	1	RESPONSE TO DOCKETING STATEMENT		
◆KENNEDY 1 RIDGE AVENUE 1 REDGE AVENUE 1 EVADA 89148-1302 562.8820				
	2	Pursuant to NRAP 14(f), Respondent Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC		
	3	("Sanofi") hereby responds to Appellant The Nevada Independent's ("TNI")		
	4	Docketing Statement, filed on November 2, 2020. Sanofi's Response is		
	5	attached as Exhibit A.		
	6			
	7	DATED this 9 th day of November, 2020.		
	,	BAILEY * KENNEDY		
	8	By: /s/ John R. Bailey		
NEVADA SEVADA 562.882	9	JOHN R. BAILEY		
BAILEY * KENNEDY 8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302 702.562.8820		Dennis L. Kennedy		
	10	SARAH E. HARMON REBECCA L. CROOKER		
		REBLECA E. CROOKER		
	11	Attorneys for Respondent		
		SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC		
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19	Page 2 of 3		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY KENNEDY and that on the			
3	9 th day of November, 2020, service of the foregoing RESPONDENT SANOFI-AVENTIS U.S. LLC'S RESPONSE TO DOCKETING			
4	STATEMENT was made by electronic service through Nevada Supreme			
5	Court's electronic filing system and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in			
6	the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, and addressed to the following at their			
7	last known address:			
8	MATTHEW J. RASHBROOK	Email: matt@robertlangford.com		
9	ROBERT L. LANGFORD &	robert@robertlangford.com		
10	ASSOCIATES 616 South Eighth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	Attorneys for Petitioner THE NEVADA INDEPENDENT		
11	AARON D. FORD	Email: sshevorski@ag.nv.gov		
12	ATTORNEY GENERAL STEVE SHEVORSKI	Attorneys for Respondents		
13	CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL OFFICE OF NEVADA ATTORNEY	RICHARD WHITLEY, in his official capacity as the Director of		
14	GENERAL 555 East Washington Avenue, Suite 3900	the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, and THE		
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. the NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF		
15		HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES		
16		SERVICES		
17				
18	/s/ Angelique Mattox Employee of BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY			
19	Page 3 of 3			

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO DOCKETING STATEMENT

Sanofi objects to two of TNI's issues on appeal:

- ➤ <u>Issue No. 4:</u> Whether a non-governmental intervenor who opposes production of records in an NPRA case must be held liable for attorney's fees in the event the records are ordered produced.
- ➤ <u>Issue No. 5:</u> Whether an affidavit or declaration which does not conform to the requirements of NRCP 56(e) should have been excluded from the consideration of this matter.

TNI raised Issue No. 4 in its October 31, 2019 Opposition to Sanofi's Motion to Intervene. On December 23, 2019, the District Court rejected TNI's argument. Because TNI elected not to timely appeal from this Order, NRAP 4(a)(1), TNI waived its right to appeal Issue No. 4.

TNI raised Issue No. 5 in its January 30, 2020 Motion to Compel Testimony of James Borneman, or in the Alternative, to Strike His Declaration. On February 14, 2020, the District Court denied TNI's Motion and rejected its argument regarding Issue No. 5. Because TNI elected not to timely appeal from this Order, NRAP 4(a)(1), TNI has waived its right to appeal Issue No. 5.

Neither Issue No. 4 nor Issue No. 5 was raised in the Petition or addressed in the Order Denying Writ Petition. Further, when TNI filed its Notice of Appeal on September 22, 2020, the time to appeal either issue had expired. Accordingly, Issue Nos. 4 and 5 should be excluded from this appeal.