So do you believe that the
     information that's contained on this affidavit is
     true and accurate?
 5
 6
          Α
               I -- yes.
               When is the last time you read it,
 7
          0
     Doctor?
               Well, probably -- not for a while.
 9
               Why don't you take a second and read
10
11
     through it, because I'm going to ask you some
12
     questions about the contents.
13
               Okay.
                     Okay. I've read it.
14
               Okay. So just briefly, Doctor, you
15
     did -- and I'm going to go through some of these
16
     paragraphs to make sure the information I have is
17
     the same as what's on here.
18
               So the owner and seller of the property,
19
     42 Meadowhawk, was Lyons Development, LLC?
20
          Α
               Yes.
21
          O
               And that the sole member of Lyons
22
     Development is Tiger's Tail Management Trust, an
23
     irrevocable Nevada spendthrift trust?
24
          Α
               Yes.
25
               And then you are cotrustee with Sharon
```

```
Page 314
 1
     Evans?
 2
          Α
               Yes.
 3
          Q
               You moved into the 42 Meadowhawk
     property in April of 2015?
 5
          Α
               Yes.
                      Do you recall there being a leak
 6
          Q
               Okay.
     in the property prior to August of 2015?
 7
               Ask the question again.
 8
          Α
               Do you recall the leak at the property
 9
     prior to August 2nd, 2015?
10
11
          Α
               No.
12
          Q
               Okay.
13
               Not at the time I signed this affidavit.
14
     Of course, we saw the three leaks on the punch
     list.
15
               And that's what I'm asking, Doctor.
16
          0
               This affidavit does not contain the
17
     information that was on the Criterium property as
18
19
     to those leaks that were indicated in May of 2015;
20
     correct?
21
          Α
               No.
22
               Okay. And I guess my question is this,
23
     had the recirculating pumps been replaced prior to
     August 2nd, 2015?
24
25
                               Speculation.
                                             Foundation.
               MR. GALLIHER:
```

```
Page 315
               THE WITNESS: What's your question?
 1
 2
               MR. GRAF: Can you restate it?
                      (Whereupon, the record was read.)
 3
               THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
 4
     mean, I don't know what they did to fix them, but
 5
     I don't recall them telling me that they replaced
 6
 7
     them.
     BY MR. GRAF:
 8
               Okay. So my question to you is this,
 9
     Doctor: You agree that the May 2015 Criterium
10
11
     report identified that the recirculating pumps
12
     were leaking; correct?
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               Okay. And then they --
               Yes, the report did. Yes.
15
          Α
16
               And those same recirculating pumps then
          Q
17
     failed on August 2nd, 2015; correct?
18
               MR. GALLIHER: Foundation.
19
               THE WITNESS:
                             To my knowledge, yes.
     BY MR. GRAF:
20
21
          Q
               Okay. Can you say with any degree of
22
     certainty that those recirculating pumps were not
23
     leaking between May of 2015 and August of 2015?
               MR. GALLIHER: Foundation. Speculation.
24
     Incomplete hypothetical.
25
```

1	Page 316 THE WITNESS: I would have no way of
2	knowing, not being a plumber, other than Rakeman
3	told me that the the leaks were fixed and I saw
4	no evidence of water intrusion in the house.
5	BY MR. GRAF:
6	Q Okay.
7	A That's the only way I would I would
8	know if they were leaking or not.
9	Q Okay. But you didn't go and personally
10	examine the recirculating pumps after the repairs
11	pursuant to the May 2015 report; correct?
12	A That would not be something I would do,
13	because that's why I hired licensed professionals
14	to take care of my plumbing.
15	Q But you my statement would be
16	correct? You didn't go up there and take a look
17	at the recirculating pumps and see if they were
18	still leaking between the repairs that were
19	purportedly made after the May 2015 report and the
20	August 2nd leaks; correct?
21	MR. GALLIHER: Objection. Form.
22	THE WITNESS: Did I personally try to
23	find the recirculating pumps to see if they were
24	leaking? No, I did not.
25	

Page 317 BY MR. GRAF: 1 2 So you also can't testify that those recirculating pumps weren't leaking between that 3 time period; correct? 5 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation. 6 THE WITNESS: I can't testify that they 7 were or were not. BY MR. GRAF: 8 Okay. So it says all leaks were 9 repaired by Rakeman Plumbing. 10 11 You're talking about after the 12 August 2nd, 2015, leaks; correct? 13 In this affidavit, I am, because I was unaware of the first two leaks. But I would have 14 no reason to believe that they hadn't been 15 repaired with the first leaks as well. 16 17 And in terms of the statement here, 18 during the repair, Rakeman Plumbing replaced both circulating pumps with, in their terms, better 19 20 ones. Do you see that? 21 Α Yes. That same recirculating pump failed or 22 23 leaked again within two years; correct? Not to my knowledge. These -- this 24 Α statement refers to the August 2015 recirculating 25

Page 318 pump leaks. They were -- the recirculating pumps 1 2 were replaced with, quote, better ones. knowledge, the recirculating pumps have not leaked 3 since. 4 The November 2017 leak, is it your 5 testimony that that wasn't related to the recirculating pumps? 7 That's not what I was told, no. And this affidavit does not address the leak that was observed in photographs five and six 10 11 of the Criterium report in the basement bathroom; 12 correct? 13 If there was, indeed, a leak. So where it states in the affidavit "All 14 leaks were repaired by Rakeman Plumbing," that 15 16 leak was never repaired by Rakeman Plumbing; 17 correct? MR. GALLIHER: Foundation. Speculation. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know that we established there was a leak. Because when I 20 asked them to investigate it, they couldn't find a 21 22 leak there. 23 BY MR. GRAF: So the --24 0 And I never observed any water in that 25 Α

- 1 bathroom over the two years I lived there.
- 2 Q Except those two photographs in the
- 3 Criterium report; correct?
- 4 A Well, I think those -- when I look at
- 5 those reports, I can't tell what it is. I see a
- 6 drop of water next to a vent, and then I see some
- 7 moisture around the base of the toilet. And I
- 8 don't know how -- even if water dripped from the
- 9 ceiling, how it would just sort of collect in that
- 10 pattern around the base of the toilet.
- 11 But I think more importantly, I was down
- 12 there, as I said, you know, three, four, five
- 13 times a week, and I never saw any moisture in that
- 14 bathroom. So I don't know that we -- I don't know
- 15 that there actually was a leak there.
- 16 Q That report informed you that there was
- 17 a leak; correct, Doctor?
- 18 MR. GALLIHER: Document speaks for
- 19 itself.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Well, that report informed
- 21 a lot of things that weren't -- didn't happen to
- 22 be the case. But, yes, it did suggest that there
- 23 was a leak that needed to be evaluated.
- 24 BY MR. GRAF:
- 25 Q And your affidavit here where it says

- 1 "All leaks were repaired by Rakeman Plumbing,"
- 2 that statement does not include that leak in the
- 3 basement bathroom; correct?
- 4 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation.
- 5 Speculation.
- 6 THE WITNESS: At the time I wrote --
- 7 signed this affidavit, I did not even recall that
- 8 I had gotten that inspection, so it would not have
- 9 included those.
- 10 BY MR. GRAF:
- 11 Q I get what you're saying, Doctor, but
- 12 I'm going to keep asking the question until you
- 13 answer it. It's a yes-or-no question.
- 14 That statement "All leaks were repaired
- 15 by Rakeman Plumbing" is not an accurate statement,
- 16 is it, because that leak in the basement bathroom
- 17 ceiling was never addressed --
- 18 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation.
- 19 BY MR. GRAF:
- 20 Q -- by Rakeman Plumbing; correct?
- 21 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation.
- 22 Misstates his testimony.
- 23 THE WITNESS: So all I can say is if
- 24 there was a leak in the bathroom in the basement,
- 25 it was not repaired because they could not find

Page 321 the leak. They investigated, and they 1 2 communicated to me that they could not find it. BY MR. GRAF: 3 Okay. So is it your testimony here today that Rakeman Plumbing sent out a water 5 remediation company to dry out the master closet, 6 bathroom, bedroom, and garage? 7 MR. GALLIHER: Vaque as to time. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. GRAF: 10 11 Q And this is in regards to the 12 August 2nd, 2015, water losses; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 So where it says "On January 17, I discovered a small pinhole leak in one of the 15 16 plastic water pipes in the wall. Rakeman Plumbing 17 fixed the leak, " do you see that, Doctor? 18 Α Yes. 19 Q When did Rakeman Plumbing fix that leak, 20 Doctor? 21 Α They fixed it immediately, being when I 22 called them when I discovered the leak. 23 Doctor, why don't -- why don't we have any documentation prior to May of 2017 regarding 24 25 those repairs?

## TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II - 02/06/2020

Page 322 MR. GALLIHER: Speculation. 1 Foundation. 2 Incomplete hypothetical. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm getting a 3 4 little bit of cutout on my feeds, so would you 5 repeat that again? 6 MR. GRAF: Mr. Galliher is making 7 objections and causing problems. MR. GALLIHER: That's what I do. 8 9 THE WITNESS: I can't hear everything that is going on. I don't know if that was a joke 10 11 or --12 MR. GALLIHER: It was. 13 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 14 MR. GRAF: Judge Gonzales says that my sarcasm does not transcribe very well either. 15 MR. GALLIHER: She's told me the same 16 17 thing, as a matter of fact. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Sometimes if I 19 disconnect and reconnect, we can get a better -because I think we're going to be struggling with 20 21 I've been having a little issue for a while 22 now. 23 MR. GRAF: Oh, so do you -- well, I 24 don't know how to reconnect. So if you want to dis --25

## TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II - 02/06/2020

```
Page 323
               THE WITNESS:
                             No, I can -- I'm sorry.
 1
 2
               MR. GRAF: Go ahead.
               THE WITNESS:
                             I'll -- I'll disconnect
 3
 4
     and then reconnect.
               MR. GRAF: Let's go off the record.
 5
 6
                      (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
 7
                      (Whereupon, the record was read.)
               MR. GALLIHER: Same objection.
 8
 9
               THE WITNESS: Why don't I have any
     documentation prior to May of 2017 regarding which
10
     repairs?
11
12
     BY MR. GRAF:
13
               On the top of page 196, we were asking
     questions about the statement in January of 2017,
14
     "I discovered a small pinhole leak in one of the
15
16
     plastic water pipes in the wall." And it says
17
     "Rakeman Plumbing fixed the leak."
               The documentation that we have seen from
18
     Rakeman Plumbing is a May 23rd, 2017, invoice, and
19
20
     Mr. Gerber testified that that is when he went out
21
     to make those repairs.
22
               So is this -- does this mean that those
23
     repairs were not conducted between January of 2017
     and May of 2017?
24
25
                                      Speculation.
               MR. GALLIHER:
                              Form.
```

- 1 Foundation.
- 2 THE WITNESS: The -- the repair was
- 3 conducted on the date that the -- the water was
- 4 discovered in the closet. And to the best of my
- 5 knowledge, I found this somewhere, that was
- 6 February 16, 2017, and I was searching for
- 7 documents again today to see where that date came
- 8 from. And I could only find an e-mail that
- 9 stated -- and I think I sent it to you --
- 10 something about Don said they would be out to
- 11 finish the repairs from the water leak, something
- 12 like that.
- But the date of that e-mail was March 7,
- 14 2017. And I believe that was from Nicky, and I
- 15 believe that was -- that was one of the additional
- 16 documents I supplemented you with recently.
- 17 BY MR. GRAF:
- 18 Q Okay. So then, Doctor, at a bare
- 19 minimum, according to your documentation and your
- 20 testimony here today, between February 16, 2017,
- 21 and March of 2017, that hole or small pinhole leak
- 22 was not repaired; correct?
- 23 MR. GALLIHER: Objection. Misstates his
- 24 testimony.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, the e-mail wasn't

- 1 regarding repair of the leak. It was regarding
- 2 putting the carpet back down and those repairs,
- 3 because they obviously had to take some time to
- 4 dry the thing out. The repair was done on the
- 5 date that the leak was discovered, which to my
- 6 knowledge was February 17 or 18 of 2017.
- 7 BY MR. GRAF:
- 8 Q So that's my question, Doctor. So is
- 9 this affidavit inaccurate? The affidavit says
- 10 that you observed the pinhole leak in January of
- 11 2017. That's why I'm asking these questions.
- 12 A Yes, that part is, I believe,
- 13 inaccurate. I think at the time we drafted this
- 14 affidavit, we were not entirely sure of the exact
- 15 date of that leak. But I believe, to the best of
- 16 my knowledge, it was February 2017.
- 17 Q So going down a couple of paragraphs,
- 18 you completed the seller's real property
- 19 disclosures on August 24, 2017; correct?
- 20 MR. GALLIHER: You said August. Do you
- 21 mean October?
- 22 BY MR. GRAF:
- 23 Q I mean October 24. My apologies.
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And according to this affidavit, you

1	Page 326 knew that when you prepared the disclosures, that
2	there had been two previous water leaks; correct?
3	A Yes. Well, technically technically
4	three. Let's see. So I think it would probably
5	be more accurate to read that there were water
6	leaks on two different dates, both the well, it
7	was actually August 2nd and 3rd of 2015 and
8	February 7 or 8th of 2017.
9	Q But those actually reference four
10	different leaks in the house; correct, Doctor?
11	A There were four yes, there were four
12	leaks.
13	Q Okay. So this where it says there
14	had been two previous leaks, that means this
15	affidavit is inaccurate; correct?
16	A Yes, that should read four previous
17	water leaks.
18	Q And then the next statement, "However,
19	the leaks and all associated damages were repaired
20	by a licensed plumbing company, Rakeman Plumbing,"
21	is that an accurate statement?
22	A That is accurate, yes.
23	Q That statement doesn't say how long it
24	took to make those repairs, does it?
25	MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation.

Page 327 1 THE WITNESS: That statement does not, 2 no. BY MR. GRAF: 3 And in some instances it took a period of months to make the repairs; correct? 5 6 MR. GALLIHER: Misstates his testimony. Assumes facts not in evidence. Form and 7 foundation. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Not to make the repair of the actual water leakage. Those were done 10 immediately. But, of course, it takes a while to 11 12 dry out anything that needs to be dried out, so 13 the -- replacing the carpet, replacing the toe 14 kicks and whatnot took a few weeks with each one, 15 yes. BY MR. GRAF: 16 17 And in some instances, it took a period 18 of months; right? 19 Now, which one are you referring to? 20 The leak in August of 2015. We went 0 21 over some e-mails today -- that there were e-mails 22 back and forth between your two different 23 assistants going into October of 2015 about the repairs still not being completed; correct? 24 25 Α Yes.

Page 328 MR. GALLIHER: Foundation. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Some of the -- yeah, cabinets and -- and carpet work, I believe. 3 BY MR. GRAF: So it says "At that time, to my 5 knowledge, the work done by Rakeman Plumbing fixed 6 all of the problems with the plumbing system." 7 Do you see that, Doctor? Α Yes. Again, this statement does not include 10 11 the leak that occurred in the bathroom basement; 12 correct? 13 MR. GALLIHER: Foundation. 14 THE WITNESS: Well, one, I had forgotten that I had gotten that inspection at the time I 15 wrote this. But, number two, we don't know that 16 there was a leak, because I had it inspected and 17 they couldn't find the leak. 18 19 BY MR. GRAF: 20 Did you have an obligation under the 21 purchase and sale agreement to provide the buyers 22 with all copies of reports or inspections that you 23 had conducted as to the house? 24 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Speculation. Calls for a legal conclusion. 25

```
Page 329
 1
               THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that,
 2
     please?
                      (Whereupon, the record was read.)
 3
 4
               THE WITNESS: Not to my recollection. I
     would have to go back and review it.
 5
     BY MR. GRAF:
 6
               Okay. So just going back, you signed
 7
          O
     this affidavit on August 13, 2018, Doctor?
 9
               August 13 of 2018, yes.
               Okay. So on the next two paragraphs
10
11
     down, it says "On November 7, 2017, my assistant,
12
     Nicky Whitfield, discovered a water leak in the
13
     master closet."
14
               Is that an accurate statement, Doctor?
               Yes, I believe so.
15
          Α
16
               Doctor, did your assistant actually
          Q
17
     discover that leak on November 6, 2017?
               I would have to look at my dates, but
18
     she notified me when she discovered the leak.
19
20
          Q
               Okay.
21
               And I thought that date was November 7.
22
               Okay. And "On November 8, 2017, my
23
     agent was informed that a leak had occurred."
24
               Who is your agent there?
               The Ivan Sher group, Shapiro & Sher.
25
          Α
```

Page 330

- 1 Q So my question is, did you inform
- 2 Mr. Sher or did you inform Kelly Contenta? Austin
- 3 Sherwood? Who did you inform?
- 4 A I believe that I e-mailed Austin
- 5 Sherwood first. But later, prior to closing, I
- 6 believe I discussed it with Ivan Sher.
- 7 Q Okay. I'm just talking about this
- 8 e-mail here.
- 9 So you -- the agent that you're
- 10 referring to there is Austin Sherwood?
- 11 MR. GALLIHER: Objection. Asked and
- 12 answered.
- 13 MR. GRAF: I'm just trying to -- he said
- 14 a couple of things there.
- 15 THE WITNESS: "On November 8th, my agent
- 16 was informed that a leak had occurred." If I
- 17 remember correctly, I e-mailed Austin Sherwood,
- 18 but I may have copied other people. I don't
- 19 recall, but I think I've provided that e-mail.
- 20 BY MR. GRAF:
- 21 Q So the next paragraph, it says "Between
- 22 November 7, 2017, and November 17th, it is my
- 23 understanding that the Folinos came to the
- 24 property on several occasions to plan for their
- 25 move-in."

	Page 331
1	Do you see that, Doctor?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Who provided you with that information?
4	A My assistant, Nicole Whitfield.
5	Q Doctor, you didn't personally see the
6	Folinos at the property between November 7 and
7	November 17 of 2017; correct?
8	A No. I was living in Denver at the time
9	going to school.
10	Q So this was this isn't your personal
11	knowledge. This is something that somebody told
12	you; correct?
13	A Well, I think we have the records from
14	the yeah, the Ridges guard gate that shows when
15	the Folinos entered the Ridges.
16	Q I understand your response, Doctor.
17	But my question is, you don't have
18	personal knowledge regarding whether or not the
19	Folinos visited the house between November 7th and
20	November 17 of 2017; correct?
21	MR. GALLIHER: Foundation.
22	MR. GRAF: I agree. He lacks it.
23	THE WITNESS: I would I would have to
24	look, but I think there were I think you
25	supplied some text messages between Nicole Folino

and Mrs. Ladowski that may have suggested that she was in the house during that period. I would have to go back and look.

4 BY MR. GRAF:

1

2

3

- 5 Q I understand your qualifications.
- 6 Doctor, I'm just asking a very specific question.
- You did not see personally, with your
- 8 own eyes, the Folinos at the property between
- 9 November 7 and November 17, 2017; right?
- 10 A No, I did not.
- 11 Q Okay. So that statement is not based
- 12 upon your own personal knowledge. That statement
- 13 is based upon information you received from a
- 14 third party; correct?
- 15 MR. GALLIHER: Foundation. Calls for a
- 16 legal conclusion.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, that was not based on
- 18 my own visual knowledge of her visiting the
- 19 property.
- 20 BY MR. GRAF:
- 21 Q So then you then state, "It is also my
- 22 understanding that the Folinos had full access to
- 23 the property, including the master bedroom and
- 24 master closet where the November 7, 2017, water
- 25 problem was visible."

1	Do you see that?							
2	A Yes.							
3	Q Again, you don't have personal knowledge							
4	that they went into the master bedroom at any of							
5	the times that they went to the property, do you?							
6	MR. GALLIHER: Objection. That							
7	misstates hold on. Hold on, Doc. Hold on.							
8	Foundation, and that misstates the							
9	document.							
10	Now you can answer.							
11	THE WITNESS: So you're asking me if I							
12	visually observed that? No, I was in Denver.							
13	BY MR. GRAF:							
14	Q Okay. And so it says it is your							
15	understanding that the Folinos had full access to							
16	the property.							
17	What do you base that statement upon?							
18	Who told you that?							
19	A Nicole Whitfield, I presume.							
20	Q So then the next paragraph says "On							
21	November 15th, 2017, I informed the Folinos of the							
22	leak via amended disclosures." And then it says							
23	in parens, "Addendum No. 4-A to the Residential							
24	Purchase Agreement."							
25	Do you see that, Doctor?							

	Page 334
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. Did you create Addendum 4-A?
3	A I notified my broker or broker's office,
4	and they prepared it.
5	Q Okay. You don't have any personal
6	knowledge as to when Addendum 4-A was transmitted
7	to anybody on behalf of the Folinos, do you,
8	Doctor?
9	MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation.
10	Assumes facts not in evidence.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't recall if I was
12	copied on an e-mail to them or not. I presume it
13	would have gone to their Realtor, but I don't
14	know.
15	BY MR. GRAF:
16	Q Okay. The Addendum 4-A, that is
17	referencing the leak that occurred on or about
18	November 6 or 7 of 2017; correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q It's not referencing any of the prior
21	leaks; correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q You never amended the SRPD to include
24	any reference to the prior leaks in 2015 or in
25	January or February, March of 2017; correct?

Page 335 Did you say January and February and 1 Α 2 March? Or January or February or March? 3 Q I'm leaving that open. So the leak -- the pinhole leak that we have already gone over in your affidavit that 5 arose either in January, February, or March, you 6 never submitted an addendum saying that that leak 7 occurred; correct? Correct. Doctor, have you had any conversations 10 11 with Nicole Whitfield since your prior deposition? 12 Α Just briefly. 13 And what did you talk about? 14 I just asked her how the deposition went, and she said that it was not fun. 15 16 Q Thank you. Just kidding. That's 17 sarcasm. 18 MR. GALLIHER: Your sarcasm doesn't 19 transcribe. 20 MR. GRAF: I know. It doesn't. It's 21 obvious. 22 BY MR. GRAF: 23 So anything other than that that you talked about with her? 24 No. I just -- Nicole wanted to vent a 25 Α

1	Page 336 little because she was a little frustrated. She
2	felt like she was asked the same questions over
3	and over. But that that was the gist of it. I
4	didn't have a lot of time to talk to her, so I had
5	to cut the conversation short.
6	Q Did she give you any information that
7	you didn't have about this transaction or the
8	water losses or any of the facts and circumstances
9	of this case that you didn't have prior to your
10	deposition the other day?
11	A No.
12	Q What did you do in preparation for your
13	deposition here today?
14	MR. GALLIHER: You mean between the last
15	one and this?
16	MR. GRAF: Yes.
17	THE WITNESS: Well, basically reviewed
18	the additional documents that I supplied to you
19	and sort of went through the chronology of dates,
20	just to refresh my memory.
21	BY MR. GRAF:
22	Q Did you have any conversations with
23	either Aaron Hawley or William Rocky Gerber from
24	Rakeman Plumbing?
25	A No.

```
Page 337
 1
               Did you contact anybody else that was
 2
     involved in this transaction, other than your
 3
     attorneys?
          Α
               No.
               MR. GRAF: Okay. That's it, Doctor.
 5
 6
     appreciate your time. Thank you.
 7
               MR. GALLIHER: I have, like, two
     follow-up questions.
 8
 9
10
                        EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. GALLIHER:
12
          Q
               So we're talking about -- you remember
13
     Rusty asked you some questions about the water in
14
     the bathroom basement that was reported in the
     Criterium report?
15
16
          Α
               Yes.
17
                           So you got that list -- you
               All right.
18
     reported that, correct, to Blue Heron or Rakeman
19
     or somebody?
20
               To Blue Heron.
          Α
21
          Q
               To Blue Heron.
22
               And somebody came out and looked at it
23
     and told you there was no leak; is that correct?
               Yes. I -- Rakeman Plumbing did all of
24
          Α
     the plumbing in the house, so this was sort of
25
```

Page 338 gh.

- 1 my -- this was my punch list that you go through.
- When you build a house, you go through all of the
- 3 items that need to be finished up. So they
- 4 contacted Rakeman, who came out and addressed the
- 5 recirculating pump problem and then that leak --
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A -- or supposedly.
- 8 Q And from that time on, until the time
- 9 that you moved out of the home, did you ever --
- 10 did you ever observe any evidence of a water leak
- 11 in that bathroom?
- 12 A In the two years that I lived in the
- 13 home?
- 14 Q Right.
- 15 A No, I never -- like I said, I was down
- 16 there frequently, because that was my gym, and
- 17 used that bathroom several times a week, and I
- 18 don't recall there ever being any water on the
- 19 floor. There was no water stain on the ceiling,
- 20 there was just nothing. I don't know what those
- 21 pictures are depicting.
- Q All right. Are you aware that when --
- 23 during the sale transaction to the Folinos, the
- 24 Folinos hired a home inspector to inspect 42
- 25 Meadowhawk?

Page 339 In the normal course of the sale, yeah, 1 Α 2 they hired an inspector. Well, do you recall -- you were informed 3 Q about some -- some issues that they requested be repaired prior to the sale, weren't you? 5 6 Α Yes. Were there any problems or concerns with 0 the basement bathroom, specifically with any leaks in the basement bathroom, that were included in 9 those things that the Folinos requested to be 10 11 repaired? 12 Α No. 13 MR. GRAF: Object as to form. MR. GALLIHER: I think that was the 14 perfect question. 15 BY MR. GALLIHER: 16 17 Rusty asked if you could testify that the recirculating pumps had been repaired after 18 19 the May 2015 leak. 20 Do you recall that testimony about 20 21 minutes ago? 22 If they had been repaired after -- after 23 which date? I think his question was after the --24 after the May of 2015 leak. 25

	D 240
1	MR. GRAF: No.
2	MR. GALLIHER: No?
3	MR. GRAF: The May of 2015 Criterium
4	report and the August leak.
5	MR. GALLIHER: But there was a leak in
6	May.
7	MR. GRAF: Yep.
8	BY MR. GALLIHER:
9	Q All right. So let's go back. So
10	after let's talk about the May 2015 Criterium
11	report.
12	So same thing. Right? You got that
13	report. You provided it to Blue Heron, and then
14	you were informed that Rakeman came out and
15	repaired the recirculating pumps; isn't that
16	correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then there was a leak in the
19	recirculating pumps or close thereabouts in May
20	of 2015; right? Later in May; is that right?
21	A Well, the leaks were in August of 2015.
22	Q Yeah, in August. Thank you. I'm sorry.
23	And then do you have an understanding of
24	what measures were taken after those after that
25	leak to repair those recirculating pumps?

1	Page 341 A What what measures were taken?
2	Q Yeah. What happened? So, again, you
3	reported that and Rakeman came out.
4	Do you know what Rakeman did to fix it?
5	A They told me that they they replaced
6	those pumps with a better quality pump.
7	Q Okay. Now, between
8	A With better pumps.
9	Q So between the Criterium report in May
10	and your reporting that to Blue Heron and you
11	being told that it was fixed and the problem in
12	August, did you ever observe any water visible in
13	the areas of the recirculating pumps?
14	A None.
15	Q Did the recirculating pumps function
16	properly? Meaning did you have hot water
17	throughout the house?
18	A Yes.
19	Q He asked you about the statement in your
20	affidavit regarding providing that the Folinos
21	had full access to the property during escrow.
22	Do you recall that? That was just a
23	couple of minutes ago. Do you recall that?
24	A I recall that, yes.
25	Q Did you ever instruct Nicole you

1	Page 342 know, Nicky Whitfield to restrict access to any									
2	area of the house restrict access to the									
3	Folinos during the escrow period?									
4	A No, I never instructed her. The only									
5	thing I would say is that the apparently Nicole									
6	had talked to either Rakeman or the the mold									
7	testing company, who were very specific that no									
8	work of any kind should be done until the results									
9	were back from the mold testing. And I remember									
10	Nicole was a bit maybe I'll say confused rather									
11	than upset that they had their contractors in									
12	there working prior to getting the mold report									
13	back									
14	Q When you say "Nicole"									
15	A in spite of that warning.									
16	Q When you say "Nicole," are you talking									
17	about Nicole Folino or Nicole Whitfield?									
18	MR. GRAF: Object as to form.									
19	THE WITNESS: Nicole Whitfield.									
20	MR. GALLIHER: All right. I don't have									
21	any more questions, Doctor.									
22	MR. GRAF: I have a couple of									
23	follow-ups, Doctor.									
24										
25	EXAMINATION									

Page 343 BY MR. GRAF: 1 2 So the recirculating pump, the one 3 that's in the master closet or adjacent to the master closet, it's inside the wall cavity; is 5 correct? Did you say -- I think you said is it 6 Α 7 inside the wall cavity; is that --Yes, sir. Q Yeah, it is. 9 So as you're walking around in the 10 11 closet or you're walking around in the master 12 bathroom or the other side of that wall, which I 13 think is the hallway, you wouldn't see the recirculating pumps, would you? 14 15 Α No. 16 Okay. And the same thing with the one O 17 that's in the -- I think it's like the ceiling joist of the single car garage, that's in that --18 it's in a confined, closed-in area that's not 19 visible to somebody that's walking around in the 20 21 garage; correct? 22 Correct. 23 So Mr. Gallagher asked you some questions about the Folinos and the inspections 24 that they did of the house; right? 25

1	Page 344 A Yes.
2	Q You don't have any document or anything
3	like that transmitting the Criterium report to
4	Caveat Emptor, the company that did the inspection
5	on behalf of the Folinos, do you?
6	A No.
7	Q You did not give the Criterium report to
8	the Folinos, did you, prior to the close of
9	escrow?
10	A I had forgotten I even had the report
11	until I was searching for documents.
12	Q So the answer to that is you did not
13	send that property to the Folinos prior to the
14	close of escrow; correct?
15	A No, I did not.
16	Q The ceiling above the basement bathroom,
17	what's what's just above that in the house?
18	A I'm not sure. It would be over on the
19	master bedroom/bathroom side of the house. I'm
20	not sure exactly what's above it, though.
21	Q Isn't the master bathroom just above it,
22	Doctor?
23	A I don't I don't know for sure, but
24	it's that side of the house.
25	Q It's in that general vicinity, too,
1	

Page 345 1 though, isn't it, Doctor? 2 Well, I just answered it, what I know. 3 Q Okay. There was a problem with the 4 toilet in the master bathroom; correct? There was a leak at one point, and I 5 can't recall the date. I think it was maybe 2015, 6 7 although I would have to go back and look, that was caught and repaired. 8 Okay. Do you recall there being any 9 repairs to the ceiling in the master bathroom? 10 11 Α No. 12 So if there's drywall repairs that are 13 evident in pictures of that ceiling in the master bathroom, you're unaware as to anything in there? 14 15 MR. GALLIHER: Form. Foundation. 16 Speculation. Vaque as to time. THE WITNESS: Wait a minute. 17 remember, and I'm -- I need to change my answer. 18 19 When they -- the mini split to the media equipment closet was put in after the bathroom had 20 21 been drywalled. So to run the line set outside, 22 they had to put -- they had to make a hole in that 23 drywall to run the line set, if I remember. BY MR. GRAF: 24 For that HVAC unit? 25 Q

1	Page 346 A I'm sorry?
2	Q Was that the HVAC unit?
3	A Yes. A mini split is an HVAC unit.
4	Q Okay. Are you aware of any water
5	staining that has occurred on that bath master
6	bathroom ceiling prior to you selling the home in
7	2017?
8	A No.
9	MR. GRAF: I don't have anything
10	further. Thank you, Doctor.
11	MR. GALLIHER: Nothing further.
12	(Whereupon, the deposition
13	concluded at 12:17 p.m.)
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
Page 347
 1
                 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
 2
       STATE OF NEVADA
 3
                               ss:
       COUNTY OF CLARK
 4
            I, Heidi K. Konsten, Certified Court Reporter
 5
       licensed by the State of Nevada, do hereby certify
 6
       that I reported the deposition of TODD SWANSON,
 7
       M.D., commencing on February 6, 2020, at 9:34 a.m.
 9
             Prior to being deposed, the witness was duly
10
       sworn by me to testify to the truth.
                                              I thereafter
11
       transcribed my said stenographic notes via
12
       computer-aided transcription into written form,
13
       and that the transcript is a complete, true and
       accurate transcription and that a request was not
14
       made for a review of the transcript.
15
            I further certify that I am not a relative,
16
17
       employee or independent contractor of counsel or
       any party involved in the proceeding, nor a person
18
       financially interested in the proceeding, nor do I
19
20
       have any other relationship that may reasonably
21
       cause my impartiality to be questioned.
22
            IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my
       office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada,
23
24
     Heidi K. Konsten, RPR, CCR No. 845
25
```

1	Page 348 DECLARATION OF DEPONENT
2	I, TODD SWANSON, M.D., deponent
3	herein, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury
4	that I have read the within and foregoing
5	transcription of my testimony taken on February 6,
6	2020, at Las Vegas, Nevada, and that the same is a
7	true record of the testimony given by me at the
8	time and place hereinabove set forth, with the
9	following exceptions:
10	
11	ERRATA SHEET
12	PAGE LINE SHOULD READ: REASON FOR CHANGE:
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

1			1	ERRATA	SHEET			Page	349
2	PAGE	LINE	SHOULD	READ:		REASON	FOR	CHANGE:	
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23	Date:			DDD SWA	ANSON,	M.D.	_		
24									
25									
I									

Page 350

- 1 HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE
- 2 Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal
- 3 and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the
- 4 protection andsecurity of patient health information. Notice is
- 5 herebygiven to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal
- 6 proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health
- 7 information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and
- 8 disclosure by Privacy Laws. Litigation Services requires that access,
- 9 maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to
- 10 electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/
- 11 dissemination and communication) of transcripts/exhibits containing
- 12 patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws.
- 13 No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health
- 14 information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy
- 15 Laws. Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties'
- 16 attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will
- 17 make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health
- 18 information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates,
- 19 including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and
- 20 disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and
- 21 applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is
- 22 recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of
- 23 transcripts and exhibits including access, storage, use, and
- 24 disclosure for compliance with Privacy Laws.
- 25 All Rights Reserved. Litigation Services (rev. 6/1/2019)

Index: \$8,000..29

Γ .			_	_
\$	298:13 306:24	<b>1832</b> 303:2	21 345:6	323:19
<b>\$8,000</b> 272:8	333:21	<b>1837</b> 303:2	<b>2017</b> 265:18, 19,21 277:23	<b>24</b> 265:19 278:13
1	<b>16</b> 278:12 300:12,16	<b>1850</b> 264:16	278:7 295:12 297:15	301:16 302:13 303:6
	301:2 324:6, 20	<b>187</b> 269:16 275:15	298:13 300:12,16	305:9 310:8 325:19,23
<b>1</b> 287:11 290:2 309:6	163 268:12 269:16,20 275:3	195 311:17 312:1	301:11,17 302:13 303:6 305:10,20,23 306:14 307:3,	<b>24-hour</b> 288:25
<b>10</b> 270:21 292:9	<b>165</b> 272:14,15	<b>196</b> 323:13	11 309:17 310:24 318:5 321:24	<b>25th</b> 280:16
<b>107</b> 264:16	<b>166</b> 269:25	<b>197</b> 312:2,4	323:10,14,19, 23,24 324:6, 14,20,21	<b>26</b> 265:13 282:13
<b>10777</b> 264:3, 11	<b>168</b> 275:9,14, 25	2	325:6,11,16, 19 326:8 329:11,17,22	<b>266</b> 265:4
10th 293:21, 23 294:24	<b>17</b> 272:15	<b>2</b> 264:2	330:22 331:7, 20 332:9,24 333:21	<b>269</b> 265:12
295:2	274:17 299:12,14,17 301:11	<b>20</b> 339:20	334:18,25 346:7	<b>277</b> 265:13
<b>12:17</b> 346:13 <b>13</b> 265:15,17	305:19,23 307:3 321:14 325:6 331:7,	<b>2015</b> 265:12, 13,14,15,17	<b>2018</b> 329:8,9	27th 300:7 310:11
296:10,12 308:10 329:8, 9	20 332:9	270:4 272:16 280:13 288:20 292:9	<b>2020</b> 263:19 264:4 266:2	<b>28</b> 299:17 310:9
<b>14</b> 284:5	305:10 311:10	295:2 314:4, 7,10,19,24 315:10,17,23	<b>21</b> 265:12	<b>282</b> 265:14
286:12	330:22	316:11,19 317:12,25	<b>21st</b> 275:2,25 276:8,15	<b>28th</b> 299:14 311:5
<b>15</b> 306:14 307:10	18 325:6	321:12 326:7 327:20,23 334:24	280:13 310:11 311:1	<b>29</b> 265:12
<b>15th</b> 297:15	<b>1831</b> 303:1	339:19,25 340:3,10,20,	<b>23rd</b> 287:8	269:9,10,15

Index: 291..8th

			_	
<b>291</b> 265:15	<b>321</b> 282:24 283:9	<b>342</b> 265:6	<b>3rd</b> 283:20 326:7	272:8 292:22 301:7 324:13
<b>295</b> 265:17	<b>322</b> 288:19	<b>346</b> 291:17	4	326:8 329:11, 21 330:22
<b>297</b> 265:18	<b>323</b> 287:8,21	<b>347</b> 292:8,19	· .	331:6 332:9, 24 334:18
<b>2nd</b> 283:19 288:20	<b>324</b> 284:10	<b>348</b> 292:8,23	<b>4-A</b> 304:9 333:23 334:2, 6,16	<b>702 735-0049</b> 264:17
290:21 314:10,24 315:17	286:10 287:9	<b>35</b> 265:19 302:18,22	<b>42</b> 303:12	702 869-2669
316:20 317:12	<b>325</b> 283:13,14 284:6,8,13	303:6 307:2	313:19 314:3 338:24	264:13
321:12 326:7	<b>326</b> 283:14	<b>350</b> 296:6	5	<b>702 869-8801</b> 264:12
3	<b>328</b> 283:9	<b>351</b> 295:20,24 296:5,11		7th 303:4 309:17
<b>3</b> 265:14	<b>33</b> 265:17 295:16,21	<b>352</b> 296:5	<b>5</b> 287:23	331:19
<b>30</b> 265:13 277:1,6	296:4	<b>36</b> 265:21 302:18 303:3	<b>5/20/15</b> 276:11,12	8
<b>30(b)4</b> 266:7	<b>337</b> 265:5	<b>362</b> 296:11	6	<b>8</b> 329:22
<b>302</b> 265:19,21	<b>338</b> 276:25 277:6 280:6	<b>37</b> 265:23	<b>6</b> 263:19	816435
<b>31</b> 265:14,18	<b>339</b> 282:5	311:13	264:4 266:2 270:3 272:19	263:24
282:20 283:8	<b>34</b> 265:18	<b>381</b> 297:6	273:3 294:5 329:17 334:18	<b>845</b> 263:24
<b>311</b> 265:23	297:2,7 306:13,21	<b>389</b> 280:7	604719	<b>89104</b> 264:17
31st 287:22	<b>340</b> 278:23	<b>392</b> 300:10,15	263:25	<b>89135</b> 264:12 303:12
<b>32</b> 265:15 291:17,18	<b>341</b> 277:8 278:23	<b>393</b> 297:8,12 306:18	7	8th 301:8 326:8 330:15
	Litimation	Samulaaa   6	7 265:21	1

Index: 9:20..already

	_		•	•
9	2	270:16 272:24	287:10 288:23 289:1	269:8,18 276:4 277:9
<b>9:20</b> 300:16	accordance 305:16	276:23 288:20 303:13 318:9	290:6 292:12 297:21 304:5, 23 308:18 309:17	290:16 291:21 323:2
<b>9:34</b> 263:20 264:4 266:3	according 271:24 307:1,	addressed 276:19	316:10,19 317:11	air 303:9 308:3,12
A	4 324:19 325:25	281:21 282:6, 17,19 320:17 338:4	339:18,22,24, 25 340:10,24 345:20	Air-o-cell 308:7
<b>A-18-782494- C</b> 263:7	296:8 313:5 320:15 326:5, 21,22 329:14	adequately 290:13	again 267:9 290:10 296:7 301:18 306:6,	Airborne 303:10
<b>a.m.</b> 263:20 264:4 266:3 300:16	actual 271:6 327:10	adjacent 308:22 309:10 343:3	20 312:18 314:8 317:23 322:5 324:7 328:10 333:3 341:2	Alexxa 277:24 292:10
Aaron 336:23	actually 271:25 283:10,22	adjustments 279:8	agent 297:25	<b>all</b> 267:11 280:14 282:3 284:11
ability 267:1 able 294:17	287:2 302:21 307:8 319:15 326:7,9 329:16	<b>admonitions</b> 266:22 267:9	298:11 329:23,24 330:9,15	288:19 292:3 294:7,18 299:24 313:3 317:9 318:14
<b>above</b> 276:17 284:6 287:7 344:16,17,20, 21	addendum 304:9,11,14 333:23 334:2,	affidavit 265:23 311:17 312:10 313:4	<b>ago</b> 285:7 289:1 339:21 341:23	320:1,14,23 326:19 328:7, 22 337:17,24 338:2,22 340:9 342:20
Absolute 286:1 290:7	6,16 335:7  addition 273:1	314:13,17 317:13 318:9, 14 319:25 320:7 325:9,	agree 315:10 331:22 agreement	alluded 271:21
access 284:15,21 332:22	additional 324:15	14,25 326:15 329:8 335:5 341:20	267:14 328:21 333:24	already 294:18 300:20 303:3
333:15 341:21 342:1,	336:18 address	after 271:3 278:11 282:7	<b>ahead</b> 268:6	310:13 335:5
	auuiess			

Index: also..back

also 269:3 273:9 274:7 278:2 281:17	335:23 344:2 345:14 346:9	286:9 290:13 309:13 342:2 343:19	<b>Assumes</b> 327:7 334:10	330:2,4,10,17 authorization
282:1,8 284:15 294:13 317:2 332:21	<b>anyway</b> 281:23	areas 341:13	assuming 276:11 308:11	305:17
Alternaria 308:10,23	apologies 325:23	arose 335:6 around 319:7,	attached 275:1 276:14	286:14
although 278:20 345:7	apparently 272:20 282:16 342:5	10 343:10,11, 20	attachment 269:17	300:22
always 274:1	APPEARANC ES 264:8	Ascospores 308:24	276:10 311:18	264:11,16  aware 266:17
293:19 amended	appearing 266:15	<b>Ashley</b> 298:16	attachments 275:5	274:14 285:24 291:9, 13 299:13
333:22 334:23	appears 268:16	Assessment 303:9,11	<b>attorney</b> 312:17,21	302:8 303:24 304:17,24 305:8 306:16
another 278:2 296:20 304:14	272:15 274:23,25 286:11	assistance 312:17	attorneys 337:3	307:6,9,12 338:22 346:4
answers 267:2	292:11 appreciate	assistant 268:18 277:15,20	August 283:19 284:5 286:12 287:8,	В
anybody 307:7 310:15 334:7 337:1	298:21 337:6 <b>April</b> 270:3	278:2 285:6 329:11,16 331:4	22 314:7,10, 24 315:17,23 316:20 317:12,25	back 274:2,24 278:22 285:8, 9,13,16,18,19 286:3,5 287:3
anything 294:10	272:19 273:3 278:13 314:4	assistants 277:24	321:12 325:19,20 326:7 327:20	288:11,16 290:1 291:4, 14 292:3
296:21 303:22 304:3, 25 305:6,11	architectural 271:24,25	327:23 associated	329:8,9 340:4,21,22 341:12	296:20 299:11,18 301:19
327:12	area 284:3	326:19	<b>Austin</b> 299:4	310:10,25 311:2 325:2

Index: bare..briefly

327:22 329:5, 7 332:3 340:9	bath 279:2 285:23 346:5	267:17 300:19	belongings 299:25	block 294:16
342:9,13 345:7	bathroom 276:18 280:9	303:16 305:9 311:24	below 290:2	blow 288:13
bare 324:18	281:2 296:22 308:23	beginning 275:9,14	bench 292:24 293:2,4,9,11,	Blue 270:5 272:22 273:25
base 319:7,10 333:17	309:10 318:11 319:1, 14 320:3,16,	begins 272:15	12 294:6	281:13,18 283:15 337:18,20,21
based 332:11,13,17	24 321:7 328:11 337:14	274:18 292:7 296:10	best 324:4 325:15	340:13 341:10
basement 276:18 318:11 320:3,	338:11,17 339:8,9 343:12 344:16,21	behalf 298:1 334:7 344:5	better 317:19 318:2 322:19 341:6,8	board 279:2, 10,13,16 280:2
16,24 328:11 337:14 339:8, 9 344:16	345:4,10,14, 20 346:6	behind 272:2	between 277:14 296:7	boss 294:10
basically	bathrooms 279:7	being 283:23 287:19 293:3 294:17 306:2	315:23 316:18 317:3 323:23	both 267:2 276:16
270:11,19 284:23 296:6 336:17	bathtub 279:6	314:6 316:2 321:21 327:24	324:20 327:22 330:21 331:6,	317:18 326:6 bottom 269:5
<b>basis</b> 310:16	<b>became</b> 304:24	338:18 341:11 345:9	19,25 332:8 336:14 341:7, 9	276:2 278:22 280:6 283:13 286:7 292:19
bat 271:18 298:22	bedroom 281:1 303:11	believe 271:1 274:22 276:20	bidet 280:25	296:11
Bates 269:5 306:15,18	308:21 309:8 321:7 332:23 333:4	297:18 299:15 301:8 312:12 313:3	<b>bids</b> 273:12, 15,19	box 268:22 271:7
Bates-	bedroom/ bathroom	317:15 324:14,15 325:12,15	bit 289:24,25 322:4 342:10	break 291:1, 4,24
stamped 269:16 277:8 283:9 296:5	344:19	328:3 329:15 330:4,6	Black 264:10	briefly 274:15 313:14
302:23 312:1	before 264:4			335:12
			•	

Index: broker..closet

			_	_
broker 334:3	called 280:2 286:7 294:7	2,4,6,12,16, 19,25 288:5,	certain 290:19	275:3 288:21
broker's 334:3	321:22 calls 290:8	10,13,16 325:2 327:13 328:3	certainty 315:22	chronology 336:19
build 338:2	304:18 328:25 332:15	case 263:7 310:24	CERTIFICATE 347:1	<b>circulating</b> 289:7 317:19
built 271:23 272:4	came 269:1	319:22 336:9	Certified	circumstance s 336:8
bunch 271:13	271:13 287:2 304:5,23 310:25 311:1	cassette 308:7	263:21 264:5	<b>CLARK</b> 263:2
buyer 306:4	324:7 330:23 337:22 338:4 340:14 341:3	catch 291:11 309:16	Cesar 312:7	close 340:19
<b>buyers</b> 297:19	can't 270:13	caught 345:8	chain 284:12	344:8,14
328:21	272:8 273:22 293:14 317:2,	causing	<b>chance</b> 292:4 307:19	closed 304:5, 23 305:10,24
С	6 319:5 322:9 345:6	322:7	change 345:18	closed-in 343:19
		Caveat 344:4	0.00	0.00
294:8,14 296:16	<b>Canada</b> 267:16,25	cavity 343:4,7	charge 272:9	closet 283:19,22,23
308:22 309:9 cabinets	car 289:6 343:18	CCR 263:24	<b>charges</b> 270:11,12	285:8,23 286:2 287:12, 19 288:1,12
286:2 290:17, 18,22 292:24 293:9,24 294:6,23	care 282:3 294:11 296:20	ceiling 276:17 319:9 320:17	charging 272:7	289:10,14 290:6 292:24 293:5,9,24 294:7,23
296:22,25 309:14,18	316:14	338:19 343:17 344:16	<b>check</b> 276:20	296:22 297:21 300:19 301:6
310:6 328:3 call 281:6,13,	carpet 285:8, 9,10,11,13, 16,17,19,23	345:10,13 346:6	<b>chose</b> 273:19,20	303:11 308:21,22 309:8,9,14,19
14,25 282:1,8 294:10	286:13,17,20, 21,24 287:1,	cell 281:17	Chris 270:4,5	321:6 324:4

Index: Closets..couple

				obetbcoupie
329:13	communicati	332:16	Contenta	315:12,17
332:24 343:3,	on 273:8		330:2	316:11,16,20
4,11 345:20		conclusions		317:4,12,23
	company	307:22 309:5	contents	318:12,17
Closets 286:1	263:11	307.22 309.3	313:12	319:3,17
290:7	270:17		313.12	320:3,20
290.7	286:24 294:8	concurrent		321:12
	321:6 326:20	308:9	contract	324:22
closing			298:19	325:19 326:2,
299:11 305:5	342:7 344:4			10,15 327:5,
330:5		conducted		24 328:12
	complete	311:10	contractors	331:7,12,20
	267:1	323:23 324:3	342:11	332:14
Cloud 285:10		328:23		334:18,21,22,
			conversation	25 335:8,9
Club 300:2	completed	confined	336:5	337:18,23
01112 00012	284:2 325:18	343:19	555.5	340:16 343:5,
	327:24	0.10.10		21,22 344:14
collect 319:9			conversation	345:4
	completely	confirming	s 307:14	343.4
collected	286:8 290:13	294:22	335:10	
308:20	200.0 230.13		336:22	correctly
300.20		confused		274:11
	computer	310:21	copied	330:17
Colleen	275:11	342:10	330:18	
268:16		342:10	334:12	
			334:12	cotrustee
00mc 207:14	concern	construction		313:25
come 267:14	303:8 305:16	270:10 271:3	copies 268:8,	
274:1 281:12,			9 328:22	counsel
23 283:25	concerned			264:8 268:8
286:1,5	279:9	contact 337:1		
294:19			correct	
296:20		contacted	268:25 270:6	Country
	concerns	286:1 300:11	276:19 283:1,	300:2
comfortable	339:7	338:4	2 290:23,24	
267:9,10			294:25	COUNTY
257.57,10	concluded		299:19 301:3,	263:2
	346:13	contain	17 303:14	203.2
comment	0.10.10	314:17	304:7,12	
268:15			306:17	couple
	conclusion	contained	310:10	270:10
communicate	328:25	313:4	314:20	277:17 285:7
d 299:5 321:2		313.4		
u 233.3 321.2				

Index: course..disclosures

			_	_
296:2 298:22 300:9 307:21	22,24 336:5	326:6 329:18 336:19	<b>degree</b> 315:21	265:11
325:17 330:14 341:23	cutout 322:4	day 294:4 336:10	delivered 268:18	Designs 271:4 273:23
342:22	cutting 309:15	days 278:11	delivery	desk 296:25
314:14 327:11 339:1	D	285:7 287:10	286:15	detected 308:8
	daily 310:16	deal 274:2	denoted 277:6	Development
court 263:1, 21 264:5 266:6 267:15,	damaged	dealing 274:1	<b>Denver</b> 295:9,	263:11 313:19,22
24 268:9 311:16 347:1	290:18 308:21	Deborah 277:25	10,14 298:13 299:23 310:14,23	different 283:10 304:4
Crawford 268:16,17	damages 326:19	December 265:21 303:4	331:8 333:12 depicting	305:11 326:6, 10 327:22
create 334:2	date 276:9 278:9,13	<b>DEF163</b> 269:6	338:21	differently 303:23 305:1,
Criterium 274:20	293:25 305:23	DEF338 277:8	deposed 266:19	6
275:16 279:22 282:15	306:15,16 311:6 324:3, 7,13 325:5,15	<b>DEF351</b> 296:5	deposition 263:16 264:1	diligent 267:3
314:18 315:10	329:21 339:23 345:6	<b>DEF381</b> 297:8	266:4,16,21 268:4 269:15	direct 270:3
318:11 319:3 337:15 340:3, 10 341:9 344:3,7	dated 270:3 272:15 276:8	<b>DEF393</b> 306:15	271:22 277:7, 18 278:10 285:12 297:7 299:8 301:10	directly 274:1 277:20 298:18
cub 308:11	280:13,23 287:22 288:20 292:9 301:16	Defendant 287:21	335:11,14 336:10,13 346:12	dis 322:25
current 300:1	302:12 305:9	Defendants 263:13	<b>Dept</b> 263:8	disclosure 303:24
cut 284:15,	dates 282:19	264:14	Description	disclosures

Index: disconnect..e-mail

	•	•	•	
325:19 326:1	Doctor	307:1 308:4	288:11	duly 266:10
333:22	266:15 268:4	311:23	309:21	<b>ddiy</b> 200.10
333.22	269:13	312:19	319:11 325:2,	
	276:24	319:18 333:9	17 329:11	during 267:22
disconnect	277:13	344:2	338:15	271:2 298:19
322:19 323:3		344:2	330:15	305:5 309:6,7
	282:11,23			310:16,18
	283:2 284:19	documentatio	drafted	317:18 332:2
discover	286:4 287:13	n 321:24	325:13	338:23
329:17	289:3 290:5	323:10,18		341:21 342:3
	291:3,8,10,	324:19		011121 01210
discovered	14,23 292:5	024.10	draw 270:21	
272:6 321:15,	293:13 295:2,			duties 266:7
22 323:15	20,25 297:6,	documents	drawing	
324:4 325:5	23 299:8,13	268:18	272:12	_
	300:13,25	270:18 324:7,	212:12	E
329:12,19	301:12 302:8,	16 336:18		
	15,21 303:14,	344:11	drawn 272:1	
discussed	17 304:9,21			e-mail
282:2 330:6	305:14,21			265:12,13,14,
	306:21	<b>Don</b> 324:10	dried 327:12	15,17,18
	307:20			268:22 269:2
discussing	309:11 310:8	done 274:13,	dripped 319:8	270:2,3,8,16
280:8	311:16,24	14,18 279:8	anppea oroio	272:14,19,22,
	312:11 313:1,	283:23		24 273:3
discussion	8,14 314:16	288:17	drop 319:6	274:7,17
307:23 308:2	•	303:22 304:3,		275:3,4
309:3	315:10		Dropbox	276:1,6,25
309.3	319:17	25 305:6,11	268:19,20	277:5 280:7,
	320:11	306:3,25	200:19,20	
dispute	321:17,20,23	325:4 327:10		12,23 281:16
271:18	324:18 325:8	328:6 342:8	dry 286:8	282:23
	326:10 328:8		288:14	283:10,14
	329:8,14,16	door 272:1,2	290:13 321:6	284:7,12
disputes	331:1,5,16	279:19	325:4 327:12	285:14 287:7,
270:10,22	332:6 333:25	284:15,21	SESTI SETTIE	9,10,22,23
	334:8 335:10	204.13,21		288:20
disputing	337:5 342:21,		drywall	291:22 292:5,
270:12 272:9	23 344:22	doors 271:4	283:18,24	7,9,15 293:22
210:12 212:9	345:1 346:10		284:23,24	294:1,20,24
		dauld 212.0	345:12,23	296:6,10,12,
DISTRICT		doubt 312:9		14 297:10,14,
263:1	document		almouselll	17 300:15,16,
	268:25 269:2	down 287:3	drywalled	20 302:21
	276:12,13		345:21	
Doc 333:7				
			200 000 1110	

Index: e-mailed..expert

				arrear rexpert
303:2 306:7,	279:16	281:10	319:23	266:10
14 324:8,13,	294:16	201.10	010.20	200.10
25 330:8,19		ended 294:8	Evans 314:1	exams 311:1
334:12	electrician			
	273:9,24	enough 300:8	even 266:24	Except 319:2
e-mailed 268:6 302:15		<b>.</b>	300:7 319:8	
330:4,17	electronic	entered	320:7 344:10	exchange
000.1,17	266:22 271:6	331:15		296:6
e-mails			everything	
268:16	electronically	entirely	274:10 281:1	excluding
277:13,14	266:16 268:13	325:14	322:9	271:1
292:12	269:14			
300:10 327:21		Environmenta	evidence 307:10,12	excuse
327.21	electronics	I 265:20,22	316:4 327:7	272:25
each 282:19	280:25	301:11 302:3, 6 303:7	334:10	281:16 291:11
327:14		305:17	338:10	201.11
	elevated	311:11		exhibit
easily 294:7	308:10		evident	265:12,13,14,
		equipment	345:13	15,17,18,19,
East 264:16	eliminate	271:5,6		21,23 269:10,
	298:20	296:19 345:20	exact 282:18 325:14	15 275:2 277:1,6
Ed 271:17,19		343.20	323.14	282:20
273:9	eliminates 298:22	error 272:10	exactly	291:16,18
	230.22	CITO1 272.10	273:22 280:3	295:16,21
eight 278:11	emphasize	escrow	344:20	296:4 297:2,7 302:18,22
	289:24	341:21 342:3		303:3,6
either 271:23		344:9,14	Examination	306:13,21
293:18	Emptor 344:4		265:4,5,6	307:2 311:13
322:15 335:6 336:23 342:6		ESQ 264:10,	266:13 337:10	
330.23 342.0	enclosure	15	342:25	exhibits
Electric	279:25			265:10 267:4 268:5,7
273:24		established	examine	302:22
	end 266:25	318:20	316:10	
electrical	270:9 275:15	evaluated		expert 297:20
	277:22	evaluated	examined	_

Index: eyes..foundation

308:5	325:6,16 326:8 334:25 335:1,2,6	7 310:25 338:3	293:20 294:2, 7,23 316:3 321:17,21	following 287:11 290:4
eyes 332:8	feeds 322:4	finishes 287:8	323:17 328:6 341:11	follows 266:11
F	10000 022.1	207.0		200.11
fact 267:13 322:17	feel 288:23 312:23	finishing 292:6	fixing 279:1 296:17	forgotten 328:14 344:10
022.17	felt 336:2	fired 278:19	floor 264:11 280:14 281:3	form 273:4
facts 327:7 334:10 336:8	few 277:23 327:14	fireplace 271:23 272:3.	338:19	288:7 289:18 298:2 303:24
failed 315:17 317:22	filled 304:4	4	floor-to- ceiling 272:5	308:25 316:21 317:5
fair 280:17	final 270:21	first 266:10 268:11	Folino 263:5 331:25	320:4,18,21 323:25 326:25 327:7
family 272:1	276:11 284:2 288:22 311:1	271:21 273:12 275:4 293:2,20	342:17	328:24 334:9 339:13 342:18
fancy 280:25	find 275:7 279:24 283:4,	302:12 305:14 307:21	Folinos 298:1,19 299:18	345:15 forward
fans 288:14	6 302:10 306:20 316:23	309:25 317:14,16 330:5	304:11 330:23 331:6, 15,19 332:8,	269:24
far 281:8 295:10	318:21 320:25 321:2 324:8 328:18	five 318:10	22 333:15,21 334:7 338:23, 24 339:10	forwarded 276:3
fault 271:17	fine 268:23	319:12 fix 284:1	341:20 342:3 343:24 344:5, 8,13	found 306:23 309:8 311:22 324:5
<b>Fax</b> 264:13	finish 266:23 287:12	294:19 315:5 321:19 341:4	follow-up	
February 263:19 264:4	324:11	fixed 280:1	306:6 337:8	foundation 296:23 301:21 304:1,
266:2 277:23 278:6,11,12 324:6,20	finished 274:10 300:6,	281:8 282:10, 15 290:6,22 292:24	follow-ups 342:23	18 308:25 314:25 315:18,24

Index: four..guard

317:5 318:18	fungal 303:9,	324:23	289:23	267:11,23
320:4,18,21	10 308:8	325:20	300:11 322:3	268:2,3,23
322:1 324:1		326:25 327:6	342:12	269:4,8,12
326:25 327:8		328:1,13,24		274:5 277:4
328:1,13	fungi 309:8	330:11		282:22
331:21		331:21	gist 336:3	288:18
332:15 333:8	furniture	332:15 333:6		289:20 291:2.
334:9 345:15	299:24	334:9 335:18	give 281:15	7,21 292:2
334.3 343.13	255.24		336:6 344:7	
		336:14 337:7,	330.0 344.7	295:13,18
four 273:20	further	11 339:14,16		297:4 298:7
275:20 289:1	346:10,11	340:2,5,8	given 288:24	301:19 302:1,
319:12 326:9,	·	342:20	298:4	20 304:6,20
11,16		345:15		305:4,13
,	G	346:11		308:13 309:4
			gives 281:17	311:15 315:2,
fourth 274:17		garage		8,20 316:5
	Gable 278:3	garage	glancing	317:1,8
	Cabic 270.5	284:16,21	309:21	318:23
Freeman		289:6 321:7	309.21	319:24
277:25	Gallagher	343:18,21		320:10,19
	343:23		goes 271:7	321:3,10
frequently		gate 331:14	281:15 292:8	322:6,14,23
338:16	0-1111	<b>3</b>	303:1	323:2,5,12
000.10	Galliher			324:17 325:7,
	264:15 265:5	gave 273:15		22 327:3,16
front 297:9	267:19,20	298:9 307:5	gone 268:5	328:4,19
312:6	268:1,14		334:13 335:5	
	273:4 288:7	****** 200.0		329:6 330:13,
6	289:18	genera 308:8,	Gonzales	20 331:22
frustrated	290:25 291:3,	9		332:4,20
336:1	25 295:9		322:14	333:13
	296:23 298:2	general 284:1		334:15
full 278:25	301:21 304:1,	344:25	good 273:18	335:20,22
332:22	18 305:2,7	011.20	287:4	336:16,21
333:15	308:4,25			337:5 339:13
341:21	314:25	Gerber		340:1,3,7
341.21	315:18,24	323:20	gotten 272:21	342:18,22
	316:21 317:5	336:23	274:8 320:8	343:1 345:24
fun 335:15	318:18		328:15	346:9
	319:18 320:4,	arakkira ar		
£		getting	0	
function	18,21 321:8	273:12	Graf 264:10	group 329:25
341:15	322:1,6,8,12,	286:13	265:4,6	
	16 323:8,25	288:22	266:14	guard 331:14
				gualu 331.14
	- '			_

Index: guess..independent

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
guess 302:4 306:5 314:22	heard 286:2	281:13,18 283:15	271:11,13 273:13,17	315:11
guy 294:14 299:4	heater 279:2, 10,13,19,25 309:10	337:18,20,21 340:13 341:10	274:12 288:23 293:11,19	II 263:18
gym 338:16	Heidi 263:24 264:4	higher 308:9	301:24 310:16 316:4 326:10 328:23	immediately 321:21 327:11
н	help 285:14 287:15 288:4,	hired 316:13 338:24 339:2	331:19 332:2 337:25 338:2 341:17 342:2	important 266:24
half 288:12	22	Hogan 271:19 273:9	343:25 344:17,19,24	importantly 319:11
<b>hallway</b> 343:13	Henry 271:9 276:10 281:18 282:1, 7 283:15	Hogan's 271:17	However 326:18	inaccurate 325:9,13
handed 269:13 311:16	284:14 286:11	hold 333:7	HVAC 345:25 346:2,3	326:15
happen 319:21	288:21 294:7 here 266:19	hole 324:21 345:22	<b>Hyphae</b> 308:24	include 320:2 328:10 334:23
happened 341:2	267:15,24 270:23 275:10 279:1 282:6 283:6 293:11 294:8	home 274:8, 9,14,18 288:25 303:13,20	hypothetical 304:2,19 315:25 322:2	included 320:9 339:9 includes
having 266:10 281:9	295:24 296:17 298:15 304:8	311:3 338:9, 13,24 346:6	1	269:17
305:25 322:21	312:9 313:17 317:17 319:25 321:4	hope 285:8	identification	including 269:16 270:17
<b>Hawley</b> 336:23	324:20 330:8 336:13	hopefully 267:5	269:11 277:2 282:21 291:19	332:23
hear 266:25 280:21 294:9	Heron 270:6 272:22	hot 341:16	295:17 297:3 302:19 311:14	incomplete 304:2,19 315:25 322:2
322:9	273:25	house	identified	independent

Index: INDEX..kicks

294:1	340:14	327:4,17	issue 271:22 322:21	264:15
INDEX 265:1	informing 270:12	instead 273:25	issues	jets 279:1
indicated			270:19,24 272:23 273:1	JOB 263:25
308:7 314:19	initially 286:25	instruct 310:5 341:25	274:11,25 275:23	jointly 283:11
individual			276:23	
263:5,6,9 270:5	inlet 281:4	instructed 342:4	281:22 282:6 283:24 339:4	joist 343:18
indoor 303:9 308:8	inside 271:7 343:4,7	intention 309:23	Item 287:23 292:22 294:4	joke 322:10
	inspect			Jones 270:17
infer 280:15	338:24	into 284:10	items 273:2	
		285:23	282:2,10,13,	JOSEPH
Infinity	inspected	288:16 311:3	14,16 287:10	263:5
265:19,21	328:17	314:3 327:23	288:22	
301:11 302:3,		333:4	293:16	Judge 322:14
6 303:7	inspection		294:18 296:17 338:3	
305:17,18	274:8,14,18	intrusion	200.17 000.0	Julie 277:15
311:11	281:22 282:3	316:4	hram 200-24	278:1 280:7
	298:6 301:10,		Ivan 298:24 329:25 330:6	281:15
inform 305:25	15 309:7	investigate	329.23 330.0	
330:1,2,3	311:10 320:8	318:21		K
	328:15 344:4		J	
information		investigated		
298:4 312:22,	inspections	321:1	lanuami	keep 275:21
25 313:4,16 314:18 331:3	328:22 343:24		<b>January</b> 321:14	320:12
332:13 336:6	343.24	invoice	323:14,23	
002.10 000.0		323:19	325:10	Kelly 330:2
informed	inspector 338:24 339:2		334:25 335:1,	
informed 307:16	330:Z4 339:Z	involved	2,6	kept 273:8,21
319:16,20		337:2		
329:23	installed		Jeff 267:12	kick 286:6
330:16	271:5 286:18	irrevocable		NICK 200.0
333:21 339:3		313:23	JEFFREY	kieke 200.11
	instances			kicks 290:11

Index: kidding..list

327:14	lacks 304:18 331:22	16 309:17 314:6,9 318:5,10,13,	320:1,14 326:2,6,10,	276:20 278:20 279:24 282:8
kidding 335:16	Ladowski 332:1	16,20,22 319:15,17,23	12,14,17,19 334:21,24 339:8 340:21	307:8 310:21
kind 269:23 297:11 307:22 342:8	laid 287:3	320:2,16,24 321:1,15,17, 19,22 323:15, 17 324:11,21	leaseback 299:9,21 310:16,18	levels 308:10
knew 273:14 306:2,24 326:1	Lane 303:12	325:1,5,10,15 327:20 328:11,17,18 329:12,17,19,	leased 299:11,18	263:11 licensed 316:13
knowing 316:2	289:21 Las 263:22	23 330:16 333:22 334:17 335:4, 7 337:23	leasing 310:9	326:20 like 271:6,8
knowledge 290:10	264:3,12,17 266:1 295:6,8 303:12 305:18	338:5,10 339:19,25 340:4,5,18,25 345:5	least 275:19, 24 276:15 282:13 290:14,21	275:20 276:22 279:6, 20 287:18 293:16
293:25 296:15 315:4, 19 317:24 318:3 324:5 325:6,16	last 266:18 270:20 271:10 278:14 283:7	leakage 327:10	292:11 293:23 294:24 301:2 306:15,16 307:1,2	312:23 324:12 336:2 337:7 338:15 343:17 344:3
328:6 331:11, 18 332:12,18 333:3 334:6	287:10 292:12 299:8 306:21 313:7	leaked 317:23 318:3	leave 295:8	likely 280:1
knows 267:17	336:14 later 294:4	leaking 315:12,23 316:8,18,24	leaving 294:9 335:3	263:11
Konsten 263:24 264:5	330:5 340:20 leak 276:17	317:3 leaks 276:16	left 271:11 295:11	line 287:22 294:4 345:21, 23
L	278:11,12 280:8,19 283:20	288:23,24 289:6,17,22 314:14,19	legal 264:15 328:25	list 273:15 275:6 276:11
labeled 276:7,12	289:13 290:7 297:22 301:5 304:5,10,12,	316:3,20 317:9,12,14, 16 318:1,15	332:16 let 275:10	279:21 282:16 284:2 296:18
				•

Index: listed..Melendez

	•	_	•	•
314:15	longer 297:8	M	282:20 283:8	330:18 332:1
337:17 338:1	loliger 237.0	IVI	291:18	339:19,25
337:17 330:1				
	looked 278:9		295:16 297:2,	340:3,6,10,
listed 282:14	282:25	M.D. 263:17	7 302:19	19,20 341:9
	306:14 310:1,	264:2 265:3,	311:13	
	2 337:22	24 266:4,9		maybe 280:3
little 267:2	2 007.22	21200.1,0	master 279:2	342:10 345:6
271:7 289:23			280:8 281:1,2	342.10 343.0
293:16,19	looking 276:6	made 298:24		
322:4,21	306:18	303:24	283:19,21,23	Meadowhawk
336:1	309:20,23	304:16	287:12,25	303:12
	311:20	316:19	289:10,13	313:19 314:3
	011.20		290:6 292:24	338:25
lived 311:2			293:5,9	000.E0
319:1 338:12	looks 276:22	major 288:24	294:23	
		289:5,17,22	297:21 301:6	mean 288:10,
linia - 205 2	1 204 42		303:11	11 295:9
living 295:3,	losses 321:12		308:21,23	306:11 315:5
4,5 298:13	336:8	make 268:25	309:7,10,19	323:22
299:22 311:7,		274:10	321:6 329:13	325:21,23
9 331:8	lost 280:4	313:16	332:23,24	336:14
	1031 200.4	323:21		330.14
Liz 278:3		326:24 327:5,	333:4 343:3,	
LIZ 2/0.3	lot 267:4	9 345:22	4,11 344:19,	meaning
	319:21 336:4		21 345:4,10,	285:18 288:9
LLC 263:11			13 346:5	341:16
313:19		making 322:6		
	lots 293:16		matter 322:17	
		Management	matter 322.17	means
Lobello	low 271:4	313:22		266:22
264:10	10W 271.4	313.22	may 265:12,	326:14
			13,18 271:24	
lask 271.12	lower 308:21	March 278:6	272:15,24	
lock 271:12	309:9	324:13,21	274:17 275:2,	measures
		334:25 335:2,	25 276:15	340:24 341:1
locking		6	280:13,16,23	
279:24	lug 279:25		282:13	media 345:19
L TO.LT			298:11 303:8	incula 343.13
	Lyons 263:10	mark 268:9		
locks 271:3	313:19,21	269:8	305:15	mediation
	313.18,21		314:19	312:13
I 007.40		mante d	315:10,23	
long 297:10		marked	316:11,19	l
326:23		269:10,14	321:24	Melendez
		277:1,6	323:10,19,24	312:7
			_	-

Index: member..notary

	I			
member	moisture	300:6,8 311:4	284:15 287:5	next 272:13
313:21	319:7,13		290:6 293:8,	284:21 285:5,
		move-in	12,19 294:19	21,25 286:23
memory	mold 297:20,	330:25	297:5 302:5	291:8,16
336:20	21 298:5,17	330.23	306:20 338:3	295:19 297:5
330.20	300:11		345:18	300:9 302:22
	301:16,23	moved 289:2		319:6 326:18
mentioned	303:20	295:14	needed	329:10
277:24	304:15,25	310:14,23	276:18	330:21
	305:25 306:2,	314:3 338:9	282:10	333:20
messages	9,17,25		291:23	
331:25	307:2,10,13,	moves	319:23	Nicky 297:14
331.23	17 308:3	296:11	319.23	298:9,10
	309:23 342:6,	230.11		300:16
meter 308:11	9,12		needs 276:23	324:14
	9,12	moving	280:1 327:12	329:12 342:1
middle 282:4		295:10 300:1		329:12 342:1
300:15	month 289:1	311:3	Nevada	
300.13				Nicole 263:5
		much 267:6	263:2,11,22,	307:15 331:4,
mini 345:19	months 289:1	much 267:6	24 264:3,6,	25 333:19
346:3	327:5,18		12,17 266:1	335:11,25
		Myers 270:4,	303:12	341:25 342:5,
	more 266:24	5 275:4	305:18	10,14,16,17,
minimum	267:2 319:11		313:23	19
324:19	326:5 342:21			
		N	never 285:22	
minute			298:18	night 271:11
345:17	morning		318:16,25	
	266:16 267:5	name 268:16	319:13	None 341:14
	268:8	278:3 299:4	320:17	
minutes			334:23 335:7	
339:21	most 280:1	names	338:15 342:4	normal
341:23	296:17	names	000.10 012.1	266:22 339:1
		273:16		
missing			new 272:23	normally
279:25	mother	NCRA 263:24	273:1 274:9	274:8
	277:25		284:15,22,24	2
			285:19,22	
misstates	mount 271:8	necessarily	286:17,21	Nos 302:18
320:22		279:9	287:2,6,19	
324:23 327:6			288:10	notary 312:7
333:7,8	move 272:13	need 279:17	293:18	

Index: notes..over

	•	•	•	
notes 275:16,	0	obvious	268:19	open 268:19,
25		335:21	296:17,25	20 269:1
			299:2 334:3	335:3
	Oakes 298:16	alas da saalas		
nothing	Oakes 290:10	obviously	- 661 - 1 - 11	
338:20		272:10 325:3	officially	opened 277:5
346:11	oath 266:18		299:12	
	267:21	occasions		opposed
notified	291:10,13	330:24	Omar 312:7	267:24
329:19 334:3	201110/10	330.24	Omai 312.7	207.24
323.13 334.3				
	object 273:4	occupying	one 268:5,11,	opposite
November	288:7 289:18	310:9	12 270:13,16	293:5
265:19	298:2 339:13		271:1,2,10,	
297:15	342:18	000.15	11,18,20	
298:13		occur 280:12	272:20	order 307:8
299:12,17	l		275:19 276:7	
300:12,16	objection	occurred	279:7,25	origin 263:10
301:2,7,11,16	305:7 308:4	288:25	280:8 282:25	Crigin 200.10
302:13 303:6	316:21 323:8	299:12 301:3,	283:7 284:11,	
	324:23	6 328:11	•	original
305:9,10,19,	330:11 333:6		12 285:9	285:9,13,17
23 306:14		329:23	289:6 290:5	
307:3,10	ahiaatiana	330:16	291:8 292:17	
309:17 310:8,	objections	334:17 335:8	295:19 297:5,	originally
9,11 311:1,5,	305:2 322:7	346:5	6 299:7	285:20
10 318:5			302:9,10	
329:11,17,21,	obligation	October	321:15	others 282:18
22 330:15,22	328:20	265:15,17	323:15	
331:6,7,19,20	020.20	296:10,12	324:15	
332:9,24		325:21,23	327:14,19	otherwise
333:21	observe	327:23	328:14	307:13
334:18	338:10	321.23	336:15 343:2,	
	341:12		16 345:5	outdoor
NDOD 000 7		off 279:21		308:9
NRCP 266:7	cheeryed	281:5 290:11	070.05	300.9
	observed	291:25 293:2	ones 270:25	
number 269:1	309:13	298:22	317:20 318:2	Outlook
281:17,18	318:10,25	302:14		268:20
290:5 328:16	325:10	309:25 323:5	only 267:11	
200.0 020.10	333:12	555.25 525.0	278:3 289:1	
			293:10 302:9	outside
	obtain 312:22	<b>office</b> 266:19	310:2 316:7	345:21
		267:15,24	324:8 342:4	
			324.0 342.4	over 268:5,11
			200 000 1110	1 200,0,11

Index: oversight..plumbing

			_	-
280:14 289:1	17 278:25	Penicillium/	22 331:5	planning
291:9 292:23	281:15 282:5	aspergillus	332:7	300:1
296:11	285:5,21,25	308:15	332.7	300.1
297:10 300:8	286:12 290:3	300.13		
301:9 302:5,	330:21		phone 281:17	plans 271:24,
		people		25
24 306:7	333:20	271:10	photographo	
311:4 319:1		296:16	photographs 318:10 319:2	plastic
327:21 335:5	paragraphs	298:21,22	310:10 319:2	•
336:2,3	313:16	330:18		321:16
344:18	325:17		picture	323:16
	329:10		279:18	
oversight		percent		plates 286:6
270:13 271:2		270:21		
	parens		pictures	
	333:23	perfect	338:21	PLTF1813
own 332:8,12,		339:15	345:13	302:23
18	part 271:21	555115		
	284:1 307:22		piece 284:22,	plumber
owner 313:18	325:12	performed	23,24 285:4	276:19,23
0111101	020.12	306:1	20,2 : 200 : :	279:8 316:2
				270.0 010.2
owners	particular	perhaps	<b>pieces</b> 290:19	
270:16	276:21	298:11		plumbers
	292:22	230.11	pinhole	279:1 280:5
Р			321:15	281:6
	particularly	period 288:25	323:15	
	274:12	298:19	324:21	plumbing
	274.12	310:17,18	325:10 335:4	276:17
<b>P.C.</b> 264:15		317:4 327:4,	323.10 333.4	281:16,21
	party 332:14	17 332:2		282:1,6,14
p.m. 346:13		342:3	pipes 321:16	285:7 303:8,
J.III. 070.10	patient		323:16	19 305:24
	288:24	person 271:4		307:16
pages 307:22	200.24	person 271.4	place 284:25	316:14
			288:16	
paid 274:13	pattern	personal	200.10	317:10,18 318:15,16
Paid 2/4.13	319:10	331:10,18		
		332:12 333:3	Plaintiffs	320:1,15,20
paint 284:15	nending	334:5	263:7 264:9	321:5,16,19
	pending			323:17,19
paragraph	270:21	novocuelli.	plan 330:24	326:20 328:6,
273:6 274:4,		personally 299:1 316:9,	piari 330:24	7 336:24
£13.0 £14.4,		■ /44'I { h'4		337:24,25
·		200.1 010.0,		337.24,23

Index: point..put

			_	
point 276:22	304:15 310:3	334:20,24	341:16	282:16
298:12 300:6	304.15 310.3	335:11 336:9	341.10	202.10
310:14 311:4	pressure	339:5 342:12	property	pumps
312:18 345:5	298:6	344:8,13	295:4 299:9,	276:17
	200.0	346:6	11,18,25	314:23
pointed			303:23	315:11,16,22
283:25	presumably	probably	304:23 305:5,	316:10,17,23
203.25	279:20	266:24	•	
			24 311:2,5	317:3,19
position		278:17,20	313:18 314:4,	318:1,3,7
285:20	presume	310:4 313:9	7,9,18 325:18	339:18
	282:17 293:7	326:4	330:24 331:6	340:15,19,25
	333:19		332:8,19,23	341:6,8,13,15
positive	334:12	problem	333:5,16	343:14
267:13		273:25 281:9	341:21	
301:15	pretty 288:24		344:13	nunch 275.0
303:20 308:2,	pretty 200:24	283:18		punch 275:6
14,23		332:25 338:5		276:11
	previous	341:11 345:3	provide	279:21
_	273:17		328:21	314:14 338:1
possession	278:10	problems		
270:18	285:12	322:7 328:7	provided	purchase
	301:10 326:2,	339:7	305:19	328:21
possibly	14,16	000.7	330:19 331:3	333:24
299:2	14,10		340:13	333.24
233.2		process	340.13	
	previously	286:13 300:4		purportedly
preparation	277:21		providing	316:19
336:12			341:20	
		produce		
	price 286:14	268:21		purpose
prepare			pull 279:17	299:21
297:17	print 268:21	produced		
312:14	F 200121	302:10	pulled 288:13	purposes
		304:10	Panea 200.10	269:15 277:7
prepared	printed	JUT. 10		200.10 277.7
301:14	268:21		pump 284:20	
302:12		professionals	289:7,9	pursuant
304:14	prior 276:8	316:13	317:22 318:1	316:11
	302:4 304:4		338:5 341:6	
312:11,12,14,			343:2	mul 007.10
16 326:1	307:10 314:7,	properly		put 267:12
334:4	10,23 321:24	274:13		271:3 273:15
	323:10 330:5	294:17	pumping	275:16
presence				
•				

Index: putting..references

			macx. pateri	8
200.04	000 0 010 10	242.40	000 10 00	
280:24	296:2 313:12	342:10	286:19,20	343:2,14
284:24 285:9,	323:14		287:19	
13,16,18,23	325:11 336:2	read 300:19	293:14,17,18	recirculation
287:19	337:8,13	301:19,20	298:5,9,16	276:16
288:16 290:1	342:21	307:19,21,23	303:21 306:8	270110
292:23 297:1	343:24	313:7,10,13	307:18	
345:20,22		315:3 323:7	309:20 310:7	recognize
	quick 290:25	326:5,16	312:6,8	277:13
putting 285:7	291:4 306:12	329:3	314:6,9 315:6	
288:11 325:2	291.4 300.12	323.3	320:7 330:19	recollection
200:11 323:2			334:11	285:15
	quit 278:19	reading	338:18 339:3,	286:16
Q		308:18	20 341:22,23,	
			24 345:6,9	287:16 288:5,
	quite 273:18			17 294:2
	291:11	real 290:25		329:4
qualifications		303:23	receive	
332:5	quote 318:2	306:12	296:14	reconnect
	4	325:18		322:19,24
quality 303:9			received	323:4
341:6	R	realized	269:14	020.4
		291:23	332:13	
		291.23	332.13	record 267:12
question	Rakeman			268:14
266:23,25	281:12,16,20,	really 278:2	recently	291:14,25
279:9 281:11	25 285:6	294:14 300:7	274:19 282:8	292:3 301:20
289:19	300:11,20		324:16	302:14 315:3
294:21 304:8,	303:8,19	Realtor		323:5,7 329:3
22 306:6	•		201.0	
309:16	305:24 307:7,	334:13	recess 291:6	
310:22	9,16 316:2		292:1 302:17	records
312:20,24	317:10,18	reason	323:6	331:13
314:8,22	318:15,16	268:17		
315:1,9	320:1,15,20	317:15	recirculating	Red 300:1
320:12,13	321:5,16,19		284:20 289:7.	
325:8 330:1	323:17,19		9 314:23	
331:17 332:6	326:20 328:6	rebuild 272:7	315:11,16,22	reference
339:15,24	336:24		316:10,17,23	273:2,23
000.10,24	337:18,24	recall 275:20	317:3,22,25	274:20 275:5
	338:4 340:14	278:21 281:9		326:9 334:24
questions	341:3,4 342:6	282:18	318:1,3,7	
269:19		283:22	338:5 339:18	references
277:11,18	rather 285:2		340:15,19,25	304:9
	14111 <del>0</del> 1 205:2	285:24	341:13,15	304:9
				I
•			200 000 1110	

# TODD SWANSON, M.D., VOLUME II - 02/06/2020 Index: referencing..residence

	_	_	_	ingresidence
referencing	281:18 282:7	repaint 285:3	25 287:1,5	341:9 342:12
279:12	283:15	ropunit 200.0	288:10,11	344:3,7,10
284:19 286:4	286:11		200.10,11	344.3,7,10
290:9 334:17,	200.11	repair 317:18		
20 20		324:2 325:1,4	replaced	reported
20	related 318:6	327:9 340:25	285:17,18,19	263:23
			286:21	337:14,18
referred	relay 312:25	repaired	287:17 288:1,	341:3
271:10	161ay 312.23	•	6,9 314:23	
		293:8,13,24	315:6 317:18	uamautau
	relieved	317:10,16	318:2 341:5	reporter
referring	266:6	318:15,16		263:21 264:5
273:6,9,21		320:1,14,25		266:6 267:15,
274:4 279:22		324:22	replacing	24 268:9
293:3 327:19	remain	326:19 339:5,	287:12	311:16 347:1
330:10	267:21	11,18,22	288:15	
		340:15 345:8	327:13	reporting
refers 279:15,	remediation			341:10
16 284:3	297:20 321:6	repaired/	replenished	
296:24		replaced	285:19	
308:12		288:22	255.15	reports 302:6
317:25	remember	200.22		319:5 328:22
017.20	270:13		reply 300:10	
	271:11 272:8	repairs		request
refresh	273:22	281:12,19	report	298:17
285:15	301:12	290:22	265:20,22	305:16
286:16	330:17	300:23 301:3,	274:21 275:1,	000.10
287:15 288:4	337:12 342:9	5 316:10,18	16 279:14,23	
336:20	345:18,23	321:25	281:22 282:3,	requested
		323:11,21,23	15 301:14,16,	300:20,23
regarding	remembering	324:11 325:2	22 302:4,11,	306:3,4,9,17
270:10,19	295:12	326:24 327:5,	12 303:3,4,7,	339:4,10
299:5 321:24	200.12	24 345:10,12	10,16,25	
323:10 325:1			304:5,17,23,	require
	remodeling	report 272.25	25 305:9,15	312:20
331:18	300:4	repeat 272:25 322:5 329:1		312.20
341:20		322:5 329:1	306:9,17 307:4,20	
	remove 286:8			reselling
regards	161110VE 200:0	rephrase	308:18 311:6	274:12
321:11		312:24	315:11,15	
	renovated		316:11,19	rooidones
D	311:3		318:11 319:3,	residence
Regnault		replace	16,20 337:15	300:1 310:10
276:10	rental 300:3	285:10 286:5,	340:4,11,13	311:7,9
	Terrial 300:3	I		I

Index: Residential..sending

	•	•	•	•
Residential	336:17	319:12	289:16 290:3,	295:11 331:9
333:23		324:10	5 301:18	
	Distance	325:20	308:20	11 075 40
	Ridges	330:13	315:21	scroll 275:10
resolution	331:14,15	335:15	320:23	
270:22		338:15 343:6	326:23 335:1	searching
	Robert 285:6		342:5,10,14,	324:6 344:11
resolved			16 343:6	
275:22		sale 328:21		
	Rock 300:2	338:23 339:1,		second
		5	saying	271:20 277:9
response	Rocky 336:23		271:16	278:25
272:18,21	neeky coc.20	same 266:18	320:11 335:7	286:12
286:11 294:3		267:18		291:21
331:16	<b>ROES</b> 263:12	295:23,25	says 267:14	295:25
		303:2 305:2,7	276:9,22	302:11
responsible	room 272:1,2	309:6 313:17	278:25	313:10
273:10	100111 272.1,2	315:16	280:14 282:5	
273.10		317:22	284:14	seen 267:16
	RPR 263:24	322:16 323:8	285:21,25	272:11 303:4,
restate 315:2		336:2 340:12	286:12	16 311:23
	run 345:21,23	343:16	287:25	323:18
restrict 342:1,	Tull 343.21,23	343:16		323:10
2			288:21 292:23	
	Rusty 264:10	sample	294:20	seller 306:3
	268:24	308:3,7,14	296:16 297:8,	313:18
result 301:15	291:12			
304:16	337:13	comples	19 300:10,19	seller's
	339:17	samples	303:8 305:15	
results		307:2 308:8, 12	308:6 309:2,6	303:23 325:18
307:23 308:1,	S	12	317:9 319:25	323.10
6 309:3,5			321:14	
342:8		sarcasm	322:14 323:16 325:9	selling 346:6
372.0		322:15	326:13 328:5	
	Sahara	335:17,18	329:11	send 297:17
return 290:7	264:16		330:21	300:21
		saw 281:3	333:14,20,22	344:13
review 329:5	said 276:21	314:14 316:3	333:14,20,22	011.10
.571511 020.0	280:21 285:5,	319:13		
	13 287:4	313.13	schedule	sending
reviewed	294:9 296:18		300:23	268:13
269:22	307:10	say 266:23		286:19
301:23	310:13	280:17 287:9	school	
			301001	
	<u> </u>			

Index: sent..specific

287:4 shu  287:4 shu  293:4  312:92:25 0,17 2,15,16 313:98:24	ows 331:14  ut 281:4  e 273:24 93:5 343:12 14:19,24  nature 12:4  ned 14:13 320:7	293:2,4,9,10, 12 294:6 six 318:10 skimmed 297:11 slide 272:2 sliding 272:1, 2	331:11  sometime 292:12  Sometimes 322:18  somewhere 269:2 324:5  soon 294:10
side 29 34 5 5 5 892:25 0,17 2,15,16 31 32 98:24	e 273:24 93:5 343:12 14:19,24 nature 12:4 ned 14:13 320:7	skimmed 297:11 slide 272:2 sliding 272:1, 2	292:12  Sometimes 322:18  somewhere 269:2 324:5
29 34 5 5 8 92:25 0,17 2,15,16 31 32 98:24	93:5 343:12 14:19,24 nature 12:4 ned 14:13 320:7	297:11  slide 272:2  sliding 272:1, 2	322:18 somewhere 269:2 324:5
sig 31 292:25 0,17 2,15,16 31 32 98:24	12:4 ned 14:13 320:7	<b>sliding</b> 272:1, 2	269:2 324:5
0,17 sig 2,15,16 31 32 98:24	14:13 320:7	2	soon 294:10
98:24	29.7	11 004 45	i
5 550:2, Sig	ning 312:6	small 321:15 323:15 324:21	sorry 276:3 290:15 291:23 296:9 306:4 322:3,
ood	ns 307:17	sole 313:21	13,18 323:1 340:22 346:1
29	<b>ce</b> 278:17 98:10 318:4 95:11	somebody 298:10 306:9, 16 331:11 337:19,22	sort 266:24 271:18 297:11 309:15 319:9
	<b>gle</b> 289:6 13:18	343:20	336:19 337:25
269:5		271:13 297:25 298:3 299:2 300:21	sound 271:5 278:8
31 304:11	10:19 343:8	something 274:13 276:8	<b>source</b> 280:19 281:5
sit	93:11 94:17	279:8,15,19, 20 280:4 294:13	speaks 308:5 319:18
29	98:15 312:8	312:21 316:12 324·10 11	specific
	269:5 7 6 342:8 31 804:11, sit	sir 269:21 310:19 343:8 304:11, sit 270:23 293:11 294:17 298:15 312:8	269:5 3 342:8 304:11, sit 270:23 293:11 294:17 298:15 312:8 297:25 298:3 299:2 300:21  something 274:13 276:8 279:8,15,19, 20 280:4 294:13 312:21

Index: specifically..swabs

				rearry swabs
332:6 342:7	346:5	333:17 341:19	294:13	319:22
specifically 284:3 339:8	<b>stamp</b> 269:5 306:15,18	<b>states</b> 318:14	string 265:12, 13,14,16,17, 18 272:14	suggested 300:22 332:1
speculation 296:23 304:1,	<b>staple</b> 292:23	statute 267:14	292:5	<b>Suite</b> 264:16
19 309:1 314:25 315:24 318:18 320:5	start 272:20 277:22 278:9, 13 283:13	stayed 310:20	strings 283:10	summary 307:22
322:1 323:25 328:24 345:16	started 278:6 280:14,16	staying 310:15	structure 272:5	supervisor 271:9 283:16
spendthrift	292:11	stenographic	struggling 322:20	supplemente d 324:16
313:23	Starting 292:19	ally 263:23 264:2	subcontractor 273:10	supplied
spite 342:15	starts 287:8	still 276:18,23 281:21	subcontractor	331:25 336:18
<b>split</b> 345:19 346:3	state 264:6 308:2 332:21	287:17 287:11 290:3 291:9,13	subcontractor s 273:7,14,16, 21 274:3	supporting 270:18
<b>spoke</b> 298:16,18	stated 272:21 274:7 324:9	295:5 300:22 310:9 316:18 327:24	subject 295:4 299:25 305:19 311:5	supposed 294:12,15
<b>spoken</b> 297:25	statement 285:14 296:8 297:20	stipulate 267:20	submitted 304:10 335:7	supposedly 338:7
spores 308:11 SRPD 334:23	298:23 316:15 317:17,25 320:2,14,15	stipulation 267:18	subsequent 283:19	<b>surface</b> 303:10 308:19
stain 338:19	323:14 323:14 326:18,21,23 327:1 328:10	stole 271:13	sufficiently 290:12	suspect 309:8
staining	329:14 332:11,12	stove 292:25 293:10,17	suggest	swabs 308:19

Index: Swanson..time

Swanson	talk 278:16	test 298:5,6	342:11	319:12 326:4
263:9,17 264:2 265:3, 24 266:4,9 312:1,2	283:11 288:15 294:13 299:8 335:13 336:4	300:11 305:25 306:2, 25 307:2	thereabouts 340:19	through 263:12 267:5,
sworn 266:10,17	340:10 talked 274:15	tested 303:20	thing 266:24 267:12	8 268:7 269:16 275:10,14,15 277:8,23
system 328:7	278:14,17 282:9 289:5 294:18	testified 266:11 323:20	295:25 322:17 325:4 340:12 342:5 343:16	283:9 297:8 299:14 303:1, 2 307:20
т т	335:24 342:6	testify 312:20		310:11 312:2 313:11,15
Tail 313:22	talking 274:24 292:15,17	317:2,6 339:17	things 271:8, 14 284:2 289:25	336:19 338:1, 2
take 267:6 268:6 269:18	312:19 317:11 330:7 337:12	testimony 318:6 320:22 321:4 324:20,	293:19 296:18 299:7 300:6,8 311:4 319:21	throughout 341:17
277:9 279:17 282:3,23 286:1 290:19,	342:16 tear 272:6	24 327:6 339:20	330:14 339:10	Thursday 264:3 266:2
25 291:4,21, 22 292:4 295:25 296:1, 20 313:10	technically 326:3	testing 298:17 342:7, 9	third 264:11 289:12 332:14	Tiger's 313:22
316:14,16 325:3	teleconferenc e 263:16	text 331:25	thought 273:18	time 267:6 271:10 275:21
taken 263:19 264:2 290:11, 17 291:6	264:1 telling 298:10	than 273:23 280:3 285:2 308:9 309:20	286:25 301:22 310:23	277:15 278:14 285:9 293:14
292:1 294:11 302:17 307:3 323:6 340:24	315:6	316:2 335:23 337:2 342:11	329:21 three 270:12,	296:20 299:11,23 305:3 310:14
341:1	ten 287:10 300:3	their 271:16 272:11 317:19	19,24 273:2 281:22 284:8 288:24 289:5,	312:19 313:7 314:13 317:4 320:6 321:8
takes 327:11 taking 266:21	<b>terms</b> 317:17, 19	330:24 334:13	16,22 308:17 314:14	325:3,13 328:5,15 331:8 336:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

Index: times..until

303:19 306:8 312:21 316:3	translation	11	293:10,17
210.0 222.10	280:4		294:12 308:22 309:9
318:8 322:16 331:11 333:18 337:23 341:5.	transmitted 334:6	two 270:25 278:4 280:24 283:10	328:20
11	transmitting 344:3	296:18 302:6, 22 307:24	underneath 288:14 289:13 309:5,
277:18 326:24 327:4,	trouble	319:1,2 326:2,6,14	14,18 310:6 understand
top 268:15	true 313:5	328:16 329:10 337:7	304:22 305:12 331:16 332:5
278:23 280:7 282:5 283:14	trust 263:10 313:22,23	<b>Tyler</b> 270:16,	understandin g 278:5 279:5,
292:8,22 323:13	Trustee 263:9	Tyler's	11 280:18,20, 22 299:10 308:18
<b>Torchin</b> 277:16 278:1, 15	try 285:2 312:24	270:15	330:23 332:22 333:15
Toronto 267:16:25	316:22	312:22,25	340:23 Understood
total 308:8	283:4 298:20 312:22	U	268:23
tough 302:23	turn 269:24,	Ulocladium 308:24	<b>unhappy</b> 289:25
transaction 299:6 336:7	276:24 288:19	unaware 317:14	unit 345:25 346:2,3
337:2 338:23	297:12		unknown 263:10
322:15 335:19	Twain 264:3,	267:21 291:9, 13 292:25	until 266:23
	took 266:18 277:18 326:24 327:4, 14,17  top 268:15 275:3 276:9 278:23 280:7 282:5 283:14 292:8,22 323:13  Torchin 277:16 278:1, 15  Toronto 267:16,25  total 308:8  tough 302:23  transaction 299:6 336:7 337:2 338:23  transcribe 322:15	took 266:18 277:18 326:24 327:4, 14,17  top 268:15 275:3 276:9 278:23 280:7 282:5 283:14 292:8,22 323:13  Trustee 263:9  Torchin 277:16 278:1, 15  Toronto 267:16,25  try 285:2 312:24 316:22  Toronto 267:16,25  try 285:2 312:24 316:22  trust 263:10 313:22,23  trust 263:10 313:22,23  trustee 263:9  try 285:2 312:24 316:22  trying 275:7 283:4 298:20 312:22 330:13  tough 302:23  turn 269:24, 25 274:23 276:24 288:19 297:12  transcribe 322:15 325:10	337:23 341:5, 11       transmitting 344:3       293:20 296:18 302:6, 22 307:24 317:14,23 319:1,2 326:24 327:4, 14,17       268:12 326:2,6,14 327:22 328:16 329:10 337:7 338:12         top 268:15 275:3 276:9 278:23 280:7 282:5 283:14 292:8,22 323:13       true 313:5 329:10 337:7 338:12         Torchin 277:16 278:1, 15 312:24 316:22       try 285:2 312:24 316:22         Toronto 267:16,25 233:43 299:13       try 285:2 312:24 316:22         total 308:8 300:13       try 285:2 312:24 316:22         total 308:8 300:13       try 285:2 312:24 312:22,25         total 308:8 300:13       trying 275:7 283:4 298:20 312:22 330:13         total 308:8 300:23       turn 269:24, 25 274:23 276:24 288:19 317:14 345:14         transaction 299:6 336:7 337:2 338:23       297:12 345:14         transcribe 322:15 235:10       TV 271:7 under 266:7 267:21 291:9, 13 202:25

Index: updated..whereupon

			•	•
277:22 300:7 310:8 311:2	275:21	263:18 264:2	298:4,5 306:25	303:11
320:12 338:8 342:8 344:11	via 266:21 268:19	W	309:25 335:25	way 271:23 290:1 316:1,7
updated 300:20	333:22 vicinity 344:25	wait 266:23 345:17	warning 342:15	week 266:18 278:4 319:13 338:17
updating 275:21 upset 342:11	Video 263:16 264:1	waiting 287:11 289:24 290:3	Warren 277:24 292:10 294:3 296:7	weekday 271:12
use 289:21	visible 309:8 332:25 341:12	walk-through 282:7	Warren's 296:12	weekend 271:12
used 268:17 300:3 338:17	343:20	walked 281:3	water 279:2,	weeks 327:14
v	visited 331:19	walking 343:10,11,20	10,13,19,25 281:3,5 283:20 286:8 288:12,23,24	went 275:22 277:23 301:9 306:6 323:20
Vague 305:2 321:8 345:16	visiting 332:18	wall 271:7 308:21 309:9 321:16	289:6,13,17, 22 290:7,12, 18,20 297:22 304:4,10,12,	327:20 333:4, 5 335:15 336:19
Vegas 263:22 264:3,12,17 266:1 295:6,8	visual 298:6 303:10 307:10,12,17 309:6,7	323:16 343:4, 7,12 want 267:8,12	16 309:10 310:4 316:4 318:25 319:6,	West 264:3, 11 273:24
303:12 305:18	332:18 visually	268:15 270:3 273:14 288:16	8 321:5,12,16 323:16 324:3, 11 326:2,5,17 327:10	whatever 285:4 286:6 290:11
vent 319:6 335:25	333:12	297:20 322:24	329:12 332:24 336:8 337:13	whatnot
version 275:24 276:2,	voicemail 294:9	wanted 274:10	338:10,18,19 341:12,16	296:25 327:14
215:24 276:2,	voltage 271:4	277:17 280:22 289:24,25	346:4 Water-	whereupon 291:6 292:1
versions	Volume		damaged	

Index: whether..Zoom

			macx.	whether Zoom
301:20 302:17 315:3	withholding 270:20	wondering 281:19	338:12	
323:6,7 329:3 346:12	within 288:25 317:23	word 288:9	yes-or-no 320:13	
whether		words 285:22	yesterday	
285:15	without 272:2		280:14	
286:17		290:15,17	200:14	
287:16 288:5	276:5			
298:16 307:6,		work 269:23,	yet 286:3	
7,9,15 331:18	WITNESS	24 283:23	307:20	
7,0,10 001.10	268:24 273:5	287:3 328:3,6	557.25	
	277:3 288:8	342:8		
while 277:25	289:19 291:5,	04Z.0	yourself	
278:1,2 294:8	20 295:10		296:7	
312:19 313:9	296:24 298:3	worked		
322:21		273:17		
327:11	301:22 304:3		Z	
	305:8 308:6	aulsiaa		
	309:2 315:1,	working		
whirlpool	4,19 316:1,22	342:12	<b>Zoom</b> 266:22	
279:6	317:6 318:19			
	319:20 320:6,	writing		
Whitfield	23 321:9	302:24		
277:19,21,22	322:3,9,13,18	002.21		
278:5 300:10,	323:1,3,9			
17 307:15	324:2,25	wrote 320:6		
310:6 329:12	327:1,9	328:16		
	328:2,14			
331:4 333:19	329:1,4			
335:11 342:1,	330:15	X		
17,19	331:23			
	332:17			
whom 303:8	333:11	XXIV 263:8		
305:15	334:11			
	336:17			
	342:19	Υ		
will 267:15	345:17			
284:14	0-10.17			
300:21 303:2		year 293:20		
	woman	-		
William	300:21			
336:23		years 300:3		
330.23		317:23 319:1		
			I	I

Electronically Filed 1/13/2021 3:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual CASE#: A-18-782494-C and NICOLE FOLINO, an 9 individual. DEPT. XXIV 10 Plaintiffs. 11 VS. 12 TODD SWANSON, an individual, TODD SWANSON, 13 Trustee of the SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of 14 unknown origin: LYONS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; 15 DOES I through X; and ROES ( 16 through X, 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JIM CROCKETT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 TUESDAY, MARCH 3, 2020 20 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 21 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 22 APPEARANCES (continued on page 2): 23 24 For the Plaintiffs: J. RUSTY GRAF, ESQ. 25

JA003702

1	
2	APPEARANCES (continued):
3	For the Defendants: JEFFREY L. GALLIHER, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER M. YOUNG,
4	ESQ. JAY T. HOPKINS, ESQ.
5	OAT T. HOT KING, EGG.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	RECORDED BY: GAIL REIGER, COURT RECORDER
25	

[Case called at 9:14 a.m.]

MR. GALLIHER: Good morning, Your Honor, Jeff Galliher, along with Jay Hopkins, Christopher Young for the Defendants.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GRAF: Good morning, Your Honor, Rusty Graf on behalf of the Folinos.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Have a seat. All right, so this is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint.

This is a case in which the Plaintiffs purchased a home from the Defendant. It was a high-end home, very modern and sophisticated in its appearance and in its cost of construction. The suit claims that the Defendant failed to disclose a plumbing leak and also claims fraud.

So historically what had happened in this case was there had been a Motion for Summary Judgment earlier or Motion to Dismiss. And that was heard, I don't know, sometime ago, November 7th.

And at that time, the Court stated its inclination as to the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, noting that an affidavit was required seeking 56(d), now (e) relief.

Further, there were two questions of fact. Moreover, the Court was inclined to grant the Motion for Summary Judgment and to deny the inappropriately filed Countermotion for Sanctions. There were arguments by counsel.

So what the Court did was I granted 90 days to demonstrate the existence of a genuine issue of material fact by February 6th, Defendants reply due February 20th.

So counsel did in fact file supplemental materials. But from what I've read on February 13th, Plaintiff filed a supplemental brief and a supplemental list of witnesses and documents, referencing unattached and unauthenticated materials with Bates numbering in the 4- to 5,000 range.

And I don't know, was I supposed to treat that as an argument, because it isn't. And of course, I didn't have access to any of the 4- to 5,000 pages of documents.

So -- and we have no courtesy copy of it either, not that I was looking forward to a courtesy copy of 4- to 5,000 pages. But there's also --

MR. GRAF: Your Honor -- oh.

THE COURT: -- most importantly, there's no affidavit to contradict the affidavit of Aaron Holley [phonetic]. And the whole purpose of the month delay was to permit Plaintiff to demonstrate a genuine dispute as to a material issue of fact.

Recall that Mr. Holley was the superintendent or foreman or some kind of a key employee --

MR. GRAF: He was.

THE COURT: -- of the plumbing company. And he's --

MR. GRAF: He's the owner.

THE COURT: Pardon?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

MR. GRAF: He's the owner, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Owner.

MR. GRAF: Yes.

THE COURT: He provided a detailed affidavit telling us what the plumbing leak issues were that he was hired to investigate and correct.

And he explained that his company, his personnel did in fact investigate, identify, ongoing plumbing leaks and repair them to the point where he was able to report to the person who hired him, the Defendant, we've fixed those plumbing leaks.

And at that point, under the law, once somebody has repaired a problem and they have no reason to think it wasn't repaired, they're absolved of any obligation to report it by law, cited extensively in the Defendant's brief.

So what I was giving you the chance to do was to come back with an affidavit from somebody saying actually leaks continued in those very same areas that were allegedly repaired.

There were complaints from the owner to the plumbing company saying you didn't fix anything. We're not going to pay you, something to show that the representations of Mr. Holley in his affidavit, which is very comprehensive, could be disputed or questioned.

But there is -- there was no affidavit offered by anybody on behalf of the Plaintiff to dispute Holley's contentions, which I think takes us right back to where we were to begin with, after the filing of Holley's affidavit, because you can't just do an information dump and say it's in

all

there somewhere, Judge.

You'll find that there's -- you could genuinely dispute Holley's affidavit somewhere in these documents. I just -- it doesn't do it. So --

MR. GRAF: A couple of things, Your Honor, if I could. We did drop off a copy of a thumb drive that had all of the documents attached.

The documents that were attached are also referenced in our supplemental brief, beginning on page 15 and incorporated by reference.

Included in those documents is the deposition transcript of Mr. Holley and the deposition transcript of Mr. Gerber, who are both referenced in there. Both sworn --

THE COURT: You understand, though, that when you're pitching your case to the Court, you don't just hand them a banker's box and say our file is in there. If you read it, you will see that we have a case.

MR. GRAF: And we didn't do that, Your Honor. We argued that in our brief.

THE COURT: You didn't reference any sworn testimony. You didn't attach any excerpts.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor --

THE COURT: There's nothing that refutes Holley's affidavit at

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, we did. There's -- they're replete with footnotes citing the exact page and line of the testimony that we're referencing.

We gave you all of that information. We produced it. And we dropped a copy off for your Court, Your Honor.

So I -- there's several things that are included in those documents, Your Honor, and they're all footnoted in our brief. And they include Dr. Swanson's two volumes of depositions, which we referenced extensively.

And in that deposition, we also reference, you know, I thought His Honor put me on a task to go and find some sort of incidence of moisture or water that had not been repaired.

We found that. There was a water leak that Dr. Swanson testified to at length in his deposition. And it's cited to multiple times in our supplemental brief that he is aware that there was a leak in the basement bathroom, that it was not repaired.

I got Mr. Holley and Mr. Gerber, both employees of the plumbing company, to admit that they did not make that repair. They were not aware of the leak, period.

So all of that is cited in our brief, Your Honor. I -- you had put me down the track of saying, okay, if there was an incidence of moisture or something along those lines, go and find it.

We did. That's why we produced all this stuff. We took, in addition to Dr. Swanson's two volumes of depositions, we did written discovery on all Defendants.

THE COURT: Okay, but don't tell me about two volumes of depositions because I'm not going to read -- nobody's going to read two volumes of depositions.

1	MR. GRAF: Your Honor, there's
2	THE COURT: Your job as counsel is to tease out the
3	information and provide me with the specific information.
4	MR. GRAF: There's
5	THE COURT: Now if there was a leak that Swanson wasn't
6	aware of or the plumbing company wasn't aware of and didn't attempt to
7	repair, that's something else.
8	My question is do you refute the affidavit of Holley? And if so,
9	you just say Holley says this. We have this information that's in direct
10	contravention to that.
11	MR. GRAF: And that is in here, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Well
13	MR. GRAF: That is in our brief. It's in the footnotes. And
14	more importantly, Your Honor
15	THE COURT: Why would you put it in the footnotes? I love
16	footnotes and I read them, but if you're
17	MR. GRAF: Well, because Your Honor, I apologize. The
18	formatting of our brief is we make the statement above. Then we say
19	footnote, and then you go to the footnote, and it says Volume 2, page
20	138 of Dr. Swanson's depo.
21	THE COURT: That part's fine. That part's fine. Do you take
22	Holley's affidavit side by side and compare it to say so when Holley says
23	this, we can dispute that?
24	Because the moment there's a dispute, it's all over with in
25	terms of a motion for summary judgment that has to be tried by a jury.

MR. GRAF: And I agree, Your Honor. And there is a disputed fact here. The disputed fact is that incidence of water that occurred in a May 2015 report that Dr. Swanson testified to at length, and then, Your Honor, he kind of then -- and the way I argue it in the brief is in kind of an OCD manner, he keeps a series of versions of that report, which is Exhibit 49 to that production. And it says in multiple areas that Dr. Swanson didn't fix it, the plumbers couldn't find it, so they didn't fix it.

And we've got photographs. You know, Dr. Swanson in his deposition, we talk about that too in our brief, Your Honor, tries to dispute the actual instance of the occurrence.

So I brought with me and what was produced on the drive, Your Honor, I apologize, were color copies. And here's the color copies.

And if I can approach, Your Honor, I mean, this is on the disk.

It shows actual water dripping from the ceiling. This is the water condition that he never repaired.

THE COURT: Counsel, if you want your reader to see documents, give your reader the documents, not a thumb drive or a DVD or some --

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, on page 13, we incorporated by reference all of those documents that we attached and that we produced.

THE COURT: You can incorporate anything by reference, but just in terms of trying to persuade somebody to your point of view, just give them the information. Don't make them have to go look for it.

All right, let me hear from Defense counsel?

MR. GALLIHER: Well, Your Honor, I think that's exactly what happened is the Court said go and find some information that what Mr. Holley has presented in this affidavit is inaccurate, i.e. that either these repairs were not made.

But not only that the repairs are not made, but that Dr.

Swanson knew the repairs were not made because both of those problems are required under <a href="Nelson">Nelson</a>.

And he didn't do that. What he did was he came up with what he just talked to you about, which we addressed in our brief, which is the reddest of herrings really is five years ago, a home inspector hired by Dr. Swanson saw a couple drips on a vent and a couple drips on the ground.

Dr. Swanson was made aware of that. He informed

Rakeman. They came out. They couldn't find anything. For the next
two years, Dr. Swanson lived in the house, never saw water there again.

For the last two years, the Folinos have lived in that house.

No evidence from the Folinos that they've ever seen water there. No evidence that any -- from any plumber or anybody else that there's ever been a leak there.

It's a exhaust vent over the toilet in the bathroom. That water could have come from -- could have gone inside the flapper and ran out. Nobody knows where it came from, but we know that Rakeman went and looked and could not identify a plumbing leak up there.

So that's not what was pled in his complaint, which was that Dr. Swanson was aware of a systemic problem --

THE COURT: Ongoing problem.

MR. GALLIHER: -- in the Uponor system. And there's been no evidence of that over the last 90 days. We've produced almost a thousand documents.

We didn't try at all to ratchet back the discovery. All three Defendants responded to requests for production, requests for admission, interrogatories.

We've produced Dr. Swanson twice, his assistant, Dr. -- both of Dr. Swanson's realtors, and both the plumbers for deposition.

We've spent thousands and thousands of dollars allowing him to do what the Court asked him to do, which was to go and find some information, some evidence that would create a triable issue of fact here that Dr. -- that to meet the <u>Nelson</u> standard, Dr. Swanson --

THE COURT: Yeah, you realize that the Holley affidavit embraces the time period and the subject leaks that would be a subject for your clients to complain about had they not -- no, you can't talk about something that happened in 2015 and didn't occur. It doesn't matter, okay?

MR. GRAF: No, Your Honor, the -- yes, I can talk about that because that's what the SRPD is exactly for. The sellers real property disclosure form.

And we argued it, Your Honor, in particular on page 15 of our brief. More importantly, Dr. Swanson informed the Plaintiffs of the water moisture condition in 2017. And he did an Addendum 4A, that we talk about in our brief.

And it talks about the water loss. And, Your Honor, there's a report that we produced in these documents from a mold testing company that on November 17th, the day he did the Addendum 4A, that he was informed that the house tested positive for mold.

Dr. Swanson testified in his deposition absolutely that's the date of the report, November 17th, 2017. And it's exhibit -- I can give you the exhibit that we produced it, Your Honor.

And that report says that there's is mold, aspergillus and stachybotrys in this house that has to be remediated. On that date, that's the date that Dr. Swanson then produced the addendum report that just identifies the water leak.

Mysteriously enough, Your Honor, also in the production that came from the Plaintiffs, we didn't get the November 17th report identifying the mold.

What we got from the Plaintiff was a December clearance report. We got that mold report from November from the actual Infinity Environmental Company.

THE COURT: What's the December clearance report?

MR. GRAF: December clearance report says the mold has been cleaned up.

THE COURT: Right, so the problem has been remedied.

MR. GRAF: But Your Honor, it doesn't vitiate --

THE COURT: So the Nelson case --

MR. GRAF: -- the fact that on November 17th, there's a question of fact as to whether or not Dr. Swanson was aware that there

was mold and ongoing -- what he testified to in his deposition is he had an obligation to identify ongoing problems in the house.

THE COURT: Ongoing. And so, the problem is once you have a clearance report where you have a plumbing company repair it by virtue of state law and the <u>Nelson</u> Supreme Court case, it allows, rightly or wrongly, it allows the home seller to close the door on the obligation to report those issues. They become no longer relevant and required to be reported.

MR. GRAF: So here's my point, Your Honor, I want to make sure we're very clear on this. The date of the addendum where Dr. Swanson puts my clients on notice of the water leak from November 7, 2017, he does not identify the fact that there's a mold condition in the house. He --

THE COURT: Hadn't there been a clearance report issued in December?

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, that's after the fact. I'm talking about the addendum. Telling them that there wasn't a -- was a condition of mold, he did not do that. That is a question of fact, but --

THE COURT: But of what consequence is it if it's been cleared?

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, you're talking about two different dates.

THE COURT: I know.

MR. GRAF: The clearance was a month later, a month and a half later.

- 1	
1	THE COURT: Right, but it's a clearance report.
2	MR. GRAF: Okay. And I get that, Your Honor, so
3	THE COURT: So if your client is not suing, claiming that
4	there's aspergillus or stachybotrys that they've had to remediate,
5	correct?
6	MR. GRAF: Correct, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay, so
8	MR. GRAF: What we're suing
9	THE COURT: talking about things that were wrong but had
0	been remediated, there's no cause of action based upon that.
11	MR. GRAF: So
2	THE COURT: Even if the Plaintiff or the Defendant seller
3	didn't disclose them because it's of no consequence. There's no harm,
4	no foul.
15	MR. GRAF: So, Your Honor, that's why we took the
16	depositions of Mr. Gerber [phonetic], who is referenced in the affidavit
7	and Mr. Holley. And we also took Mr. Holley's deposition.
8	Both of those individuals testified that they were not aware of
19	any of the repairs that were ever performed in 2015. That directly
20	contradicts the testimony presented by the Defense. They say they are
21	not aware of Rakeman Plumbing going out and making the repairs of the
22	over four different water losses in that year.
23	In 2015, Dr. Swanson was made aware in May 21st report
24	that
25	THE COURT: Okay, are you arguing that repairs were made,

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the Defendant seller didn't disclose?

MR. GRAF: I'm arguing that there is no testimony by Rakeman Plumbing that the repairs that Dr. Swanson testified were repaired in 2015 were actually made. They don't have any record of it and they don't recall ever going out there. Both individuals testified the same.

As a matter of fact, on pages 34 and 20 for Mr. Gerber's report -- excuse me, deposition, and then also on page 63 of Mr. Holley's deposition, he testifies he's not aware of any repairs that were made at that house in 2015.

THE COURT: Okay, but of what consequence is that?

MR. GRAF: Because there were several -- His Honor's previous ruling as far as I understood, so that Your Honor --

THE COURT: No, here's what I'm saying --

MR. GRAF: -- on Nelson v. Heer was that if there's --

THE COURT: Here's what I'm saying, here's what I'm saying.

If Holley says I'm not aware of any repairs being made in 2015 --

MR. GRAF: Correct.

THE COURT: -- the fact that he's not aware of any repairs being made doesn't mean that the Plaintiff made any misrepresentations to -- that the Defendant made any misrepresentations to the Defendant -- to Plaintiff.

MR. GRAF: No, Your Honor, it's the omission. And if we just -- just talking about the one leak in the bathroom basement, Your Honor, he was aware of it. It's in this report, Your Honor. Exactly on the

1	Court was everything that was filed by the Plaintiffs, which was the brief
2	and the list.
3	So we didn't provide to the Court anything that wasn't filed by
4	the Plaintiff because it's my why would we?
5	THE COURT: Well, I'm just telling you that whoever provided
6	the courtesy copy, it's on the Movant to do so, but I did not get that brief.
7	I got something called supplemental brief.
8	MR. GRAF: So
9	THE COURT: And what it actually was was just a listing of all
10	the witnesses and the exhibits.
11	MR. GRAF: Okay, so you see that I did argument and we
12	cited to the record and we cited to the documents saying exactly where
13	this information was.
14	THE COURT: No, I don't see that because I haven't seen
15	that.
16	MR. GRAF: Oh, well, then I would Your Honor, if they didn't
17	give you this brief then, yeah.
18	But my office actually I actually spoke with my associate
19	yesterday, Your Honor. He told me that he sent this over with the thumb
20	drive of the 5,500 pages too last week
21	THE COURT: You don't have a thumb drive, do you?
22	MR. GRAF: because we got an email saying, hey, this had
23	to be here seven days prior to the hearing and I made sure get it to them
24	seven days prior to the hearing.
25	THE COURT: We didn't see this?

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: For some reason, we only got the
2	list of the documents.
3	THE COURT: That's all we got, that's all we got.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It came in a red envelope.
5	MR. GRAF: I did the thumb drive myself, Your Honor, so
6	THE COURT: No, no, no, I'm not saying
7	MR. GRAF: if you want us to do another one.
8	THE COURT: what you generated in your office. I'm saying
9	what made it here to the Phoenix building on the 11th floor.
10	MR. GALLIHER: Your Honor, the binder that I hand-delivered
11	last Thursday and you of course, I ran into Your Honor out there, it
12	had Plaintiff's brief in it. It was I think 24 pages. And it had that list
13	because that's what Plaintiff filed and served on us.
14	MR. GRAF: I apologize, Your Honor, because I know what
15	you're referring to. We did do a, you know, an NRCP supplemental
16	production
17	THE COURT: Right.
18	MR. GRAF: with list of witnesses and everything. We did
19	that also, but no, we did a full-blown brief.
20	MR. GALLIHER: And
21	MR. GRAF: If you haven't seen it, then I'd ask that we
22	THE COURT: I will. I'll take a
23	MR. GRAF: have the opportunity to take a look at it.
24	THE COURT: I will take a look at it, but let me tell you
25	something. And this applies to everybody. Don't ever send a thumb

drive or a DVD to the Court expecting that the Court is going to reach down under the desk, find a receptacle for the thumb drive, plug it in, and use it, okay?

If you have documents you want to see, let's say you have a 200-page deposition. Am I going to be impressed if you attach a 200-page deposition?

No, I'll be very impressed if you attach the six-pages that you refer to and highlight the language you want me to look at.

MR. GRAF: And that's what we do in the brief, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, but not if you said a thumb drive, counsel.

The other thing is I've had attorneys send over what they call a courtesy copy and it's a photocopy of a DVD or it's a photocopy of a thumb drive.

And I think really if you were going to feed your dog, would you send it a picture of some Purina dog chow? So --

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, I was a law clerk.

THE COURT: -- think about what you're doing in terms of presentation of information to the Court, so that it can see it.

I will most definitely go back and look at whatever is in the package. We'll confirm that there's a supplemental brief in there and not just a listing of witnesses and exhibits.

But thumb drives, DVDs, and so forth, those are useless in terms of our study of the paperwork. If you saw the volume of materials we have to go through, you would understand that there's no way we can also look at a thumb drive and DVD and try to find things on it. Just

can't be done.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, I was a law clerk for a lady that now works down the hall, Justice Becker. And I would never have done that if I hadn't had my office call and say, how do you want these documents? I would never have done that. So I -- my apologies to the Court and to your staff --

THE COURT: No.

MR. GRAF: -- if I misunderstood --

THE COURT: I'm not making myself clear.

MR. GRAF: No, no, no, you are making yourself clear.

THE COURT: 4,500 pages would fill a banker's box, okay?

There's no way that you refer to 4,500 pages. You're going to be referring to isolated pages from that --

MR. GRAF: We are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- the ones that are operative like when you pulled out the pictures of the leak. You aren't going to pull out pictures of 40 pages of photographs. You're going to pull out the ones that matter.

So I will take a look at this again, but I can tell you that I think you're barking up the wrong tree. You're referencing things that happened, were rectified, and were of no consequence at the time of sale.

And your clients are upset that there were misrepresentations made, even if it turned out that they were of no consequence to the buyers. That's my impression of what's going on.

And I understand that they're upset because it was a very expensive home. And one would not expect there to be any issues with a very expensive home. I understand that.

MR. GRAF: And --

THE COURT: So we'll set this down for 30 days from today.

I'll take a look at the binder that Mr. Galliher sent over. We'll confirm that there is in fact a brief in there separate and apart from the listing of witnesses and exhibits. I'll review it and we'll have you come back for continued hearing on --

THE CLERK: Let's do it -- that will be March -- no, April 7th.

THE COURT: April 7th.

THE CLERK: 9 a.m.

THE COURT: That date work for everybody?

MR. GRAF: It'll have to, yes.

MR. GALLIHER: It will, Judge, but I want to make sure because now we created a little bit of a record here and I want to make sure that there are -- that we're clear so that when Your Honor goes back and looks at this.

The Addendum 4A, which was where Dr. Swanson disclosed a leak, was made on the 16th of November. The mold testing was done on the 17th of November, which is the day of the closing.

The mold testing result report that he said was dated

November 17th is actually dated November 24th. It's addressed to

Rakeman Plumbing.

Dr. Swanson testified under oath he'd never seen it until his

deposition a month ago. So, which by the way, the problem with that for Mr. Graf and his clients is that November 24th is after the -- after closing.

Also, there is ample evidence of emails from the Folino's agent where she discusses the leak. She discusses potential remedies. It's cited in our brief. It's appended to our brief. She discusses potential remedies, including on the night before closing that my clients can just walk away.

So they elected not to do that with full knowledge of this leak.

And so, I mean, they -- I think they clearly waive that.

But I want to make sure that the Court is crystal clear that the only evidence of mold came on the 24th, which was a week after the closing. So it's impossible for Dr. Swanson to have knowledge of the mold before the closing.

THE COURT: Okay, and there was a remediation or?

MR. GALLIHER: And there was a remediation. And two weeks later, there's the report. And that report was provided to Dr. Swanson. By whom and for what reason we don't know, but there was a remediation in the meantime on --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GALLIHER: And then on December 5th --

THE COURT: We'll see you April 7th.

MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:41 a.m.]

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

- 1	
1	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
2	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
3	a 14
4	
5	Chris Hwang Transcriber
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

JA003724

Electronically Filed 1/13/2021 3:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR]

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual CASE#: A-18-782494-C and NICOLE FOLINO, an 9 individual. DEPT. XXIV 10 Plaintiffs. 11 VS. 12 TODD SWANSON, an individual, TODD SWANSON, 13 Trustee of the SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of 14 unknown origin: LYONS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; 15 DOES I through X; and ROES ( 16 through X, 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JIM CROCKETT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2020 20 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO CONFERENCE VIA 21 BLUEJEANS HEARING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S SECOND 22 AMENDED COMPLAINT 23 APPEARANCES (continued on page 2): 24 For the Plaintiffs: J. RUSTY GRAF, ESQ. 25

JA003725

1	
2	APPEARANCES (continued):
3	For the Defendants: JEFFREY L. GALLIHER, ESQ. JAY T. HOPKINS, ESQ.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	RECORDED BY: NANCY MALDONADO, COURT RECORDER
25	

1 2		INDEX
3		
4	Motion, granted	<u>Page</u> 16-17
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

JA003727

Page 3

## Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, April 7, 2020

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: A782494, Joseph Folino versus Todd Swanson. We should have Mr. Graf and Mr. Galliher.

[Case called at 10:42 a.m.]

MR. GRAF: Good morning, Your Honor, Rusty Graf.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GALLIHER: And good morning, Your Honor, Jeff Galliher and Jay Hopkins for the Defendants.

THE COURT: Good morning. All right, first of all, I owe counsel an apology. I was castigating you for not giving me the documents that you were referring to. That was completely my error.

I had several different three-ring binders that came in on this case. And the one that contained the pleadings that I needed to be referring to and that I was giving you guys a hard time about was on a different part of the filing cabinet. And I simply did not see it and look at it. So I apologize for accusing you guys of screwing up. That was my error.

So this is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. In the Plaintiff's supplemental brief, it appears the Plaintiff is attempting to expand their claim that Defendant misrepresented water loss issues by bringing up items that surfaced after the initial sellers executed the real property disclosure form on October 24th, 2017. For example, a water leak that manifested on November 7th, 2017.

 Plaintiff seems to take issue with the fact that when the seller completes the real property disclosure form on October 24th, 2017, he's under no obligation to and can't report a leak that hasn't happened yet.

So the Defendant cannot be charged with concealing or failing to report or misrepresenting something on October 24th, 2017 that doesn't happen until November 7th, 2017.

In Defendant's supplemental brief of 2/27/20, as the Defendants points out, Plaintiff's lawsuit was premised on there being a leak in the -- I don't know how you pronounce this, U-P-O-N-O-R, that's a brand name system, for my purposes, I'll just call it the Uponor, on February 16th, 2017, which of course, pre-dates October 24th, 2017.

The -- which Plaintiff says the Defendant failed to disclose that. But it has been established clearly under oath that actually that leak was repaired by Rakeman Plumbing, R-A-K-E-M-A-N, long before the 10/24/17 real property disclosure form was executed by the Defendant.

This is evidenced by the uncontroverted affidavit of Mr. Holley [phonetic] of Rakeman Plumbing. There was another leak November 7th, 2017 after the 10/24/17 real property disclosure form was executed by the Defendant.

On November 15th, 2017, eight days later, Defendant notified its agent, emailed disclosure of that leak, and Defendant's agent in turn on November 16th, 2017. And there are text messages acknowledging Plaintiff's actual knowledge of the reporting of the leaks.

With knowledge of the leaks, Plaintiff elected to close escrow

 on November 17th, 2017. On November 17th, 2017, mold testing was done and mold was identified, but the matter was remediated and resolved by December 5th, 2017.

To the Court, it appears that the undisputed evidence is that Defendant did not fail to disclose the February 16th, 2017 leak because the affidavit of Rakeman employee Holley, which is not disputed or contested by a countervailing affidavit on the facts or any expert opinion to the contrary.

And so, the Defendant was not under an obligation to report the repaired condition in the absence of evidence or information that would leave the Defendant to know or have reason to know that the leak had not in fact been repaired.

The evidence shows that the Plaintiff knew of the November 7th, 2017 leak, but elected to close escrow anyway after threatening to walk if there were not sufficient credits made.

But escrow did close, so Plaintiff waived or is estopped to disavow the waiver effect of closure of escrow with this knowledge.

The alleged "water losses" regarding the two recirculating pumps, water pumps, in 2015 were also matters that became moot when the two recirculating pumps were replaced under warranty in that same year, 2015. Apparently, the home was completed in April of 2015.

The third "leak in the ceiling" apparently did not continue or did not recur, and in any event, is not the subject of the alleged failure to disclose.

The subject of the alleged failure to disclose was the 2/16/17

leak repaired by Rakeman.

For me, Defendant's Supplemental Reply brief of 2/27/20 adequately synthesizes my thinking at page 2, line 3 through page 3, line 10; at page 3, line 14 through page 6, line 4; at page 7, line 7 through page 11, line 5; at page 11, line 17 through page 13, lines 1 through 22, and at page 14, line 1 and page 14, lines 13 through page 15, line 4. I offer you the page and line citations rather than to read that information into the record.

So my conclusion is that the Motion for Summary Judgment should be granted. And Defendants should prepare findings of fact and conclusions of law consistent with this Court's analysis.

So those are my thoughts. I'm happy to hear anything that the Plaintiff's counsel wishes to add that supplements the very comprehensive brief and exhibits that were already submitted and reviewed.

And, again, my apologies to you for having not made myself aware of it at the earlier hearing.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, not a problem. I understand that these things happen when you got caseloads like you have.

Your Honor, and this is Rusty Graf appearing on behalf of the Plaintiffs and I would like to make some statements regarding those findings, Your Honor.

First and foremost, Your Honor, it's my understanding you're making this finding pursuant to NRS 113.140, as well as Nelson v. Heer.

My understanding, Your Honor, is the following that you're

also making this determination that you announced on your -- the last hearing on a basis of summary judgment.

I would like to talk about the fact that the standard of summary judgment is it's reviewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party, that is the Plaintiff, Your Honor.

In addition, Your Honor, that I wanted to make a procedural statement regarding Nelson v. Heer. Nelson v. Heer was the case that decided on NRCP 50 after the presentation of evidence at trial and after the trial had actually concluded.

That's important because <u>Nelson v. Heer</u> makes the following determination. It says the determination of whether a seller is aware of a defect, however, is a question of fact to be decided by the trier of fact.

What we attempted to do, Your Honor, by presenting the evidence and information that we presented to His Honor, His Honor had made a statement at the last hearing like you did a document dump.

And I apologize, Your Honor, if you thought that.

That wasn't the intent. The intent was, you know, in just sitting here through some of the other hearings that you had this morning, I heard where you instructed Plaintiff's counsel that they needed to do some discovery and what not.

I think it's important for the Court to understand the amount of discovery that was conducted on this case, that we took Dr. Swanson's deposition, that we took Mr. Holley's deposition. We provided the affidavit from Rakeman Plumbing. And we took the deposition of Mr. Gerber [phonetic], who was the basis of what we would call the hearsay

statements contained within the affidavit.

In addition, Your Honor, we took the depositions of a couple of realtors and that sort of thing. And from all of that testimony, Your Honor, and from all of the information that we subpoenaed also and that we presented to His Honor was more information that I think negates this Court's granting of a Motion for Summary Judgment.

There are several questions of fact that exist as to whether or not particularly Dr. Swanson had knowledge of the defects and the leaks and the conditions of moisture that occurred in 2015 --

THE COURT: Let me --

MR. GRAF: -- as well as thereafter.

THE COURT: Excuse me, one second. Let me interject one thing.

MR. GRAF: Yes, yes, sir.

THE COURT: There is a common misconstruction that happens when people are seeking to defend against a motion for summary judgment.

And it is conflating a genuine dispute as to a material issue of fact with a question of fact. Oftentimes, there are questions of fact, but a motion for summary judgment presses the issue and says, well, here is what we say the facts are and here is our sworn statement as to those facts.

And if the person against whom summary judgment is sought is unable to dispute that, the fact that they may have questions subjectively as to whether or not they agree or disagree or whether or

 not there might be evidence out there to contradict that statement, that does not defeat a motion for summary judgment.

Instead, the party against whom summary judgment is sought, they have to come up with evidence to contradict that sworn statement or that evidence offered by the moving party to show that, well, that may be that party's view of what the facts are, but in actuality, there's also this factual contention under oath or by sworn exhibits that shows that that is not in fact.

So then, you have a genuine dispute as to a material issue of fact, which means that it has to be decided by the trier of fact whether that's the judge in a nonjury trial or by the jury.

But merely having questions is not sufficient. If you have questions, that you think you could provide answers to if you were given sufficient time, that's when you seek NRCP 56(d) relief under the new numbering system.

And that's the rule I read earlier. You have an affidavit where you say, look, we know that Swanson has testified in his deposition that his only knowledge of the February 6th, 2017 leak was back at the time it occurred.

And we know that he has testified that Rakeman repaired it. So, for him, it was no longer an issue when he signed the disclosure form on October 24, 2017.

But we have a -- an email from him to Rakeman Plumbing refusing to pay Rakeman Plumbing's invoice dated March 15th of 2017 because he said you didn't fix the leak. It's still an ongoing problem.

We don't have authentication that this is an email sent by Dr. Swanson, so we're asking for some more time to conduct some discovery to pin that down.

That is an affidavit for 56(d) relief. And that's how you seek the opportunity to conduct more discovery.

You have to remember that the whole focus of your lawsuit was the February 6th, 2017 leak. All of these other things that you talk about, it may have been frustrating and irritating for the Plaintiffs to find out that there was a leak that happened in November of 2017, but with full knowledge of that, and even though the Plaintiff was threatening to walk, the Plaintiff said, oh, you know, let's close escrow, let's be done with it.

And so, we can't lose sight of the fact that the real issue has always been in terms of the assertions made in the lawsuit, the February 6th. 2017 leak.

And if you are unable to create a genuine dispute as to a material issue of fact, i.e., that Rakeman Plumbing repaired the February 6th, 2017 leak, then you're out of luck in terms of the lawsuit that you have filed in this case.

And the fact that you have become aware of other things, they're just -- they're not relevant to this inquiry regarding this Motion for Summary Judgment. It's that simple.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Or maybe I didn't make it sound real simple, but I think it's simple.

MR. GRAF: And I understand what His Honor is saying as to the November 2017 leak. My question is this, Your Honor.

Whether or not Dr. Swanson had knowledge of leaks in 2015, whether or not he has proof and support to say that those leaks had been repaired is a question of fact in this case. We've uncovered that through the evidence and testimony that's been presented in our supplemental brief.

Your Honor, our allegations as to the violation of NRS 113 and the material misrepresentation or the fraudulent misrepresentation, excuse me, allegation is as to anything that they did not indicate on the SRPD.

The SRPD is a simple document, Your Honor. It is a yes or no response. And he responded, no, there were no prior incidences of moisture condition at this house.

That is categorically not true. It is false. We have proven that through the deposition testimony of Dr. Swanson and we have proven that also, Your Honor, through the deposition testimony of Mr. Holley and Mr. Gerber.

One thing that I want to draw to the attention of the Court is, Your Honor, we have submitted the deposition transcripts of Mr. Holley and Mr. Gerber.

Those deposition transcripts controvert the testimony and statements in the affidavit. Those -- that sworn testimony specifically says that they were not there when any repairs were made.

One of the things that His Honor made very clear at the prior

11

12

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

hearing is that the decision the Court was going to make as to the November 17, 2017 leak was based upon the affidavit of Mr. Holley.

That affidavit was controverted during their depositions. They both testified they were not present during any repairs. They were -- both testified that they could not provide you with any evidence as to the repairs themselves.

That is in direct contravention of that. Your Honor, they both testified they did not perform the repairs. That controverts that affidavit. Both of those individuals, Your Honor, testified as such.

They -- Mr. Holley testified at page 17 of his deposition that he obtained any knowledge that he had from others, not from personal knowledge that he had.

He then -- he testified at page 24 of his deposition that he did not observe any of the repairs and emphatically stated in that deposition not at all.

He then said, Your Honor, that on page 34 of his deposition, I do not know what actually occurred.

Your Honor, if these types of statements are in direct contravention of his affidavit, I don't know what are.

He specifically then went forward to say, Your Honor, that in terms of the mold testing in 2017, and I don't want to really talk too much about that, but that is an indication of -- and here's the question of fact that I would like to draw to the attention of the Court on that issue, Your Honor.

And that is this. The testing was conducted on November

17th. That's the day that the house supposedly closed. That test by Infinity Environmental, which that test is before His Honor, and the results of that test are before His Honor, is a positive test for mold.

At some point in time, Dr. Swanson was aware of that defect.

Your Honor --

THE COURT: Hold on, let me ask you a question about that.

Are you saying that the October 24th, 2017 disclosure form was a misrepresentation regarding the November 2017 mold?

MR. GRAF: I am, Your Honor, for the following reasons.

THE COURT: No, wait, wait, wait. Wait, it's clear that it was brought to the attention of your client, and your client was not happy about it, said he was going to walk if suitable credits were not done.

And I don't know if credits were done or not, but he closed escrow with that information as opposed to refusing to close escrow. Had he refused to close escrow, we wouldn't be here. There wouldn't have been a purchase and sale.

But in spite of all of this information, your client went ahead and closed escrow.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, and I apologize, Your Honor, I didn't -- I kind of stopped and started there a couple of times. This is kind of a difficult format to use, especially when I don't have video, so I apologize.

In response to that statement, I think that that's an accurate statement, Your Honor, as to the leak. It's not an accurate statement as to the mold.

This is the issue here. And we asserted this in our brief, Your

Honor. Counsel, or excuse me, not counsel, but Dr. Swanson submitted an addendum, Addendum 4A, that was meant to supplement the SRPD. That was the document where he told the Folinos that there had been a leak in November of 2017.

What that document does not contain, Your Honor, and has never been produced, nor was it ever disclosed anywhere is the actual positive test for mold that came back from Infinity Environmental.

THE COURT: No, but --

MR. GRAF: And Your Honor --

THE COURT: No, but counsel, the problem is it put your client on notice of the fact that there was a leak.

And given the --

MR. GRAF: No --

THE COURT: And given the fact that your client had concerns and this was a not insubstantial purchase at all, and given the fact that he threatened to walk, and instead, he went ahead and closed escrow without any further specification or demands regarding that leak.

So I do think, and I got to cut you off because we have other things we have to get to, but I do think that your client is confounding some of the other information that they've learned since that just has thrown gasoline on their fire over issues with this house.

And they've even gotten upset about things which are not problems going on today, but the mere fact that they weren't told about them or that they existed is a source of irritation to them.

I understand that it's irritating and upsetting, but it's not legally

actionable. And that's where the distinction has to be drawn. So I think that the Motion for Summary Judgment --

MR. GRAF: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- should be granted.

MR. GRAF: Your Honor --

THE COURT: It's a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended
Complaint. But I think given all of extensive consideration of matters
outside the pleadings that have been utilized, that it should be treated as
a summary judgment motion and I'm inclined to grant it.

Now, Mr. Galliher, is there anything that you wish to add?

MR. GRAF: Your Honor, I don't mean to interrupt His Honor,
but I had some statements that I'd like to make as to the 2015 leaks --

THE COURT: No, I'm sorry, counsel, I have to cut you off.

You have to realize you submitted at least a couple thousand pages of material for my review.

I reviewed it. I was tardy in my review of it, but I reviewed it.

And so, there is nothing you have said or could say that would be supplemental to the written materials you provided.

If there was something that you didn't include, that's what I was inviting you to mention, but everything you have said is contained in the brief.

And I have to manage the time here and move on to the next case. So I do want to give Mr. Galliher a chance, if he wishes to, to supplement his written filings if he wants to for the record.

MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor. Very briefly, I just

want to make sure it's clear for this record that the very first report of mold at 33 Meadowhawk is dated November 24th, 2017, which is a week after the date of closing.

The test was conducted on November 17th, 2017, but no results were had until November 24th. And even then, that report was never provided to Dr. Swanson because of course, he was no longer the owner of the property. And I believe that report was actually directed to Rakeman Plumbing.

So there could be no question, disputed or otherwise, about whether Dr. Swanson ever had any knowledge either express or implied of or notice of mold prior to the date of the closing. I just want to make sure that we're clear on that that there's been confusion about dates, but there's no --

THE COURT: No, it was clear to me that the material was submitted for testing on November 17th, 2017. Escrow closed. And then, some days later, the report came back positive findings of mold. All right. So the motion --

MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Motion to Dismiss is being treated as a Motion for Summary Judgment. It's granted and I tried to call out the specific information in the briefs that I felt was important to be included in the findings of fact and conclusions of law.

I do need the order within 14 days per EDCR 7.21. All right?

MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we will set a calendar date for 30 days

1	from today. And what I'm looking for is the filing of the order granting
2	summary judgment.
3	THE CLERK: May 5th at 9 a.m.
4	THE COURT: No need to return on May 5th if the order has
5	been filed. If it has been, I will need to see you on May 5th. Okay, thank
6	you.
7	MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	[Proceedings concluded at 11:09 a.m.]
9	*****
10	
11	
12	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
13	dadio, video proceedings in the above enduced case to the best of my ability.
14	2 14
15	Obside Have a second
16	Chris Hwang Transcriber
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 1/13/2021 3:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 8 JOSEPH FOLINO, an individual CASE#: A-18-782494-C and NICOLE FOLINO, an 9 individual. DEPT. XXIV 10 Plaintiffs. 11 VS. 12 TODD SWANSON, an individual, TODD SWANSON, 13 Trustee of the SHIRAZ TRUST; SHIRAZ TRUST, a Trust of 14 unknown origin: LYONS DEVELOPMENT, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; 15 DOES I through X; and ROES ( 16 through X, 17 Defendants. 18 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JIM CROCKETT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 19 THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 2020 20 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEO CONFERENCE VIA 21 BLUEJEANS HEARING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR FEES AND COSTS AND PLAINTIFFS' 22 MOTION TO RETAX COSTS 23 APPEARANCES (continued on page 2): 24 For the Plaintiffs: J. RUSTY GRAF, ESQ. 25

JA003743

1	APPEARANCES (continued):
2	For the Defendants: JEFFREY L. GALLIHER, ESQ.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DECORDED BY, MANGY MAY BOMADO COMPT DECORDED
24	RECORDED BY: NANCY MALDONADO, COURT RECORDER
25	

1		INDEX
2		
3		Page
4	Court's Ruling	<u>Page</u> 6 7 8
5	Court's Ruling Court's Ruling	/ 8
6	Court's Ruling	14
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

[Case called at 9:20 a.m.]

THE CLERK: Case A-18-782494-C, Joseph Folino versus Todd Swanson.

THE COURT: All right, who do we have for the Plaintiff?

MR. GRAF: Good morning, Your Honor, Rusty Graf

appearing for the Plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GALLIHER: Good morning, Your Honor, Jeff Galliher for the Defendants.

THE COURT: All right, now I had actually reviewed all of this and was issuing an order without the need for oral argument, because you guys provided very comprehensive briefs. You passionately presented your points of view. And I couldn't imagine you adding anything at oral argument.

And my experience has been, not just with you guys, but with most counsel, that rarely at oral argument do counsel add anything new. They're usually reiterating things that they already said in their motion, opposition, or reply briefs.

And I guess the fear is that I didn't read it and comprehend it the first time, but I did. So I'm going to tell you what my thinking is on these two motions.

And then, I'll grant each of you a very brief opportunity, if you choose to exercise it, to provide two or three minutes of oral argument if

you think it is necessary.

So with regard to Defendants' Motion for Fees and Costs, the Court has carefully and meticulously reviewed the Motion for Fees that was filed April 22nd, 2020.

Also reviewed Plaintiff's Opposition to the Motion for Fees that was filed May 11th, as well as the errata filed May 13th, 2020, and Defendants' Reply filed June 3rd of 2020.

Throughout the various hearings and briefings in this case, up to and including the present matter under consideration, the recurring theme has been that Plaintiff insists upon refusing to consider that he may be pursuing an unjustified claim against the Defendant.

Finally, when the Motion for Summary Judgment was heard and considered, it became abundantly clear to the Court that no matter whether the facts or law supported Plaintiff's idea of what the case was about, Plaintiff was going to insist upon pursuing claims against Defendant, whether or not there was any evidence to support the claim.

When one of Plaintiff's claims would reveal itself to be completely without merit or unsupportable under the law or facts, Plaintiff resorted to a whack-a-mole approach in an effort to offer up a different leak or alleged nondisclosure.

It demonstrated to the Court that the Plaintiff was motivated to pursue this case and these claims against Defendant with the goal of extorting a pound of flesh because of Plaintiff's dissatisfaction with his purchase of this luxury home.

Very importantly, at the time of escrow closing, even though

JA003747

new issues were arising as the escrow was still open, the Plaintiff insisted upon going forward and closing escrow in spite of his actual knowledge of issues he later tried to elevate into claims.

Having very carefully reviewed all the pleadings, the Court is satisfied with the <u>Beatty</u> and <u>Brunzell</u> analysis set forth in Defendants' motion and adopts the same because it is exceptionally well supported in the record and compellingly persuasive.

Accordingly, in the preparation of the order granting this Motion for Fees, Defense counsel is directed to utilize an abridged version of its brief to formulate findings and conclusions for the Court's adoption.

The fees incurred since the offer of judgment are entirely reasonable and they were necessarily incurred. And the <u>Brunzell</u> factors are thoroughly fleshed out and supported in the motion.

Accordingly, the Court finds that \$39,447 in fees should be awarded to Defendant.

The Court would add that this was a very close case for the Court to consider the possibility of awarding all fees, since the inception of the suit, which would have amounted to the fair and reasonable sum of \$82,021 in fees, but the Court declines to do so, instead, awarding fees of \$39,447 reasonably and necessarily incurred since the offer of judgment.

I'm going to address costs in discussing the Plaintiff's Motion for Retax. And then, I will give counsel the opportunity to speak.

So with regard to Plaintiff's Motion to Retax, although

technically, an opposition was not filed in response to this Motion to Retax, before the Motion to Retax costs was filed, Defendant filed its Motion for Fees and Costs preemptively, making its arguments in support of costs in its Motion for Fees and Costs.

There were two items that were challenged by the Plaintiff.

The Plaintiff says mediation costs are not enumerated. That's true.

Plaintiff continued that they're not reasonable or necessary, but the Court thinks that's a self-defeating argument. Mediation is by definition a process both the Plaintiff and the Defendant entered into voluntarily in an attempt to resolve their differences.

Thus, it was a voluntary, consensual agreement to expend funds on both sides to attempt to prevent further expense and bring the matter to a close.

And there is no doubt that at the time that voluntary consensual agreement was entered into by the parties, each of them necessarily thought that it was reasonable and necessary.

So the Court finds a mediation expense to be both reasonable and necessary, and therefore, approves the mediation costs totaling \$2084.50.

The other item criticized by the Plaintiff is runner costs. In this modern day and age, it was become more cost effective to employ the services of a runner on an ad hoc basis, rather than to employ a runner, which would necessitate increasing firm income, which is to say hourly fees, to cover the increased overhead cost.

Accordingly, the Court finds that the utilization of runner

1	services was reasonable and necessary and disallows Plaintiff's
2	objection to the costs for runner totaling \$135.60.
3	So, Mr. Graf, I have explained my thinking and inclination as
4	to both of these motions. And since they disfavor the Plaintiff's side, I
5	want to give you the first opportunity to make any remarks you wish to
6	that are in addition to what you competently and comprehensively stated
7	in your briefs?
8	MR. GRAF: Thank you, Your Honor. I one point of
9	clarification before I speak. You're awarding the fees under NRCP 68
10	and not under 18.010(2)(b)?
11	THE COURT: Well, had I opted to award them from the very
12	beginning, I would have relied upon 18.010.
13	But since I'm only awarding them from the date of offer of
14	judgment, it's this decision is being made pursuant to the case law
15	under NRCP 68, offers of judgment.
16	MR. GRAF: And it just it shortens things, so that's why I
17	want to clarify that.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. GRAF: So, really, the only the main argument that we
20	presented in the brief as to NRCP 68
21	THE COURT: Could you get closer to your microphone?
22	MR. GRAF: I apologize, Your Honor. Can you hear me
23	better?
24	THE COURT: Yeah, it's just a little wavery [sic]. I'm not quite
25	sure what's going on, but go ahead.

MR. GRAF: Okay. Your Honor, our only argument as to if you seek the award to be at the timing of the offer that [indiscernible]. The timing of the offer of judgment, Your Honor, was made at a time when it was not answer even filed by the Defendants in the case.

That's one of the things that may or may not have been as clear in our brief. And the fact that the Defendants have never filed an answer. They filed three successive motions to dismiss and then a motion for summary judgment.

His Honor at one point in time in the hearing had required them to file an answer and they didn't. So if the issue is whether or not NRCP 68 is only available to a party, we would at least argue at this time for purposes of the record that we don't think that they were a party per se in the sense that they didn't file an answer.

They'd only filed a motion to dismiss. And if they weren't -- be accorded the -- not protection, but the governing principals of attorneys' fees pursuant to NRCP 68.

Then further, Your Honor, the only other argument as to timing would be the offer of judgment was made at a time when there was no discovery that was completed.

And I think that there is case law that we've cited, as well as other cases in the state of Nevada, that say prior to the time of filing an answer, regardless of the arguments that are made in court and everything else, is not appropriate.

That you're a party or you're a nonparty, who presents an offer of judgment prior to even filing an answer and prior to discovery, that

offer of judgment in and of itself is per se unreasonable and file a [indiscernible] provision of those cases [indiscernible].

Your Honor, the only other argument that we have as to the cost and our Motion to Retax costs on the mediation is I want to make sure that the record is clear here today.

And that is that the complaint in this matter was not filed until October 9th, 2018. It is our argument in the Motion to Retax that because there was a mediation that was conducted in July of 2018, that is not a recoverable cost under NRS 18.020.

That it's only the costs that are incurred pursuant to litigation.

That is clearly three months prior to litigation. And that was the basis of our opposition and I want to make everybody clear on that. And that was it, Your Honor. We submitted.

THE COURT: Well, the one thing that your arguments result in is the necessity for the Court to give greater consideration to NRS 18.010, because as I mentioned in my initial remarks, the Court's view was that the Plaintiff from the get-go obstinately refused to consider that he may be pursuing an unjustified claim.

And as I mentioned, at the time the escrow happened, that was a time where the Plaintiff had the full opportunity to deal with all of his concerns that he had about purchasing this home.

And instead of -- and he even threatened that he was not going to allow this escrow to close until these issues were dealt with.

But, apparently, he was not in earnest about that or changed his mind because with knowledge of all the issues that came up at and

 around and shortly after the opening of escrow, he still went ahead without making any financial adjustments in the purchase price and moved ahead with the purchase of this property.

Now that all happened before the suit was instituted. And as I mentioned, this was a close case in me determining whether or not to award fees from the very beginning of the institution of the suit by the Plaintiff on the basis that it was a vexatious, spurious, and unsupportable claim against the Defendant.

However, in the interests of allowing the Plaintiff the latitude to go forward with the case and find out what was going on, if there were any other issues, I decided against that.

And the offer of judgment that was made was an additional opportunity for the Plaintiff to retreat from pursuing this case. And so, I would add that the considerations that apply to NRS 18.010 and initiating, pursuing, and maintaining a vexatious and spurious litigation also factor into my decision in awarding fees.

It's just that I am restricting the award to those incurred after the offer of judgment was made, so I would amend my initial statement to you by saying that 18.010 and NRCP 68 both form the basis for this decision.

I don't know if that provides you with any further reasons to make any further argument, but I'll give you the opportunity to do so if you wish.

MR. GRAF: I appreciate that, Your Honor and it does.

18.010 --

1	THE COURT: I can't I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
2	MR. GRAF: Sorry, Your Honor. Can you hear me better
3	now?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MR. GRAF: Okay, yes, it does, Your Honor. We would just
6	reiterate as we did in our brief that 18.010 simply requires that the
7	defendants be a prevailing party. The case law that we cited to means
8	that they have to be awarded a money monetary judgment.
9	They have not been awarded a monetary judgment.
10	18.010(2)(b) is not applicable. And that's
11	THE COURT: That's not correct. Successfully prevailing on a
12	claim is enough to justify an award of attorneys' fees under 18.010.
13	MR. GRAF: Your Honor, we've cited to the Perotti [phonetic]
14	case and the Perotti case says that it have to an evaluation of the net
15	judgment. There is no net judgment, zero on both sides. Submitted.
16	THE COURT: Well, the problem is that would mean that
17	anybody who has a spurious and vexatious and nonmeritorious suit
18	leveled against it would never have the opportunity to have justice
19	afforded by an award of attorneys' fees.
20	Anything else?
21	MR. GRAF: Not other than we thought that it was a very
22	meritorious case, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Galliher?
24	MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor. Well, first, I would
25	note that this time limits argument that Mr. Graf just made, this is the firs

 time we're hearing it. It wasn't in any of the briefing.

But it's very clear the only time limit requirement contained in NRCP 68 is the 21 days before trial time limit.

So the idea that the Defendants weren't parties to this case is kind of nonsensical since, clearly, the Plaintiffs served multiple sets of discovery on the Defendants. The Defendants participated in depositions.

I don't think there's much really support for this notion that if you haven't filed an answer, you've only filed some other responsive pleading, that you can't file an offer of judgment.

And then, with respect to the argument you just made, we cited in our brief to the <u>Poker Equipment</u> case, the <u>Copper Sands</u> case, the <u>145 East Harmon 2</u> case, which all stand for the proposition that you don't have to get a money judgment that you -- because again, then how often does a Defendant actually get a money judgment? So it would basically make that a plaintiffs-only rule, which of course, that's not the case.

I would just echo the Court's comments regarding the comment of the Plaintiffs from the beginning of this case, the attitude that they brought to the case, the way they conducted the case.

And I think that it does justify an award under 18.010. And I understand the Court's reasoning. And I appreciate it and the comments that it was a close call, but I just want to make sure the record is clear that the Defendants feel that this is a case that under 18.010(2) would warrant the award of \$82,000 in fees since the inception. And -- but with

15

17

16

18 19

21

22

20

23 24

25

that I would submit it and appreciate the Court's time.

THE COURT: All right, well, I am awarding these fees under NRCP 68 and NRS 18.010. I am just restricting them in terms of those reasonably and necessarily incurred to the ones that were incurred after the offer of judgment as a beginning point time-wise.

All right, so Mr. Galliher, I need you to prepare. I think you can do this with a single order addressing your Motion for Fees and Costs and integrating within the order the ruling regarding the Plaintiff's Motion to Retax costs.

But I think a single order should suffice. I'd like it approved as to form and content, but it doesn't have to be.

I don't want to see competing orders. That's, you know, from the Court's standpoint reviewing these lengthy competing orders is no different than having a motion for reconsideration put in front of you.

So I need the order submitted to the Court for signature within 14 days per EDCR 7.21.

Gentlemen, is there anything else we can address while we have everybody on the line?

MR. GRAF: Yes, Your Honor, just one quick question? THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GRAF: If we don't agree on the language of the order, and we're not to submit competing orders, how do I present any of the issues? You just want a letter stating what my issues are with his order, if I have a problem --

THE COURT: No, if you think the order is in error, appeal.

1	MR. GRAF: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you.
3	MR. GALLIHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE CLERK: Status check for the filing of that order set for
5	July 23rd in Chambers.
6	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
7	[Proceedings concluded at 9:42 a.m.]
8	* * * * *
9	
10	
11	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
12	dudicy video proceedings in the above chaded case to the best of my ability.
13	a 1h
14	Obein Ukunan n
15	Chris Hwang Transcriber
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	