1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 THE NEVADA INDEPENDENT 3 **Electronically Filed** Mar 02 2021 03:08 p.m. 4 Elizabeth A. Brown Petitioner. No. 81844 5 Clerk of Supreme Court VS. 6 DC No.: A-19-799939-W 7 RICHARD WHITLEY, IN HIS 8 OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS THE DIRECTOR OF THE NEVADA 9 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 10 HUMAN SERVICES, AND THE 11 STATE OF NEVADA EX REL. THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 12 **HUMAN SERVICES AND SANOFI-**13 **AVENTIS U.S. LLC** 14 15 Respondents. 16 17 **CULINARY WORKERS UNION LOCAL 226's REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO** 18 FILE BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE 19 20 Richard G. McCracken, SBN 2748 21 Paul L. More, SBN 9628 22 McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY, LLP 595 Market Street, Suite 800 23 San Francisco, CA 94105 24 (415) 597-7200 Tel. No.: Fax No.: (415) 597-7201 25 pmore@msh.law Email: 26 rmccracken@msh.law 27 Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Culinary Workers Union Local 226 28

Case No.: 81844

Docket 81844 Document 2021-06139

REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE

Culinary Workers Union Local 226 respectfully requests leave to submit this brief *amicus curiae*, in support of The Nevada Independent's appeal. As a participant in the Culinary Health Fund, one of the largest health-benefit consumers in the State, and as a proponent of SB 539, the Culinary has a direct interest in this case's outcome and SB 539's proper interpretation. Defendant Department of Health and Human Services ("DHHS") and Intervenor Sanofi-Laventis USA LLC ("Sanofi") take positions in this case that threaten to nullify SB 539 and rewrite the law of trade secrecy.

STATEMENT OF INTEREST

Culinary Workers Union Local 226 is a labor organization representing some 60,000 workers in Nevada's gaming, hospitality, food service, and commercial laundry industries. The Culinary, through its participation in the Culinary Health Fund, is one of the largest healthcare consumers in the state. Culinary Health Fund ("CHF" or the "Fund") is a multi-employer Taft-Hartley fund that provides medical, dental and vision healthcare benefits to some 125,000 workers and their dependents in Nevada, which makes CHF one of the largest private healthcare-benefit providers in the State.

CHF provides medical benefits to over 12,000 Culinary members and retirees diagnosed with diabetes, and many thousands more who are pre-diabetic. Prescription medications for these diabetic participants are a major and increasing cost for CHF and, ultimately, for the unionized employers and workers who contribute to it. The Fund paid over \$37 million for diabetes medications in 2020, up from \$28 million just two years earlier, which was fully one-quarter of the Fund's total prescription-drug spend. The crisis facing diabetic Culinary members mirrors the crisis faced by diabetics and health-benefit providers nationally.

The amount that the Fund and others pay for key diabetes treatments has increased dramatically and often inexplicably in recent years. The average list price for insulin tripled between 2003 and 2013, and by 15-17% annually between 2012-

2016.¹ Ultimately, all of the Fund's participants and contributing employers pay for these price increases.

There is a growing consensus that the lack of transparency in pharmaceutical-drug pricing is a major factor in the unsustainable rise in prices. This has led to bipartisan federal and state efforts to mandate drug-pricing disclosure. In 2020 alone, legislators in twenty-seven states passed or introduced bills that would require some form of pricing disclosure by drug manufacturers.² Federal lawmakers have introduced a number of bills and regulatory changes designed to make drug pricing more transparent, including the Prescription Drug Price Transparency Act (H.R. 1035) in 2019-2020. These laws follow successful state legislation requiring other health-service providers, such as hospitals and insurers, to publish information about their pricing practices.

SB 593 promises to open up the black box of insulin drug pricing. Among other things, SB 539 requires diabetes-drug manufacturers to disclose basic information about the pricing of their products to the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services and, ultimately, to the public. The Culinary advocated for SB 539 with the expectation that mandated disclosure of this pricing information would lead to a more transparent market for diabetes medications in Nevada and, ultimately, to lower negotiated drug prices for the Culinary's members.

DHHS's and Sanofi's positions in this litigation threaten to close the door that SB 539 pried open. They are based on untenable readings of SB 539, the federal Defend Trade Secrets Act, and Nevada's Public Records Act. The Culinary submits this brief *amicus curiae* to assist the Court in the proper interpretation of these laws.

¹ "Insulin Access and Affordability Working Group: Conclusions and Recommendations," 41 DIABETES CARE 1299-1300 (2018), available at: https://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/41/6/1299 (last visited March 2, 2021).

² National Academy for State Health Policy, Legislation Tracker, available at: https://nashp.org/rx-legislative-tracker/ (last visited March 2, 2021).

1	Dated: March 2, 2021	Respectfully submitted,
2		/s/Paul L. More
3		Paul L. More, SBN 9628 Richard G. McCracken, SBN 2748
4		McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN &
5		HOLSBERRY, LLP
6		595 Market Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94105
7		Tel. No.: (415) 597-7200
8		Fax No.: (415) 597-7201
9		Email: pmore@msh.law rmccracken@msh.law
10		Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Culinary Workers
11		Union Local 226
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I hereby certify and affirm that CULINARY WORKERS UNION LOCAL		
3	226 REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE was filed		
4	electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 2nd day of March, 2021.		
5	Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the		
6	Master Service List as follows:		
7			
8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116	AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General HEIDI PARRY STERN STEVE SHEVORSKI (Office of the Nevada Attorney General) 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 486-3594 Attorneys for Respondents Whitley, and the State of Nevada ex rel. The Nevada	JOHN R. BAILEY DENNIS KENNEDY SARAH E. HARMON REBECCA L. CROOKER BAILEY KENNEDY 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89148-1302 (702) 562-8820 Attorneys for Respondent Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC	
17	Department of Health and Human Services		
18 19 20 21 22	MATTHEW J. RASHBROOK ROBERT L. LANGFORD Robert L. Langford & Associates 616 South Eighth Street Las Vegas, NV 89101		
23	Attorneys for Petitioner The Nevada Independent		
24			
25			
26		Katherine Pierre	
27 28		employee of McCracken, Stemerman & Isberry, LLP	