

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTINA CALDERON, F/K/A
CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP,
Appellant,
vs.
MITCHELL DAVID STIPP,
Respondent.

No. 81888

FILED

OCT 15 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondent has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file the fast track response. Once a party receives a telephonic extension of time to file a fast track response, further extensions of time to file that document are barred unless the moving party files a motion for an extension of time demonstrating extreme need or merit. NRAP 3E(f)(3). Respondent previously received a telephonic extension of time to file the fast track response. And respondent does not demonstrate extreme need or merit in support of a second extension of time. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Respondent shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve the fast track response. Failure to timely file and serve the fast track response may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 3E(i).

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature], C.J.

cc: The Grigsby Law Group
Law Office of Mitchell Stipp
Radford J. Smith, Chartered