

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LANCE GILMAN,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.

SAM TOLL,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

LANCE GILMAN,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.

SAM TOLL,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 81726

FILED

APR 16 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

No. 81874

BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

On December 31, 2020, respondent/cross-appellant (respondent) filed a motion requesting that appellant/cross-respondent (appellant) be required to file appeal bonds in accordance with NRAP 7. On January 15, 2021, this court entered an order granting the motion and directing appellant to comply with NRAP 7, if he had not already done so, and provide this court with written proof of compliance by January 22, 2021. The order cautioned that failure to timely comply could result in the imposition of sanctions.

Respondent has now filed a motion to dismiss appellant's appeals. Respondent points out that appellant has failed to comply with this court's January 15, 2021, order. This court agrees. Attached to appellant's opposition is a receipt showing that a payment of \$500 was made to Storey County on January 27, 2021, as well as a bank statement showing an online transfer on February 17, 2021, for \$500 and bearing the notation "Transfer Appeal Bond." Neither document contains any case number and the receipt does not indicate what payment was for. These documents are insufficient to demonstrate compliance with NRAP 7. Further, appellant

states in his opposition that a formal notice demonstrating that the appeal bonds have been paid would be filed in this appeal contemporaneously with his opposition. However, to date, no such notice has been filed. Notwithstanding appellant's failure to comply with this court's January 15 order, but based upon the information appellant has provided to this court, appellant shall have 7 days from the date of this order to demonstrate that the documents attached to the opposition relate to the appeal bonds for these appeals, and that the bond for each appeal has been paid. Failure to do so will result in this court granting respondent's motion to dismiss these appeals.

It is so ORDERED.¹

1. J. J. J., C.J.

cc: Flangas Dalacas Law Group, Inc.
John L. Marshall
Luke A. Busby

¹This court defers its ruling in regard to the alleged deficiencies with appellant's appendix raised by respondent in his motion to dismiss.