1 4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply): 2 o Judgment after bench trial o Failure to state a claim o Judgment after jury verdict o Failure to prosecute 3 o Summary judgment o Other (specify): o Default judgment Divorce Decree: 4 Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief o Original 5 o Grant/Denial of injunction Modification Other disposition (specify): o Grant/Denial of declaratory relief 6 o Review of agency determination Grant of Motion to Enforce o Dismissal: Settlement 7 o Lack of jurisdiction 8 5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following? 9 o Child Custody o Venue 10 o Termination of parental rights 11 NOT APPLICABLE 12 6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all 13 appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal: 14 **NONE** 15 16 Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., 17 bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: 18 **NONE** 19 **8. Nature of the action.** Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below: 20 This is a personal injury case. Defendant/Appellant prevailed at arbitration. Subsequently, 21 without defense counsels' knowledge and consent, counsel for Plaintiff/Respondent contacted the 22 claims representative for Defendant/Appellant and settled the matter. Thereafter. 23 Defendant/Appellant/his insurer declined to pay because of what Defendant/Appellant perceived 24 to be counsel's violation of Nevada Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2. Plaintiff/Respondent did 25 not seek a trial de novo. When the time passed to do so, Defendant/Appellant obtained entry of 26 judgment pursuant to NAR 19(A) in favor of Defendant/Appellant. Thereafter, 27 Plaintiff/Respondent moved to set aside the judgment and to enforce the settlement agreement. 28

Defendant/Appellant opposed the Motions. The District Court granted the Motions and entered an

1	Order accordingly. That Order is the subject of this appeal.	
2		
3	<b>9. Issues on appeal.</b> State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):	
4	1. IS THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT VOID OR VOIDABLE AT THE OPTION	
5	OF APPELLANT FOR COUNSEL'S VIOLATION OF NRPC 4.2?	
6	2. IS RESPONDENT ESTOPPED FROM ENFORCING THE SETTLEMENT	
7	AGREEMENT?	
8	3. DID THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT IN	
9	FAVOR OF APPELLANT?	
10	4. SHOULD THE JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF APPELLANT BE RE-INSTATED?	
11	10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:	
12		
13		
14	NONE.	
15		
16	11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you	
17	notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?	
18	□NIA	
19	□ Yes	
20	If not, explain:	
21	NOT APPLICABLE.	
22	12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?	
23	<ul> <li>Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))</li> <li>An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions</li> </ul>	
24	A substantial issue of first impression	
25	<ul> <li>An issue of public policy</li> <li>An issue where en bane consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this</li> </ul>	
26	o court's decisions	
	O A ballot question  If so, explain:	
27		
28	1. Was Respondent's counsel's conduct in communicating with the Appellant's claims	

1	representative ex parte and settling the case without the prior knowledge or approval of	
2	Appellant's counsel a violation of NRPC 4.2?	
3	2. Does NRPC 4.2 enunciate relevant Nevada public policy?	
4	3. Did Respondent's counsel violate a public policy enunciated in NRPC 4.2?	
5	4. Is holding the settlement agreement at issue void or voidable as against public policy a	
6	proper remedy for the violation of public policy?	
7 8	13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. It appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:	
9 10		
11		
12	Appellant submits that this appeal is presumptively retained by the Nevada Supreme Court	
13	Appellant believes that this appeal raises matters of first impression under the common law	
14	(NRAP 17(a)(11)), and that the matters herein raised are of statewide public importance (NRAF	
15	17(a)(11)).	
16		
17	14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? Was it a bench or jury trial?	
18 19	NOT APPLICABLE.	
20	15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?	
21		
22	Appellant does not intend to file such a motion.	
23		
24	TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL	
25	16. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from:  If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking	
26	appellate review:	
27		
28	September 15, 2010.	

1 2	17. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served: September 17, 2020.	
3	Was service by:  O Delivery	
4	Mail/electronic/fax	
5		
6	18. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)	
7 8	(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.	
9	□ NRCP 50(b) Date of filing:	
10	□ NRCP 52(b) Date of filing: □ NRCP 59 Date of filing:	
11	NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsiderati	
12	may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).	
13		
14	<ul><li>(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion:</li><li>(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served:</li></ul>	
15	Was service by:  o Delivery	
16	o Mail	
17		
18	NOT APPLICABLE.	
19	9. Date notice of appeal filed:	
20	If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice	
21	of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:	
22	September 28, 2020.	
23		
24	20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP	
25	4(a) or other.	
26	NRAP 4A(1).	
27		
28		

1	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY	
2	21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:	
4	(a)   NRAP 3A(b)(1)   NRS 38.205	
5	o NRAP 3A(b)(2) o NRS 233B.150 o NRS 703.376	
6	o Other (specify)	
7		
8	(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:	
9	1. The order setting aside the judgment in favor of Appellant: NRAP 3A(b)(1).	
10	2. The order granting motion to enforce settlement agreement: NRAP 3A(b)(1);	
11	NRAP 3A(b)(3).	
12		
13	22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court:  (a) Parties:	
14		
15	Patricia Sanchez (Plaintiff/Respondent).	
16	Juan Millan Arce (Defendant/Appellant)	
17		
18	(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those	
19	parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:	
20	Not Applicable.	
21		
22		
23	23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.	
24		
25	Complaint: Negligence	
26	Arbitration Award Served: February 11, 2020	
27	111	
28		

1	24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the
2	rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?  Yes
3	□ No
4	25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:
5	(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:
6	
7	(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
8	NOT APPLICABLE
9	(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment
10	pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
11	□ Yes □ No
12	
13	NOT APPLICABLE
14	(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that
15	there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
16	□ Yes □ No
17	NOT APPLICABLE
18	26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate
19	review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
20	
21	NOT APPLICABLE
22	27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:
23	<ul> <li>The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims</li> </ul>
24	<ul> <li>Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)</li> <li>Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims,</li> </ul>
25	crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
26	<ul> <li>Any other order challenged on appeal</li> <li>Notices of entry for each attached order</li> </ul>
27	///
28	

1	SEE ATTACHED.	
2		
3		IFICATION
4		that I have read this docketing statement, that the tatement is true and complete to the best of my
5		at I have attached all required documents to this
6		
7	Juan Millan Arce Name of appellant	Erich N. Storm  Name of counsel of record
8		
9	October 27, 2020	
10	Date	Signature of counsel of record
11	Clark County, Nevada	
12	State and county where signed	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 27th day of October, 2020, I served a true and 3 Complete copy of the foregoing DOCKETING STATEMENT addressed to the parties below as follows: 4 by placing a true and correct copy of the same to be deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail, [ ] 5 enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid; and /or 6 via facsimile; and or 7 [] by hand delivery to parties listed below; and or 8 by electronic service via ODYSSEY through the District Court. [x]10 NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ. 11 Nevada Bar No. 11947 BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. 12 Nevada Bar No. 10558 **DEAVER I CRAFTON** 13 810 E. Charleston Blvd. 14 Las Vegas, NV 89104 brice@deavercrafton.com 15 shannon@deavercrafton.com Tel. (702)385-5969 16 Fax. (702)385-6939 17 Attorneys for Respondent 18 19 /s/ Star Farrow STORM LEGAL GROUP 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

**COMP** 

1

**Electronically Filed** 6/17/2019 3:35 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO: A-19-796822-C Department 27

COME NOW, Plaintiff PATRICIA SANCHEZ, by and through her attorneys BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ., and NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ., of the law firm DEAVER | CRAFTON,

### FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- All the facts and circumstances that give rise to the subject lawsuit occurred in the
- At all times relevant hereto Plaintiff, PATRICIA SANCHEZ, is and at all times material hereto was, a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 3. At all times relevant hereto Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, is and at all times material hereto was, a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- Plaintiff, PATRICIA SANCHEZ, and DEFENDANT, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, 4. were in the use and operation of a motor vehicle over the public roads, streets or highways, or in any other area open to the public and commonly used by motor vehicles, in the State of Nevada.
- 5. The true names of DOES I through X, their citizenship and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, partnership or otherwise, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore alleges that each of the Defendants, designated as DOES I through X, are, or may be, legally responsible for the events referred to in this action, and caused damages to Plaintiff, as herein alleged, and Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend the Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of such Defendant, when the same have been ascertained, and to join them in this action, together with the proper charges and allegations.
- 6. DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X may be employers of Defendant, who may be liable for Defendant's negligence pursuant to NRS 41.130, which states:
  - Except as otherwise provided in NRS 411.745, whenever any person shall suffer personal injury by wrongful act, neglect or default of another, the person causing the injury is liable to the person injured for damages; and where the person causing the injury is employed by another person or corporation responsible for his conduct, that person or corporation so responsible is liable to the person or corporation responsible for his conduct, that person or corporation so responsible is liable to the person injured for damages.
- 7. DOES I through X may be immediate family members of Defendant who may liable for Defendant's negligence pursuant to NRS 41.440, which states: Any liability imposed upon a wife, husband, son, daughter, father, mother,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

brother, sister or other immediate member of a family arising out of his or her driving and operating a motor vehicle upon a highway with the permission, express or implied, of such owner is hereby imposed upon the owner of the motor vehicle, and such owner shall be jointly and severally liable with his or her wife, husband, son, daughter, father, mother, brother, sister, or other immediate member of the family for any damages proximately resulting from such negligence or willful misconduct, and such negligent or willful misconduct shall be imputed to the owner of the motor vehicle for all purposes of civil damages.

At all times herein mentioned, Defendant, its agents, partners, servants, employees, 8. contractors, and each of them were acting within the course and scope of their agency. employment, or contract.

### **FACTS COMMON TO INCIDENT**

- 9. On August 3, 2018 Plaintiff, PATRICIA SANCHEZ, was involved in a motor vehicle collision with a vehicle owned and operated by JUAN MILLAN ARCE.
- Plaintiff, PATRICIA SANCHEZ, was at the intersection of Desert Inn and Maryland Parkway when Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, failed to keep a safe distance and rear-ended Plaintiff's vehicle.
- That Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, while operating said vehicle in Las Vegas, Nevada, failed to use due care and improperly rear-ended Plaintiff when he operated his vehicle in a negligent, reckless and unlawful manner, and caused a collision to Plaintiff's vehicle, causing injuries to Plaintiff and damages to Plaintiff's vehicle and person.
- It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of the law firm DEAVER 12. CRAFTON to represent her in the above-entitled matter, and Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## (Negligence as to all defendants)

Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and every allegation previously made in this Complaint, in paragraphs 1 to 12, as if here fully set forth.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, owed a duty of care to Plaintiff to operate his vehicle in a careful, responsible, and reasonably prudent manner.
- 15. Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, breached this duty when he negligently recklessly, and/or carelessly maintained, controlled, and operated the vehicle by failing to use due care, failing to operate the vehicle in a safe manner under existing conditions, failing to keep a safe distance, and driving too fast for traffic conditions all and each of which thereby directly and proximately caused the injuries and damages complained of herein as suffered by Plaintiff.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, Plaintiff sustained severe bodily trauma, all or some of which may be permanent and disabling in nature all to their general and compensatory damage in an amount greater than \$15,000.
- 17. In addition, Plaintiff was required to incur expenses for medical care, treatment and expenses incidental thereto, all to her detriment, in an amount unknown at this time. and may be required in the future to incur expenses for medical care and treatment. including surgery, physicians, nurses, physical therapists, hospitalization, x-rays, medicine and general medical care in an amount not yet ascertained, and in this regard Plaintiff prays leave of the Court to insert all said damages herein when the same have been fully ascertained or proven at the time of trial herein.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's negligence, recklessness, and/or carelessness, Plaintiff has endured pain and suffering, worry, anxiety, emotional

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

distress, loss of enjoyment of life, and will continue to endure said losses for an indefinite period of time in the future, in an amount greater than \$15,000, and in this regard, Plaintiff prays leave of the Court to insert all said damages herein when the same have been fully ascertained or proven at the time of trial herein.

 It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of the law firm DEAVER. CRAFTON to represent her in the above-entitled matter, and Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence Per Se as to all Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and every allegation previously made in this Complaint, in paragraphs 1 to 19, as if here fully set forth.
- Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant operated his vehicle in a manner that violates State of Nevada, County of Clark and City of Las Vegas statutes, laws and ordinances, including, but not limited to, failing to keep a safe distance, failure to use due care in the operation of a vehicle, driving at excessive speed, and improper driving.
- Plaintiff is within the class of persons intended to be protected by the statutes, laws and ordinances of the State of Nevada, County of Clark and City of Las Vegas.
- The injuries suffered by Plaintiff were of the type against which the statutes, laws 23. and ordinances of the State of Nevada, County of Clark and City of Las Vegas were intended to protect.
- That, as a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence, carelessness and/or recklessness of Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE, Plaintiff suffered physical injuries, pain and suffering damages, and loss of enjoyment of life, some of which conditions are permanent and disabling.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

2

3

4

5

6

- 25. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant, JUAN MILLAN ARCE'S, negligence, recklessness, and/or carelessness, Plaintiff, PATRICIA SANCHEZ, incurred and continues to incur, medical expenses, and special damages which does not include future medical treatment recommended by his treating physicians.
  Plaintiff has also suffered general damages in additional amounts to be proven at trial.
- 25. It has been necessary for Plaintiff to retain the services of the law firm DEAVER |

  CRAFTON to represent her in the above-entitled matter, and Plaintiff is entitled to

  reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Special damages in the amount of greater than \$15,000 according to proof at trial;
- 2. General damages to be determined at time of trial;
- 3. Interest from the time of service of this Complaint as allowed by NRS17.130;
- 4. Costs of suit and attorneys' fees; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

DATED this 70 day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2019

**DEAVER | CRAFTON** 

NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11947 BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. NEVADA Bar No. 10558 810 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Plaintiff

### **ELECTRONICALLY SERVED** 9/15/2020 4:05 PM

Electronically File CLERK OF THE COUR

1	ORDR	
2	NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11947	
_	BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 10558	
	DEAVER   CRAFTON	
4	810 E. Charleston Blvd.	
	Las Vegas, NV 89104	
5	brice@deavercrafton.com	
6	shannon@deavercrafton.com	
0	Tel. (702)385-5969 Fax. (702)385-6939	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	DISTRICT	COURT
	COUNTY OF CI	ADE NEWADA
9	COUNTY OF CL.	AKK, NEVADA
10		
	PATRICIA SANCHEZ, an individual;	
11		
	Plaintiff,	Case No. A- 19-796822-C
12		Dept. No. XXVII
13	VS.	Dept. No. AXVII
13	JUAN MILLAN ARCE, an individual; DOES	
14	I-X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-	18
	X, inclusive;	
15		
	Defendants.	
16	ODDED OD A NICHAO DI A INICIPIE	C MOTION FOR RELIEF ED
17	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S JUDGMENT AND TO EN	
''	JODGMENT AND TO EN	TORCE SETTEMMENT
18	The Motion for Relief from Judgment and	to Enforce Settlement, having co
19	Court on June 11, 2020 at 3:30pm, and the Court	t, having reviewed the papers su

### <u>ROM</u>

come before the ubmitted by the parties and heard the arguments of counsel, finds, concludes, and orders the Motion GRANTED, as set forth below, which is based upon Plaintiff's factual summary as outlined in Plaintiff's moving papers, which the court adopts, and the moving papers, including the citations to legal authorities, which the court finds persuasive:

24

20

21

22

23

A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant was an insured of Key Insurance Company, Inc., at all relevant times, and provided a defense to Defendant with respect to this matter. Erika Cervantes was an employee of Key Insurance Company, Inc. and was the claims adjuster assigned to this matter.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that a settlement was reached in this matter on February 20, 2020 during a telephone conversation between Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Nathan Deaver and Erika Cervantes. During that conversation, Ms. Cervantes agreed to pay the sum of \$10,000.00 and was to send Mr. Deaver a release regarding the same. This settlement was reached specifically to avoid the need for Plaintiff to file a request for short trial after an arbitration award was submitted on February 11, 2020.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the settlement discussions had, and agreements reached, between Mr. Deaver and Ms. Cervantes on February 20, 2020, a valid, binding, and enforceable contract for settlement was entered, which included: an offer to settle for \$10,000.00; an acceptance of said offer; and, consideration in the form of an agreement to a release of all claims, as well as the waiver of Plaintiff's right to request that this matter proceed to a short trial. Moreover, Plaintiff relied upon the settlement by not seeking a short trial.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that on March 25, 2020, Mr. Erich Storm, an employee of Key Insurance and assigned counsel for Defendant Arce, filed a Judgment on Arbitration Award despite having knowledge that this case had previously settled on February 20, 2020.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the negotiations and settlement agreement of February 20, 2020 between Mr. Deaver and Ms. Cervantes were not violative of any rule (legal, ethical, or otherwise), including but not limited to Nevada Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 as the communication with Ms. Cervantes was not a communication with a person represented by

# Control Contro

	_	
,	EX	
	TTORNEYS	

counsel as there is a distinction between in-house counsel and other counsel hired by an insurance
company to represent it insureds. Moreover, the communications were not against public policy
and therefore the contract for settlement entered on said date is not void

THE COURT THEREFORE CONCLUDES that a lawful, binding and enforceable contract was entered into on February 20, 2020, the terms of which include that Plaintiff Patricia Sanchez accepts the amount of \$10,000.00 in exchange for her full and final release of claims against Defendant Arce, thereby waiving her right to a short trial, and concludes that this contract is to be enforced and that Key Insurance must pay the sum of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Sanchez, on behalf of its insured, Defendant Arce, in exchange for the release of all claims per the terms of the agreement.

THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES that the Judgment filed by Mr. Erich Storm on March 25, 2020 should be vacated considering the settlement of this matter on February 20, 2020.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment and to Enforce Settlement is GRANTED, and that the Judgment filed on March 25, 2020 is vacated.

///

||///

21 |

1	Defendant Arce is ordered to pay \$10,000.00 to Ms. Sanchez, through his insurer Key	
2	Insurance, in exchange for Plaintiff Sanchez's full and final release of all claims against him.	
3		
4	DATED this 15th day of September, 2020.	DATED this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2020.
5	DEAVER   CRAFTON	STORM LEGAL GROUP
6	DEAVER   CRAFTON	Approved as to Form and Content
7	/s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	/s/ ERICH N. STORM, ESQ.
8	BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	ERICH N. STORM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4480
9	NEVADA Bar No. 10558 810 E. Charleston Blvd.	3057 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 400
10	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Defendant
11		
12	ORDER	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, that Plaintiff's Motion for Relief From Judgment and to Enforce	
14	Settlement is GRANTED.	
15	DATED this day of, 2020.	t ·
16		Nancy L Allf DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17		8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC
18	Respectfully Submitted by:	Nancy Allf District Court Judge
19	DEAVER   CRAFTON	
20	/s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	
21	BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	
22	NEVADA Bar No. 10558 810 E. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89104	
23	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24		4

į

# SCALE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of DEAVER | CRAFTON, and that on the 15th day of September, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I am serving the attached copy of ORDER

### GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT AND TO

**ENFORCE SETTLEMENT** on the party(s) set forth below by:

 Placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope placed for collection
and mailing in the United States Mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid,
following ordinary business practices.

Via Facsimile (Fax)

Electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Electronic filing system:

Erich N. Storm, Esq. STORM LEGAL GROUP 3057 E. Warm Springs Road Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ Shannon Shaffer

An employee of DEAVER | CRAFTON

**S** Gmail 

Q Search mail

Compose

Begin forwarded message:

Inbox

Snoozed

Sent

Starred

ო

From: Erich Storm < EStorm@keyinsco.com>

Date: September 15, 2020 at 2:34:39 PM PDT

To: Brice Crafton < brice@deavercrafton.com>

Subject: RE: Sanchez

Drafts

65

All right, go ahead and put my e-signature on it

Cynthia Missed

Thanks,

More

STORM LEGAL GROUP Erich N. Storm

3057 East Warm Springs Road

Start a meeting

Meet

Join a meeting

#400

Las Vegas NV 89120

702-765-0976

Shannon Shannon

Hangouts

+

From: Brice Crafton < brice@deavercrafton.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 11:39 AM

**To:** Erich Storm <<u>EStorm@keyinsco.com</u>>

Subject: Re: Sanchez

Erich, please find the revised order. Review and let me know if you have any further modifications. If not, i

No recent chats Start a new one

Best Regards,

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgxwJXpWfLNnCRjLWbptVwTLBzGjz

**CSERV** 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 Patricia Sanchez, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-19-796822-C 6 7 VS. DEPT. NO. Department 27 Juan Arce, Defendant(s) 8 9 10 **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 11 This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: 13 Service Date: 9/15/2020 14 Brice Crafton brice@deavercrafton.com 15 Lisa McMillan lmcmillan@cooperlevenson.com 16 17 Cynthia Villanueva cynthia@deavercrafton.com 18 Shannon Shafffer shannon@deavercrafton.com 19 Valeria Guerra valeria@deavercrafton.com 20 Kristin Orque korque@purdyandanderson.com 21 **Erich Storm** estorm@keyinsco.com 22 Sfarrow@keyinsco.com Star Farrow 23 24 25 26 27

1

9/17/2020 1:21 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **NEO** 1 NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 11947 BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 10558 DEAVER | CRAFTON 810 E. Charleston Blvd. 4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 5 Tel. (702)385-5969 Fax. (702)385-6939 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 **DISTRICT COURT** 8 COUNTY OF CLARK, NEVADA 9 PATRICIA SANCHEZ, an individual; Case No. A- 19-796822-C 10 Plaintiff, Dept. No. XXVII 11 VS. JUAN MILLAN ARCE, an individual; DOES 12 I-X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-13 X, inclusive; Defendants. 14 15 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order was entered in the above entitled matter on 16 September 15, 2020. A copy is attached hereto. 17 DATED this 17th day of September, 2020. 18 DEAVER | CRAFTON 19 /s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. 20 BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. NEVADA Bar No. 10558 21 810 E. Charleston Blvd. 22 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Plaintiff 23 24 1

**Electronically Filed** 

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of DEAVER  $\mid$  CRAFTON, and that on the  $17^{th}$  day of September, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I am serving the attached copy of NOTICE OF

 Placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope placed for collection
and mailing in the United States Mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid
following ordinary business practices.

Via Facsimile (Fax)

Electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Electronic filing system:

ERICH N. STORM, ESQ. STORM LEGAL GROUP 3057 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Defendant

ENTRY OF ORDER on the party(s) set forth below by:

/s/ SHANNON SHAFFER

An employee of DEAVER | CRAFTON

### **ELECTRONICALLY SERVED** 9/15/2020 4:05 PM

Electronically Filed 09/15/2020 4:04 PI CLERK OF THE COURT

**ORDR** 1 NATHAN S. DEAVER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11947 BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10558 DEAVER | CRAFTON 810 E. Charleston Blvd. 4 Las Vegas, NV 89104 brice@deavercrafton.com shannon@deavercrafton.com Tel. (702)385-5969 6 Fax. (702)385-6939 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT 8 COUNTY OF CLARK, NEVADA 9 10 PATRICIA SANCHEZ, an individual; 11 Case No. A- 19-796822-C Plaintiff, 12 Dept. No. XXVII VS. 13 JUAN MILLAN ARCE, an individual; DOES I-X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-14 X, inclusive; 15 Defendants. 16 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM 17

### JUDGMENT AND TO ENFORCE SETTLEMENT

The Motion for Relief from Judgment and to Enforce Settlement, having come before the Court on June 11, 2020 at 3:30pm, and the Court, having reviewed the papers submitted by the parties and heard the arguments of counsel, finds, concludes, and orders the Motion GRANTED, as set forth below, which is based upon Plaintiff's factual summary as outlined in Plaintiff's moving papers, which the court adopts, and the moving papers, including the citations to legal authorities, which the court finds persuasive:

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

24

1

2

3

4

THE COURT FINDS that Defendant was an insured of Key Insurance Company, Inc., at all relevant times, and provided a defense to Defendant with respect to this matter. Erika Cervantes was an employee of Key Insurance Company, Inc. and was the claims adjuster assigned to this matter.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that a settlement was reached in this matter on February 20, 2020 during a telephone conversation between Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Nathan Deaver and Erika Cervantes. During that conversation, Ms. Cervantes agreed to pay the sum of \$10,000.00 and was to send Mr. Deaver a release regarding the same. This settlement was reached specifically to avoid the need for Plaintiff to file a request for short trial after an arbitration award was submitted on February 11, 2020.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the settlement discussions had, and agreements reached, between Mr. Deaver and Ms. Cervantes on February 20, 2020, a valid, binding, and enforceable contract for settlement was entered, which included: an offer to settle for \$10,000.00; an acceptance of said offer; and, consideration in the form of an agreement to a release of all claims, as well as the waiver of Plaintiff's right to request that this matter proceed to a short trial. Moreover, Plaintiff relied upon the settlement by not seeking a short trial.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that on March 25, 2020, Mr. Erich Storm, an employee of Key Insurance and assigned counsel for Defendant Arce, filed a Judgment on Arbitration Award despite having knowledge that this case had previously settled on February 20, 2020.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the negotiations and settlement agreement of February 20, 2020 between Mr. Deaver and Ms. Cervantes were not violative of any rule (legal, ethical, or otherwise), including but not limited to Nevada Rule of Professional Conduct 4.2 as the communication with Ms. Cervantes was not a communication with a person represented by

3	and therefore the contract for settlement entered on said date is not void.
4	THE COURT THEREFORE CONCLUDES that a lawful, binding and enforceable contract
5	was entered into on February 20, 2020, the terms of which include that Plaintiff Patricia Sanchez
6	accepts the amount of \$10,000.00 in exchange for her full and final release of claims against
7	Defendant Arce, thereby waiving her right to a short trial, and concludes that this contract is to
8	be enforced and that Key Insurance must pay the sum of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Sanchez, on
9	behalf of its insured, Defendant Arce, in exchange for the release of all claims per the terms of
10	the agreement.
11	THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES that the Judgment filed by Mr. Erich Storm on March
12	25, 2020 should be vacated considering the settlement of this matter on February 20, 2020.
13	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment
14	and to Enforce Settlement is GRANTED, and that the Judgment filed on March 25, 2020 is
15	vacated.
16	///
17	///
18	  //
19	  //
20	
21	
22	<b> </b>

counsel as there is a distinction between in-house counsel and other counsel hired by an insurance

company to represent it insureds. Moreover, the communications were not against public policy

1	Defendant Arce is ordered to pay \$10,00	0.00 to Ms. Sanchez, through his insurer Key
2	Insurance, in exchange for Plaintiff Sanchez's ful	l and final release of all claims against him.
3		
4	DATED this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2020.	DATED this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2020.
5	DE AVED I CD A ETON	STORM LEGAL GROUP
6	DEAVER   CRAFTON	Approved as to Form and Content
7	/s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	/s/ ERICH N. STORM, ESQ.
8	BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ. NEVADA Bar No. 10558	ERICH N. STORM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4480
9	810 E. Charleston Blvd.	3057 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89120
10	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorney for Defendant
11		
12	ORD	<u>ER</u>
13	IT IS SO ORDERED, that Plaintiff's Mo	otion for Relief From Judgment and to Enforce
14	Settlement is GRANTED.	
15	DATED Alle device 2020	
16	DATED this day of, 2020.	Dated this 15th day of September, 2020
	DATED this day of, 2020.	Nancy L Allf
17	DATED this day of, 2020.	Nancy L Allf DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
17 18		Nancy L Allf
	Respectfully Submitted by:  DEAVER   CRAFTON	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC  Nancy Allf
18 19	Respectfully Submitted by:	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC  Nancy Allf
18 19 20	Respectfully Submitted by:  DEAVER   CRAFTON  /s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.  BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC  Nancy Allf
18 19 20 21	Respectfully Submitted by:  DEAVER   CRAFTON  /s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.  BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.  NEVADA Bar No. 10558 810 E. Charleston Blvd.	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC  Nancy Allf
18	Respectfully Submitted by:  DEAVER   CRAFTON  /s/ BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.  BRICE J. CRAFTON, ESQ.  NEVADA Bar No. 10558	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE  8AB 16D 75BF 1DDC  Nancy Allf

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am an employee of DEAVER  $\mid$  CRAFTON, and that on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2020, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I am serving the attached copy of ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT AND TO

### ENFORCE SETTLEMENT on the party(s) set forth below by:

- Placing an original or true copy thereof in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing in the United States Mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid, following ordinary business practices.
- Via Facsimile (Fax)
- Electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Electronic filing system:

Erich N. Storm, Esq. STORM LEGAL GROUP 3057 E. Warm Springs Road Suite 400 Las Vegas, NV 89120 Attorney for Defendant

/s/ Shannon Shaffer

An employee of DEAVER | CRAFTON

**S** Gmail 

Q Search mail

Compose

Begin forwarded message:

Inbox

ന

Date: September 15, 2020 at 2:34:39 PM PDT To: Brice Crafton < brice@deavercrafton.com>

Subject: RE: Sanchez

From: Erich Storm < EStorm@keyinsco.com>

Snoozed Starred

Sent

**Drafts** 

65

All right, go ahead and put my e-signature on it

Cynthia Missed

More

Meet

Start a meeting

Join a meeting

Erich N. Storm

Thanks,

STORM LEGAL GROUP

3057 East Warm Springs Road

#400

Las Vegas NV 89120

702-765-0976

Hangouts

Shannon

+

From: Brice Crafton < brice@deavercrafton.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 11:39 AM

To: Erich Storm < EStorm@keyinsco.com>

Subject: Re: Sanchez

Erich, please find the revised order. Review and let me know if you have any further modifications. If not, i

No recent chats

Start a new one

Best Regards,

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?tab=rm&ogbl#inbox/FMfcgxwJXpWfLNnCRjLWbptVwTLBzGjz

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

### **CSERV**

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Patricia Sanchez, Plaintiff(s)

CASE NO: A-19-796822-C

VS.

DEPT. NO. Department 27

Juan Arce, Defendant(s)

### **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below:

Service Date: 9/15/2020

Brice Crafton

brice@deavercrafton.com

Lisa McMillan

lmcmillan@cooperlevenson.com

Cynthia Villanueva

cynthia@deavercrafton.com

Shannon Shafffer

shannon@deaver crafton.com

Valeria Guerra

valeria@deavercrafton.com

Kristin Orque

korque@purdyandanderson.com

Erich Storm

estorm@keyinsco.com

Star Farrow

Sfarrow@keyinsco.com