2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2.7	

THOMACE WINNED
THOMAS E. WINNER
Nevada Bar No. 5168
CAITLIN J. LORELLI
Nevada Bar No. 14571
ANDREW D. SMITH
Nevada Bar No. 8890
WINNER & SHERROD
1117 South Rancho Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Phone (702) 243-7000
twinner@winnerfirm.com
clorelli@winnerfirm.com
asmith@winnerform.com
Attorneys for Real Party
in Interest Troy Burgess
, e

Electronically Filed Feb 26 2021 08:59 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TROY MOATS, an individual,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL
DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE
OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE
COUNTY OF CLARK AND THE
HONORABLE JUDGE ADRIANA
ESCOBAR,

Respondents,

TROY BURGESS,

Real Party in Interest

Docket No. 81912

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S MOTION TO EXTEND THE ANSWERING BRIEF DEADLINE

(Third Request)

Real Party in Interest Troy Burgess, by and through his attorneys of record, Thomas E. Winner, Caitlin J. Lorelli and Andrew D. Smith of the law firm Winner & Sherrod, hereby moves to extend the deadline for the filing of the Real Party in Interest's Answering Brief by 7 days, until March 5, 2021, pursuant to NRAP 31(b)(3).

Page 1 of 4

1325895.docx

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Real Party in Interest's Answering Brief was initially due January 13, 2021. That was moved to February 12, 2021, pursuant to an order granting the Respondent's first request for an extension. The due date was moved to February 26, 2021, in response to the Respondent's second request.

Under the extension sought by this motion, the Answering Brief will be due Friday, March 5, 2021.

This is the third extension sought by the Real Party in Interest. No previous requests for extensions have been denied.

NRAP 31(b)(3) states, "A motion for the extension of time for the filing of a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27." NRAP 26(b)(1) further requires a showing of good cause for the extension.

An extension is sought because after the first extension had requested, one of the lead attorneys working on Mr. Burgess' Answering Brief suffered a death in the family. It was anticipated on February 12 that a 14-day extension would be sufficient to compensate for the resulting leave of absence. Mr. Burgess' attorneys have been diligently working since requesting the second extension, but additional time is needed to complete the brief due to the complexity and importance of the issues presented.

The petition in this case asks the court to resolve a direct conflict between a statute and a rule of civil procedure. Two parties have filed briefs supporting the petitioner's position – the petitioner, and an amicus party.

The issue presented to the court involves issues of constitutional law, and the amicus party has presented a non-comprehensive survey of other states' handling of the issue. Responding to that requires a significant investment of time. This issue is important because it will clarify the manner in which Rule 35 exams may be conducted in the future in Nevada state courts.

Significant progress has been made on the Respondent's brief, and seven Page 2 of 4

WINNER & SHERROD A NEVADA LAW FIRM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

additional days will be sufficient.

If this extension is granted, the sum of all extensions granted to the Respondent will be 51 days.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2021.

WINNER & SHERROD

Thomas E. Winner Nevada Bar No. 5168 Caitlin J. Lorelli

Nevada Bar No. 14571

Andrew D. Smith

Nevada Bar No. 8890

1117 South Rancho Drive

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Tel: (702) 243-7000

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Troy Burgess

WINNER & SHERROD A NEVADA LAW FIRM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this 26th day of February, 2021, I electronically served the foregoing REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S MOTION TO EXTEND THE ANSWERING BRIEF DEADLINE (Second Request) via the Nevada Supreme Court's Eflex electronic filing and service system, addressed as follows:

Marjorie L. Hauf, Esq. Matthew G. Pfau, Esq. For the Petitioner

Tom W. Stewart, Esq. Micah S. Echols, Esq. For Amicus Party The Nevada Justice Association

I further certify that on this 12th day of February, 2021, I served a copy of this document on the following via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid:

Honorable Adrianna Escobar, District Court Judge Eighth Judicial District Court, Department XIV 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155 dept14lc@clarkcountycourts.us

/s/ Andrew D. Smith

An employee of WINNER & SHERROD