IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

TROY MOATS	Supreme Court Case No. 81912
Petitioner,	Electronically Filed Mar 12 2021 12:19 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
VS.	Clerk of Supreme Court
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK AND THE HONORABLE JUDGE ADRIANA ESCOBAR,	District Court Case No. A-18-773410-C
Respondents,	
and	
TROY BURGESS	
Real Party in Interest.	

MOTION BY THE LAS VEGAS DEFENSE LAWYERS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF THE <u>REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S ANSWER TO WRIT OF MANDAMUS</u>

The Las Vegas Defense Lawyers ("LVDL") hereby moves this Court,

pursuant to NRAP 29, to enter an order granting leave to the LVDL to file an

amicus curiae brief in support of the position of Real Party in Interest.

The LVDL is a non-profit organization of attorneys in the State of Nevada engaged in the defense of civil litigants and whose resources are available to provide assistance to courts in considering issues which have a material impact upon the rights of such persons and/or entities beyond the interests of the particular litigants in specific cases.

The Court's decision in this case will potentially affect a various collection of defendants and cases because of the fundamental discovery precept involved. The LVDL respectfully submits that the underlying ruling by the District Court is consistent with Nevada's Constitution and finding that The Nevada Supreme Court has the authority to regulate civil litigation by adopting rules regulating civil practice and procedure, and, that "[a]ny infringement by the legislature upon such power is in degradation of our tripartite system of government and strictly prohibited." Goldberg v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 93 Nev. 614, 616-617, 572 P.2d 521 (1977)(citations omitted). Given the potentially broad effect of the decision herein, the LVDL believes that the interests of justice will be served by the Court receiving input from the LVDL on behalf of its members who may be materially affected by the decision, yet whose interests and perspectives transcend the immediate concerns of the parties to this case.

Amicus support is appropriate where "the amicus has unique information or perspective that can help the Court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide." *Miller-Wohl Co. v. Comm'r of Labor & Indus.*, 694 F.2d 203, 204 (9th Cir. 1982). This is not to say that the positions or arguments of the parties

is insufficient, rather, LVDL simply believes they may be able to present a perspective outside of that which is already before this Honorable Court.

As such, LVDL respectfully requests leave to file an amicus curiae brief in support of the position of Real Party in Interest. The LVDL's brief is conditionally filed herewith in accordance with NRAP 29.

Dated this 12th day of March, 2021.

LINCOLN, GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LLP

/s/ Karissa K. Mack

KARISSA K. MACK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12331 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorney for Amicus Curiae Las Vegas Defense Lawyers

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 12th day of March, 2021, I served a true and correct copy of this MOTION BY THE LAS VEGAS DEFENSE LAWYERS FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF REAL PARTY IN INTEREST'S ANSWER TO THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS upon all counsel of records by electronically filing the document using the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system with the Master Service List as follows:

> Majorie L. Hauf Matthew G. Pfau Micah S. Echols Thomas W. Stewart Caitlin J. Lorelli Andrew D. Smith Thomas E. Winner

I further certify that the foregoing documents were served via email to the

following:

Honorable Adriana Escobar, District Court Judge Eighth Judicial District Court, Department 14 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 <u>dept14lc@clarkcountycourts.us</u>

/s/ Staci D. Ibarra

An employee of LINCOLN GUSTAFSON & CERCOS, LLP