IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTINA CALDERON F/K/A CHIRSTINA CALDERON STIPP,

Appellant,

VS.

MITCHELL DAVID STIPP,

Respondent.

Supreme Court No. Electronically Filed
Sep 20 2021 06:01 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XI

AARON D GRIGSBY GRIGSBY LAW GROUP

A Professional Corporation Nevada Bar No. 9043 2880 W. Sahara Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: (702) 202-5235 aaron@grigsbylawgroup.com Counsel for Appellant

RADFORD J. SMITH Radford J. Smith, CHTD 2470 St. Rose Parkway, #206 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Counsel for Respondent

VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Resolving Physical Custody, Timeshare,				
Child Support and Parenting Matters	I	AA000001-18		
Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise				
Teenage Discretion on Timeshare	I	AA000019-40		
Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion for Child				
Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to	exerci	se Teenage		
Discretion on Timeshare	I	AA000041-54		
Notice of Hearing	I	AA000055		
Application for an Order Shortening Time		AA000056-109		
Notice of Department Reassignment		AA000110-111		
Notice of Appearance of Counsel for Plaintiff		AA000112-113		
Motion for Order to Show Cause Against the Defendant for Willfully disobeying				
the Custody Order; A Request for Immediate Return of the Children, Make Up				
Visitation and Award of Attorney's Fees	I	AA000114-143		
Notice of Communications between Defendant and				
Plaintiff's Attorney	I	AA000144-151		
Notice of Hearing	I	AA000152		
Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause	I	AA000153-160		

VOLUME NUMBER BATE NO(S)

Plaintiff's Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Order to Show Cause

Against the Defendant for Willfully disobeying the Custody Order; A Request for

Immediate Return of the Children, Make Up Visitation and

Award of Attorney's Fees

I AA000161-230

Plaintiff's Objection to Exhibits improperly cut and pasted within Defendant's Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise Teenage Discretion on Timeshare, and Objection to Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion filed on August 26, 2019,

pursuant to NRCP 16.205(i)

I AA000231-232

Ex Parte Application for an Order

Shortening Time

Teenage Discretion

I AA000233-244

AA000245-272

Defendant's Opposition to Motion for Order to Show Cause Against the Defendant for Willfully disobeying the Custody Order; A Request for Immediate Return of the Children, Make Up Visitation and Award of Attorney's Fees and Countermotion for Interview of Children by FMC and for Children to exercise

II

VOLUME NUMBER BATE NO(S)

Defendant's Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Opposition to Motion for Order to Show Cause Against the Defendant for Willfully disobeying the Custody Order; A Request for Immediate Return of the Children, Make Up Visitation and Award of Attorney's Fees and Countermotion for Interview of Children by FMC and for Children to exercise Teenage Discretion II AA000273-366 Order to Show Cause II AA000367-368 Notice of Entry of Order II AA000369-372 Declaration of Amy Stipp in Support of Defendant's Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise Teenage Discretion on AA000373-389 Timeshare II Declaration of Amy Stipp in Support of Defendant's Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise Teenage Discretion on Timeshare II AA000390-406 Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise Teenage Discretion on Timeshare and Countermotion for Immediate Return of Children, Make-up visitation, Sanctions, and Award of Attorney's Fees II AA000407-419 II Notice of Hearing AA000420

VOLUME NUMBER BATE NO(S)

Plaintiff's Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Child Interview by FMC, Mediation and to Permit Children to exercise Teenage Discretion on Timeshare and Countermotion for Immediate Return of Children, Make-up visitation, Sanctions,

and Award of Attorney's Fees

II AA000421-427

Defendant's Objection to Letter by Christina Calderon's Therapist Donna Wilburn and Notice of Letter from Dr. Roy Lubit

in Support of Objection

II AA000228-481

Response to Plaintiff's Objection

Filed on August 30, 2019

II AA000482-485

Reply to Opposition to Our Motion for Order to Show Cause Against Defendant for Willfully Disobeying the Custody Order and Requested Relief and Opposition to the Countermotion filed by Defendant III AA000286-497

Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Countermotion for Interview of Children by FMC, Mediation at FMC, and for

Children to Exercise Teenage Discretion

III AA000498-517

DOCUMENT VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Reply to Opposition to Our Motion for Order to			
Show Cause Against Defendant for Willfully Disobeying the Custody Order and			
Requested Relief and Opposition to the Countermotion	III	AA000518-543	
Notice of Appearance	III	AA000544-546	
Supplemental Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Reply to Opposition to Our			
Motion for Order to Show Cause Against Defendant for Willfully Disobeying the			
Custody Order and Requested Relief and Opposition to	the		
Countermotion filed by Defendant	III	AA000547-550	
Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time	III	AA000551-564	
Order for Family Mediation Center	III	AA000565	
Court Order Instructions		AA000566-567	
Request for Child Protective Services			
Appearance and Records	III	AA000568	
Status Report	III	AA000569-574	
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Status Report filed October 7, 2019, and			
Request that it be stricken Pursuant to EDCR 5.508 III AA000575-577			

VOLUME NUMBER

BATE NO(S)

Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Temporary Primary Physical Custody and Request for Writ of Attachment Order

and Attorney's Fees III AA000578-600

Notice of Hearing III AA000601

Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time III AA000602-607

Opposition to Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time of Plaintiff's

Motion for Primary Physical Custody III AA000608-612

Exhibits in Support of Opposition to Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening

Time of Plaintiff's Motion for Primary Physical Custody III AA000613-634

Order Setting Case Management Conference III AA000635-637

Plaintiff's Supplement Affidavit in Support of her Emergency Motion for

Temporary Primary Physical Custody and Request for Writ of Attachment Order

and Attorney's Fees III AA000638-643

Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Temporary Primary Physical

Custody and Request for Writ of Attachment Order and Attorney's Fees and

Countermotion for Primary Physical Custody

and Related Relief III AA000644-666

Order Shortening Time III AA000667-668

DOCUMENT		LUME MBER	BATE NO(S)		
Notice of Entry of Order	III	AA000669	-672		
Order for Supervised Exchange	III	AA000673	-675		
Notice of Change of Address	III	AA000676			
Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing	III	AA000677	-681		
Subpoena for Gerardo Hernandez for					
Deposition	III	AA000682	-686		
Affidavit of Service	III	AA000687			
Notice of Telephonic					
EDCR 5.602(d) Conference	III	AA000688	-690		
Plaintiff's Production of Documents and					
List of Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.2	III	AA000691	-700		
Certificate of Mailing	III	AA000701			
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses, Including Answers to					
Interrogatories and Responses to Requests for Production of Documents; Failure to					
Make NRCP 16.2 Disclosures and Productions; and For an Award of Attorney's					
Fees and Costs	III	AA000702	-722		
Notice of Hearing	III	AA000723			

DOCUMENT VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Plaintiff's Exhibits in Support of Plaintiff's

Motion to Compel Discovery IV AA000724-802

Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Compel

and Related Relief IV AA000803-812

Defendant's Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Opposition to

Motion to Compel and Related Relief IV AA000813-931

Ex Parte Application for an Order

Shortening Time IV AA000932-935

Supplement to Opposition to Motion to

Compel: Countermotion in Limine IV AA000936-944

Exhibits in Support of Defendant's

Supplement: Countermotion in Liminie IV AA000945-969

Order Shortening Time IV AA000970-971

Request for Hearing on Defendant's Countermotion

In Limine V AA000972-973

Supplement to Opposition to Motion to Compel:

Countermotion in Limine V AA000974-983

DOCUMENT VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Supplement:

Countermotion in Limine V AA000984-1008

Ex Parte Application for Order Setting

Hearing/Shortening Time V AA001009-1012

Request for Hearing on Defendant's

Countermotion in Limine V AA1013-1014

Supplement to Opposition to Motion to Compel

Countermotion in Limine V AA1015-1024

Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Supplement:

Countermotion in Limine V AA1025-1049

Notice of Entry of Order V AA1050-1053

Defendant's Pretrial Memorandum V AA001054-1099

Receipt of Copy V AA001100

Witness List V AA001101-1104

Receipt of Copy V AA001105

Witness List V AA001106-1109

Receipt of Copy V AA001110

Plaintiff's List of Witness for Evidentiary Hearing V AA001111-1118

DOCUMENT		LUME MBER	BATE NO(S)
Trial Subpoena	V	AA001119-	-1121
Trial Subpoena	V	AA001122-	-1124
Plaintiff's Objection to Defendant's Pre-trial			
Memorandum filed January 21, 2020	V	AA001125-	-1127
Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery and			
For Attorney's Fees and Costs	V	AA001128-	-1143
Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Motion to			
Compel	VI	AA001144-	-1279
Supplemental Declaration/Affidavit of			
Mitchell Stipp	VI	AA001280-	-1282
Notice of Hearing	VI	AA001283	
Ex Parte Application for Order Setting hearing			
On Motion in Limine	VI	AA00184-1	288
Supplement to Opposition to Motion to Compel:			
Countermotion in Limine	VI	AA001289-	-1297
Exhibits in Support of Defendant's Supplement:			
Countermotion in Limine	VI	AA001298-	-1322

DOCUMENT VOLUME

VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Plaintiff's Memorandum of Attorney's Fees and

Costs VII AA001323-1335

Ex Parte Application for Order Shortening Time on

Defendant's Motion to Compel VII AA001336-1497

Stipulation and Order Vacating February 7, 2020 Hearing before the Discovery

Commissioner VII AA001498-1500

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery

and for Attorney's Fees and Cost; and

Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees VII AA001501-1517

Plaintiff's Exhibits in Support of: Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to

Compel Responses to Discovery and for Attorney's Fees and Cost; and

Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees VII AA001518-1540

Notice of Hearing VII AA001541

Opposition to Plaintiff's Request for Attorney's

Fees and Costs VIII AA001542-1700

Defendant's Reply to Opposition to Motion to Compel and Opposition to

Countermotion for Attorney's Fees and Costs VIII AA001701-1760

Notice of Hearing VIII AA001761

DOCUMENTVOLUME
NUMBERBATE
NO(S)

Order Shortening Time	VIII	AA001762-1763	
Notice of Telephone Conference Required by Discovery Commissioner to Discus			
Plaintiff's Deficient Discovery Responses	IX	AA1764-1791	
Application for an Order Shortening Time	IX	AA1792-1796	
Plaintiff's Offers of Proof Regarding Witnesses			
for Evidentiary Hearing	IX	AA1797-1802	
Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion In			
Limine and Counter-Motion for Attorney's Fees	IX	AA001803-1820	
Plaintiff's Supplemental Production of Documents	S		
And List of Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.2	IX	AA001821-1830	
Status Report	IX	AA001831-1844	
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order			
Resolving Discovery Disputes and Trial Matter	IX	AA001845-1851	
Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing	IX	AA001852-1854	
Order from Hearing of October 1, 2019	IX	AA001855-1862	
Order from Hearing of October 22, 2019	IX	AA001863-1867	

Order from Hearing of October 1, 2019 IX AA001868-1875

DOCUMENT VOLUME BATE NO(S) **NUMBER** Second Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing IX AA001876-1879 Plaintiff's Emergency Motion Pursuant to NRCP Rule 43 IX AA001880-1890 Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion Pursuant to NRCP 43 IX AA001891-1895 Notice of Entry of Order IX AA001896-1904 Notice of Entry of Order IX AA001905-1910 Plaintiff's Renewed Emergency Motion Pursuant to NRCP Rule 43 IX AA001911-1921 Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time IX AA001922-1926 Opposition to Plaintiff's Renewed Emergency Motion pursuant to NRCP Rule 43 IX AA001927-1929 Order Shortening Time AA001930-1932 IX Notice of Entry of Order IX AA001933-1937 Notice of Hearing

IX

AA001938

DOCUMENT VOLUME BATE NUMBER NO(S)

Plaintiff's Second Supplemental Production of Documents

and List of Witnesses Pursuant to NRCP 16.2 IX AA001939-1948

Receipt of Copy IX AA001949

Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and

Authorities Following Evidentiary Hearing IX AA1950-1969

Defendant's Closing Brief IX AA001979-1987

Notice of Entry of Order IX AA001988-2012

Transcripts: January 23, 2020 X AA2013-2269

Transcripts: March 5, 2020 XI AA2070-2512

Transcripts: August 27, 2020 XII AA2513-2763

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that on the 20th day of September, 2021, a copy of the foregoing Appellant's Appendix XI was served as follows:

BY ELECTRONIC FILING TO

Radford J. Smith, Esq. Radford J. Smith, CHTD 2470 St. Rose Parkway, #206 Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorney for Respondent

/s/Aaron Grigsby	
Employee of The	Grigsby Law Group

TRANS

COPY

FILED

JUN 29 2021

CLERK OF COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT)
PETITION FOR DIVORCE OF:) CASE NO. D-08-389203-Z

CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP) DEPT. H

Plaintiff,) APPEAL NO. 57327
57876
62299
81888

MITCHELL DAVID STIPP,) (SEALED)
Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ARTHUR T. RICHIE, JR. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: CONTINUED EVIDENTIARY HEARING

THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 2020

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

APPEARANCES: 2 The Plaintiff: CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP 3 For the Plaintiff: VALARIE FUJII, ESQ. 704 S. 6th Street 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 5 AARON D. GRIGSBY, ESQ. 2880 W. Sahara Avenue 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 202-52357 8 The Defendant: MITCHELL STIPP 9 For the Defendant: RADFORD J. SMITH, ESQ. 2470 St. Rose Parkway, Ste. 206 10 Las Vegas, Nevada 89074 (702) 990-6448 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	INDEX	OF WI	TNES	SES	
2	DEFENDANT'S	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	WITNESSES:				
4	MITCHELL STIPP	10	124	210/226	221
5					
6	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:				
7					
8	(NONE PRESENTED)				
9					
10		* * * *			
11 12	INDEX	OF EX	HIBI	T S	
13	PLAINTIFF'S				
14	EXHIBITS:				ADMITTED
15	F - 2014 STIPULATION				45
16	X - EMAILS				60
17					
18	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS:				
19					
20	(NONE PRESENTED)				
21					
22					
23					
24					
	D-08-389203-Z STIPF	02/05/2022	D11100=:==		
	VERBATIM REPORTING		RANSCRIPT ON, LLC (520) 3	(SEALED) 03-7356	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

THURSDAY, MARCH 5, 2020

2

1

2 |

3

45

_

0

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 09:01:15 A.M.)

THE COURT: Welcome back. We're here on post-judgment proceedings. The case number is D-08-389203. Looks like we have the parties and counsel present. Would you please confirm appearance.

MR. GRIGSBY: Good morning, Your Honor. Aaron Grigsby, bar 9043, standing in for Greg Mills on behalf of the Plaintiff, who's also present.

MR. SMITH: Radford Smith, 2791. Also present at bar, Your Honor, is my paralegal, Courtney Chance, and Mr. Stipp, who's had the role of co-counsel prior to the proceedings of trial and of course cannot proceed as a witness and counsel, so he did not have that role in the trial itself.

THE COURT: I mean, it doesn't keep him from filing stuff.

MR. SMITH: I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Where's --

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- Ms. Fujii?

MR. GRIGSBY: Ms. Fujii --

THE COURT: She keeps filing stuff too.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes, Your Honor. She --

THE COURT: Yeah. Is she --

MR. GRIGSBY: She had a nine --

THE COURT: -- participating in this case?

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes. She had a 8:30 OSC --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GRIGSBY: -- that was set on her --

THE COURT: All right. Look, other than the -- the discovery issues that you guys have been dealing with since the session on the 23rd, I haven't invited or authorized any kind of filings ongoing during the course of trial, okay. We took a little over three -- two and a half hours of testimony from the minor children at the session on the 23rd.

The Court adjourned in the afternoon to -- primarily because the parents were excluded from that testimony. I wanted them to see it. Also, you had some discovery issues that needed to get resolved and the stipulations on the hearings that you've had with the Commissioner appears to have accomplished that purpose. We're resuming the motion that was filed last fall.

And, Mr. Smith, I assume that you're going to proceed with your evidentiary, right?

MR. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

2

8

9

the day.

thing for you, Mr. Smith.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

today?

23

24

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

evidentiary proceeding unless they're like five minutes each.

So pick your best witnesses when it's your turn. Okay?

THE COURT: The -- who are you going to start with

THE COURT: Okay. So the other thing is, is that

Obviously, Mr. Grigsby, the Court isn't putting you

obviously both of you have identified witnesses that you may

evidence, but I want you to pick your best witnesses. I hear

-- I'm going to hear from the parents, I'm sure, but you know,

significant portion of the day, you're not going to be limited

in what you can present. But also under -- the Court is going

uncontested matters and time is an issue. So you're not going

time is -- is limited in this hearing. We're scheduled for

want to call. I'm not questioning whether or not the

on a clock, per se. In other words, if they take a

to be mindful that I don't want cumulative evidence on

to call seven, eight, nine, 10, 11 witnesses in this

witnesses that have been identified would offer relevant

MR. SMITH: Mr. Stipp, Your Honor.

MR. SMITH: Correct.

THE COURT: All right. As I mentioned before,

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356 thankfully you're the only case on today. We'll take a break at noon, probably for about an hour and 15 minutes. We have time this afternoon. You know, a full day of court time is about six hours of court time. And if you need a comfort break or any other kind of break in the proceedings, just let

me know, okay.

Come on up.

(WITNESS SUMMONED)

MR. GRIGSBY: And, Your Honor, just -- there is one quick issue so I'll just try -- I don't want to waive it. We have a bit -- a bit of a unique situation here where Mr. Stipp is acting as co-counsel throughout this proceeding. You know, he's been represented by counsel and even discovery iss -- commiss -- I mean, issues in front of the discovery commissioner.

And I know that the -- all the cases that we have about a lawyer acting -- being a witness in a trial usually covers and anticipates it being a non-party. And so given the fact that he's acted as the attorney and I believe even made objections to his own deposition, I -- I would move that the Court prohibit Mr. Stipp from testifying.

THE COURT: Oh, don't be ridiculous.

MR. GRIGSBY: I'm just --

THE COURT: Okay?

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 MR. GRIGSBY: -- preserving the issue.

THE COURT: Yeah, look, there's a lot of remedies that the Court --

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- can apply, okay. But denying the parents an opportunity to talk about factual disputes related to their children isn't one of them, okay. Now it's a -- it's an issue. I mean, if he didn't have Mr. Smith at all he'd be able to do --

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- all that stuff with -- even not being a lawyer, right?

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes, I agree with that.

THE COURT: I got about 60 percent of my cases that are handled by people who think they could become a lawyer in a month or two during the course of their cases, okay. Mr. Smith actually allows us to make a better record by him asking the actual questions instead of just getting narrative testimony for -- with interruptions and everything else. your request to prohibit the Defendant -- I mean, the Plaintiff from testifying -- or Defendant, whatever --

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- it's a joint petition, post-judgment matter. Mr. Stipp's going to testify. So we'll --

MR. GRIGSBY: Understood.

2

3

8

that --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

MR. SMITH: And just for the record, Your Honor, I would note, as I did previously, that my research on this issue indicates that a party cannot -- it can provide the actual pretrial proceedings but as witness cannot testify. That usually is only applied even so in a jury trial. Since we have no -- there's no tainting of the jury or confusion about the role of Mr. Stipp here, I don't even think it would apply to a judicial trial. But that's the way the law applies

> THE COURT: Right. Well --

MR. SMITH: -- specific --

THE COURT: -- you're not like standby counsel, you're actual counsel.

MR. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: And you're accountable. In fact, the most recent filings put your name right at the top of it under his.

> MR. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: So I assume that you own everything that he files.

MR. SMITH: I own everything that happens --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SMITH: -- in this case --

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

from Cimarron-Memorial High School. I was the valedictorian of my class. I was admitted to Pepperdine University where I attended for four years. I received a bachelor of arts in economics and a bachelor of science in business administration. I graduated with high honors, receiving no less than an A minus in every one of my courses. I was admitted to law school at American University Washington College of Law where I also graduated with honors.

Q You have a law degree. Is -- are you licensed to practice law in the state of Nevada?

A I am.

Q And you currently have a -- a law practice, correct?

A I do.

Q Tell me about that law practice.

A I primarily focus on transactional work with an emphasis on real estate matters. I do a number of business law transactions as well, mergers, acquisitions, partnership issues, joint ventures, things of that nature. As a courtesy to my existing clients, I also perform commercial litigation for specific matters for which I have experience and background, primarily business disputes, real estate matters, things of that nature. I do have a small number of family law clients that I still provide services to, but I don't practice or advertise for purposes of my practice to be a -- a family

lawyer anymore.

Q Okay. Do you -- in doing family law, do you focus on any particular areas of family law when you do accept those cases?

A I do. The -- the family law cases that I have or that I have handled in the past primarily focused on matters of interest, that those matters of interest are if it involves a child with special needs. So if there is an issue where there -- the subject of the action concerns minor children or a minor child who have special needs and they need assistance, then willing to accept those cases in the past and I have an existing case now. Other cases where there's complex financial matters in the dispute, where there's high net worth individuals involved in a number of business transactions and things of that nature, I found that my experience has been helpful to family lawyers in that field.

Q The -- let's -- let's talk about your work with children with special needs. You -- you are married, correct?

A I am married.

Q And you have two children with the Plaintiff in this action, Christina Calderon, who I'll refer to as Christina for purposes of the record. And those children have testified and the Court's aware of their birth -- dates of birth, so I'll spare that information, unless the Court directs me to so do

forced her role onto that children. She let the relationship

24

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

develop naturally. She's always been very clear that I'm their father and Christina is their mother. And while she's their stepmother, it's never been structured in a way that, you know, she calls — the kids call her mom or things of that nature. I will tell you, you know, to be quite frank, being married to Amy is an absolute blessing. You know, she, notwithstanding her youth and her lack of experience with children, made me a better parent. She was much — much more prepared, you know, to deal with, you know, the binuclear family, and the divorce, and issues related to the children than I was at the time of our divorce. And she provided —

- Q When you say our divorce, you're referring to your divorce from Christina?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay.
- A And she provided a level of -- of consistency and structure with respect to your family life that I think the children were lacking previously. And essentially, in my view, was the driving force for a lot of their early success.
 - Q You have -- you also have a child with Amy, correct?
 - A I do.
- Q And tell me his name, date of birth, and -- and a little bit about him.
 - A He's Mitchell David Stipp, Jr. He was born January

30th, 2011. He was a relatively healthy baby, although he was in the neonatal NIC unit for a number of weeks. Happy. couple of issues, you know, with respect to jaundice and liver 3 and things like that, that ultimately we believed were resolved in the first year of life. But, you know, we discovered approximately around one years old that he had a number of medical conditions that were previously undiagnosed. Those -- those medical issues and the diagnosis of those medical issues require a significant amount of time, money and effort, and ultimately have, you know, strain on the family. We discovered that he had a genetic deletion in his 22nd chromosome. At the time he was the only child in the national or world database that had that genetic deletion. He was subsequently diagnosed with epilepsy, mitochondrial disease. He has developmental delays, speech delays.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

And so, you know, that -- those diagnoses were very challenging and difficult, both for Amy, Mia, Ethan and myself. But we have devoted an enormous amount of time, money and effort to his care. Certainly Amy has taken the lead with respect to managing his care and providing individual therapy and working with a variety of therapists. I do the same. And the kids are supportive as well.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ You -- you actually changed your work structure as you became aware of the amount of time commitment that $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Amy}}$

would need in relation to young Mitchell, correct?

A That's correct.

Q In fact, you worked for my office at a time and we -- you left the firm -- well, tell me why you left the firm.

A Well, when I returned to a -- you know, I would say an employee/employer type relationship, I had reached out to you soon after resolving our custodial disputes with Christina in a stipulation and order in 2014.

Q And we'll talk about that, but let's --

A And -- and, you know, asked you whether or not you would be interested in -- in employing my services given my background and our relationship. And you indicated that you did. And in fact, we reached an arrangement where you employed me and worked me to death for -- for -- for approximately six months to -- to nine months. Returning to the work under those conditions with a child with -- with special needs, with the responsibilities that I had with Mia and Ethan, proved to be very challenging for me. You know, I certainly enjoyed working for your firm and providing services to the clients but --

- Q You didn't have to say that.
- A Some of the clients.
- Q So, Mr. Stipp, the -- the point I wanted to -- to get to was, did you restructure sort of --

Q -- your timeframe.

A Absolutely.

Q So what was -- what was the -- what did you go to in terms of your own work status in relation to the time that you now were faced with and the pressures of having a child who

was faced with those disabilities.

A It became very clear to me that I -- I couldn't have or it wasn't possible to have a -- a job that required me to be physically in the office for Monday through Friday with,

nature. Also, travel and things of that nature. There were cases in your office for which I had to travel, which I was willing to do at the time, but it was a hardship on the

you know, a time schedule of 8:00 to 6:00 or things of that

family. And so I made the decision that, you know, despite the very generous offer of employment, that I would resign and start my own practice.

Q How did you structure -- how do you structure your practice now? What kind of time commitments do you have to the clients that you've indicated?

A Well, my priority is my family, and so, you know, while I have a lot of interest from clients and a number of existing clients that I provide services to. I try to make a rule that I, you know, work with clients that I enjoy. I work

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

don't take any matter that comes through the door for purposes of compensation because I need to be flexible. I need the ability to travel with respect to Mitchell's care, Mitchell Jr. I need the ability to be available to Mia and Ethan for their school related activities and extracurricular activities for which I participate in. It's -- you know, I consider that a priority over work. Fortunately I have a number of clients who understand my family situation and are supportive of -- of -- of my choices. And -- and so that works. I --

with -- on matters that I enjoy and am interested in. I just

Q You --

A It wouldn't work if I were employed for a third party that would require things that couldn't accommodate, you know, my interests, desires, wishes and family structure.

- Q So you -- presently do you have a physical office?
- A I do.
 - Q Tell me about that.
- A I -- I have a executive suite office located on Town Center near Summerlin Hospital.
 - Q Do you do all your work out of that office?
- A I do not. I generally use the office and the office facilities for purposes of meeting clients to the extent that I need to. I do occasionally work from the office, depending on what's going on at my residence. But I do a significant

_

•

amount of my work from home. Fortunately, with today's technology, that is possible to --

Q Is it --

A -- handle calls and --

Q Is it typical for you to be available for the older children, Ethan and Mia, during your day if necessary?

A Yes.

Q And do you -- are you present typically when they get home or is it a hit and miss type of thing or -- from school, or how does that work?

A I'm generally home when they are home. If I'm not picking them up from school or taking them to an extracurricular activity, then I would see them when they come home or in between their activities.

Q So one of the concerns that Ms. Calderon has expressed in her initial pleadings and at her deposition was that -- her concern about whether or not you and Amy have time to provide care for the children in light of your work schedule and Amy's schedule of Mitchell Jr. How would you respond to that concern?

A I'm not sure on what basis she makes that allegation because -- am I a hundred percent available all the time for the kids? I want to be, but that's not always practical.

But, you know, they have a stepmom and we -- we do on occasion

rely on the assistance of other relatives, including my father-in-law and mother-in-law, who are interested and happy to have Mia and Ethan as their grandchildren and their --

- Q When you say your father-in-law and mother-in-law, you're referring to Amy's parents, correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q All right.
 - A So --
 - Q And what are their names?
 - A Jerry Hernandez and Martha Hernandez.
- Q Okay. And tell me what role, if any, that those -the grandparents of -- so to speak -- or step-grandparents,
 have had in relation to any type of time with Ethan or -- or
 Mia.
- A Well, they're actively involved in -- in -- in our lives. Both Amy's life, my life, and the kids' lives. And so, you know, they attend baseball games and Mia's choir performances. You know, occasionally my father-in-law, Jerry, will transport Ethan to a game, or pick him up for a game, or practice. That's not --
 - Q So one of thing -- one --
 - A That's not unusual.
- Q One of the suggestions was that Mr. Hernandez provides the bulk or a majority of all transportation for the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23 24

children, both to school and to their curricular -extracurricular activities. Is that a fair statement based on your observation?

Α No.

Tell me more about what role, in terms of the transportation. You said sporadically. Could you quantify that in any way? Is that possible or --

It's just --

-- does it just depend?

It just depends on the number of -- like, for example, if -- you know, if I, on the rare occasion, have a hearing in the morning, or a meeting, or Amy has a doctor's appointment that may require, you know, some additional assistance -- Mitchell's care changes on a day-to-day, week-to-week basis. So there's a lot of planning that goes into those activities and care. But it's hard to quantify because it just depends, you know.

So it may change one week for another.

Sometimes it's a matter of preference. Sometimes my father-in-law, which is very refreshing, wants to take, you know, Ethan to school or Mia to school because he wants to spend that time. You know, before Mitchell Jr., he -- my -my in-laws, Jerry and Martha, didn't have grandchildren. they inherited Mia and Ethan as a result of my marriage with

Okay. And is she actively involved? Are they

23

24

Α

She is.

local?

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

No, they're both local, but the nature of the Α relationship hasn't been that they are as active as I would like them to be in the children's lives. Having said that, the kids have a good relationship with their grandparents. You know, as a -- as a -- you know, as a son and -- to -- you know, to my parents, you know, we always wish, you know, that maybe there would be changes with regard to, you know, their -- their -- their decisions and involvement. But it's not as if they have a problem with, you know, me or Amy or the kids, it's just that I -- I think that -- that, you know, raising kids on their own and also assisting my younger brother and -and younger sister with their own kids that there's a level of exhaustion that existed. And -- and I think that they see me as more independent and better equipped than maybe my siblings were when they had kids. And so, you know, it's just the nature of things.

Q You have -- you have a home that live in or do you rent a home? Tell me about that.

- A I live in a home.
- Q And where is that location?
- A It's located in the Northwest, Centennial Hills.
- Q What's the address of the home?
- A 9873 Serene Star Way.

Q And tell me about the home and the physical place that you -- you provide for the children.

A We live approximately -- in a gated community in the Northwest on approximately half an acre. The house is, you know, approximately 4,000 square feet. Each of the kids have their own rooms and bathrooms except Ethan and Mitchell Jr. share a bathroom. I have a home office there. There's also a treatment or care room designed to provide services for Mitchell. So his private tutor comes during the week and educates Mitchell during that time. We have a swimming pool in our backyard that the kids love and enjoy. You know, the kids love our neighborhood. They love the house. They love being there. They're comfortable.

Q Do they have friends in the neighborhood?

A They don't have friends. The neighborhood is relatively quiet. A lot of the neighbors are older and their children are -- are grown.

Q Okay. Let's talks about little Mitch. You had indicated that, you know, the -- some of the issues that he has --

A Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q -- the physical issues and so forth. Describe how his state is presently. What is -- what is his progress or development?

to communicate. He's -- he's, in my opinion, capable of more. Part of Mitchell's condition is passive resistance, which is -- you know, there's -- there's a choice to some degree as to the -- the desire to communicate verbally. So there is a lot of work to get him to express himself more. But, you know, he's clearly progressing. His behavior is good. As a -- as a matter of biology, you know, he doesn't have any medical issues that are posing any risk to his health at the moment, so there -- the -- the typical ailments and diseases that might come along with the micro deletion in his chromosome have not presented themselves, like, you know, heart disease and other issues. But he's frequently monitored in terms of regular checkups and evaluations, you know, on a monthly and annual basis.

He's developing slowly with respect to his ability

Q How would you -- how would you describe your observations of Mia and Ethan's relationship with Mitchell?

A Their relationship is -- is awesome. You know, they, despite Mitchell's deficiencies in his ability to communicate, they both -- they communicate, they understand each other, they -- Mia and Ethan are able to glean from Mitchell's cues what he wants, what he needs. And so what's remarkable to me is -- is their care and concern for his needs, making sure that they're -- you know, if -- if he

wants, you know, breakfast, that they would be more than willing to pour him a bowl of cereal or assist him in and out of the shower or using the restroom and things of that nature. They're not -- you know, typically when you get to teenage years, you know, the last thing you want to be doing is like, you know, caring for another kid, but they are more than willing to assist Mitchell when and if he needs.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ Do they interact with him and -- and, like, do they -- they --

A They --

Q -- play with him, do they --

A They --

Q -- do things with him?

A -- play games on an iPad. They do instructional matters, and you know, what we call homework. They're willing to, you know, work with him, have worked with him in the past. They watch television, they'll swim. You know, all of the activities that -- that, you know, Mitchell engages in, they will engage with him as well. Mia was a -- you know, she participated in gymnastics for a number of years. Mitchell also participates in gymnastics, and so they share that -- that bond and -- and common interest.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\,}$ Tell me about the family activities that you engage in with the children as a family.

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

Well, we try to do everything that we can together. Α So if the kids have a specific activity, like for example, Mitchell has a gymnastics competition, or Ethan has a baseball game, or Mia has choir performance, we will attend those together as a family. You know, I will tell you that -- that Ethan probably gets more time and attention in those types of activities because of his commitment to baseball. And in any given weekend he could have as much as, you know, four to six games, which could take up a tremendous amount of time. we try to accommodate all of their interests, and so we may have to split apart and one go -- one parent go to one activity and the other parent go to another activity. Or, you know, my father-in-law might say I'm going to stay and watch Ethan for this game so that you can go to Mia's, you know, choir performance.

We enjoy watching movies together. We enjoy vacationing. We like to travel to San Diego quite a bit. The kids love the beach and the ocean. Mia -- or Mitchell's an avid swimmer, so you know, he loves the ocean. And both Mia and Ethan love it as well. And so they're swim together, they'll body board -- boogie board together at the beach. And so generally those are the types of things that they like doing.

Okay. You talked about Amy bringing structure to

your family. What were you referring to in terms of structure? Now you've already given us the -- the statements about little Mitch and his -- his therapy, but what are the other type of things, if any, that she's brought in terms of structure to the family?

A I think that the biggest challenge post-divorce with the children was -- was addressing Mia's anxiety and cloth --

- Q Tell me about that.
- A -- clothing issues.
- Q Tell me about the anxiety and clothing issues.

A The -- the challenge that we had is that, you know, Christina and I didn't agree as to what the source of the problem --

Q Describe the problems for me.

A Mia's challenges were, you know, she had difficulty wearing tight clothing. She didn't like to wear confining or constricting pants. There were occasions where like she didn't want to wear clothing that was size appropriate, so you know, her underwear was more of an adult size than for a child who's five years old. Generally she just preferred to wear dresses without, you know, specific seams and the texture. You know, she -- those things mattered to -- to her because it would -- it would -- you know, she would just start -- make -- make her feel uncomfortable or hurt her skin, things of that

MR. SMITH: It's years ago but we're -- it -- I think that the Court will find that this is an ongoing issue in terms of Mia and their relationship between the mother.

23

24

THE COURT: 1 The --2 MR. SMITH: So that's where we're going. THE COURT: Well, Mia's a material witness in this 3 case and she's describing her feelings and behavior, and so it may be relevant to that. The objection is overruled. MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 BY MR. SMITH: 8 Did you see -- did anyone, to your knowledge or to 9 your recollection, perform a child psychological evaluation 10 related to the problems that you've described in Mia? 11 Yes, Dr. Leinkeit did at the approval and direction 12 of the family court. 13 Okay. Do you recall an -- a individual being 14 involved by the name of Lewis Etcoff? 1.5 THE COURT: Can you just -- I -- I don't want to 16 pull up the case. Actually, this was probably a couple of 17 years after the divorce in 2008, but --18 MR. SMITH: That's right. 19 THE COURT: -- can you just lay the foundation for 20 the timeframe? 21 MR. SMITH: Yes. 22 THE COURT: I -- year -- years -- some sort of year 23 timeframe would be fine.

MR. SMITH: Yes. So, Your Honor, we actually have

24

1 the report of Dr. Etcoff. And I think Mr. --BY MR. SMITH: 3 Mr. Stipp, do you recall there being a report made --4 5 Α I do. -- by Dr. Etcoff? 6 7 And in fact, my testimony previously was Gary Leinkeit -- that's incorrect. Be -- it was Dr. Etcoff. 9 Okay. And do you recall the timeframe for Dr. Q Etcoff's report? 10 11 When it was performed? 12 Yes. 13 I believe it was 2012. Α 14 Okay. So roughly around that area. Let's -- if 15 you'll turn to Exhibit G. That's proposed Exhibit G. Do we 16 have the books there? Yeah, good. 17 (COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY) 1.8 Do you recognize this document? Q 19 I do, yeah. It -- it does --20 0 Does this -- does this document -- well, tell me 21 what it is. This is the child psychological evaluation that was 22 ordered to be performed by Judge Potter in this case at the

24

request of Christina.

1.5

Q And, Dr. Etcoff, was he -- was he paid by you individually, or Christina individually, or was he paid jointly?

A I don't recall the nature of the financial arrangement, but Christina had recommended that he perform the evaluation and -- and Judge Potter ultimately agreed. But I don't recall the nature of the payment.

MR. SMITH: I would move for the admission in this proceeding of Dr. Etcoff's report. I'd note to Your Honor, it's already been reviewed and submitted as evidence in the previous matter.

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah, Your Honor, I'm going to object to the -- to -- to the submission of this report. One, it contains hearsay information. Two, I don't believe it was previously admitted. And three, this report is eight or nine years old and two judges ago. So it's information that's prior to the last -- last two custodial orders.

MR. SMITH: Well, I -- Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, hey --

MR. SMITH: -- if it's prior to --

THE COURT: -- look, let's break that down a little bit, okay. Things that pre-dated the most recent custodial orders or agreements aren't excluded in the blanket sense.

They cannot be a basis for a change of circumstance. The -- 1

don't know how material this is. I assume it's being offered to give the Court some sort of insight into the issues we had dealt with back in 2011, right?

MR. SMITH: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Or what -- because you're -- you're going to be arguing to the Court, Mr. Grigsby, that the Court should have some questions about the credibility of accounts that Mia gave in her testimony in January, or her emotional state, or any other kind of fitness issue. This is context.

Now, I wasn't the judge who ordered this and I didn't have -- I didn't manage the proceedings concerning it, but this looks like an outsourced report and/or a report as a witness to the

Smith?

MR. SMITH: Yes. And I would note, Your Honor, that the sections of the report that I'm going to address as relevant to this action, one, have our personal observations of the outsourced physician. They're not things that he relied on from other folks, and therefore, I believe that evidence is admissible --

Court that was submitted on request; isn't that right, Mr.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. SMITH: -- by itself.

THE COURT: -- help me understand specifically the point of having this admitted. What is the --

MR. SMITH: In this --

THE COURT: -- point?

MR. SMITH: In this document, Your Honor, is the initial stages of a difficulty in the relationship between Mia and Mr. -- Ms. Calderon. I would, as an offer of proof, state that in Ms. Calderon's deposition, when I asked her why she wanted -- among other things, what things caused some difficulty in her relationship. She cited to the kinds of problems that began -- in fact, she only cited to the problems that began through this observation and her readiness to address some of the challenges --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SMITH: -- that were raised.

THE COURT: Well, let -- let me -- let me just say this. The fact that there was a custody evaluation and it was an outsourced evaluation, that it exists, is something that this document could document. But it's an out-of-court statement. Dr. Etcoff is not here, subject to any kind of examination. It wouldn't come in even if it was something that I ordered that was contemporaneous with these proceedings without Mr. Grigsby's approval.

So the Court is going to sustain the objection to the extent that the document is not going to be offered and admitted as substantive proof. First of all, it's remote in

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

time. It's not particularly material to the issue that we have here today. But inasmuch as Dad would like the Court to know, through the admission of this document, the -- some sort of historical context of court management of this case, or the fact that Mia was evaluated at one time in 2011, the Court will allow the document to come in, but I'll be careful not to refer to any of the specific content. Okay?

MR. SMITH: Very good, Your Honor.

BY MR. SMITH:

- The -- so, I'm interested, Mr. Stipp, and you've heard the Judge's admonition in terms of the admission of the document, but I'm interested in what the effect of the -of the evaluation, the psychological evaluation that was done by Dr. Etcoff, in the way that you addressed Mia's problems that you described at that time.
- Participating in the evaluation with Dr. Etcoff, he had -- it was -- it was at least clear on the basis of that evaluation that the type of parenting structure and techniques that we were using in our house were addressing Mia's clothing issues and alleged behavioral issues that weren't occurring -- that were occurring in Christina's house. One of the things that he identified was that Christina's parenting skills were not --

MR. GRIGSBY: Judge, yeah --

-- well honed. Α

2

MR. GRIGSBY: -- this is hearsay.

3

THE COURT: Yeah, the request to strike the statement that's not responsive to the question is granted.

4 5

BY MR. SMITH:

We --

You do have it right.

6

So -- so what did you understand was the best way to treat the issues that had manifested themselves in Mia?

8

7

Continue doing what we were doing.

9

So what was it that you were doing that you --

10

So we had a dressing plan that we had employed to -- to

11

address Mia's clothing concerns. That dressing plan was

12

-- was structured in a way that accommodated Mia's anxiety and special needs as it related to the clothing.

13 14

We continued with the suggestions of Mia's occupational

15

therapist. And we addressed Mia's issues in that way.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α

23

24

So I asked you these questions as part of my questioning regarding the structure in your home. Because if I understand your last answer, the structure that you had provided for Mia was important in her development in addressing the problems. Do I have that right?

And so tell me what the -- the -- what kind of structure

that you've imposed in your home, or that Amy helped you

impose, that you think is beneficial for the children in the way that they interact with you and -- and Amy.

- Part of it was a -- a -- you know, a reward/consequence type structure. Love and logic approach at the time, which is -- you know, if there's a behavior, an explanation as to what that behavior is, whether good or bad, and having the kids take responsibility for those decisions and then imposing consequences. With Mia we found that, you know, she wanted structure, she wanted rules. And we used her desire to be successful, you know, to address her clothing issues. So a reward chart, things of -- of -- of that nature.
- In your interactions with Ms. Stipp -- or, excuse me, Ms. Calderon, subsequent to the divorce, did you observe the same type of structure in Ms. Calderon's home?
- MR. GRIGSBY: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of foundation.

MR. SMITH: So --

THE COURT: The --

MR. SMITH: It's okay, I'll lay some foundation,

Your Honor. It's fine.

BY MR. SMITH:

Q Mr. Stipp, did you have the opportunity to interact with Mr. -- Ms. Calderon subsequent to the time of her

1 divorce? 2 Α I did. And did you have an opportunity to regularly speak 3 with her in regard to the care of your children? 4 5 We spoke. And did you have -- did you have email exchange and 6 7 -- and other types of communication in which you discussed the care of the children? We did. 9 Α 10 Did any of those communications involve structure or 11 the necessity of structure related to Mia's care? 12 They did. 13 At any time -- you've outlined a reward. We've 14 started you talking about that. At any time did you discuss that area with Ms. Calderon in regard to the care of the 15 16 children? We did. 17 Α 18 And did you have an opportunity over time to both 19 discuss with the children and Ms. Calderon the environment 20 that they experienced in Ms. Calderon's home? 21 Α Yes.

Q In those conversations, did you form an opinion as to -- based upon the communication by Ms. Calderon, as to whether or not you and Ms. Calderon's homes had the same type

22

23

24

- A I did have an opinion on that issue.
- Q Okay. And what -- what was the opinion that you formed over time?

A My opinion was that she wasn't employing consistent parenting techniques to address these issues. And that the structure in our house, which was working, she was not following in her own home, by her own admission. The -- the biggest discrepancy is that Christina did not believe that Mia didn't suffer from other ailments. And so, you know, my belief --

Q I didn't understand that last segment. What -- what did you mean by Christina didn't believe. Could you explain to me what that means?

A Sure. The -- the issue was, is that while the -- the diagnosis was anxiety and clothing related issues,

Christina believed that Mia suffered from other ailments.

Q Did she ever describe to you what she felt Mia suffered from in addition to those ailments?

A She listed a -- a variety of those ailments in email correspondence and pleadings and things of that nature, including bipolar disorder disorder and things of that nature, so.

Q Did you -- how did you -- when she suggested that to

Q Okay. Did you find, after the evaluation and you read it, did you find that those were actual diagnoses that were applicable to Mia?

A No.

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q So moving forward with the notion that you understood concerns from Mia through both your observations and your review of Dr. Etcoff's report, and your concerns about whether or not Ms. Calderon would engage in behaviors that would help those -- the anxiety and the -- the problems that she was experiencing, what did you do, if anything, to try to help or aid Ms. Calderon in that -- in that process?

A We -- we shared our behavioral plan and our -- the parenting techniques that appeared to be working for Mia. We also recommended --

O Who's we?

A Amy and I also recommended --

Q Okay.

A -- to Christina that she seek behavioral management training, which was, you know, one of the recommendations as a

Q One of Ms. Calderon's criticisms in this case as set forth in her pleadings is that all of the communication between you and her was also engaged with Amy. In other words, Amy would participate in that communication. Is that a true statement?

A Not regularly. I would say for a number of years post-divorce I used an email address that I had sole control over, but as time went on and responsibilities grew, Amy was assisting me with responses regarding Christina. We -- we use a -- a similar -- we use one address for both interactions for the school, for extracurricular activities, so that both she's aware and I'm aware of everything that's going on.

Q And you said that we shared our behavioral plan and other things with Christina. When did that occur? When did, for example, you share your behavioral plan with Christina, if you recall.

A Both before and after the evaluation with Dr. Etcoff.

Q So this evaluation occurred, based on the date that's at the -- the end of the page in '11, 2011. Since 2011, and after you shared the behavioral plan, did you continue to discuss methods or strategies that were best to provide care for the children based upon their own

MR. GRIGSBY: Once again --

24

1	A	instrumental
2		MR. GRIGSBY: objection. Relevance
3	A	in getting that settlement done.
4		MR. GRIGSBY: to the whole
5		THE COURT: Again, it doesn't matter how or why they
6	resolved	the matters. This this is not relevant. It's a
7	waste of	time, okay.
8		MR. SMITH: The the well, just it's background
9	for when	the the settlement was made.
10		THE COURT: Right. So the
11	BY MR. SMITH:	
12	Q	Do you recall when the settlement
13		THE COURT: The the answer of the witness is not
14	responsiv	e to the question, okay.
15		MR. SMITH: All right.
16	BY MR. SM	ITH:
17	Q	So when did you when did you resolve the matter?
18	A	Approximately 2014.
19	Q	Okay. And that resolution is is memorialized in
20	a stipula	tion and order that was entered by this Court,
21	correct?	
22	A	That's correct.
23	Q	Okay. So I want to stay just ask a couple more
24	questions	about this behavioral plan that you had. Can you

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

describe briefly for the judge, first of all, why you entered a behavioral plan and what its contents were.

- I assume you're referring to the --
- The one you shared with Christina, that you said you had shared with her, that you and Amy had shared with her after the time that you received the report by Dr. Etcoff.
- So can you rephrase your question so that I understand?
 - So you had indicated that you had a behavioral plan.
 - Α We did.
- And that you shared that plan with -- with Christina. What was in the plan? What was the behavioral plan?

The -- the biggest issues -- we -- we didn't have the number of issues -- Amy and I did not have the number of issues in our house that Christina reported as it related to Mia. The issues that we identified were really -- primarily related to clothing. The -- Mia's inability to process matters related to her clothing resulted in outbursts and things of that nature. There were also other issues too in terms of, you know, her desire to spend more time with me versus with her mom and those types of issues. But, you know, the -- the goal for us in terms of the stipulation was to put an end to the litigation and put -- put forth a, you know,

Willick and I asked for your assistance to complete the

2.4

matter. 1 2 0 Did --3 And so we met in a joint settlement conference. Did you have an opportunity to review the terms of 4 5 the agreement and provide input to its terms? I did. 6 Α Okay. One of the -- the -- there are terms in here 7 that are -- are -- I want to address with you. One of them 8 was the -- well, let's turn to page 6 of the document. 9 Is that the Bates label 81? 10 11 Yes. 12 Α Okay. So there was a no right of first refusal provision. 13 1.4 Why was it that you entered into that type of time? Did you have a right of first refusal in the preceding agreement? 15 Both parties had a right of first refusal under the 16 17 decree of divorce and parenting plan that we entered into. Okay. So why did you agree to eliminate that right 18 of first refusal? 19 2.0 Α We had a dispute over the exercise of that right 21 when Christina returned to work at the District Attorney's Office. 22 And what was the nature of the dispute? 23

24

The nature of the dispute is that she was working

-- and breaking up the eight hours.

MR. GRIGSBY: Relevance.

MR. SMITH: It's --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Again, we're -- we're really burning daylight with a lot of stuff that is just background. Court reviewed the procedural posture of the case. I reviewed Judge Sullivan's orders. I reviewed Judge Potter's orders. I reviewed the journal entries that described the positions the parties took leading up to the stipulation and order. It has no relevance. I mean, it's not particularly material to the dispute that is ongoing, okay.

MR. SMITH: Well, Your Honor, I think the dispute in the counter-motion is for primary physical custody and I think I have a duty to provide --

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

20

21

22

24

THE COURT: Look, I -- I am -- I'm understanding the -- the reason why you're dealing with background to mean that their opinions concerning behavior of their kids is nothing new. That is something that's been a constant theme throughout 2010. There are appeals, there are 11's, there are 12's, whether or not the court would open the case, not open the case. There are opinions concerning what type of mental health conditions the children would or wouldn't have. I reviewed all that, okay.

MR. SMITH: No, no, and I'm certain that you have, Your Honor, but I think I have a duty to present evidence --

THE COURT: No, no, no --

MR. SMITH: -- into the record.

THE COURT: -- I'm understanding how it goes, but what I'm trying to say in the context of this is specific questions about what happened in 2013 or on the eve of the 2014 stipulation are only marginally helpful to the Court.

19 So --

MR. SMITH: All right.

THE COURT: -- hit it and -- and forge ahead, okay?

MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 BY MR. SMITH:

Q In regard to one provision I do want to talk to you

about is the provision in regard to canceling. You have a provision related to the canceling that's located at para -- or page 13. Do you see that?

A I do.

Q Of the document. What was the purpose for that provision? Why did you have that, what I would suggest to you is a rather unusual provision in regard to canceling?

A We had previously litigated over the care of the children, specifically Mia. We had a disagreement over the diagnosis. I was concerned that -- that therapy, to the extent any therapy was provided, would be used for litigation

children, specifically Mia. We had a disagreement over the diagnosis. I was concerned that -- that therapy, to the extent any therapy was provided, would be used for litigation purposes. Christina wanted a resource, so you know, even though I didn't think at the time that it was necessarily required, I agreed that, you know, she could get counseling provided that it remained confidential.

Q Okay. You had a -- you had a procedure that actually waived any rights associated with -- that would otherwise be available to you under 5.12; do you recall that?

A Yeah.

Q And --

A Yes.

Q -- what was the purpose of doing that?

A The -- I don't recall the specific purpose.

Q Okay. So -- so in the -- the document it says that

both parties agree the evaluation or treatment performed by or provided by a licensed, qualified mental health care professional in connection with family counseling shall be kept strictly confidential between the parties and should not be disclosed to any third parties, including and without limitation the Court or District Attorney's Office under any circumstances except by requir — otherwise required by NRS 432B.220; do you see that?

A That's correct. I -- I was thinking about the rule and the changes in the numbers and whether or not that had an effect, but I do see that.

Q Okay. So the -- the notion is, is that that would have remained strictly confidential.

A Correct.

Q Why did you think that that -- that -- why did you want it. What was you and Christina's design in making that -- that therapy strictly confidential?

A To the extent that you're going to seek therapy, I don't think the therapy can be used or will be productive if it's going to be used for litigation purposes. Therapy should be a place where all parties who participate should be open and honest and without fear for if what they say or what they communicate is going to end up in a letter or a pleading, you know, in a court. I -- I just think that those -- that the

did there come a time that you became concerned in regard to

4 | Christina's care or relationship with Mia and Ethan?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Right after the stipulation and order, you know, Christina wanted to engage Donna Wilburn as a provider of services under this provision. I met with Ms. Wilburn. It appeared that, you know, based on what she represented to me, that she was providing personal therapy to Christina for at least a year before I met with her. She did communicate that she had communicated with --

MR. GRIGSBY: Objection. Relevance and hearsay.

MR. SMITH: Judge, this goes to --

THE COURT: A hint. This is a witness you have on your list.

MR. GRIGSBY: That's what I'm --

THE COURT: I'm going to find out what that -- what this person has to do -- I mean, look, the -- it's not irrelevant. I don't know and can testify about whether or not -- I assume that the reason why you -- you read 13 and 14 is some sort of foundation about an allegation that she breached the agreement, right?

MR. SMITH: Well, that --

THE COURT: I don't -- look, go on. Overruled.

MR. SMITH: Not exactly. 1 THE COURT: Overruled. 2 3 MR. SMITH: You're -- overruled. All right. So --THE COURT: Now, again, we're back in 2015 based on 4 5 this foundational answer. 6 MR. SMITH: I understand, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. SMITH: We're getting there. We'll -- we'll 9 keep moving, I -- I promise. 10 BY MR. SMITH: So in regard to -- so you had this experience with 11 12 Ms. Wilburn. Tell me about the relationship between 13 Ms. Calderon and your children, Ethan and Mia, that caused you 14 concern. What did you learn about that relationship that 15 caused you concern --16 Christina was --Α 17 -- if at all. -- reporting that she was having difficulty managing 18 19 the children's behavior at home and that she thought that 20 having a family therapist would assist in resolving those -those issues. 21 22 Okay. And she initially proposed Ms. Wilburn. 23 A She did.

And what -- did you approve of Ms. Wilburn as a

24

0

2 I did not. -- for the children? And why was that? 3 She was a personal therapist for Christina. 4 5 thought it would be a conflict of interest for her also to 6 provide family therapy and terms and conditions of our 7 stipulation expressly prohibit a party from providing such therapy if they had any involvement with the parties of the 9 case. 10 So what did you propose as a solution to your --11 your objection to Ms. -- to Dr. -- to Ms. Wilburn? 12 Provide another therapist that would be acceptable. 13 Were you able to agree on a therapist? We did. 14 Α 15 0 And who was that? 16 Nic Ponzo. Α 17 And did the children engage in therapy with Q 18 Mr. Ponzo? To the best of my knowledge, at the time they did. 19 Α Did you believe that that -- or did you receive 20 21 information that suggested to you that the therapy was positive toward addressing the problems that Christina had 22 23 identified that she was having with the children?

family therapist --

24

Α

No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

So what was -- what was -- in terms of the -- the problems she identified, tell me what those problems were. What did she say was happening in her home that was a problem?

She reported that, you know, Mia was oppositional and defiant. That she was physically violent, verbally abusive. All things --

- When -- when did she --
- -- that I did not --Α
- When did she first tell you that?
- Α I -- I don't recall specifically when she communicated that to me.
- Was it before or after the -- the first therapy with Dr. Ponzo?
 - It was -- it was after.
- Okay. And so did the behaviors she described that Q -- that suggested to -- that she suggested would -- would justify family therapy through Ms. Wilburn, did those behaviors that -- of the children change over time? In other words, did they get worse or did they -- her allegations get worse?
- To the best of my knowledge, when she had proposed Donna Wilburn, I wasn't -- I wasn't expressly aware of all of the specific issues that Christina was having. She wasn't forthcoming with those specific concerns other than her desire

So what was it that -- why did she withdraw?

23

24

what happened in therapy.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

Α

-- those therapies.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-- asked to be involved in the family therapy?

I don't recall whether I was asked to be involved, so I think the answer is probably no, but I did meet with Nic Ponzo at the time for background purposes and to communicate to him that I was available to address any issues that came up during therapy.

And you indicated that after the time that that commencement -- the commencement of that therapy, there were more significant and direct statements by Ms. -- that Christina -- in regard to problems she was having with the children: is that correct?

Correct. And the item that I remember specifically was her email concerning the trip to Pepperdine.

Okay. Right. So -- so we'll come to that. regard to the -- the information that you were receiving from Christina, what, if any, communication did you have with her about methods to address the problems that she was experiencing?

The -- the challenge that -- that I had is that Mia wasn't exhibiting these same behaviors in my home. So I didn't know what the source of these issues were.

And what were the behaviors that you're referring to in that last answer?

Christina had reported that, as I communicated Α

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

previously, that Mia was verbally abusive and physically violent and prone to outbursts.

So you -- you didn't experience that in your -- that in your home.

I did not.

How do you discipline the children when they do something wrong?

Α Depends on the issue.

So what is your typical -- first of all, what are the types of things that the children will do that will cause you to address them with some sort of disciplinary action?

It's very rare that I have to discipline the kids in I mean, I think over the years they understand that, my home. you know, what I expect of them in terms of their behavior. However, you know, I can say that what's more common than anything else would be a disagreement between Mia or Ethan that, you know, is related to, you know, siblings having disagreements over any number of issues. It may result in -in discipline to the extent that, you know, they don't listen to my instruction.

- Do you ever physically discipline them?
- Α I do not.
- And in regard to the -- the failure to abide by your instructions, what type of actions do you take to -- to punish

them?

A It -- it varies, but you know, generally in the past it's been, you know, elimination of electronic device or an activity, things of that nature. An event with friends. So I might say that, you know, you can't go to this or you can't go to that.

Q Okay. The -- you said that there was an email related to a time in Pepperdine that caused you concern. We're looking for that. If you could help us find that in the book. Or is it going to be possible, and if not, I don't want to take up the Court's time.

A You can ask the question and I can see if I can locate it.

- Q Do you know what the date was on that email?
- A Somewhere in 2017.
- Q All right. So let's -- let's move forward. In regard to the -- the --
 - A You can look in X.
- Q X. All right. So in regard to the -- the -- well, why don't you turn to X and see if that email is there. Give the Court -- to give the Court some background.
 - A Probably a hundred, but --
 - Q If you can't find it immediately --
 - A I'll see if I can do it --

1	Q	then let's move on.					
2	A	in less than 30 seconds.					
3	Q	Okay. What is Exhibit X composed of, Mr. Stipp?					
4	A	Emails by and between Christina and myself.					
5	Q	And did you send these emails to the address that					
6	you norma	lly communicate with Christina?					
7	A	I did.					
8	Q	And these are responses then to your email address?					
9	A	And vice-versa.					
10		MR. SMITH: Move for the admission of X, Your Honor.					
11		THE COURT: Any objection?					
12		MS. FUJII: No objection.					
13		MR. GRIGSBY: No objection, Your Honor.					
14		THE COURT: Thank you. X is admitted.					
15		(DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT X ADMITTED)					
16	BY MR. SM	ITH:					
17	Q	So, Mr. Stipp, you have there Mr. Stipp, you have					
18	this communication with Christina regarding concern over an						
19	event that her her and that took place in Pepperdine.						
20	Can you explain to me what is it you understood from that						
21	email?						
22	А	Christina and the kids went to Pepperdine for a					
23	summer car	mp type activity. And Christina had reported that					

24 the weekend was not a good weekend and that the kids had a

Q All right. It looks to be an email from Christina dated Sunday, July 23rd, '17. Is this the email that you were referring to?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And what was it about this email that caused you concern?

A She had indicated that Mia was increasingly verbally and physically abusive. She had represented that Mia was physically violent. She also made statements that Mia used inappropriate language, including calling Christina a whore. She communicated that she wanted to die, or that she just wanted, you know, someone to just kill her. You know, there's also reports of Ethan participating in some of these activities in terms of, you know, throwing fruit and also being inappropriate.

Q So -- and you had said that this behavior wasn't consistent with your experience with Mia. How about your experience with Ethan? Was he engaged in disrespectful or inappropriate behavior in your home of --

A No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

-- the kind that was described in this email?

No, this was a shock to me when I received the email. Christina did ask in the email how best to address these things and I provided a response. But I discussed it with -- with Amy and it was shocking behavior.

- What was your response, as you recall, or if you can point me to it.
 - On Defendant Number 973 --Α
 - That's Exhibit X again.
- That's -- that's right. It follows in the email chain. I had asked her what the problem was. I had asked if Mia's anger was directed at specifically someone or everyone. I indicated that I'd like to help address the circumstances and situations if you could provide examples and details. I indicated that Amy and I would also talk -- and then --
- There -- there was a reference just in this email chain to -- from Christina on the July 23rd, 2017 at 6:31, again on page 973 of Exhibit X, that says I want to ta -- stop taking Singulair. Can you describe to me what Christina was referring to?
- She had indicated that she was having trouble controlling her -- Mia's anger and impulses in that email and that she wanted support to attend counseling. But for some reason, which was odd, is that she had -- she had indicated

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

that maybe Mia's behavior was related to taking allergy medication.

So Singulair is a -- that was my next question, what is Singulair and what do you understand it to be for?

I believe that it's either a prescription or over-the-counter allergy medication.

Okay. And --

I was not aware, you know, that -- that it was a specific issue.

When you say it wasn't a specific issue, are you talking about her allergies or are you talking about Singulair having the effect of causing her anger issues?

Mia has allergies, and has had allergies, but I wasn't aware that -- that there was any concern that allergy medications, specifically Singulair, was -- was involved in -in terms of Mia's behavior.

Okay. So --

I was puzzled by it. I didn't know how to respond to that.

Okay. In her final -- in that chain on 974, she indicates that Mia has been very disrespectful to me on this -- on the trip and she's not permitted to bring her phone back to my home. Did she -- did you in fact restrict her from bringing the -- the phone to Christina's home?

A I don't recall that I did.

Q Okay. Has the phone been an issue between you and Christina? The phone -- use of the phone by the children.

A It has.

Q And tell me about that. How -- how has that issue arisen? I can see that it -- it -- the request here. But what has been the discussions? How would you describe the discussions between you and Christina in regard to that subject?

A Amy and I purchased a telephone for use by the children. Ultimately they received their own phones. So in 2015, they were sharing a phone. I communicated in email correspondence in 2015 to Christina expressly that the kids had control over their phone and phones and that she was free to call them and communicate them -- with them, subject to, you know, normal timing issues. But I made it very clear that, you know, the idea of having it -- because we had a prior dispute over facilitating daily telephonic communication, that I wanted to provide a mechanism that she can communicate with the children directly and not through me.

Q Well, one of the -- the questions that I think comes up with the phone issue is, and correct -- and let me know if it's come up in your case. One is the children's access, free access to the internet and social media. Was that ever

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

discussed between you and Christina as an issue associated with their phones?

Yes. I think we discussed it specifically, but I don't know initially if it was related to the phones or just generally.

What do you recall your discussions with Christina in regard to the children's access to social media and the internet?

I think that we are both concerned about the access to the internet and social media by the children because of the number of, you know, issues that may exist out there.

What are the kinds of issues, just briefly.

Access to inappropriate sites, communication with third parties we don't know, you know, transmission of, you know, sexually explicit material. You know, just general, you know, concerns that -- that, you know, when I grew up, I didn't have to deal with because you didn't have that -- that portal of communication.

You -- you had an opportunity to review the examination by Mr. Grigsby of Mia in this case.

T did. Α

And do recall when he brought up and cross examined her in regard to explicit material that was found on her iPad? Do you recall that?

1	A I do.
2	Q And did you were you aware of that occurrence?
3	A I was.
4	Q How do you address Mia's viewing of that type of
5	material?
6	A She doesn't view that type of material. What I
7	understood, based on the facts before reviewing the testimony,
8	was that, you know, she looked at a site that other people in
9	school were looking at as a matter of curiosity. And I don't
10	personally find that objectionable, although I don't I
11	and when I say that, I think it's normal for kids to be
12	curious. I think it's normal for kids to want information.
13	But my understanding with after communicating with Mia is
14	that's not something she does, regularly does, and only did it
15	in that one specific instance.
16	Q And you believe her.
17	A I do believe her.
18	Q Okay.
19	A I don't agree with the way it was handled, but I
20	I believe her.
21	Q What do you say that you what do you mean that

22

23

24

discovering the item on the iPad, but also in court on the

A I don't agree that embarrassing Mia, not only when

you don't agree with the way that it was handled?

Q In regard to the -- the communications that you were having with -- with Christina in and around -- looks like May of 2017 when she was expressing to you these problems, and further communication, did you ever come to the conclusion that the problems between Christina and the children had gotten better?

A It's my understanding, particularly concerning the events around Mother's Day in 2017, that the -- that the events were getting worse.

Q Okay. So at some point in time it was Christina that suggested that she continue family therapy with Nic Ponzo; do you recall that?

A I do.

Q If you'll return to page 976 of Exhibit X, you'll see that request.

A I see it.

Q Did you have any objection to doing so?

A No, I just wanted to be informed of the days and times of -- of the therapy appointments, and I --

Q Okay. You also had addressed her concerns with communication with her about your thoughts and feelings about

A Correct.

- Q If you'll look to page 977, would you characterize that email as that type of attempt?
 - A I would characterize that.
- Q And -- and did your communications with Christina continue to address some of the problems that she raised with Ethan and Mia? In other words, that even after the therapy began and you were engaged in these type of communications, were you willing to work with her and discuss with her various methods or ways or experiences to try to get her to have a better relationship with the children?
- A Absolutely. This specific issue in the email of February 10th, Christina had raised objections about encouraging Mia to exercise. And I think her view was my encouragement of Mia to exercise was somehow fat shaming her, which in no way was accurate in terms of what I was doing and what I was encouraging. I think it's absolutely appropriate to encourage your kids to exercise.
- Q Did you ever indicate to Mia that she was fat or overweight and --
 - A Absolutely not.
- Q -- should exercise to lose pounds or words to that effect?

22

23

24

A No. Absolutely not. Mia is not fat. She's -- you know, she's a -- she's -- she's been an athlete. But I think that, you know, as she gets older, she's less inclined to participate in physical activities and -- and, you know, I think as a parent I'd -- I'd like her to see -- I'd like her to be involved in other physical -- physical activities. So, you know, recommendations for exercise I thought were appropriate.

- Q Does -- do you ever encourage Ethan to exercise?
- A I don't need to, but I have in the past.
- Q Okay.
- A He's a fairly active child.
- Q You had indicated that you're active -- or at least you go to his games in regard to baseball.
 - A I do.
 - Q Do you go to practices as well?
- 7 A Occasionally.
 - Q Okay. And do you ever play baseball with him?
 - A I do, yeah. We -- we practice, to the extent that you can play with two people in terms of pitching and hitting and throwing and things of that nature.
 - Q All right. How often have you done that over the years, do you say -- would you say?
 - A I'd say weekly we -- we've done those things when

he's been playing and in the off-season. 1 2 You throw the ball, play pick, all that kind of 3 thina? Yeah, absolutely. 4 Α 5 All right. A lot of what Ethan likes to do is work on his --6 7 you know, his batting. 8 0 Okay. 9 Now that he's the, you know, leadoff batter in his 10 team, he wants to keep his skills sharp. 11 So at some period of time -- you've the -- the 12 testimony of your children and you've reviewed the report 13 associated with their interview, correct? 14 I did. Α 15 At some period of time, did you -- did you 16 understand all of the things that the children -- and have 17 indicated in their testimony -- was occurring in Christina's 18 home? Were you aware of it? 19 Α No. 20 So what -- what did come to your attention that 21 caused the relationship between the children and Christina to 22 really suffer?

the disagreements to physical fighting between Mia and

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT

VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

There's, you know, the -- the escalation in 2019 of

1 Christina. When did you first learn that there had been a physical altercation between Mia and Christina? I first learned in 2017 at the Pepperdine trip that Δ 5 there was the physical altercation. And then --Did you speak to Mia about that Pepperdine trip when 6 7 she returned as you had indicated you would in your email? 8 I did. And what was her read on what had occurred -- well, 9 let me ask the question this way, because she's testified in 10 11 this action. Did you have a different understanding than 12 Christina had to -- regarding the events of the -- what had 13 occurred in -- in Pepperdine? 14 I did. The kids reported a different set of facts. 15 All right. So then you -- you are faced in -- when were you faced with this altercation between Christina and --1.7 was it Mia or was it Ethan? It was Mia in 2017 at Pepperdine. 18 19 And then in 2000 -- when -- when was the next 20 altercation that you became aware of? 21 To the best of my recollection it was in May of 22 2019.

And what did you learn at that time?

2.3

24

Α

About?

	Q	Abo	out	the	al	tercation.	Wha	at	was	the		your	st	at
of	mind	when	you	ı wer	re	addressing	the	ел	ents	wit	h	Chris	stir	na?

- A So we got -- Amy and I received a -- an emergency call/text from Mia around 4:00 or 5:00 on the day of the incident to come over because she had been attacked by her mom, was the -- at least my recollection of how it was communicated.
- Q And did you reach out to Christina once you became aware of that information that you received from -- from Mia?
 - A I went to Christina's house with Amy.
- Q Okay. And did you and Christina and Amy have an opportunity to speak?
- A We did.
 - Q And what was the purpose of going to her house?
- A Mia had indicated that she had been physically attacked and that she needed assistance, and so my immediate reaction was to assist her.
- Q And when you went to the home, did you go inside the home?
- A No.

- Q Describe what occurred after you and Amy arrived after receiving the information from Mia that she had been physically attacked.
 - A We arrived, exited the vehicle. I don't recall if

-- if Christina was coming out, but the garage door was open and so as I was walking up the driveway I think she came out to meet us, is -- is what I recall.

- Q And what -- do you recall the conversation, the substance of the conversation that you had with Christina?
 - A Generally, yes.
 - Q Was Amy present during that conversation as well?
 - A Part of it, yes.
- Q And when you say part of it, what happened? Where -- did she leave the area or what --
 - A My son, Mitchell Jr., was in the car.
 - Q Okay. And so she --
- A So we didn't want to leave him in the car unattended.
- Q Okay. And so what do you recall was the substance of the conversation with Christina that evening?
- A Generally we spoke about there was a fight.

 Christina had admitted that she was physical with Mia. She also reported that Mia was physical with her. We had discussed about how that isn't appropriate. We had discussed about whether or not the police should be called. We had discussed about whether Mia should be punished. And Christina had indicated that she had consulted with some work associates who recommended that she did call the police and file a

report. I had urged her not to do that.

- Q Who urged her not to do that?
- A I did.

- Q Okay.
- A I didn't think --
- Q Why did you urge her not to, if you -- if your understanding was that there had been physical altercation between her and Mia, why did you encourage her not to file a police report? It's a crime, isn't it?

A I don't know the exact facts and circumstances. All I knew at the time is that my daughter had reported that she was attacked by Christina. And what Christina reported to me was that she was involved in a physical fight where both parties were physical with each other. And Christina's indication was that in her experience, based on her role in the District Attorney's Office, that — that — that the police will defer to the parent if they report an incident of physical contact and that the child would be arrested, and I didn't want that to occur.

Q Okay. I think it's obvious as to why, I won't ask you why you didn't want her arrested. But has Mia every had any kind of issues at all in which she's had interaction with police to your knowledge?

A No. Never.

She's never been arrested?

1

MR. GRIGSBY: Whatever the Court's preference. 1 2 THE COURT: Somewhere between five and 10, okay. 3 MR. SMITH: Five minutes if fine with me, Your Honor All right. Thanks. 5 (OFF RECORD) THE COURT: We are continuing with post-judgment 6 7 proceedings, D-08-389203. We took a short break and we're 8 going to resume the direct exam of Dad. 9 Sir, can you confirm that you understand you're still under oath? 10 THE WITNESS: I confirm. 11 12 THE COURT: Great. Mr. Smith, whenever you're 13 ready. 14 MR. SMITH: Yes. 15 BY MR. SMITH: 16 We were talking about the first incident in regard 17 to the altercation that had occurred between Christina and Mia. And we were talking about the -- the notion that Ms. 18 19 Calderon expressed to you about calling the police. Did anyone call the police on that evening? 20 21 Not to my knowledge. 22 And what happened after you had a chance to discuss the issue with Christina? 23

What I understood was, this all started over a

thermostat and adjusting the -- the temperature in the home, which to me was like remarkable that something like that can turn into a physical altercation. The -- the problem that I had is that Mia's version and Christina's version differed in terms of who was the aggressor and what were the facts and circumstances. So I was put in the middle of trying to assess, you know, essentially who was telling the truth, a position that I didn't feel comfortable.

- So what did you do? What did you -- what was actually the outcome of the discussion and your going over on
- While Christina and were discussing -- and we spent a long time in her garage communicating, probably close to an hour. And during that time Mia had packed her belongings and put them into my car, and then got into the car.
 - Did -- did you return with Mia to your home?
 - Was it your time to have Mia during that period?
- 19 No.

18

20

21

22

23

- Okay. So -- so did you and Christina have communication about that?
 - Α We did.
- Did she indicate to you that she didn't want you to take Mia?

She did. She indicated that if Mia left she would 1 Α be calling the police. 3 So when you left and after that, did she call the 0 police? 4 I'm not sure. I don't know. 5 What happened after that in regard to the time 6 7 share? Well, let me ask you this. Why did you think, in light of her objection, that it was okay to take Mia on that evening? 9 10 I was concerned that they were going to get into another fight and Mia had already packed her things. She was 11 12 leaving on a trip out of state in the morning. My time share would have ordinarily began after school, or that evening. 13 And so I thought it made sense, given the volatility of the 14 15 situation to allow Mia and Christina a cooling off period, in my view. 16 17 When did -- when -- when was Chris -- excuse me, Mia scheduled to leave, the following morning? 18 19 Correct. 20 And where did she -- where was she going? Q 21 Α She was going to California. 22 And what was the purpose of her trip? It was a choir trip. 23 Α

Were you going to go with her?

24

1	A	No.				
2	Q	Who did go with her on that trip?				
3	A	Her teachers and classmates, but neither Amy or me				
4	went on t	hat trip.				
5	Q	And what how long was the trip?				
6	A	The week through the weekend				
7	Q	Okay.				
8	A	if I recall.				
9	Q	And so your time would have been the following week?				
10	A	No, my time would have been the next day.				
11	Q	The next day, but then but you would also have				
12	her until	when do you make the exchange?				
13	A	Fridays				
14	Q	So				
15	A	in the evenings.				
16	Q	you would have returned her on Friday.				
17	A	The following Friday.				
18	Q	Did you return her on Friday?				
19	A	I did not.				
20	Q	What happened?				
21	А	Christina and I agreed that that Mia could remain				
22	in my care.					
23	Q	How did you agree to that?				
24	A	Via email.				

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

purpose was -- was to make it clear that physical altercations

between a parent and a child just cannot happen. It's just --

it's not appropriate. While I understand, you know, parents

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

have the right to discipline their chil -- their children, this was not discipline, this was a physical fight, as I understand it from both parties.

The -- what was the substance, if you can recall, of the conversation with Ms. Calderon at this Starbucks meeting?

We -- we generally talked about family dynamics, the difficulties that Christina had with managing Mia's behavior in her care. We talked about Mia's relationship with her boyfriend Joey. We talked about electronic devices. talked about a number of issues. In fact, I thought it was a productive meeting. I thought we had an understanding in a way that we hadn't had before in terms of our communication. It was actually, in my view, I felt like it was refreshing and productive to spend the time with her face-to-face rather than exchanging emails to communicate with -- about these matters openly and honestly and provide recommendations.

And so what happened after that meeting? Did things -- did the time share go back to what it had been before?

Ultimately, yes. What we decided to do -- and I don't know if it was at that meeting or if subsequently after, is we scheduled a family meeting with the kids.

And in that -- when did that family meeting occur, 0 or did it occur?

Α It did occur. It was shortly after the Starbucks meeting, so --

Q And --

A -- within a week or two.

Q What was the substance of the discussion in that meeting?

A The substance of -- we had agreed that -- that we wanted to communicate to the children that we were on the same page, that the rules at Mom's house were going to be the rules at Dad's house. Christina wanted me to communicate, and I had no problem communicating, that -- that she was entitled to take away the kids' telephones if there was a behavioral issue and that I would support that.

Q Okay. Do you recall anything else about that meeting?

A I recall that the kids' interaction with Christina at that meeting was odd. They didn't want to speak to her, they didn't want to be touched by her. She made attempts to try to hug them and they rejected her.

Q Did you mention that to them or did you point it out at the time of that meeting or afterwards?

A I did at the time indicate that -- that, you know, that Christina was their mom and that she's hugging them. And then, you know, to be quite frank, I was so uncomfortable that -- that I -- that Amy and I allowed them personal privacy

ŏ

because I thought maybe, you know, they -- they wanted their space to communicate. So what I had recommended is that Amy and I withdraw and allowed Christina to communicate with the kids, you know, openly and freely without us there.

Q And what happened after that in relation to Christina's time share with the children?

A Ultimately it -- it resumed, but, you know, during that four to six week period of time, Ethan was also with me. There was also a period where I had Ethan for an extended period in Cooperstown and Mia was alone with Christina at a -- a trip in Arizona. So the exact dates and circumstances, I'd have to look at a calendar to try to figure that out --

Q Okay.

A -- right now. But it was generally a four to six week type period.

Q So -- so it res -- did -- did -- during the time that you had the children in your care after this altercation, did you do anything to promote or to discourage their relationship with Christina?

A Promote. One of the things that I have been doing when these issues became apparent was to try to provide the kids perspective, which is that Christina is their mother, and they may not agree with her decisions but she -- they are to follow them and respect her. And if there is conflict, to

A Christina called, emailed, texted. Mia called, texted at approximately 11:00 at night on -- on a weekday. I was asleep. Amy was asleep. I didn't get the messages until I woke up the next day.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q What did you do after you received the messages?

A We communicated with Mia. She gave an update as to what exactly occurred. I reviewed the emails and text messages. I don't recall if I sent an email to Christina or

not at the time.

- Q Do you recall listening to Mia's testimony in this case in which she had described that event?
 - A I think so, yeah.
- Q And was your recollection of what she had indicated to you at the time that she -- the event occurred different from what she described in her discussion of the event at the time of this trial?
- A I believe it was consistent, although I think there may be some dispute as to who hit who first. But I don't recall at the time whether or not that was clear. My concern wasn't who hit who first, my concern was are you okay.
- Q So you talked with Mia about whether or not she was okay. She described the events consistent with what she testified here. Then what did you do? Did you call the police?
 - A I -- I did not call the police.
 - Q Why didn't you call the police?
- A My concern, again, was Christina's statement to me, based on her experience and role in the District Attorney's Office, that the default rule is, is they accept the parent's view and that the children would be arrested. So my concern is, without knowing what happened, who hit who, and Christina's position, that -- that Mia might be arrested and I

MR. SMITH:

Okay.

BY MR. SMITH:

Q Based upon the information that you had received from Mia in regard to the altercation that you now have indicated it was consistent with her testimony in this case, what did you do?

A I -- I didn't do anything other than communicate with Christina about the facts and circumstances and my concern about the physical fighting in a number of emails that were exchanged. We attempted to set up a meeting to discuss those events. There was some scheduling conflicts. I had recommended that we do it by phone. Christin --

- Q When you say we, who is we?
- A Christina, Amy and I.
 - Q Okay.

A And so we were attempting to set up another meeting like we did at Starbucks, but based on scheduling, it -- my preference was to do it by phone. And she -- Christina indicated that she didn't want to do that.

Q So you immediately -- did you immediately take Mia and Ethan into your care after -- when -- the day after you got these texts and so forth, you've talked to Mia?

- A No.
- Q Why not?

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)**VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

A The difference between this event and the prior event is that Mia had called and said, pick me up, I'm being attacked. And then while having a conversation with Christina, she got into my automobile. In this instance, she had communicated what happened but indicated that she was fine, going to school. And on the basis of that communication, she didn't ask me to pick her up. I didn't feel like it was necessary to pick her up since she was going to school, and I had hoped that my communications with Christina in the interim would de-escalate any -- any problem.

Q How long after this event was your scheduled time share to have the children?

A It was approximately a week away.

Q Okay. So you allowed them to remain in her care for a week.

A Yeah. And I have some difficulty with allow, you know. The issue is, is that, you know, I didn't -- I didn't see any need to intervene or remove the kids based on what Mia communicated to me. And she had indicated to me that she was fine at the time. So, you know, if she had said, hey, Dad, come pick me up, I'm not going back, I might have done things differently.

Q At the time that you made the decision to leave Mia in Christina's care after the second altercation, were you

aware of some of the allegations and statements that Mia had made in her testimony in this trial? For example, were you aware that she was alleging that her mother had grabbed her by the hair and dragged her across the room? Were you aware of that?

A Generally I was aware of the physical confrontation. I was aware of the -- the provocation through laughing and taunting that Mia had represented to me that Christina was doing. So I would say yes, but you know, not specifically all of the physical interaction, whether it be hair pulling, punching, kicking, scratching, that -- those types of things. My concern was not the specific -- you know, was it a scratch, a punch, a hair pull. My concern was that it was -- it was a fight. It was a physical fight. Not I'm disciplining you, not, you know, I'm -- not Mia beating her mom up, as -- as Christina alleged. But they were, from my understanding, both involved in a -- you know, in a -- in a physical altercation.

Q Did it become your understanding that Ethan had witnessed the altercation between Christina and Mia?

A I don't believe he witnessed that altercation. I believe he witnessed the altercation in May, but I could be mistaken. I think he heard the altercation, but it was late at night and generally he's asleep at that time. So I think he heard but didn't see.

Q At any time did you form the impression that Ethan had been affected by his either witnessing or learning of the -- the altercation between Christina and Mia?

A Absolutely. And one of the things that Ethan had, you know, communicated when he was with me for that extended period of time after the first altercation was that how nice it is to have peace.

Q In regard to the -- the -- you heard him repeat those -- that testimony in terms of describing some of the difficulties he was having at his mother's home; do you recall that?

A I do. He seems to be, at least my view of the testimony, he seemed to be pretty frustrated with the constant fighting, whether it be physical or -- or verbal.

Q You're faced with this information in regard to two altercations, the frustration they're having in regard to dealing with their mother. Their mother, for a period of years, has described either abusive or inappropriate behavior by Mia, and additional behavior by Ethan. What did you do at that point to address any or all of that?

A I think here was a sense -- I mean, my recollection is there was a sense of like helplessness. Like what -- what did it -- what can I do about resolving these issues in Christina's home, you know. I wasn't there. I don't know

- Q So what did you do in regard to being faced with all of this?
- A I had indicated that the kids should remain with me --
- 13 Q Indicated --
- 14 | A -- via e --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q -- to who?
- A I had indicated to Christina via email that the kids should remain in my care and we should work on some alternative arrangement, either temporary -- to resolve these issues.
- Q Did you take any steps to try to understand the situation better as to what would be appropriate action for you take?
- A We ultimately were not able to set up that meeting because Christina wanted it face-to-face and wouldn't

participate via telephone. And so unfortunately the email exchange, I don't know if it was very productive. I think her sense was that I was keeping the kids from her, but you know, what I had recommended was we do something very similar that we did the first time and then try to figure out whether or not it made sense to alter the time share in a way that worked better for everyone.

Q Okay. And -- and that was the nature of the communication with -- with Christina.

A It was. And ultimately, you know, the -- she had indicated -- because we had -- we had agreed to deviate from our -- our parenting plan. So rather than have the kids after school at 3:00, we did the exchanges at 6:00 because it made more sense, even though our parenting plan provided something else. Christina had indicated that she was going to the kids' school on Friday to pick the kids up. I thought that the kids would be traumatized by that event. I didn't want, you know, any further conflict. I didn't want the school necessarily to be involved in those dynamics. So, you know, she ultimately agreed to pick the kids up at 6:00. I communicated to both kids that they were going. I had told Mia that she had to pack under the circumstances because this was what her mom was insisting, and that's what she did.

So that's what Mia did. She packed.

1	A	Both packed.
2	Q	Okay. And they both went to school.
3	A	They came home from school, they packed
4	Q	Oh, I see.
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	And did they their mother come to pick them up?
7	A	At 6:00 she did.
8	Q	And what happened?
9	A	Before before Christina got there, Mia had
10	indicated	to Amy and me that she didn't want to go, that she
11	was refusing to go. And my recommendation to Mia was to	
12	discuss the matter with her mom when she got there.	
13	Q	Did you at ever at any time indicate to her that
14	she's under a court order to go, or that she must go, or words	
15	to that effect?	
16	A	I don't use court orders when dealing with the kids.
17	To me that	t doesn't make sense. What I did say is that, you
18	know, we l	have an agreement, this is your mom's time, this is
19	when she's	s regularly supposed to pick you up. So, you know,
20	her intent	tion is to pick you up and you need to go.
21	Q	Did you indicate to them that they would be punished
22	if they d:	idn't go with their mother?
23	A	I did not.

Q

Why?

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

A I don't feel that it was my role to punish the children under those circumstances for deciding not to go based on what I viewed as legitimate concerns about the manner in which they were treated under Christina's care.

Q Knowing that the order was as it was, you're a lawyer, why didn't you make immediate steps to file an action to modify custody based upon the events that had occurred?

Α Well, the -- I mean, that's exactly what Christina had recommended in her email to me before picking up the kids a few hours earlier, was that if I wanted to change the schedule then I needed to file a motion. She had -- she had indicated just as you did. You're a lawyer, if you want to change the schedule, file a motion. What I indicated to her is we don't need to file a motion if parents agree to change the schedule. That's not -- we can reach an agreement. We don't have to go back to court under these circumstances. But she didn't relent and ultimately -- you know, I wasn't sure what would happen when Christina came at 6:00 and Mia communicated to her that she wasn't going. I didn't know how that was going to turn out. It -- it's very possible that Christina would have done what she did the last time, which is relent and say, okay, you can spend some time here and we'll address this later. So, you know, before rushing and filing a motion, I wanted to see how the conversation turned out.

Q Did you -- did you have a communication with Mia about -- you had indicated, for example, that in fact you had conversations with them about coping mechanisms. Did you discuss with Ethan and Mia ways to cope with what had occurred, you know, after the two altercations and whatever other concerns they had expressed to you?

A My advice to the kids is -- it's been the same, which is -- and, you know, I'm -- I'm proud of Mia when I reviewed her testimony and I appreciate the fact that she took responsibility for her role in those matters, but my concern is, is that, you know, she's a young adult and Christina's a parent.

Q The -- you had referenced communication you had with Christina in or about the time of this incident. If you'll turn to Exhibit X. It appears that the -- there was communication that began on August 14th, 2019 and proceed --

A Can you give me the Bates number so I know what you're --

Q Yeah, it would be the first page of the document itself. It's -- oh, excuse me, Defendant's -- it's number 1000. I'm mistaken.

A Okay.

Q It's not the first page.

A Okay.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

And it goes through Defendant's number 1010; do you see that?

À T do.

Is that the communication, the email communication you're referring to in re -- which you were suggesting to Christina that you -- she follow a different path other than --

Yeah, this --Α

-- what she was following?

This started with apparently the event on August the 13th and her emails, texts and -- and calls you can see as early as 12:00 a.m. on the 14th. So I responded to those the next day, but as you can see from these emails, there's a number of exchanges over that week that ultimately resulted in her response to me on 1007.

Okay.

She had indicated that she was -- she wanted to enforce the order. I had communicated to her that I had some concerns given what the kids had reported. There's dialogue back and forth about her parenting skills and her response. I think I encouraged her to try to work with Nic Ponzo rather than make this a litigation matter, but she insisted that she was following the court order, it was the letter of the law, and that I knew as an attorney that if I were going to change

it that I needed to file a motion. But I just disagree with the premise because we can agree, as a matter of law, to alter our schedule without a court order.

- Q Well, and that's what you were attempting to do but it became clear that she was not interested in doing so, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. And so this communication goes through August 25th. The court record will reflect that on August 26th you filed a motion. But that motion didn't seek to change custody. Why not?

A I -- I didn't want to change custody. It wasn't my intention to change custody. It was my intention to -- maybe misguided -- attempt to provide a mechanism by which the children could express their preference. And if they could express their preference within the confines of a joint physical custody relationship, my assumption was that some of the problems could be resolved. For example, if there's a fight between Mia and Christina and it requires some level of cooling off or counseling or things of that nature, it would be okay for her to stay with me longer than, you know, the -- the custodial schedule set forth in our parenting plan on a 50/50 basis. To me, flexibility was important. So I thought if the kids could say, hey look, I can't -- I'm not getting

. .

along with Mom here, we just got into another physical fight,

I need to spend a couple of days with Dad, that that would

make sense, just as long as we didn't change the custody

schedule. Because it was not my intention.

Q Okay. So we're -- we're clear under the law that under Harrison the Court can impose such an agreement, but wasn't your --

A I don't know if I agree with you, but -MR. GRIGSBY: Objection, Your Honor. Not even a

MR. SMITH: I don't -- I think it's a correct statement of the law and I think I can do it as part of the -- the question, so I need to finish the question before Mr. Grigsby knows where I was going with that.

BY MR. SMITH:

question, it's a statement.

Q So assuming that the Court's stated view of Harrison is how the Court views that case, if -- if you filed a motion seeking that teenage discretion, at some point in time did you become aware that that wasn't going to be the order of the Court?

A Yes. In our hearing this Court communicated that the remedy of the exercise of teenage discretion in the confines of joint physical custody was not within the powers of the Court.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Okay. So -- so in regard to that, did that cause you to file a further pleading and seek different relief?

In response to Christina's motion for primary and I think she had indicated temporary primary physical custody. And we filed a countermotion for primary physical custody and to allow the kids to exercise teenage discretion.

Okay. So in regard to your -- your statement that you didn't initially want to change custody, what did you want to do in terms of a remedy for -- other than the -- the teenage discretion that you described?

My thought process was is that the kids had the ability -- and I trust their judgment generally. That the kids had the ability to extricate themselves from a situation that was uncomfortable or harmful. That Christina would alter her parenting skills faced with the idea that you have a court order on a 50/50 time share and her view the kids can't leave, they have to be with her during that 50/50 time share. That sets up a confines and -- and dynamic that provides her a hundred percent control.

So in terms of the -- the -- what other remedies did you seek in terms of the motion?

- Counseling. A
- Okay.
- One of the things that I thought -- and I had

Α

No.

Did you entertain that idea?

Absolutely not.

23

24

Α

Well, did you -- did you -- but you sought the

1

24

MR. SMITH: I'll rephrase the question.

resources in connection with your preparation of a family law

23

24

seminar.

Q After reviewing -- after reviewing your discussion with doc -- with Dr. Lubit and reviewing that material, what was the course that you believed was in the best interest of the children in regard to their refusal to abide by the court order?

A Therapy and providing them an opportunity to communicate their points of view and to address the issues that they're having with their mom. The -- the understanding I had was forcing the children to return to their care could be more harmful to them on these -- on these facts.

Q What's your view of that? Why do you think it would be more harmful? For example, the Court had at one time indicated to you, well, there's one way to make Ethan go, is to take away his baseball. So did you try that?

A Not initially, but again, my concern is, is what is my role as a parent. And if the kids are reporting to me what I understand to be the case, that there is significant mistreatment going on while with Christina, if I'm -- I'm concerned that I would be disregarding their feelings and concerns by forcing them to return to an -- in my view, an obviously uncomfortable and detrimental situation.

Q Did Christina -- you talked about these altercations. Did you become aware that Christina had taken other actions that caused the children to react the way that

A Yes. And I communicated those issues to her during our Starbucks meeting, that I did not feel it was appropriate to contact third parties, including friends, teachers, administrators, to help her address matters in her home.

Q So that Starbucks meeting was sometime in June, I think you had indicated. In August there was another altercation. Did Christina's actions suggest to you that she agreed with your view that she shouldn't cause -- or she shouldn't involve third parties in relation to problems that she was having -- other than therapists -- problems that she was having with the children?

A No. In fact, during our Starbucks meeting I don't think we were in agreement on that issue either. I think she felt -- or at least she communicated to me that she felt it was an appropriate way to resolve issues concerning the children. And I wholeheartedly disagree.

Q So at or about the time of the -- the second altercation, did Christina reach out to anyone associated with the children and involve them in the -- the situation?

A The second altercation I think was further cause and -- and instigated by, from what I understand, Christina's decision to reach out to Joey's mother.

Q Okay. So she reached out to Joey's mother. At any

time, either during any of the altercations, did Christina

MR. GRIGSBY: Objection.

-- in August.

23

1 MR. GRIGSBY: Non-responsive. 2 MR. SMITH: Well --3 THE COURT: Again, listen carefully to counsel's 4 question. I think this is --5 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: -- a foundational question for another 6 7 question, right? 8 MR. SMITH: That's right. 9 THE COURT: So ask the question again, please. 10 BY MR. SMITH: 11 So -- so you had -- you had -- did you have a 12 discussion with Ethan in regard to the effect of Christina 13 contacting his -- his baseball coach had on Ethan? 14 Α Yes. 15 Why -- based upon that conversation, did you take 16 from that conversation that Ethan was adversely affected by 17 that communication between Christina and the coach? 18 Yes. 19 What was your course then in terms of why didn't you 20 at that -- immediately at that time seek a motion to change custody based upon the -- the -- whatever he had told you 21 about the reaction of that -- of that event? 22 23 Α That was Friday when Christina attempted to pick up

the kids. And so he -- Ethan was at baseball at the time.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Q Did you -- you said you had communication, direct communication or texts with Christina and the coach as well?

A Christina sent a text message while at my house to Ethan's baseball coach and copied me as part of the text message, indicating that -- that there was a custodial dispute, that she wanted Ethan to be turned over to her father, and that if the coach didn't do that, she was calling the police.

Q All right. So -- so was -- did Ethan ever become aware of that communication, to your knowledge?

A It's my understanding, based on what Ethan communicated to me, that he had a discussion with his coach.

Q In regard to the -- the -- your reaction was to file the motion to change custody, correct?

A My reaction was to file a motion for the kids to exercise teenage discretion within the confines of joint physical custody on that Monday.

Q Okay. You were -- you were deposed in this case; do

you recall that?

A I do.

Q You were asked the question as to whether or not you wanted a change of custody as the ultimate result in this -- this case. Do you recall your response?

A I do.

Q And what is it?

A I said I -- I'm not interested in changing custody. I want the kids to be happy. I would be perfectly okay if -- if the kids wanted to spend more time with Christina if their relationship was resolved. It's not about labels, it's not about motions, it's not about who -- you know, I'm flexible. I don't care what a document says in terms of who's the primary physical custodian or the joint physical custodian or -- I'm not counting hours. It doesn't need to be 50 percent of the time with me versus her. I just want the kids to be happy and I -- I don't -- I can't referee on a constant basis disputes between Christina and the kids while in her care.

Q Well, but do we now as parents want to take the position that if a child has problem with the other parent that he can determine whether or not he or she spend time with that parent? Is that where you're going with this?

A It's -- it depends, right.

Q It depends on what?

A It depends on the facts and circumstances and the severity of the problem. If -- if none of these be --

Q What were the -- what were the facts and circumstances of this case that caused you to believe that instead of punishing the children to go for an order to -- by the order that you would allow the -- or that you would propose that they have teenage discretion and therapy?

A My thought process was, based on the facts that were communicated to me by the kids and Christina, that Christina as a parent has primary culpability for those physical altercations. And while there's an allegation that -- that Mia had a role, and she -- she apparently did based on her testimony, I don't think that a parent in those circumstances should be physically fighting with their -- with their children.

Q So was it -- it was the nature of the altercation from the evidence that caused you to -- to take the -- the approach that you took or was it -- so for example, if the child had come to you and said, look, you know, she keeps the house too cold and she took my phone away, I want to stay with you, Dad, is that going to be something that you're going to say, no, you have to -- that we have to go to therapy in regard to?

A No. I mean, look, I don't -- I don't -- those --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

those explanations -- and the kids are aware of it based on my parenting -- that that's not an acceptable basis.

- Have they ever presented anything like that to you?
- Α Never.
- So in this instance, you heard the children -- did -- did the children contact or talk with Christina in relation to their desire to spend more time with you, to your knowledge, prior to the time they went to therapy?
 - Α When?
 - In August of 2019.
- I -- I don't recall when, if ever, that occurred. don't know the answer to -- I don't recall Mia communicating that to Christina. And I don't know whether that occurred. I -- I don't know.
- Okay. So the children did go to therapy for some period of time. At one point in time the Court ordered that the exchanges of the child go through family -- or, excuse me, Donna's House. Describe for me what you did to comply with the Court's order?
- I explained to the kids that this is where the custodial exchange was going to occur, but not using those words. That I was going to be dropping the kids off at family court and that their mom was going to pick them up.
 - Did they have their bags packed?

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Bags packed. All equipment for the weekend. Α Everything that they needed. Under normal circumstances when they would make the transition previously.

- Okay. And did you take them to Donna's House?
- I did.
- And did you -- you registered and did the things you needed to do to avail yourself of that program?

I signed -- at the -- the -- at the time, the front entrance to the family court was under construction. They had a construction trailer there. I entered the construction trailer right before security, signed a form, paid a fee, and left the kids.

- And where did you go?
- Α Home. I was driving home.
- Okay. And what happened as you were driving home?

I received a call approximately 20 to 25 minutes later from a representative at Donna's House indicating that the children were refusing to go with Christina and that I need to come pick them up. And then in the future, if the kids don't want to go, tell the security officer up front so they don't go through the whole process of going through security and waiting.

So what happened at that point? Did you go pick them up?

I did. Α

2

And you took them home?

3

Α To the best of my recollection, yes.

4

Did you have any communication with Christina in

5

regard to this incident after it occurred?

6

I don't believe we had any communication that day.

We may have had communication the next day. I did explore the

8

idea of -- I don't want to use the word punishment, but you

9

know, properly incentivising them to -- to -- to go by

10

restricting Mia's access to her phone for 24 hours and

11

declining Ethan's coach's in -- invitation to play on a

12

weekend that he would have not normally played, but the offer

13

was there. And even under those circumstances, it didn't make

a difference when we returned to Donna's House the following

14

week.

15

When you returned to Donna's the following week,

17

16

what happened?

18

kids communicated to the security that they weren't going.

19 20

And at that point the representatives from Donna's House

21

Did you communicate that fact to Christina?

23

22

I don't recall whether I communicated, but she was

I went through the same procedure, except that the

24

there, so she knew.

turned the kids away.

Q Okay. And so did you do that?

A I did.

Q Did you at any time refuse to take the children to therapy when requested by Christina or Mr. Ponzo?

A I never refused, but there were scheduling conflicts.

Q In what sense?

A In the sense that, you know, there may have been an extracurricular activity that conflicted with the time that Christina wanted to have therapy. We had a lot of issues regarding Christina's preference for who attended therapy, how many times they attended therapy, who took the kids to therapy and picked them up, what they did before, what they did after. All of which, in my view, should have been handled by Mr. Ponzo. But because I wasn't in those sessions and I don't know everything he was recommending, I did the best that I could to accommodate not only the kids' schedules, my schedule, but Christina's schedule as well.

Q What -- what effect, in your experience, has the litigation had on the children?

A I think their relationship with their mother is worse as a result of it.

Q What portion of -- have they -- why -- why do you say that? What's the basis on that -- that --

2.0

A I don't -- I don't think the kids responded very well to being cross examined in court. I don't think --

Q Is that because you talked with them about their testimony?

A It's just what they communicated, that they were unhappy.

Q And when they said they were unhappy, was it generally or just -- did they give specifics?

A I think -- we didn't -- we didn't discuss specifically what they testified to, but the general concern was, you know, they felt like they were being accused of not telling the truth.

Q The -- they've now had that therapy. Have you seen any improvement in their willingness to spend time and interact with Christina?

A No. I think that we were making some progress, even though slow, before the first day of trial. But after the first day of trial, I think the kids were affected significantly from the -- you know, providing testimony and then also being cross examined. And -- and then the kids have separate issues regarding Christina's response to that testimony and the other events in therapy.

Q In regard to the -- the path forward, have you done anything to try to encourage additional contact with the

children and Christina?

A I encourage the kids every day to call or text their mother. I try to arrange time for them to spend time with each other. I've attempted to accommodate Christina's requests for -- for time. The kids have regularly seen Christina weekly. The -- the challenge that I have in this situation is, you know, I'm not -- I'm not involved in the therapy sessions and Christina doesn't allow me to be. So I don't know what occurs in those sessions. I don't know what Nic is recommending, and I don't know what -- what is occurring other than what the kids report.

- Q Okay. By Nic, you're referring to Nic Ponzo.
- A Correct.
 - Q What's the solution going forward in your view?

A I -- I don't think litigation and therapy works. I think that the -- as I reviewed the kids' testimony, their -- one of the obstacles that they have in terms of repairing the relationship is the failure of Christina to take responsibility for the alleged bad acts and that, their view, that she doesn't tell the truth. And I think that that may -- you know, my -- my assessment is, is that if you're in therapy and you're litigating -- and while there may be privileges associated with that therapy, but the idea that, you know, Mr. Ponzo may testify or may not testify, makes it unlikely for

matters is, you know, axiomatic. It's your fundamental rights

1

8 9

11 12

10

14 15

13

16

17 18

19

20 2.1

22

23 24 as parents. But, look, we have orders and agreements in this case. And if there are orders and agreements related to custody, related to the fundamental rights of parents, and the children are refusing to follow the orders, and there's conflicts with parents and leveraging changes in custody, it's the Court's responsibility to insist on a timely presentation of evidence to justify that, to justify the taking of physical and legal rights, to make findings and conclusions. That's why we're boring ahead with trying to get to a resolution.

It's not the Court's position that litigating these issues is best for your kids. It's the Court's position that this litigation is necessary to address the fundamental rights that both parents have. I can't help when I handle cases like this to be thinking about what the root issues are. And I think that where the rubber meets the road in this case is that we have essentially a -- you know, a traumatic event, an argument over a thermostat, an argument over a cell phone on a weeknight, talking to a boyfriend, that escalates into physical battery and altercations and the fallout from that.

So, you know, ultimately the Court has a responsibility to make sure all the evidence is presented and to issue orders, walking through the -- the remedies that are available in a court proceeding. But, you know, I'm -- I -it's clear, I guess, just because of the way that the case has

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

to be organized by you -- this is your last witness, right?

MR. SMITH: I'm going to ask the Court about that. If you're going to --

THE COURT: Okay. But let's -- for the purpose of my comments before we adjourn, it doesn't matter. I'm just saying the Defense hasn't been able to offer any evidence yet and now we're in -- we're burning out the second session that was two Thursdays set aside. I mean, the litigation, the grind of this case, this -- the disputes that are here, in my mind, don't warrant multiple days of litigation, okay. And it -- the amount of resources that you're dedicating to this, which are sort of a tangent to the -- or a necessary tangent to the real issue, which is to how to manage the time that the children spend with the parents, you know, I don't -- I'm concerned about it. I'm concerned about it not just for the management of this case, because we're going to come back at 1:00, we'll have at least three hours plus, but you're going to be examining him and then they may call another witness. They might even call one of your witnesses today, right?

THE COURT: And that -- that's a huge problem because, logistically speaking, I mean, I have like 22 trials and evidentiary hearings scheduled in the next four to five days, okay. I'm back-filling these hearings. I picked a

MR. GRIGSBY: Correct.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

opportunity to digest the testimony you didn't see and to allow the discovery issues to resolve, but also so you're not constantly gearing up for sessions, half-day sessions or day sessions or whatever. Because it's a tremendous amount of work for you and for me to review the testimony from the previous hearings and make notes and getting up to speed on it. And, you know, I don't have a full day in the next month, okay. I literally --

Thursday for two purposes. One, to give you a full

MR. SMITH: So -- so, Judge, let me address that, and I think I can help. You had asked if I have additional witnesses. If I can make -- the only other witness would be Amy Stipp and I could make an offer of proof that she would testify consistent --

THE COURT: Again, that's -- that's what we would do. It's cumulative. I certainly don't think it's irrelevant. But what would happen is, is that I would say, look, in the interest of time, you don't call her. Now if they bring up material issues concerning her role in parenting or --

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- the relationship between the kids --

MR. SMITH: Right.

THE COURT: -- and others, you roll her out to

refute that --

MR. SMITH: Yes.

THE COURT: -- contested matter.

MR. SMITH: And that's the exactly the way I would suggest --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SMITH: -- that we proceed, Your Honor. Because I've now had the exact half of the time that we've provided for this hearing. He'll have half the time to provide it. The children and the parties I believe are the most important witnesses, the Court has said as much.

THE COURT: I understand that, but look, they -they will -- you know, the Court is going to be looking and
tracking the time. It's not just about equal time, but it's
also about themes that you want to advance that --

MR. SMITH: Sure.

THE COURT: -- benefit your claims or defenses. And the Court is not going to have an arbitrary approach to it.

I'll be asking you -- I mean, obviously your client is testifying -- but I'll be asking you, who do you got in mind and why do you think this witness is more important or should be testifying. And you've got a long list of folks who might testify in the case. Some of them will, some of them won't.

But, and you know, I'm not going to deny either one

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

23

24

BY MR. GRIGSBY:

and forth with book bags and things of that nature -- our

custodial order requires us to exchange time share, exchange

23

-- it's taken correctly, is that we entered into an agreement via email, you're suggesting that if one party decides that they don't want to honor that agreement anymore then the default is the stipulation; is that what you're asking?

Q What I'm asking is, is -- you understand that whenever parties do not agree on matters that are spelled out in the most recent custodial order, that the default position is whatever is in that order.

A I don't agree with that.

Q Okay. So then how does it wor -- in your mind, how does it work -- how are parties able to make changes to custodial orders?

Mean, it sounds like we're talking theoretical. So it -- you may have a custodial order and it doesn't cover all of the issues. And so if there's an order that doesn't cover all of the issues, which many orders don't, then the -- the goal would be to try to reach an -- an agreement with respect to the other party, depending on the nature of the custodial relationship. But overarching, the -- the idea here is that you should always do what's in the best interest --

- O Yes.
- A -- of the kids.
- Q But if this -- if there's a -- in your opinion -- in

it's necessary -- well, outside of exigent circumstances, the

Yes. Yes. Absent an agreement between the parties,

23

24

Q

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

parties either must come to an agreement or you must obtain an order of the court to change provisions in a custodial order.

So exigent circumstances, emergency circumstances, things that are out of -- out of the ordinary, I think generally that's right.

Okay. Now -- now isn't it true in August 2019 you told Christina that you were going to keep the kids in your care until you -- until the two of you could negotiate alternative arrangements or the Court makes a -- an order?

I didn't tell her that. I sent an email to her. There was a number of emails that were exchanged over a two week period of time. So there are facts and circumstance that qualify that statement.

0 Okay.

The -- the idea was is that Christina and I could Α work on a alteration to the stipulation and order without court involvement. Maybe I should have chosen my words more carefully.

Now, isn't it true that in August 2019 Christina indicated that she was not -- Ms. Calderon indicated that she was not willing to modify the custodial order?

I think the answer to that is no because she Α modified the custodial order that we amended via the email.

Okay. Okay. So -- so you're saying that -- okay.

Okay. On -- did you become aware of an incident on August

Okay. Then let's -- let's start at the beginning.

23

24

Christina and Mia on August the 13th, I think the answer to

If we're talking about the physical fight between

23

sometime around this Starbucks incident that you -- in June of

23

2.4

2019?

Okay.

1 Α But what --2 Okay. Did you testify earlier this morning 3 regarding instructing the kids on de-escalation while at 4 Christina's home? 5 Absolutely. And as a general --0 Okay. 6 7 -- matter, just to be clear --8 Q Okay. Now ---- she should fol -- the kids --9 10 0 Okay. -- should follow Christina's directions. 11 12 Okay. Now in your opinion -- in your opinion, did Mia comply or follow Christina's directions on August 13th, 13 14 2019 when she refused to get off the phone? 15 I don't know how much time elapsed between her --16 Christina's request and Mia ending the phone call, but the --17 the short answer is, she complied because she ended the call. 18 0 Okay. 19 The issue is, is whether she did it in the time that 2.0 Christina wanted her to do it. 21

Q Now I believe -- do you recall Mia's testimony from January 23rd, 2020 that Christina made multiple requests for her to get off the phone?

22

23

24

A I -- I believe she -- she made that represent --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yes. Now generally, do you expect your children to

Generally, yes.

And if you requested Mia to get off the phone, how many requests do you think -- would you normally have to make before she would get off the phone?

Normally I don't have to make that request, but if I did make the request, I would not expect to make a second or a third request.

Okay. And -- and if you had to make multiple requests that Mia get off the phone, would there be some kind of sanction or punishment coming from you?

Depends on who she's talking to.

Okay. So it more depends on who she's talking to as opposed to her not following your instructions before you'd institute some kind of --

Well --

-- punishment; is that correct?

-- if she was talking to her mom on the phone and ${\tt I}$ asked her to get off the phone, I -- I think that Christina would disagree if I required her to disconnect the call in the middle of the conversation.

Now if you had to make multiple requests for Mia to

24

generally --

Q

Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Okay. And if there were multiple requests being made, at that point, do you think that the -- if you have to make multiple requests to get your child to comply with a simple instruction, do you think a punishment or a sanction

should issue?

A If I'm issuing multiple -- if I make multiple requests to my children to do something and they don't do something, then there might be consequences. But I --

Q Okay.

A -- I --

Q Now --

A -- only make those types of requests if -- if it's rational.

Q Okay. And so do you find it not to be rational to ask your child to get off the phone late at night?

A Just depends on the circumstances. Depends on the child, it depends on the --

Q Is -- is it -- would it be an irrational request to -- for a parent to ask a child to get off the phone pretty much at any time?

A Irrational? I mean, it's -- for me, I like -- I like to examine each set of facts and circumstances individually and -- and also with respect to the child. I don't impose rules or make requests that don't have a rational basis. And so why would I want -- can you give me an example as to why I would want the child off the phone?

Q How about this. Is it normal for parents to request or tell the kids to get off the phone? Do you find that to be

unusual? 2 I don't think it's unusual for parents to tell their children to get off --3 4 Q Okay. 5 -- the phone. Now is it -- would it be unusual for a parent to 6 7 tell a child to -- you're on the phone too late, get off, because -- on a school night? 9 That's not unusual for -- for most --10 Q Okay. 11 -- parents with regard to their kids. It's not 12 unusual. 13 Now -- now I believe you -- do you recall Mia's 14 testimony that after she got off the phone she went down the hall to confront her mother? 15 16 I don't remember the specific order of events and whether or not she communicated her intention was to confront 17 her mom, but I -- I do know that, if I recall correctly, she 18 19 left her room after being told to get off the phone.

Q Okay. Do you recall her testifying that she was upset and she went to go find her mother in the, I believe the laundry -- upstairs laundry room?

20

21

23

24

A Well, they live in a single story, so there wouldn't be --

Q Okay.

A -- it's not upstairs. But what I recall is that -- and I could be mistaken, but I don't think that I am -- was that -- is that Mia didn't respond to Christina's request to get off the phone bec -- and -- and that was anger. I think what she was angry about was Christina's threat to call or contact Joey's parents in order to get her off the phone.

- Q Okay.
- A That's what I recall.
- Q And so do -- is that something you find unusual, for the parents of teenagers who are dating to be in contact and try to coordinate issues regarding the dating teenagers?

A I don't think it's unusual for parents of kids to communicate with other parents of kids. But if you don't have any relationship with the -- those parents, I find it personally unusual for a parent to reach out at 11:00 at night seeking the assistance of that party to address a -- a parenting matter in your home. To me, that's unusual.

Q So it would be unusual to call someone and say -- another parent and say, hey, I don't want your kid on the phone calling my house at 11:00 at night?

A But I don't -- I don't think that's the issue though, right?

Q Would it be -- well, that's not the question. Would

A Christina's communicated it to me.

20

21

22

23

24

Joey's parents?

Q Okay. And do you know whether she had ever talked to Joey's parents prior to August 2019?

A I don't know the answer to that. I don't -- it's

possible she did. 1 Okay. So on what level are you privy to the 2 relationship between Ms. Calderon and Joey's parents? To the extent that Christina has communicated that 4 5 she doesn't know their family very well. 6 Okay. 7 And I think she put that in an email if -- if my recollection is right, that she didn't know the family very well and she was concerned about, you know, potential dating issues. 10 11 Now was that -- would that email be part of your packet, Exhibit X? 12 13 I think it's part of yours. 14 Actually, look -- actually, that was a part of your 15 packet exhibit. 16 I'd have to look at every page to tell you that. can, if you'd like, but I -- I don't --17 You don't --18 -- recall. 19 20 Okay. Now do you recall from reviewing Mia's 21 testimony from January 2020 who initiated the physical 22 contact? 23 I'm -- which time? Α 24 In, I'm sorry, August 2019.

1 Okay. So --Α 2 I'll ask the question, do you recall, after reviewing Mia's testimony, do you recall her statements on who 3 initiated the contact, physical contact between her and her 4 5 mother on August 13th, 2019? If -- if my recollection is right, I think she 6 7 indicated during her testimony that -- that she may have had 8 physical contact with Christina first. 9 Q Okay. Only to prevent --10 11 Q Okay. Now --12 -- being struck by --Α 13 Q -- do you believe --14 -- by Christina because she raised her hand. Α 15 So --0 16 Does that sound familiar? Α 17 So you said that -- so your recollection, that Mia 18 admitted that she was the one that initiated physical contact; 19 yes or no? 20 Α I think she said I -- I pushed --Yes or no --21 0

A -- her away.

22

23

24

 ${\tt Q}$ -- sir. Is it your recollection that Mia admitted that she was the one who initiated physical contact with her

mother on August 13th, 2019. 1 2 She did admit that. 3 Okay. Now after reviewing Mia's testimony from 4 August -- about the August 13, 2019 incident, did she put into 5 practice what you talked to her about de-escalation? I'm not sure I understand your question. 7 Okay. At some point in 2019, you talked to Mia 0 8 about ways to de-escalate situations. 9 Α I've been talking to Mia and Ethan about de-escalation for --10 11 Yes --12 -- several years. Yes or no. In 2019, you had a conversation with Mia 13 14 regarding de-escalation. 15 Α I'm sure I did. 16 And you testified to that earlier today; is that 17 correct? 18 A That I've had that conversation with Mia in --19 In 2000 --20 -- 2019? I don't remember if I specified a date in 21 my testimony, but I'll tell you, just for the record, I've 22 generally communicated that to the kids in the last several 23 years.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

I believe we talked about that just a few minutes

ago, about the family meeting, at some time in a family meeting you -- and that occurred, I believe, in June of 2019. May or June of 2019 at Starbucks, you had conversation with the children regarding de-escalation.

A Okay. We -- the children weren't present at the meeting at Starbucks, so I didn't have --

- A -- a conversation with them at the --
- A -- Starbucks meeting with the children. We had a separate family meeting. And I don't recall during that meeting having a conversation about de-escalation in front of Christina. I think the --
- Q I didn't ask you whether you had one in front of Christina, I asked did you have one with the children regarding de-escalation; yes or no.
 - A When and where? And I'm not trying to be --
- 18 | O In 2 --

19

22

23

- A -- difficult, I'm try --
- Q In 2019. Anytime in 2019, have you had a conversation with the children regarding de-escalation?
 - A Yes. I'm sure I have.
 - Q So -- and after reviewing Mia's testimony from the August 2000 -- August 2019 incident, was that an example of

de-escalation in your opinion? 1 2 Α No. 3 Okay. Did Mia behave, on August 13th, 2019, in a 4 way that you find to be appropriate? 5 It's difficult to answer. It think there are aspects of what she did --7 0 Yes or no. 8 -- that were --9 Yes or no. Was her -- her behavior, as she 10 testified to in January of 2 -- in January of this year, was the behavior she testified to, behavior that you personally 11 12 deemed to be appropriate? 13 I -- I don't condone physical violence at all. 14 Okay. And even outside of the physical vi --Q 1.5 aspects of it, was the rest of her behavior, behavior -- in 16 August of 2019 -- behavior that you would find appropriate for 17 Mia? I don't agree with Mia pouring soap down the sink, 18 Α 19 if that's what you're referring to. 20 No, I'm -- I'm talk -- we haven't got to that yet. 21 I'm talking about --22 Α Okay. 23 -- the events of August 13th, 2019. 0 24 Α Okay.

Q Her testimony about how she behaved on that day. Do you find, even outside the physical aspects of it, do you find the rest of her behavior on that day appropriate?

A It's a tough one. I mean, if you have a parent who's provoking you, even though I've advised de-escalation, I'm not in the moment. So if you have a parent that's laughing at you and threatening you, that person may respond in a way that -- that -- that isn't consistent with --

Q So --

A -- de-escalation.

Q And in Mia's testimony about -- regarding August 13th, 2019 incident, did she testify that she was laughed at during that -- that Ms. Calderon laughed at her at any time during the incident?

A I'm not sure anybody asked her that question, but it's what --

Q Yes or no. Did she testify at anytime during the incident Ms. Calderon laughed at her?

A I don't know. I'd have to look at the -- the transcript.

 $\,$ Q $\,$ Do -- do you recall whether she testified during that August 13th, 2019 incident that Ms. Calderon in any way taunted her?

A I don't recall if she testified to that at all.

phone, the general rule is they should get off the phone. I

think that you should give the child an opportunity to finish the call unless there's some type of an emergency. If the child doesn't get off the phone, then I think it's perfectly appropriate for the parent to tell the child that you need to get off the phone. And if the child still doesn't after a period of time, you know, maybe there's some consequence, right.

Q So how many times did you have to tell a child to get off the phone before they actually get off the phone, before you institute consequences?

A That doesn't happen in my house.

Q I'm you theoretically. How many times do you believe that a parent should have to tell a child to get off the phone.

MR. SMITH: I don't think this is an appropriate question. It calls for his opinion about something that he's --

THE COURT: Look --

MR. SMITH: -- already testified --

THE COURT: -- they're going to be arguing that his decision making wasn't reasonable. Okay. So his perspective is -- is part of the picture, okay. And so he can answer the question one way or another. It's really -- it's -- it really doesn't go to whether or not the Court is going to find that

Mom's actions are -- were reasonable or not reasonable. Because it doesn't -- I mean, it's his perception. Okay. I'm 2 going to allow him to answer the question. 3 Do you remember the question? 4 5 THE WITNESS: If you could just read --6 THE COURT: Just then he asked you how many times 7 you think would be a reasonable amount to tell a child to get off the phone, right? 9 MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah. 10 THE WITNESS: Three to five times. 11 MR. GRIGSBY: Okay. 12 THE WITNESS: Depending on the circumstances. BY MR. GRIGSBY: 13 14 Okay. Now if that incident happened in your home, 15 the way Mia related it in court, would she have been punished? 16 It never would have happened in my house. 17 I'm asking the question, if that incident happened 18 the way she testified to it in court, would she have been 19 punished; yes or no? 20 It wouldn't have happened. I -- the premise or 21 assumption upon which the question is based --22 Yes -- do you -- if it happened that way in your 23 home, would she have been punished; yes or no?

I mean, if -- if I called --

2.4

Α

returned -- she went to your house a couple days later; is

that correct? 1 2 I don't know if it was a couple of days, but whenever the exchange --3 4 Q So you --5 -- was scheduled to occur she --After that event, you followed the normal custodial 6 7 schedule, the time share; is that correct? 8 That's correct. A 9 Okay. Now -- so when -- when she was at your house, 10 did anything happen to cause you to believe that Mia or Ethan 11 would be in danger, be in danger of their physical state, 12 would be in peril if they returned to Ms. Calderon's home? 13 Yes. 14 What happened after August 2014 to make you believe that these children would be in some kind of danger if they 15 16 returned to Ms. Calderon's home? 17 Mia reported that there were two physical 18 altercations, one in May and one in August. 19 But didn't you know about -- you knew about both --20 both of those alleged incidents; is that correct? 21 Α I did, but you're asking what hap --You knew about those -- you knew about --22 2.3 А That's correct. 24 -- on August 14, 2019.

1	
2	
3	cau
4	in
5	
6	cau
7	in
8	
9	que
10	any
11	wou
12	Cal
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Aug
111	I

19

20

21

22

23

70	-	-1 ' -1	
/\	- 1	α	
A		did	

- Q So those wouldn't be new things that would have caused you to believe that the children would be in danger or in peril; is that correct?
- A Those instances are examples of matters which would cause me to believe that the -- the children could be or are in harm.
- Q But you said -- I believe you just answered my question -- was after August 14th, 2019, did you learn of anything that made you believe the children -- their safety would be in peril or they'd be in danger returning to Ms. Calderon's home and you said yes. Do you recall that?
 - A I do. And it -- and -- and --
 - Q So what new things --
 - A -- her communicat --
- Q What new thing did you learn subsequent to August 14th, 2019 that caused you to believe these children would be in danger if they returned to her home?
- A Communication by Mia that they were in two physical fights and my -- my conclusion on the basis of that communication that there could be others --
 - Q So --
 - A -- in the future.
 - Q -- those -- those were the -- but those were the --

me, but if you tell me that that's correct, I -- I don't have

Some --

	A	they	
2	Q	Sometime sometime after August 15th, 2019,	
3	correct	?	
4	A	They could be.	
5	Q	Okay. And did you make a report to CPS that these	
6	childre	n would be in danger?	
7	A	I did not make a report to CPS.	
8	Q	You are a mandated reporter, are you not, as as	
9	an attorney and an officer of the court?		
10	A	As Christina is as well.	
11	Q	Yeah, I'm not asking about Ms. Calderon, I'm asking	
12	about yo	ou. Are you a mandated reporter?	
13	A	I am.	
14	Q	Okay. And if you personally felt these children	
15	were in	danger, didn't you have a legal obligation to report	
16	this?		
17	A	I mean, I guess that's possible	
18	Q	Okay.	
19	А	that there was an obligation but I I don't	
20	know wha	at the consequence of deciding not to.	
21	Q	Well, okay.	
22	А	I mean, I think that's a	
23	Q	Didn't ask about	
24	A	parents decision.	

parties to resolve these issues.

Q Okay. Now, so you didn't call law enforcement, you didn't -- and you didn't file a motion. So but you did try to obtain Ms. Calderon's consent to modify the custodial schedule, correct?

A I suggested that we meet and try to work something

Q Oh. Now I'm looking at I guess your Exhibit X, be number 001006. In that you have an email I believe that you sent on August 21st, 2019. I'll direct you to the end of that where you say let me know if you're willing to speak rather than meet. From now on, I intend to keep the kids in my care until we negotiate alternative agran -- arrangements or the Court orders otherwise. Now, do you recall sending that email?

A I do recall sending that email in the context of the two weeks --

- A -- of correspondence that were exchanged.
- Q Now -- so at least at that point, on August 21st, you had made -- at that point you had made a decision that you were not going to return the kids to Ms. Calderon; is that correct?
 - A That's not correct.

22

23

24

Q I believe what you said, that you're going -- you

At any point did you tell the children they had to

1 Q You witnessed it first hand. 2 That's right. Α And you -- you --3 0 With respect to Mia --4 Α 5 You just said ---- anyway. 6 7 0 You just said you told the children you -- they can't stay, you guys can't stay here --9 That's right. Α -- at my house until my next custodial period. 10 11 Α I didn't use those words, but I indicate that they 12 needed to go. Somehow you indicated that they couldn't stay 13 0 14 there --15 Α That's right. 16 Q -- they had to go. That's right. 17 Α 18 But somehow they ended up still staying with you. Q 19 Α Correct. 20 And what I'm asking is how that happened, after you 21 told them you can't stay here, that they ended up still 22 staying there. 23 They decided to stay and I didn't do anything

24

further than ask them to go.

Since August two thousand nine -- 13th, 2019 --

Something to that effect. I think that was her --

know, hot temperatures. So like she doesn't do well when it's -- it's really hot. She's the type of child that she runs the air conditioner during the -- the winter. And so like if it's hot you could -- like she's not -- she's not comfortable, she's not --

So --

22

23

-- happy. It's --

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

-- let me make the question clear. My question is why would any parent owe any child an explanation as to why they're not willing to alter the temperature in their home.

If you understand that your child has an issue with respect to the temperature and it affects them negatively, I don't think it's unreasonable to provide that explanation.

Okay. Now did you recall Mia's testimony where she testifies her response to not getting an explanation was to start dumping soap down the drain?

I don't know what -- if that was an immediate response.

Okay. But you recall that that's what she started doing, dumping soap down the drain, her testimony?

I -- I re -- I recall that during the incident she had dumped soap down the drain.

Okay. Now do you think it was inappropriate for Ms. Calderon to try to stop Mia from dumping soap down the drain?

Did she? I -- I don't know if she did or she А didn't. It wouldn't be --

Do you recall the te -- do you recall the testimony, Mia's testimony, regarding Ms. Calderon's attempts to stop her from dumping the soap down the drain?

- I don't recall that testimony. 1 2 Do you recall her testimony regarding Ms. Calderon 3 taking her cell phone? Not specifically. 4 Okay. Now if those event did happen, if Ms. 5 Calderon did try to stop her from dumping soap down the drain, 6 7 do you find those actions to be inappropriate? 8 I think in theory, separate and apart from the dynamics, you wouldn't accept your child pouring soap down the 10 drain. 11 Okay. Do you think it's appropriate for a parent to 12 take the child's cell phone when they're not behaving up to 13 their standards? 14 I think that it's inappropriate to punish a child if 15 you know your child has a predisposition to temperature 16 issues, given her anxiety and sensory processing disorders, to 17 not adjust the temperature --18 0 I'm --19 -- so that she's comfortable --
- 20 Q Sorry, sir --

21

22

23

- A -- and then --
- Q -- that wasn't the question.
- A -- punish her. I don't --
 - Q Question is about --

1	A not take the		
2	Q there's no question posed.		
3	A Understood.		
4	Q The kids's cell phones are in your name; is that		
5	correct?		
6	A I believe they're in Amy's name, my wife.		
7	Q Your okay. Does Christina has access to the		
8	kids' cell phones accounts?		
9	A The billing accounts?		
10	Q Is she sorry. Is she authorized to make change	es	
11	to the kids' accounts?		
12	A The billing accounts?		
13	Q No, the the kids' cell phones accounts where s	he	
14	can start and stop service.		
15	A She doesn't have authorization to do that.		
16	Q Has she ever requested authorization for you to		
17	exert greater control over the kids' cell phones?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q And did you grant her that ability?		
20	A No.		
21	Q Is there a reason why you refused to comply with		
22	that request?		
23	A I don't have the ability to, or do I feel		
24	comfortable, adding her as an authorized user to my wife's		

cell phone account. 1 Now I believe you also testified earlier -- so do 3 you monitor the kids' social media accounts? 4 Amy does, but I don't personally. Α 5 Okay. Do you have the passwords to the kids' social 6 media accounts? 7 I can get them, but I don't have them. 8 So it would be no, you don't have -- you don't the passwords. 10 A Not -- not with me, no. 11 Do you -- do you know them? 12 I couldn't tell you what they are if you ask me 13 right now, no. 14 Okay. When was the last time you logged in to check 15 the kids' social media accounts? 16 I don't. Amy generally monitors those things. 17 Okay. So do you know when the last time Amy logged in to monitor the kids' social media accounts? 18 19 Amy's only going to bring a matter to my attention if there's a problem, so --20 21 So does that mean --22 -- I don't know the answer to that. Α 23 0 So that means, no, you don't know. 24 A She would only bring it up if there was a problem.

Probably. 1 Α 2 Or video. 3 And don't know if she was caught viewing it or whether or not Christina accessed her iPad and saw history. 5 don't know the -- the details --6 Q Okay. 7 -- so I can't tell you. Now are you aware whether -- as to whether Mia 8 engages in any other inappropriate sexual type conduct on her 10 iPad? I'm not aware of that. 11 12 Okay. Have you ever checked her Facetime logs or 13 Snapchat? 14 Α No. In fact --15 Q Okay. 16 -- I didn't eve -- I wasn't even aware of the 17 specific issue concerning the -- the iPad. It wasn't 18 communicated to me by Christina until I reviewed the 19 testimony. 20 Okay. Now if -- but if you had actually followed up 21 and checked any of these things, you would have known about 22 whatever's going on. I don't think that that's accurate. 23

So if you had checked the iPad, the log -- the

24

Α

And so if --

_

the ability to monitor their usage of their devices and their time online if you don't haven't any parental controls and you don't check the devices?

A If I left court today and went home and asked Mia or Ethan to give me their phone and give me their password with respect to social media and immediately went to, you know, either their communication or search history then I would know.

Q Okay. And --

A I could do that; I don't. I -- I don't have any reason to do that. There -- there isn't --

O So --

A -- an issue. These kids are good kids. A/B students.

Q So --

A There's no reason.

Q So it's your opinion, just -- and give -- given the current times that -- parents -- that parents should not always at least monitor their kids' internet usage and social media?

A Depends on the kids, but I think that the kids have some level to a right to privacy on those issues. If I became concerned about a specific event or a circumstance, I might revisit my approach.

1 Q Okay. 2 If a parent had contact with me and said, hey look, he's a nude picture of your son or your daughter, then I might 3 say my plan isn't working. But to date, that hasn't happened. 4 5 Nobody has communicated to me --So --6 7 -- that either children have communicated inappropriately via social media, et cetera. No third 9 parties. Not the school, not parents, not anybody. 10 And so you would expect other people to communicate 11 this information to you instead of you --12 Α No. 13 -- monitoring it --0 14 Α I don't --15 -- yourself; is that correct? 16 Α -- expect them to, but if they had a concern about 17 my child acting inappropriately and they reach out to me, then 18 I might be aware of it. 19 Okay. Now when did you first become aware that 20 Chris -- that Mia was dating Joey? 21 Sometime in 2019. Α 22 Okay. And how did you become aware of that? 23 Α Mia told me.

24

Q

Okay. And --

1	MR. GRIGSBY: Court's indulgence for a second.
2	(COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
3	MR. GRIGSBY: Okay.
4	THE WITNESS: Excuse me, Judge. Judge, would do
5	you have any water or
6	THE COURT: Yeah, I I
7	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry to ask. That's okay.
8	THE COURT: No, I'm sorry, I we don't.
9	THE WITNESS: No problem. Fair. Usually they have
10	the cups in the other courtroom.
11	THE COURT: Yeah, I know. It's called a blunt
12	instrument. They tell us not to put those out in the
13	courtrooms anymore.
14	THE WITNESS: Oh. I forgot we're in family court.
15	Sorry. Sorry.
16	THE COURT: All right. Go on.
17	MR. GRIGSBY: Okay. Your Honor, I'm sorry.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	BY MR. GRIGSBY:
20	Q Let's get back to the the May 2019 incident. I
21	believe you said Mia called you and you Mia called you and
22	you came over to Christina's house; is that correct?
23	A She she called and texted both Amy and I. We
24	were at a restaurant and we immediately went to Christina's

1 house. 2 Okay. Now I believe your testimony earlier is that you took Mia with you over Ms. Calderon's objections; is that correct? 4 5 Α Mia got into the car. She indicated she didn't want 6 me to go, but I left over Christina's objection. 7 Okay. Did you tell Mia to get out of the car? I did not. 8 9 So at that time, what authorized you to take Mia 10 over Christina's objection? 11 12

A Nothing authorized me to do it other than concern that they might have an additional fight. I didn't think that -- I didn't think that removing Mia from the car at the same time I was investigating what happened in terms of the physical altercation was in Mia's best interest.

Q Okay. Now do you -- in your opinion, could your actions that day had the effect of underi -- undermining Ms. Calderon's authority when you took Mia out of there despite Ms. -- over Ms. Calderon's objections?

A I don't think so.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Okay. Now I believe the next day you said --
- A I mean, Mia wasn't present when Christina --
- Q I believe the next day --
- A -- communicating to me that --

or -- or your wife and asked for assistance in getting Mia's

2.4

MR. SMITH: Objection. Foundation as to time.

THE COURT: He -- anytime in 2019.

23

1 BY MR. GRIGSBY: 2 2019. 3 The issue is, is that she may have --Yes or not. Did she. Yes or no. 4 0 5 Can you say it again? 6 Yes or no, at any time in 2019 did you comply with 7 Ms. Calderon's request to either help her retrieve Mia's cell phone or stop the service on Mia's cell phone? 9 I mean, I think I would answer that question yes in Α terms of helping because, you know --10 11 Q Okay. 12 -- while I've agreed to help her on those issues --13 0 So --14 -- she's later indicated it was okay --15 So can you -- can you --16 Α -- for Mia to return with her phone. 17 Can you relate to me a specific time that you recall 18 in 2019 where you helped Ms. Calderon either get Mia's cell 19 phone or stop the service? 20 Yeah, absolutely. So my general recollection of 21 these facts and circumstance go like this. There's a fight at 22 the house. Mia -- Christina in the last year is unable to 23 retrieve the cell phone.

So I'm asking about a specific incident --

Α I know --1 2 -- that you helped. 3 -- and I'm telling you. So --4 0 Let's just try -- try this. When was the incident 5 that you recall? When did it occur? It's happened multiple times. 6 7 Where you helped Ms. Calderon get the cell phone, 8 correct? I -- I --9 Α 10 So when was the first one that you remember in 2019? 11 Α So -- okay. So you're asking a lot of questions. 12 So the current question is --13 A· Yeah. 14 -- when was the first incident that you remember in 15 2019 where you helped Ms. Calderon retrieve Mia's cell phone 16 or stop the service? 17 Each and every time that she's asked me, I've assisted her. 18 19 So tell -- tell me the first one that you recall? 20 I don't. Α 21 Q How many --22 Α It's a --23 How many times --0 24 Α It's a general rule --

I didn't need to under those circumstances.

Q Okay. So out of those six to 12 instances you mentioned that when she requested that assistance, how many of those have you actually given the assistance requested and taken the cell phone or stopped the service?

A The -- the assistance that she requests is immediate, you know, termination of service or removal of the cell phone, and I'm not -- the kids are in her care. So as a practical matter, it's difficult for me, either in the middle of the night or in the morning or at night to drive to her house, enter her house, and remove the cell phone.

Q Do you have the ability to like log on the internet to the cell phone provider and stop the service to that phone?

A I'm sure there's a way that you can do that. I'm sure you can call and terminate the service, or cancel the account, or terminate the phone. I'm sure there's a variety of ways to do that. But I can tell you, each and --

Q Have you --

A -- every time that she's asked, she's changed her mind and said Mia could keep the phone. Every time.

Q Okay. Okay. So now it was your request that Ms. -- that Ms. Calderon entered family therapy with Mr. Ponzo; is that correct?

A It's not my request.

Q Did you request this Court that family therapy be

instituted in this --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Oh, I think the issue is time. She -- she wanted Nic to be the family therapist in 2015 and I agreed.

Q So, I mean, you're right. Let me rephrase this question. In 2019, did you come to this Court and -- with a request that Ms. Calderon engage in family therapy with Nic Ponzo?

A I didn't make the specific request as a matter of an order, to my recollection, but I did suggest in the filings that we work together and cooperate and resolve these issues through family therapy.

- Q Yes. So you don't recall a time --
- 13 A I don't asking the Court for --
 - O In the first --
 - A -- an order.

Q -- the first hearing in this matter, where you made a direct request to this Court that Ms. Calderon engage in family therapy with the kids and Mr. Ponzo.

A My recollection was, without looking at the pleadings, is that my co-counsel, lead counsel, Mr. Smith, made that oral request at the hearing and that your client stipulated that that was acceptable. That was my recollection.

Q Okay.

Has she engaged in more than two sessions since the

A It's possible. The -- the issue is, is with the schedule and the changes that are made to that schedule -- look, not keeping track of who's in session, whether it's a joint session or a partial session, whether Mia exited early and Ethan came in. I'm not keeping track of all that.

Q I'm -- I'm -- let's start this -- so you -- it's your testimony that you don't know whether Mia is engaged in even two sessions with Mr. Ponzo and Ms. Calderon --

A No, I --

Q -- subsequent to January 23rd, 2020.

A I know that she attended a therapy session that I took her to. I know -- I don't attend the therapy sessions, so I don't know if she's in the session and Christina's outside the session, or whether they're in the session together, or whether the kids are in the session. I'm -- I'm not involved in those specifics at the request of Christina.

Q Okay. So how many sessions subsequent to January 20, 2000 -- sorry, January 23rd, 2020, have you personally taken Mia to?

- A I mean, my recollection is at least a couple.
- Q Would it --
- A I know Amy's --
- Q Would it surprise you --

Α

24

schedule often don't line up with Ms. Calderon's schedule; is

that correct?

A That's -- that's not correct. The -- the issue is, is that Christina dictates the times that she's available after work at 5:30 and then we have to check with Nic's availability, Nic Ponzo's availability, based on his schedule, and then also coordinate with mine and the children's schedules. So there's a lot going on.

Q Okay. So isn't it more important for the kids to have the sessions with Ms. Calderon than to attend their extracurricular activities?

A If they're productive, I think it is important.

Q Okay. So you're qualifying that with if they're productive. Who decides if those sessions are productive?

A Nic Ponzo.

Q So when -- and has there been any indication that the sessions have not been productive?

MR. SMITH: Objection. Foundation --

THE COURT: Yeah, and he --

MR. SMITH: -- and privilege.

THE COURT: Look, it calls for speculation. There's no way for him to answer that question. He doesn't have any knowledge. Now if you're asking him whether or not he observed anything in the children that he sees as to some sort of relationship between how they're doing and the counseling,

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 you can ask him that.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. GRIGSBY: Actually, what I'm trying to get at is, he said that -- that her -- it would only be important than the extra -- than the extracurricular activities, if the sessions were productive.

THE COURT: Well, I -- you know, yeah, I -- that's -- I -- I mean, you can point out that he qualified it, but he basically said we have his schedule, we have Mom's schedule, we have the kids' schedule, and we have Ponzo's schedule that need to be coordinated in order to get a session.

MR. GRIGSBY: Okay.

THE COURT: Right?

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah. So how about this.

THE COURT: Yeah.

BY MR. GRIGSBY:

Q If they -- if Mom's schedule and Ponzo's schedule line up, do you think it's in the kids' best interest to miss basketball or an extracurricular activity to make it to a session with Mom?

A If that's the only time that she can meet and that's the only time that Nic can meet, then I think I should work with the kids to try to accommodate that.

Q Now since January of 2020, how many times have the kids have missed extracurricular activities so they could meet

A We've generally accommodated those, so either they left an activity earlier, or we came directly from an activity, or the kids switched their sessions. So if it was scheduled for Mia and Mia had choir rehearsal but Ethan is available, then we didn't cancel therapy, then Ethan went to the therapy appointment. So, you know, we try to do everything that we can to make it work.

Q Okay. Have there ever been any times where you conditioned Ms. Calderon -- attempted to condition

Ms. Calderon seeing the children on her giving you a -- some type of legal concession?

A Not that I recall.

Q For example, did you at one time request that you -- state that you would allow Ms. Calderon to take Mia to get her nails done if she gave some other concession?

A No, I did not.

Q Okay. Now since the kids have been refusing to go to their mother's house, have they been allowed to continue to engage in their extracurricular activities?

A Generally, yes.

Q Okay. Would prevent -- would precluding them from engaging in their extracurricular activities -- sorry, have you -- have you precluded them from engaging in any

extracurricular activities due to their refusal to see their 1 mother? 2 If -- if -- I testified this morning that in -- I 3 think after the first Donna's House incident I tested the theory of, you know, taking away the cell phone and not 6 allowing Ethan to play that weekend --7 Okay. -- but it didn't make a -- a difference. 8 Α 9 So how long did you take away the cell phone for? Twenty-four hours. 10 Α Okay. Now did you -- sorry. Did Mia go to 11 0 12 homecoming this year? 13 Yes, she did. Α 14 Sorry, sorry. I meant 2019. This school year, I 15 quess. 16 Yes. Α 17 When was the homecoming? In October. 18 Α Approximately. Yeah. 19 0 20 October, I think. Α 21 Q Okay. 22 Α That's when they generally are. I don't remember the date. 23

Okay. Now did you allow her to actually attend the

1	homecoming?				
2		A	Yes.		
3		Q	Did you now do you think it may have been		
4	effec	ctive	to take that take that away from her as a		
5	sanct	cion	for not following your directions to go see their		
6	mothe	er?			
7		A	No.		
8		Q	And why not?		
9		A	I don't think it's appropriate to punish the kids on		
10	these facts.				
11		Q	So it's your you don't think it's appropriate to		
12	punish the children for not doing what you're telling them to				
13	do?				
14		A	That's not what I said.		
15		Q	So are you are you telling them to go visit their		
16	mom?				
17		A	I am.		
18		Q	Okay. And are they refusing to visit your (sic)		
19	mom?				
20		A	They are not		
21		Q	Sorry, their mom.		
22		A	refusing		
23		Q	Sorry, not your mom. Their mom.		
24		А	They're not refusing to visit their mom. They see		

their mom weekly. 2 Are you telling them to go on -- you know, when it's 3 Christina's custodial time, are you telling the kids to go? 4 We haven't made an effort to follow the custodial schedule since the -- the commencement of the case. I think 5 initially we were moving to -- in that direction --6 7 So ---- but as a -- as a practical matter, like Christina 8 doesn't come to my house to pick the kids up. 10 So you said -- so you said you -- just for Q 11 clarification -- you said you haven't made an effort to follow the custodial schedule since the commencement of this case; is 12 13 that correct? 14 I said both of us. 15 Q Okay. 16 Christina and I -- like Christina doesn't come to my 17 house to --18 Q Sure. 19 -- pick the kids up as she's required to do every 20 other week at 6:00. 21 Yeah. 22 Α She's not there. 23 Yes. Now these are --Q

I don't expect her to be there, but she's not there.

24

Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

A And she doesn't request weekly to have the kids available at 6:00. We've exchanged some emails when she's indicated she wanted to suddenly start enforcing the order, but that was maybe once or twice during the -- the pendency of the proceedings.

Q Now during the first court appearance, did this Court not order you to resume the normal visitation schedule?

A I think the Court ordered us to resume the normal visitation schedule which requires --

- Q Okay.
- A -- Christina also to do her part.
- Q Yes. And did Ms. Calderon show up to pick up the children at what would have been her next custodial time?
 - A I -- I don't recall.
- Q Okay. Do you recall her coming to your residence or showing up anytime subsequent to the start of this case to pick up the children?
 - A Once or twice.
- Q Okay.
 - A But she hasn't done that --
- 22 | Q So when --
 - A -- since then.
- 24 Q When was the last time you recall Ms. Calderon

showing up to pick up the children at her custodial time? 1 2 Sometime in September. Okay. And did you tell the children to go at that 3 time? 5 Α Absolutely. And did they obey your instructions? 6 0 7 They did not. Α And what was the punishment or sanction you imposed 8 Q 9 for them not following your instructions? 10 I think they're suffering the punishment right now. So --11 0 12 I don't think taking away their cell phones, 13 prohibiting them from extracurricular activities, or otherwise 14 restricting, you know, normal childhood activities and 15 participation in events is the appropriate answer in this 16 case. I just --17 Q So ---- I don't. 18 19 So would it fair to say you impose no sanction on 20 the children for disobeying your direct instructions? 21 Α No, that's not -- that's not true, because I -- I 22 just --23 Q So what --24 Α -- testified that in --

And while I still felt uncomfortable and awkward

24

Α

about it, it seems as though, based on the -- the direction from the Court, that that was an avenue that I should explore.

- Q Okay. So prior to -- prior to that, how may sa -- how many times or -- have the children refused to go on the parenting time with their mother that you had not imposed a punishment?
 - A Well, after Donna's House, Christina did --
 - Q No, prior to Donna's House.
 - A Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood. Go ahead.
- Q Yeah, prior to the -- the incident at Donna's House, how many times had the kids refused your direct instructions to go to their mother's house without you imposing a punishment?
 - A I didn't impose any punishment.
- Q Yes, how many times did that happen without you imposing a punishment prior to Donna's House.
- A Multiple times while we were trying to coordinate that exchange during the pendency of the action.
 - O Would it be more than five?
- A I don't know. I mean, if you -- if you give me a calendar and I can look and tell you, but I -- on the custodial time that is set forth in the stipulation and order, during the times scheduled for the exchange, in the weeks leading up and including the day of, I encouraged the children

1 to go. 2 Q Okay. Now --I did not --3 Α 4 Now do you --5 -- punish them. Now do you also -- do you recall Mia's testimony 6 7 that she called her mother by her first name? I recall that she's testified that she recently 8 9 called her by her first name. 10 Q Okay. Had you ever witnessed Mia do this? 11 I witnessed Mia doing that a couple of weeks ago. 12 Q Okay. 13 When I was picking the kids up from Christina's 14 house. 15 After viewing that testimony, did you go to Mia and tell her it was inappropriate to call her mother by her first 16 17 name? 18 Absolutely. 19 Did you impose any sanction? 20 No. 21 Did you let her know if she did it again in the 22 future that there would be a sanction? 23 I -- I told Mia that she should always refer to her mother by -- as her mother. 24

Q Okay.

2

A I -- I don't -- I don't -- I don't know if it's my role to punish her if she doesn't. But I did tell her that it's not appropriate, I don't agree with it, and -- and

So --

4

5

that --

0

0

Α

6

7

8

_

9

10

T (

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Okay.

haven't observed that --

A -- previously.

Q I thought you said that you talked to her after reviewing the video of the last hearing in January of 2020.

-- she -- but the issue is, is that --

daughter for disobeying your instructions; is that correct?

That's not what I said.

mother by her -- Mom, refer to her as Mother.

That's right.

heard her call her Christina.

So you don't know if it's your role to punish your

Well, you said that you instructed her to call her

And then you testified that a few weeks ago you

remembered was -- that happened two week -- happened two weeks

ago, and after she referred to her mom as Christina I talked

to Mia and I told her that I don't agree with it. But I

No, what I testified to is that the incident that I

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

I did talk to her. 1 2 So you talked to her about that after reviewing the 3 video. 4 No, I didn't talk to her specifically about that incident in the video. 5 6 0 Okav. 7 What I said is that I reviewed the testimony and she 8 talked to me about her experience. I didn't --9 Oh, so you --Q 10 And I said I didn't talk to her specifically about 11 the content of her testimony. 12 So you didn't talk to her after reviewing the video? 13 I just want to make sure I'm clear about --I did talk to her. 14 15 Q -- about calling her mother by her first name. 16 No, I didn't talk to her about her --Α 17 Okay. Q 18 Α -- testimony. 19 And it's your testimony you don't feel it's your 20 place to basically punish her for doing something like that. 21 Is it your client's position that after --22 I'm asking you --0 23 -- reviewing the testimony I should --Α 24 Sir --0

Τ.	A punish her:
2	Q I get to ask the questions, not you; don't I?
3	THE COURT: Yeah, look, you know how this works.
4	This is cross examination. If you it will go a lot quicker
5	if you'll just answer the question instead of trying to
6	explain every answer that you give.
7	THE WITNESS: Understood.
8	THE COURT: Ask your question again, please.
9	BY MR. GRIGSBY:
10	Q Okay. So is so is it your position that as a
11	parent you should not it's not your responsibility to
12	punish Mia for disrespectful disrespectful actions towards
13	her mother?
14	A No, I I would I would punish Mia if I had
15	observed her being disrespectful to her mother.
16	Q Okay. Now do you find Mia calling Chris
17	Ms. Calderon by her first name to be disrespectful?
18	A I do.
19	Q Okay. And you said that you observed recently
20	observed Mia doing this; is that correct?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And
23	THE COURT: This has all been asked and answered.
24	His corrective action of counseling his child is a form of

discipline.

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah.

THE COURT: It's a form of addressing it. His answer should be no when you say are you intending to do any other discipline.

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah.

THE COURT: Did you do any other discipline. It should be no, clearly no, correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's how it works. You ask the question, you get an answer, and you go. He did already describe what you're running around and asking him again as to what he did. He's already described the manner of discipline. He thinks that's sufficient. All right. So don't ask the question again. Ask another question.

MR. GRIGSBY: Sure.

BY MR. GRIGSBY:

Q What other steps have you taken -- what other steps have you personally taken to facilitate the -- and encourage the children to visit Ms. Calderon since the last hearing?

A I regularly communicate with the children to spend time with their mom. I coordinate and have scheduled events, including dinner with Christina, breakfast with Christina.

I've made the children available every weekday beginning at

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

5:30 at my house if she wants to come by and see the kids. Whether she takes them or doesn't take them, she's welcome to come. I have coordinated the therapy appointments. You know, I've done what I --

Okay. Q

-- what I believe is the most under the circumstances that I can do in terms of, you know, making the kids generally available at 5:30 and scheduling those appointments. When she -- when she wants to see the kids and she wants to schedule it, I've accommodated that. I've picked up and dropped off the kids. I've not picked up and dropped off the kids based on her direction. Things change quite a bit --

- Q So --
- -- so I'm doing the best I can.
- Okay. Now was there a recent incident where Mia removed items from Ms. Calderon's home over her objection?
- Well, I would view that as -- as Mia's home too. But I would say -- I would say that I -- I went to pick Mia up. Mia got into the car with some bags and Christina followed Mia from the house to the car.
- Did Ms. Calderon indicate that she did not want Mia to take those bags?
 - Α She did indicate that to me.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And did you support Ms. Calderon by telling Mia to take those bags back in the house?

I did support. We actually had a discussion about the issue. I advised Christina that we should go through what items are there that she has concerns about. If they're not appropriate for her to take, then I instructed Mia to return them. We went through a complete inventory, including all the pictures. Christina kept what she wanted and agreed that Mia could take the rest. My advice to Christina was why are we having this dispute over things that I now saw as buttons for a boy band, a pair of jeans that Christina said that she bought for her use at her house. I just don't find all of that like material. And I told Christina that. I said, Christina, this is not material. Why are we arguing about what these things are.

So wouldn't -- you know, wouldn't a better and easier solution just be to say if your mom doesn't want you to take those items, don't take them from her house.

What if they're hers?

So -- and what do you define as -- as her -- as Mia's? Things that --

I mean, I think what we were talking about is a pair of jeans, which could easily have been removed, but Christina said it was okay for her to take. And buttons from a boy

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

24

Α

She does not.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Did -- was there ever any incident at Faith Lutheran

in regard to her retrieving the children from Faith Lutheran?

A There was -- there was an attempt by Christina to -- to remove the children during the school day, during which she characterized as her custodial time.

Q What did -- what happened on that day, to your knowledge? Were you present?

- Q Were -- were the police involved in that incident?
- A Not that I recall. There's wasn't police present.
- Q Did the school officials, were they involved in that incident?

A They were. There was a separate meeting with the principal and Christina. And I met separately with the principal, and I also met with the school counselor. Ethan and Mia also separately met with the school counselor. And Mia and Ethan separately met with Christina and the school principal.

Q And what was the result of all those meetings?

A The result of the meetings were the -- the -- the school released the kids subject to -- the school released the kids from school, or withdrew the kids from school that day, but indicated to Christina they weren't enforcing the order and the kids could go with whatever parent they elected.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)**VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

Have you done anything to inhibit her ability to go

23

24

Α

0

I'm not aware.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24 to the school and retrieve the children outside what you've testified?

I haven't done anything to prevent her from withdrawing the kids and taking the kids at school.

Do you know if Christina has taken any action with the police to retrieve the children at any time? Has she called the police to retrieve the children?

She did, on the first day that the custodial exchange did not occur, she called the -- the police department.

And were -- did the police direct the children to go with Christina?

No, they did not. They -- they appe -- they came to my house, they interviewed Mia, they spoke with Ethan over the telephone, because he was at baseball or leaving baseball, and concluded that the kids expressed a preference, that they -it was not their job to enforce court orders, and to the extent that Christina had a difference of opinion, then she needed to address it in the family court.

You and Christina continue to speak about the -whether or not the children -- you would modify the schedule, correct? I want to turn you to Exhibit X, Defendant 0011 --11006. This was the -- the reference that was made to -- by Ms. Grig -- Mr. Grigsby about your --

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

7:50, August 21st email that was quoted by Mr. Grigsby, is you

wrote on August 22nd; do you see that?

Okay. So the next writing that happens after the

Α

1

I do.

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-- see that? And then you wrote again at 10:40. guess again without no response.

I tried to call her.

Right. And then you're putting it in a difficult situation, electing not to speak, et cetera. And then you indicated about -- think about your family therapist and et cetera. And then let me know by 9:00 a.m. tomorrow how you want to proceed. And then Christina wrote back the following day. She says I'm picking up the children pursuant to the order, that's the letter of the law. And then you wrote -and then apparently you guys did have a phone call because --

Α Correct.

-- Christina wrote, about a couple hours later, saying that you called and that you have agreed that she would pick up the kids at 6:00.

Α Correct.

So if anything, your statement in your email of August 21st, last -- lasted for about, I don't know, 40 hours, right? During the time you tried to negotiate and call her and try to work something out.

Α Yes.

And ultimately agreed she could come pick up the Q children. Do I have that right?

Α That's correct.

Q All right. There is a -- in your email string there's a reference to a Metro Police Department, and actually a picture of the Metro Police Department card. Is this the card that you were referring to, or the message that you were referring to in regard to you previous answer about what the police had indicated?

A Yes. And they gave me that event card because they represented to me that Christina intended to call the police tomorrow or the next day at Ethan's baseball game. And they said just, you know, provide this card and reference number.

Q Did you try to explain to -- before filing your motion -- to Christina why you -- you thought it was appropriate to change the time period?

A We didn't get into the specifics of a permanent change. Initially I was just looking for some breathing room to figure out everything that happened and to try to address the dynamics. But, you know, the decision by Christina not to cooperate and -- and insist on, you know, the strict terms of the custody order put me in a difficult spot.

Q Defendant's 1010 in Exhibit X. You sent her an email. This was the night before you filed your motion. Can you tell me what you tried to impress upon Christina by sending that email? That's your August 25th, 7:37 email at the bottom of the page.

ŏ

A To focus on what's in the best interest of the kids, to consider their preferences and the matters related to the problems in their home and -- and not to involve third parties like the school and Ethan's baseball coach. That -- that, you know, if -- if -- if the police are looking at the situation, and they've interviewed Mia and interviewed me and have indicated Mia, at least in their view, is -- is perfectly happy, this is her expressed preference, she's, you know, expressed concerns about the physical altercations at her mother's house, that it's not their position to remove the -- the children, my suggestion to Christina was, well, why shouldn't we try to work this out.

Q The -- there was a series of questions asked by Mr. Grigsby that began with this phrase, what sanction did you impose on the children. Do you think it's appropriate to essentially punish your children into submission when they -- under these circumstances as presented in this case?

A No. I mean, I'm really concerned about their welfare. I'm also concerned about my relationship with them. I mean, I couldn't imagine, on the basis of Christina's request, punishing the children, particularly if she played a substantial or even a partial role in the physical conflict. What — what does that say as me as their father, as their protector, as a person who's supposed to be looking out for

Q Well, the children themselves have testified and indicated that -- both of them indicated that they -- they -- one indicated was struck by their mother on two occasions. The other indicated that he witnessed the child -- the fight between the mother. Yet Christina in this action, through her deposition and affidavits that have been presented to the Court, has suggested -- and I think using her words -- she's never laid a finger on the children. Did that play into your consideration as to how you went about either encouraging them to go or punishing them for not going?

A After the first instance when the parties were involved in that physical con -- confrontation and Christina and I met in her garage, she admitted that she was physical with Mia. She admitted that she hit Mia. She admitted that she was involved in -- in that incident. So the fact that that occurred had substantial consideration for me. I'm just not -- I'm not used to -- I'm just not used to parents, you know, fighting physically with their kids.

Q Final question for this round, Mr. Stipp. Did at any time, to your understanding, from the -- well, is the -- is your intent here to allow the children to do whatever they want, to undermine the chil -- the care of Christina?

A No, I -- I want the kids to have a good relationship

interest to not have significant parenting time with their

mother over the last eight months?

A It's not in their best interest.

Q Okay. And if this Court makes an order that, you know, Ms. Calderon gets makeup time for the time she missed, do you intend to comply with that order?

A I don't have another choice but to comply with the order.

Q Okay. And do you have a solution on how this relationship with Ms. Calderon -- between Ms. Calderon and the children can be improved?

A I do.

Q Okay. What would you like this Court to implement? What do you think this Court should implement to improve the relationship and to restore normal parenting with Ms.

Calderon?

A I don't -- I don't know if the Court has the power or authority to make those changes. The issue is, is that you have a parent who I believe has, for whatever reason, difficulty implementing parenting strategies that -- that -- that are conducive with respect to the kids. And I think that -- that the decision to engage in physical confrontation, the decision to exchange name calling is a -- is a bad decision. I think -- I think Christina has to make the decision to change. She has to make the decision to -- to change her

They've put themselves in the middle in terms of

24

Α

exercising their -- their preference.

Q So do you not believe it's putting the children in the middle when you give an -- an option outside of going to their mother's house?

A I -- I've never been confronted with these facts and circumstances before. I -- I don't know --

O Ye --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

- A -- what the protocol is --
- Q Yes or no. Do you think --
- A -- to address that issue.
- Q Yes or not. Do you feel it's putting the children in the middle to give them an option, an out, so they don't have to go to their mother's house when required by the -- by the order?
 - A What was your question?
- Q Do you feel that it's putting the children in -- in the middle and overly empowering them to give them an option so that they can avoid complying with the -- Mom's parenting time?
- 20 A No.
 - Q Okay. And do you think that -- do you think that your children in this case have been overly empowered by the ability to refuse to go to their mother's house?
- 24 A I do not.

acknowledged the -- the bad acts, whether she agrees with them

pitchers are a dangerous --2 MR. GRIGSBY: Yes. 3 THE COURT: -- item in the courtroom. But --4 MR. SMITH: I want to hear the story --5 THE COURT: -- usually it's more dangerous --6 MR. SMITH: -- that led to that determination. 7 THE COURT: -- for you than it is for me. They have 8 a longer reach to reach me. But we'll take a -- about a 9 seven, eight minute --10 MR. SMITH: That's all right. 11 THE COURT: -- recess. 12 (COURT AND COUNSEL CONFER) 13 (OFF RECORD) THE COURT: -- D-08-38903. Got an hour or so left 14 15 this afternoon. Based on the evidence presented so far, what 16 do you think, Mr. Smith, about calling Amy Stipp? Are you 17 going to call her? 18 MR. SMITH: I -- I can, Your Honor, but I would 19 prefer to just provide an offer of proof so we can try to get 20 through more of the trial by saying that she'll testify that 21 she has a good relationship with the children, that she's there in the ways that have been described by Mr. Stipp, that 22 she has -- she would confirm the statements that was made by

Mr. Stipp in relation to the meeting that were held between

23

_ _

the parties at Starbucks and the family meetings to try to support the -- you know, the progress with -- between Ms. Calderon and the children, and generally talk about what has occurred with the children consistent with what Mr. Stipp has observed.

not cumulative, then I will present her for -- as a direct witness. I would -- if -- even if the Court off -- would advise me of that, that it would be cumulative, I would reserve the right to call her that -- in the case that some of those subjects are disputed by Ms. Calderon or her witnesses in the case.

THE COURT: Yeah, I -- I don't know. I mean, I -- all of those offers of proof or all those lines have not been contradicted at all by either one of the kids or by your client. Now if they are going to be trying to lay the foundation for some sort of parental neglect because Dad delegates monitoring their electronic use to -- to his wife, then she's the real witness for that. And I don't know whether you want to call her just to talk about that issue. But you're not required to call her, but if we were looking at whether or not that information was relevant, that the --

MR. SMITH: Well, I'll --

THE COURT: -- topics of her relationship and

everything are relevant, but they haven't -- I mean, there isn't a possible way for me to make a finding on the evidence presented today or last session that would undermine those offers of proof as far as what you mentioned.

MR. SMITH: Well, I would say this, Your Honor.

Then with that acceptance of the offer of proof, in the one area that the Court had indicated that -- that -- in regard to the monitoring of social media, I could have her testimony for just a brief few minutes.

THE COURT: Well, you -- you took the parties' deposition, right?

MR. SMITH: I did.

THE COURT: Is there any evidence at all of any kind of chronic problems either at school or with the child concerning accessing improper sites or this one anecdote that Mia talked about as far as her iPad?

MR. SMITH: That is the only anecdote that came out at either of the depositions or other evidence that's been presented that I've reviewed.

THE COURT: Well, then it's not a big deal. I mean, in some cases, that's the issue.

MR. SMITH: Judge, if --

THE COURT: I --

MR. SMITH: -- if you believe that that's not

necessary, I will --1 2 THE COURT: Well, I mean --3 MR. SMITH: -- I will do this. THE COURT: -- maybe I should --4 5 MR. SMITH: I would --6 THE COURT: Maybe I should ask Mr. Grigsby. Are you 7 -- are you going to advancing some sort of argument that Dad 8 delegating a review of social media is a neglect, act of 9 neglect for him? 10 MR. GRIGSBY: No. I guess my argument that I'll be 11 asking about that is more of a best interest argument. It's contrary to the best interest not to view those things in this 12 13 -- in this modern age. 14 THE COURT: Well, I mean, I don't know. I mean, 15 okay, I suppose. But --16 MR. SMITH: Can --17 THE COURT: -- you know, the thing is, is that he's 18 not -- we're focusing so much on -- on how we can preserve a 19 joint custody arrangement or whether we change the joint custody arrangement. I mean, we still have her case hanging 20 21 out there, which is actually a request to change custody, 22 which is -- you know, that's going to be funny. But the -- I

mean, look, the -- the way that the Court looks at this is

that but for -- if at any time after the May incident, the

23

August incident, the court hearings in October, November,

January, whatever, the children had expressed to the Court any
intention to resume the current arrangement, we wouldn't be
sitting here, okay.

So the -- you know, the path -- the path to a change of custody where Mom would have custody would be a finding that Dad orchestrated all of this stuff, disregarding the testimony of both kids, disregarding his testimony, finding that Mom was completely justified in all of her actions concerning the kids, and determining that the preference, which is the best interest consideration related to 15 and almost a 13 year old right now, would be disregarded by the Court. Okay.

So I'm like sitting here going what is the -- what am I missing. So that's why I'm asking questions about whether not these other avenues are -- are really part of the case, because I haven't heard your case yet.

So I don't think that Amy Stipp needs to testify in your case, but you may need -- you need to have her, before the evidence closes, in case they put on a case that -- that emphasizes that.

MR. SMITH: Very good, Your Honor. We'll accept and we'll reserve our right to --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SMITH: -- call her in rebuttal.

THE COURT: All right. So we have -- are you resting then with that caveat?

MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Grigsby, do you have someone that you would like to start with, knowing that you just have an hour?

MR. GRIGSBY: And I guess that would be my issue,

Your Honor. I mean, I think in terms of a half day, I know I

can but I don't want to start -- you know, start with my

client and be able to finish because we only have an hour

left. Because I know you said you want to wrap this up around

4:00.

THE COURT: Then I'll -- I mean, because of the way the day went, you didn't really -- you don't want to have somebody sitting outside all day.

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes. I kind of had a feeling the day would go like this and --

THE COURT: All right. Well, let me -- can I -- I mean, because I think what you're saying is maybe we adjourn an hour early and set this over, but --

MR. GRIGSBY: Sure, yeah.

THE COURT: -- I want to talk to you about a recent filing that you guys made and try to get an understanding.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. SMITH: -- and I don't want those to be --

1 THE COURT: All right. Mia and Ethan have already 2 testified. MR. SMITH: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Donna Wilburn, she's got her own unique 4 5 problems in that, you know, maybe as a fact witness, but then 6 again, we have to look at the order and see how that works in. 7 Elena (ph) Calderon is --8 MS. FUJII: Christina's sister. 9 THE COURT: Right. I mean, a relation of hers 10 talking about observations in character, right. And then 11 Nicholas Petsas (ph), another character witness. He can 12 discuss observations concerning the relationship between Mom and kids. And Peter, another -- I mean, these are folks who 13 are all in the same class of witnesses. 14 MR. GRIGSBY: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: People who are related to the Plaintiff 17 but can testify concerning Mom's relationship and the kids. 18 MR. GRIGSBY: Yes, they -- they're all the same 19 testimonies. 20 THE COURT: Antonia. This would -- this would go 21 back to my comments I made at the beginning of the day, which 22 is pick your best ones.

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

MR. GRIGSBY: Yeah, but that's what I intend --

THE COURT: Because you can't have all those folks

23

1 testify. MR. GRIGSBY: That -- and that's what I intended on 2 doing. It was more --4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. GRIGSBY: -- of a reservation because we are --6 I didn't think we'd get done today. We don't know when the 7 next day will be set, so I picked the best one who's actually available --8 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. GRIGSBY: -- in the next day that's set. 11 THE COURT: Now this is a loaded question because 12 you got 15 people here and about six of them or eight of them 13 are not controversial. MS. FUJII: We filed a --14 15 THE COURT: I'm just saying, is this the first time, two days ago, that some of these people have been identified 17 or --18 MS. FUJII: No. 19 MR. GRIGSBY: No. 20 THE COURT: -- do you know about these guys already? 21 MR. SMITH: I knew about them on the last day of 22 discovery, Your Honor. 23 MR. GRIGSBY: Right. 24 THE COURT: All right. So -- and -- and --

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

1 MR.
2 THE
3 witnesses tall
4 fine. That's
5 so you expect
6 witnesses -7 MR.
8 THE
9 MR.
10 THE
11 three-hour?
12 MS.
13 THE

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

MR. SMITH: What's that?

THE COURT: -- the general discussion about fact witnesses talking about relationships with the kids and Mom is fine. That's all expected, sort of, you know. As far as -- so you expect to call your client and then whatever handful of witnesses --

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- in this class that you can get.

MR. GRIGSBY: One or two other witnesses, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So you really think you can do a three-hour?

MS. FUJII: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Then let's -- let's take a look. I don't have any heartburn about, you know, taking a breath after five hours of court testimony and having your client prepared to go straight through like he did.

MR. GRIGSBY: Yes.

THE COURT: And -- and so that's fine. Boy. The -- the options I have are Friday morning, the 20th. It looks like I have that available at 9:00 for a half day. That would be 10 days.

MR. SMITH: Friday morning the 20th.

THE COURT: Now, Kathy, I don't have anything in that day, will you just double-check because that was the -- I

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

gave a block for a settlement conference --1 2 THE CLERK: Settlement, yeah. 3 THE COURT: -- in another case and I think that 4 either went away or it's not being used. 5 THE CLERK: Okay. 6 MR. GRIGSBY: Sorry, we --7 THE COURT: Did she have a trial? 8 MR. GRIGSBY: We both have trials that day. THE COURT: Yeah, you're doing TPR's all day on 9 Fridays. 10 11 MR. GRIGSBY: And unfortunately her trial -- her 12 trial is with my wife, so I can't get my wife to cover my 13 trial. THE COURT: Well, I -- you know, I know -- I mean, 14 15 you guys have different duties and I do know that those 16 juvenile quys like to put their TPR trials on the Fridays --17 MS. FUJII: On Fridays. 18 THE COURT: -- because it's the --19 MS. CALDERON: Yeah. 2.0 THE COURT: -- least problem. So maybe we -- we 21 look at something else there. I -- I -- you know --22 MS. FUJII: Not every Friday. 23 THE COURT: -- let's deal with it. The next

opportunity that I have -- well, I guess I could put -- the

1 THE CLERK: March 26th. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 THE CLERK: 1:30. MR. SMITH: Your Honor, is -- do we get to know who 4 5 the witness is that they're going to call in the case. THE COURT: You know, not really. I mean, we're --6 7 you're going to know that they're starting out with Mom. you know, they're -- we're limited in time. I would -- the way I -- what I would say, I'm going to fire up 48.035. These 10 witnesses might offer relevant testimony, what do we got time 11 for, what's not a needless -- I mean, I'm going to challenge, 12 if we get tight on time, why it's not cumulative or needless. 13 Why do we have to have it. If they persuade me that it's 14 essential to her case, then I'd have to deal with it, okay. 1.5 If -- I mean, her testimony could easily eat up most of the 16 afternoon. Could, okay. 17. MR. SMITH: It could --THE COURT: Yeah. 18 19 MR. SMITH: -- but my concern is that these 20 witnesses were first named on the last day --21 THE COURT: Then -- then --22 MR. SMITH: -- of discovery. 23 THE COURT: Then the process is this. I got -- we

-- we're not -- we're not making rulings like this. If you --

coach -- call the coach -- call the coach and that traumatized

23

2.4

him and did this --

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT (**SEALED**) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

23

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

/s/ Kathleen Amand

Kathleen Amand

D-08-389203-Z STIPP 03/05/2020 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356